FUNCTIONAL PROPERTIES OF T CELL CLONES WITH A DOUBLE SPECIFICITY FOR ALLOANTIGENS AND FOREIGN ANTIGENS

BY STANLEY J. WATERS, PAOLO R. LUZZATTI, AND CONSTANTIN A. BONA

From the Department of Microbiology, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, New York 10029

One of the intriguing properties of T cell population is that the frequency of the precursors that respond to major histocompatibility complex $(MHC)^1$ -encoded alloantigens are several orders of magnitude higher than the frequency of precursors responding to foreign antigens (1, 2). To explain this observation, it has been postulated that at least some T cells responding to the MHC alloantigens are also capable of responding to various foreign antigens.

Studies on the specificity of T cell clones provided direct support for this hypothesis. Indeed, several T cell clones recognizing foreign antigens in association with self-MHC-encoded antigens and displaying alloreactivity have been reported (3–6). The observation that half of the clones having identical specificity for an insulin epitope recognized in association with syngeneic I-A^b gene product also respond to alloantigens of H-2^u haplotype, indicated that a certain degree of mimicry exists between alloantigens and foreign antigens associated with syngeneic H-2 gene products (7). Although these studies demonstrate that a single T cell clone specific for antigen in association with a syngeneic H-2 gene product can also recognize alloantigenic determinants, the question as to whether there are two T cell recognition units, one specific for the alloantigens and the other for foreign antigens, or whether a single receptor is responsible for this recognition event, has not definitely been resolved.

Information regarding the genetic restriction of B cells with T cell clones possessing double specificity is limited. However, this issue is of theoretical interest, particularly with regard to the relationship between the receptor recognizing the foreign and alloantigens and the products of T clones critical for the activation of B cells.

This communication presents the results of a functional analysis of four clones originating from a $CB6F_1$ mouse immunized with keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH). Two clones expressing a helper phenotype develop proliferative response not only to KLH in association with syngeneic Ia but also to H-2^k and H-2^q

1300 J. EXP. MED. © The Rockefeller University Press · 0022-1007/84/11/1300/16 \$1.00 Volume 160 November 1984 1300-1315

This work was supported in part by grant PCN 1105788 from the National Science Foundation and grant IM-275A from the American Cancer Society.

¹ Abbreviations used in this paper: APC, antigen-presenting cells; BUdR, 5-bromo-deoxy uridine; FACS, fluorescence-activated cell sorter; HGPRT, hypoxanthine quanine phosphoribosyltransferase; KLH, keyhole limpet hemocyanin; MHC, major histocompatibility complex; MLR, mixed lymphocyte response; OVA, ovalbumin; PFC, plaque-forming cell; TNP, trinitrophenyl.

allogeneic cells, respectively. Both antigen- and alloantigen-induced proliferative responses were inhibited by an anti-clonotypic monoclonal antibody. These two clones provided help via a hapten carrier bridge for only syngeneic B cells.

Materials and Methods

Mice. BALB/c, C57/6, CB6/F₁ (BALB/c × C57BL/6), C3H/He, A/J, RIII, an PL/J, 6–8 wk old were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME. B10.Q and C3H.Q were obtained from the colony of Dr. Dan Meruelo of the New York University School of Medicine.

Antigens. KLH (Calbiochem-Behring Corp., San Diego, CA) and ovalbumin (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) were conjugated separately with 2,4,6-trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, NY) in 0.083 M sodium bicarbonate buffer and then dialyzed against 0.1 M potassium bicarbonate buffer. The degree of conjugation was determined by relative optical densities to be 18 trinitrophenyl (TNP) groups per 100,000 mol wt KLH(TNP-KLH) and 12 TNP groups per molecule of ovalbumin (TNP-OVA). Horse cytochrome c (Sigma) and an isolate of type A influenza virus, PR8, were used as controls in determining the antigen specificity of T cell clones.

Monoclonal Antibodies. Hybridoma cell lines with defined specificities for 1-A^b (25-9-3s) and I-A^d (MK-D6) were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD. Ascites of anti-Lyt-1.2 and anti-Lyt-2.2 were purchased from New England Nuclear, Boston, MA. Ascites of hybridoma 30-H12 (anti-Thy-1.2) and 10.2-16 (anti-I-A^k) originally defined by Drs. Ledbetter and Herzenberg were generously provided by Dr. Benvenuto Pernis, Columbia University, NY. All anti-I-A antibodies of IgG class used in T cell proliferative blocking experiments were purified on a protein A-Sepharose 4B column.

Preparation of T Cell Clones. Lymph node T lymphocytes were obtained from CB6/ F_1 mice primed with 100 μ g KLH in Freund's complete adjuvant (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI). The mice were injected subcutaneously in the base of the tail and in the hind foot pads. 7 d later, T cells from the periaortic popliteal and inguinal lymph nodes were purified on a nylon wool column (8).

The KLH-reactive T cells were further enriched by culturing the nonadherent population from the nylon wool column with 50 μ g KLH/ml for 4 d in T cell medium (RPMI 1640), containing 10% fetal calf serum (Reheis Chemical Co., AZ), 12 mm Hepes, 100 μ g/ml penicillin, 100 mg/ml streptomycin, 2 × 10⁻³ M glutamine, and 3 × 10⁻⁵ M 2mercaptoethanol. After 4 d of incubation at 37°C and 7% CO₂, lymphoblasts were isolated on a discontinuous Percoll density gradient (9). The enriched blasts were established as long-term T cell lines by serial restimulation in vitro as described by Kimoto and Fathman (10). The T cell line was then cloned by stringent limiting dilution (0.5 cells/ well) condition as previously described (10).

Proliferation Assays. All T cell clones and subclones were tested for proliferation to a panel of soluble protein antigens and allogenic lymphocytes. 7 d after subculture without antigen, 10^4 cloned T cells and 5×10^4 irradiated syngeneic or allogeneic spleen cells were cultured in 0.2-ml microtiter wells. After 3 d, the cultures were pulsed with [³H]thymidine (1 μ Ci/well) and harvested 18 h later. No exogenous IL-2 was added to the cultures. All responses were measured in triplicate cultures.

