

CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC COMMUNICATIONS

Received 3 November 2016 Accepted 7 November 2016

Edited by W. T. A. Harrison, University of Aberdeen, Scotland

Keywords: crystal structure; copper; dithiocarbamate; hydrogen bonding; Hirshfeld surface analysis; NMR.

CCDC reference: 1515483

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





[*N*,*N*-Bis(2-hydroxyethyl)dithiocarbamato- $\kappa^2 S$,*S'*]bis(triphenylphosphane- κP)copper(I) chloro-form monosolvate: crystal structure, Hirshfeld surface analysis and solution NMR measurements

Sang Loon Tan,^a Chien Ing Yeo,^a Peter J. Heard,^b Geoffrey R. Akien,^c Nathan R. Halcovitch^c and Edward R. T. Tiekink^a*

^aResearch Centre for Crystalline Materials, Faculty of Science and Technology, Sunway University, 47500 Bandar Sunway, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia, ^bOffice of the Provost, Sunway University, 47500 Bandar Sunway, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia, and ^cDepartment of Chemistry, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YB, UK. *Correspondence e-mail: edwardt@sunway.edu.my

The title compound, $[Cu(C_5H_5NO_2S_2)(C_{18}H_{15}P)_2]\cdot CHCl_3$, features a tetrahedrally coordinated Cu^I atom within a P_2S_2 donor set defined by two phosphane P atoms and by two S atoms derived from a symmetrically coordinating dithiocarbamate ligand. Both intra- and intermolecular hydroxy- $O-H\cdots O(hy-$

droxy) hydrogen bonding is observed: the former closes an eight-membered $\{\cdots HOC_2NC_2O\}$ ring, whereas the latter connects centrosymmetrically related molecules into dimeric aggregates *via* eight-membered $\{\cdots H-O\cdots H-O\}_2$ synthons. The complex molecules are arranged to form channels along the *c* axis in which reside the chloroform molecules, being connected by $CI\cdots \pi$ (arene) and short $S\cdots CI$ [3.3488 (9) Å] interactions. The intermolecular interactions have been investigated further by Hirshfeld surface analysis, which shows the conventional hydrogen bonding to be very localized with the main contributors to the surface, at nearly 60%, being $H\cdots H$ contacts. Solution NMR studies indicate that whilst the same basic molecular structure is retained in solution, the triphenylphosphane ligands are highly labile, exchanging rapidly with free Ph₃P at room temperature.

1. Chemical context

The motivation to prepare bis(phosphane)copper(I) dithiocarbamates of general formula $(R_3P)_2Cu(S_2CNR'R'')$ (R, R', R')R'' = alkyl, aryl) largely stems from the versatile biological properties exhibited by these types of compounds (Skrott & Cvek, 2012; Biersack et al., 2012) and metal dithiocarbamates in general, as summarized in a recent review (Hogarth, 2012). At present, research continues to develop promising antimicrobial agents in light of the growing prevalence of bacterial infections and threats associated with drug-resistant bacteria (Verma & Singh, 2015; Onwudiwe et al., 2016). In our recent efforts to develop anti-microbial agents, phosphanegold(I) dithiocarbamates, R_3 PAu[S₂CN(*i*Pr)CH₂CH₂OH], were prepared and these compounds demonstrated prominent and distinctive anti-microbial activity against a broad range of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, dependent on the type of P-bound substituent employed (Sim et al., 2014). A distinct structure-activity relationship was noted in that when R = Et, the compound was potent against a broad range of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, whereas the R =Ph and Cy compounds showed specific activity against Gram-

research communications

positive bacteria. Even greater, broad-range activity is apparent in triethylphosphanegold(I) dialkyldithiocarbamates (Chen et al., 2016). The above prompted an exploration of the anti-bacterial activity of related copper(I) and silver(I) derivatives, as these metals are known to possess noteworthy potential as anti-microbial agents (Losasso et al., 2014). Thus, a series of phosphanecopper(I) and silver(I) compounds of general formula $(Ph_3P)_2M[S_2CN(R)CH_2CH_2OH]$ for M = Cuand Ag, and R = Me, *i*Pr and CH₂CH₂OH, were prepared and evaluated for their anti-microbial activities (Jamaludin et al., 2016). While none of the studied compounds exhibited activity against Gram-negative bacteria, they were found to be selectively potent against Gram-positive bacteria. Following new syntheses to evaluate further the potential of this class of compounds, crystals became available for the title complex, $(Ph_3P)_2Cu[S_2CN(CH_2CH_2OH)_2]$ (I), as its 1:1 chloroform solvate. Herein, the crystal and molecular structures of (I)·CHCl₃ are described along with an analysis of its Hirshfeld surface. Finally, some non-standard, e.g. variable temperature, NMR measurements are presented in order to gain insight into the solution structure.



2. Structural commentary

The molecular structure of the complex in (I)·CHCl₃ is shown in Fig. 1 and selected geometric parameters are collected in



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the complex in (I)·CHCl₃, showing the atomlabelling scheme and displacement ellipsoids at the 70% probability level. The solvent CHCl₃ molecule is omitted.

crystal.					
Parameter	(I) in (I)·CHCl ₃	(I) in (I)·PPh ₃ ^a			
Cu-S1	2.3791 (6)	2.3948 (12)			
Cu-S2	2.4213 (5)	2.4288 (12)			
Cu-P1	2.2602 (6)	2.2849 (12)			
Cu-P2	2.2380 (5)	2.2594 (12)			
C1-S1	1.714 (2)	1.709 (4)			
C1-S2	1.717 (2)	1.702 (4)			
S1-Cu-S2	75.264 (18)	74.76 (4)			
S1-Cu-P1	110.96 (2)	109.85 (5)			
S1-Cu-P2	109.81 (2)	112.35 (4)			
S2-Cu-P1	103.74 (2)	102.50 (4)			
S2-Cu-P2	123.17 (2)	122.04 (5)			

123.65 (2)

124.52 (4)

Geometric data (Å, °) for (I) in (I)·CHCl₃ and (I) in its 1:1 Ph₃P co-

Note: (a) Jian et al. (2000).

P1-Cu-P2

Table 1

Table 1. The copper atom is bound by two dithiocarbamate-S atoms and two phosphane-P atoms. The dithiocarbamate ligand is coordinating in a symmetric mode with $\Delta(Cu-S) = 0.042$ Å, being the difference between the Cu-S_{long} and Cu-S_{short} bond lengths. This near equivalence in Cu-S bond lengths is reflected in the experimental equivalence of the associated C1-S1, S2 bond lengths. A small disparity, *i.e.* 0.02 Å, is noted in the Cu-P bond lengths. The resulting P₂S₂ donor set defines an approximate tetrahedral geometry. A measure of tetrahedral *vs* square-planar geometry is the value of τ_4 (Yang *et al.*, 2007) with values of 1.0 and 0.0° corresponding to ideal tetrahedral and square planar geometries, respectively. In the case of the complex in (I)·CHCl₃, the value computes to 0.80. Distortions from the ideal tetrahedral



Figure 2

Overlay diagram of (I)-CHCl₃ (red image) and (I)-Ph₃P (blue). The molecules have been overlapped so the chelate rings are coincident. The CHCl₃ and Ph₃P molecules have been omitted.

Table 2	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$).	

Cg1 is the ring centroid of (C51–C56).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O2-H2O\cdots O1$ $O1-H1O\cdots O2^{i}$	0.84 0.86	1.95 1.97	2.710 (3) 2.697 (3)	150 142
$C6-Cl3\cdots Cg1$	1.77 (1)	3.81 (1)	3.798 (3)	76 (1)

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y + 2, -z + 2.

geometry are clearly related to the acute angle subtended by the dithiocarbamate ligand and the wide angle subtended by the bulky triphenylphosphane ligands, Table 1.

The structure of (I) has also been determined in its 1:1 cocrystal with PPh₃ (Jian *et al.*, 2000), hereafter (I)·Ph₃P, and key geometric parameters for this structure are also included in Table 1. Interestingly, within pairs of comparable bond lengths, those in (I)·PPh₃ are systematically longer. However, the value of Δ (Cu-S) is slightly less at 0.034 Å. The value of τ_4 is identical at 0.80. An overlay diagram for (I) in each of (I)·CHCl₃ and (I)·PPh₃ is shown in Fig. 2 which confirms the very similar conformations adopted for (I) in both structures.

