RESEARCH

Open Access

Metabolic engineering of Deinococcus radiodurans for pinene production from glycerol



Seyed Hossein Helalat^{1,2}, Carsten Jers², Mandana Bebahani^{1*}, Hassan Mohabatkar¹ and Ivan Mijakovic^{2,3}

Abstract

Background: The objective of this work was to engineer Deinococcus radiodurans R1 as a microbial cell factory for the production of pinene, a monoterpene molecule prominently used for the production of fragrances, pharmaceutical products, and jet engine biofuels. Our objective was to produce pinene from glycerol, an abundant by-product of various industries.

Results: To enable pinene production in *D. radiodurans*, we expressed the pinene synthase from *Abies grandis*, the geranyl pyrophosphate (GPP) synthase from Escherichia coli, and overexpressed the native 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate synthase. Further, we disrupted the deinoxanthin pathway competing for the substrate GPP by either inactivating the gene dr0862, encoding phytoene synthase, or substituting the native GPP synthase with that of E. coli. These manipulations resulted in a D. radiodurans strain capable of producing 3.2 ± 0.2 mg/L pinene in a minimal medium supplemented with glycerol, with a yield of 0.13 ± 0.04 mg/g glycerol in shake flask cultures. Additionally, our results indicated a higher tolerance of D. radiodurans towards pinene as compared to E. coli.

Conclusions: In this study, we successfully engineered the extremophile bacterium D. radiodurans to produce pinene. This is the first study demonstrating the use of *D. radiodurans* as a cell factory for the production of terpenoid molecules. Besides, its high resistance to pinene makes D. radiodurans a suitable host for further engineering efforts to increase pinene titer as well as a candidate for the production of the other terpenoid molecules.

Keywords: Pinene, Biofuel, Deinococcus radiodurans R1, Metabolic engineering, Monoterpene, Glycerol

Background

In recent years, issues regarding sustainable development of the human society have come to the fore. Concerns regarding fossil fuels are on the rise, related to depletion of their reserves, and risks connected to their distribution and environmental impact [1, 2]. The use of fossil fuels has been related to production of greenhouse gases and augmentation of metal particles, NOX, and SOX in the atmosphere [3]. Recent reports have indicated that about 87% of global CO₂ emissions are caused by human activities. According to current predictions, the human population will exceed 9 billion by 2050, which leads to

*Correspondence: ma.behbahani@ast.ui.ir

increased concerns about sustainable development [4]. These challenges have led to a substantial push to secure energy and chemicals from sustainable sources. In this respect, microbial cell factories are playing a major role. They are capable of converting low value by-products of agriculture or industries into high value chemicals or biofuels [5].

Glycerol is a by-product of various large-scale industrial processes, such as production of soap (saponification of triglycerides) and biodiesel (transesterification). Hence, it has been proposed as a cheap carbon source for fermentation [6]. Compared to glucose, glycerol is a more reduced carbon source, delivering more reducing equivalents per unit price [7]. Hence, this polyol compound is a convenient carbon source for producing valuable molecules using microbial cell factories.

© The Author(s) 2021. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativeco mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

¹ Biological Science and Technology, Isfahan University, Isafahan, Iran

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Ethanol is the most popular biofuel globally [8]. However, requests for fuels with a higher combustion power that can be applied directly in the existing engines have led to development of other compounds as advanced biofuels [9]. Butanol [10], butene and its oligomers [11], fatty acids, hydro-processed esters [12], bisabolene, farnesene [13], and pinene are examples of such advanced biofuels. Pinene stands out among these molecules as a compound that can produce a high energy level close to that of JP-10 fuel required for jet engines [14]. This monoterpene is produced in low amounts by certain plants, but pinene extraction from these plants is inefficient, tedious, and costly. In addition to its use as an advanced biofuel, pinene is widely used in the production of insecticides, fragrances, flavors, and pharmaceutical products [15].

The enzyme pinene synthase catalyzes the formation of either α - and/or β -pinene by cyclization of GPP. Pinene synthase is mostly found in plants, notably pine trees such as *A. grandis, Pinus taeda*, and *Picea abies*. Several pinene synthases have been characterized, with some producing both isomers of pinene (α and β), and others only one isomer. Both α and β isomers can be exploited in dimer form as a powerful biofuel (α -pinene dimer: 146.900 BTU, β -pinene dimer: 146.500 BTU) [14, 16]. Various pinene synthases have been exploited for pinene production in microorganisms. In a comparative study, the pinene synthase from *A. grandis* was shown to allow production of the highest amounts of both isomers in *E. coli* [16].

Recent advances in the field of metabolic engineering of microbial cell factories have opened a new venue for pinene production using cheap carbon sources. Most of the efforts to produce pinene in microbial cell factories have been focused on Escherichia coli. However, the pinene yields and titers in engineered E. coli strains are still below the threshold for industrial production. The highest pinene titer reported so far is 166.5 mg/L in shake flask cultures and 0.97 g/L in fed-batch fermentation [15, 17]. According to previous studies, limitations in pinene production by E. coli might be associated with the toxicity of pinene and GPP (the main precursor of pinene), as well as limited availability of manganese as the main cofactor of pinene synthase [16]. Given the limitations of *E. coli* for pinene production, we decided to investigate Deinococcus radiodurans as a potential alternative in this regard.

Deinococcus radiodurans is known to be extremely tolerant to different sources of stress: ionizing radiation, reactive oxygen species, UV light, heavy metals, dryness, high salinity, and alcohols. Additionally, it is able to utilize various carbon sources (different sugars, glycerol, fats, and proteins) [18, 19], making it a promising candidate for various purposes such as bioremediation, decomposition of toxic compounds, and production of valuable molecules [20, 21]. Considering that manganese is the main cofactor of most pinene synthases, including that of A. grandis, the presence of high levels of manganese in D. radiodurans (0.2-4 mM) is another positive feature [22]. Most importantly, D. radiodurans harbors a robust non-mevalonate GPP production pathway [23] and can produce significant amounts of the pigment deinoxanthin for which GPP is a precursor [24]. These distinguishing features make D. radiodurans a promising platform for producing terpene compounds in different standard or harsh conditions and growing on various carbon sources. On the other hand, some challenges such as the lack of genetic engineering tools such as strong-induciable promoters and insufficient metabolic knowledge for this strain should be considered.

