Hindawi Pain Research and Management Volume 2022, Article ID 1039393, 8 pages https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/1039393

Research Article

Psychological Profiles and Their Relevance with Temporomandibular Disorder Symptoms in Preorthodontic Patients

Chengxinyue Ye, Xin Xiong , Yuyao Zhang, Dan Pu, Jie Zhang, Shufang Du , and Jun Wang

Department of Orthodontics, National Clinical Research Center for Oral Diseases, State Key Laboratory of Oral Diseases, West China Hospital of Stomatology, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Shufang Du; 439613695@qq.com and Jun Wang; wangjunv@scu.edu.cn

Received 21 July 2022; Revised 3 September 2022; Accepted 10 September 2022; Published 30 September 2022

Academic Editor: Sivakumar Nuvvula

Copyright © 2022 Chengxinyue Ye et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. Temporomandibular disorders (TMDs) refer to a group of heterogenous musculoskeletal diseases with diverse clinical symptoms and an undetermined aetiology. The psychological profiles were closely related to the onset and treatment outcomes of TMDs. Objective. To examine the relevance between psychological profiles and different symptoms of TMDs in preorthodontic patients. Methods. The study was conducted among 570 preorthodontic patients. TMDs symptoms were recorded by the Diagnostic Criteria for TMD (DC/TMD) symptom questionnaire. The seven-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale (GAD-7), the nine-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9), and the Pain Catastrophizing Scale (PCS) were used for the evaluation of anxiety, depression, and pain catastrophizing levels. The relevance of three psychological profiles with TMDs and subtypes was evaluated with Spearman's rank correlation test and logistic regression analysis (P < 0.05). Results. 34.56% of the enrolled preorthodontic patients were diagnosed with TMDs. Scores of GAD-7, PHQ-9, and PCS were significantly higher in the TMDs group than in the non-TMDs group. Participants with anxiety, depression, or high pain catastrophizing had a higher prevalence of both pain-related TMDs symptoms and intra-articular TMDs symptoms. The correlations among pain-related TMDs, intra-articular TMDs, and scores on the psychological scales were significant (P < 0.05). The adjusted logistic regression model revealed that anxiety, depression, and high pain catastrophizing were significant risk factors for TMDs with an odds ratio (OR) of 2.196, 1.741, and 1.601, respectively. Depression was associated with higher pain-related TMDs prevalence (OR = 2.136), while anxiety and depression were associated with higher intra-articular TMDs prevalence (OR = 2.341 and 1.473). Conclusion. Anxiety, depression, and high pain catastrophizing were comorbid psychological conditions of TMDs. Depression was the top risk factor for pain-related TMDs, while anxiety rendered the highest risk for intra-articular TMDs. Inclusion of psychological assessments in preorthodontic evaluation might yield great benefits in TMDs screening.

1. Introduction

Temporomandibular disorders (TMDs) refer to a group of heterogeneous musculoskeletal diseases affecting the masticatory muscles, the temporomandibular joint (TMJ), and associated structures [1]. TMDs are the most common cause of orofacial chronic pain [2], varying from TMJ pain, masticatory muscle pain, and headaches in the temple. TMDs also greatly interfere with jaw functions, especially the

mouth opening and closing process. According to the distinct symptoms, TMDs can be basically classified as pain-related TMDs and intra-articular TMDs by the Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (DC/TMD) [3].

The aetiology of TMDs is complex and yet controversial. Both the conventional Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (RDC/TMD) [4] and the recent DC/TMD recognized a biopsychosocial model for the conceptualization of TMDs. Evidence shows that

psychopathological factors may be involved in the initiation, progression, as well as treatment responses of TMDs [5-7]. Anxiety and depression are two highly emphasized aspects, as higher levels of anxiety and depression have been detected among TMDs patients [8, 9]. The seven-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale (GAD-7) and the nine-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) are routinely used in Axis II diagnostic instruments for the screening of anxiety and depression, respectively. Pain catastrophizing is defined as an exaggerated negative mental set toward a painful experience [10]. The Pain Catastrophizing Scale (PCS), a validated assessment for pain catastrophizing, has been used in predicting pain severity and rehabilitation outcomes in chronic pain patients [11, 12]. In the pathology of TMDs, pain catastrophizing has been discovered to be related to pain persistence and treatment responses [13, 14]. Nevertheless, the links between those psychological profiles and different symptoms of TMDs are still to be demonstrated.

In clinical practice, symptoms of TMDs have become recurring complaints among orthodontic patients [15], and a higher prevalence of TMDs has been observed in orthodontic patients than in the general population [16, 17]. Malocclusion, as well as psychological distress following appearance unsatisfaction, may be potential risk factors for TMDs [18]. Therefore, it is of great value to study TMDs based on preorthodontic patients. This study aimed to understand the correlation of psychological factors with different TMDs subtypes and symptoms by analyzing the psychological profiles of 570 preorthodontic patients.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Population. This cross-sectional study enrolled 570 consecutive patients who sought orthodontic treatment between September 1, 2021 and March 30, 2022. Written informed consent was acquired from all the participants. The study was approved by the West China Hospital of Stomatology Ethics Committee under document number WCHSIRB-CT-2021-431 and was carried out in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) \geq 12 years old; (2) capable of comprehending and completing the questionnaires; and (3) with orthodontic complaints. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) with a history of TMDs treatment; (2) with a history of tumor, maxillofacial deformity, trauma, or craniofacial surgeries that affected TMJ; (3) history of drug therapy that might hide TMDs symptoms, such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or pain-relief drugs; and (4) currently with acute illness. Demographic information, including age, sex, education level, and general health was collected by a selfreporting questionnaire.