BUdR Suicide Experiment. These experiments were performed according to a previously described technique (11). Briefly, 5×10^4 cells were incubated for 36 h at 37 °C in medium containing KLH or allogeneic cells without or with 10^{-5} M BUdR. The cells were then exposed to fluorescent light for 2 h (40 W, F40 D light fluorescent bulbs; Sylvania Lighting Products Div., Hillsboro, NH). The cultures were placed at a distance of 20 cm from the plane of the bulbs. After three washings, the cells were incubated an additional 36 h with KLH or allogeneic cells.

In Vitro Antibody Synthesis Assay. TNP-OVA-primed spleen cell suspensions were depleted of T cells by treatment with anti-Thy-1.2 and anti-Lyt-1.2 plus complement (12). A total of 3×10^6 T-depleted, primed B cells were cultured with 1×10^4 -1 $\times 10^6$ lymph

nodes from KLH-primed mice or cloned T helper cells in 2 ml of T cell media. Three 16-mm diam wells (3524; Costar, Cambridge, MA) were used for each T cell concentration tested. Cultures were incubated for 5 d at 37°C in a 7% CO₂-humidified incubator. Cells were cultured in either the presence or absence of 0.001–10 μ g/ml TNP-KLH. An additional culture containing 10 μ g/ml or 0.001 μ g/ml TNP-OVA was used as specificity control.

Plaque-forming Cell Assay. Sheep erythrocytes (SRBC) were coupled with TNP (TNP-SRBC) by the method of Rittenberg and Pratt (13). The above cultures were harvested, washed, and assayed for plaque-forming cells (PFC) on TNP-SRBC. Both direct (IgM) and indirect (IgG) plaques were measured according to the method described by Cunningham et al. (14).

Preparation of Monoclonal Anti-clonotype Hybridomas. CB6/F₁ mice were immunized with the parental KLH-specific T cell line from which all the T cell clones were derived. The initial intraperitoneal immunization with 2×10^6 syngeneic T cell line was followed by three weekly intravenous boosts with 10^6 T cell line. 5 d after the final boost, 10^8 lymph node cell or spleen cell suspensions from the immunized mice were fused with SP2/0 myeloma cells according to a previously described method (10). The antibodies secreted by these hybridomas were screened for their ability to bind a single T clone among our panel of KLH-specific T cell clones. Binding was detected using indirect fluorescence staining technique and radioimmunoassay. T cell clones were incubated for 45 min with culture supernatant, washed, and stained with fluoresceinated goat antimouse Ig. A fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS III; B-D FACS Systems, Sunnyvale, CA) was used to determine the percentage of positively stained cells. In radioimmunoassay, 3×10^5 T cells were incubated for 45 min at 4°C in PBS supplemented with 1% BSA, 0.05% azide, and 10 µg purified antibodies. After three washings, the cells were incubated for 2 h at 4°C with ¹²⁵I-rat anti-murine kappa chain antibodies (50,000 cpm) and then washed extensively. The radioactivity was counted in a γ-spectrometer.

Results

Establishment of KLH-specific T Cell Clones. Several T cell clones were derived by limiting dilution culture at 0.25 cells per well of a T cell line obtained from KLH-primed $CB6/F_1$ mice. Four clones were chosen to be studied in detail because they exhibited an antigen-specific proliferative response to KLH, and not to other unrelated antigens (cytochrome c and PR8 influenza virus) in the presence of irradiated CB6/F1 splenic cells (Table I). These clones also did not exhibit a proliferative response to syngeneic CB6/F1 cells in the absence of antigen. Subcloning by limiting dilution culture was done at least once with each of the four clones. Subclone C1.4 derived from clone C1 and subclone A12.11 derived from clone A12 were utilized in the present study. In some cases, as with clone A12, the magnitude of proliferation to KLH was enhanced after subcloning. The cell surface phenotype of the clones were analyzed by indirect immunofluorescence. The clones and subclones in Table I expressed Thy-1.2 and Lyt-1.2 differentiation antigens and lacked Lyt-2.2 antigens. Fluorescence profiles gave no indication of subpopulations of cells within the clonal population (data not shown).

Characterization of the Genetic Restriction of T Cell Clones. The KLH-induced proliferative response of T cell clones was studied in the presence of CB6F₁, BALB/c, and C57BL/6 irradiated spleen cells. The data in Table II illustrates two patterns of genetic restriction: clones D18, F6, and C1.3 proliferate only in the presence of CB6F₁ and C57BL/6, whereas clone 12.11 proliferates only in the presence of CB6F₁ and BALB/c. These results indicated that while D18, F6,

1302

······································		CB6 irradiated cells plus [‡]				
Responder cells	Nil	KLH (40 µg)	PR8 (10 µg)	Cytochrome (50 µg)		
I (Line)	214 ± 112*	13,781 ± 1,789	733 ± 62	193 ± 40		
A12 (clone)	137 ± 19	$1,381 \pm 113$	145 ± 11	110 ± 18		
A12.11 (subclone)	497 ± 51	$16,279 \pm 287$	520 ± 58	570 ± 173		
Cl (clone)	210 ± 27	$5,481 \pm 17$	182 ± 12	189 ± 13		
Cl.3 (subclone)	495 ± 18	$5,137 \pm 800$	465 ± 33	516 ± 43		
F6 (clone)	451 ± 4	$16,602 \pm 478$	376 ± 70	386 ± 62		
D18 (clone)	488 ± 12	$15,359 \pm 478$	367 ± 61	471 ± 36		
Cytochrome primed	$5,315 \pm 813$	ND⁵	ND	32,386 ± 687		
KLH primed	$1,446 \pm 54$	$9,925 \pm 1,242$	$3,105 \pm 69$	$2,143 \pm 42$		

TABLE IKLH-specific Proliferative Responses

* 10⁴ responder cells/culture for the parental line and all clones or subclones. Cytochrome of KLHprimed lymph node cells were cultured at 10⁶ cells/culture. Results are tritiated thymidine incorporation of triplicate cultures presented in cpm \pm SD.

[‡] 10⁶ (cells/culture) syngeneic splenic cells were added for all clones. PR8 is a solubilized glycoprotein from an isolate of influenza virus. Optimal antigen concentrations for KLH, cytochrome *c*, and PR8 were determined by in vitro proliferation.

[§] ND, not done.

