

Citation: Daranas N, Badosa E, Francés J, Montesinos E, Bonaterra A (2018) Enhancing water stress tolerance improves fitness in biological control strains of *Lactobacillus plantarum* in plant environments. PLoS ONE 13(1): e0190931. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190931

Editor: Sudisha Jogaiah, Karnatak University, INDIA

Received: September 15, 2017

Accepted: December 24, 2017

Published: January 5, 2018

Copyright: © 2018 Daranas et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper.

Funding: Funding was provided by Spain MINECO (Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad) (AGL2012-39880-C02-01 and AGL2015-69876-C2-1-R) and FEDER of the European Union and in part by project FP7-KBBE.2013.1.2-04 613678 DROPSA of the European Union. N. Daranas was recipient of a research grant 2015 FI_B00515 (Secretaria d'Universitats i Recerca, Departament d'Economia i Coneixement, Generalitat de **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Enhancing water stress tolerance improves fitness in biological control strains of *Lactobacillus plantarum* in plant environments

Núria Daranas, Esther Badosa, Jesús Francés, Emilio Montesinos, Anna Bonaterra*

Institute of Food and Agricultural Technology-CIDSAV-XaRTA, University of Girona, Girona, Spain

* anna.bonaterra@udg.edu

Abstract

Lactobacillus plantarum strains PM411 and TC92 can efficiently control bacterial plant diseases, but their fitness on the plant surface is limited under unfavourable low relative humidity (RH) conditions. To increase tolerance of these strains to water stress, an adaptive strategy was used consisting of hyperosmotic and acidic conditions during growth. Adapted cells had higher survival rates under desiccation than non-adapted cells. Transcript levels and patterns of general stress-related genes increased immediately after the combined-stress adaptation treatment, and remained unaltered or repressed during the desiccation challenge. However, there were differences between strains in the transcription patterns that were in agreement with a better performance of adapted cells of PM411 than TC92 in plant surfaces under low RH environmental conditions. The combined-stress adaptation treatment increased the survival of PM411 cells consistently in different plant hosts in the greenhouse and under field conditions. Stress-adapted cells of PM411 had similar biocontrol potential against bacterial plant pathogens than non-adapted cells, but with less variability within experiments.

Introduction

The development of practical strategies for the sustainable management of plant diseases to minimize the use of environmentally aggressive pesticides pose a challenge to worldwide crop production [1–2]. The number of crops without efficient protection methods has increased in recent years and this fact has stimulated an increasing demand of beneficial plant-microbe interactions to withdraw biotic and abiotic stresses [3–5]. Thus, there is a need for finding new and more effective biological control agents (BCA) and biostimulants, and also for optimizing the methods by which these new products are made viable, durable, robust and economical [6-8]. *Lactobacillus plantarum* strains have been reported as novel BCA for bacterial diseases control such as fire blight of rosaceous plants [9–10].

The establishment of the BCA on plant organs, prior to the arrival of the pathogen, is a key factor to achieve an efficient biocontrol of aerial plant diseases. In many BCA, a population decline is often observed after application to plants, thus reducing biocontrol efficiency

Catalunya; ESF, EU). The research group is accredited by SGR 2014-697 and TECNIO net from Catalonia.

ONE

PLOS

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

[11–12]. This decline of population is due to the shift from laboratory culture conditions to the growth-limiting plant surface [13]. In addition, microorganisms are exposed on the phyllosphere to ultraviolet radiation, nutrient limitation, and fluctuating water availability [14–15]. These reasons may explain the limited efficacy of lactic acid bacteria like *L. plantarum* strains observed under non-optimal environmental conditions.

However, lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are able to tolerate several stresses (pH, salt, heat, inhibitory compounds) [16], and as in other bacteria, L. plantarum have several mechanisms to enhance survival under stressful environments [17-19]. These mechanisms include the synthesis of chaperone proteins (GroES/GroEL and DnaK/DnaJ/GrpE), which assist the folding of misfolded proteins, and proteases (Clp family of proteins, such as ClpA, ClpB, ClpC, ClpE, ClpL and ClpX), which degrade damaged proteins; both regulated mainly by the transcriptional repressors CtsR and FtsH [20-21]. Also, small heat shock proteins (sHSP) and cold shock proteins (CSP) function as folding chaperones, and play an important role in maintaining membrane integrity under stress conditions. Cells exhibiting an increased synthesis of these proteins have shown a greater ability to survive under stress conditions [22-24]. In addition to stress related proteins, bacteriocins (e.g. plantaricins) may confer competitive advantages to L. plantarum [25-26] and their synthesis is affected by growth conditions and stress [27]. Also, the adhesion-like factor EF-Tu may function as an "envelope associated protein", which can be released from the cell when they experience osmotic shock [28-29]. As it has been suggested, transcriptional profiling of stress-related, bacteriocin-encoding and adhesion factor genes could be used as a tool to evaluate the suitability of adaptation strategies, and to compare strains adaptability to a stressful environment [30-33].

Different strategies have been used to increase BCA adaptation to stress and to improve its epiphytic fitness upon delivery to the field. For example, cells have been adapted during its cultivation to a sub-lethal dose of a specific physical or chemical stress [34-36] or to heat shock [37-38]. These adaptation treatments can significantly improve the subsequent performance of the microorganism under suboptimal conditions, not only to the specific stressing factor used during adaptation, but also to a range of different stresses, a phenomenon known as cross-protection [39-40]. In lactic acid bacteria it has been described that the exposure to a single stressor is commonly associated to the cross-tolerance to other stressors like acid [41], heat shock [42] or desiccation [43]. The development of cross-tolerance to stress has been described in *L. plantarum* strains from food processing and probiotics [24, 44]. However, there are no reports on tolerance to stress in *L. plantarum* biological control strains of plant diseases.

In the present work, we wanted to physiologically improve the fitness of *L. plantarum* strains PM411 and TC92, by means of a strategy of tolerance to desiccation stress and low relative humidity conditions that are common problems onto plant surfaces. We used a procedure consisting of the adaptation of cells to salt and/or acidic pH conditions during inoculum preparation. The response of both strains to stress was compared by means of: (1) the effect of different adaptation treatments on cell inactivation during desiccation stress, and on plant surfaces under controlled environment conditions at low relative humidity (RH), and (2) the transcriptional pattern of selected genes after the adaptation treatment and during the desiccation challenge. Finally, (3) the effect of the adaptation treatment on the survival of PM411 on different plant surfaces under greenhouse and field conditions and on the efficacy of biocontrol was studied.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

L. plantarum strains PM411 and TC92 were isolated from pear and tomato, respectively, as described previously [10, 45]. Strains were cultured in Man, Rogosa and Sharpe broth (MRS)

(Oxoid; Unipath Ltd., Basingstoke, Hampshire, England) at 30 °C in an orbital shaker at 80 rpm. Stock cultures were stored at -80 °C in MRS containing 20% (v/v) glycerol. To monitor culturable populations of PM411 and TC92 in plant colonization studies, spontaneous mutants resistant to rifampicin (PM411R and TC92R) were used [10]. *Erwinia amylovora* EPS101 isolated from an infected shoot of a Conference pear tree in Lleida (Spain) [35] and *Xanthomonas fragariae* CECT549 (Colección Española de Cultivos Tipo) were used in pathogen inoculation experiments. Bacterial suspensions of the pathogens were obtained from ultrafreeze-preserved cultures (-80 °C) grown overnight at 25 °C in Luria–Bertani (LB) agar for *E. amylovora* and in medium B agar [46] for *X. fragariae*. Colonies were scraped from the agar surface and suspended in sterile distilled water. The culture was adjusted to a cell density corresponding to 1×10^8 CFU mL⁻¹ (OD₆₀₀ of 0.12 and 0.40 for *E. amylovora* and *X. fragariae*, respectively) and diluted with sterile distilled water to obtain an appropriate concentration.