2.2. Temporomandibular Disorders Subtypes and Symptoms. The participants were asked to complete a self-reporting DC/TMD symptom questionnaire, and 5 major TMD symptoms (5Ts) of the DC/TMD were recorded to discriminate the subtypes of TMDs [19]. (1) TMD/facial pain: with pain in the jaw, temple, in the ear, or in front of ear; (2) headaches: with

headaches including the temple area; (3) TMJ noises: with joint noise when moving the jaw; (4) closed locking: with jaw lock or catch hindering the mouth-opening process; and (5) open locking: with jaw lock or catch hindering the mouth-closing from the wide-open position. Symptoms described in (1) and (2) were categorized as pain-related TMDs symptoms, in consistency with the pain-related TMDs subtype, which included myalgia, arthralgia, or headache attributed to TMDs. Symptoms described in (3), (4), and (5) were referred to as intra-articular TMDs symptoms, matched by intra-articular TMDs subtype, which included disc displacement with reduction and with intermittent locking, disc displacement without reduction with and without limited opening, degenerative joint disorders, or subluxation.

2.3. Psychological Profiles. The psychological profiles of the patients were assessed by validated self-reporting questionnaires in the Chinese version. The GAD-7 scale is a seven-item questionnaire for the screening of anxiety [20]. Items are rated based on the frequency of each symptom during the past two weeks, assigned from 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day). A GAD-7 score is obtained by the sum of the items within the range of 0–21. In this study, the cut-off value for the diagnosis of anxiety was ≥5. The PHQ-9 scale is a nine-item questionnaire for the screening of depression [21]. Items are rated based on the frequency of each symptom during the past two weeks, assigned from 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day). A PHQ-9 score is obtained by the sum of the items within the range of 0–27. In this study, the cut-off value for the diagnosis of depression was ≥5. PCS is a thirteen-item questionnaire evaluating the levels of pain catastrophizing [22]. Items are rated based on the frequency of catastrophizing responses towards pain, assigned from 0 (not at all) to 4 (all the time). A PCS total score is obtained by the sum of the items within the range of 0-52. In this study, as we found the mean PCS score in the studied population was lower than that in pain-suffering patients, the cut-off value for high pain catastrophizing was set at ≥10, which comprised around 20% of the sample.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analyses were performed with EmpowerStats (http://www.empowerstats.com, X&Y Solutions, Inc., Boston, USA). Quantitative data, including age and psychological assessment scores, were presented in the form of mean ± standard deviation and evaluated by the Mann-Whitney U test. Categorial data, consisting of sex, education level, history of systemic diseases, as well as the occurrence of TMDs subtypes and symptoms, were presented by frequency (constituent ratio) and evaluated by the R×C chi-square test. The correlation between pain-related TMDs symptoms, intra-articular TMDs symptoms, and scores of psychological assessments were evaluated with Spearman's rank correlation test. A univariate logistic regression analysis was performed to assess the influence of each psychological profile on TMDs and subtypes. Demographic confounders were adjusted in the multivariate regression model. The adjusted variables include sex ('female' or 'male'), age ('above 18' or 'below 18'), education level ('college,' 'postgraduate or above,' or 'high school or below'), and general health ('with a history of systemic diseases' or 'without a history of systemic diseases'). In general, an α level of 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Prevalence of TMDs and Psychological Profiles in Preorthodontic Patients. A total of 570 patients, among whom 197 (34.56%) were diagnosed with TMDs, were included in this study (Table 1). The average age was 24.44 ± 8.29 years, and the male-to-female ratio was 1:2.3, with no statistical significance between the TMDs and the non-TMDs groups. The TMDs group had a higher educational level (1:2.5:0.6 for high school or below, college, and postgraduate or above, respectively), compared to the non-TMDs group (1:1.5:0.3). The prevalence of systemic diseases was around 7%, which showed no statistical significance between the two groups.

Average scores of GAD-7, PHQ-9, and PCS were all below the cut-off value in both groups. Generally, anxiety was diagnosed in 19.82% of the total sample, depression in 18.77%, and high pain catastrophizing in 20.70%. The TMDs group endorsed significantly higher scores in three scales than the non-TMDs groups (GAD-7: 2.98 vs. 1.67, PHQ-9: 2.92 vs. 1.84, and PCS: 6.05 vs. 4.51). Consistently, the prevalence of anxiety, depression, and high pain catastrophizing were higher in the TMDs group compared to the non-TMDs group (anxiety: 28.93% vs. 15.01%, depression: 25.38% vs. 15.28%, and high pain catastrophizing: 26.90% vs. 17.43%).

3.2. Prevalence of TMDs Symptoms in Different Psychological Profiles. Tables 2–4, present the prevalence of TMDs and symptoms in terms of different psychological profiles. The anxiety group endorsed a higher prevalence of intra-articular TMDs (P < 0.01) and all the related symptoms (P < 0.05) (Table 2). In pain-related symptoms, only TMD/ facial pain displayed statistical significance (P = 0.038). Although the prevalence of headaches also increased, no statistical significance was shown (P = 0.144).