In this study, *D. radiodurans* a hyperextremophile bacterium, was successfully engineered to produce pinene in a minimal medium supplemented with glycerol. Our production strain expressed the pinene synthase from *A. grandis*, the GPP synthase from *E. coli*, and over-expressed the native 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate synthase (DXS) in a mutant of *dr0862* (encoding phytoene synthase). This strain was capable of producing 3.2 ± 0.2 mg/L pinene in a minimal glycerol medium.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

For plasmid propagation, E. coli NM522 was grown in LB culture medium (10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L NaCl, and 5 g/L yeast extract) at 37 °C with 250 rpm shaking. For pinene production, E. coli was grown in a semi-defined medium (10 g/L peptone, 5 g/L yeast extract, 5 g/L glycerol, 2 g/L NaCl, 0.15 g/L MnCl₂, 0.5 mM MgCl₂, and 20 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.0) at 30 °C shaking (180 rpm). D. radiodurans R1 was grown in PGY broth (5 g/L peptone, 2 g/L glucose, 5 g/L yeast extract, and 1 g/L K_2 HPO₄) shaking (180 rpm) at 30 °C and on TGY agar (5 g/L tryptone, 1 g/L glucose, 5 g/L yeast extract, 1 g/L K₂HPO₄, 15 g/L agar) at 30 °C. To evaluate D. radiodurans growth and pinene production with glycerol as a carbon source, first, at 30 °C with shaking (180 rpm), we used a rich medium composed of 10 g/L peptone, 5 g/L yeast extract, 20 µM MnCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, and 0.18 mM CaCl₂. This medium was supplemented with either 5 g/L glycerol, 5 g/L of glucose, or a combination of 2.5 g/L of both of these carbon sources. For evaluating pinene production of engineered strains, the rich medium containing 5 g/L glycerol was used in shake flasks. Then, a minimal medium with various concentrations of glycerol as the sole carbon source (10, 15 or 25 g/L glycerol, 50 mg/L cysteine, 25 mg/L histidine, 25 mg/L methionine, 1% BME vitamin mix, 20 µM MnCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, 0.18 mM CaCl₂, 10 g/L Na₂HPO₄, 2 g/L KH₂PO₄) was made, and the best strains were grown in 30 °C shaking (100 rpm) in shake flasks to produce pinene from glycerol as the main carbon source.. Also, different agitation speeds from 70 to 250 rpm were tested in the rich and minimal glycerol medium. When necessary, appropriate antibiotics (100 µg/ml ampicillin, 50 µg/ml kanamycin, and 10 µg/ml tetracycline for *E. coli*; 3 µg/ml chloramphenicol and 25 µg/ml kanamycin for *D. radiodurans*) were added to the medium.

DNA manipulations and strain construction

The 1785 bp coding sequence for the A. grandis pinene synthase was obtained from [NCBI, Accession number: AAK83564, without signal peptide], codon-optimized for expression in D. radiodurans, and synthesized by GenScript. The codon-optimized gene sequence is shown in Additional file 1: Fig. S1. The synthesized gene (denoted ps_{Dr}), with added XhoI/SacI/NdeI restriction sites upstream of the gene, was subcloned into the plasmid pRADN1 [25] between XhoI and BamHI sites. We decided to place the pinene synthase gene under the control of three well-known and strong promoters P_{katA}, P_{groE} , and P_{tufA} often used for constitutive gene expression in D. radiodurans [26]. The three promoter fragments (including their associated ribosomal binding sites), were PCR-amplified using D. radiodurans R1 genomic DNA as a template. All primer sequences are listed in Additional file 1: Table S1. The promoter fragments P_{katA} and P_{groE} were inserted between XhoI and NdelI and P_{tufA} between XhoI and SacI, to yield expression vectors pRAD-kP, pRAD-gP, pRAD-tP. To make plasmids for expressing a pinene synthase-GFP fusion, we first constructed vectors with the pinene synthase gene devoid of the stop codon, essentially as described above. Next, we PCR amplified gfp using the pCDH 513B vector as a template and inserted it between BamHI and HindIII.

Expression of the E. coli ispA, encoding GPP synthase, was placed under the control of PkatA. First, the promoter fragments were PCR-amplified and inserted in pRAD-kP between BamHI and XbaI sites. Then ispA was PCR-amplified from E. coli NM522 genomic DNA and inserted the resulting fragment between XbaI and HindIII to yield the vector pRAD-P-I. Additionally, the P_{katA} and *ispA* fragments were inserted in pRADN1 to obtain pRAD-I. For over-expression of the native D. radiodurans gene dxs encoding 1-deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate synthase, we amplified the P_{katA} promoter fragment and inserted it between HindIII and SalI in pRAD-P-I. The dxs gene was PCR amplified using D. radiodurans R1 genomic DNA as a template and inserted between SalI and SdaI to yield the vector pRAD-P-I-D. To construct pRAD-P-D, the plasmid pRAD-P-I-D was restricted with BamHI and HindIII to remove ispA, treated with S1

nuclease (ThermoFisher) to remove ssDNA overhangs, and then re-ligated to yield pRAD-P-D.

It has previously been suggested that a translational fusion of pinene synthase and GPP synthase can improve the catalytic activity in pinene production [16]. To test this, we made two versions of the pinene synthase-GPP synthase fusion: one with pinene synthase in the N- terminus (Pinene synthase-linker-GPP synthase) and one with GPP synthase in the N-terminus (GPP synthase). In both cases, the enzymes were separated by a flexible GGGGS linker peptide. To construct the plasmids expressing these fusions, the *ps*_{Dr} and *ispA* genes were PCR amplified, restricted with *Sall/SacI* and *SacI/Bam*HI, respectively, and inserted between *SalI* and *Bam*HI in pRAD-P-I-D to yield pRAD-P:G-D. The plasmid pRAD-G:P-D was made analogously.

The genes *dr0862* (*crtB*) and *dr1395* encoding phytoene synthase and GPP synthase, respectively, where inactivated in D. radiodurans R1. This was done by replacing the genes with a kanamycin resistance gene transcribed from the P_{katA} promoter. To do so, we PCR amplified the up-and downstream regions of the genes as well as the PkatA promoter using D. radiodurans R1 genomic DNA as a template. The kanamycin resistance gene was PCR amplified using the pET-26b plasmid as the template. Next, the fragments were inserted sequentially in pET-26b (for the purpose of assembling the fragments), and finally, the full construct was used as the template for PCR with primer sets dr0862_UP fwd/ dr0862_DWN rev and dr1395_UP fwd/dr1395_Dwn rev, respectively. The PCR products were purified and used to transform D. radiodurans R1 (transformation described below). When inactivating dr1395, the PCR fragment was mixed with plasmids containing the ispA gene (pRAD-I and pRAD-P-I-D). In the absence of *ispA* no transformants were obtained. As both mutations were envisioned to disrupt pigment production in D. radiodurans, transformants without pigment production phenotype were selected on the kanamycin-containing TGY agar, and the modified chromosomal region was PCR-amplified and sequenced to confirm the gene replacement.

The pinene production strains were generated by transformation of *D. radiodurans* strains R1, $\Delta dr0862$, and $\Delta dr1395$ with relevant expression plasmids. All plasmids and strains are listed in Table 1.

To benchmark the constructed *D. radiodurans* strains against an *E. coli* pinene production strain, *E. coli* EcpsB strain was constructed. To do this, we subcloned the ps_{Dr} gene and inserted it in the pET26-b expression vector between *NdeI* and *Bam*HI to generate pET26b- ps_{Dr} . Next, *E. coli* BL21(DE3) was co-transformed with pET26b- ps_{Dr} and the pMBIS plasmid encoding the mevalonate pathway from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* [27].