Adaptation treatments

PM411 and TC92 cells were cultured overnight in MRS broth until an OD₆₀₀ of 0.8–1.0, harvested by centrifugation (10000 x g, 10 min at 10°C), and washed in 50 mM sterile phosphate buffer (PBS, pH 7.0). Washed cells were resuspended in the corresponding adaptation medium at an initial OD_{600} of 0.2, or cultured accordingly. The adaptation treatments performed were acidic, hyperosmotic, stationary phase, combined-stress, and non-adapted (Fig 1). For the acidic treatment (A), cells were cultured until mid-log phase (final conditions $OD_{600} = 0.6$, pH 3.8 ± 0.2) in MRS broth at pH 4.0 (modified by the addition of HCl 1N). For the hyperosmotic treatment (O), cells were cultured until mid-log phase in MRS broth (pH 6.2) amended with NaCl 1 M (final conditions $OD_{600} = 0.6$, pH 5.3 \pm 0.2). For the stationary phase treatment (S), cells were cultured in MRS broth at pH 6.2 until stationary phase (final conditions OD_{600} = 1.0, pH 3.8 ± 0.2) (no pH control). For the combined-stress treatment (C), cells were cultured in MRS broth (pH 6.2) amended with NaCl 1 M until stationary phase (final conditions $OD_{600} = 1.0$, pH of 3.8 ± 0.2). For non-adapted treatment (N), cells were cultured in MRS broth (pH 6.2), until mid-log phase (final conditions $OD_{600} = 0.6$, pH = 5.3 ± 0.2). All treatments were incubated at 30°C with shaking at 80 rpm. Three independent biological replicates were prepared for each L. plantarum strain (PM411 and TC92) and treatment combination. Two independent experiments were performed.

Effect of adaptation treatments on cell survival during desiccation

Previously to the water stress challenge, cells were obtained from cultures grown under different adaptation conditions, as described above. In the first experiment, the adaptation treatments performed were A, O, S, C, and N, and subsequently cells were exposed to desiccation along a period of 6 days (long-term). In the second experiment, the adaptation treatments were A, C, and N, and the exposure to desiccation was along 5 h (short-term). In both experiments, cells from culture aliquots (25 ml) were harvested by centrifugation (10000 x g, 10 min at 10°C), washed once in PBS and resuspended in 25 ml of sterile PBS (pH 7.0). In the case of O and C cells, the sterile PBS used to wash cells was amended with NaCl to a final concentration of 1 M. Then, aliquots of 1 ml were distributed into 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes and harvested by centrifugation at 6000 x g for 5 min. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet containing cells was dried in a vacuum desiccator using silica gel as desiccant as described [34]. The tubes were maintained opened in the desiccator at 25°C. In the first experiment, samples for cell survival analysis (an Eppendorf tube) were taken at 1, 2 and 6 days after the start of the desiccation challenge. In the second experiment, tubes were taken at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4 and 5 h. The experimental design consisted of three independent biological replicates of each



ADAPTATION TREATMENTS

Fig 1. Schematic representation of the adaptation treatments and water stress challenge, and the analysis performed in *L. plantarum* PM411 and TC92 strains. Gene expression of cells was studied in non-adapted (N), acidic (A), and combined-stress (C) conditions after the adaptation treatments. After the treatments (N, A, and C) cells were exposed to water stress (i) *in vitro* for the study of cell inactivation kinetics and gene expression, and (ii) *in planta* for the study of cell inactivation kinetics.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190931.g001

adaptation treatment and date of assessment, for each strain and experiment. For cell survival analysis, the pellets were resuspended in 1 ml of sterile PBS (pH 7.0) and appropriate dilutions of the suspensions were seeded onto MRS agar plates (Panreac, Barcelona, Spain) using a spiral plater system (Eddy Jet; IUL Instruments, Barcelona, Spain). Plates were incubated at 23°C for 48 h. Colonies were counted using an automatic counter system (Countermat Flash; IUL Instruments) and counts were transformed to CFU ml⁻¹ of culture. Non-desiccated cells of

each adaptation treatment (N, A, O, S, and C) served as controls. The inactivation of cells for each treatment was calculated as $\log N_0/N$, where N_0 is the initial number of unstressed cells (control) and N the number of survivors.

Effect of adaptation treatments on survival of *L. plantarum* under low relative humidity conditions

Apple flowers. Open blossoms were collected from an experimental field of Golden Smoothie apple cultivar near Girona (Mas Badia Agricultural Experiment Station), taken to the laboratory under refrigeration, and used within 24 h. The procedure was essentially as described [47]. Briefly, detached flowers were maintained with the cut peduncle submerged in a sucrose solution in Eppendorf tubes and placed in transparent plastic boxes. Flowers were treated with a hand sprayer to the runoff point (0.4 ml per flower), with suspensions of PM411R and TC92R cells (10⁸ CFU ml⁻¹) from A, C, or N adaptation treatments. Adapted and non-adapted cells were obtained as described above, and resuspended in quarter-strength Ringer's solution by setting the OD_{600} of cell suspensions to 0.25 (confirmed by colony counts, 10^8 CFU ml⁻¹). After the corresponding treatment, inoculated flowers were placed at $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C, with 16 h of fluorescent light/8 h dark and under low relative humidity (RH) conditions (50%). RH conditions were obtained in controlled environment chambers (SGC097.PFX.F, Fitotron, Sanyo Gallenkamp plc, UK) by placing CaCl₂ into the chamber as a humidity absorber. The experimental design consisted of three independent biological replicates of each adaptation treatment and date of assessment for each strain. Two independent experiments were performed. Samples of 5 flowers from each replicate were taken for monitoring population levels of PM411R or TC92R at 1, 2 and 6 days post inoculation. Flowers were homogenized with 20 ml of sterile PBS (pH 7.0) and 0.1% peptone using a stomacher (Masticator; IUL Instruments). Sample homogenates were diluted and appropriate dilutions were seeded onto MRS agar plates supplemented with 50 µg ml⁻¹ of rifampicin (Sigma, Missouri, USA) to counter-select the corresponding strain inoculated. Plates contained 10 μ g ml⁻¹ of econazole nitrate salt (Sigma, Missouri, USA) to avoid fungal growth. Plates were incubated at 23°C for 48 h and colonies were counted. The population levels of PM411R or TC92R were expressed as CFU per flower. A sample of recently inoculated flowers by each adapted (A and C) and non-adapted (N) strain served as a control. The inactivation index for each treatment was calculated as described above.

Prunus leaves. *Prunus amygdalus* × *P. persica* plants of the rootstock GF-677 were obtained by micropropagation (Agromillora, Barcelona, Spain). Plants of 20 cm in length were grown in 10-cm-diameter plastic pots having 6 to 10 young leaves, and were maintained in a greenhouse at $26 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C, $60 \pm 10\%$ of RH and a 16-h photoperiod, and were fertilized once a week with a 200 ppm N/P/K solution (20:10:20) and standard insecticide and miticide sprays were applied until use. Plants were inoculated with 10⁸ CFU ml⁻¹ cell suspensions of A, C, or N adapted PM411R and TC92R cells using a microsprayer until runoff (10 ml per plant). Cell suspensions were prepared as described above. Inoculated plants were placed into transparent plastic boxes at 25°C, with 16 h of fluorescent light / 8 h dark and under low (60–70%)-RH conditions. Low RH conditions were obtained by placing CaCl₂ into the boxes as a humidity absorber. The experimental design consisted of three independent biological replicates (5 plants per replicate) of each adaptation treatment and date of assessment for each strain. Two independent experiments were performed. For monitoring population levels of PM411R or TC92R five leaves were taken from each replicate at 1, 2, 3, 4 and 7 days post-inoculation. Samples were processed and calculations were done as described above.

