# Rural Patients' Perceptions of Their Potentially Preventable Hospitalisation: A Qualitative Study

Journal of Patient Experience Volume 9: 1-11 © The Author(s) 2022 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/23743735211069825 journals.sagepub.com/home/jpx

\$SAGE

Andrew Ridge, B.Pharm MPH<sup>1,2</sup>, Gregory M Peterson, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Bastian M Seidel, PhD<sup>2,3</sup>, Vinah Anderson, RN<sup>4</sup>, and Rosie Nash, PhD<sup>3</sup>

#### **Abstract**

Potentially preventable hospitalisations (PPHs) occur when patients receive hospital care for a condition that could have been more appropriately managed in the primary healthcare setting. It is anticipated that the causes of PPHs in rural populations may differ from those in urban populations; however, this is understudied. Semi-structured interviews with 10 rural Australian patients enabled them to describe their recent PPH experience. Reflexive thematic analysis was used to identify the common factors that may have led to their PPH. The analysis revealed that most participants had challenges associated with their health and its optimal self-management. Self-referral to hospital with the belief that this was the only treatment option available was also common. Most participants had limited social networks to call on in times of need or ill health. Finally, difficulty in accessing primary healthcare, especially urgently or after-hours, was described as a frequent cause of PPH. These qualitative accounts revealed that patients describe nonclinical risk factors as contributing to their recent PPH and reinforces that the views of patients should be included when designing interventions to reduce PPHs.

#### **Keywords**

preventable hospitalization, rural, healthcare planning or policy, access to care, health literacy, patient expectations, patient perspectives/narratives, qualitative methods

# Introduction

An admission to the hospital caused by the absence, underutilization, or inadequacy of primary care services is often classified as a potentially preventable hospitalisation (PPH) (1). By 2017–2018, almost 750,000 of all Australian hospital admissions (6.6% of total admissions) were thought to be PPHs, representing a steady annual rise of 3.5% per year since 2013-2014 (2). Rates of PPHs are often used as a proxy measure of primary care quality and equity (3). Hospitals and their emergency departments (EDs) are not appropriate places for primary healthcare as they do not allow for continuity of care, are relatively more expensive and there is a risk of exposure to unnecessary testing and hospital-acquired harms (4).

Australia's health system is funded publicly by state and federal governments. The universal health insurance scheme, Medicare, provides free hospital treatment at public hospitals throughout the country. Primary healthcare services may be provided with no out-of-pocket expenses

("bulk-billed") through Medicare, or an additional payment may be charged (a "gap fee"). Costs associated with other health providers, such as ambulance services, vary between jurisdictions; for example, Tasmanians can use ambulance services without charge, while other states levy a charge with each use. The broader primary health system includes doctors (usually general practitioners [GPs]) nurses, dentists,

- <sup>1</sup> School of Pharmacy and Pharmacology, College of Health and Medicine, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia
- <sup>2</sup> Huon Valley Health Centre, Huonville, Tasmania, Australia
- <sup>3</sup> School of Medicine, College of Health and Medicine, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia
- <sup>4</sup> School of Health Sciences, College of Health and Medicine, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia

#### **Corresponding Author:**

Andrew Ridge, Huon Valley Health Centre, 85 Main Road, Huonville, Tasmania, 7109, Australia.
Email: a.ridge@utas.edu.au



pharmacists, and other allied health professions. In Australia, patients who are in a position to take out private health insurance can experience greater choice, shorter wait times, and receive a Medicare rebate.

The social determinants of health (SDoH) include education, economic and employment status, housing, access to transport, and access to health services (5). For relatively disadvantaged populations, which include rural communities in developed countries, the impact of the SDoH may be disproportionate (6). Frameworks have been developed to help highlight the significant social and structural factors that influence rural health services and outcomes (3,7–).

The rate of PPHs in remote Australian communities is almost double that in major cities, suggesting a geographical gradient in occurrence (2,8). The limited health workforce and service availability, less frequent GP visitations, an older population, and health literacy challenges in rural populations may all contribute to higher rates of PPH (10,11). Other known risk factors for PPH include low socioeconomic status (SES) (12–), limited social support (16–), and comorbidities (19–). For acute conditions, the presence of significant comorbidity increases the risk of PPH (22).

Previous qualitative studies with urban and rural Australian patients suggested the perceived urgency of their condition, an expected need for specialized services and the convenience of hospital treatment strongly influenced PPH events (23–). Social isolation, or the absence of social support, was strongly associated with adverse health outcomes, including increased mortality, mental health conditions, and poor self-rated health of older people (17,27). Risk factors for social isolation include lack of private transport, living alone, and reduced contact with family and friends (27). The contribution of social factors to hospitalization risk, specifically in the rural Australian setting, has been understudied (17) and is therefore poorly understood.

Overall, there have been few qualitative studies specifically examining Australian rural patients' perspective of their PPH. Sociodemographic, health, and infrastructure differences in rural areas may render known predictors of PPHs suggested by urban-based studies insignificant. Therefore, the aim of this qualitative study was to identify common risk factors that rural Australian patients described as contributing factors associated with their recent PPH.

# **Methodology**

Consistent with a pragmatist worldview (28), the authors employed the six-step reflexive thematic analysis (29,30), to inductively identify sub-codes, codes, and themes from the data. Given the small number of participants (n=10), going beyond the surface meaning of the data (latent themes) would not have been appropriate (31,32). It was not assumed that the risk factors identified in different studies and locations, or indeed the same population (33), would be reinforced by this study; rather, this study was

intended to provide evidence of PPH causes unlikely to be represented in quantitative data. The guiding research question was: "According to adult patients from rural Tasmania, Australia, who have a lived experienced of a PPH, what were the drivers of their PPH and could these admissions have been prevented (if so, how)?"

