

Citation: Itoh N, Hadano Y, Saito S, Myokai M, Nakamura Y, Kurai H (2018) Intravenous to oral switch therapy in cancer patients with catheterrelated bloodstream infection due to methicillinsensitive *Staphylococcus aureus*: A single-center retrospective observational study. PLoS ONE 13 (11): e0207413. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0207413

Editor: Abdelwahab Omri, Laurentian, CANADA

Received: September 3, 2018

Accepted: October 30, 2018

Published: November 29, 2018

Copyright: © 2018 Itoh et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This work was supported by Satista Co., Ltd. The funder provided support in the form of salaries for author YN. The funder did not have any additional role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The specific roles of these authors are articulated in the 'author contributions' section. RESEARCH ARTICLE

Intravenous to oral switch therapy in cancer patients with catheter-related bloodstream infection due to methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus*: A single-center retrospective observational study

Naoya Itoh ^{1*}, Yoshiro Hadano², Sho Saito³, Michiko Myokai¹, Yasunobu Nakamura⁴, Hanako Kurai¹

 Division of Infectious Diseases, Shizuoka Cancer Center Hospital, Sunto-gun, Shizuoka, Japan,
 Department of Infection Control and Prevention, Tokyo Medical and Dental University Hospital, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan, 3 AMR Clinical Reference Center, Disease Control and Prevention Center, National Center for Global Health and Medicine, Tokyo, Japan, 4 Department of Medical Statistics, Satista Co., Ltd, Uji-city, Kyoto, Japan

* itohnaoya0925@ybb.ne.jp

Abstract

The most common complication in cancer patients is catheter-related bloodstream infection (CRBSI), of which Staphylococcus aureus is a common pathogen. Although S. aureus CRBSI patients are recommended for prolonged intravenous therapy, this is often not feasible. We assessed the effectiveness of switching from intravenous to oral antimicrobial therapy in cancer patients with CRBSI due to methicillin-sensitive S. aureus (MSSA). We conducted a retrospective observational study of 60 patients at one tertiary-care cancer center between April 2005 and March 2016. Patients who received effective intravenous (IV) antibiotics for at least 10 days (IV group) were compared to the IV group of patients who had switched to effective oral (PO) antibiotics after IV treatment for at least 10 days (IV + PO group). The primary endpoint was all-cause mortality within 90 days. Univariate and propensity score-adjusted multivariate logistic regression analyses using variables likely to influence the outcomes were performed. Of the 60 patients, 32 (53.3%) and 28 (46.7%) were in the IV and IV + PO groups, respectively. The median antibiotic treatment durations in the IV and IV + PO groups were 17 (13-31) and 33 (26-52) days, respectively (p<0.001). The 90day mortality in the IV and IV + PO groups were 53.1% (17/32) and 10.7% (3/28), respectively (p = 0.001). Univariate logistic regression model showed that the odds ratios of oral switch therapy for 90-day mortality was 0.106 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.027-0.423; p = 0.001). The propensity score-adjusted multivariate logistic regression model estimated the odds ratios of oral switched therapy for 90-day mortality as 0.377 (95% CI: 0.037–3.884; p = 0.413). Our results suggest that oral switch therapy was not associated with mortality in cancer patients with CRBSI due to MSSA compared with no oral switch therapy. Oral switch therapy may be a reasonable option for patients with CRBSI due to MSSA.



Competing interests: An author of this manuscript has the following competing interests: YN received support in the form of salary from Satista Co., Ltd and has performed data analysis that was supported by fees from NI. NI, YH, SS, MM, and HK declare no conflict of interest and have no affiliation with or financial involvement in any organization or entity with a direct financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript. The authors have no other conflicts of interest that are directly relevant to the content of this manuscript and does not alter the authors' adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

Introduction

Staphylococcal infections are common and represent an important therapeutic problem, as bacterial complications frequently arise [1]. *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia (SAB) is a leading bloodstream infection in hospitals, with mortality rates of 10%–30% [2]. Therefore, such infections require adequate management and highly effective anti-infective treatment. Current guidelines recommend that patients with *S. aureus* catheter-related bloodstream infection (CRBSI) should have the infected catheter removed, and that SAB should be treated with prolonged intravenous therapy for a minimum of 14 days in those with uncomplicated disease, and 4–6 weeks in those with deep focus of infection [3, 4]. Furthermore, 4–6 weeks of antibiotic therapy should be administered to patients with active malignancy or immunosuppression [3]. However, long-term therapy mandates additional hospital stay for the patients, which is often difficult to comply with in actual clinical practice.