Transcriptional analysis during adaptation conditions and desiccation challenge

Expression patterns of PM411 and TC92 cells obtained immediately after growth under different adaptation treatments (A, C, and N) and after desiccation challenge were analysed. Total RNA was extracted from PM411 and TC92 cells grown under three adaptation conditions (A, C, and N), and exposed or non-exposed to desiccation challenge. A, C, and N cells were obtained following the procedure described above, immediately after growth (non-desiccated) or at different times during desiccation (0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4 and 5 h) (Fig 1). At every sampling time dehydrated cells were suspended in 1 ml of sterile PBS (pH 7.0). RNA from 500 µl of cell suspensions was immediately stabilized in two volumes of RNA Protect Bacteria Reagent (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Total RNA was isolated according to the procedure recommended by Qiagen with minor modifications, involving enzymatic lysis together with proteinase K digestion, followed by mechanical disruption. The pellet was resuspended with 200 μ l TE buffer containing 15 mg ml⁻¹ lysozyme (Sigma). Besides, 20 µl of proteinase K and 6 µl of mutanolysin (Sigma) were added and samples were incubated at 37°C for 45 min with shaking at 300 rpm. The mechanical disruption was performed adding 50 mg of acid-washed glass beads (Sigma, 150-600 µm) to the sample using the Tissulyser II instrument (Qiagen) at frequency of 30 s⁻¹ for 5 min. Extracted total RNA was purified with the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions and RNA was resuspended in 50 μ l RNase free water. The concentration and purity of RNA was assessed by spectrophotometric measurements using NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). Residual DNA was removed using the Turbo DNA-free kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA) and cDNA was synthetized from RNA using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The absence of chromosomal DNA contamination was confirmed by minus-reverse transcriptase control in quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR).

Quantitative real-time PCR was carried out in a 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) to assess the transcriptional level of *L. plantarum* genes associated to: (i) stress response, i.e. encoding molecular chaperones (*dnaK* and *groEL*), Clp proteins (*clpC* and *clpB*), small heat shock proteins (*hsp1* and *hsp3*), cold shock proteins (*cspP* and *cspL*), stress factors (*ctsR* and *ftsH*), and small redox protein (*trxB1*); (ii) adhesion factor protein (*efTU*), and (iii) plantaricin synthesis (*plnE* and *plnJ*). Optimized reactions included 10 μ SYBR[®] Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), 7 μ RNase-free water, 1 μ of each forward and reverse primer (Table 1) at 2 μ M, and 1 μ cDNA in a final volume of 20 μ l. The thermal cycling conditions were as follows: 10 min at 95°C for initial denaturation; 50 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, and 1 min at 60°C; and a final melting curve program of 60 to 95°C with a heating rate of 0.5°C/s. Each qPCR assay included duplicates of each cDNA sample, no-template and RNA controls to check for contamination. Measures were taken from each condition from three independent biological cultures. The lactate dehydrogenase D gene (*ldhD*) was used as internal control for data normalization [53].

Expression patterns of PM411 and TC92 cells obtained immediately after growth under different adaptation treatments (A, C, and N) were analysed and the normalized expression values of selected genes were quantified and \log_2 transformed. Standard curves for quantification of gene expression were created using decimal dilutions of recombinant plasmid DNA (target sequences were cloned into a vector pSpark[®] in *Escherichia coli* DH5 α cells) corresponding to copy numbers ranging between 10² and 10⁶. Ct values in each dilution were measured in triplicate using the optimized qPCR as described above and a negative non-template control was included in each run. Ct values were plotted against the logarithm

Function	Gene	Forward primer (5'—3')	Reverse primer (5'—3')	Reference
Function Chaperone proteins Proteases Small heat shock proteins Cold shock proteins Stress factors	dnaK	TCAACCGTGTCACCCAAGTA	TCCTTCAGTTGTGGCATTCA	[31]
	groEL	ACCGGATTGAAGATGCTTTG	AACCAGCATTTTCAGCGATT	[31]
Proteases	clpB	AGTTACCGGCGTCCATACTG	GACTCAAAGCCGTCTCAAG	[48]
	clpC	ATCCTTTCCTCGCGAATTTT	TGGCGTTCCTTCAGTCTTCT	[49]
Small heat shock proteins	hsp1	AGGTTGATGTCCCTGGTATTG	TTAAGACACCGTCAGCTTGG	[48]
	hsp2	TTACCTTCGCTATCCCGCAAC	CGGTGAAGTATGCTGACGAA	[50]
	hsp3	ATCCGCAGCTGCCTTCTTT	CGCGAGTGAACGTCAAACTG	[31]
Cold shock proteins	cspP	TACTGGTGAAGATGGCAACG	GAACAACGTTAGCAGCTTGTGG	[44]
	cspL	GTGAAGACGGTACCGATGTCTT	GTGGTTGAACGTTCGTTGCT	[44]
Stress factors	ftsH	GCAGCTACCTTCGAAGAATCCA	GGGAAACTTGGTTCAGCAACA	[51]
	ctsR	AATTTGGTCGATGATGCTGATG	TAAGTCCCGGTCCGTTAATCC	[51]
Small redox protein	trxBI	ATGGCAAAGAGTTACGACG	CCCACCATAGATTCCGCGAT	F: [52] R: this study
Adhesion protein	efTU	CCACGTAATAACGCACCAAC	TTCTGGTCGTATCGATCGTG	[29]
Plantaricin synthesis	plnE	GTTTTAATCGGGGCGGTTAT	ATACCACGAATGCCTGCAAC	[32]
	plnJ	TAAGTTGAACGGGGTTGTTG	TAACGACGGATTGCTCTGC	[32]
D-lactate dehydrogenase	ldhD	ACGCCCAAGCTGATGTTATATC	AGTGTCCCACGAGCAAAGTT	[53]

Table 1. Function of genes and primer sequences used in the transcriptional response study.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190931.t001

PLOS ONE

of their initial template copy numbers and each standard curve was generated by a linear regression of the plotted points.

Besides, the effect of desiccation stress (1, 1.5, 2, 3 and 4h under desiccation) on the transcriptional response of selected genes of PM411 and TC92, depending on the adaptation treatments (A, C, and N), was analysed. In this case, the comparative critical threshold ($\Delta\Delta$ Ct) method was used to assess the relative transcriptional level. Similar amplification efficiencies of all genes primer pairs were checked making the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method appropriate to calculate the relative expression (RE) level. The Δ Ct of non-desiccated cells, at the corresponding celladaptation method (A, C, and N), was used as the calibrating condition to calculate RE level. Genes were considered to be up- or down-regulated if their RE levels were at least twofold (RE level = 2¹ or 2⁻¹) higher or less than the calibrator condition [54, 55].

Effect of combined stress adaptation treatment on the dynamics of population of PM411 on plant surfaces

Two experiments under greenhouse conditions and two field trials at the Mas Badia Agricultural Experiment Station (Girona, Spain) were performed.

Greenhouse experiments. Experiments were conducted in potted plants (10-cm-diameter plastic pots) of cv. Darselect strawberry and cv. Hayward kiwifruit. Plants were used when had 30 to 40 cm in length and 10 to 15 young leaves in the case of kiwifruit plants and 5 to 8 leaves per crown in strawberry plants. Plants were spray inoculated to runoff (6 and 20 mL per strawberry and kiwifruit plants, respectively) with a suspension of PM411R. The inoculum of the biological control agent was prepared as previously described, either adapted with the combined treatment (C) or non-adapted (N). Cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in quarter-strength Ringer's solution to 5×10^7 CFU mL⁻¹. After the corresponding treatment, plants were maintained in a greenhouse at $26 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C, $70 \pm 10\%$ of RH and a 16-h photoperiod. Three replicates of three plants per replicate were used for each adaptation treatment. Sample collection for monitoring population levels of PM411 was performed at 0, 1, 2, 3

and 6 days. Samples of three leaflets for strawberry plants and three leaves for kiwifruit plant were taken from each replicate of the corresponding treatment and sampling date.