# Reflexivity

One author (A.R.) was familiar with PPH literature and has previously led quantitative research in the same setting (33). The researcher (V.A.) employed to collect data had no prior connection to the study population; this was to avoid any potential for power imbalance or courtesy bias. Independent to the GP practice, researchers G.P. and R.N. were able to provide critical prompts to ask questions in their review of the codes, subthemes, parent themes, and quotes.

# Rigor

Qualitative research rigor was ensured by use of the Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative Research (COREQ) guidelines (34) (see Supplemental Material), and the framework for ensuring qualitative research integrity is described by Colorafi and Evans (35) (Table 1).

#### **Ethics**

Ethics approval for the project was obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committee of Tasmania (Reference: H0018575).

**Table 1.** Rigor Measures for Qualitative Research and Application to This Study.

Measure	Application to this study		
Objectivity	<ul> <li>Description of reproducible methods and procedures</li> <li>Awareness of researchers' potential bias</li> <li>Retention of data and sharing of transcripts within research team</li> </ul>		
Dependability	<ul> <li>Collaborative study design</li> <li>Use of explicit research question</li> <li>Describe researcher attributes and experience</li> <li>Use of interview guide</li> <li>Review and management of interviewer's role in research process</li> </ul>		
Credibility	<ul> <li>Use of verbatim quotations as evidence</li> <li>Assessment of coding and themes</li> <li>Consideration of existing frameworks</li> <li>Use of COREQ assessment</li> </ul>		
Transferability	<ul> <li>Acknowledge limitations of transferability of findings</li> <li>Describe setting and participants</li> </ul>		
Application	<ul><li>Reporting to collaborators</li><li>Basis for future interventions</li></ul>		

Abbreviation: COREQ, Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative Research.

#### Method

Participants were recruited from among patients (aged  $\geq 18$ years old) who regularly attend the Huon Valley Health Centre, a three-campus, general practice service in the Huon-Bruny Island area of Tasmania, Australia. Participants were eligible for interview invitation if they had experienced a hospital admission between July 1, 2019 and June 30, 2020 that was due to a condition listed in the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare's definition of PPH (36). An admission to hospital was defined as a stay in hospital for at least one night and excluded episodes of care where treatment was provided in the ED only (2). An invitation to participate in a semi-structured telephone interview was posted to a purposive sample (37) of 55 English-speaking patients with a PPH within the aforementioned time period, as determined from their medical records. Reminder letters were posted to nonresponders 14 days later. Written consent was obtained from 10 patients.

Semi-structured interviews were conducted by telephone by an experienced qualitative researcher (V.A.) with no prior relationship with the study population to avoid any conflict of interest or power imbalances (38,39). An interview guide was used to ensure relevant history and viewpoints of the health system were shared (Appendix 1). The interview enabled participants the flexibility to describe the background and events of their hospital admission in their own words and style.

The interviews were recorded and professionally transcribed verbatim. No participant names or identifying comments were included in the final transcript. NVIVO (QSR International Pty Ltd, Victoria, Australia, v.12) was used to manage data, assist with coding and analysis. Computer-aided and manual coding checks were performed on interview data to ensure coding consistency. Reflexive thematic analysis was applied to identify, interpret and present key patterns in the data, using the methods described by Braun and Clarke (40,41). The initial coding structure closely reflected the data before axial coding was performed and refined the coding into the final themes. All coding and thematic veracity were checked by two additional investigators.

# **Results**

Ten patients consented to participate and were interviewed during August and September 2020 (Table 2). One patient had profound aphasia following a stroke, so his partner, who was also his full-time caregiver, participated in the interview as a proxy for the patient. Participants' responses are denoted below by italicized, indented, and centered text. Words in square brackets were added for clarification by the investigators. The characteristics of each participant are shown in Table 3.

The concepts identified were condensed into four overarching themes to help understand patients' perception of their PPH. Data that reflected several concepts were coded multiple times, possibly indicating an overlap of or a strong relationship between, ideas. Patient knowledge, beliefs, and attitude

Table 2. Characteristics of Participants and Interviews.

Characteristic		n
Gender	Female	4
	Male	6
Age range (median)	47-91 (68) years	
Place of residence (by postcode)	Huonville area (7109)	6
,,,	Greater Huon region (7113, 7150)	2
	Bruny Island (7150)	2
Condition (PPH as per discharge	Heart failure	2
summary)	Cellulitis	2
• •	Urinary tract infection	2
	Pneumonia/influenza	2
	Diabetes complication	- 1
	ENT <sup>a</sup> infection	- 1
Time since PPH <sup>b</sup> admission (range)	3–15 months	
Interview length (mean; range)	29 min (21-37 min)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>ENT = ear, nose, and throat.

appeared substantially interdependent, so were combined into the theme of patient factors (42). The role of support in the community and access to primary healthcare services were treated as two separate themes.

Examples of themes and sub-themes are provided in Table 4. Each will now be described in greater detail.

#### **Patient Factors**

Participants' knowledge of the medical condition that caused their PPH was generally limited. Patients frequently failed to recognize the seriousness of their condition or conversely overestimated it. Self-diagnosis also influenced the threshold for seeking hospital treatment.

I had what I thought was cellulitis in my left leg .... I knew it was potentially not a good thing to let go ... Things overnight didn't radically improve so I went to the emergency ... (290)

Table 3. Participant Characteristics.