The prevalence of pain-related TMDs and intra-articular TMDs were significantly higher in the depression group than in the nondepression group (P = 0.004 and 0.005 respectively) (Table 3). All five major symptoms appeared at a higher frequency in the depression group with statistical significance (P < 0.05).

The high pain catastrophizing group had a larger proportion of those diagnosed with pain-related TMDs (P=0.008) and intra-articular TMDs (P=0.030) (Table 4). In pain-related TMDs symptoms, a significant difference was shown in headaches (P=0.011) but not in TMD/facial pain (P=0.128). Among intra-articular TMDs symptoms, TMJ noises and open locking constituted a larger proportion in the high pain catastrophizing group (P=0.032 and 0.033, respectively), while closed locking appeared to have no significant difference in prevalence (P=0.989).

3.3. Correlations between Psychological Profiles and TMDs Symptoms. Spearman's rank correlation revealed the significant correlation between psychological profiles and TMDs symptoms (Table 5). The two subtypes, pain-related TMDs and intra-articular TMDs were positively correlated with each other with a Spearman's correlation coefficient (r_s) of 0.268 (P < 0.01). A strong correlation was shown amongst the scores of the three psychological scales ($r_s > 0.5$, P < 0.01). The correlations between pain-related TMDs and scores of GAD-7, PHQ-9, and PCS were significant ($r_s = 0.121$, 0.098, and 0.118, respectively; P < 0.05). Intra-articular TMDs were significantly correlated with scores of GAD-7 and PHQ-9 ($r_s = 0.171$ and 0.157, P < 0.05), but not scores of PCS (P > 0.05).

Univariate logistic regression analysis confirmed the influence of the three psychological profiles on TMDs and subtypes (Table 6), and such influence remained after adjustment for demographic confounders (Table 7). All of the three studied psychological profiles, anxiety, depression, and high pain catastrophizing, remained statistically significant in predicting TMDs after adjustment (P < 0.05). People with anxiety had 2.196 times the odds of TMDs compared with those without anxiety. The odds ratio (OR) was 1.741 for those with depression and 1.601 for those with high pain catastrophizing. In terms of pain-related TMDs, depression and high pain catastrophizing were associated with a higher prevalence before adjustment, while only depression was distinguished after adjustment (OR = 2.136). For intra-articular TMDs, all three psychological profiles were associated with higher prevalence before adjustment, while anxiety and depression remained statistically significant after adjustment (OR = 2.341 and 1.726, respectively).

4. Discussion

Our findings revealed the relevance between psychological profiles and different TMDs subtypes and symptoms. All three psychological factors covered in the study were correlated with TMDs prevalence and clinical symptoms to different extents.

In this study, five major symptoms extracted from the DC/TMD symptom questionnaire were especially focused on and considered the main diagnostic criteria for TMDs and subtypes. Fu et al. affirmed the viability of the five major TMD symptoms (5Ts) as highly sensitive and specific screeners of TMDs and subtypes, with areas under the Receiver Operating Characteristics curve (AUC) as high as 0.98, 1.00, and 0.98 for all TMDs, pain-related TMDs, and intra-articular TMDs [19]. Compared with the internationally accepted DC/TMD diagnostic process [3], clinical and radiographic examinations were not covered in this method, which rendered greater convenience and economic practicability in large-scale population screening. The main defects in applying this screener are the lack of discrimination in taxonomic classifications of TMDs and the lack of information on the duration, severity, and frequency of the

To date, the aetiology of TMDs is still considered complex and undetermined. The current consensus is a

TABLE 1: Patient characteristics in non-TMDs and TMDs groups.

		Overall $(N = 570)$	Non-TMDs $(N=373)$	TMDs (N=197)	P value
Demography					
Average age		24.44 ± 8.29	24.23 ± 9.02	24.84 ± 6.70	0.094
Sex	Female	399 (70.00%)	256 (68.63%)	143 (72.59%)	0.338
Sex	Male	171 (30.00%)	117 (31.37%)	54 (27.41%)	
	High school or below	180 (31.58%)	131 (35.12%)	49 (24.87%)	0.041*
Education level	College	322 (56.49%)	201 (53.89%)	121 (61.42%)	
	Postgraduate or above	68 (11.93%)	41 (10.99%)	27 (13.71%)	
History of systemic diseases	-	41 (7.19%)	23 (6.17%)	18 (9.14%)	0.232
Psychological assessment					
GAD-7 score		2.12 ± 3.16	1.67 ± 2.95	2.98 ± 3.37	<0.001**
Anxiety		113 (19.82%)	56 (15.01%)	57 (28.93%)	<0.001**
PHQ-9 score		2.21 ± 3.41	1.84 ± 3.21	2.92 ± 3.66	<0.001**
Depression		107 (18.77%)	57 (15.28%)	50 (25.38%)	0.003**
PCS score		5.04 ± 7.91	4.51 ± 7.94	6.05 ± 7.79	<0.001**
High pain catastrophizing		118 (20.70%)	65 (17.43%)	53 (26.90%)	0.008**

Quantitative data presented by mean \pm SD; categorial data presented by frequency (constituent ratio); **P<0.01; *P<0.05.