Table 1 Plasmids and strains

Name	Description	Source	
 Plasmids			
pRADN1	E. coli-D. radiodurans shuttle vector, chloramphenicol resistance	Hirofumi Ohba et al., 2005	
pET-26b	E. coli expression vector, kanamycin resistance	Novagen	
pMBIS	E. coli mevalonate pathway vector	Martin et al., 2003	
pET26b <i>-ps_{Dr}</i>	pET-26b <i>-ps_{Dr}</i>	This study	
pRAD-kP	pRADN1-P _{katA} -ps _{Dr}	This study	
pRAD-gP	pRADN1-P _{aroE} -ps _{Dr}	This study	
pRAD-tP	pRADN1-P _{tufA} -ps _{Dr}	This study	
pRAD-P-I	pRADN1-P _{katA} -ps _{DC} -P _{katA} -ispA	This study	
pRAD-P-I-D	pRADN1-P _{katA} -ps _{Dr} -P _{katA} -ispA-P _{katA} -dxs	This study	
pRAD-P-D	pRADN1-P _{katA} -ps _{Dr} -P _{katA} -dxs	This study	
pRAD-I	pRADN1-P _{katA} -ispA	This study	
pRAD-P:G-D	pRADN1-P _{katA} - ps _{Dr} .ispA-P _{katA} -dxs	This study	
pRAD-G:P-D	pRADN1-P _{katA} -ispA:ps _{Dr} -P _{katA} -dxs	This study	
pET-26b- <i>dr0862</i> ::kan ^R	pET-26b- <i>dr0862</i> ::kan ^R	This study	
pET-26b- <i>dr1395</i> ::kan ^R	pET-26b <i>-dr1395</i> ::kan ^R	This study	
Strains			
E. coli BL21(DE3)	F ompT hsdSB (rB mB) gal dcm rne131 λ(DE3)	Invitrogen	
E. coli NM522	supE, thi, Δ(lac-proAB), hsd5 (r , m)	Promega	
D. radiodurans R1	wild type	ATCC 13,939	
WT kP	D. radiodurans pRAD-kP	This study	
WT gP	D. radiodurans pRAD-gP	This study	
WT tP	D. radiodurans pRAD-tP	This study	
WT P-I	D. radiodurans pRAD-P-I	This study	
WT P-I-D	D. radiodurans pRAD-P-I-D	This study	
∆dr1395 I	D. radiodurans ∆dr1395 pRAD-I	This studys	
∆dr1395 P-I	D. radiodurans Adr1395 pRAD-P-I	This study	
∆dr1395 P-I-D	D. radiodurans Adr1395 pRAD-P-I-D	This study	
∆dr0862	D. radiodurans \(\Delta dr0862\)	This study	
∆ <i>dr0862</i> kP	D. radiodurans Adr0862 pRAD-P	This study	
∆ <i>dr0862</i> P-I	D. radiodurans Adr0862 pRAD-P-I	This study	
∆dr0862 P-D	D. radiodurans Adr0862 pRAD-P-D	This study	
∆ <i>dr0862</i> P-I-D	D. radiodurans \(\Delta dr0862 \) pRAD-P-I-D	This study	
∆ <i>dr0862</i> P:G-D	D. radiodurans ∆dr0862 pRAD-P:G-D	This study	
∆ <i>dr0862</i> G:P-D	D. radiodurans Δdr0862 pRAD-G:P-D	This study	
<i>E. coli</i> EcpsB	<i>E. coli</i> BL21 pMBIS pET26b- <i>ps</i> _{Dr}	This study	

1 mM IPTG added for gene expression induction and pinene production in *E. coli* EcpsB. Whereas the ps_{Dr} gene was codon optimized for expression in *D. radio-durans*, production of the enzyme in *E. coli* was confirmed by SDS-PAGE (Additional file 1: Fig. S5).

Deinococcus radiodurans transformation

The transformation was performed using a modified calcium chloride method [28]. Briefly, *D. radiodurans* was grown in PGY at 30 °C and agitation speed of 180 rpm for 24 h. 100 μ l of the bacterial culture was added to a new 5 mL of PGY and grown at 30 °C and 180 rpm shaking to reach OD_{600} of 0.4. 1.5 mL of the cell culture was centrifugated, and the supernatant was discarded. The cell pellet was resuspended in 100 µL of the liquid PGY plus 40 µL of 0.3 M calcium chloride and aliquoted in 30 µL microtubes. 10 µl of the target plasmid (1–2 µg) or purified amplicon (0.2–0.6 µg) was added to the microtubes and mixed by gentle pipetting. This mixture was placed on ice for 15 min and then placed in a 30 °C incubator for 2 h. Subsequently, 1 mL PGY was added to the bacteria, and the mix was further incubated at 30 °C for 24 h. After this incubation, the mix was plated on TGY agar plates containing chloramphenicol/kanamycin (or both) and was incubated at 30 $^\circ C$ for 72 h.

Confirmation of gene expression from groE, katA and tufA promoters

The Real-time PCR method was performed to confirm that the promoters were functional, leading to transcription of the pinene synthase gene. RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and SYBR green qPCR were performed with the corresponding kits according to the manufacturer's instructions (Pars Toos Co.). The primer sets Ps-RT fwd/ rev and Gap-RT fwd/rev were used for the amplification of pinene synthase gene and the housekeeping gene (glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*dr1343*)), respectively.

Moreover, to confirm the presence of the pinene synthase in the cell, we grew *D. radiodurans* expressing pinene synthase fused to GFP, for 24 h in PGY medium at 30 °C shaking at 180 rpm. The expression of pinene synthase-GFP fusion was visualized using fluorescence microscopy (Leica DM, 4000 B).

Pinene toxicity assay

To investigate the toxicity of α - and β -pinene, engineered pinene producing strains *D. radiodurans* ($\Delta dr0862$ P-I-D strain) and *E. coli* EcpsB were cultivated in the presence of exogenous pinene in a microtiter plate. These strains were cultivated in the rich medium broth at 30 °C and 180 rpm agitation speed for 24 h and inoculated the rich medium to a starting OD₆₃₀ of 0.02. Different concentrations of α - and β -pinene (1, 1.75, 2.5, and 5 g/L) were added to the medium and incubated at 30 °C with shaking speed set to "medium" in a microplate reader (BioTek, ELx808). The growth of different strains was determined by measuring OD₆₃₀ every 30 min for 48 h.

Testing the ability of D. radiodurans to metabolize pinene

To determine the ability of *D. radiodurans* to metabolize α - and β -pinene, the rich medium with 10% v/v dodecane was supplemented with 20 mg/L α - and β -pinene. *D. radiodurans* was inoculated to OD₆₀₀ 0.02 and cultured for 5 days at 30 °C and 180 rpm shaking. Thereafter, 0.5 mL of the dodecane layer was transferred to a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube and centrifuged at 14,000 g for 5 min to precipitate debris. The upper layer was placed in a new microtube, and this sample was analyzed by GC-FID as described below. The obtained results were compared with those of bacterium-free and the dodecane layer with added pinene isomers before fermentation.