Participant ID	PPH type	Age at admission	
290	Cellulitis	68	
291	Pneumonia or influenza	71	
292	CCF	60	
293	CCF	69	
294	Diabetes Complication	71	
295	UTI .	56	
296	Pneumonia or Influenza	46	
297	ENT infection	61	
299	Cellulitis	91	
300	UTI	80	

Abbreviations: CCF, congestive cardiac failure; ENT, ear, nose and throat; PPH, potentially preventable hospitalisation; UTI, urinary tract infection.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>PPH = potentially preventable hospitalisation.

Table 4. Themes, Sub-Themes, and Example Quotes.

Theme	Sub-themes	Example(s)
Patient factors	Understanding of condition	I just started putting weight on I wasn't eating anything or wasn't drinking anything like to add to the weight and it just built up. It's just that the body wasn't just getting rid of the fluids and they just built up and built up and me weight built up that I had a job to breathe [292] by the time I'd seen that doctor, I'd had some time to jump online, go through my symptoms and come up with pneumonia and sepsis, which is what I'd said to the doctor
		when I saw her in the morning [296]
	Patient attitude	like I'm not a really outward person, like speaking about things and that sort of thing it sort of takes a bit to open up the door sort of thing to - but you've got to sort of get trust in them and that sort of thing [292] I just go to [my GP], and if I've got a problem I see [my GP] and he comes up with a solution or a possible solution. [294]
	Past experience	I woke up on the Saturday morning. I had what I thought was cellulitis in my left leg, my lower left leg I knew it was potentially not a good thing to let go [290]
	Fear	the shit hit the fan at that point and I thought I'm so exhausted from the process of dealing with this - as it turned out bronchitis - I'm so exhausted, and frightened at this point [297]
Patient support	Advice	But [my partner] went, nup, you're going to bloody hospital. I'm all right, darling, I'll be right. Just leave me alone, I'll be right. No, pig's ****, you're going to hospital. She's aware of all that stuff. [294]
	Protective nature of support	it helps me to stay out of hospital, and nursing homes and things like that. I mean I'm getting along now, so everything all adds up the support I get at home and from the doctors so I'm pretty lucky really. [291]  I live on my own, I had no one to take me, and because I was so sick, I couldn't expect anyone to sit in the car with me and take me, so I girded the loins and went [to hospital]
	Transport	[294] we drove ourselves up to [hospital] rather than [waiting for an ambulance], because they
		were still having issues. [296]
Patient access to healthcare	Advocacy Urgent access to GP	we had to look [an after hours doctor] online to try and find someone to talk to. [296] when I woke up in the morning, and I said to the hubby, "We'll have to go to the doctor's," and luckily we can always get in on emergency in the morning. [291]
	After-hours access	I think the issue also was the fact that I went to the doctors on a Saturday. But because I went to the doctors on a Saturday, there was therefore no opportunity other than for me to go to a doctors at the emergency on the Sunday [290] it's pretty hard to get into a doctor at night time, and if it starts at 7:00 o'clock or something, and you're not well, you have to hang out till the next morning, unless you decide straight away to call an ambulance [291] then about 2:00 he said, "I can't go on much longer" so I put him in the car at 2:00 in the morning and took him down to the hospital myself, where he was taken into the
	Need for advice	hospital [300] it's very hard to ring someone at the Royal unless you know what you're doing, and I didn't know much about the medical schemes at the moment, at that moment, and I found it to be very very confusing, if not just straight brick walls because nobody wanted to talk about it. [293]
	Follow-up services	We actually phoned [the surgeon] and his receptionist said "Go to your GP." Went to the GP and by then I was pretty bad. She took some samples but the next day I went into Emergency. [295]
Perceived limitations of primary care	Limitation of GP services	we basically bypassed the GP completely, because in [my wife's] eyes I had already passed the GP's ability to rectify the situation and I should be in hospital already, and that was that first afternoon [295]

Some of the participants' described a lack of interest or initiative in proactively engaging with primary healthcare services in the years preceding their PPH and only used their GP for acute medical issues. Through a passive attitude to their health, patients did not embrace lifestyle changes and preventative measures that could reduce the chance of PPHs.

I just go to [my GP] and if I've got a problem I see [my GP] and he comes up with a solution or a possible solution. (294)

# Patient Support

Having connections within the community that provided advice, practical assistance, or reassurance was generally seen to be beneficial when managing an illness. Assistance

in deciding when to pursue further healthcare services and what type of treatment to seek was a role frequently played by family, friends, and non-health professional community services. Sometimes, having support did not result in hospital avoidance for a PPH:

But [my partner] went, 'nup, you're going to bloody hospital'. 'I'm all right, darling, I'll be right. Just leave me alone, I'll be right'. 'No, pig's \*\*\*\*, you're going to hospital'. She's aware of all that stuff. (294)

My partner is a nurse ... and he was very keen for me to go. (295)

Conversely, the *lack* of an effective support network strongly predisposed participants to PPH. A lack of someone to provide advice, reassurance, or practical support all favored the patient requiring hospital treatment.

I live on my own... I'm so exhausted, and frightened at this point. (297)

... I couldn't contact, couldn't find anyone, rang a few times but couldn't get any chats out of anyone. And, so, I just decided that I was getting that bad again that I just presented myself to the outpatients again. (293)

# Patient Access to Primary Healthcare

The availability of primary healthcare services for acute medical issues was frequently mentioned during interviews as a determinant of PPH. Some patients had positive experiences in accessing urgent appointments with their doctor, but most found that urgently accessing a doctor was sometimes not possible. Requiring healthcare outside usual business hours (ie, overnight or during the weekend) was a common problem for these patients.