Patients with low risk of complications may thus benefit from an early switch to oral medication with earlier discharge and fewer intravenous therapy complications. The effectiveness of oral antimicrobial therapy in SAB was previously assessed in a multicenter randomized controlled trial; 104 patients with SAB either received oral combination of fluoroquinolone plus rifampicin or standard parenteral treatment, and the cure rates in both groups were similar (82% versus 80%, respectively), with patients on oral antibiotics being discharged earlier [5]. This study suggests that administering oral antimicrobials may be as effective as intravenous therapy. Thwaites et al. also reported the management practices of patients with SAB in the UK and Vietnam [6]. Although they found that 25% of patients received oral antimicrobials alone for more than 50% of the treatment duration, the efficacy and safety of oral therapy were not evaluated. The Staphylococcus aureus Bacteremia Antibiotic Treatment Options (SABATO) trial is currently ongoing to assess whether an early switch to oral medication is as safe and effective as intravenous standard therapy in SAB [7]; however, few studies have focused on the oral switch therapy in patients with CRBSI due to methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA). Central venous access is necessary for cancer patients who require chemotherapy and parenteral nutrition. Additionally, cancer patients, who are often immunocompromised, are more susceptible to CRBSI [8]. Therefore, CRBSI remains the most common complication in cancer patients.

To assess the effectiveness of switching from intravenous to oral antimicrobial therapy in cancer patients with CRBSI due to MSSA, we compared between patients who received effective intravenous (IV) antibiotics for more than 10 days and patients who were switched to an effective oral (PO) antibiotics after IV treatment for at least 10 days.

Methods and materials

Study design and patient population

We conducted a retrospective observational study at the Shizuoka Cancer Center Hospital, a 611-bed tertiary care hospital, from April 1, 2005 to March 31, 2016. The inclusion criteria were at least one of the following: patients with MSSA-positive blood culture, patients with CRBSI caused by MSSA, and patients who received effective intravenous antibiotics for more than 10 days or switched to an effective oral antibiotic after IV treatment for at least 10 days. Duration of intravenous antibiotics was at least 10 days for comparison with oral antibiotics after IV treatment for at least 10 days. Exclusion criteria were: (1) being less than 18 years of age, (2) polymicrobial bacteremia, (3) duplicated cases, (4) duration of IV therapy was less than 10 days, (5) patient death within 2 days after the positive blood culture, and (6) patients deemed to be palliative cases (specifically, patients who did not receive any antibiotics).

The primary outcome was all-cause mortality at 90 days. The secondary outcomes were allcause mortality within 30 days and recurrence within 30 days after treatment.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval was obtained from the Shizuoka Cancer Center Hospital's Ethics Review Board, which also deemed it unnecessary to obtain consent directly from patients, as this was a retrospective study with no intervention.

Data collection

Data were retrieved from patients' electronic medical records and entered into case report forms by four infectious disease (ID) doctors. Information retrieved included age, gender, microbiological data, focus of infection, place of acquisition (nosocomial, health care-associated, and community-acquired), types of neoplasm, organ transplantation, immunosuppressant therapy, glucocorticoid therapy, antineoplastic chemotherapy, total parenteral nutrition, comorbidity, type of central venous catheters (CVCs), foreign body, severity of bacteremia, secondary foci, persistent bacteremia, removal of infectious catheter, source control, reinsertion of central intravascular catheter during treatment, complications after treatment, ID consultation, received echocardiogram (transthoracic echocardiography or transesophageal echocardiography), antibiotic treatment, duration of antibiotics, recurrence, the number of days till death following positive blood culture, and infection-related death.

Study definitions

Staphylococcal bacteremia was defined as at least one blood culture positive for *S. aureus* with clinical symptoms and clinically apparent signs and symptoms of sepsis [9].