Pinene sample preparation

We assessed the pinene extraction in various dodecane concentrations (10 and 20%) in the rich and minimal glycerol medium. Regarding the fermentation for the pinene production, 1 mL of a 24-h old bacterial culture was added to 50 mL of fresh growth medium and incubated at 30 °C and 180 rpm shaking for the rich and 100 rpm for minimal glycerol medium. When the OD₆₀₀ reached 3 for *D. radiodurans* and 1 for *E. coli*, 10% ν/ν dodecane for the rich medium (180 rpm shaking) and 20% for minimal glycerol medium (100 rpm shaking) was added to extract pinene. The dodecane layer was sampled after 24, 48, and 72 h, and the pinene recovered therein was measured through GC [29].

GC-FID and GC-MS analysis

Pinene production by D. radiodurans and E. coli was measured by gas chromatography (GC). To this end,, 0.5 mL of the dodecane layer from a shake flask culture was transferred to a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube and was then centrifuged at 14,000 g for 5 min to precipitate debris. The upper layer was placed in a new microtube, and 1 μ l of that was injected into GC-FID or GC-MS. Moreover, to analyze the intracellular presence of produced pinene, the biomass of pinene production fermentation was separated by centrifugation in 10.000 g for 10 min, and 5 ml dodecane was added to the biomass. After vortexing vigorously, the cells were lysed by sonication (20 s sonication/5 s rest for 15 min on ice). Afterwards, 0.5 mL of the dodecane was transferred to a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube and was centrifuged at 14,000 g for 5 min to precipitate debris. The upper layer dodecane was transferred to a new microtube and was analyzed by GC-FID.

For optimization and finding the retention time, α - and β -pinene molecules (Sigma Co.) were used as the standard samples. In addition, to quantify the production rate, various pinene concentrations were injected into the GC-FID device to plot the standard curve.

The GC-FID (Thermo Scientific Trace 1300) was used with a BPX-5 column (30 m 0.22 mm \times 0.25 μ m). The inlet temperature was set to 300 °C, purge flow 5 ml/min, split flow at 180 ml/min, the oven at 50 °C for 1 min, ramp at 50 °C/min to 250 °C.

The produced pinene molecule, by engineered strains, was confirmed by GC–MS. For the GC–MS, samples were analyzed by a gas chromatography system (Thermo Scientific Trace 1300) coupled to a single quadrupole mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific ISQ 7000). Split injection was used, with a ratio of 20:1 with an inlet temperature of 300 °C. The carrier gas was helium, and the inlet flow was set at 2 mL·min⁻¹ throughout the run.

The gas chromatography system was equipped with a Thermo Scientific TraceGOLD TG-5MS column (length: 30 m; diameter: 0.25 mm; film thickness: 0.25 μ m), and the following temperature gradient was utilized: Initial temperature of 40 °C held for 10 min, raised to 250 °C at 50 °C min⁻¹, and then held at 250 °C for 8 min. Electron impact ionization was used with the mass detector set to 30–600 m/z. The transfer line was held at a constant 280 °C, and the ion source temperature was 300 °C.

Results and discussion

Potential of D. radiodurans as a pinene production host

In the present study, we investigated the potential of using *D. radiodurans* as a novel host for the production of the monoterpene molecule pinene using glycerol as a substrate. We hypothesized that *D. radiodurans* could be particularly well suited due to the fact that it produces a pigment, deinoxanthin, that like pinene is synthesized from GPP. Further, *D. radiodurans* shows resistance to many types of stress, and we considered the possibility that it would have a higher inherent resistance to pinene and GPP than, for example *E. coli*. Additionally, *D. radiodurans* has relatively high intracellular concentration of manganese (0.2–4 mM), which is the main cofactor for the pinene synthase enzyme [22].

As a first step, we attempted to construct a basic pinene production strain. The precursor for pinene is GPP. This molecule is produced via the native non-mevalonate pathway, where it acts as a precursor for the pigment molecule deinoxanthin. Conversion of GPP to pinene is catalyzed by pinene synthase. Several pinene synthases have previously been described, and we selected the pinene synthase from A. grandis that was shown to be functional in E. coli [16]. The coding sequence was codon-optimized for D. radiodurans and placed under the control of the three promoters P_{katA} , P_{groE} , and P_{tufA} that have been employed previously for gene expression in *D. radio*durans [26, 30]. We then cultured these strains (WT kP, WT gP, and WT tP) for 72 h in the rich medium containing glycerol, but pinene was not detected in the fermentation broth. To assure that the genes were expressed, we performed real-time PCR. This demonstrated that the genes were in fact transcribed, but also that the mRNA levels were similar for all three promoters (Fig. 1a). The use of the promoter P_{katA} has been reported previously [26, 28]. Considering that the difference between that and the other promoters tested was minimal, we decided to use P_{katA} for all subsequent genetic constructs. To assure that the pinene synthase protein was also present in the cell, we expressed a pinene synthase variant tagged with GFP in the C-terminus. Our fluorescence microscopy analysis confirmed that the protein was indeed produced in the cells (Fig. 1b).

Metabolic engineering strategy for pinene production in *D. radiodurans*

Our initial efforts demonstrated that the successful expression of pinene synthase is not sufficient to produce detectable levels of pinene. Since we had no reason to doubt that the expressed pinene synthase is functional, we considered different possible explanations based on the known metabolic pathways in *D. radiodurans* (Fig. 2).



synthase gene fused to *gfp*. Fluorescence in the cells was observed by fluorescence microscopy. Scale bars: 4 µm



It is evident that the native GPP synthase plays an important role in the process by catalyzing the synthesis of GPP. While *D. radiodurans* is known to produce sufficient amounts of GPP for deinoxanthin production, there is a possibility that the GPP pool is drained by the subsequent reactions in the deinoxanthin pathway: condensation of GPP units to produce farnesyl pyrophosphate (FPP) and geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate (GGPP). These reactions are consuming GPP and are therefore competing with pinene synthesis for the substrate GPP.

Liu and coworkers previously indicated thatthe GPP synthase is essential in *D. radiodurans*, but could be inactivated when complemented with *E. coli* GPP synthase IspA that does not catalyze GGPP synthesis [31]. Their results showed GPP and FPP, as well as GGPP, are the main products of the native GPP synthase enzyme in *D. radioduran*, and the native GPP synthase does not only produce GGPP. Therefore, such a strain without producing GGPP should be beneficial for pinene production, as it preserves the GPP pool and high amount of carbon flux by abolishing deinoxanthin synthesis.