... it's pretty hard to get into a doctor at night-time, and if it starts at 7:00 o'clock or something, and you're not well, you have to hang out till the next morning (291)

Other participants accessed appropriate care in the community during business hours, but follow-up, further treatment or monitoring was not achievable in the community. Attending the hospital was an option recommended, or implied, by several primary healthcare providers.

The doctor saw me... and put me on an antibiotic, but with the instruction that if things didn't radically improve then I should go to the hospital the next day ... But because I went to the doctors on a Saturday, there was therefore no opportunity other than for me to go to doctors at the [hospital] emergency on the Sunday (290)

Some patients and their carers sought advice or treatment from the ambulance services with the hope or intention that this would result in transportation to and treatment in the hospital. When ambulance access was not practical, some patients were transported to the hospital by private vehicle.

# Perceived Limitations of Primary Care

Some participants believed that their condition was not able to be treated appropriately in the community, and they were willing to bypass primary healthcare providers and attend the hospital. A greater variety and intensity of health services were thought to be available in the hospital.

... [in my wife's] eyes I had already passed the GP's ability to rectify the situation and I should be in hospital already... (296)

#### **Discussion**

This qualitative study found that nonclinical factors played a significant role in contributing to PPHs for our rural participants. Specifically, the analysis of our rural patients' data raised key determinants associated with PPH risk including individual patient factors of self-efficacy and health literacy, community support networks, and appropriate pathways to access primary healthcare services. SDoH are not easily captured by exploring routine health data but may be better realized through qualitative data analysis, as captured here. Identifying and confronting these risk factors may provide a source of leverage when considering interventions to reduce PPH risk, especially in the rural context where socioeconomic disadvantage is known to be more prominent (6).

The "framework for action on the social determinants of health" (World Health Organization 8) provides an explanation of how the socioeconomic parameters, such as income, education, or occupation, can shape social, economic, and political conditions. The current study reaffirms that social, financial and educational factors may have a profound impact on individual-level health and access to health services.

Ansari (3) and Saurman (7)provide useful frameworks that explain how the geographical accessibility (location) and availability (supply and demand) of services, the perceptions of acceptability, affordability, awareness, and the adequacy of service design, implementation, and evaluation all impact access to health services. Most of these dimensions were evidenced in our data.

A model developed by Bourke et al. [43] provides a framework for change in the delivery of health services in rural locations of Australia. It acknowledges that the approach to health delivery should vary between locations, and that intervention should be informed by more than a quantitative description of health data. Our study findings also align with this framework, confirming that geographical isolation, local health responses, and broader social and

system factors affect each patient's perception of their healthcare journey.

#### Patient Enablement

PPH occurrence in this study was associated with patients possessing limited understanding of their health, treatment, and health system. Participants' poor understanding of their health may have led to suboptimal long-term and/or acute episode management. This was exemplified by patients presenting to the hospital because they had experienced "similar" symptoms before and felt they needed to be assessed urgently. The desire for "urgent" treatment in the hospital has been observed in other qualitative studies (23,25,44). However, previous attempts to address patients' perception of what requires urgent treatment through awareness programs, disease-specific education, or increased access to advice/triage services have had little effect on hospital usage outcomes (4).

Conversely, postponing medical assistance until the condition became unmanageable in the community may reflect an inability to recognize early warning signs. A small or non-existent social support network may exacerbate delays in treatment as these patients have fewer health literacy resources "distributed" throughout their social network to call upon (45).

The ability to navigate the health system, including seeking information and making appropriate arrangements regarding health, was also seen as a reflection of an individual's health literacy (46). A failure to undertake any step of the "help-seeking" process (ie, identification, intention, interaction) can cause a condition to go undiagnosed, unrecognized, and untreated [47]). Each of these steps is facilitated by greater health literacy, possessed by the individual or distributed among friends and family.

PPHs associated with chronic conditions may reflect historically poor health choices and poor management of lifestyle factors (eg, smoking cessation, weight management, improved diet and exercise, addressing modifiable risk-factors) (48). Many interviewees reflected on the management of their conditions, indicating a passive, "hands-off" attitude and some demonstrated that they had little capacity to improve their immediate or future health. Proactive social supports with good health literacy may be able to compensate for a patient's disengagement to some extent; however, social isolation or having helpers with health literacy limitations themselves will increase the risk of PPHs for such patients.

A better understanding and appropriate management of one's health are important patient-level drivers required to reduce PPHs. Tasmania has lower average health literacy than the remainder of Australia (49), prompting attempts to raise the profile of health literacy at the community level and to build cooperative partnerships to improve health (50). The term "patient enablement" may be useful here as it represents an individual's ability to understand and cope

with their illness and to interact with the health system (51–). Understanding the health literacy needs of the rural community may allow services to adapt and result in improved services for individuals and the community (49). Providing disease-specific education and encouraging patients to follow beneficial health recommendations are also effective in reducing PPHs (54,55). Regular use of primary healthcare services, notably GP attendance, has been associated with reduced PPHs (19,56). Patients here were noted to have high levels of trust in their "usual" GP, so promoting adherence to management recommendations during regular GP contact may be a promising means of reducing PPHs (57).

# Community-Level Factors

Patient's health knowledge and management abilities are enhanced or compromised by those surrounding them. Patients living alone or without social support receive less assistance in recognizing and assessing the severity of disease symptoms and in becoming motivated to seek help. The substantial contribution that social isolation can make to PPH risk in a rural population has been observed quantitatively in the same setting (33).