Field experiments. Experiments were conducted in plots of cv. Golden Smoothie apple and cv. Comice pear trees. Treatments were distributed in a completely randomized block design with three replications of each treatment and 7 trees per replicate. In each tree two branches containing blossoms were tagged. Treatments corresponded to suspensions of PM411 non-adapted (N) or adapted (C). In both treatments cells were harvested by centrifugation and diluted in quarter-strength Ringer's solution to 5×10^7 CFU mL⁻¹. Two strategies were assayed, one doing a single application of bacteria to trees on 29 March 2017 (cv. Comice pear) and on 5 April 2017 (cv. Golden Smoothie apple), and in the second strategy two applications were performed (29 March and 4 April 2017 in the case of pear trees, and 5 April and 11 April 2017 in the case of apple trees). Open blossoms from tagged branches were spray inoculated until near runoff with the bacterial suspension using a hand-held 5 mL sprayer (3 mL per blossom). Weather conditions in the field plots were measured with a weather station located in the experimental field (Mas Badia, La Tallada d'Empordà) (Temperature, RH and rainfall). Sample collection for monitoring PM411 levels was performed at 0, 1, 2, 5, 6 and 7 days. Samples of two blossoms (4-6 flowers and accompanying leaves) were taken from each replicate of the corresponding treatment and sampling date. All the samples (leaves from the greenhouse experiment and blossoms from the field experiment) were homogenized in a sterile plastic bag with 30 mL of 0.05 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and 0.1% peptone under shaking in an orbital shaker at 150 r.p.m. for 30 min at 4°C. The extract was concentrated 10-fold by centrifugation at 10000 g for 10 min. The extract was serially diluted and appropriate dilutions were seeded onto MRS agar plates supplemented with 50 µg ml⁻¹ of rifampicin and 10 µg ml-1 of econazole nitrate salt and population levels of PM411 were assessed as described.

Effect of combined stress adaptation treatment on efficacy of disease control

Two different assays were performed to control angular leaf spot of strawberry (*X. fragariae*) and fire blight of apple and pear (*E. amylovora*).

Angular leaf spot disease of strawberry. Plants were sprayed to runoff with 10 ml of a suspension of adapted or non-adapted cells of PM411. After 24 h, plants were inoculated with a suspension of Xf at $1x10^8$ CFU ml⁻¹. Pathogen suspension was prepared in distilled water with diatomaceous earth (1 mg ml⁻¹) and applied using a hand-sprayer (at a pressure of 20 psi) to runoff with 6 ml of the suspension. After inoculation, plants were maintained for 48 h in plastic bags to allow a high relative humidity conditions. Then, plant material was maintained in the quarantine greenhouse at 26°C ($\pm 2°C$), 60% ± 10 of RH and a 16 h light-8 h dark photoperiod. The experimental design consisted of three replicates of two plants per replicate for each treatment. Kasugamycin treated (Kasumin, Lainco, Barcelona, Spain) (80 mg l⁻¹) and non-treated plants were included as controls.

Two experiments were performed. After two weeks from the pathogen inoculation the severity of infections was determined per each replicate. Severity of infections was determined as the level of infection of each leaf, according to the following scale: 0, no symptoms; 1, presence of small spots of necrosis (<25% of the leaf surface); 2, necrosis progression through the leaf (25–50%) and; 3, leaf mainly affected by necrosis (>50%). The mean severity level per leaf was calculated per each replicate of two plants.

Fire blight control. Open blossoms of pear and apple treated in the experimental orchard plot (see above) were collected at 1 day after treatment, to perform efficacy assays under controlled environmental conditions. The individual flowers used for inoculation with

E. amylovora were prepared as described [35], in single Eppendorf vials [47]. The hypanthium of flowers was then inoculated with 10 μ L of a suspension of *E. amylovora* at 10⁷ CFUmL⁻1. The inoculated flowers were again placed in plastic boxes, and incubated at 25°C and high RH for 5 days. The experimental design consisted of three replicates of five flowers per replicate for each treatment. Kasugamycin treated (80 mg l⁻¹), and non-treated controls inoculated with the pathogen alone were included. Two experiments were performed. Severity of infections on flowers was evaluated per each replicate after 5 days of pathogen inoculation. The severity of infections was determined as the level of infection of each inoculated flower according to a scale from 0 to 3 in function of the symptoms observed: 0, no symptoms; 1, partial hypanthium necrosis; 2, total hypanthium necrosis; 3, necrosis progression through peduncle.

Data analysis

To test the significance of the effect of adaptation treatments on inactivation of PM411 and TC92 to desiccation *in vitro*, and on flowers and leaves, and to test the effect of adaptation treatment of PM411 on the population level on plant surfaces and on biocontrol efficacy against *X. fragariae* and *E. amylovora*, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed. Means of the index of inactivation were separated according to the Tukey's test at $P \leq 0.05$. The statistical analyses were performed using GLM procedure of the PC-SAS (version 9.1; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

Results

Effect of adaptation treatments on survival of cells during desiccation

The behaviour of acidic (A), hyperosmotic (O), stationary phase (S), combined-stress (C) adapted and non-adapted (N) cells of PM411 and TC92 strains, during a long-term and a short-term period under desiccation stress was compared (Fig 2).

Over the long-term period of desiccation, the C adaptation treatment had the lowest inactivation values (less than 1 log after 6 days of desiccation), significantly different from the other adaptation treatments in both strains (P < 0.001). Inactivation values of A, S, and C cells were significantly lower than N cells in both strains (P < 0.001). S and A treated cells had inactivation values around 2 log in PM411, while in TC92 these values were around 4 log for A cells and 2 log for S cells. O treated cells had lower inactivation values than N cells in TC92 (specifically at 2 and 6 days under desiccation) but had similar inactivation in the case of PM411cells. Overall, PM411 N cells were less affected by desiccation stress than TC92 N cells.

The short-term experiment was performed once the best treatments were selected. Also in this case the inactivation values of A and C treated cells were significantly lower than in N cells in both strains (P < 0.001), being the C adaptation treatment which gave the lowest inactivation values. No significant differences (P > 0.05) were observed in inactivation among the treatments in both strains during the first 3 h. However, after this period, the inactivation values increased for A and N treatments. TC92 N cells reached higher inactivation values than PM411 N cells.

Effect of adaptation treatments on survival on flowers and leaves under low relative humidity conditions

The inactivation of PM411 and TC92 previously submitted to adaptation treatments was studied in apple flowers and *Prunus* leaves at low RH (Fig 3).

In both strains, A, C, and N treated cells reached lower inactivation values on flowers (1.1 to 1.5 log at 6 days post inoculation) than on leaves (3.5 to 4.3 log at 7 days post-inoculation).



Fig 2. Effect of adaptation treatments on cell inactivation in *L. plantarum* **TC92 and PM411 during desiccation.** Long-term (top panels) and short-term (bottom panels) periods. Non-adapted, N (\circ), acid adapted, A (\blacktriangle), hyperosmotic adapted, O (\blacklozenge), stationary phase adapted, S (\bigtriangledown), and combined-stress adapted, C (\blacksquare) cells. Inactivation is shown as log N₀/N, where N₀ is the initial number of cells and N is the number of survivors. Values are the mean of three independent biological replicates and error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean.

In PM411, the inactivation of C treated cells was significantly lower than in A and N treated cells, in both plant materials (P < 0.001). Hence, the C treatment clearly improved PM411 cell survival, both on flowers and leaves. No significant differences in inactivation were observed between adapted and non-adapted TC92 cells, neither on flowers nor on leaves (P > 0.05) (except for C treated cells on leaves in day 2, which were significantly lower than A and N treated cells (P < 0.05)).

Globally, PM411 C cells showed lower inactivation than TC92 C cells. On leaf surfaces at low RH, inactivation values were 2 log in PM411 compared to 4 log in TC92. However, in blossoms, the inactivation in PM411 C adapted cells did not differ from TC92 cells.