3. Supramolecular features

Geometric parameters describing the salient intermolecular interactions in the crystal of (I)·CHCl₃ are collated in Table 2. There are two types of hydroxy- $O-H \cdots O(hydroxy)$ hydrogen bonding in the molecular packing, one intramolecular and the other intermolecular. The former has hydroxy-O2-H as the donor and the hydroxy-O1 as the acceptor, and closes an eight-membered {···HOC₂NC₂O} ring. The key feature of the molecular packing is the presence of hydroxy-O-H···O(hydroxy) hydrogen bonding which connects centrosymmetriclly-related molecules into dimeric aggregates via eight-membered $\{\cdots H - O \cdots H - O\}_2$ synthons, encompassing the intramolecular hydroxy-O- $H \cdots O(hydroxy)$ hydrogen bonds, Fig. 3a. The only other identifiable directional interactions within standard distance criteria (Spek, 2009) involve the chloroform molecule. Thus, a chloroform-Cl3··· π (arene) interaction is noted, Table 2. In addition, there is evidence for a close $S1 \cdots Cl3$ contact, *i.e.* involving the same chlorine atom as in the just mentioned Cl··· π (arene) interaction. The separation of 3.3488 (9) Å is about 0.2 Å less than the sum of their van der Waals radii (Spek, 2009). In a very recent and exhaustive review of halogen bonding (Cavallo et al., 2016), it was mentioned that sulfur is well known to function as an acceptor in $R - X \cdots S$ synthons. The interactions involving the chloroform molecule are highlighted in Fig. 3b. Globally, molecules of the copper(I) complex pack to define channels parallel to the c axis in which reside the solvent molecules, Fig. 3c. Given the presence of Ph₃P ligands in (I)·CHCl₃, evidence was sought for phenylembraces (Dance & Scudder, 1995). While none was apparent for the P1-phosphane, centrosymmetrically related P2-phosphane ligands approach each other in this manner to generate a sixfold phenyl-embrace. The closest interactions between the phosphane residues in this embrace is a pair of edge-to-face-phenyl-H··· π (arene) interactions, *i.e.* C63-H63··· π (C51-C56)ⁱ = 3.25 Å with an angle at H62 of 133°; symmetry operation (i): 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z.

4. Hirshfeld surface analysis

The protocols for the Hirshfeld surface analysis were as described recently (Yeo *et al.*, 2016). In the present study, analyses were conducted on the following three species: (I) in (I)·CHCl₃, (I)·CHCl₃ and CHCl₃ alone. Hirshfeld surface



Figure 3

Molecular packing in (I)·CHCl₃: (*a*) supramolecular dimer sustained by hydroxy-O-H···O(hydroxy) hydrogen bonding shown as orange dashed lines, (*b*) a view of the interactions between the complex and solvent molecules with the Cl··· π (arene) and Cl···S interactions shown as purple and blue dashed lines, respectively, and (*c*) a view of the unit-cell contents in projection down the *c* axis, with chloroform molecules occupying one channel highlighted in space-filling mode.

research communications



Figure 4

Comparison of the Hirshfeld surfaces of (*a*) molecule (I) in (I)·CHCl₃ and (*b*) CHCl₃ in (I)·CHCl₃, highlighting intermolecular interactions formed with the other component of the structure. The Hirshfeld surfaces were mapped over d_{norm} within the range -0.572 to 1.457 Å.

analysis provides visualization on the existence of any intermolecular interactions within close proximity in a crystal structure, for which contact distances shorter than the sum of the respective van der Waals radii appear red while at distances equal or longer than this would be white and blue in appearance, respectively. Figs. 4*a* and *b* show Hirshfeld surfaces mapped over d_{norm} for (I) and CHCl₃, respectively. The former image exhibits intense red spots on the surface near the hydroxyethyl substituents which are correlated with the strong $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonding. Apart from these dominant interactions, several other red spots attributed to the close contacts between the complex and chloroform molecules, *i.e.* $C\cdots H/H\cdots C$, $S\cdots CI/Cl\cdots S$ and $H\cdots CI/Cl\cdots H$, are evident in Fig. 4*a* and *b*.

The combination of d_i and d_e distances resulted in twodimensional cuttlefish- and chicken wing-like fingerprint plots for (I), (I)·CHCl₃ and CHCl₃, Fig. 5*a*, which may be decomposed into several essential close contacts as shown in Fig. 5*b*-*d*. In general, complex (I) and its chloroform solvate exhibit almost identical profiles except that the pincer form of (I) in its decomposed fingerprint plot delineated into C···H/ H···C contacts shows two different tips at $d_e + d_i \sim 2.5$ Å and ~ 2.7 Å in contrast to the pincer form of (I)·CHCl₃ with a pair of symmetrical tips at $d_e + d_i \sim 2.7$ Å when the solvate is considered as a single entity. The close contact distance $(d_{\rm e} + d_{\rm i} \sim 2.5 \text{ Å})$, which is shorter than the sum of van der Waals radii of 2.9 Å (Spek, 2009), is also reflected in the lancet blade-like fingerprint plot of the solvent molecule corresponding to the Cl-H···C(π) interaction. The H···Cl/Cl···H contact, on the other hand, contributes to the half-pincer form in the decomposed fingerprint plot of (I) and develops into the full pincer form in (I)·CHCl₃, both with $d_e + d_i \sim 2.9$ Å that is very close to the sum of van der Waals radii (2.95 Å). As expected, $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ contacts constitute the strongest among all interactions with $d_e + d_i \sim 1.9$ Å (cf. the sum of van der Waals radii of 2.75 Å) in the forceps form of both decomposed fingerprint plots of (I) and (I)·CHCl₂, Fig. 5d. Based on the asymmetric fingerprint patterns of the $C \cdot \cdot H/$ $H \cdots C$ and $Cl \cdots H/H \cdots Cl$ contacts, Fig. 5b and c, and the symmetric pattern of the $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ contacts, Fig. 5d, it may be concluded that two complex molecules are very closely associated, as shown in Fig. 3a, and these are flanked by two CHCl₃ molecules, highlighted in Fig. 3b.

The quantification on the distribution of each of the contacts to the Hirshfeld surface reveals that $H \cdots H$, $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ and $H \cdots C C / C \cdots H$ are the three main components for





Comparison between (I) in (I)·CHCl₃, (I)·CHCl₃ and CHCl₃ of the (*a*) full two-dimensional fingerprint plots, and the plots delineated into (*b*) $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$, (*c*) $C I \cdots H/H \cdots CI$ and (*d*) $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ contacts.



Figure 6



(I) in (I)·CHCl₃, with the corresponding contributions of *ca* 59.4, 20.2 and 8.9%, respectively, Fig. 6. Despite this, not all of these contacts result in meaningful interactions based on the comparison between $d_e + d_i$ contact distances and the sum of the van der Waals radii. This sequence is followed by $O \cdot \cdot \cdot H/H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$ contacts which form the fourth most dominant interactions with a contribution of approximately 4.6% to the overall Hirshfeld surface. In general, there is not much deviation of the topological distribution between (I) and (I)·CHCl₃ except that the contribution from $H \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl/Cl \cdot \cdot \cdot H$ increases by nearly twofold upon the inclusion of the solvent molecule in (I)·CHCl₃. As for the chloroform molecule, $H \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl/Cl \cdot \cdot \cdot H$ makes the major contribution at 74.4%, followed by 8.9% from $H \cdot \cdot \cdot H$ and 8.4% from $H \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl/Cl \cdot \cdot \cdot H$; the remaining contributions from other minor contacts.

As mentioned previously, $CI \cdots \pi$ (arene) and $S \cdots CI$ interactions are formed by the chloroform molecule. In order to gain insight into the charge distribution and rationalize these close contacts, the electrostatic potential (ESP) was mapped over the Hirshfeld surface by *ab initio* Hartree–Fock (HF) quantum modelling with the 6-31G(d) basis set, as this represents the best possible level of theory and basis set functions in this study so as to keep the accuracy and computational cost at manageable level.