	TABLE	ΞΠ		
Genetic	Restriction	of T	Cell	Clones

			ls*				
Responder		CB6/F ₁		E	BALB/c	C	57BL/6
cells	nil	nil	KLH	nil	KLH	nil	KLH
A12.11	$142 \pm 30^{\ddagger}$	121 ± 10	23,685 ± 3,116	86±8	22,740 ± 2,170	238 ± 26	733 ± 283
C1.3	85 ± 6	142 ± 25	20,445 ± 6,006	113 ± 62	237 ± 71	235 ± 69	$17,348 \pm 2,314$
D18	241 ± 76	191 ± 7	31,963 ± 2,528	130 ± 26	304 ± 50	213 ± 19	$14,549 \pm 1,755$
F6	73 ± 11	159 ± 32	20,445 ± 6,006	113 ± 62	249 ± 32	143 ± 40	32,023 ± 3,296

* Responder T clones (10⁴ cells/culture) stimulated with syngeneic CB6/F1 or parental BALB/c, C57BL/6 (10⁶ cells/culture), and 50 µg/ml KLH.

^{\pm} Tritiated thymidine incorporation of triplicate cultures presented as cpm \pm SD.

and C1.3 are restricted to $H-2^{b}$ determinants, the clone A12.11 was restricted to $H-2^{d}$ determinants for KLH-specific proliferation.

Alloreactivity of T Cell Clones. The ability of four clones to proliferate upon in vitro culture with stimulating cells of various H-2 haplotypes was further investigated. Clone D18 and subclone C1.4 did not exhibit any proliferative response to the panel of stimulating cells used. (H- $2^{d,b,k,q,a,s,r,u}$) (data not shown).

In contrast, clone F6 and subclone A12.11 showed a strong proliferative response to H-2^q and H-2^k, respectively. The data depicted in Table III strongly suggest that the proliferation was related to Ia determinants and not to MLS determinants of stimulating cells. Indeed, the A12.11 clone proliferated upon culture with spleen cells from C₃H (H-2^k, MLS^c) and CAB/N (H-2^k MLS⁻), but not with SJL (H-2^s MLS^c), mice, whereas clone F6 proliferated upon culture with spleen cells from C₃H.a (H-2^q MLS^c) and B10.q (H-2^q MLS^b) mice (Table III). These clones did not proliferate in the presence of spleen cells of other haplotypes (H-2^{b,d,r,u,s}) (data not shown).

Stimulating	Haplotype		Responder T cell clones				
cells	H-2	MLS	F6	A12	A12.11		
nil			$105 \pm 17*$	131 ± 19	121 ± 20		
BALB/c	d	b	400 ± 16	246 ± 10	539 ± 172		
C57BL/6	Ь	Ь	414 ± 40	350 ± 20	431 ± 23		
CB6F1	d/b	b	451 ± 14	755 ± 17	497 ± 51		
C3H/He	k	с	471 ± 43	ND^{\ddagger}	$66,617 \pm 10,760$		
CBA/N	k	_	536 ± 64	$6,371 \pm 280$	$12,871 \pm 3,070$		
CE/J	k	с	ND	$10,063 \pm 85$	ND		
SLJ	s	с	373 ± 48	ND	425 ± 55		
B10.q	q	ь	$65,663 \pm 5,996$	ND	502 ± 29		
C3H.q	q	с	$42,289 \pm 9,410$	ND	455 ± 24		

 TABLE III

 [³H]Thymidine Incorporation of T Cell Clones Stimulated by Syngeneic and Allogeneic Cells

* Mean cpm ± SD of triplicate culture.

[‡] ND, not done.

BUdR Suicide Experiments. The clone F6 and subclone A12.11 have been obtained under stringent limiting dilution conditions and, as shown in Table's I and III, the A12.11 subclone exhibited the same antigenic specificity and allogeneic reactivity pattern as the A12 parental clone. However, because these clones exhibited alloreactive proliferation property, we carried out BUdR suicide experiments to assess whether or not the same cells that respond to KLH in association with parental Ia determinants exhibited the ability to proliferate to Ia allodeterminants.

In these experiments, the cells were cultured in the presence of minute amounts of IL-2, KLH, or alloantigens, and on day 2, BUdR was added for 18 h and then the cultures were exposed to light for 2 h. The cells cultured for 4 d were reciprocally stimulated with KLH, fresh irradiated syngeneic splenic cells, or allotype stimulator cells. The data presented in Table IV show that the clones cultured with small amounts of IL-2 followed by stimulation with KLH or alloantigen, exhibited significant proliferation with the second round of stimulation. In contrast, clone F6 and subclone A12.11, when stimulated with either KLH or alloantigen on the first round, incubated with BUdR, and exposed to light, did not mount a proliferative response when stimulated with the reciprocal antigen. The results support the clonal nature of F6 and A12.11 T cell clones displaying a double specificity.

Helper Function of T Cell Clones. The helper activity of clones expressing Lyt-1⁺, 2⁻ surface markers was tested in an in vitro antibody synthesis assay by the incubation of KLH-specific T clones or lymph node cells with highly purified B cells from TNP-OVA-primed CB6/F₁ mice in the presence of TNP-KLH. Similarly, cultures were incubated with TNP-OVA as a specificity control. The results depicted in Fig. 1 show the effect of antigen dose on the generation of an anti-TNP response. All the clones tested provided a KLH carrier-specific helper activity at various antigen doses for IgM and IgG response. While with KLH-primed lymph node T cells a higher IgG PFC response was observed with low antigen dose, no significant variations in the magnitude of IgM and IgG PFC

WATERS ET AL.