TABLE 2: Prevalence of TMDs subtypes and symptoms in nonanxiety and anxiety groups.

	Nonanxiety ($N = 457$)	Anxiety $(N=113)$	Pearson χ^2	P value
TMDs	140 (30.63%)	57 (50.44%)	15.717	<0.001**
Pain-related TMDs	50 (10.94%)	20 (17.70%)	3.841	0.050
(1) TMD/facial pain	36 (7.88%)	16 (14.16%)	4.312	0.038*
(2) Headaches	27 (5.91%)	11 (9.73%)	2.132	0.144
Intra-articular TMDs	119 (26.04%)	52 (46.02%)	17.219	<0.001**
(3) TMJ noises	87 (19.04%)	36 (31.86%)	8.801	0.003**
(4) Closed locking	43 (9.41%)	20 (17.70%)	6.333	0.018*
(5) Open locking	46 (10.07%)	27 (23.89%)	15.514	<0.001**

Categorial data presented by frequency (constituent ratio); **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05.

TABLE 3: Prevalence of TMDs subtypes and symptoms in nondepression and depression groups.

	Nondepression $(N = 463)$	Depression ($N = 107$)	Pearson χ^2	P value
TMDs	147 (31.75%)	50 (46.73%)	8.623	0.003**
Pain-related TMDs	48 (10.37%)	22 (20.56%)	8.383	0.004**
(1) TMD/facial pain	36 (7.78%)	16 (14.95%)	5.401	0.020^{*}
(2) Headaches	25 (5.40%)	13 (12.15%)	6.364	0.012*
Intra-articular TMDs	127 (27.43%)	44 (41.12%)	7.759	0.005**
(3) TMJ noises	89 (19.22%)	34 (31.78%)	8.094	0.004**
(4) Closed locking	45 (9.72%)	18 (16.82%)	4.461	0.035*
(5) Open locking	53 (11.45%)	20 (18.69%)	4.085	0.043*

Categorial data presented by frequency (constituent ratio); **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05.

Table 4: Prevalence of TMDs subtypes and symptoms in low and high pain catastrophizing groups.

	Low pain catastrophizing ($N = 452$)	High pain catastrophizing $(N=118)$	Pearson χ^2	P value
TMDs	144 (31.86%)	53 (44.92%)	7.053	0.008**
Pain-related TMDs	49 (10.84%)	21 (17.80%)	4.203	0.040^{*}
(1) TMD/facial pain	37 (8.19%)	15 (12.71%)	2.312	0.128
(2) Headaches	24 (5.31%)	14 (11.86%)	6.461	0.011*
Intra-articular TMDs	126 (27.88%)	45 (38.14%)	4.690	0.030*
(3) TMJ noises	89 (19.69%)	34 (28.81%)	4.602	0.032*
(4) Closed locking	50 (11.06%)	13 (11.02%)	0.000	0.989
(5) Open locking	51 (11.28%)	22 (18.64%)	4.540	0.033*

Categorial data presented by frequency (constituent ratio); **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05.

TABLE 5: Spearman's correlations among TMDs subtypes and scores of psychological assessments.

	Intra-articular TMDs	GAD-	PHQ-9	PCS
Pain-related TMDs	0.268**	0.121**	0.098*	0.118**
Intra-articular TMDs		0.171**	0.157**	0.066
GAD-7			0.762**	0.568**
PHQ-9				0.544**

^{**}P < 0.01 and *P < 0.05.

TABLE 6: Univariate Regression Analysis for TMDs, pain-related TMDs, and intra-articular TMDs.

Variable	β	OR	95% CI	P value
TMDs				
Anxiety	0.835	2.305	(1.516, 3.504)	<0.001**
Depression	0.634	1.886	(1.230, 2.891)	0.004**
High pain catastrophizing	0.556	1.744	(1.154, 2.636)	0.008**
Pain-related TMDs				
Anxiety	0.560	1.751	(0.995, 3.081)	0.052
Depression	0.805	2.238	(1.283, 3.902)	0.005**
High pain catastrophizing	0.577	1.781	(1.020, 3.108)	0.042*
Intra-articular TMDs				
Anxiety	0.884	2.421	(1.583, 3.703)	<0.001**
Depression	0.614	1.848	(1.195, 2.857)	0.006**
High pain catastrophizing	0.467	1.595	(1.043, 2.439)	0.031*

^{**}P < 0.01 and *P < 0.05.

TABLE 7: Adjusted Regression Model for TMDs, pain-related TMDs, and intra-articular TMDs.

Variable	β	OR	95% CI	P value
TMDs				
Anxiety	0.786	2.196	(1.436, 3.358)	<0.001**
Depression	0.554	1.741	(1.129, 2.685)	0.012*
High pain catastrophizing	0.471	1.601	(1.052, 2.436)	0.028*
Pain-related TMDs				
Anxiety	0.498	1.645	(0.930, 2.912)	0.087
Depression	0.795	2.136	(1.214, 3.756)	0.008**
High pain catastrophizing	0.522	1.685	(0.957, 2.967)	0.071
Intra-articular TMDs				
Anxiety	0.850	2.341	(1.522, 3.600)	<0.001**
Depression	0.546	1.726	(1.110, 2.684)	0.015*
High pain catastrophizing	0.388	1.473	(0.958, 2.267)	0.078

^{**}P < 0.01 and *P < 0.05.