Transcriptional response to adaptation treatments and desiccation stress

The effect of adaptation treatments and desiccation stress on the expression levels and pattern of selected genes in PM411 and TC92 cells was studied. Gene expression during adaptation in

PLOS ONE



Fig 3. Effect of adaptation treatments on cell inactivation in *L. plantarum* TC92 and PM411 in apple flowers and *Prunus* leaves at low RH. Non-adapted, N (\circ), acid adapted, A (\blacktriangle), and combined-stress adapted, C (\blacksquare) cells. Inactivation is shown as log N₀/N, where N₀ is the initial number of cells and N is the number of survivors. Values are the mean of three independent biological replicates and error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean.

acid (A), combined-stress (C), and non-adapted (N) conditions is shown in Fig 4. The expression pattern was quite similar in the two strains, but differed depending on treatments. A and N treatments showed similar expression pattern (in 10 out of 14 genes). However, the C treatment showed different expression levels in both strains (in 10 out of 14 genes) in comparison to A and N treatments. Expression values of stress related genes were higher in C than in N treated cells, for 10 genes in PM411 (*dnaK*, *groEL*, *clpB*, *clpC*, *hsp1*, *hsp3*, *cspL*, *ftsH*, *ctsR*, and *trxB1*), and for 7 genes in TC92 (*groEL*, *clpB*, *clpC*, *hsp1*, *hsp3*, *ftsH*, and *trxB1*). The adhesion factor *efTU* and the plantaricins *plnE* and *plnJ* had similar levels of expression in all treatments and in both strains. Interestingly, in C treated cells, in both strains, cold shock proteins (*cspP* and *cspL*) showed the lowest levels of expression of stress-related genes.

Gene expression during desiccation stress, depending on adaptation treatments, is shown in Fig 5. Non-adapted cells showed different desiccation stress response patterns in each strain. In PM411 N cells all genes were upregulated and, in general, their expression levels were kept upregulated over time. On the contrary, in TC92 N cells only *dnaK* and *groEL* genes were kept upregulated over time. So, TC92 N cells had a lower response capacity to desiccation stress than PM411. The transcriptional pattern of A treated cells did not differ significantly from N

PLOS ONE

	ONE
--	-----

		Genes		Ν	1	A	С
	ahanarana proteina	dnaK					
	chaperone proteins	groEL					
	nroteases	clpB					
	proteases	clpC					
	small heat shock proteins	hsp1					
	sman neat shock proteins	hsp3					
TC02	cold shock proteins	cspP					
1092	-	cspL					
	stress factors	ftsH					
		ctsR					
	small redox protein	trxB1					
	adhesion protein	efTU					
	nlantaricin synthesis	plnE					
	planariem synalesis	plnJ					
	chaperone proteins	dnaK					
		groEL					
	proteases	clpB					
		clpC					
	small heat shock proteins	hsp1					
		hsp3					
PM411	cold shock proteins	cspP					
	-	cspL					
	stress factors	ftsH					
	-	ctsR					
	small redox protein	trxB1					
	adhesion protein	efTU					
	plantaricin synthesis	plnE					
	¥ 2	plnJ					
	2 4 6 8	10	12	14			
	0 0	10	14	T.1			

Normalized expression values (log₂)

Fig 4. Transcriptional analysis during adaptation conditions in *L. plantarum* **TC92 and PM411.** Normalized expression values (log₂) of genes associated to stress response, adhesion factor protein, and plantaricin synthesis, in non-adapted (N), acid adapted (A), and combined-stress adapted (C). *ldhD* gene was used for data normalization. Three independent biological replicates were performed.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190931.g004

cells in both strains. Interestingly, the expression pattern of C treated cells was different from the N and A cells in both strains. Most of the genes were downregulated at some singular point or were kept unchanged during the desiccation stress period. However, the expression levels of some particular genes were actually different between TC92 C and PM411 C cells. For example, *clpB*, *clpC*, *hsp1* and *hsp3* were upregulated only in TC92 under desiccation conditions for

			Time (hours)																
					Ν					A							С		
		Genes	1	1,5	2	3	4	1	1	,5 2	2	3	4		1	1,5	2	3	4
	chaperone proteins	dnaK												Т					
		groEL																	
	proteases	clpB																	
	-	clpC																	
	small heat shock proteins	hsp 1		_															
	-	hsp3																	
TC92	cold shock proteins	cspP																	
	-	cspL																	
	stress factors	ftsH																	
		ctsR																	
	small redox protein	trxB1																	
	adhesion protein_ plantaricin synthesis	ejIU							-										
		pine nln I																	
		dnak												\dashv					
	chaperone proteins	anaK aroEl																	
	-	clnB																	
	proteases	clpD clnC																	
	- small heat shock proteins	hsnl																	
		hsp1																	
	-	cspP																	
PM411	cold shock proteins	cspL																	
	-	ftsH																	
	stress factors	ctsR																	
	small redox protein	trxB1																	
	adhesion protein	efTU																	
	-	plnE												ſ					
	plantaricin synthesis	plnJ																	
									_										
					0	0.25	0.5	5 1	2	1	5	10	0	20)	80	150		
							R	elativ	e ez	xpres	sior	1 (R	E) k	eve	1				

Fig 5. Transcriptional pattern of adapted cells of *L. plantarum* TC92 and PM411 during desiccation challenge. Non-adapted (N), acid adapted (A), and combined-stress adapted (C) cells. Samples during desiccation were taken at selected steps throughout a 4 h period. The relative expression (RE) level was assessed by the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method. The *ldhD* gene was used as the internal control. The Δ Ct of non-desiccated cells for the corresponding adaptation method was defined as the calibrator. Three independent biological replicates were performed.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190931.g005

3 hours, and their expression level was kept upregulated during the following hour. The *efTU* gene was upregulated in PM411 C cells while was unaltered in TC92 C cells.

Effect of adaptation on survival under greenhouse and field conditions and on efficacy of biological control

Levels of PM411 on plants under greenhouse conditions decreased slightly through time and were higher in kiwifruit than in strawberry plants (Fig 6). Differences in population levels were observed between adapted and non-adapted PM411 cells in the two experiments performed for each plant species.



Fig 6. Effect of adaptation treatment on survival of PM411 in strawberry and kiwifruit leaves, under controlled environment greenhouse conditions. Plants were sprayed with non-adapted, N (○) or combined-stress adapted, C (■) cells. Values are the mean of three independent biological replicates and error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean. *, indicates significant differences between treatments according to the Tukey test.

Population levels of PM411 decreased also through time on pear and apple blossoms under field conditions that were relatively dry (one single rainfall event in pear tree assay and moderate temperatures and low humidity in both pear and apple trees; Fig 7). Three days following field inoculation, population levels of PM411 decreased to steady-state values in non-adapted treatments $(10^3-10^4 \text{ CFU} \text{ per blossom})$, but were significantly higher in adapted treatments $(10^4-10^5 \text{ CFU} \text{ per blossom})$ (Fig 7). When a second spray of PM411 was applied under harsh conditions, also adapted cells survived better than non-adapted cells on both, pear and apple plants.

A decrease in disease severity was observed compared to the control in plants treated with both adapted (C) and non-adapted (N) cells of PM411, without significant differences between them (Fig 8). However, the C treatment had less variability compared to the N treatment and no significant differences between N and non-treated control could be detected in four out of six experiments performed. More in detail, the coefficients of variation in both experiments performed were for the C treatment 5.7 and 17.1% in strawberry, 8.3 and 15.7% in pear and 9.1 and 20% in apple, and those of the N treatment were 62.6 and 59% in strawberry, 55.1 and 52.7% in pear and 32.8 and 45.8% in apple. These results indicated that the C treatment gave more consistent efficacy.

PLOS



Fig 7. Effect of adaptation treatment on survival of PM411 in blossoms of 'Comice' pear and 'Golden Smoothie' apple under field conditions. Environmental conditions during the field experiments are shown in the upper panels. Temperature (dotted line), RH (bold line) and rainfall (vertical bars). Blossoms were treated in the field with either adapted cells (C) (\blacksquare), or non-adapted cells (N) (\circ), using a single spray strategy or a repeated spray strategy. Values are the mean of three replicates. Error bars represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean. *, indicates significant differences between treatments according to the Tukey test.

Discussion

In order to increase the tolerance to water stress of *L. plantarum* strains isolated from plant environments, and to improve its epiphytic survival under low relative humidity conditions, a strategy based on the adaptive growth under stress conditions has been developed. The combined-stress strategy consisted of adapting cells by growing them into a hyperosmotic medium until stationary-phase, which involves also acid production.