TABLE IV Reciprocal Stimulation with KLH and Allogenic Cells of T Cell Clones with Double Specificity after BUdR-light Treatments

Responding		Response							
clones	day 0	day 2	day 3	day 3	day 4	day 7	day 8		
	nil		_	3 Н-Т	439 ± 22*				
	KLH		_	3H-T	$3,168 \pm 361$				
	C3H		_	3H-T	3,974 ± 74				
	nil	BUdR [‡]	light	3H-T	320 ± 15				
	KLH	BUdR	light	3H-T	468 ± 15				
	C3H	BUdR	light	3H-T	511 ± 34				
A12.11									
	IL-2	BUdR	light	nil		3H-T	505 ± 53		
	IL-2	BUdR	light	KLH		8H-T	$3,717 \pm 712$		
	IL-2	BUdR	light	C3H		3H-T	$16,003 \pm 2,330$		
	KLH IL-2	BUdR	light	nil		3H-T	392 ± 15		
	KLH IL-2	BUdR	light	KLH		3H-T	579 ± 153		
	KLH IL-2	BUdR	light	C3H		3H-T	473 ± 45		
	C3H IL-2	BUdR	light	nil		3H-T	343 ± 32		
	C3H IL-2	BUdR	light	KLH		3H-T	585 ± 74		
	C3H 1L-2	BUdR	light	C3H		3H-T	450 ± 45		
	nil			3H-T	844 ± 170				
	KLH	—	-	3H-T	$12,835 \pm 836$				
	B10.Q	_		3H-T	16,541 ± 1,238				
	nil	BUdR	light	3H-T	794 ± 341				
	KLH	BUdR	light	3H-T	$1,308 \pm 248$				
	B10.Q	BUdR	light	3H-T	2,463 ± 457				
F6									
	IL-2	BUdR	light	nil		3H-T	639 ± 381		
	1L-2	BUdR	light	KLH		3H-T	$4,462 \pm 1,016$		
	IL-2	BUdR	light	B10.Q		3H-T	$5,015 \pm 499$		
	KLH IL-2	BUdR	light	nil		3 H -T	260 ± 100		
	KLH IL-2	BUdR	light	KLH		3H-T	257 ± 224		
	KLH IL-2	BUdR	light	B10.Q		3H-T	350 ± 154		
	B10.Q IL-2	BUdR	light	nil		3H-T	879 ± 168		
	B10.Q 1L-2	BUdR	light	KLH		3H-T	$1,025 \pm 217$		
	B10.Q IL-2	BUdR	light	B10.Q		3H-T	984 ± 143		

* Mean cpm ± SD of triplicate cultures.

² Cultures contained 10⁴ responding clone A12.11 or F₆ and 5×10^5 splenic filler cells on day 0. After stimulation with KLH or allogeneic stimulator cells on day 2 BUdR was added for 18 h followed by exposure to light for 2 h. Fresh splenic fillers plus KLH or allogeneic filler cells were added to reciprocally stimulated cultures. Tritiated thymidine incorporation was measured on day 4 and day 8.

⁶ Cultures contained 0.1% IL-2 instead of 2% in standard culture.

response were noted upon incubation of T cell clones with various doses of antigen $(0.001-10 \ \mu g)$.

The experiments presented in Fig. 2 compare the helper capacity of the T clones with KLH-primed lymph node cells in the generation of an anti-TNP response. In this experiment, 4×10^6 B cells were incubated with various concentrations of T cell clones per culture. In this particular experiment, we observed that clone F6 and subclone C1.3 provided stronger helper activity than did a heterogeneous lymph node population of KLH-primed cells.

Genetic Restriction of Helper Property of A12.11 T Cell Subclone Exhibiting Double Specificity. Further studies on helper property of T cell clone exhibiting double specificity were focused on only A12.11 T cell subclone, because of the lack of monoclonal antibodies specific for H-2^q and the poor availability of monoclonal antibodies specific for I-A^d and I-A^k. The data depicted in Table V show that A12.11 cooperated with only CB6F₁ B cells to provide carrier-specific help. In



FIGURE 1. Dose-effect relationship between the number of T cells and anti-TNP PFC response. Various numbers of (O) lymph node cells from KLH primed CB6/F₁ mice, (\bigcirc) clone D18, (\triangle) subclone C1.3, (X) clone F₆, and (\triangle) subclone A12.11 cultured with 21 × 10⁶ TNP-OVA-primed B cells in the presence of (0.001 µg/ml) TNP-KLH. The response was measured on day 4.

contrast, no significant helper activity was observed with C_3H/He TNP-primed B cells, in three independent experiments upon the culture of 2×10^6 B cells with 3×10^5 A12.11 cells. Furthermore, no help for C_3H/He and CB6F₁ B cells was observed upon the culture of A12.11 T cells in presence of TNP-OVA and KLH. Similarly, the clone F₆ also did not provide help to allogeneic B cells (H-2^q) data not shown). Because of these surprising results, which suggested that A12.11 KLB-specific and H-2^k-reactive clones require covalent hapten-carrier linkage and lack helper activity for alloreactive B cells, in further experiments, we investigated the effect of various concentrations of T cells on the anti-TNP response.

The results illustrated in Fig. 3 show that the incubation of $2 \times 10^6 C_3 H/HeJ$ TNP-primed B cells with various concentrations of (10^4-10^6) A12.11 T cells does not lead to a significant increase of anti-TNP PFC above background. In



FIGURE 2. Dose-effect relationship between antigen concentration and anti-TNP PFC response. 3×10^5 cells from (O) lymph node cells from KLH-primed CB6F₁ mice, (\odot) clone D18, (Δ) subclone C1.3, (X) clone F₆, and (Δ) subclone A12.11 were cultured with 2×10^6 TNP-OVA-primed B cells. The response was measured on day 4.

contrast, a dose-dependent increase of the anti-TNP PFC response was observed when B cells were incubated with various concentrations of T cells (10^4 –3 × 10^5), i.e., C₃H/HeJ TNP-primed B cells with C₃H/HeJ KLH-primed T cells or CB6F₁ primed B cells with A12.11 cells. Furthermore, we investigated whether A12.11 cells were capable of providing help in a nonspecific manner to syngeneic B cells (CB6/F₁) or to the allogeneic B cells (C₃H/HeJ and CBA/N) expressing H-2^k haplotype. This was particularly important, since Asano et al. (15) reported data indicating that the same T cell can help in a MHC-restricted manner, the Lyb-5⁻ B cells, and in a MHC nonrestricted fashion, the Lyb-5⁺ B cells. The Marrack and Kappler assay system (16) was employed to investigate whether clone A12.11 stimulated by KLH in the presence of syngeneic APC, can help normal B cells to mount a SRBC PFC response upon the culture in presence of SRBC.