biopsychosocial model for TMDs [23], recognizing the aggregative role of psychological and social factors in TMDs pathology. As reported in our study and previous literature [9, 24, 25], psychological state and education level (as a social factor) are distributed differently in the TMDs and non-TMDs groups. The DC/TMD included GAD-7 and PHQ-9 as psychological screening tests for clinical and research uses. Pain catastrophizing is a less studied but meaningful psychological indicator. Several studies focused on pain catastrophizing in TMDs, and their results suggested TMDs patients were accompanied by higher pain catastrophizing

levels [13, 14], which accorded with our findings. It was hypothesized that the addition of pain catastrophizing might improve the completeness of the diagnosing instruments, especially in pain-related cases [26]. The average score of PCS in our study was lower than that in previous studies on chronic pain [27, 28]. Lack of experimental pain testing and a younger study sample who had fewer intense pain experiences might be the causes. The mechanism of how psychological distress acts in the onset and progression of TMDs is an intriguing issue. It was assumed that neuroendocrine changes, an increase in masticatory muscle tension, and maladaptive oral parafunction in response to psychological distress might be possible mechanisms [29-31]. On the other hand, TMDs commodities such as diffuse pain, impaired oral function, and sleep disturbances might also amplify psychological distress [32, 33].

Since TMDs are heterogenous diseases with diverse clinical symptoms, it is necessary to target different subtypes. The relations between psychological profiles and specific symptoms of TMDs are yet uncertain. Interestingly, our study affirmed the correlation of psychological distress with both pain-related TMDs symptoms and intra-articular TMDs symptoms, which indicated the broad influence of psychological distress. As reported previously [34], pain was the most common reason for TMDs patients seeking TMDs treatment. The pain might come from inflammatory pain of the TMJ, spastic pain of masticatory muscles, somatization of mental stress, or a combination. Dysregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis caused by anxiety, depression, or stress might be a reasonable explanation for somatic chronic pain [35]. A higher pain catastrophizing level in TMDs patients also implied that the pain in some TMDs patients might be sensitively perceived and responded to in an amplified way. Intra-articular symptoms are commonly seen in disc displacement and degenerative joint diseases, usually indicating dysfunctional or structural disorders of the TMJ. Aberrant and long-term mechanical loading of the TMJ were proposed as the major initiator of intra-articular TMDs [36, 37]. Abnormal psychological status might render changes in muscular tension, leading to disk displacement during jaw movement [38]. Oral parafunction such as grinding or clenching might also increase in frequency, aggravating the overload of the TMJ [39].

Another highlighted finding of this study was the subtle difference between the psychological risk factors for painrelated TMDs and intra-articular TMDs. In the adjusted logistic regression model, depression was the top risk factor for pain-related TMDs, and anxiety was the top risk factor for intra-articular TMDs. The bidirectional relationship between depression and pain was well-established and supported by both biological and cognitive-behavioral views [40, 41]. Some brain regions and neurotransmitters such as serotonin, norepinephrine, and glutamate, were shared in the processing of depression and physical pain [42, 43]. It was reported that antidepressants could have pain-relief effects and were recommended for some pain conditions [44]. Psychologically, depression was frequently accompanied by avoidance, low self-efficacy, and catastrophizing, which could influence the way people appraise pain conditions [45, 46]. The influence of anxiety on intra-articular TMDs was undetermined. One widely accepted explanation was that anxiety might be accompanied by clenching or grinding behaviors that exacerbate the masticatory muscle tension [18, 47]. Pain catastrophizing was assumed as a significant risk factor for TMDs and both subtypes according to univariate analysis, but its association with TMDs subtypes was not statistically significant after adjustment of demographic confounders. It was supposed that the perception of pain might be influenced by some demographic-social factors such as education level [48, 49]. Therefore, the conclusion might differ in studies based on different cultural backgrounds. Some studies suggested that psychological intervention might be beneficial to TMDs treatment [50]. However, evidence were still insufficient to support its application in individualized TMDs treatment, and further studies were greatly anticipated [51].

The study was conducted based on a preorthodontic population and included those who did not come with a TMDs complaint initially. Our results revealed that 1/3 of the participants reported TMDs symptoms. Many studies reported the relation between malocclusion and TMDs, especially intra-articular TMDs [18, 52, 53], although the issue remained controversial [54, 55]. Abnormal psychological profiles have also become more common in preorthodontic patients, probably owing to the increasing appearance anxiety in the new media era and social pressure in youth life stages [56, 57]. In addition to TMDs screening, psychological profiles were also related to orthodontic pain tolerance, treatment compliance, and oral hygiene maintenance in orthodontic patients [58, 59]. Therefore, a better understanding of the psychological aspects of preorthodontic patients might bring multiple benefits in predicting potential problems during treatment.

The primary limitation of this study was the cross-sectional design. Causal relationships between psychological profiles and different TMDs symptoms were undetermined and required a prospective study design. Second, as the psychological scales used were screeners of psychological distress, further diagnosis should be made after consultation with psychiatric or psychological professionals. Last, children under 12 were excluded from the study as they were incapable of completing the self-reporting questionnaires. As previous studies indicated the lower prevalence of TMDs in preadolescents [60], the overall TMDs prevalence from the current study might be overestimated.