CRBSI was defined as a primary bloodstream infection in patients with CVC that was present for at least 48 hours before the onset of bacteremia and had no identifiable source of infection other than the catheter. Persistent bacteremia was defined when bacteremia occurred for \geq 3 days and <5 days while patients were receiving appropriate antibiotic therapy. An immunosuppressant was defined as a drug used to prevent the rejection of transplanted organs or to treat autoimmune diseases within 3 months of the onset of SAB. Glucocorticoid therapy was also defined as a dose of 25 mg prednisone/day for >1 month, or a cumulative dose of >700mg prednisone within 3 months of the onset of SAB [10]. Antineoplastic chemotherapy was defined as any antineoplastic chemotherapy received in the 3 months prior to culture collection [11]. Nosocomial bloodstream infection was defined as a positive blood culture obtained from patients who had been hospitalized for 48 hours or longer. If a patient was transferred from another hospital, that patient's data was included as nosocomial bloodstream infection [12]. Health care-associated bloodstream infection was defined as a positive blood culture obtained from a patient at the time of hospital admission or within 48 hours of admission if the patient fulfilled any of the following four criteria. (1) Received intravenous therapy at home; received wound care or specialized nursing care through a health care agency, family, or friends; or had self-administered intravenous medical therapy in the 30 days before the bloodstream infection. Patients who received only oxygen therapy at home were excluded. (2) Attended a hospital or hemodialysis clinic, or received intravenous chemotherapy in the 30 days before the bloodstream infection. (3) Was hospitalized in an acute care hospital for ≥ 2 days in the 90 days before the bloodstream infection. (4) Resided in a nursing home or longterm care facility. Community-acquired bloodstream infection was defined as a positive blood culture obtained at the time of hospital admission or within 48 hours after hospital admission in patients not fitting the health care-associated infection criteria. The severity of bacteremia

was based on the Pitt Bacteremia Score [13]. Recurrence was defined as the return of *S. aureus* bacteremia after the documentation of negative blood cultures or clinical improvement within 30 days of completing a course of anti-staphylococcal therapy. Duration of antibiotic therapy was defined as the number of days that the patient received effective antibiotic therapy. Effective antibiotic therapy was defined as therapy wherein the patient's isolate was susceptible to all antibiotics and appropriate dosing. Infection-related death was defined as death attributable to bacteremia if at least one of the following was present: (1) positive blood culture at the time of death; (2) persistent signs and symptoms of infection due to that microorganism at death; (3) no other apparent cause of death other than bacteremia.

Statistical analyses

Continuous variables with non-parametric distribution were expressed as median and interquartile range (IQR) [25%, 75%] or number and rate, and were compared using Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical variables were expressed as number and rate and compared using Fisher's exact test. Univariate and propensity score-adjusted multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed. The propensity scores were adjusted using variables likely to influence the outcomes, and the primary outcome (i.e. all-cause mortality within 90 days) was estimated using logistic regression analysis. In the analysis, missing data were excluded, and imputation was not performed. All tests were 2-tailed, and statistical significance was defined by a P value <0.05. Statistical analyses were performed with R version 3.2.4.

Results

A total of 109 patients were screened for inclusion; of these, 49 were excluded (Fig 1) [one patient with polymicrobial bacteremia also being less than 18 years of age]. One case had missing data for the Pitt Bacteremia Score. Our study included a final total of 60 patients (IV group, n = 32 [53.3%]; IV + PO group, n = 28 [46.7%]). Table 1 shows the characteristics of patients in each group. The median (IQR) age of the patients was 60 (range, 50–71.0) years. The distribution of place of acquisition was significantly different between the two groups: while hospital onset was higher in the IV group, community-acquired and health care-associated were higher in the IV + PO group (p = 0.039). Significant differences occurred in the rate of thromboembolism (IV group, n = 0 [0.0%]; IV + PO group, n = 6 [21.4%]; p = 0.008), ID consultation (IV group, n = 21 [65.6%]; IV + PO group, n = 26 [92.9%]; p = 0.013), received echocardiogram (IV group, n = 14 [43.8%]; IV + PO group, n = 24 [85.7%]; p = 0.001), and intravenous vancomycin (IV group, n = 23 [71.9%]; IV + PO group, n = 27 [96.4%]; p = 0.014). Furthermore, the median durations of therapy (IV group, 17 days [13–31 days]; IV + PO group, 33 days [26–52 days]; p<0.001) were significantly different between the two groups. Recurrence within 30 days of treatment did not show any statistical differences between the two groups (IV group, n = 1 [3.13%]; IV + PO group, n = 0; p>0.999). Both groups had no infection-related death.