As shown in Fig. 7*a*, a phenyl ring of the complex molecule exhibits mild electronegative character as evidenced from the pale-red spot on the ESP map in contrast to the strong electropositive character about CHCl₃, being intense-blue. The electropositive character of the methine group extends slightly beyond the chloro atom approaching its equatorial ring of the negative charge region, hence establishing the weak $Cl \cdots \pi$ (arene) interaction with $d_e + d_i \sim 3.3$ Å being slightly less than the sum of van der Waals radii of 3.45 Å. The S···Cl halogen bond, on the other hand, is established through the highly directional interaction between the electronegative sulfur of (I) and the σ -hole of the chloro atom of CHCl₃ with weak electropositive character, Fig. 7*b*. The electropositive character of the σ -hole results from the electron deficiency in the outer lobe of the *p* orbital (non-bonded) when a half-filled

p orbital of a halogen participates in the formation of covalent bond (Clark *et al.*, 2007).

5. NMR Study

FT NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE III 400 MHz spectrometer, operating at 400.13, 100.61 and 161.98 MHz, respectively, for ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P. Spectra were indirectly referenced to the solvent deuterium lock shift; chemical shifts are quoted relative to TMS and 85% H₃PO₄. Probe temperatures were controlled by a standard variable temperature unit and are considered accurate to within ± 1 K. Spectra were acquired on approximately 14 mmol solutions of (I) in each of CD₂Cl₂, *d*₆-DMSO and CDCl₂CDCl₂.

The ambient temperature (298 K) ¹H NMR spectra of (I) display the expected signals due to the triphenylphosphine and dithiocarbamate ligands. The spectra are qualitatively identical in all three solvents, with the only significant differences being the position of the –OH signal of the dithiocarbamate ligand.

The aromatic region of the ¹H spectrum in d_6 -DMSO shows two multiplets at *ca* 7.39 ppm (6 H) and 7.28 ppm (24 H) attributable to Ph-H atoms of the triphenylphosphine ligands. A sharp singlet observed at 8.32 ppm (1 H) was assigned to



Figure 7

Electrostatic potential (ESP) mapped over the Hirshfeld surfaces of the complex molecule (I) (left) and CHCl₃ (right), showing the attraction between the electronegative (red) and electropositive (blue) sites for (*a*) Cl··· π (arene) and (*b*) S···Cl interactions, respectively. The ESP was mapped onto the Hirshfeld surface within the isocharge value of -0.119 to 0.164 a.u. by the *ab initio* Hartree–Fock (HF) quantum modelling approach with the 6-31G(d) basis set.

research communications

CHCl₃, as seen in the X-ray crystal structure analysis. The dithiocarbamate moiety displays a single set of resonances, indicating the two $-CH_2CH_2OH$ groups are chemically equivalent. The -OH groups display a triplet at 4.80 ppm (${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 5.3$ Hz), which disappears on the addition of D₂O. The methylene hydrogen atoms display a triplet at 3.96 ppm (${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 6.4$ Hz) and a pseudo quartet at 3.65 ppm, assignable to NCH₂- and $-CH_2OH$, respectively. On the addition of D₂O, the quartet collapses to a triplet.

The ¹³C{¹H} spectra in each of the solvents are also qualitatively identical. In d_6 -DMSO solution, the carbon atoms of the triphenylphospine ligands give rise to four resonances at 134.6 ppm (very weak, d, ¹ $J_{PC} \sim 22$ Hz, C_{ipso}), 133.6 ppm (d, ² $J_{PC} = 12$ Hz, C_{ortho}), 130.1 ppm (s, C_{para}) and 128.9 ppm (d, ³ $J_{PC} = 5.70$ Hz, C_{meta}). The dithiocarbamate ligand shows two signals due to the methylene carbon atoms at 58.7 ppm (NCH₂-) and 56.0 ppm (-CH₂OH), respectively. The quaternary carbon atom of the dithiocarbamate was not observed.

The ambient temperature ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ spectrum in CD_2Cl_2 displays as single, broad resonance at -1.55 ppm ($\Delta v_{1/2}$ = 280 Hz). The line broadening is attributed to rapid relaxation of Cu via the quadrupole relaxation (QR) mechanism. Quadrupole relaxation is strongly temperature dependent: the rate of relaxation increases as the temperature decreases. On cooling, the signal sharpens progressively: $\Delta v_{1/2}$ (203 K) \sim 35 Hz. The sharpening presumably arises because of the effective 'decoupling' of the ⁶⁵Cu-³¹P and ⁶³Cu-³¹P scalar couplings as the rate of (Cu) relaxation increases (Grace et al., 1970). The addition of ca 2 mg (0.9 equivalents) of triphenylphosphine at ambient temperature, to putatively give (I)·PPh₃, gives a single, broad peak at ca - 3 ppm, which is between the chemical shifts of pure (I) and free PPh₃ (ca - 6ppm), indicating rapid exchange of the triphenylphosphine ligands.

In an attempt to resolve the Cu-P J couplings, ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ spectra were recorded in CDCl₂CDCl₂ solution at elevated temperatures (to reduce the rate of QR). However, no significant changes were observed in the line widths on elevating the temperature to 328 K, and any Cu–P coupling, if not lost through reversible ligand dissociation, remained unresolved. There was no evidence of decomposition at higher temperatures in this solvent.

There are two key conclusions from the foregoing. Firstly, the experiments with D_2O proving exchange of the hydroxy-H atom indicates that this atom is labile, suggesting functionalization at this group should, in principle, be feasible. Secondly, the presence of additional Ph_3P in solution does not result in displacement of the dithiocarbamate ligand nor force a monodentate mode of coordination proving the stability of complex (I) in each of (I)·CHCl₃ and (I)·PPh₃, and in solution.

6. Database survey

The structural chemistry of $(R_3P)_2Cu(S_2CNR'R'')$ compounds was summarized very recently (Jamaludin *et al.*, 2016). In all, there are eight examples now available in the literature, namely {(Ph₃P)₂Cu[S₂CN(Me)(CH₂CH₂OH)]]·CH₂Cl₂ Jamaludin et al., 2016), $[(Ph_3P)_2Cu\{S_2CN(CH_2CH_2OH)_2\}]$ ·PPh₃ (Jian et al., 2000), [(Ph₃P)₂Cu{S₂CN(n-Pr)₂}]·CH₂Cl₂ (Xu et al., 2001), [(Ph₃P)₂Cu{S₂CN(CH₂CH₂)₂S}]·CH₂Cl₂ (Gupta et al., 2013), [(Ph₃P)₂Cu{S₂CN(CH₂CH₂)₂NPh}] (Gupta et al., 2013), $[(Ph_3P)_2Cu\{S_2CN(Me)CH_2Ph\}] \cdot CH_2Cl_2$ (Kumar et al., 2009) and [(Ph₃P)₂Cu{S₂CN(CH₂Ph)(CH₂py-4)}]·2H₂O (Rajput et al., 2012). Interestingly, all but one structure co-crystallizes with another molecule, solvent or otherwise, perhaps indicating inefficient molecular packing for these molecules. The P_2S_2 donor sets all eight compounds approximate tetrahedral angles with the range of τ_4 values being a low 0.78 in {(Ph₃P)₂Cu[S₂CN(Me)(CH₂CH₂OH)]}·CH₂Cl₂ (Jamaludin et al., 2016) to a high of 0.85 in [(Ph₃P)₂Cu{S₂CN(CH₂CH₂)₂S}].-CH₂Cl₂ (Gupta et al., 2013), the narrow range emphasizing the similarity in the molecular structures/geometries.

7. Synthesis and crystallization

All chemicals and solvents were used as purchased without purification, and all reactions were carried out under ambient conditions. The melting point was determined on a Biobase automatic melting point apparatus MP450. The IR spectrum was obtained on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum 400 FT Mid-IR/Far-IR spectrophotometer from 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹; abbreviations: *br*, broad; *m*, medium; *s*, strong.