The data depicted in Table VI show that spleen cells from SRBC-primed CB6F₁ C₃H/HeJ and CBA/N develop an excellent anti-SRBC PFC response upon in vitro culture with SRBC. No, or a very weak, anti-SRBC-PFC response was observed in the cultures where A12.11 clone was incubated in the presence of KLH and SRBC with B cells from CB6F₁, C₃H/HeJ, or CBA/N. Furthermore, the addition to the culture of CB6F₁ spleen cells did not augment the anti-SRBC PFC response.

Effect of Anti-Ia and Anti-clonotype Antibodies on the Proliferative Response of A12.11 T Cell Subclone. Several syngeneic monoclonal anti-Id antibodies were generated by a fusion of SP2/0-Ag14 myeloma cells with spleen cells and lymph node cells obtained from CB6/F₁ mice immunized with the KLH-specific T cell line. The hybridomas secreting monoclonal antibodies specific for T cell clones were selected by IF and then were cloned. The clones secreting monoclonal antibodies were typing of heavy and

						Anti-TNP P	FC/culture)
		Ex	p. 1		Ex	p. 2			a	ф. 3	
TNP-OVA primed cells	KLH-specific T cells	TNP-KI	LH 10 µg	TNP-K	LH 10 µg	I-dNL	(LH 0.001	O-4NT	VA 0.001	KLH 40 OVA	ни на на правити. 1.001 и на правити. 1.001 и на правити.
		Direct	Indirect	Direct	Indirect	Direct	Indirect	Direct	Indirect	Direct	Indirect
CB6F1*	ļ	18 ± 11	0	6 ± 3	3 ± 1	2 土 1	Q	6 ± 2	16 ± 4	4 ± 2	4 ± 6
C3H/He		$13 \pm 3^{\circ}$	2 ± 1	9 ± 6	21 ± 12	0	4 ± 2	4 ± 2	12 ± 4	2 ± 1	0
CB6F,	CB6F1LN [‡]	354 ± 12	756 ± 33	435 ± 21	944 ± 42	88 ± 24	948 ± 11	11 ± 7	30 ± 20	33 ± 8	122 ± 36
C3H/He	C3H/HeLN	386 ± 42	633 ± 26	321 ± 12	846 ± 6	27 ± 7	382 ± 82	6 ± 2	12 ± 4	45 ± 10	128 ± 23
C3H/He	CB6F,LN	33 ± 9	55 ± 20	30 ± 3	48 ± 3	5 ± 2	24 ± 11	2 ± 1	8 ± 4	2 ± 2	8 ± 4
CB6F ₁	12.11	174 ± 31	213 ± 21	54 ± 7	218 ± 12	38 ± 5	344 ± 40	2 ± 2	0	4 ± 2	6 ± 2
C3H/He	A12.11	126 ± 83	0	9 ± 3	36 ± 3	4 ± 2	10 ± 2	1 ± 1	4 ± 2	3 ± 1	8±4
* 4 × 10 ⁶ TN [±] 10 ⁶ lymph r [±] 3 × 10 ⁵ T c ¹ PFC mean r	P-OVA-primed tode cells per cu ell clone per cul esponse ± SEM	l B cells per co ulture. Iture. I, day 5.	ulture.								

TABLE V

Genetic Restriction and Requirement for Linked Carrier Hapten Recognition of Helper Effect A12.11 Clone

1308

ANTIGEN AND ALLOREACTIVE CLONES



FIGURE 3. Dose-effect relationship between number of T cells and anti-TNP-PFC response developed by TNP-OVA-primed syngeneic and allogeneic B cells. The cultures were incubated with 0.10 μ g TNP-KLH and the direct PFC response was measured on day 4.

light chain isotypes, were purified on a protein A-Sepharose 4B column. The specificity of the monoclonal obtained was studied in a radioimmunoassay in which their binding to T cell clones was measured using ¹²⁵I-rat anti-murine kappa monoclonal antibody.

The data illustrated in Table VII show the binding of two monoclonal anticlonotype antibodies to three KLH-specific T cell clones and two KLH-specific T cell hybridomas already described (17, 18). While the monoclonal anticlonotype antibody S2a6.18, binds only to the T cell subclone A12.11 and T hybridoma FN1–18, the antibody L9.3 displays a low binding to T cell hybridomas and no binding to T cell clones. It should be noted that the lack of binding of our monoclonal antibodies to thymocytes, two T cell clones specific for OVA and PR8 influenza virus and BW5147, an AKR lymphoma line. These findings exclude the possibility that antibody S3a.6–18 reacted with other T cell antigens such as T300, LAF-1, L₃T₄, T₄, or gp54 protein (data not shown). Therefore, these data suggested that the syngeneic S3a6.18 monoclonal antibody obtained from a CB6F₁ mouse immunized with a KLH-specific line, recognizes antigenic determinants shared by only A12.11 and FN1–18 T cell clones.

This antibody, as well as anti-I-A monoclonal antibodies, were used to investigate their effect on KLH- and allogeneic-induced proliferative responses. The results shown in Table VIII illustrate that the monoclonal anti-clonotype S2a.6– 18 inhibits the proliferative response of A12.11 induced by KLH, as well as $C_{s}H/He$ allogeneic stimulator cells. In contrast, only anti-I-A^d antibodies blocked the proliferative response to KLH. These data are in agreement with Table II, which shows that the proliferation to KLH only occurs in the context of H-2^d antigen-presenting cells. The allogeneic response to H-2^k stimulating cells, however, was only blocked by anti-I-A^k monoclonal antibodies. These results demonstrate that the binding of anti-I-A antibody to KLH associated with I-A^d determinants on the surface of antigen-presenting cells or to I-A^k determinants on stimulating cells mask these determinants, leading to the inhibition of the proliferation of A12.11 clone. In contrast, the binding of an anti-clonotypic

Table VI
In Vitro Anti-SRBC PFC Response After Culturing B Cells with A12.11 T Cell Clone in
Presence of SRBC and KLH