The 90-day mortality in the IV and IV + PO groups were 53.1% (17/32) and 10.7% (3/28), respectively (p = 0.001). The 30-day mortality in the IV and IV + PO groups were 21.9% (7/32) and 0% (0/28), respectively (p = 0.012). The propensity score was estimated using multivariable logistic regression analysis with the following variables: age, gender, place of acquisition, Pitt Bacteremia Score, glucocorticoid therapy, antineoplastic chemotherapy, diabetes mellitus, hematologic malignancy, solid tumor, types of neoplasm, chronic kidney disease, hemodialysis, valve disease, presence of non-removable foreign body, ID consultation, and duration of therapy of more than 1 month. Compared to the IV group, the odds ratios of 90-day mortality in the IV + PO group was 0.106 (95% CI: 0.027–0.423; p = 0.001) (Table 2). In the propensity



Fig 1. Flow diagram of patients included in the study. Abbreviation: MSSA, methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus*; CRBSI, catheter-related bloodstream infection; IV, intravenous; PO, oral.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207413.g001

score-adjusted multivariate logistic regression model, compared to the IV group, the odds ratios of 90-day mortality in the IV + PO group was 0.377 (95% CI: 0.037-3.884; p = 0.413).

Discussion

This study compared the 90-day mortality of IV therapy with IV + PO therapy in cancer patients with CRBSI due to MSSA, and provides the first known evidence of the efficacy of oral switch therapy in cancer patients with CRBSI due to MSSA. The findings of the present study suggest that oral switch therapy might be a reasonable option for this patient population, in whom prolonged intravenous therapy can often be difficult to implement. In the propensity score-adjusted multivariate logistic regression model using variables likely to influence the outcomes, no significant association was found with mortality.

The univariate logistic regression model showed that mortality in patients who switched to oral therapy (IV + PO group) was significantly lower than that in the IV treatment group. The switch might have confounded our findings since current guidelines do not recommend oral switch therapy [3,4]. We considered that the presence of confounding factors might have influenced mortality in our study, and thus performed multivariate analysis; however, the adjusted mortality was not significantly different between groups. Although many experts have considered that IV treatment is necessary for this patient population, our findings showed that

Table 1. Comparison of demographic and clinical characteristics between IV and IV + PO groups.