Preparation of (I)·CHCl₃: triphenylphosphine (Alfa Aesar, 2 mmol, 0.524 g) in acetonitrile (Merck, 10 ml) was added to copper(I) chloride (Sigma Aldrich, 1 mmol, 0.099 g) in acetonitrile (10 ml), followed by addition of a dispersion of potassium bis(2-hydroxyethyl)dithiocarbamate (1 mmol, 0.219 g) in acetonitrile (15 ml), prepared from the standard procedures (Jamaludin *et al.*, 2016). The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. Chloroform (Merck, 35 ml) was added to the reaction mixture and it was left for slow evaporation at room temperature. Yellow blocks of (I)·CHCl₃ were obtained after one day. Yield: 0.699 g (91%). M.p. 423.8–424.5 K. IR (cm⁻¹): 3268 (*br*) (OH), 1433 (*s*) (C–N), 1168 (*m*), 990 (*s*) (C–S).

8. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. Carbon-bound H atoms were placed in calculated positions (C-H = 0.95–1.00 Å) and were included in the refinement in the riding-model approximation, with $U_{iso}(H)$ set to $1.2U_{eq}(C)$. Refinement of the O-bound H atoms proved unstable so these atoms were fixed in the model in the positions revealed by a difference Fourier map, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(O)$. The maximum and minimum residual electron density peaks of 1.97 and 1.93 e Å⁻³, respectively, were located 0.78 and 0.62 Å from the Cl1 atom. While this feature of the difference map might indicate disorder, additional peaks that might be anticipated for the other atoms in the disordered component of chloroform molecule were not evident. This, plus the observation that the anisotropic displacement parameters of the atoms comprising the

CHCl₃

Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$

2041.92 (7)

Μο Κα

0.95

15.9361 (3)

 $0.44 \times 0.24 \times 0.19$

zero AtlasS2

78295, 11363, 10195

0.928 1.000

0.029

0.708

887.71

100

2

 $[Cu(C_5H_5NO_2S_2)(C_{18}H_{15}P)_2]$ --

67.747 (2), 87.126 (2), 72.826 (2)

Rigaku SuperNova, Dual Mo at

Oxford Diffraction, 2015)

Multi-scan (CrysAlis PRO; Rigaku

10.7271 (2), 13.5412 (2),

chloroform molecule exhibited no unusual features, suggest the residual electron densities have limited chemical significance. Finally, owing to poor agreement, four reflections, *i.e.* (326), $(1\overline{15})$, (666) and $(1\overline{42})$, were omitted from the final cycles of refinement.

Acknowledgements

We thank Sunway University for support of biological and crystal engineering studies of metal dithiocarbamates.

References

- Biersack, B., Ahmad, A., Sarkar, F. H. & Schobert, R. (2012). Curr. Med. Chem. 19, 3949–3956.
- Brandenburg, K. (2006). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Cavallo, G., Metrangolo, P., Milani, R., Pilati, T., Priimagi, A., Resnati, G. & Terraneo, G. (2016). *Chem. Rev.* **116**, 2478–2601.
- Chen, B.-J., Jamaludin, N. S., Khoo, C.-H., See, T.-H., Sim, J.-H., Cheah, Y.-K., Halim, S. N. A., Seng, H.-L. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2016). *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **163**, 68–80.
- Clark, T., Hennemann, M., Murray, J. S. & Politzer, P. (2007). J. Mol. Model. 13, 291–296.
- Dance, I. & Scudder, M. (1995). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 1039–1040.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Gans, J. & Shalloway, D. (2001). J. Mol. Graphics Modell. 19, 557-559.
- Grace, M., Beall, H. & Bushweller, C. H. (1970). J. Chem. Soc. D, p. 701.
- Gupta, A. N., Singh, V., Kumar, V., Rajput, A., Singh, L., Drew, M. G. B. & Singh, N. (2013). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 408, 145–151.
- Hogarth, G. (2012). Mini Rev. Med. Chem. 12, 1202-1215.
- Jamaludin, N. S., Halim, S. N. A., Khoo, C.-H., Chen, B.-J., See, T.-H., Sim, J.-H., Cheah, Y.-K., Seng, H.-L. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2016). Z. *Kristallogr.* 231, 341–349.
- Jian, F.-F., Bei, F.-L., Lu, L.-D., Yang, X.-J., Wang, X., Razak, I. A., Shanmuga Sundara Raj, S. & Fun, H.-K. (2000). Acta Cryst. C56, e288–e289.
- Kumar, A., Mayer-Figge, H., Sheldrick, W. S. & Singh, N. (2009). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* pp. 2720–2725.
- Losasso, C., Belluco, S., Cibin, V., Zavagnin, P., Mičetić, I., Gallocchio, F., Zanella, M., Bregoli, L., Biancotto, G. & Ricci, A. (2014). Front. Microbiol. 5, 1–9.
- Onwudiwe, D. C., Ekennia, A. C. & Hosten, E. (2016). J. Coord. Chem. 69, 2454–2468.
- Rajput, G., Singh, V., Singh, S. K., Prasad, L. B., Drew, M. G. B. & Singh, N. (2012). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* pp. 3885–3891.
- Rigaku Oxford Diffraction (2015). CrysAlis PRO. Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

Table 3	
Experimental	details.

Crystal data Chemical formula

 $M_{\rm r}$ Crystal system, space group Temperature (K) a, b, c (Å)

 $\begin{array}{l} \alpha, \beta, \gamma \ (^{\circ}) \\ V \ (^{A}) \\ Z \\ \text{Radiation type} \\ \mu \ (\text{mm}^{-1}) \\ \text{Crystal size (mm)} \end{array}$

Data collection Diffractometer

Absorption correction

 T_{\min}, T_{\max} No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections R_{int} $(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{\max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$

Refinement $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$, $wR(F^2)$, S0.045, 0.117, 1.03No. of reflections11363No. of parameters478H-atom treatmentH-atom parameters constrained $\Delta \rho_{max}$, $\Delta \rho_{min}$ (e Å⁻³)1.97, -1.93

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2015), SHELXS (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015), ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 2012), QMol (Gans & Shalloway, 2001), DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2006) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.

- Sim, J. H., Jamaludin, N. S., Khoo, C. H., Cheah, Y. K., Halim, S. N. B. A., Seng, H. L. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2014). *Gold Bull.* 47, 225–236.
- Skrott, Z. & Cvek, B. (2012). Mini Rev. Med. Chem. 12, 1184–1192.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148–155.
- Verma, S. K. & Singh, V. K. (2015). RSC Adv. 5, 53036–53046.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920–925.
 Xu, L. Z., Lin, J. H., Zhang, S. S., Jiao, K. & Jian, F. F. (2001). Pol. J. Chem. 75, 755–757.
- Yang, L., Powell, D. R. & Houser, R. P. (2007). *Dalton Trans.* pp. 955–964.
- Yeo, C. I., Tan, S. L. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (2016). Acta Cryst. E72, 1446–1452.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2016). E72, 1799-1805 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989016017837]

[*N*,*N*-Bis(2-hydroxyethyl)dithiocarbamato- $\kappa^2 S$,*S'*]bis(triphenylphosphane- κP)copper(I) chloroform monosolvate: crystal structure, Hirshfeld surface analysis and solution NMR measurements

Sang Loon Tan, Chien Ing Yeo, Peter J. Heard, Geoffrey R. Akien, Nathan R. Halcovitch and Edward R. T. Tiekink

Computing details

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2015); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2015); data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2015); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 2012), *QMol* (Gans & Shalloway, 2001) and *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

 $[N,N-Bis(2-hydroxyethyl)dithiocarbamato-\kappa^2 S, S']$ bis(triphenylphosphane- κP)copper(I) chloroform monosolvate