Origin of B cells	T cells (3×10^5)	Irradiated	Antigen (SRBC 10 ⁷	Anti-SRBC PFC/
$(2 \times 10^{\circ})^{*}$		spleen cells	KLH 40 μg)	culture
CB6F1	-		_	0
		—	SRBC KLH	26 ± 4
C3H/Hej		_		2 ± 2
, î			SRBC KLH	19 ± 4
CBA/N				0
		_	SRBC KLH	8 ± 3
CB6F1	A12.11			2 ± 2
	A12.11	_	SRBC KLH	36 ± 5
C3H/He	A12.11	_	_	12 ± 3
	A12.11	_	SRBC KLH	4 ± 2
CBA/N	A12.11		_	0
	A12.11		SRBC KLH	10 ± 3
$CB6F_1$	A12.11	CB6F1		6 ± 3
	A12.11	CB6F ₁	SRBC KLH	31 ± 5
C3H/HeJ	A12.11	CB6F1	_	0
	A12.11	CB6F ₁	SRBC KLH	20 ± 3
CBA/N	A12.11	CB6F ₁	—	2 ± 2
	A12.11	CB6F1	SRBC KLH	18 ± 4
Control for in vitr	o SRBC PFC respo	onse: spleen cells	from SRBC-primed mic	се
CB6F				204 ± 111
02011			SRBC KLH	1.432 ± 13
C3H/HeI			_	192 ± 10
,j			SRBC KLH	1.072 ± 14
CBA/N				91 ± 6
			SRBC KLH	839 ± 20
Control for helper	function of A12.1	1 cells		
B cells from TN	P-OVA primed	T cells	Antigen	Anti-TNP PFC/
(2 ×	10 ⁶)	(3×10^{5})	$(0.01 \ \mu g/ml)$	culture
СВ	6F ₁	nil	TNP-KLH	8 ± 4

* B cells were prepared as described in Material and Methods.

antibody to the receptor of A12.11 blocked its ability to interact with altered self or alloantigens.

Discussion

The central finding of this communication has been to characterize the properties of four KLH-specific clones and particularly of two of them, A12.11 and F_6 , exhibiting proliferation to allogeneic cells from mouse strains bearing H- 2^k and H- 2^q haplotype, respectively. The antigen-induced proliferative response of T cell clones was genetically restricted to parenteral MHC-encoded antigens. Clone A12.11 proliferates in response to KLH in the presence of either CB6F₁ or BALB/c(H- 2^d) APC, whereas clones C1.2, D18, and F₆ proliferate in response

TABLE	v	П
-------	---	---

Binding of Monoclonal Anti-clonotype Antibodies to KLH-specific T Cell Clones and Hybridomas

Monoclonal	0	T clones			T hybri	D	
antibodies	specificities	A12.11	D18	C1.4	FN1-18	FN13-21	BW0147
Nil	_	876 ± 178*	1,056 ± 392	947 ± 334	274 ± 49	257 ± 49	331 ± 62
3D H.12	Thy-1.2	$7,690 \pm 418$	5,964 ± 219	8,208 ± 3,706	19,980 ± 2,965	$17,347 \pm 229$	ND
NEI.017	Lyt-1.2	11,054 ± 380	11,034 ± 248	$14,948 \pm 1,018$	7,810 ± 1,165	$7,843 \pm 661$	472 ± 95
NEI 006	Lyt-2.2	$1,328 \pm 708$	$1,456 \pm 183$	1,719 ± 66	ND [‡]	ND	ND
10-2.16	Í-A ^k	$1,644 \pm 418$	$1,140 \pm 69$	$1,382 \pm 342$	ND	ND	ND
S3a 6.18	KLH-T cell line	8,376 ± 1,776	1,434 ± 440	$1,510 \pm 410$	$5,134 \pm 1,358$	440 ± 69	264 ± 49
L9.3	KLH-T cell line	$1,300 \pm 108$	$1,042 \pm 40$	1,98	$3,760 \pm 1,331$	$2,878 \pm 164$	240 ± 62

 3×10^{5} cells were incubated for 45 min at 4°C in PBS 1% BSA, 0.01% azide containing 10 µg monoclonal antibodies. After incubation, the cells were washed 3× and incubated for 2 h at 4°C with ¹²⁶I-rat anti-mouse K monoclonal antibodies (70,000 cpm) and radioactivity was measured in γ -spectrometer after extensive washing.

* Mean cpm ± SD of triplicate.

* ND, not done.

 TABLE VIII

 Effect of Anti-Ia and Anti-Id Antibodies on the Proliferative Response of A12.11 T Cell Clone

Antibody added to the culture	Specificity	A12.11	CB6/F ₁ + KLH*	C3H/HeJ
nil		-	$130 \pm 34^{\ddagger}$	90 ± 8
nil		+	$19,588 \pm 2,699$	$24,636 \pm 386$
25-9-3s	I-A ^b	+	$18,691 \pm 2,221$	$23,079 \pm 3,234$
MK-D6	I-A ^d	+	$2,673 \pm 383$	$20,711 \pm 1,055$
10.2=16	I-A ^k	+	$20,301 \pm 3,588$	$2,928 \pm 421$
S3a.6-18	KLH T clone	+	$3,343 \pm 1,367$	$3,450 \pm 824$
L9-3	KLH T clone	+	$20,207 \pm 351$	$22,611 \pm 487$
UPC-10	β 2-1 fructosan	+	$17,261 \pm 1,517$	$22,399 \pm 780$
76-42	Anti(anti-A48Id)	+	$19,275 \pm 2,110$	$18,912 \pm 319$

* Cultures contained Iscove's serum-free medium supplemented with 1% purified monoclonal antibodies.

[‡] Tritiated thymidine incorporation of triplicate cultures presented in cpm ± SD.

to KLH in the presence of either $CB6F_1$ or $C57BL/6(H-2^b)$ cells. These results are in agreement with previously reported data that T cells from F_1 animals recognize the foreign antigens in association with Ia determinants expressed by one parent.

The clonal nature of T cell clones exhibiting double specificity was demonstrated by subcloning under stringent limiting dilution (0.5 cells/well) and BUdR experiments. The subclone exhibited the same reactivity pattern as parental clones. Furthermore, in BUdR-suicide experiments, both KLH and allogeneicinduced reciprocal proliferative responses were ablated upon BUdR and light exposure.

Two major hypotheses can be entertained to explain the ability of a single T cell to recognize foreign antigens in association with syngeneic MHC-encoded determinants and to proliferate in response to allogeneic Ia antigens: (a) a certain degree of mimicry between the alloantigens and the neoantigens created by the association of foreign antigens with the syngeneic MHC determinants; and (b) that T cells carry two receptors with different recognition specificities.