Our results with *L. plantarum* are in agreement with the findings in other *Lactobacillus* species from fermented foods, that reported a higher tolerance to stress of stationary-phase cells compared to exponential-phase cells [17, 19, 42, 56]. Also, the present results are in accordance with studies showing that cells obtained from non-controlled pH fermentations had better tolerance to stresses than cells from controlled pH experiments [57–59]. In addition, cells of *Lactobacillus* had enhanced tolerance to harsh conditions when grown under salt [60–62] or acid stress [20, 63].

The response of strains PM411 and TC92 toward the combined-stress treatment resulted in a strong protection against desiccation. The study of the gene expression responses



Fig 8. Effect of adaptation of PM411 on susceptibility of strawberry plants to infection by *X. fragariae* (Xf) and of **pear and apple flowers to infection by** *E. amylovora* (Ea). Plants and blossoms were treated under greenhouse and field conditions, respectively, with adapted PM411 cells, non-adapted PM411 cells, kasugamycin or non-treated. Strawberry plants were sprayed with Xf in the greenhouse. Once treated, pear and apple flowers were collected in the field and inoculated with *E. amylovora* under controlled environment conditions in the laboratory. Values of severity are the mean of three replicates. Error bars represent the 95% confidence interval of the mean. Bars for severity panels with the same letter in the same panel do not differ significantly (P<0.05) according to the Tukey test.

immediately after the combined-stress treatment confirmed an increase in transcript levels of the stress-related genes (*DnaK*, *GroEL*, *ClpC*, *ClpB*, *Hsp1*, *Hsp3*, *CspP*, *CspL*, *CtsR*, *FtsH* and *TrxB1*), in comparison with non-adapted cells. Whereas, after desiccation challenge, transcript levels of the same genes remained unaltered or repressed in adapted cells while were overexpressed in non-adapted cells. This is in concordance with a better survival of adapted vs. non-adapted cells. These results suggest that cells after the combined-stress treatment have high levels of stress proteins that probably protect themselves from damage caused by a subsequent desiccation. Other studies performed in probiotic and dairy lactic acid bacteria have also shown that the adaptive response to stress involves the overproduction of several stress-related proteins, such as DnaK, GroEL, Clp, FtsH, that might give better survival under harsh environment [31, 43, 62, 64]. Interestingly, in our strains, the combined-stress adaptation treatment did not affect the expression level of the non-stress related genes *efTU*, *plnE* and *plnJ*. This is in agreement with the report that *plnE/F* and *efTU* gene expression in *L. plantarum* and *L. pentosus* was not affected by salt contents or pH variations in the growth medium [29, 32].

The acidic treatment, consisting of a single stress factor, showed less protection against desiccation than the combined treatment. In accordance, the gene expression pattern immediately after the acidic adaptation treatment and after desiccation challenge was quite similar to nonadapted cells in both strains. However, this can be a particular response of our strains, since other studies have shown differential expression of some genes in acid-adapted cells compared to non-adapted cells, specifically those related with malolactic fermentation and intracellular accumulation of histidine in *L. casei* [63] or stress-related genes (e.g. *clpL*) in *Oenococcus oeni* [22]. Differences in tolerance to desiccation were observed between PM411 and TC92 cells, in agreement with the gene expression patterns. PM411 non-adapted cells survived better than TC92 over the period of desiccation and showed upregulation of all the eleven stress-related genes analysed, whereas in TC92 only three genes were upregulated. Concerning the response to the combined adaptation treatment, both strains increased cell survival under desiccation but had slightly different stress-related gene expression patterns. After the adaptation treatment, PM411 showed overexpression in more genes than TC92. Moreover, throughout desiccation only in TC92 heat shock proteins (*hsp1* and *hsp3*) and proteases (*clpB* and *clpC*) were differentially upregulated after 3 h, probably due to cell damage and death, because it was at this time when inactivation in non-adapted cells increased.

In plant surfaces, under low RH conditions, the combined-stress adaptation treatment increased cell survival only in PM411. Therefore, we hypothesize that the differences in the stress-related gene expression patterns between the strains might be indicative of a better behaviour of PM411 adapted cells, with a high capacity to withstand stresses in plant surfaces. This is in agreement with the report on differences of tolerance to stress between strains of *L. plantarum* from fermented foods [56, 59]. In addition, differences in stress response between strains of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* antagonistic to *E. amylovora* have also been reported, which explained their performance in disease biocontrol [12, 55, 65]. Accordingly, in PM411 adapted with the combined treatment, the *efTU* gene was upregulated after desiccation challenge, while not changed in TC92. Interestingly, the *efTU* gene, which encodes an adhesion-like protein elongation factor, may play a role in adhesion. As reported, in *L. plantarum* the *efTU* gene is upregulated in the presence of mucus covering the intestinal surface suggesting its role in adhesion [29]. In addition, adhesion-like proteins were reported to be released from the cells of hiochi bacteria (*Lactobacillus* spp.) when they experience osmotic shock [28].

The combined-stress treatment improved survival of PM411 on plant surfaces under limiting environmental conditions (low RH) in different plant hosts. This beneficial effect was significant in *Prunus*, kiwifruit and strawberry leaves under greenhouse conditions, and in apple and pear flowers under controlled environment and also in the field. Under these unfavourable conditions, the strain did not multiply and only survived to steady-state populations, and adapted cells survive better than non-adapted cells. This effect was more important in leaves than in flowers. Probably this is because the water stress under low RH conditions is higher in leaves than in flowers. Leaves have waxy surfaces and are easily exposed to low RH conditions than flowers, and also provide limited nutrient resources to bacterial colonists. In contrast, flowers have internal structures (hypanthium and pistil) that can be colonized by L. plantarum where there are less prone to suffer sudden fluctuations in RH and have more nutrients available. Thus, bacteria may have more options of avoiding stresses in flowers than in leaves [14, 66]. The combined-stress treatment improved biocontrol efficacy since reduced variability within the trial, thus providing more consistency in disease suppression. A reduction of the within-experiment variability was also observed in other BCA and associated with an improvement of biocontrol [67]. This beneficial effect is related to the better survival performance of adapted PM411 on plant hosts.

Finally, strategies to adapt bacteria to stress conditions have been widely used in lactic acid bacteria to improve technological performance (fermented foods, probiotics), but not in the field of plant disease protection. Our results show that a combined adaptation treatment based on simultaneous hyperosmotic and acid stress, as well as cultivation until stationary phase, has beneficial effects on the survival of *L. plantarum* strains in response to desiccation and low relative humidity conditions on the plant surfaces. The effect was stronger in strain PM411 than in strain TC92, probably due to a better transcriptional response. This strategy increases

PM411 survival on plant surfaces and the performance of biocontrol of bacterial diseases, thus, helping to develop improved microbial pesticides.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Josep Pereda for help with greenhouse plants and to Pere Vilardell for help with field experiments.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Núria Daranas, Esther Badosa, Jesús Francés, Emilio Montesinos, Anna Bonaterra.

Formal analysis: Núria Daranas, Esther Badosa, Anna Bonaterra.

Funding acquisition: Núria Daranas, Emilio Montesinos, Anna Bonaterra.

Investigation: Núria Daranas, Esther Badosa, Jesús Francés.

Methodology: Núria Daranas, Esther Badosa, Jesús Francés, Emilio Montesinos, Anna Bonaterra.

Supervision: Esther Badosa, Jesús Francés, Emilio Montesinos, Anna Bonaterra.

Visualization: Núria Daranas.

Writing - original draft: Núria Daranas, Anna Bonaterra.

Writing - review & editing: Núria Daranas, Emilio Montesinos, Anna Bonaterra.