A qualitative study of community-based service providers in Australia suggested functional, as opposed to structural, isolation was a driver of all-cause hospitalization, and that improving the quality of social connections may be an effective leverage point (17). The greater social capital possessed by rural communities may actually be protective against some aspects of social isolation, and thereby PPHs (10).

The presence of family and social helpers appears to be a recurring protective factor in preventing PPHs (48). Family and other social connections may notice signs of deterioration in non-isolated patients during a "pre-hospital syndrome" and arrange for earlier interventions, preventing the need for hospitalization (58). Previous studies suggest group-based, participatory interventions reduce social isolation (27), but the effect on hospital outcomes is unknown.

While patients with no social support cited this as a major factor in their PPH, the "quality" of existing social support also appears to be important. Living with family did not always seem to discourage or prevent the use of hospital services. The resourcefulness and breadth of skills among a social network may be as important as the size of an individual's social network.

High levels of combined support and knowledge possessed by an individual and their social network, however, will count for little if primary healthcare is unavailable or inaccessible in local communities.

# Access and System-Level Factors

The inability to access primary care services has been reported as a significant driver of PPHs in Australia and overseas (24,26,44,59–). Many patients in this study stated that

they found there were no GP appointments available at short notice or no after-hours (5 pm–8 am) services available to them. Several interviews revealed that a GP, after-hours telehealth provider, or ambulance service referred patients to the hospital when other options were not available. With generally fewer doctors and limited service availability in rural areas, it is not surprising that accessing a GP appointment is seen as a barrier to primary healthcare (2,3).

One patient noted the ability to be assessed by her GP at short notice and that services were adequate in her community, contradicting the pervasive view that rural patients struggle to book urgent GP appointments. Some literature supports this view, suggesting that the sociodemographic and health characteristics of populations are more important drivers of PPH than is the availability of primary care services (13). Whatever the true relationship, it is safe to suggest a complex interplay of local factors is likely in each rural community, which may explain the inconsistent relationship between access to primary care services and PPH occurrence.

One participant's statement regarding the benefit of increasing her disease understanding, social supports, and accessible healthcare neatly encapsulates the themes drawn from the data:

Well yes, and it helps me to stay out of hospital, and helps me to stay out of nursing homes and things like that. I mean I'm getting along now, so everything all adds up together, the support I get at home and from the doctors, I only live five minutes away from them, so I'm pretty lucky really (290)

Patients were influenced by their belief that their clinical condition, comorbidities, or previous experience justified hospital treatment. This pattern of health-seeking behavior has previously been observed locally (23–) and internationally (4,44,61). There is some overlap with other themes; for instance, health literacy challenges can predispose patients to overestimating the seriousness of their condition and the need for hospital treatment (62,63).

Health concerns and expecting to need further services both reflect patients' anxiety about their health (4). Clinicians' and laypersons' assessments of an appropriate hospital admission often differ and help explain why patients, acting on their own perceptions and best interests, seek hospital treatment. The convenience of hospital treatment for certain conditions was not specifically mentioned in interviews although there was a recognition that the ED was always "open" with a broad range of health services "freely" available. The expectation of additional tests or procedures as a cause of PPH has been noted elsewhere in Australia (23,25), and it is reasonable to assume that this is equally, or more, important in rural populations.

This study highlights the underlying factors that patients believe are associated with their PPH. A one-size-fits-all approach to reducing PPHs is not sensible or likely to succeed. Interventions acceptable to rural patients and healthcare providers focussed on local risk factors should be considered as a means of reducing PPHs (64). Pathways to access appropriate community health services for after-hours, expected follow-up appointments and "urgent not emergent" events should be made available to all patients to help reduce acute and chronic PPHs. A "Health Literacy Mediator" (45) for rural and remote regions may be logical given their ability to simultaneously address the health literacy, support, and access issues outlined by our participants. Improving connectedness through "Social Prescribing" frameworks may help to encourage isolated and lonely patients into the sphere of existing health-literate and supportive structures (65–).

# **Limitations**

Some patients had multiple hospital admissions; therefore, their ability to recall specific episodes may have been a limitation. However, most patients' circumstances would not have significantly changed between hospital admissions.

An assessment of saturation was not included in the analysis process as the number of invitees consenting to participate limited the number for interviews. However, the interviews provided sufficiently rich and deep data in that patients represented a range of age groups, social support situations, and PPH admission causes. As suggested by Braun and Clarke, analyzing high "information power" data with reflexive thematic analysis makes a formal declaration of data saturation unnecessary (41).

#### Conclusion

This qualitative study found that health literacy, social support networks, the ability to access primary healthcare services, and perceptions of primary care were determinants of PPH risk in this rural community. While access and utilization of well-integrated primary healthcare services is a part of the solution, consideration for community- and individual-level factors also need to be addressed when considering future interventions. A thorough understanding of local health needs and appropriate leverage points is a prerequisite to improving the performance of the primary healthcare system and lowering the rate of PPH in rural Australia. Any future PPH research locally, nationally, and internationally should seek to determine which nonclinical factors patients believe led to hospitalization.