An alternative way to prevent deinoxanthin production without deleting of the native GPP synthase in D. radiodurans would be via the inactivation of phytoene synthase that catalyzes the conversion of GGPP to phytoene. In case the synthesis of GPP from DMAPP and IPP would be a bottleneck, expression of the GPP synthase IspA should also lead to an increase in the GPP pool. Finally, Previous studies have shown that using heterologous mevalonate pathway could enhance the GPP pool and terepene production in *E. coli* [15], but studies on non-mevalonate pathway in D. radiodurans showed this pathway is highly active, and we decided to boost this native pathway. One could consider improving the flux through the entire pathway by overexpressing DXS to direct more GA3P and pyruvate through the pathway via DXP synthesis. Based on this analysis, we decided to inactivate the genes encoding GPP synthase and phytoene synthase and to make plasmids for the expression of pinene synthase and GPP synthase IspA and/or DXS.

Evaluation of engineered *D. radiodurans* strains for pinene production

Based on our analysis of the metabolism of *D. radiodurans*, we constructed a number of strains that, besides the expression of pinene synthase, expressed *E. coli* GPP synthase and/or overexpressed DXS. This was done in wild type as well as in strains where either the native GPP synthase ($\Delta dr1395$) or the phytoene synthase ($\Delta dr0862$) was inactivated. These strains were subsequently tested for pinene production in the rich medium by GC-FID, and pinene isomers confirmed in the final samples by GC–MS (Table 2 and Fig. 3).

As mentioned above, expression of *A. grandis* pinene synthase in the wild type strain (WT kP) did not lead to

Table 2Pinene	production in D	. <i>radiodurans</i> af	ter 72 h of shak	e flask fermentation
---------------	-----------------	-------------------------	------------------	----------------------

Host	Plasmid-expressed proteins	Titer [mg/L]	Productivity [ug pinene/h]	Yield [mg pinene/g glycerol]	Medium
WT	PS-IspA	0.5 ± 0.1	7	-	Rich Medium
	PS-IspA-DXS	0.7 ± 0.1	10	-	Rich Medium
∆dr1395	PS-IspA	1.4 ± 0.2	19	-	Rich Medium
	PS-IspA-DXS	1.8 ± 0.2	25	_	Rich Medium
∆dr0862	PS-IspA	2.1 ± 0.1	29	_	Rich Medium
	PS-DXS	0.6 ± 0.1	8	_	Rich Medium
	PS:IspA-DXS	1.7 ± 0.1	24	-	Rich Medium
	IspA:PS-DXS	2.4 ± 0.2	33	_	Rich Medium
	PS-IspA-DXS	2.6 ± 0.2	36	_	Rich Medium
	PS-IspA-DXS	3.2 ± 0.2	45	0.13	Minimal Glycerol Medium
<i>E. coli</i> EcpsB	MEV-PS	0.9 ± 0.2	13	-	Rich Medium
<i>E. coli</i> EcpsB	MEV-PS	0.65 ± 0.1	9	0.026	Minimal Glycerol Medium



with glycerol, in shake flasks

the production of detectable levels of pinene. When *E. coli* GPP synthase was introduced (WT P-I), we detected production of 0.5 mg/L of pinene. This would seem to indicate that the GPP pool was not sufficient in the strain (WT kP), and that conversion of DMAPP and IPP to GPP indeed presented a bottleneck for pinene production.

We then overexpressed DXS in this strain, resulting in strain WT P-I-D, which was expected to increase the production of 1-deoxy-D-xylulose-5- phosphate (DXP) from pyruvate (Pyr) and 3-glyceraldehyde phosphate (GA3P), and thereby increase the flux through the nonmevalonate pathway. It has previously been shown that among the enzymes of this pathway, only overexpression of this enzyme can increase the production of GPP and carotenoids in *D. radiodurans* significantly [32], but in our experiments, it did not lead to a significantly higher pinene titer.

Previous studies have shown that the non-mevalonate pathway in *D. radiodurans* can support the production of 203.5 mg/g dried cell weight of carotenoid molecules in fed-batch fermentation [32]. These results indicate a high flow rate of GPP into the carotenoid pathway that leads to deinoxanthin production. Consequently, it should be beneficial with respect to pinene synthesis to disrupt this pathway. To test this, we constructed two strains where genes encoding the native GPP synthase (dr1395) and the phytoene synthase (dr0862), respectively, were inactivated. As mentioned above, the dr1395 mutant could only be constructed when complemented with the E. coli GPP synthase. By expressing the pinene synthase, this strain ($\Delta dr1395$ P-I) enabled the production of 1.8 mg/L of pinene, which was a 3.6 fold improvement compared to the titer obtained in the wild type background (WT P-I). When further overexpressing the DXS enzyme, in strain $\Delta dr 1395$ P-I-D we recorded a slightly higher titer, but the difference was not statistically significant. As mentioned above, the substitution of D. radiodurans GPP synthase with that of *E. coli* prevents the formation of GGPP, which feeds into the deinoxanthin biosynthesis pathway. It thus prevents draining the GPP pool via deinoxanthin synthesis, but also prevents the possible buildup of GGPP. On the other hand, it might reduce the amount of GPP.

To perform a more direct inactivation of the deinoxanthin pathway, we inactivated the gene *dr0862*, encoding phytoene synthase. When evaluating pinene production of this strain expressing pinene synthase and *E. coli* GPP synthase ($\Delta dr0862$ P-I), we saw a further increase in titer to 2.1 mg/L, which was a 1.5-fold increase compared to that observed in the *dr1395* mutant ($\Delta dr1395$ P-I). This would suggest that the primary beneficial effect of the mutations is the prevention of deinoxanthin formation and not preventing the conversion of GPP to FPP and GGPP catalyzed by the *D. radiodurans* GPP synthase per se. In this strain, the additional expression of DXS ($\Delta dr0862$ P-I-D) to increase flux through the non-mevalonate pathway led to a small but significant increase in pinene titer (2.6 mg/L).

Previous reports have shown that expression of fusion forms of pinene synthase and GPP synthase resulted in increased pinene production in *E. coli*, with the fusion form containing pinene synthase in the C-terminus increasing the titer by sixfold [16]. We constructed versions of fusion proteins containing *A. grandis* pinene synthase (in either N- or C-terminus) and *E. coli* GPP synthase. Then we tested the performance of the fusion proteins in the *dr0862* mutant overexpressing DXS ($\Delta dr0862$ P:I-D and $\Delta dr0862$ I:P-D). Both fusion proteins were active (at least with respect to pinene synthase activity). As in *E. coli*, the variant containing C-terminal pinene synthase ($\Delta dr0862$ I:P-D) performed best, but it did not lead to a further improvement in pinene titer.

For initial evaluation of the engineered strains, we used a rich medium supplemented with glycerol. To rule out the possibility that glycerol could be an inferior substrate, we compared the growth and pinene production in various media where glycerol was substituted with either glucose or a mix of glycerol and glucose (Fig. 4). This demonstrated that glycerol in the medium supported a higher pinene titer than did glucose.