References

- Lamichhane JR, Arendse W, Dachbrodt-Saaydeh S, Kudsk P, Roman JC, van Bijsterveldt-Gels JEM, et al. Challenges and opportunities for integrated pest management in Europe: A telling example of minor uses. Crop Prot. 2015; 74: 42–47.
- Matyjaszczyk E. Products containing microorganisms as a tool in integrated pest management and the rules of their market placement in the European Union. Pest Manag Sci. 2015; 71: 1201–1206. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1002/ps.3986 PMID: 25652108</u>
- Timmusk S, Behers L, Muthoni J, Muraya A and Aronsson A-C. Perspectives and challenges of microbial application for crop improvement. Front. Plant Sci. 2017; 8:49. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017. 00049 PMID: 28232839
- 4. Calvo P, Nelson LM, Kloepper J. Agricultural uses of plant biostimulants. Plant Soil 2014; 383: 3–41.
- Jogaiah S, Abdelrahman M, Tran L-SP, Ito S-I. Different mechanisms of *Trichoderma virens*-mediated resistance in tomato against Fusarium wilt involve the jasmonic and the salicylic acid pathways. Mol. Plant Pathol. 2017; https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12571 PMID: 28605157
- 6. Pertot I, Alabouvette C, Hinarejos Esteve E. Franca S. Focus Group soil-borne diseases—The use of microbial biocontrol agents against soil—borne diseases. Agriculture & Innovation. 2016.
- Govind SR, Jogaiah S, Abdelrahman M, Shetty HS, Tran L-SP. Exogenous trehalose treatment enhances the activities of defense-related enzymes and triggers resistance against downy mildew disease of pearl millet. Front. Plant Sci. 2016; 7:1593. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01593 PMID: 27895647
- 8. Jogaiah S, Mahantesh K, Sharathchnadra RG, Shetty HS, Vedamurthy AB, Tran L-SP. Isolation and evaluation of proteolytic actinomycete isolates as novel inducers of pearl millet downy mildew disease protection. Scientific Reports. 2016; 6: 30789. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep30789 PMID: 27499196
- Roselló G, Bonaterra A, Francés J, Montesinos L, Badosa E, Montesinos E. Biological control of fire blight of apple and pear with antagonistic *Lactobacillus plantarum*. Eur J Plant Pathol. 2013; 137: 621– 633.
- Roselló G, Francés J, Daranas N, Montesinos E, Bonaterra A. Control of fire blight of pear trees with mixed inocula of two *Lactobacillus plantarum* strains and lactic acid. J. Plant Pathol. 2017; 99 (Special issue): 111–120.

- Stockwell VO, Johnson KB, Loper JE. Establishment of bacterial antagonists of *Erwinia amylovora* on pear and apple blossoms as influenced by inoculum preparation. Phytopathology. 1998; 88: 506–513. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO.1998.88.6.506 PMID: 18944901
- Hagen MJ, Stockwell VO, Whistler CA, Johnson KB, Loper JE. Stress tolerance and environmental fitness of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* A506, which has a mutation in *rpoS*. Phytopathology. 2009; 99: 679–688. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-99-6-0679 PMID: 19453226
- Pujol M, Badosa E, Montesinos E. Epiphytic fitness of a biological control agent of fire blight in apple and pear orchards under Mediterranean weather conditions. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2007; 59: 186–193. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2006.00227.x PMID: 17233751
- Lindow SE, Brandl MT. Microbiology of the phyllosphere. Appl Environ Microbiol.2003; 69: 1875–1883. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.4.1875-1883.2003 PMID: 12676659
- Vorholt JA. Microbial life in the phyllosphere. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2012; 10: 828–840. https://doi.org/10. 1038/nrmicro2910 PMID: 23154261
- Rubio R, Martin B, Aymerich T, Garriga M. The potential probiotic *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* CTC1679 survives the passage through the gastrointestinal tract and its use as starter culture results in safe nutritionally enhanced fermented sausages. Int J Food Microbiol. 2014; 186: 55–60. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2014.06.013 PMID: 24998181
- van de Guchte M, Serror P, Chervaux C, Smokvina T, Ehrlich SD, Maguin E. Stress responses in lactic acid bacteria. Anton Leeuw Int J G. 2002; 82: 187–216.
- Corcoran BM, Stanton C, Fitzgerald G, Ross RP. Life under stress: The probiotic stress response and how it may be manipulated. Curr Pharm Design. 2008; 14: 1382–1399.
- Serrazanetti DI, Guerzoni ME, Corsetti A, Vogel R. Metabolic impact and potential exploitation of the stress reactions in lactobacilli. Food Microbiol. 2009; 26: 700–711. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2009. 07.007 PMID: 19747603
- Mills S, Stanton C, Fitzgerald GF, Ross RP. Enhancing the stress responses of probiotics for a lifestyle from gut to product and back again. Microb Cell Fact. 2011; 10: S19. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-10-S1-S19 PMID: 21995734
- Papadimitriou K, Alegría Á, Bron PA, de Angelis M, Gobbetti M, Kleerebezem M, et al. Stress physiology of lactic acid bacteria. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2016; 80: 837–890. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00076-15 PMID: 27466284</u>
- 22. Beltramo C, Desroche N, Tourdot-Marechal R, Grandvalet C, Guzzo J. Real-time PCR for characterizing the stress response of *Oenococcus oeni* in a wine-like medium. Res Microbiol. 2006; 157: 267–274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2005.07.006 PMID: 16171980
- Watson D, Sleator RD, Hill C, Gahan CG. Enhancing bile tolerance improves survival and persistence of *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactococcus* in the murine gastrointestinal tract. BMC Microbiol. 2008; 8:176. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-8-176 PMID: 18844989
- van Bokhorst-van de Veen H, Abee T, Tempelaars M, Bron PA, Kleerebezem M, Marco ML. Short- and long-term adaptation to ethanol stress and its crossprotective consequences in *Lactobacillus plantarum*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011; 77: 5247–5256. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00515-11 PMID: 21705551
- Diep DB, Håvarstein LS, Nes IF. Characterization of the locus responsible for the bacteriocin production in Lactobacillus plantarum C11. J Bacteriol. 1996; 178: 4472–4483. PMID: 8755874
- 26. Saenz Y, Rojo-Bezares B, Navarro L, Diez L, Somalo S, Zarazaga M, et al. Genetic diversity of the *pln* locus among oenological *Lactobacillus plantarum* strains. Int J Food Microbiol. 2009; 134: 176–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2009.06.004 PMID: 19604592
- 27. da Silva Sabo S, Vitolo M, González JMD, de Souza Oliveira RP. Overview of *Lactobacillus plantarum* as a promising bacteriocin producer among lactic acid bacteria. Food Res Int. 2014; 64: 527–536.
- Nakamura J, Ito D, Nagai K, Umehara Y, Hamachi M, Kumagai C. Rapid and sensitive detection of hiochi bacteria by amplification of hiochi bacterial common antigen gene by PCR method and characterization of the antigen. J Ferment Bioeng. 1997; 185: 7019–7023.
- 29. Ramiah K, Van Reenen CA, Dicks LMT. Expression of the mucus adhesion genes *Mub, MapA*, adhesion-like factor *EF-Tu* and bacteriocin gene *plaA* of *Lactobacillus plantarum* 423, monitored with real-time PCR. Int J Food Microbiol. 2007; 116: 405–409. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.02.011</u> PMID: 17399831
- Olguín N, Bordons A, Reguant C. Multigenic expression analysis as an approach to understanding the behaviour of *Oenococcus oeni* in wine-like conditions. Int J Food Microbiol. 2010; 144: 88–95. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2010.08.032 PMID: 20926151
- 31. Bové P, Russo P, Capozzi V, Gallone A, Spano G, Fiocco D. *Lactobacillus plantarum* passage through an oro-gastro-intestinal tract simulator: carrier matrix effect and transcriptional analysis of genes

associated to stress and probiosis. Microbiol Res. 2013; 168: 351–359. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. micres.2013.01.004 PMID: 23414698