Crystal data	
$[Cu(C_5H_5NO_2S_2)(C_{18}H_{15}P)_2]$ ·CHCl ₃	Z = 2
$M_r = 887.71$	F(000) = 916
Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.444 \ {\rm Mg} \ {\rm m}^{-3}$
a = 10.7271 (2) Å	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
b = 13.5412 (2) Å	Cell parameters from 38605 reflections
c = 15.9361 (3) Å	$\theta = 3.1 - 30.1^{\circ}$
$\alpha = 67.747 (2)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 0.95 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 87.126 \ (2)^{\circ}$	T = 100 K
$\gamma = 72.826 \ (2)^{\circ}$	Prism, colourless
V = 2041.92 (7) Å ³	$0.44 \times 0.24 \times 0.19 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Rigaku SuperNova, Dual Mo at zero, AtlasS2	$T_{\rm min} = 0.928, T_{\rm max} = 1.000$
diffractometer	78295 measured reflections
Radiation source: micro-focus sealed X-ray	11363 independent reflections
tube, SuperNova (Mo) X-ray Source	10195 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Mirror monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.029$
Detector resolution: 5.2303 pixels mm ⁻¹	$\theta_{\rm max} = 30.2^{\circ}, \theta_{\rm min} = 2.4^{\circ}$
ω scans	$h = -14 \rightarrow 14$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$k = -19 \rightarrow 18$
(CrysAlis PRO; Rigaku Oxford Diffraction,	$l = -22 \rightarrow 21$
2015)	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.045$ $wR(F^2) = 0.117$ S = 1.0311363 reflections 478 parameters 0 restraints

Special details

Hydrogen site location: mixed H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0542P)^2 + 3.7972P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.002$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 1.97$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -1.93$ e Å⁻³

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Cu	0.36192 (2)	0.77121 (2)	0.71076 (2)	0.01300 (7)
S1	0.19989 (5)	0.72501 (4)	0.81318 (3)	0.01687 (10)
S2	0.18227 (5)	0.94266 (4)	0.66887 (3)	0.01434 (10)
P1	0.51698 (5)	0.80568 (4)	0.77705 (3)	0.01311 (10)
P2	0.40667 (5)	0.66266 (4)	0.62988 (3)	0.01279 (10)
01	-0.0657 (2)	1.09492 (18)	0.86819 (14)	0.0388 (5)
H1O	-0.0221	1.1248	0.8899	0.058*
O2	-0.0552 (2)	0.89051 (18)	0.99446 (14)	0.0379 (5)
H2O	-0.0317	0.9473	0.9646	0.057*
N1	0.00449 (17)	0.90924 (16)	0.79337 (12)	0.0179 (3)
C1	0.11675 (19)	0.86434 (16)	0.76152 (13)	0.0138 (3)
C2	-0.0662(2)	1.02862 (19)	0.74859 (15)	0.0222 (4)
H2A	-0.1600	1.0404	0.7600	0.027*
H2B	-0.0588	1.0517	0.6822	0.027*
C3	-0.0154 (3)	1.1018 (2)	0.78121 (17)	0.0274 (5)
H3A	0.0814	1.0761	0.7870	0.033*
H3B	-0.0444	1.1802	0.7370	0.033*
C4	-0.0538 (2)	0.8356 (2)	0.86728 (15)	0.0244 (5)
H4A	-0.0415	0.7655	0.8574	0.029*
H4B	-0.1491	0.8725	0.8635	0.029*
C5	0.0018 (2)	0.8061 (2)	0.96199 (16)	0.0276 (5)
H5A	-0.0136	0.7356	1.0035	0.033*
H5B	0.0974	0.7937	0.9613	0.033*
C11	0.4522 (2)	0.90455 (17)	0.83239 (13)	0.0155 (4)
C12	0.3587 (2)	0.88068 (19)	0.89530 (15)	0.0193 (4)
H12	0.3316	0.8164	0.9064	0.023*
C13	0.3054 (2)	0.9506 (2)	0.94159 (15)	0.0223 (4)
H13	0.2421	0.9338	0.9842	0.027*
C14	0.3446 (2)	1.0450 (2)	0.92569 (16)	0.0239 (4)
H14	0.3080	1.0928	0.9572	0.029*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

C15	0.4374 (3)	1.0687 (2)	0.86363 (16)	0.0264 (5)
H15	0.4645	1.1330	0.8528	0.032*
C16	0.4912 (2)	0.99887 (18)	0.81703 (15)	0.0214 (4)
H16	0.5547	1.0158	0.7746	0.026*
C21	0.6312 (2)	0.86383 (16)	0.69950 (13)	0.0140 (3)
C22	0.5816 (2)	0.96097 (17)	0.62185 (14)	0.0186 (4)
H22	0.4900	0.9973	0.6120	0.022*
C23	0.6653 (2)	1.00436 (18)	0.55932 (15)	0.0221 (4)
H23	0.6308	1.0706	0.5072	0.027*
C24	0.7997(2)	0.95133 (19)	0.57246 (15)	0.0212 (4)
H24	0.8568	0.9818	0.5298	0.025*
C25	0.8497(2)	0.85403(19)	0.64806(14)	0.0196 (4)
H25	0.9411	0.8171	0.6568	0.024*
C26	0.7660(2)	0.81042(18)	0.71115 (14)	0.021
H26	0.8009	0.7436	0.7627	0.020*
C31	0.6005	0.68849 (17)	0.7627 0.86749 (13)	0.020
C31	0.6275(2)	0.00049(17) 0.70275(19)	0.00749(15) 0.03530(15)	0.0102(4)
U22	0.0666	0.70273 (19)	0.93539 (13)	0.0218 (4)
C33	0.0000	0.7743	0.9389	0.020°
U22	0.7811(2)	0.0120 (2)	1.0444	0.0208 (3)
П33 С24	0.0220	0.0228	1.0444	0.032°
U34 1124	0.8138 (3)	0.3084 (2)	0.99518 (17)	0.0300 (3)
П34 С25	0.0751	0.4474	1.0550	0.030°
U35	0.7551 (5)	0.4929 (2)	0.9200 (2)	0.0347 (6)
H35	0.7752	0.4211	0.9236	0.042*
C36	0.6593 (2)	0.58267 (19)	0.86396 (18)	0.0267 (5)
H36	0.6170	0.5716	0.8187	0.032*
C41	0.48854 (19)	0.51623 (16)	0.69995 (14)	0.0156 (4)
C42	0.5952 (2)	0.45035 (19)	0.67222 (16)	0.0220 (4)
H42	0.6255	0.4805	0.6136	0.026*
C43	0.6577 (2)	0.3406 (2)	0.73001 (18)	0.0272 (5)
H43	0.7300	0.2961	0.7105	0.033*
C44	0.6151 (2)	0.29608 (19)	0.81547 (18)	0.0273 (5)
H44	0.6593	0.2219	0.8552	0.033*
C45	0.5079 (3)	0.3600 (2)	0.84291 (17)	0.0273 (5)
H45	0.4773	0.3288	0.9012	0.033*
C46	0.4446 (2)	0.46945 (18)	0.78592 (16)	0.0223 (4)
H46	0.3711	0.5127	0.8055	0.027*
C51	0.26885 (19)	0.65508 (16)	0.57220 (13)	0.0135 (3)
C52	0.2709 (2)	0.55962 (17)	0.55691 (14)	0.0169 (4)
H52	0.3427	0.4937	0.5812	0.020*
C53	0.1684 (2)	0.56083 (18)	0.50627 (15)	0.0196 (4)
H53	0.1702	0.4958	0.4960	0.024*
C54	0.0630(2)	0.65717 (19)	0.47064 (15)	0.0207 (4)
H54	-0.0067	0.6581	0.4355	0.025*
C55	0.0595 (2)	0.75232 (18)	0.48645 (15)	0.0192 (4)
H55	-0.0125	0.8181	0.4622	0.023*
C56	0.16193 (19)	0.75072 (17)	0.53791 (14)	0.0158 (4)
H56	0.1588	0.8151	0.5496	0.019*

C61	0.51483 (19)	0.69669 (16)	0.53792 (14)	0.0150 (4)
C62	0.4884 (2)	0.70661 (17)	0.44993 (14)	0.0179 (4)
H62	0.4136	0.6900	0.4363	0.021*
C63	0.5711 (2)	0.74078 (18)	0.38150 (16)	0.0225 (4)
H63	0.5526	0.7468	0.3218	0.027*
C64	0.6801 (2)	0.7659 (2)	0.40050 (18)	0.0266 (5)
H64	0.7356	0.7901	0.3538	0.032*
C65	0.7076 (2)	0.7553 (2)	0.4883 (2)	0.0295 (5)
H65	0.7827	0.7716	0.5018	0.035*
C66	0.6258 (2)	0.7211 (2)	0.55654 (17)	0.0237 (5)
H66	0.6454	0.7141	0.6164	0.028*
C6	0.0552 (3)	0.4509 (2)	0.7262 (2)	0.0354 (6)
H6	0.0901	0.4682	0.6646	0.042*
Cl1	0.14649 (11)	0.31507 (7)	0.79474 (7)	0.0593 (3)
Cl2	-0.10822 (9)	0.46142 (12)	0.71310 (9)	0.0740 (4)
C13	0.07466 (6)	0.55046 (5)	0.76719 (4)	0.03169 (13)