Pinene resistance and degradation in *D. radiodurans*

Previous investigations have revealed that pinene is toxic for fungi and bacteria. Pinene minimal microbicidal concentrations for various species such as *Cryptococcus neoformans* (0.12 g/L), *Candida albicans* (3.12 g/L), methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (4.15 g/L), and *Corynebacterium glutamicum* (<2.5 g/L) are reported, which show high toxicity of this monoterpene on microorganisms [29, 33, 34]. On the other hand, pinene toxicity has been reported as one of the main problems for producing pinene by microbial cell factories [16]. Also, enhancing the pinene resistance by overexpression of efflux pumps in *E. coli* and mutagenesis in the strain caused improvement in pinene production by *E. coli* [17].

Considering the possibility that pinene production would be affected due to its toxic effect, we found it relevant to investigate the pinene tolerance in the *D. radiodurans* $\Delta dr0862$ P-I-D strain. This was done by adding exogenous pinene isomers to the medium in concentrations between 1 and 5 g/L of pinene isomers. We also assessed *E. coli* EcpsB strain, grown in the same medium, in order to compare the strains in terms of pinene resistance. Growth was followed for 48 h, and the most obvious effect of pinene was an extended lag-phase for the



cells. *D. radiodurans* showed high resistance to α -pinene, and growth was only mildly affected at the highest tested concentration (5 g/L). In contrast, the *E. coli* EcpsB strain was strongly affected even at the lowest concentration used (1 g/L) with a 14 h longer lag phase. For both strains, β -pinene was more toxic. In case of *D. radiodurans*, at 1 g/L a slight increase in the lag phase, which was exacerbated to a 12 h increase in the lag phase at 5 g/L. For *E. coli*, β -pinene increased the lag phase (slightly more than α -pinene) at the lower concentrations, and completely inhibited growth at a concentration of 2.5 g/L (Fig. 5).

The results however revealed that *D. radiodurans* possesses a relatively high tolerance to α - and β -pinene. It is evident from the tolerance data that the amount of the pinene produced by *D. radiodurans* $\Delta dr0862$ P-I-D strain is well below the inhibitory concentration and thus would be unlikely to negatively affect the pinene production. Also, our *E. coli* pinene production strain, exhibited a higher sensitivity to pinene than *D. radiodurans*. In a previous study, Sarria et al. reported that *E. coli* shows resistance to α -pinene concentrations below 4.3 g/L, and that β -pinene (up to 10 g/L) showed no effect on its growth. Conversely, our results indicated that both α - and β -pinene inhibit the growth of *E. coli* EcpsB. The reason for this discrepancy is presently not known but could perhaps reside in differences in growth conditions.

Monoterpene molecules have different effects on cells, which can cause toxicity impacts. Among them, inhibition of enzymes activity, preventing biofilm formation, reducing mitochondrial activity in mammalian cells [33], and membrane fluidization changes [35] are well studied. Based on the knownmechanisms of monoterpene toxicity on different cells, various protein protection and antistress mechanisms in *D. radiodurans* plus the particular multilayered structure of its membrane and cell wall could be the reason for the observed higher tolerance [22].

To rule out the possibility that pinene was metabolized in the cell, we conducted long-term fermentation experiments (120 h) where α - and β -pinene were added to the *D. radiodurans* growth medium. As the amount of pinene measured throughout the entire experiment was almost identical to that of the control sample, not inoculated with *D. radiodurans*, we concluded that pinene is not metabolized (Additional file 1: Fig. S2).

Moreover, to study the possibility of pinene accumulating in the cells, we resuspended the biomass after fermentation in dodecane and disrupted the cells by sonication. GC-FID analysis of the samples did not allow detection of pinene, suggesting that most or all of the produced pinene was transferred to the extracellular medium.

Pinene production in minimal glycerol medium

Next, we wanted to assess whether pinene could also be produced in a minimal medium with glycerol as the primary carbon source. To this end, we made a minimal medium consisting 25 g/L of glycerol devoid of the complex carbon sources peptone and yeast extract. In this medium, the best pinene producing strain *D. radiodurans* $\Delta dr0862$ P-I-D produced 3.2 mg/L of pinene with a yield of 0.13±0.04 mg/g glycerol and productivity of 45 µg pinene/h in 72 h in shake flasks (Table 2). Moreover, we tested different concentrations of glycerol (10, 15, and 25 g/L) in the minimal medium to evaluate pinene





production dependency on glycerol concentration in the medium (Fig. 6).

In this case, we observed that lower agitation speed and a higher percentage of dodecane (20% instead of 10%), was necessary for optimal pinene production and extraction in the fermentation (Additional file 1: Fig. S3). Using glycerol as the main source of carbon for fermentation has been an attractive venue for years, and the production of different molecules such as 1-propanol [7], γ -terpinene [36], limonene [37], and various enzymes [38] from glycerol using cell factories have been reported. With respect to *D. radiodurans*, this is, to the best of our knowledge, the first report describing the use of a glycerol-based minimal medium (without protein source and yeast extract), and our results show a potential for growth and production of terpene molecules from glycerol using this bacterium.

Pinene production in D. radiodurans and E. coli

In this study, we are reporting the maximal production of 3.2 mg/l of pinene in a minimal glycerol medium in shake flask cultures by *D. radiodurans*. In previous studies on pinene production, Kang et al. [29] could construct a *C. glutamicum* strain by the expression of GPP synthase, pinene synthase from *A. grandis* plus overexpression of *dxs* and isopentenyl diphosphate isomerase. This engineered *C. glutamicum* strain could produce 0.177 mg/L pinene. In a recent report for pinene production, Xiaomin Wu et al. [39] engineered a *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* strain to produce pinene. Expression of a fusion protein of GPP synthase and pinene synthase in R. sphaeroides led to 0.098 mg/L pinene, and more modifications yielded a production of 0.5 mg/L in this strain. These pinene production titers indicate that D. radiodurans could be an appropriate host for pinene production. The titer obtained in this study, however, was lower than the highest titers obtained in a highly engineered *E*. *coli* strain (166.5 mg/L). This pinene production titer by E. coli strain was achieved by many manipulationsand mutagenesis (Table 3). In order to address the question of whether D. radiodurans is inherently a better host for pinene production than E.coli, we constructed a more basic E. coli production strain, comparable to the genetic makeup of our best D. radiodurans production strain ($\Delta dr0862$ P-I-D), that express only the pinene synthase and the mevalonate pathway of S. cerevisiae. This E. coli strain was evaluated for pinene production under the same conditions as for the D. radiodurans strains. The E. coli strain produced 0.9 mg/L and 0.65 mg/L pinene, in the rich and the minimal glycerol medium, respectively. These amounts were 2.9 and 4.9 fold lower than the highest titer observed in D. radiodurans. While this is of course an artificial comparison, it does support the notion that D. radiodurans could represent a useful host organism for the production of monoterpenes, such as pinene (Table 2).