5. Conclusions

Anxiety, depression, and high pain catastrophizing were comorbid psychological conditions of TMDs. The prevalence of pain-related TMDs symptoms and intra-articular TMDs symptoms were higher in patients with three focused psychological profiles. All three studied psychological profiles were significant risk factors for TMDs. Depression was the top risk factor for pain-related TMDs by raising the risk to 2.136 times, while anxiety rendered the highest risk for intra-articular TMDs by increasing the risk to 2.341 times. For preorthodontic patients, the inclusion of psychological

assessments in preorthodontic evaluation might yield great benefits in TMDs screening.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

Chengxinyue Ye and Xin Xiong contributed equally to this work. Chengxinyue Ye and Xin Xiong are co-first authors. Shufang Du is the co-corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledged the nursing team of the Department of Orthodontics, West China Hospital of Stomatology, Sichuan University, for assisting in the communication and questionnaire collection in preorthodontic patients. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 81970967), Sichuan Health Commission Medical Science and Technology Program (21ZD003), Research and Develop Program, West China Hospital of Stomatology, Sichuan University (RD-03-202101), and Soft Science Research Project of West China Hospital of Stomatology, Sichuan University (RD-01-202001).

References

- [1] S. J. Scrivani, D. A. Keith, and L. B. Kaban, "Temporomandibular disorders," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 359, no. 25, pp. 2693–2705, 2008.
- [2] J. M. Zakrzewska, "Differential diagnosis of facial pain and guidelines for management," *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, vol. 111, no. 1, pp. 95–104, 2013.
- [3] E. Schiffman, R. Ohrbach, E. Truelove et al., "Diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders (DC/TMD) for clinical and research applications: recommendations of the international RDC/TMD consortium network and orofacial pain special interest group," *Journal of Oral & Facial Pain and Headache*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 6–27, 2014.
- [4] S. F. Dworkin and L. LeResche, "Research diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders: review, criteria, examinations and specifications, critique," *Journal of Craniomandibular Disorders*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 301–355, 1992.
- [5] R. B. Fillingim, R. Ohrbach, J. D. Greenspan et al., "Psychological factors associated with development of TMD: the OPPERA prospective cohort study," *The Journal of Pain*, vol. 14, no. 12, pp. T75–T90, 2013.
- [6] R. B. Fillingim, G. D. Slade, J. D. Greenspan et al., "Long-term changes in biopsychosocial characteristics related to temporomandibular disorder: findings from the OPPERA study," *Pain*, vol. 159, no. 11, pp. 2403–2413, 2018.
- [7] M. D. Litt and F. B. Porto, "Determinants of pain treatment response and nonresponse: identification of TMD patient

- subgroups," The Journal of Pain, vol. 14, no. 11, pp. 1502–1513, 2013
- [8] A. B. Fernandes Azevedo, M. B. Câmara-Souza, IdS. Dantas, C. M. B. M. de Resende, and G. A. S. Barbosa, "Relationship between anxiety and temporomandibular disorders in dental students," *Cranio: The Journal of Craniomandibular & Sleep Practice*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 300–303, 2018.
- [9] A. Sójka, B. Stelcer, M. Roy, E. Mojs, and M. Pryliński, "Is there a relationship between psychological factors and TMD?" *Brain and Behavior*, vol. 9, no. 9, Article ID e01360, 2019.
- [10] M. J. L. Sullivan, S. R. Bishop, and J. Pivik, "The pain catastrophizing scale: development and validation," *Psychological Assessment*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 524–532, 1995.
- [11] S. M. Meints, I. Mawla, V. Napadow et al., "The relationship between catastrophizing and altered pain sensitivity in patients with chronic low-back pain," *Pain*, vol. 160, no. 4, pp. 833–843, 2019.
- [12] S. N. Hampton, P. A. Nakonezny, H. M. Richard, and J. E. Wells, "Pain catastrophizing, anxiety, and depression in hip pathology," *The Bone & Joint Journal*, vol. 101-B, no. 7, pp. 800–807, 2019.
- [13] B. Häggman-Henrikson, C. Bechara, B. Pishdari, C. M. Visscher, and E. Ekberg, "Impact of catastrophizing in patients with temporomandibular disorders-A systematic review," *Journal of Oral & Facial Pain and Headache*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 379–397, 2020.
- [14] S. Reiter, I. Eli, M. Mahameed et al., "Pain catastrophizing and pain persistence in temporomandibular disorder patients," *Journal of Oral & Facial Pain and Headache*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 309–320, 2018.
- [15] Y. C. Lai, A. U. Yap, and J. C. Türp, "Prevalence of temporomandibular disorders in patients seeking orthodontic treatment: a systematic review," *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 270–280, 2020.
- [16] A. U. Yap, C. Chen, H. C. Wong, M. Yow, and E. Tan, "Temporomandibular disorders in prospective orthodontic patients," *The Angle Orthodontist*, vol. 91, no. 3, pp. 377–383, 2021.
- [17] Z. B. Yan, Y. D. Wan, C. Q. Xiao et al., "Craniofacial morphology of orthodontic patients with and without temporomandibular disorders: a cross-sectional study," *Pain Research and Management*, vol. 202211 pages, 2022.
- [18] F. M. de Paiva Bertoli, C. D. Bruzamolin, G. O. de Almeida Kranz, E. M. Losso, J. A. Brancher, and J. F. de Souza, "Anxiety and malocclusion are associated with temporomandibular disorders in adolescents diagnosed by RDC/TMD. A cross-sectional study," *Journal of Oral Reha*bilitation, vol. 45, no. 10, pp. 747–755, 2018.
- [19] A. U. Yap, M. J. Zhang, X. H. Zhang, Y. Cao, and K. Y. Fu, "Viability of the quintessential 5 temporomandibular disorder symptoms as a TMD screener," *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine*, *Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology*, vol. 133, no. 6, pp. 643– 649, 2022.
- [20] J. A. Sun, K. X. Liang, X. L. Chi, and S. T. Chen, "Psychometric properties of the generalized anxiety disorder scale-7 item (GAD-7) in a large sample of Chinese adolescents," *Health-care*, vol. 9, no. 12, p. 1709, 2021.
- [21] W. Z. Wang, Q. Bian, Y. Zhao et al., "Reliability and validity of the Chinese version of the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) in the general population," *General Hospital Psychiatry*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 539–544, 2014.
- [22] Y. Xiong, X. Wei, F. Wang et al., "Validation of a simplified Chinese version of the pain catastrophizing scale and an exploration of the factors predicting catastrophizing in pain