Age (method)Age (method)Age (method)Age (method)Age (method)Age (method)Age (method)Age (method)Bar (method)Age (All (n = 60)	IV group (n = 32)	IV+PO group (n = 28)	P-value	
BatFormalSignam </td <td>Age (1</td> <td>nedian, IQR)</td> <td>60.0(50.0, 71.0)</td> <td>62.5(58.0, 71.0)</td> <td>57.5(44.3, 70.0)</td> <td>0.060</td> <td>a</td>	Age (1	nedian, IQR)	60.0(50.0, 71.0)	62.5(58.0, 71.0)	57.5(44.3, 70.0)	0.060	a
PlacerunningmodmodmodmodmodRomanity-aquired14(6.00%)14(5.00%)14(5.00%)14(5.00%)11Hadthars-asocited39(6.00%)2(7k.13%)14(6.00%)0.090bSld=mass-random20(33.3%)7(21.8%)13(6.5%)2(7.1%)0.090bSld=mass-random4(6.5%)7(21.8%)13(5.5%)0.090bbTypemod618.5%)14(5.00%)14(5.00%)14(5.00%)1.0bTypemod618.5%)14(5.00%)14(5.00%)14(5.00%)1.01.0bTypeType7(1.6%)618.5%)14(5.00%)14(5.00%)1.0	Male gender		35(58.33%)	20(62.50%)	15(53.57%)	0.601	b
○ cmmu1y-cquired11,67%)000%)11,37%)13,57%)13,60%)∞Heatt-acr-associated200,33%)7(1.48%)146.03%)000Heatt-acr-associated200,33%)7(1.48%)146.03%)0.909bHeatt-acr-associated640,75%)20,73%)20,714%)0.909bType560,30%)160,00%)140,00%)0.939bJaporal scality, and plarynx7(1.6%)610,05%)140,00%)0.011Japoral scality, and plarynx7(1.6%)610,00%)140,00%)0.011Japoral scality, and plarynx30,000%)160,00%)140,00%)0.0111Japoral scality, and plarynx30,000%)10,03%)27,14%)0.0111Japoral scality, and plarynx21,33%)13,13%13,57%)0.02111Japoral scality, and unspecified site46,67%)12,35%)12,35%)0.02111 </td <td>Place</td> <td>of acquisition</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.039</td> <td>b</td>	Place	of acquisition				0.039	b
ImportanceSp(50.0%)Sp(50.1%)Id(50.3%) <td></td> <td>Community-acquired</td> <td>1(1.67%)</td> <td>0(0.00%)</td> <td>1(3.57%)</td> <td></td> <td></td>		Community-acquired	1(1.67%)	0(0.00%)	1(3.57%)		
Inditace-associated2003.3%)701.8%)104.0%)10.Hem-tock and anglance46.6%)20.9%)20.9%)20.99%bTypes Texplsar6.0%30.97%)20.92%)20.92%)0.53%bIll constity, and phayx71.6%)616.5%)11.55%)11Board anticalar carling30.900%)165.0%)14.50.0%)1.61.5%11Board anticalar carling20.38%)30.93%)20.14%)111 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Hospital onset</td><td>39(65.00%)</td><td>25(78.13%)</td><td>14(50.00%)</td><td></td><td></td></td<>		Hospital onset	39(65.00%)	25(78.13%)	14(50.00%)		
Hema-Log diadition diadition <thdiadition< th=""> <thdiadition< th=""> <thd< td=""><td></td><td>Healthcare-associated</td><td>20(33.33%)</td><td>7(21.88%)</td><td>13(46.43%)</td><td></td><td></td></thd<></thdiadition<></thdiadition<>		Healthcare-associated	20(33.33%)	7(21.88%)	13(46.43%)		
SolidSolidSolidTypeSeq033%)Seq037%)Seq087%)Seq087%	Hema	tologic malignancy	4(6.67%)	2(6.25%)	2(7.14%)	>0.999	b
TypeImageI	Solid	tumor	56(93.33%)	30(93.75%)	26(92.86%)	>0.999	b