D. radiodurans produces exclusively the β -pinene isomer

When the *A. grandis* pinene synthase was expressed in *E. coli*, it led to the production of mix of pinene isomers (42% α - and 58% β -pinene) [16]. In contrast, when we expressed this pinene synthase in *D. radiodurans*, we observed the formation of the β -pinene exclusively (Additional file 1: Fig. S4). It has previously been reported that a fusion of pinene synthase with *E. coli* GPP synthase allows production of the two isomers in a 50:50 ratio in *E. coli* [16]. Also, for this fusion protein ($\Delta dr0862$ I:P-D), we observed exclusively β -pinene production in *D. radiodurans*. This could indicate that the intracellular conditions of *D. radiodurans* might alter the behavior of pinene synthase, resulting in the exclusive production of β -pinene.

Perspectives

In this study, we demonstrated that D. radiodurans can be engineered to produce pinene. The high resistance of this bacterium to toxic molecules, various sources of stress, and its ability to grow on various carbon sources could make D. radiodurans an appropriate candidate for the production of pinene. Such production setup would result in the production of a valuable second-generation biofuel by utilization of lignocellulosic compounds and nutritional municipal/industrial wastes. However, for this to be economically feasible, it will be necessary to further develop the D. radiodurans pinene production strain. Possible strategies to do this could include evaluating alternative GPP synthases or its mutants to prevent the FPP side reaction, using mutagenesis techniques, pinene transportation modifications, and fine-tuning protein levels and redox balance in the cell. Further, harboring an optimized mevalonate pathway, enhancement of upstream pathways, and the potential of optimizing growth conditions during production should be evaluated. It has been shown that the use of high cell-density cultures for carotenoid production in D. radiodurans could increase the production of terpene molecules significantly [21, 32], and this approach can be used for pinene production as well.

Table 3 Microbial engineered strains for pinene production

Host	Engineering design	Production mg/L	References
E.coli	Heterologous mevalonate pathway expression, <i>IspA</i> overexpression, pinene synthase from <i>P. taeda</i>	5.44 (Shake Flask) 970 (Fed-batch)	[15]
E. coli	GPP synthase and pinene synthase fusion protein from <i>A.grandis</i> expression, heterologous meva- lonate pathway expression	32	[16]
E. coli	Heterologous mevalonate pathway expression, <i>idi</i> and GPP synthase overexpression, mutagenesis of Pinene synthase from <i>P. taeda</i>	140	[40]
E. coli	Pinene synthase enzyme modifications, modular co-culture system engineering to modularize the heterologous mevalonate pathway expression, increasing the pinene tolerance by overexpression of the efflux pumps and mutagenesis by adaptive laboratory evolution after atmospheric and room temperature plasma (ARTP)	166.5	[17]
C. glutamicum	Expression of GPP synthase from <i>P. taeda</i> and pinene synthase from <i>A. grandis</i> plus overexpression of <i>dxs</i> and <i>idi</i>	0.177	[29]
R. sphaeroides	Expression of fusion protein of GPP synthase and pinene synthase from <i>A.grandis</i> (0.098 mg/L pinene), and ribosomal binding site optimization, overexpressing of <i>dxs</i> , 1-deoxy-d-xylulose 5-phos-phate reductoisomerase, and <i>idi</i> (0.5 mg/L pinene)	0.5	[39]

Conclusions

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first report on monoterpene production in *D. radiodurans* using a minimal glycerol medium. By inactivating phytoene synthase and expressing the *A. grandis* pinene synthase, the *E. coli* GPP synthase, and overexpressing DXS, 3.2 mg/L pinene with a yield of 0.13 mg pinene/g glycerol and productivity of 45 μ g pinene/h was achieved in a minimal medium in shake flask culture. In a comparable setup, the *E. coli* EcpsB strain produced 0.65 mg/L pinene with a yield of 0.026 mg pinene/g glycerol. Our results demonstrate a potential of using *D. radiodurans* as a cell factory for pinene production.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12934-021-01674-4.

Additional file 1: Table S1. List of oligos used for vector construction and real-time PCR. Figure S1. The sequence of the pinene synthaseencoding gene codon-optimized for *D. radiodurans*. Figure S2. Pinene degradation or consumption by *D. radiodurans* in 120 h. *D. radiodurans* was grown in a medium supplemented with 20 mg/L of pinene isomers and residual pinene was quantified by GC-FID after 120 h. Un-inoculated pinene supplemented medium was used as the control. Figure S3. Effect of using different concentrations of dodecane (10% and 20%) **a** in the rich and **b** the minimal glycerol medium and various agitation speed (70, 100, 180, and 250 rpm) on the pinene production by *D. radiodurans* Δ*dr0862* P-I-D. Figure S4. GC-FID chromatograms. **a** Alpha-pinene standard, **b** beta-pinene standard, **c** beta-pinene production by *D. radiodurans*, and **d** alpha- and beta-pinene production by *E. coli*. Figure S5. Pinene synthase expression in *E. coli* EcpsB by different concentrations of IPTG. SDS-PAGE 4 h after induction.

Acknowledgements

We thank Aaron John Christian Andersen, metabolomics core manager at the department of biotechnology and biomedicine (Technical University of Denmark), for his assistance in GC-MS analysis.

Authors' contributions

SHH designed and performed all experiments. SHH, CJ, IM, HM, MB analyzed the data. SHH, CJ wrote the manuscript. IM edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by a Grant from the Novo Nordisk Foundation (NNF10CC1016517) to IM.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its additional files.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential competing interests.

Author details

¹ Biological Science and Technology, Isfahan University, Isafahan, Iran. ²The Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Biosustainability, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark. ³Systems and Synthetic Biology Division, Department of Biology and Biological Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden.

Received: 10 June 2021 Accepted: 8 September 2021 Published online: 26 September 2021