- clinic patients," *Pain Physician*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. E1059–E1072, 2015.
- [23] R. Ohrbach and S. F. Dworkin, "The evolution of TMD diagnosis: past, present, future," *Journal of Dental Research*, vol. 95, no. 10, pp. 1093–1101, 2016.
- [24] A. Anastassaki Köhler, A. Hugoson, and T. Magnusson, "Prevalence of symptoms indicative of temporomandibular disorders in adults: cross-sectional epidemiological investigations covering two decades," *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 213–223, 2012.
- [25] L. Xu, B. Cai, S. Lu, S. Fan, and K. Dai, "The impact of education and physical therapy on oral behaviour in patients with temporomandibular disorder: a preliminary study," *BioMed Research International*, vol. 2021, Article ID 6666680, 2021
- [26] L. Willassen, A. A. Johansson, S. Kvinnsland, K. Staniszewski, T. Berge, and A. Rosén, "Catastrophizing has a better prediction for TMD than other psychometric and experimental pain variables," *Pain Research and Management*, vol. 20208 pages, 2020.
- [27] A. Ferreira-Valente, E. Solé, E. Sánchez-Rodríguez et al., "Does pain acceptance buffer the negative effects of catastrophizing on function in individuals with chronic pain?" *The Clinical Journal of Pain*, vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 339–348, 2021.
- [28] M. Boer, M. M. R. F. Struys, and G. J. Versteegen, "Pain-related catastrophizing in pain patients and people with pain in the general population," *European Journal of Pain*, vol. 16, no. 7, pp. 1044–1052, 2012.
- [29] G. H. Gameiro, A. da Silva Andrade, D. F. Nouer, and M. C. Ferraz de Arruda Veiga, "How may stressful experiences contribute to the development of temporomandibular disorders?" *Clinical Oral Investigations*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 261–268, 2006.
- [30] J. Montero and C. Gómez-Polo, "Personality traits and dental anxiety in self-reported bruxism. A cross-sectional study," *Journal of Dentistry*, vol. 65, pp. 45–50, 2017.
- [31] A. M. Velly, M. Gornitsky, and P. Philippe, "Contributing factors to chronic myofascial pain: a case-control study," *Pain*, vol. 104, no. 3, pp. 491–499, 2003.
- [32] A. U. Yap, C. Marpaung, and E. D. Rahmadini, "Psychological well-being and distress: their associations with temporomandibular disorder symptoms and interrelationships," Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology, vol. 132, no. 2, pp. 163–171, 2021.
- [33] G. D. Slade, R. Ohrbach, J. D. Greenspan et al., "Painful temporomandibular disorder: decade of discovery from OPPERA studies," *Journal of Dental Research*, vol. 95, no. 10, pp. 1084–1092, 2016.
- [34] R. Ohrbach, R. B. Fillingim, F. Mulkey et al., "Clinical findings and pain symptoms as potential risk factors for chronic TMD: descriptive data and empirically identified domains from the OPPERA case-control study," *The Journal of Pain*, vol. 12, no. 11, pp. T27–T45, 2011.
- [35] K. Staniszewski, H. Lygre, E. Bifulco et al., "Temporomandibular disorders related to stress and HPA-Axis regulation," *Pain Research and Management*, vol. 2018, Article ID 7020751, 7 pages, 2018.
- [36] W. Yuan, Y. Wu, X. Zhou, Y. Zheng, J. Wang, and J. Liu, "Comparison and applicability of three induction methods of temporomandibular joint osteoarthritis in murine models," *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 430–441, 2022.
- [37] B. Buranastidporn, M. Hisano, and K. Soma, "Effect of biomechanical disturbance of the temporomandibular joint on