Importance 7(11.67%) 6(18.75%) 1.453.00%) 1.453.00%) Digestive organs 30(5.00%) 16(50.00%) 1.453.00%) 1.4 Respiratory and intrathoracic organs 5(8.33%) 3(9.38%) 2(7.14%) 1.4 Mesonhelia and soft tissue 2(3.33%) 1(0.13%) 2(7.14%) 1.4 Versing equital organs 2(3.33%) 1(1.31%) 1.5.37%) 1.4 Versing equital organs 2(3.33%) 1(3.13%) 1.0.37%) 1.4 Versing equital organs 2(3.33%) 1(3.13%) 1.0.37%) 1.4 Versing transplantation 0(0.00%) 2(6.25%) 2(7.14%) 1.4 Organ Transplantation 0(0.00%) 0(0.00%) 0(0.00%) 0(0.00%) 0.00%) 0.00% <td< td=""><td>Types</td><td>of neoplasm</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.543</td><td>b</td></td<>	Types	of neoplasm				0.543	b
Digestive organs 30(50.00%) 14(50.00%) 14(50.00%) 14(50.00%) 14(50.00%) 14(50.00%) 14(50.00%) 14(50.00%) 14(50.00%) 14(50.00%) 12(7.14%) 1 Mesorhelial and soft tissue 3(50.00%) 1(3.13%) 1(3.57%) 1 1 1 Inderficient other secondary, and unspecified sites 4(6.67%) 2(6.25%) 1(3.57%) 1 <td></td> <td>Lip, oral cavity, and pharynx</td> <td>7(11.67%)</td> <td>6(18.75%)</td> <td>1(3.57%)</td> <td></td> <td></td>		Lip, oral cavity, and pharynx	7(11.67%)	6(18.75%)	1(3.57%)		
ImageRespiratory and intrahonacio organsS(8.33%)S(9.38%)S(7.14%)ImageImageMond and articular cartilage23.33%)10.00%)2(7.14%)ImageImageMondelial and off tussee23.33%)11.31%)2(7.14%)ImageImageImageFenale genital organs23.33%)13.13%)13.57%)ImageImageImageImage36.00%)26.25%)2(7.14%)ImageImageImageImage46.67%)26.25%)2(7.14%)ImageImageImageImage46.67%)00.00%)00.00%0.00%)ImageImageImage00.00%00.00%)00.00%00.00%0.00%)ImageImageImageImage00.00%00.00%00.00%00.00%0.00%ImageImageImageImage00.00%00.00%00.00%00.00%0.00%ImageImageImageImage11.67%026.25%00.00%0.00%0.00%ImageImageImage11.63%13.33%10.00%21.05%ImageImageImageImage11.63%13.33%10.00%21.05%ImageImageImageImage11.63%13.33%10.00%21.05%ImageImageImageImage11.63%13.33%10.00%21.05%ImageImageImageImage11.63%13.33%10.00%10.14%ImageImageImage<		Digestive organs	30(50.00%)	16(50.00%)	14(50.00%)		
Bone and articulage 2(3.33%) 0(0.00%) 2(7.14%) (m) Mesonhelial and soft tissue 3(5.00%) 1(3.13%) 2(7.14%) (m) [m] Female genital organs 3(5.00%) 2(6.25%) 1(3.57%) [m] [m] Urinary tract 3(5.00%) 2(6.25%) 1(3.57%) [m] [m] Idefined, other secondary, and unspecified sites 4(6.67%) 2(3.25%) 3(10.71%) [m] [m] Others 0(0.00%) 0(0.00%) 0(0.00%) 0(0.00%) 0(0.00%) 0.235 b Organs spantation 0(0.00% 2(6.25%) 5(7.86%) 0.235 b Insumsurpressant 0(0.00% 0(0.00%) 0(0.00%) 0.446 b Instructure 2(45.30%) 2(6.25%) 0(7.86%) 0.235 b Instructure 2(45.33%) 2(5.00%) 2(1.33%) 0.241 b Instructure 3(3.00%) 3(0.38%) 0(0.00%) 0.241 b Instructure 3(2.00%)		Respiratory and intrathoracic organs	5(8.33%)	3(9.38%)	2(7.14%)		