- Hurtado A, Reguant C, Bordons A, Rozès N. Expression of *Lactobacillus pentosus* B96 bacteriocin genes under saline stress. Food Microbiology. 2011; 28: 1339–1344. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2011. 06.004 PMID: 21839383
- Rizzello CG, Filannino P, Di Cagno R, Calasso M, Gobbetti M. Quorum-sensing regulation of constitutive plantaricin by *Lactobacillus plantarum* strains under a model system for vegetables and fruits. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2014; 80: 777–787. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03224-13 PMID: 24242246
- Bonaterra A, Camps J, Montesinos E. Osmotically induced trehalose and glycine betaine accumulation improves tolerance to desiccation, survival and efficacy of the postharvest biocontrol agent *Pantoea* agglomerans EPS125. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2005; 250: 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsle.2005.06. 028 PMID: 16002241
- Cabrefiga J, Francés J, Montesinos E, Bonaterra A. Improvement of fitness and efficacy of a fire blight biocontrol agent via nutritional enhancement combined with osmoadaptation. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011; 77: 3174–3181. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02760-10 PMID: 21441337
- Pusey PL, Wend C. Potential of osmoadaptation for improving *Pantoea agglomerans* E325 as biocontrol agent for fire blight of apple and pear. Biol Control. 2012; 62: 29–37.
- Liu J, Wisniewski M, Droby S, Tian S, Hershkovitz V, Tworkoski T. Effect of heat shock treatment on stress tolerance and biocontrol efficacy of *Metschnikowia fructicola*. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2011; 80: 145–155.
- Sui Y, Wisniewski M, Droby S, Liu J. Responses of yeast biocontrol agents to environmental stress. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2015; 81: 2968–2975. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.04203-14 PMID: 25710368
- Boor KJ. Bacterial stress responses: what doesn't kill them can make them stronger. Plos Biol. 2006; 4: e23. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0040023 PMID: 16535775
- Kultz D. Molecular and evolutionary basis of the cellular stress response. Annu Rev Physiol. 2005; 67: 225–257. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.67.040403.103635 PMID: 15709958
- Pieterse B, Leer RJ, Schuren FHJ, van der Werf MJ. Unravelling the multiple effects of lactic acid stress on *Lactobacillus plantarum* by transcription profiling. Microbiol. 2005; 151: 3881–3894.
- De Angelis M, Di Cagno R, Huet C, Crecchio C, Fox PF, Gobbetti M. Heat shock response in *Lactobacillus plantarum*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2004; 70: 1336–1346. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.3.1336-1346.2004</u> PMID: 15006751
- 43. Desmond C, Fitzgerald GF, Stanton C, Ross RP. Improved stress tolerance of GroESL-overproducing Lactococcus lactis and probiotic Lactobacillus paracasei NFBC 338. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2004; 70: 5929–5936. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.10.5929-5936.2004 PMID: 15466535
- 44. Song S, Bae DW, Lim K, Griffiths MW, Oh S. Cold stress improves the ability of *Lactobacillus plantarum* L67 to survive freezing. Int J Food Microbiol. 2014; 18: 135–143.
- Trias R, Bañeras L, Badosa E, Montesinos E. Bioprotection of Golden Delicious apple and Iceberg lettuce against foodborne bacterial pathogens by lactic acid bacteria. Int J Food Microbiol. 2008; 123: 50– 60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.11.065 PMID: 18191266
- Hazel WJ, Civerolo EL. Procedures for growth and inoculation of Xanthomonas fragariae, causal organism of angular leaf spot of strawberry. Plant Dis. 1980; 64: 178–181.
- Pusey PL. Crab apple blossoms as a model for research on biological control of fire blight. Phytopathology. 1997; 87: 1096–1102. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO.1997.87.11.1096 PMID: 18945005
- Fiocco D, Capozzi V, Collins M, Gallone A, Hols P, Guzzo J, et al. Characterization of the CtsR stress response regulon in *Lactobacillus plantarum*. J Bacteriol. 2010; 192: 896–900. https://doi.org/10.1128/ JB.01122-09 PMID: 19933364
- 49. Bron PA, Grangette C, Mercenier A, de Vos WM, Kleerebezem M. Identification of Lactobacillus plantarum genes that are induced in the gastrointestinal tract of mice. J Bacteriol. 2004; 186: 5721–9. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.186.17.5721-5729.2004 PMID: 15317777
- Capozzi V, Weidmann S, Fiocco D, Rieu A, Hols P, Guzzo J, et al. Inactivation of a small heat shock protein affects cell morphology and membrane fluidity in *Lactobacillus plantarum* WCFS1. Res Microbiol. 2011; 162: 419–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2011.02.010 PMID: 21349328
- Fiocco D, Collins M, Muscariello L, Hols P, Kleerebezem M, Msadek T, et al. The Lactobacillus plantarum ftsH gene is a novel member of the CtsR stress response regulon. J Bacteriol. 2009; 191: 1688– 94. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01551-08 PMID: 19074391
- Serrano LM, Molenaar D, Wels M, Teusink B, Bron PA, de Vos WM, et al. Thioredoxin reductase is a key factor in the oxidative stress response of *Lactobacillus plantarum* WCFS1. Microb Cell Fact. 2007; 6: 29. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-6-29 PMID: 17725816

- 53. Fiocco D, Crisetti E, Capozzi V, Spano G. Validation of an internal control gene to apply reverse transcription quantitative PCR to study heat, cold and ethanol stresses in *Lactobacillus plantarum*. World J Microb Biot. 2008; 24: 899–902.
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2⁽-delta delta CT) method. Methods. 2001; 25: 402–408. https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001. 1262 PMID: 11846609
- Desroche N, Beltramo C Guzzo J. Determination of an internal control to apply reverse transcription quantitative PCR to study stress response in the lactic acid bacterium *Oenococcus oeni*. J Microbiol Meth. 2005; 60: 325–333.
- 56. Parente E, Ciocia F, Ricciardi A, Zotta T, Felis GE, Torriani S. Diversity of stress tolerance in *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Lactobacillus pentosus* and *Lactobacillus paraplantarum*: a multivariate screening study. Int J Food Microbiol. 2010; 144: 270–279. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2010.10.005 PMID: 21035223
- 57. Silva J, Carvalho AS, Ferreira R, Vitorino R, Amado F, Domingues P, et al. Effect of the pH of growth on the survival of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* to stress conditions during spray-drying. J Appl Microbiol. 2005; 98: 775–782. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2004.02516.x PMID: 15715882
- Carvalho AS, Silva J, Ho P, Teixeira P, Malcata FX, Gibbs P. Relevant factors for the preparation of freeze-dried lactic acid bacteria. Int Dairy J. 2004; 14: 835–847.
- Ferrando V, Quiberoni A, Reinhemer J, Suárez V. Resistance of functional Lactobacillus plantarum strains against food stress conditions. Food Microbiol. 2015; 48: 63–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm. 2014.12.005 PMID: 25790993
- Kets E, Teunissen P, de Bont J. Effect of compatible solutes on survival of lactic acid bacteria subjected to drying. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1996; 62: 259–261. PMID: 16535214
- Desmond C, Stanton C, Fitzgerald GF, Collins K, Ross RP. Environmental adaptation of probiotic lactobacilli towards improvement of performance during spray drying. Int Dairy J. 2001; 11: 801–808.
- Prasad J, McJarrow P, Gopal P. Heat and osmotic stress responses of probiotic *Lactobacillus rhamno-sus* HN001 (DR20) in relation to viability after drying. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2003; 69: 917–925. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.2.917-925.2003 PMID: 12571012
- Broadbent JR, Larsen RL, Deibel V, Steele JL. Physiological and transcriptional response of *Lactobacillus casei* ATCC 334 to acid stress. J Bacteriol. 2010; 192: 2445–2458. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01618-09 PMID: 20207759
- van Bokhorst-van de Veen H, Bongers RS, Wels M, Bron PA, Kleerebezem M. Transcriptome signatures of class I and III stress response deregulation in *Lactobacillus plantarum* reveal pleiotropic adaptation. Microb Cell Fact. 2013; 12: 112. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-12-112 PMID: 24238744
- Stockwell VO, Hockett K, Loper JE. Role of RpoS in stress tolerance and environmental fitness of the phyllosphere bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain 122. Phytopathology. 2009; 99: 689–695. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-99-6-0689 PMID: 19453227
- Beattie GA, Lindow SE. Bacterial colonization of leaves: a spectrum of strategies. Phytopathology. 1999; 89: 353–359. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO.1999.89.5.353 PMID: 18944746
- Agustí L, Bonaterra A, Moragrega C, Camps J, Montesinos E. Biocontrol of root rot of strawberry caused by *Phytophthora cactorum* with a combination of two *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains. J Plant Pathol. 2011; 93: 363–372.