The first hyothesis is supported by a recent finding demonstrating the inhibi-

tion of an alloreactive (H-2^d) T cell hybridoma by a synthetic polypeptide antigen (19). Our results are also congruent to the first hypothesis: the incubation of A12.11 subclone with anti-I-A^d inhibited the KLH proliferative response in the presence of BALB/c APC and the anti-I-A^k antibody inhibited the proliferative response induced by C₃H/He] stimulating cells. The anti-clonotype antibody inhibited both responses. Thus, when anti-I-A antibodies were added to the cultures, they masked I-A^d determinants crucial for KLH-induced proliferative response or I-A^k determinants required for alloproliferative response. In contrast, the anti-clonotypic antibody subsequent to the binding to T cell receptor prevented the recognition and triggering of the proliferation by KLH plus I-A^d or I-A^k allogeneic cells. Therefore, our data strongly favor a single receptor hypothesis and a mimicry between antigen plus self and alloantigens. However, the inability of anti-I-A^k antibody to inhibit KLH-induced proliferation and of anti-I-A^d antibody to inhibit allogeneic proliferation suggests only a certain degree of mimicry, since the alloantigens are only "infidele" copies or "internal image" of neoantigens created by association of foreign antigens with self MHCencoded determinants. It should be mentioned that our experiments do not formally rule out the two-receptors hypothesis, since it is possible to imagine that V genes encoding for recognition of KLH plus I-A^d and I-A^k share a common clonotypic determinant or that our anti-clonotypic antibody was specific for the product of DNA segment encoding for constant region of T cell receptor (20). This is highly unlikely, since the data presented in Table VII show that the monoclonal anti-clonotype binds to only A12.11 and FN1-18 KLH-specific clones.

The second set of findings reported in this communication deals with the helper function of the KLH-specific clones. All four clones were able to provide help for CB6F₁ TNP-OVA-primed B cells to mount an IgM and IgG PFC response in the presence of $0.001-10 \ \mu g/ml$ TNP-KLH conjugate. The helper function was dependent of carrier-hapten linkage, since no significant anti-TNP-PFC response was observed upon the culture of CB6F₁ TNP-OVA-primed B cells and A12.11 T clone in the presence of TNP-OVA alone or TNP-OVA and KLH mixture.

Thus, it appears that the properties of our clones, depending on hapten-carrier linkage and able to provide help for IgM and IgG with both low and high antigen doses, are very different from the properties of clones described by Asano et al. (15). However, our data using KLH-heterogeneous T cells clearly show that the clones described by Asano et al. (15) exist in the repertoire, since we observed, indeed, a significant high IgG response with lymph node T cells in the presence of a low dose of antigens. The ability of our clones to provide help with a dose of antigen as low as $0.001 \,\mu\text{g/ml}$ suggests that the clones described in this paper have a high affinity for the carrier determinant(s). The study of helper T cells recognizing carrier determinants in association with self-encoded MHC determinants and exhibiting alloreactivity provided an excellent tool for investigating the rules that govern the genetic restriction of T-B cell cooperation.

There are numerous reports suggesting the existence of two antigen-specific helper T cell subsets. The first subset recognizes carrier determinants in association with self MHC-encoded determinants that cooperate with B cells via an

1312

WATERS ET AL.

antigen-carrier bridge (21) in an MHC-restricted manner (22). The existence of this subset of helper T cells was confirmed by cloning experiments (see data reviewed in reference 23). A second subset, first clearly demonstrated by the experiments of Marrack and Kappler (16), can induce the differentiation of unprimed B cells in response to a particulate antigen or even a polyclonal activation of B cells (24) through the releasing of lymphokines. Asano et al. (15) described an antigen-specific T cell clone exhibiting the properties of both subsets which were MHC restricted in their interaction with Lyb5⁻ B cells and helped Lyb5⁺ B cells in MHC-unrestricted fashion. DeFranco et al. (25) have also shown that antigen-specific T cell clones can induce the activation and differentiation of resting B cells in a MHC-restricted manner in the presence of antigen for which T cells are specific as well as a polyclonal activation of both Lyb5⁺ and Lyb5⁻ subsets in the presence of antigen and APC of proper MHC haplotype. These reports suggest that the same antigen-specific T cells can cooperate with B cells in a MHC-restricted and unrestricted manner. However, it clearly appears from our results that at various T:B cell ratios $(10^4-10^6 \text{ T}: 2 \times 10^6 \text{ B})$, the A12.11 KLH-specific helper T clone was able to activate B cells only via a carrierantigen bridge and in a MHC-restricted fashion. No polyclonal activation of IgM secretion, or specific activation of SRBC precursors was observed with syngeneic B cells or allogeneic Lyb5⁻ and Lyb5⁺ B cells. These data are particularly important, since other investigators showed that allospecific T cells can provide help to B cells (26-28).

The ability of T cell clones specific for a foreign antigen and exhibiting a secondary alloreactive to provide help through only MHC-restricted T-B cell interaction could be explained: (a) by differences in the affinity of the receptor of T cell clones for the carrier determinants versus alloantigen or alternatively; (b) by the inability of T cells recognizing foreign antigens in association with self MHC-encoded determinants to recognize antigen in the context of alloantigens, since determinant selection (29) requires different association of the same antigen with various Ia determinants. Whether or not this is a general property of antigen-specific helper cells exhibiting alloreactivity, remains to be elucidated.

Summary

Four keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH)-specific clones prepared from the lymph node of CB6F₁ mice immunized with KLH had a proliferative response restricted to parental major histocompatibility complex (MHC)-encoded antigens. These clones provided help for CB6F₁ trinitrophenyl-ovalbumin (TNP-OVA)primed B cells to mount IgM and IgG plaque-forming cell (PFC) responses in the presence of KLH-TNP conjugate. In addition, two of these clones (A12.11 and F6) proliferated in response to allogeneic cells from mice strains bearing H- 2^k or H- 2^q haplotypes, respectively. However, they did not provide help for C₃H/ He or B10.Q primed B cells. The clonal nature of A12.11 and F6 was demonstrated by subcloning and in BUdR-suicide experiments. The proliferative response to KLH was ablated by anti-Ia^d antibodies, whereas the proliferation induced by C₃H/HeJ stimulating cells was ablated by anti-Ia^k antibodies. Furthermore, both responses were inhibited by a monoclonal anti-clonotype (idiotype) antibody. Taken together, these results strongly support the hypothesis that the same receptor recognizes alloantigens and KLH associated with selfantigens.