# Appendix I. Semi-Structured Telephone Interview Guide

Semi-Structured Telephone Interview Guide

Keeping Rural Tasmanians out of Hospital

A qualitative study of causes of potentially preventable hospitalisations (PPH) in southern Tasmania

Method: Semi-structured telephone interview

Location/Time/Date: to be arranged on a case-by-case basis

Interviewer: V.A. Introduction:

- Welcome to telephone interview & thanks
- Any initial questions (eg, risks that may be posed)

## Project Description:

- Keeping Rural Tasmanians out of Hospital: A qualitative study of causes of potentially preventable hospitalisations in southern Tasmania
- That is: "seeking to understand people's perspectives on (potentially avoidable) hospital admission."
- Further explanation of study (if required)

#### Scope of discussion:

- Requesting more general medical or specific social/ environmental/health system related information as they relate to hospitalisation
- "you went to hospital for X in March 2020 tell me why you ended up in hospital."
- \*\* not looking for detailed medical information \*\*
- Ideas for fixing problems

#### Discussion Points (Patients):

- What did you go to hospital for?
- Why did you go to hospital (vs GP)?
- What health issues led to your recent hospital stay?
- What "non-medical" issues do you think led to your recent hospital stay?
- How could these issues be addressed (and therefore hospitalisation avoided):
  - by yourself?
  - by your GP?
  - by the health system generally?

#### Concluding Comments:

- Potential for further contact for follow up interview
- Opportunity for questions

#### Thank participant

#### **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### **Funding**

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by the Primary Health Tasmania (grant number CN1001).

#### Availability of Data and Material

Deidentified data (interview transcripts) were available to all authors throughout the project

#### **Code Availability**

Not applicable.

#### **Authors' Contributions**

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by Andrew Ridge. Vinah Anderson contributed to data collection. Gregory M. Peterson and Rosie Nash performed additional analysis and code checking. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Andrew Ridge and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Ethics Approval**

Ethics approval for the project was obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committee of Tasmania (Reference: H0018575).

#### Consent to Participate and for Publication

Participants provided written informed consent to be interviewed and for deidentified publication of results.

#### **Publication Statement**

This work is not under active consideration for publication, has not been accepted for publication, nor has it been published, in full or in part

#### **ORCID iD**

Andrew Ridge https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5417-9873

# Supplemental Material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

#### References

- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW). Australia's Health 2018 - In Brief. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare; 2018.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW). Admitted patient care 2017–18: Australian hospital statistics. Canberra: AIHW; 2019.
- Ansari Z. A review of literature on access to primary health care. Aust J Prim Health 2007;13(2):80-95. doi:10.1071/ PY07026
- Kraaijvanger N, Van Leeuwen H, Rijpsma D, Edwards M. Motives for self-referral to the emergency department: a systematic review of the literature. BMC Health Serv Res 2016;16(1):1-19. doi:10.1186/s12913-016-1935-z
- World Health Organization. Rio political declaration on social determinants of health. In: World conference on social determinants of health. World Health Organization Rio de Janeiro; 2011.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Rural & remote health. 2019. https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/rural-remoteaustralians/rural-remote-health (accessed 23 November 2021).
- Saurman E. Improving access: modifying Penchansky and Thomas's theory of access. J Health Serv Res Policy 2016;21(1):36-9. doi:10.1177/1355819615600001
- World Health Organization. A conceptual framework for action on the social determinants of health. 2010.

 Bourke L, Humphreys JS, Wakerman J, Taylor J. Understanding rural and remote health: a framework for analysis in Australia. Health Place 2012;18(3):496-503. doi:10.1016/j.healthplace.2012.02.009

- Luck GW, Black R, Race D. Demographic change in Australia's rural landscapes: Implications for society and the environment. Dordrecht, THE NETHERLANDS: Springer Netherlands; 2010.
- 11. Duckett S, Griffiths K. Perils of place: Identifying hotspots of health inequalities. Carlton, Victoria: Grattan Institute; 2016.
- Billings J, Zeitel L, Lukomnik J, Carey TS, Blank AE, Newman L. Impact of socioeconomic status on hospital use in New York city. Health Aff 1993;12(1):162-73.
- Falster MO, Jorm LR, Douglas KA, Blyth FM, Elliott RF, Leyland AH. Sociodemographic and health characteristics, rather than primary care supply, are major drivers of geographic variation in preventable hospitalizations in Australia. Med Care 2015;53(5):436.
- 14. Magan P, Alberquilla A, Otero A, Ribera JM. Hospitalizations for ambulatory care sensitive conditions and quality of primary care their relation with socioeconomic and health care variables in the Madrid regional health service (Spain). Med Care 2011;49(1):17-23. doi: 10.1097/MLR.0b013e3181ef9d13
- 15. Sheringham J, Asaria M, Barratt H, Raine R, Cookson R. Are some areas more equal than others? Socioeconomic inequality in potentially avoidable emergency hospital admissions within English local authority areas. J Health Serv Res Policy 2017;22(2):83-90. doi: 10.1177/1355819616679198
- Ennis SK, Larson EB, Grothaus L, Helfrich CD, Balch S, Phelan EA. Association of living alone and hospitalization among community-dwelling elders with and without dementia. J Gen Intern Med 2014;29:1451-9. doi: 10.1007/ s11606-014-2904-z
- Longman J, Passey M, Singer J, Morgan G. The role of social isolation in frequent and/or avoidable hospitalisation: rural community-based service providers' perspectives. Aust Health Rev 2013;37(2):223-31. doi:10.1071/AH12152
- Mu C, Kecmanovic M, Hall J. Does living alone confer a higher risk of hospitalisation? Econ Rec 2015;91(S1):124-38. doi:10. 1111/1475-4932.12184
- Ha NT, Wright C, Youens D, Preen DB, Moorin R. Effect modification of multimorbidity on the association between regularity of general practitioner contacts and potentially avoidable hospitalisations. J Gen Intern Med 2020;35(5):1504-15. doi:10.1007/s11606-020-05699-0
- Prior A, Vestergaard M, Davydow DS, Larsen KK, Ribe AR, Fenger-Gron M. Perceived stress, multimorbidity, and risk for hospitalizations for ambulatory care-sensitive conditions a population-based cohort study. Med Care 2017;55(2):131-9. doi: 10.1097/mlr.0000000000000032
- Reed RL, Isherwood L, Ben-Tovim D. Why do older people with multi-morbidity experience unplanned hospital admissions from the community: a root cause analysis. BMC Health Serv Res 2015;15(1):1-6. doi: 10.1186/s12913-015-1170-z
- 22. Saver BG, Wang CY, Dobie SA, Green PK, Baldwin LM. The central role of comorbidity in predicting ambulatory care

