

Response to comments on: Long-term results of a single injection of intravitreal dexamethasone as initial therapy in diabetic macular edema

Dear Editor,

We sincerely thank Varghese *et al.*^[1] for their interest in our article^[2] and we would like to put our response to their comments as follows:

The authors are not denying the effectiveness of anti-VEGF in DME. This study is conducted as a prospective noncomparative study to evaluate the efficacy of intravitreal dexamethasone implant as an initial therapy in DME as a part of a thesis protocol of a DNB Post Graduate Trainee. We still stand by our claim of being the first noncomparative study as the study mentioned by Varghese *et al.* in their reference to the study by Gillies MC *et al.*^[3] is a comparative study between intravitreal bevacizumab and dexamethasone implant. In the same study, they have mentioned and I quote that “We found no significant difference between two groups with respect to Visual gain” and “Ozurdex generally achieved better anatomical outcomes with substantially fewer injections”. Hence, intravitreal dexamethasone can definitely be considered as a viable alternative to anti-VEGF in DME.

The statement “DME is known to have two phases, the initial VEGF mediated phase and the late inflammation-mediated phase” is not agreeable as suggested by various studies and I would like to quote a few important statements to support the same from the study by Pedro RA *et al.*^[4] like “it still remains to be clarified whether angiogenesis following VEGF overexpression is a cause or a consequence of inflammation... Our analysis suggests that angiogenesis and inflammation act interdependently during the development of DME”. Hence, both anti-VEGF and anti-inflammatory agents are effective in the management of any stage of DME. However, the anti-VEGF agents are the preferred molecules over inflammatory agents in the management of DME with PDR.

We have mentioned in our study that out of 30 eyes, 06 (26.6%) had recurrent DME upon 1 year follow up, which implies that a small group is having recurrence of disease after a period of 04 to 06 months. However, most of the patients exhibit anatomical and visual improvement

and retain the same at the end of 1-year follow up, which is comparable to other studies.^[5-7] Complications like increase in the postinjection risk of development of cataract and IOP is minimal and acceptable as mentioned in different studies.^[8-10] Postinjection IOP increase is marginal and self-limiting, if steroid responders are excluded before injecting dexamethasone as done in our study.

Thus, we can come to a conclusion that intravitreal dexamethasone can be considered as a suitable alternative to anti-VEGF as an initial therapy for DME patients except for those with PDR or at high risk for glaucoma.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

Santosh Kumar Mahapatra, Swati Kumari

JPM Rotary Club of Cuttack Eye Hospital and Research Institute, CDA, Cuttack, Odisha, India

Correspondence to: Dr. Santosh Kumar Mahapatra, JPM Rotary Club of Cuttack Eye Hospital and Research Institute, CDA, Cuttack, Odisha, India.
E-mail: santu_k74@rediffmail.com

References

1. Varghese S, Ranjan R, Manayath GJ. Comments on: Long-term results of a single injection of intravitreal dexamethasone as initial therapy in diabetic macular edema. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 2021;69:186-7.
2. Mahapatra SK, Kumari S. Long-term results of a single injection of intravitreal dexamethasone as initial therapy in diabetic macular edema. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 2020;68:490-3.
3. Gillies MC, Lim LL, Campain A, Quin GJ, Salem W, Li J, *et al.* A Randomized clinical trial of intravitreal bevacizumab versus intravitreal dexamethasone for diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology* 2014;121:2473-81.
4. Romero-Aroca P, Baget-Bernaldiz M, Pareja-Rios A, Lopez-Galvez M, Navarro-Gil R, Verges R. Raul navarro-gil and raquel verges. diabetic macular edema pathophysiology: Vasogenic versus inflammatory. *J Diabetes Res* 2016;2016:2156273.
5. Boyer DS, Yoon YH, Belfort R Jr, Bandello F, Maturi RK, Augustin AJ, *et al.* Ozurdex MEAD Study Group. Three year, randomized, sham

- controlled trial of dexamethasone intravitreal implant in patients with diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology* 2014;121:1904-14.
6. Querques G, Lattanzio R, Querques L, Triolo G, Cascavilla ML, Cavallero E, *et al.* Impact of intravitreal dexamethasone implant on macular morphology and function. *Retina* 2014;34:330-41.
 7. Zalewski D, Raczyska D, Raczyska K. Five month observation of persistent diabetic macular edema after intravitreal injection of Ozurdex implant. *Mediators Inflamm* 2014;2014:364143.
 8. Bahadorani S, Krambeer C, Wannamaker K, Tie W, Jansen M, Espitia J, *et al.* The effects of repeated Ozurdex injections on ocular hypertension. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2018;12:639-42.
 9. Scaramuzzi M, Querques G, Spina CL, Lattanzio R, Bandello F. Repeated intravitreal dexamethasone implant (Ozurdex) for diabetic macular edema. *Retina* 2015;35:1216-22.
 10. Boyer DS, Yoon YH, Belfort R Jr, Bandello F, Maturi RK, Augustin AJ, *et al.* Three-year, randomized, sham-controlled trial of dexamethasone intravitreal implant in patients with diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology* 2014;121:1904-14.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.ijo.in
	DOI: 10.4103/ijo.IJO_1136_20

Cite this article as: Mahapatra SK, Kumari S. Response to comments on: Long-term results of a single injection of intravitreal dexamethasone as initial therapy in diabetic macular edema. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 2021;69:187-8.

© 2020 Indian Journal of Ophthalmology | Published by Wolters Kluwer - Medknow