References

- Ho DP, Ngo HH, Guo W. A mini review on renewable sources for biofuel. Biores Technol. 2014;169:742–9.
- Al-Maamary HM, Kazem HA, Chaichan MT. The impact of oil price fluctuations on common renewable energies in GCC countries. Renew Sustain Energy Rev. 2017;75:989–1007.
- Ganesan R, Manigandan S, Samuel MS, Shanmuganathan R, Brindhadevi K, Chi NTL, et al. A review on prospective production of biofuel from microalgae. Biotechnol Rep. 2020;27:e00509.
- Raheem A, Prinsen P, Vuppaladadiyam AK, Zhao M, Luque R. A review on sustainable microalgae based biofuel and bioenergy production: recent developments. J Clean Prod. 2018;181:42–59.
- Ko Y-S, Kim JW, Lee JA, Han T, Kim GB, Park JE, et al. Tools and strategies of systems metabolic engineering for the development of microbial cell factories for chemical production. Chem Soc Rev. 2020;49(14):4615–36.
- Tan H, Aziz AA, Aroua M. Glycerol production and its applications as a raw material: a review. Renew Sustain Energy Rev. 2013;27:118–27.
- Choi YJ, Park JH, Kim TY, Lee SY. Metabolic engineering of *Escherichia coli* for the production of 1-propanol. Metab Eng. 2012;14(5):477–86.
- 8. Helalat SH, Bidaj S, Samani S, Moradi M. Producing alcohol and salt stress tolerant strain of Saccharomyces cerevisiae by heterologous expression of pprl gene. Enzyme Microb Technol. 2019;124:17–22.
- Oh Y-K, Hwang K-R, Kim C, Kim JR, Lee J-S. Recent developments and key barriers to advanced biofuels: a short review. Biores Technol. 2018;257:320–33.
- Shi S, Si T, Liu Z, Zhang H, Ang EL, Zhao H. Metabolic engineering of a synergistic pathway for *n*-butanol production in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Sci Rep. 2016;6(1):1–10.
- Byun J, Han J. Catalytic production of biofuels (butene oligomers) and biochemicals (tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol) from corn stover. Biores Technol. 2016;211:360–6.
- Bello-Zakari B. Hydroprocessing microalgae derived hydrothermal liquefaction bio-crude for middle distillate fuels production—a review. Niger J Technol. 2015;34(4):737–49.
- Peralta-Yahya PP, Ouellet M, Chan R, Mukhopadhyay A, Keasling JD, Lee TS. Identification and microbial production of a terpene-based advanced biofuel. Nat Commun. 2011;2(1):1–8.
- Harvey BG, Wright ME, Quintana RL. High-density renewable fuels based on the selective dimerization of pinenes. Energy Fuels. 2010;24(1):267–73.
- Yang J, Nie Q, Ren M, Feng H, Jiang X, Zheng Y, et al. Metabolic engineering of *Escherichia coli* for the biosynthesis of alpha-pinene. Biotechnol Biofuels. 2013;6(1):1–10.
- Sarria S, Wong B, Martín HG, Keasling JD, Peralta-Yahya P. Microbial synthesis of pinene. ACS Synth Biol. 2014;3(7):466–75.
- 17. Niu F-X, He X, Wu Y-Q, Liu J-Z. Enhancing production of pinene in *Escherichia coli* by using a combination of tolerance, evolution, and modular co-culture engineering. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:1623.
- Makarova KS, Aravind L, Wolf YI, Tatusov RL, Minton KW, Koonin EV, et al. Genome of the extremely radiation-resistant bacterium *Deinococcus* radiodurans viewed from the perspective of comparative genomics. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2001;65(1):44–79.

- Helalat SH, Moradi M, Heidari H, Rezaei F, Yarmohamadi M, Sayadi M, et al. Investigating the efficacy of UVSE protein at repairing CPD and 6–4 pp DNA damages in human cells. J Photochem Photobiol B Biol. 2020;205:111843.
- Gerber E, Bernard R, Castang S, Chabot N, Coze F, Dreux-Zigha A, et al. Deinococcus as new chassis for industrial biotechnology: biology, physiology and tools. J Appl Microbiol. 2015;119(1):1–10.
- Jeong S-W, Kang CK, Choi YJ. Metabolic engineering of *Deinococcus* radiodurans for the production of phytoene. J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2018;28(10):1691–9.
- Slade D, Radman M. Oxidative stress resistance in *Deinococcus radiodurans*. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2011;75(1):133–91.
- Tian B, Hua Y. Carotenoid biosynthesis in extremophilic Deinococcus-Thermus bacteria. Trends Microbiol. 2010;18(11):512–20.
- Jeong S-W, Kim J-H, Kim J-W, Kim CY, Kim SY, Choi YJ. Metabolic engineering of extremophilic bacterium *Deinococcus radiodurans* for the production of the novel carotenoid deinoxanthin. Microorganisms. 2021;9(1):44.
- Ohba H, Satoh K, Yanagisawa T, Narumi I. The radiation responsive promoter of the *Deinococcus radiodurans* pprA gene. Gene. 2005;363:133–41.
- Chen A, Sherman MW, Chu C, Gonzalez N, Patel T, Contreras LM. Discovery and characterization of native *Deinococcus radiodurans* promoters for tunable gene expression. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2019. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/AEM.01356-19.
- Martin VJ, Pitera DJ, Withers ST, Newman JD, Keasling JD. Engineering a mevalonate pathway in *Escherichia coli* for production of terpenoids. Nat Biotechnol. 2003;21(7):796–802.
- Satoh K, Tu Z, Ohba H, Narumi I. Development of versatile shuttle vectors for *Deinococcus grandis*. Plasmid. 2009;62(1):1–9.
- Kang M-K, Eom J-H, Kim Y, Um Y, Woo HM. Biosynthesis of pinene from glucose using metabolically-engineered *Corynebacterium glutamicum*. Biotech Lett. 2014;36(10):2069–77.
- Meima R, Rothfuss HM, Gewin L, Lidstrom ME. Promoter cloning in the radioresistant bacterium *Deinococcus radiodurans*. J Bacteriol. 2001;183(10):3169–75.
- Liu C, Sun Z, Shen S, Lin L, Li T, Tian B, et al. Identification and characterization of the geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthase in *D einococcus* radiodurans. Lett Appl Microbiol. 2014;58(3):219–24.

- Kang CK, Jeong S-W, Yang JE, Choi YJ. High-yield production of lycopene from corn steep liquor and glycerol using the metabolically engineered *Deinococcus radiodurans* R1 Strain. J Agric Food Chem. 2020;68(18):5147–53.
- 33. Silva ACR, Lopes PM, Azevedo MMB, Costa DCM, Alviano CS, Alviano DS. Biological activities of a-pinene and β -pinene enantiomers. Molecules. 2012;17(6):6305–16.
- Leite AM, Lima EO, Souza EL, Diniz MFFM, Trajano VN, Medeiros IA. Inhibitory effect of beta-pinene, alpha-pinene and eugenol on the growth of potential infectious endocarditis causing Gram-positive bacteria. Revista Brasileira de Ciências Farmacêuticas. 2007;43(1):121–6.
- 35. Zore GB, Thakre AD, Jadhav S, Karuppayil SM. Terpenoids inhibit *Candida albicans* growth by affecting membrane integrity and arrest of cell cycle. Phytomedicine. 2011;18(13):1181–90.
- Qi C, Zhao H, Li W, Li X, Xiang H, Zhang G, et al. Production of γ-terpinene by metabolically engineered *Escherichia coli* using glycerol as feedstock. RSC Adv. 2018;8(54):30851–9.
- Willrodt C, David C, Cornelissen S, Bühler B, Julsing MK, Schmid A. Engineering the productivity of recombinant *Escherichia coli* for limonene formation from glycerol in minimal media. Biotechnol J. 2014;9(8):1000–12.
- Yang F, Hanna MA, Sun R. Value-added uses for crude glycerol-a byproduct of biodiesel production. Biotechnol Biofuels. 2012;5(1):1–10.
- Wu X, Ma G, Liu C, Qiu X-y, Min L, Kuang J, et al. Biosynthesis of pinene in purple non-sulfur photosynthetic bacteria. Microb Cell Fact. 2021;20(1):1–8.
- Tashiro M, Kiyota H, Kawai-Noma S, Saito K, Ikeuchi M, Iijima Y, et al. Bacterial production of pinene by a laboratory-evolved pinene-synthase. ACS Synth Biol. 2016;5(9):1011–20.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