- sensitive hospitalizations. Eur J Public Health 2014;24(1):66-72. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/ckt019
- Masso M, Bezzina AJ, Siminski P, Middleton R, Eagar K. Why
  patients attend emergency departments for conditions potentially appropriate for primary care: reasons given by patients
  and clinicians differ. Emerg Med Australas 2007;19(4):33340. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-6723.2007.00968.x
- Cheek C, Allen P, Shires L, Parry D, Ruigrok M. Low-acuity presentations to regional emergency departments: what is the issue? Emerg Med Australas 2016;28(2):145-52. doi: 10. 1111/1742-6723.12526
- Unwin M, Kinsman L, Rigby S. Why are we waiting? Patients' perspectives for accessing emergency department services with non-urgent complaints. Int Emerg Nurs 2016;29(1):3-8. doi: 10.1016/j.ienj.2016.09.003
- Truter P, Edgar D, Mountain D, Bulsara C. An emergency department optimized protocol for qualitative research to investigate care seeking by patients with non-urgent conditions. 2020; 8(1): 628-635 Nursing Open. doi: 10.1002/nop2.667
- Dickens AP, Richards SH, Greaves CJ, Campbell JL. Interventions targeting social isolation in older people: a systematic review. BMC Public Health 2011;11(1):647. doi: 10. 1186/1471-2458-11-647
- 28. Creswell JW, Creswell JD. Research design: Qualitative, quantitative & mixed methods approaches. 5th ed. International student edition. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage; 2018.
- Braun V, Clarke V. Thematic analysis. In: Cooper H (ed) APA handbook of research methods in psychology. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 2012, pp.57-71.
- Byrne D. A worked example of Braun and Clarke's approach to reflexive thematic analysis. Qual Quant. Published online 26 June 2021; doi: 10.1007/s11135-021-01182-y.
- Braun V, Clarke V. (Mis)conceptualising themes, thematic analysis, and other problems with Fugard and Potts' (2015) sample-size tool for thematic analysis. Int J Soc Res Methodol 2016;19(6):739-43. doi: 10.1080/13645579.2016. 1195588
- Braun V, Clarke V, Hayfield N, Terry G. Thematic analysis. Springer Singapore, 2019, pp. 843–860.
- Ridge A, Peterson GM, Kitsos A, Seidel BM, Anderson V, Nash R. Potentially preventable hospitalisations in rural community dwelling patients. Intern Med J 2021; Accepted Author Manuscript (Published Online): doi: 10.1111/imj.15545
- 34. Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. Int J Qual Health Care 2007;19(6):349-57.
- 35. Colorafi KJ, Evans B. Qualitative descriptive methods in health science research. Health Environ Res Des J 2016;9(4):16-25. doi: 10.1177/1937586715614171
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW). National healthcare agreement: PI 18–Selected potentially preventable hospitalisations, 2019. https://meteor.aihw.gov.au/content/ index.phtml/itemId/698904 (accessed 28 July 2019).
- Liamputtong P. Research methods in health: Foundations for evidence based practice. Melbourne, UNITED KINGDOM: Oxford University Press; 2013.

Lapan SD, Quartaroli MT, Riemer FJ, Lapan SD, Quartaroli MT, Riemer FJ. Qualitative research: An introduction to methods and designs. New York, NY, UNITED STATES: John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2011.

- Liamputtong P. Qualitative research methods 5e eBook. Melbourne, AUSTRALIA: Oxford University Press Australia and New Zealand; 2020.
- Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology.
   Qual Res Psychol 2006;3(2):77-101. doi: 10.1191/ 1478088706qp063oa
- Braun V, Clarke V. To saturate or not to saturate? Questioning data saturation as a useful concept for thematic analysis and sample-size rationales. Qual Res Sport Exerc Health. 2019;13(2):201-216. doi: 10.1080/2159676x.2019.1704846
- 42. Paasche-Orlow MK, Wolf MS. The causal pathways linking health literacy to health outcomes. Am J Health Behav 2007;31(Suppl 1):S19-26. WOS:000249118000004.
- 43. Bourke L, Humphreys JS, Wakerman J, Taylor J. Understanding drivers of rural and remote health outcomes: a conceptual framework in action. Aust J Rural Health 2012;20(6):318-23. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1584.2012.01312.x
- Durand A-C, Palazzolo S, Tanti-Hardouin N, Gerbeaux P, Sambuc R, Gentile S. Nonurgent patients in emergency departments: rational or irresponsible consumers? Perceptions of professionals and patients. BMC Res Notes 2012;5(1):525. doi: 10. 1186/1756-0500-5-525
- 45. Edwards M, Wood F, Davies M, Edwards A. 'Distributed health literacy': longitudinal qualitative analysis of the roles of health literacy mediators and social networks of people living with a long-term health condition. Health Expect 2015;18(5):1180-93. doi: 10.1111/hex.12093
- 46. Kickbusch I, Wait S, Maag D. Navigating health. London, United Kingdom: Alliance for Health and the Future; 2005.
- 47. Cornally N, McCarthy G. Help-seeking behaviour: a concept analysis. Int J Nurs Pract 2011;17(3):280-8. doi: 10.1111/j. 1440-172X.2011.01936.x
- 48. Longman JM, Rix E, Johnston JJ, Passey ME. Ambulatory care sensitive chronic conditions: what can we learn from patients about the role of primary health care in preventing admissions? Aust J Prim Health 2018;24(4):304-10. doi: 10.1071/py17191
- Australian Bureau of Statistics. National health survey: health literacy. 2019. https://www.abs.gov.au/statistics/health/healthconditions-and-risks/national-health-survey-health-literacy/latestrelease (accessed 7 April 2021).
- Department of Health. Health literacy action plan 2019– 2024. In: Health Do (ed.). Hobart: Tasmanian Government, 2019.
- 51. Howie JG, Heaney DJ, Maxwell M. Measuring quality in general practice. Pilot study of a needs, process and outcome measure. Occas Pap R Coll Gen Pract. 1997.Feb (75): 1-32. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9141884. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2560570/:i-32.
- Kurosawa S, Matsushima M, Fujinuma Y, Hayashi D, Noro I, Kanaya T, et al. Two principal components, coping and independence, comprise patient enablement in Japan: cross