- the prevalence of internal derangement in mandibular asymmetry," *The European Journal of Orthodontics*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 199–205, 2005.
- [38] A. G. Glaros, K. Williams, L. Lausten, and L. R. Friesen, "Tooth contact in patients with temporomandibular disorders," *Cranio: The Journal of Craniomandibular & Sleep Practice*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 188–193, 2005.
- [39] A. G. Glaros, K. Williams, and L. Lausten, "The role of parafunctions, emotions and stress in predicting facial pain," *Journal of The American Dental Association*, vol. 136, no. 4, pp. 451–458, 2005.
- [40] J. Goesling, D. J. Clauw, and A. L. Hassett, "Pain and depression: an integrative review of neurobiological and psychological factors," *Current Psychiatry Reports*, vol. 15, no. 12, p. 421, 2013.
- [41] W. W. IsHak, R. Y. Wen, L. Naghdechi et al., "Pain and depression: a systematic review," *Harvard Review of Psychiatry*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 352–363, 2018.
- [42] E. L. Meerwijk, J. M. Ford, and S. J. Weiss, "Brain regions associated with psychological pain: implications for a neural network and its relationship to physical pain," *Brain Imaging and Behavior*, vol. 7, no. 1, 14 pages, 2013.
- [43] J. Sheng, S. Liu, Y. Wang, R. Cui, and X. Zhang, "The link between depression and chronic pain: neural mechanisms in the brain," *Neural Plasticity*, vol. 2017, Article ID 9724371, 10 pages, 2017.
- [44] D. J. Haleem, "Targeting Serotonin1A receptors for treating chronic pain and depression," *Current Neuropharmacology*, vol. 17, no. 12, pp. 1098–1108, 2019.
- [45] I. E. J. Swinkels-Meewisse, J. Roelofs, R. A. B. Oostendorp, A. L. M. Verbeek, and J. W. S. Vlaeyen, "Acute low back pain: pain-related fear and pain catastrophizing influence physical performance and perceived disability," *Pain*, vol. 120, no. 1-2, pp. 36–43, 2006.
- [46] H. Tsuji, T. Tetsunaga, T. Tetsunaga, K. Nishida, H. Misawa, and T. Ozaki, "The factors driving self-efficacy in intractable chronic pain patients: a retrospective study," *Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 473, 2019.
- [47] A. Al-Khotani, A. Naimi-Akbar, M. Gjelset et al., "The associations between psychosocial aspects and TMD-pain related aspects in children and adolescents," *The Journal of Headache and Pain*, vol. 17, no. 1, p. 30, 2016.
- [48] H. J. Kim, S. C. Kim, K. T. Kang, B. S. Chang, C. K. Lee, and J. S. Yeom, "Influence of educational attainment on pain intensity and disability in patients with lumbar spinal stenosis: mediation effect of pain catastrophizing," *Spine*, vol. 39, no. 10, pp. E637–E644, 2014.
- [49] J. Pei, H. Chen, T. Ma et al., "Pain catastrophizing and associated factors in preoperative total knee arthroplasty in lanzhou, China: a cross-sectional study," *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, vol. 23, no. 1, p. 507, 2022.
- [50] A. Gil-Martínez, A. Paris-Alemany, I. López-de-Uralde-Villanueva, and R. La Touche, "Management of pain in patients with temporomandibular disorder (TMD): challenges and solutions," *Journal of Pain Research*, vol. 11, pp. 571–587, 2018.
- [51] U. Kotiranta, T. Suvinen, and H. Forssell, "Tailored treatments in temporomandibular disorders: where are we now? A systematic qualitative literature review," *Journal of Oral & Facial Pain and Headache*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 28–37, 2014.
- [52] E. S. Byun, S. J. Ahn, and T. W. Kim, "Relationship between internal derangement of the temporomandibular joint and dentofacial morphology in women with anterior open bite," *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthope*dics, vol. 128, no. 1, pp. 87–95, 2005.

- [53] S. H. Park, W. J. Han, D. H. Chung, J. S. An, and S. J. Ahn, "Relationship between rotational disc displacement of the temporomandibular joint and the dentoskeletal morphology," *Korean Journal of Orthodontics*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 105–114, 2021.
- [54] A. A. Aboalnaga, N. M. Amer, M. O. Elnahas et al., "Malocclusion and temporomandibular disorders: verification of the controversy," *Journal of Oral & Facial Pain and Headache*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 440–450, 2019.
- [55] D. Gesch, O. Bernhardt, and A. Kirbschus, "Association of malocclusion and functional occlusion with temporomandibular disorders (TMD) in adults: a systematic review of population-based studies," *Quintessence International*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 211–221, 2004.
- [56] A. Vannucci, K. M. Flannery, and C. M. Ohannessian, "Social media use and anxiety in emerging adults," *Journal of Affective Disorders*, vol. 207, pp. 163–166, 2017.
- [57] A. Papadimitriou, L. Kakali, P. Pazera, I. Doulis, and D. Kloukos, "Social media and orthodontic treatment from the patient's perspective: a systematic review," *European Journal of Orthodontics*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 231–241, 2020.
- [58] A. Ukra, F. Bennani, and M. Farella, "Psychological aspects of orthodontics in clinical practice. Part one: treatment-specific variables," *Progress in Orthodontics*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 143–148, 2011.
- [59] V. J. Beck, M. Farella, N. P. Chandler, J. A. Kieser, and W. M. Thomson, "Factors associated with pain induced by orthodontic separators," *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 282–288, 2014.
- [60] N. Christidis, E. Lindström Ndanshau, A. Sandberg, and G. Tsilingaridis, "Prevalence and treatment strategies regarding temporomandibular disorders in children and adolescents-A systematic review," *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 291–301, 2019.