Mesothell and oft tissue3(5.0%)1(3.1%)2(7.4%)(nd)(nd)Penale genital organs2(3.3%)1(3.1%)1(3.5%) </td <td></td> <td>Bone and articular cartilage</td> <td>2(3.33%)</td> <td>0(0.00%)</td> <td>2(7.14%)</td> <td></td> <td></td>		Bone and articular cartilage	2(3.33%)	0(0.00%)	2(7.14%)		
Image <th< td=""><td></td><td>Mesothelial and soft tissue</td><td>3(5.00%)</td><td>1(3.13%)</td><td>2(7.14%)</td><td></td><td></td></th<>		Mesothelial and soft tissue	3(5.00%)	1(3.13%)	2(7.14%)		
Image <th< td=""><td></td><td>Female genital organs</td><td>2(3.33%)</td><td>1(3.13%)</td><td>1(3.57%)</td><td></td><td></td></th<>		Female genital organs	2(3.33%)	1(3.13%)	1(3.57%)		
ImageImageImageImageImageImageImageImageOtherOther0000%0235biIma0000%0100%0100%0100%0100%02350100100%0235bi0100%0100%0236bi0100% <t< td=""><td></td><td>Urinary tract</td><td>3(5.00%)</td><td>2(6.25%)</td><td>1(3.57%)</td><td></td><td></td></t<>		Urinary tract	3(5.00%)	2(6.25%)	1(3.57%)		
Others (66.7%) (3.13%) (10.71%) (1.17%) Orgattansplantation 0(0.00%) 0(0.00%) 0(0.00%) 0(0.00%) 1 Immatrial 0(0.00%) 0(0.00%) 0(0.00%) 0(0.00%) 0.00%) 0.00%) Immatrial 0(0.00%) 0(0.00%) 0(0.00%) 0.00%) 0.235 b. Total/example 7(11.67%) 2(6.50%) 1(18.2%) 0.236 b. Antime/example 27(5.00%) 2(75.00%) 2(17.50%) 0.210%) b. Antime/example 3(5.0%) 8(25.0%) 6(21.43%) 0.210%) b. Nettrial 1(23.33%) 8(25.0%) 6(0.0%) 0.211 b. Nettrial 1(16.8%) 3(3.3%) 0(0.00%) 0.410%) b. Implanted part 2(38.3%) 16(50.0%) 725.0%, 0.614 b. Implanted part 3(60.0%) 1(65.00%) 1(3.5%) 0.402 b. Implanted part 6(69.33%) 3(0.0%) 1(3.5%) 0		Ill-defined, other secondary, and unspecified sites	4(6.67%)	2(6.25%)	2(7.14%)		
<table-container> Orges Immuspersant 0(0.0%) 0.023 b GIM= Zards (0.0%) 10(30.0%) 10(30.0%) 0(0.0%) 0.046 DD</table-container>		Others	4(6.67%)	1(3.13%)	3(10.71%)		
<table-container> Immun Impund Impund</table-container>	Organ	a transplantation	0(0.00%)	0(0.00%)	0(0.00%)		
Glac> Tide 2(6.25%) 5(17.86%) 0.235 b Tod_parenteral nutrition 27(45.00%) 16(50.00%) 1(32.99%) 0.460 b Anti-value 27(50.00%) 24(75.00%) 21(75.00%) 0.213%) 0.700 b Diabets 14(23.33%) 8(25.00%) 6(21.43%) 0.701 b Chronickiden glasses 3(5.00%) 3(9.38%) 0(0.00%) 0.241 b Network 3(3.33%) 2(6.25%) 0(0.00%) 0.241 b Vetwork 4(6.67%) 3(9.38%) 1(3.57%) 0.616 b PC 11.67%) 0(0.00%) 1(3.57%) 0.616 b Impland part 660.00% 16(50.00%) 1(3.57%) 0.402 b Impland part 660.03% 10(50.00%) 1(3.57%) 0.402 b Impland part 610.05% 31(96.88%) 2(50.98%) 0.402 b Impland part 10.67%) 0(0.00%) 1(3.57%) 0.402 b	Immunosuppressant		0(0.00%)	0(0.00%)	0(0.00%)		