- sectional study in tohoku area. Tohoku J Exp Med 2012;227(2):97-104. doi: 10.1620/tjem.227.97
- 53. Hudon C, Fortin M, Rossignol F, Bernier S, Poitras M-E. The Patient Enablement Instrument-French version in a family practice setting: a reliability study. BMC Fam Pract 2011;12(1):71. doi: 10.1186/1471-2296-12-71
- 54. Daley C, Al-Abdulmunem M, Holden RJ. Knowledge among patients with heart failure: a narrative synthesis of qualitative research. Heart Lung 2019;48(6):477-85. doi: 10.1016/j. hrtlng.2019.05.012
- 55. Myers SL, Siegel EO, Hyson DA, Bidwell JT. A qualitative study exploring the perceptions and motivations of patients with heart failure who transitioned from non-adherence to adherence. Heart Lung 2020;49(6):817-23. https://apps-webofknowledge-com.ezproxy.utas.edu.au/full\_record.do? product = WOS&search\_mode = CitingArticles&qid = 2&SID = E29IWDp5WWhyPvduYvj&page = 1&doc = 2&cacheurlFrom RightClick = no.
- 56. Georgescu V, Green A, Jensen PB, Möller S, Renard E, Mercier G. Primary care visits can reduce the risk of potentially avoidable hospitalizations among persons with diabetes in France. Eur J Public Health 2020;30(6):1056-61. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/ckaa137
- 57. Guthrie B, Wyke S. Personal continuity and access in UK general practice: a qualitative study of general practitioners' and patients' perceptions of when and how they matter. BMC Fam Pract 2006;7(1):11. doi: 10.1186/1471-2296-7-11
- 58. Martin C, Hinkley N, Stockman K, Campbell D. Potentially preventable hospitalizations—The 'pre-hospital syndrome': retrospective observations from the MonashWatch self-reported health journey study in Victoria, Australia. J Eval Clin Pract 2020; Special Issue 1;1-8. doi: 10.1111/jep.13460
- Coster JE, Turner JK, Bradbury D, Cantrell A. Why do people choose emergency and urgent care services? A rapid review utilizing a systematic literature search and narrative synthesis. Acad Emerg Med 2017;24(9):1137-49. doi: 10.1111/acem.13220
- Krebs LD, Kirkland SW, Chetram R, Nikel T, Voaklander B, Davidson A, et al. Low-acuity presentations to the emergency department in Canada: exploring the alternative attempts to avoid presentation. Emerg Med J 2017;34(4):249-55. doi: 10. 1136/emermed-2016-205756
- Agarwal S, Banerjee J, Baker R, Conroy S, Hsu R, Rashid A, et al. Potentially avoidable emergency department attendance: interview study of patients' reasons for attendance.
   Emerg Med J 2012;29(12):e3. doi: 10.1136/emermed-2011-200585
- 62. Balakrishnan MP, Herndon JB, Zhang JN, Payton T, Shuster J, Carden DL. The association of health literacy with preventable emergency department visits: a cross-sectional study. Acad Emerg Med 2017;24(9):1042-50. doi: 10. 1111/acem.13244
- 63. Schumacher JR, Hall AG, Davis TC, Arnold CL, Bennett RD, Wolf MS, et al. Potentially preventable use of emergency services: the role of low health literacy. Med Care 2013;51(8):654.

- 64. Goodrich J. Why experience-based co-design improves the patient experience. J Health Des 2018;3(1):84-5. doi: 10. 21853/jhd.2018.45
- 65. Chatterjee HJ, Camic PM, Lockyer B, Thomson LJM. Non-clinical community interventions: a systematised review of social prescribing schemes. Arts Health 2018;10(2):97-123. doi: 10.1080/17533015.2017. 1334002
- 66. Lim M. Australian loneliness report: A survey exploring the loneliness levels of Australians and the impact on their health and wellbeing. 2018. https://psychweek.org.au/wp/wp-content/ uploads/2018/11/Psychology-Week-2018-Australian-Loneliness-Report-1.pdf.
- 67. Royal Australian College of General Practitioners and Consumers Health Forum of Australia. *Social Prescribing Roundtable November 2019 Report.* 2020.