Received for publication 4 June 1984.

1314

References

- Fischer, L. K., and D. B. Wilson. 1977. Histocompatibility antigen-activated cytotoxic T lymphocytes. II. Estimates of the frequency and specificity of precursors. J. Exp. Med. 145:508.
- 2. Matzinger, P., and M. J. Bevan. 1977. Why do so many lymphocytes respond to major histocompatibility antigens? Cell Immunol. 29:1.
- von Boehmer, M., H. Hengartner, M. Naboltz, W. Lernhard, M. H. Schreier, and W. Haas. 1979. Fine specificity of a conventional growing killer cell clone specific for H-Y antigen. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 9:592.
- 4. Sredni, B., and R. H. Schwartz. 1980. Alloreactivity of an antigen specific T-cell clone. Nature (Lond.). 287:855.
- 5. Ben-Nun, A., D. M. Landoz, and S. J. Barehoff. 1983. Analysis of cross-reactive antigen specific T cell clones. J. Exp. Med. 155, 2147.
- Braciale, T. J., M. E. Andrew, and V. L. Braciale. 1981. Simultaneous expression of H-2 restricted and alloreactive recognition by a cloned line of influenza virus specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes. J. Exp. Med. 153:137.
- Abromson-Leeman, S. R., and H. Cantor. 1983. Specificity of T cell clones for antigen and autologous major histocompatibility complex products determines specificity for foreign major histocompatibility products. J. Exp. Med. 158:428.
- 8. Sredni, B., H.-Y. Tse, C. Chen, and R. H. Schwartz. 1981. Antigen specific clones of proliferating T lymphocytes. I. Methodology, specificity and MHC restriction. J. Immunol. 126:341.
- 9. Ulmer, A. J., and H. D. Flad. 1979. Discontinuous density gradient separation of human leucocytes using percoll as gradient medium. J. Immunol. Methods. 152:759.
- Kimoto, M., and G. C. Fathman. 1980. Antigen-reactive T cell clones I. Transcomplementary hybrid I. A. region gene products function effectively in antigen presentation. J. Exp. Med. 152:759.
- 11. Bona, C., A. Yano, A. Dimitriui, and R. G. Miller. 1978. Mitogenic analysis of murine B cell heterogeneity. J. Exp. Med. 148:136.
- 12. Rubinstein, L. J., M. Yeh, and C. Bona. 1982. Idiotype-antiidiotype network. II. Activation of silent clones by treatment at birth with idiotypes is associated with the expression of idiotype-specific helper T cells. J. Exp. Med. 156:506.
- 13. Rittenberg, M. G., and K. L. Pratt. 1969. Anti-trinitrophenyl (TNP) plaque assay. Primary response of BALB/c mice to soluble and particulate immunogen. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 132:575.
- 14. Cunningham, C., and R. Szenberg. 1968. Further improvement in the plaque technique for detecting single antibody-forming cells. *Immunology*. 14:559.
- Asano, Y., M. Shigata, C. G. Fathman, A. Singer, and R. J. Hodes. 1982. Role of the major histocompatibility complex in T cell activation of B cell subpopulations. J. Exp. Med. 156:350.
- Marrack, P. C., and J. W. Kappler. 1975. Antigen-specific and nonspecific mediators of T cell/B cell cooperation. I. Evidence for their production by different T cells. J. Immunol. 114:1116.
- 17. Nagase, F., S. J. Waters, G. J. Thorbecke, and C. A. Bona. 1984. Characterization of

a CB6F₁ T cell hybridoma with double specificity: recognition of antigen in context of I-A^d and autoreactivity to I-A^b. Eur. J. Immunol. 14:652.

- 18. Waters, S. J., and C. Bona. 1984. Murine T cell hybridoma recognizing foreign antigen in association with an interspecies cross-reactive epitope encoded by murine and human MHC gene complex. *In* Regulation of the Immune System. *UCLA* (*Univ. Calif. Los Ang.*) Symp. Mol. Cell. Biol. 18: in press.
- 19. Rock, L. K., and B. Benacerraf. 1983. MHC-restricted T cell activation analysis with T cell hybridomas. *Immunol. Rev.* 76:29.
- Hedrick, S. M., D. I. Cohen, E. A. Nielsen, and M. M. Davis. 1984. Isolation of cDNA clone encoding T-cell specific membrane associated proteins. *Nature (Lond.)*. 308:149.
- 21. Lake, P., and N. A. Mitchison. 1977. Regulatory mechanisms in the immune response to cell surface antigens. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 41:589.
- 22. Jones, B., and C. A. Janeway. 1981. Cooperative interaction of B lymphocytes with antigen specific helper T lymphocytes is MHC restricted. *Nature (Lond.)*. 232:871.
- 23. Fathman, C. G., and M. Kimoto. 1980. Studies utilizing murine T cell clones: Ir gene, Ia antigens and MLR stimulating determinants. *Immunol. Rev.* 54:55.
- 24. Augustin, A., and A. Coutinho. 1980. Specific T helper cells that activate B cells polyclonally. J. Exp. Med. 151:587.
- 25. DeFranco, A. L., J. D. Ashwell, R. H. Schwartz, and W. E. Paul. 1984. Polyclonal stimulation of resting B lymphocytes by antigen-specific T lymphocytes. J. Exp. Med. 159:861.
- 26. Mitchison, N. A. 1981. Allospecific T cells. Cell Immunol. 62:258.
- 27. Panfili, P. R., and R. W. Dutton. 1978. Alloantigen-induced T helper activity I. Minimal genetic differences necessary to induce a positive allogeneic effect. J. Immunol. 120:1897.
- 28. Ming, Y. A., A. Czitron, and N. A. Mitchison. 1982. Allospecific T cell lines with functional activities. *Immunology*. 46:281.
- 29. Rosenthal, A. S. 1978. Determinant selection and macrophage function between antigen presenting cells and primed T lymphocytes. *Immunol. Rev.* 50:136.