Atomic displacement parameters (\mathring{A}^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Cu	0.01265 (12)	0.01232 (11)	0.01406 (12)	-0.00179 (8)	0.00184 (8)	-0.00664 (9)
S 1	0.0200 (2)	0.0124 (2)	0.0169 (2)	-0.00543 (18)	0.00520 (18)	-0.00421 (17)
S2	0.0153 (2)	0.0118 (2)	0.0124 (2)	-0.00113 (16)	0.00266 (16)	-0.00311 (16)
P1	0.0136 (2)	0.0124 (2)	0.0123 (2)	-0.00270 (17)	0.00066 (17)	-0.00431 (17)
P2	0.0117 (2)	0.0117 (2)	0.0150 (2)	-0.00122 (17)	0.00111 (17)	-0.00678 (18)
01	0.0411 (11)	0.0450 (12)	0.0303 (10)	0.0017 (9)	0.0000 (8)	-0.0252 (9)
O2	0.0432 (11)	0.0421 (11)	0.0300 (10)	-0.0052 (9)	0.0066 (8)	-0.0216 (9)
N1	0.0131 (8)	0.0227 (9)	0.0159 (8)	-0.0025 (7)	0.0033 (6)	-0.0078 (7)
C1	0.0134 (8)	0.0163 (9)	0.0125 (8)	-0.0043 (7)	0.0015 (7)	-0.0065 (7)
C2	0.0161 (9)	0.0255 (11)	0.0198 (10)	0.0043 (8)	-0.0011 (8)	-0.0107 (8)
C3	0.0300 (12)	0.0218 (11)	0.0257 (11)	0.0060 (9)	-0.0041 (9)	-0.0136 (9)
C4	0.0171 (10)	0.0363 (13)	0.0199 (10)	-0.0105 (9)	0.0076 (8)	-0.0097 (9)
C5	0.0244 (11)	0.0366 (13)	0.0182 (10)	-0.0062 (10)	0.0046 (8)	-0.0090 (9)
C11	0.0166 (9)	0.0161 (9)	0.0135 (9)	-0.0027 (7)	-0.0002 (7)	-0.0069 (7)
C12	0.0188 (10)	0.0219 (10)	0.0200 (10)	-0.0076 (8)	0.0039 (8)	-0.0103 (8)
C13	0.0220 (10)	0.0269 (11)	0.0194 (10)	-0.0055 (9)	0.0044 (8)	-0.0119 (9)
C14	0.0300 (12)	0.0234 (11)	0.0194 (10)	-0.0039 (9)	0.0027 (9)	-0.0126 (9)
C15	0.0400 (13)	0.0209 (10)	0.0233 (11)	-0.0130 (10)	0.0068 (10)	-0.0117 (9)
C16	0.0284 (11)	0.0194 (10)	0.0190 (10)	-0.0094 (8)	0.0055 (8)	-0.0090 (8)
C21	0.0178 (9)	0.0127 (8)	0.0120 (8)	-0.0049 (7)	0.0004 (7)	-0.0050 (7)
C22	0.0230 (10)	0.0134 (9)	0.0152 (9)	-0.0008 (7)	0.0012 (8)	-0.0046 (7)
C23	0.0335 (12)	0.0135 (9)	0.0153 (9)	-0.0054 (8)	0.0040 (8)	-0.0025 (7)
C24	0.0311 (11)	0.0200 (10)	0.0169 (10)	-0.0132 (9)	0.0084 (8)	-0.0083 (8)
C25	0.0191 (10)	0.0245 (10)	0.0171 (9)	-0.0087 (8)	0.0029 (8)	-0.0085 (8)
C26	0.0167 (9)	0.0187 (9)	0.0137 (9)	-0.0050 (7)	0.0002 (7)	-0.0047 (7)
C31	0.0153 (9)	0.0159 (9)	0.0132 (9)	-0.0052 (7)	0.0003 (7)	-0.0006 (7)
C32	0.0241 (11)	0.0226 (10)	0.0153 (9)	-0.0067 (8)	-0.0009 (8)	-0.0035 (8)
C33	0.0274 (12)	0.0311 (12)	0.0164 (10)	-0.0105 (10)	-0.0048(8)	-0.0009 (9)

supporting information

C34	0.0268 (12)	0.0241 (11)	0.0247 (12)	-0.0076 (9)	-0.0063 (9)	0.0073 (9)
C35	0.0373 (14)	0.0151 (10)	0.0410 (15)	-0.0023 (10)	-0.0130 (12)	-0.0012 (10)
C36	0.0292 (12)	0.0164 (10)	0.0299 (12)	-0.0050 (9)	-0.0087 (9)	-0.0038 (9)
C41	0.0146 (9)	0.0137 (8)	0.0183 (9)	-0.0020 (7)	-0.0018 (7)	-0.0072 (7)
C42	0.0199 (10)	0.0190 (10)	0.0232 (11)	0.0006 (8)	0.0000 (8)	-0.0085 (8)
C43	0.0213 (11)	0.0186 (10)	0.0339 (13)	0.0049 (8)	-0.0025 (9)	-0.0093 (9)
C44	0.0259 (11)	0.0158 (10)	0.0322 (12)	-0.0018 (8)	-0.0085 (9)	-0.0027 (9)
C45	0.0308 (12)	0.0188 (10)	0.0263 (12)	-0.0079 (9)	0.0009 (9)	-0.0017 (9)
C46	0.0218 (10)	0.0170 (10)	0.0249 (11)	-0.0042 (8)	0.0041 (8)	-0.0062 (8)
C51	0.0126 (8)	0.0146 (8)	0.0125 (8)	-0.0039 (7)	0.0032 (6)	-0.0049 (7)
C52	0.0156 (9)	0.0154 (9)	0.0198 (9)	-0.0023 (7)	0.0013 (7)	-0.0083 (7)
C53	0.0198 (10)	0.0201 (10)	0.0227 (10)	-0.0072 (8)	0.0031 (8)	-0.0116 (8)
C54	0.0156 (9)	0.0260 (11)	0.0214 (10)	-0.0068 (8)	0.0002 (8)	-0.0096 (8)
C55	0.0138 (9)	0.0177 (9)	0.0219 (10)	-0.0013 (7)	-0.0002 (7)	-0.0054 (8)
C56	0.0143 (9)	0.0137 (9)	0.0186 (9)	-0.0030 (7)	0.0035 (7)	-0.0064 (7)
C61	0.0131 (8)	0.0117 (8)	0.0209 (9)	-0.0018 (7)	0.0043 (7)	-0.0087 (7)
C62	0.0174 (9)	0.0152 (9)	0.0195 (10)	-0.0038 (7)	0.0037 (7)	-0.0060 (7)
C63	0.0245 (11)	0.0178 (10)	0.0205 (10)	-0.0044 (8)	0.0066 (8)	-0.0043 (8)
C64	0.0239 (11)	0.0217 (11)	0.0373 (13)	-0.0098 (9)	0.0165 (10)	-0.0142 (10)
C65	0.0198 (11)	0.0349 (13)	0.0484 (15)	-0.0146 (10)	0.0147 (10)	-0.0284 (12)
C66	0.0177 (10)	0.0309 (12)	0.0332 (12)	-0.0088 (9)	0.0075 (9)	-0.0231 (10)
C6	0.0351 (14)	0.0380 (14)	0.0356 (14)	-0.0135 (12)	-0.0031 (11)	-0.0143 (12)
Cl1	0.0739 (6)	0.0376 (4)	0.0688 (6)	-0.0043 (4)	-0.0159 (5)	-0.0297 (4)
Cl2	0.0320 (4)	0.1170 (9)	0.1089 (9)	-0.0168 (5)	-0.0041 (5)	-0.0847 (8)
C13	0.0340 (3)	0.0258 (3)	0.0323 (3)	-0.0095 (2)	-0.0041 (2)	-0.0067 (2)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu—P2	2.2380 (5)	C26—H26	0.9500
Cu—P1	2.2602 (6)	C31—C32	1.392 (3)
Cu—S1	2.3791 (6)	C31—C36	1.394 (3)
Cu—S2	2.4213 (5)	C32—C33	1.391 (3)
S1—C1	1.714 (2)	С32—Н32	0.9500
S2—C1	1.717 (2)	C33—C34	1.381 (4)
P1—C31	1.824 (2)	С33—Н33	0.9500
P1—C21	1.825 (2)	C34—C35	1.383 (4)
P1—C11	1.827 (2)	C34—H34	0.9500
P2—C51	1.827 (2)	C35—C36	1.395 (3)
P2-C61	1.828 (2)	С35—Н35	0.9500
P2—C41	1.828 (2)	C36—H36	0.9500
O1—C3	1.442 (3)	C41—C42	1.395 (3)
O1—H1O	0.8576	C41—C46	1.398 (3)
O2—C5	1.397 (3)	C42—C43	1.394 (3)
O2—H2O	0.8400	C42—H42	0.9500
N1—C1	1.348 (3)	C43—C44	1.380 (4)
N1—C2	1.468 (3)	C43—H43	0.9500
N1—C4	1.476 (3)	C44—C45	1.382 (4)
C2—C3	1.513 (3)	C44—H44	0.9500

supporting information

C2—H2A	0.9900	C45—C46	1.390 (3)
C2—H2B	0.9900	C45—H45	0.9500
С3—НЗА	0.9900	C46—H46	0.9500
С3—Н3В	0.9900	C51—C56	1.393 (3)
C4—C5	1.512 (3)	C51—C52	1.397 (3)
C4—H4A	0.9900	C52—C53	1.389 (3)
C4—H4B	0.9900	С52—Н52	0.9500
C5—H5A	0.9900	C53—C54	1.391 (3)
C5—H5B	0.9900	С53—Н53	0.9500
C11—C16	1 391 (3)	C54—C55	1 393 (3)
C11-C12	1.391(3) 1 400 (3)	C54—H54	0.9500
C12—C13	1.100(3) 1.391(3)	C_{55}	1 394 (3)
C12—H12	0.9500	C55—H55	0.9500
C13—C14	1 391 (3)	C56—H56	0.9500
C13_H13	0.9500	C61_C62	1 393 (3)
C14—C15	1 386 (3)	C61-C66	1.395(3)
C14 H14	0.9500	C62 $C63$	1.307(3)
C15 $C16$	1.305(3)	C62 H62	0.0500
C15 H15	1.393 (3)	C62 - C64	0.9500
С15—1115	0.9500	C63 H63	1.387 (3)
C_{10} C_{10} C_{20}	1,300(3)	C64 C65	0.9500
$C_{21} - C_{20}$	1.333(3)	C64 H64	1.390 (4)
$C_{21} - C_{22}$	1.402(3) 1.386(3)	C65 C66	0.9300
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.580 (5)	C65 + H65	1.389 (3)
C_{22} $-\Pi_{22}$ C_{24}	0.9300		0.9300
$C_{23} = C_{24}$	1.394 (3)		0.9300
$C_{23} = \Pi_{23}$	0.9300	C6 - C12	1.735(3)
$C_{24} = C_{23}$	1.580 (5)	C6 - C13	1.746(3) 1.771(3)
C_{24} $- \pi_{24}$	0.9300		1.771 (3)
$C_{25} = C_{20}$	1.592 (5)	Со—по	1.0000
С25—п25	0.9300		
P2—Cu—P1	123.65 (2)	C24—C25—H25	120.0
P2—Cu—S1	109.81 (2)	C26—C25—H25	120.0
P1—Cu—S1	110.96 (2)	C25—C26—C21	120.80 (19)
P2—Cu—S2	123.17 (2)	C25—C26—H26	119.6
P1—Cu—S2	103.74 (2)	C21—C26—H26	119.6
S1—Cu—S2	75.264 (18)	C32—C31—C36	119.2 (2)
C1—S1—Cu	84.12 (7)	C32—C31—P1	122.25 (16)
C1—S2—Cu	82.75 (7)	C36—C31—P1	118.39 (16)
C31—P1—C21	101.95 (9)	C33—C32—C31	120.2 (2)
C31—P1—C11	101.78 (9)	C33—C32—H32	119.9
C21—P1—C11	104.44 (9)	C31—C32—H32	119.9
C31—P1—Cu	118.06(7)	C34—C33—C32	120.3 (2)
C21—P1—Cu	114.57 (7)	C34—C33—H33	119.9
C11—P1—Cu	114.16 (7)	С32—С33—Н33	119.9
C51—P2—C61	102.10 (9)	C33—C34—C35	120.0 (2)
C51—P2—C41	103.13 (9)	C33—C34—H34	120.0
C61—P2—C41	103.77 (9)	С35—С34—Н34	120.0

C51—P2—Cu	117.50 (6)	C34—C35—C36	120.0 (2)
C61—P2—Cu	116.28 (7)	С34—С35—Н35	120.0
C41—P2—Cu	112.27 (7)	С36—С35—Н35	120.0
C3—O1—H1O	105.7	C31—C36—C35	120.2 (2)
C5—O2—H2O	109.4	С31—С36—Н36	119.9
C1—N1—C2	120.12 (18)	С35—С36—Н36	119.9
C1—N1—C4	119.48 (18)	C42—C41—C46	118.73 (19)
C2—N1—C4	120.09 (18)	C42—C41—P2	122.71 (17)
N1-C1-S1	120.50 (15)	C46—C41—P2	118.53 (16)
N1—C1—S2	122.12 (15)	C41—C42—C43	120.4 (2)
S1—C1—S2	117.38 (11)	C41—C42—H42	119.8
N1—C2—C3	113.01 (18)	C43—C42—H42	119.8
N1—C2—H2A	109.0	C44—C43—C42	120.3 (2)
C3—C2—H2A	109.0	C44—C43—H43	119.8
N1—C2—H2B	109.0	C42—C43—H43	119.8
C3-C2-H2B	109.0	C43 - C44 - C45	119.7 (2)
$H_2A = C_2 = H_2B$	107.8	C43 - C44 - H44	120.1
$\begin{array}{c} 112 A - C2 - 112 B \\ 01 - C3 - C2 \end{array}$	107.0 108.3(2)	$C_{45} = C_{44} = H_{44}$	120.1
01 - 02 - 02	110.0	$C_{43} = C_{44} = 1144$	120.1 120.5(2)
$C_2 = C_2 = H_2 \wedge$	110.0	$C_{44} = C_{45} = C_{40}$	120.3(2)
$C_2 = C_3 = H_3 A$	110.0	$C_{44} = C_{45} = H_{45}$	119.7
OI - C3 - H3B	110.0	C40 - C43 - H43	119.7
	110.0	C45 - C40 - C41	120.3 (2)
H3A—C3—H3B	108.4	C45—C46—H46	119.8
NI-C4-C5	114.9 (2)	С41—С46—Н46	119.8
N1—C4—H4A	108.5	C56—C51—C52	119.51 (18)
C5—C4—H4A	108.5	C56—C51—P2	118.08 (15)
N1—C4—H4B	108.5	C52—C51—P2	122.29 (15)
C5—C4—H4B	108.5	C53—C52—C51	120.22 (19)
H4A—C4—H4B	107.5	С53—С52—Н52	119.9
O2—C5—C4	111.6 (2)	С51—С52—Н52	119.9
O2—C5—H5A	109.3	C52—C53—C54	120.1 (2)
С4—С5—Н5А	109.3	С52—С53—Н53	119.9
O2—C5—H5B	109.3	С54—С53—Н53	119.9
C4—C5—H5B	109.3	C53—C54—C55	120.0 (2)
H5A—C5—H5B	108.0	С53—С54—Н54	120.0
C16—C11—C12	119.11 (19)	С55—С54—Н54	120.0
C16—C11—P1	124.41 (16)	C54—C55—C56	119.85 (19)
C12—C11—P1	116.47 (16)	С54—С55—Н55	120.1
C13 - C12 - C11	1204(2)	C56—C55—H55	120.1
C13 - C12 - H12	119.8	$C_{51} - C_{56} - C_{55}$	120.1 120.29(19)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	110.8	C51 C56 H56	110.0
$C_{14} = C_{12} = C_{12}$	120.2(2)	C55 C56 H56	110.0
C14 - C13 - C12	120.2 (2)	$C_{55} = C_{50} = 1150$	117.9 118.8(2)
$C_{14} = C_{13} = \Pi_{13}$	117.7	C_{02} C_{01} C_{00} C_{02} C_{01} C_{00} C_{01} C_{01} C_{00} C_{01} C	110.0(2)
C_{12} $-C_{13}$ $-T_{13}$ C_{14} C_{12}	117.7	C_{02} C_{01} C_{12} C_{12} C_{12} C_{13} C	123.01(10)
C15 - C14 - C15	119.0 (2)	$C_{00} - C_{01} - F_{2}$	117.29 (10)
C13—C14—H14	120.2	$C_01 - C_02 - C_03$	120.5 (2)
C13—C14—H14	120.2	C61—C62—H62	119.7
C14—C15—C16	120.4 (2)	С63—С62—Н62	119.7

C14—C15—H15	119.8	C64—C63—C62	120.2 (2)
C16—C15—H15	119.8	С64—С63—Н63	119.9
C11—C16—C15	120.3 (2)	С62—С63—Н63	119.9
C11—C16—H16	119.9	C63—C64—C65	119.6 (2)
C15—C16—H16	119.9	С63—С64—Н64	120.2
C26—C21—C22	118.63 (19)	С65—С64—Н64	120.2
C26—C21—P1	122.28 (15)	C66—C65—C64	120.3 (2)
C22—C21—P1	118.91 (16)	С66—С65—Н65	119.8
C23—C22—C21	120.4 (2)	С64—С65—Н65	119.8
С23—С22—Н22	119.8	C65—C66—C61	120.6 (2)
C21—C22—H22	119.8	С65—С66—Н66	119.7
C22—C23—C24	120.4 (2)	С61—С66—Н66	119.7
С22—С23—Н23	119.8	Cl2—C6—Cl1	111.63 (17)
С24—С23—Н23	119.8	C12—C6—C13	111.63 (17)
C25—C24—C23	119.7 (2)	Cl1—C6—Cl3	111.60 (15)
C25—C24—H24	120.1	С12—С6—Н6	107.2
C23—C24—H24	120.1	С11—С6—Н6	107.2
C_{24} C_{25} C_{26}	120.0 (2)	С13—С6—Н6	107.2
			10,12
C2—N1—C1—S1	-179.88(15)	P1—C31—C32—C33	-174.02(18)
C4—N1—C1—S1	-6.2 (3)	C31—C32—C33—C34	0.3 (4)
C2—N1—C1—S2	0.1 (3)	C32—C33—C34—C35	-0.9(4)
C4—N1—C1—S2	173.79 (16)	C33—C34—C35—C36	0.4 (4)
Cu—S1—C1—N1	-173.40 (17)	C32—C31—C36—C35	-1.3 (4)
Cu—S1—C1—S2	6.57 (10)	P1—C31—C36—C35	173.7 (2)
Cu—S2—C1—N1	173.49 (17)	C34—C35—C36—C31	0.7 (4)
Cu—S2—C1—S1	-6.48 (10)	C51—P2—C41—C42	96.01 (19)
C1—N1—C2—C3	-84.6 (2)	C61—P2—C41—C42	-10.2(2)
C4—N1—C2—C3	101.8 (2)	Cu—P2—C41—C42	-136.55 (17)
N1—C2—C3—O1	-77.9 (2)	C51—P2—C41—C46	-85.86 (18)
C1—N1—C4—C5	83.8 (3)	C61—P2—C41—C46	167.95 (17)
C2—N1—C4—C5	-102.5 (2)	Cu—P2—C41—C46	41.58 (19)
N1-C4-C5-O2	82.1 (3)	C46—C41—C42—C43	-1.0 (3)
C31—P1—C11—C16	103.55 (19)	P2-C41-C42-C43	177.13 (18)
C21—P1—C11—C16	-2.2 (2)	C41—C42—C43—C44	-0.3 (4)
Cu—P1—C11—C16	-128.12 (17)	C42—C43—C44—C45	1.6 (4)
C31—P1—C11—C12	-75.31 (18)	C43—C44—C45—C46	-1.4 (4)
C21—P1—C11—C12	178.89 (16)	C44—C45—C46—C41	0.1 (4)
Cu—P1—C11—C12	53.01 (17)	C42—C41—C46—C45	1.1 (3)
C16—C11—C12—C13	0.2 (3)	P2-C41-C46-C45	-177.09 (19)
P1—C11—C12—C13	179.10 (17)	C61—P2—C51—C56	-94.07 (16)
C11—C12—C13—C14	0.0 (3)	C41—P2—C51—C56	158.48 (16)
C12—C13—C14—C15	-0.2(4)	Cu—P2—C51—C56	34.40 (18)
C13—C14—C15—C16	0.2 (4)	C61—P2—C51—C52	82.03 (18)
C12—C11—C16—C15	-0.2 (3)	C41—P2—C51—C52	-25.42 (19)
P1—C11—C16—C15	-179.03 (18)	Cu—P2—C51—C52	-149.50 (15)
C14—C15—C16—C11	0.0 (4)	C56—C51—C52—C53	1.3 (3)
C_{31} = P1 = C21 = C26	8.01 (19)	P2-C51-C52-C53	-174.71 (16)
			1, 1, 1 (10)

C11 P1 C21 C26	113 67 (18)	C51 C52 C53 C54	-0.1(3)
$C_{11} = 1 = -C_{21} = -C_{20}$	120.71 (10)	$C_{51} = C_{52} = C_{54} = C_{55}$	0.1(3)
Cu—P1—C21—C26	-120.71 (16)	$C_{32} - C_{33} - C_{34} - C_{35}$	-0.6(3)
C31—P1—C21—C22	-176.85 (16)	C53—C54—C55—C56	0.1 (3)
C11—P1—C21—C22	-71.19 (18)	C52—C51—C56—C55	-1.9 (3)
Cu—P1—C21—C22	54.43 (17)	P2-C51-C56-C55	174.34 (16)
C26—C21—C22—C23	-1.6 (3)	C54—C55—C56—C51	1.2 (3)
P1—C21—C22—C23	-176.94 (17)	C51—P2—C61—C62	-2.56 (19)
C21—C22—C23—C24	0.6 (3)	C41—P2—C61—C62	104.40 (18)
C22—C23—C24—C25	0.6 (3)	Cu—P2—C61—C62	-131.80 (16)
C23—C24—C25—C26	-0.9 (3)	C51—P2—C61—C66	173.12 (17)
C24—C25—C26—C21	-0.2 (3)	C41—P2—C61—C66	-79.91 (18)
C22—C21—C26—C25	1.4 (3)	Cu—P2—C61—C66	43.89 (18)
P1-C21-C26-C25	176.57 (16)	C66—C61—C62—C63	-0.3 (3)
C21—P1—C31—C32	79.67 (19)	P2-C61-C62-C63	175.35 (16)
C11—P1—C31—C32	-28.1 (2)	C61—C62—C63—C64	-0.4 (3)
Cu—P1—C31—C32	-153.85 (16)	C62—C63—C64—C65	0.9 (3)
C21—P1—C31—C36	-95.22 (19)	C63—C64—C65—C66	-0.7 (4)
C11—P1—C31—C36	157.05 (19)	C64—C65—C66—C61	0.0 (4)
Cu—P1—C31—C36	31.3 (2)	C62—C61—C66—C65	0.5 (3)
C36—C31—C32—C33	0.8 (3)	P2-C61-C66-C65	-175.44 (18)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

*Cg*1 is the ring centroid of (C51–C56).

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D···A	D—H··· A
O2—H2 <i>O</i> …O1	0.84	1.95	2.710 (3)	150
01—H1 <i>O</i> ···O2 ⁱ	0.86	1.97	2.697 (3)	142
C6—Cl3…Cg1	1.77 (1)	3.81 (1)	3.798 (3)	76 (1)

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y+2, -z+2.