Total per eral autrition 27(45.00%) 16(50.00%) 1(139.29%) 0.446 b Antner platic chemotherapy 45(75.00%) 24(75.00%) 21(75.00%) >0.0990 b Diabetes militus 14(23.33%) 8(25.00%) 6(21.43%) 0.700 b Chrowits idea 3(5.00%) 9(38%) 0(0.00%) 0.241 b Chrowits idea 1(3.33%) 0(0.00%) 0.241 b Matter idea 1(3.33%) 0(0.00%) 0.494 b Matter idea 1(3.33%) 0(0.00%) 0.494 b Verture chartal venous catheter 2(3.33%) 16(50.00%) 7(25.00%) 0.064 b Short-ter central venous catheter 2(3.83.33%) 16(50.00%) 7(25.00%) 0.064 b Implanted port 1(1.67%) 0(0.00%) 1(3.57%) 0 0.00 1 None S6(93.33%) 31(96.83%) 25(89.29%) 0 0 0 Implanted port 1(1.67%) 0(0.00%) 1(3.13%) 0(2	Glucocorticoid therapy		7(11.67%)	2(6.25%)	5(17.86%)	0.235	b
Animetry 4575.00% 21(75.00%) 21(75.00%) >0.999 bit Diabeter militus 14(33.33%) 8(25.00%) 6(21.43%) 0.770 bit Chroric king diseas 3(5.00%) 3(9.38%) 0(0.00%) 0.241 bit Netter 11.85%) 1(3.33%) 0(0.00%) 0.949 bit Netter 2(3.53%) 2(62%) 0(0.00%) 0.949 bit Y 4(6.67%) 3(9.38%) 1(3.57%) 0.616 bit Y Sont-term central venous catheter 2(38.33%) 16(50.00%) 1(3.57%) 0.064 bit PIC 116.67%) 0(0.00%) 1(3.57%) 0.01 1 1 Miplated port 3(660.00%) 16(50.00%) 1(3.57%) 0.00 1 1 1 None Sof(9.33%) 31068.8%) 2(82.9%) 0.01 1 1 Vitter 10.67%) 0(0.00%) 1(3.13%) 2(7.14%) 0.01 1 Vitter 10.62.05%)	Total parenteral nutrition		27(45.00%)	16(50.00%)	11(39.29%)	0.446	b
Diabets mellitus 14(23.33%) 8(25.00%) 6(21.43%) 0,770 b Chronts J(5.00%) 3(9.38%) 0(0.00%) 0.241 b Neutropenta 1(1.85%) 1(3.33%) 0(0.00%) 0.999 b Hemodified 2(3.33%) 2(6.25%) 0(0.00%) 0.494 b Vark Uses 4(6.67%) 3(9.38%) 1(3.57%) 0.064 b Type VCs 0.047 2(3.33%) 16(50.00%) 7(25.00%) 0.064 b Mark Issae 11(.67%) 0(0.00%) 1(3.57%) 0.402 b 0.402 b Preserrow on persovable foreign body 1(1.67%) 0(0.00%) 1(3.57%) 0.402 b None 56(93.33%) 31(96.88%) 25(89.29%) 0.402 b Preserrow in an encode foreign body 1(1.67%) 0(0.00%) 1(3.57%) 0.402 b None 56(93.33%) 31(96.88%) 25(89.29%) 0.402 b c Preserom	Antin	eoplastic chemotherapy	45(75.00%)	24(75.00%)	21(75.00%)	>0.999	b
Chronic kiney disease 3(5.00%) 3(9.38%) 0(0.00%) 0.241 b Neutryent 1(1.85%) 1(3.33%) 0(0.00%) >0.999 b Hemodifying 2(3.33%) 2(6.25%) 0(0.00%) 0.494 b Valvestex 4(6.67%) 3(9.38%) 1(3.57%) 0.616 b Valvestex 4(6.67%) 16(50.00%) 7(25.00%) 0.064 b PICC 1(1.67%) 0(0.00%) 1(3.57%) 0.402 b Implanted port 3(60.00%) 16(50.00%) 20(7.43%) 0.402 b Vifter 1(1.67%) 0(0.00%) 1(3.57%) 0.402 b Voters 3(5.00%) 1(3.13%) 2(7.14%) 0 0.402 b Vifter 1(1.67%) 0(0.00%) 1(3.57%) 0 0 0 0 0 Voters 3(5.00%) 1(5.16%) 1(3.57%) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <td colspan="2">Diabetes mellitus</td> <td>14(23.33%)</td> <td>8(25.00%)</td> <td>6(21.43%)</td> <td>0.770</td> <td>b</td>	Diabetes mellitus		14(23.33%)	8(25.00%)	6(21.43%)	0.770	b
Netry 1(1.85%) 1(3.33%) 0(0.00%) >0.999 b Herror 2(3.33%) 2(6.25%) 0(0.00%) 0.494 b Valres 4(6.67%) 3(9.38%) 1(3.57%) 0.616 b Type:/// Cs Image: Signal (1.67%) 1000%) 1(3.57%) 0.616 b PicC 1(1.67%) 0(0.00%) 1(3.57%) 0.01 1 1 PicC 1(1.67%) 0(0.00%) 1(3.57%) 0.01 1 1 Vifiter 1(1.67%) 0(0.00%) 1(3.57%) 0.01 1 1 None 5693.33%) 3(196.88%) 2(8.29%) 0.01 1 1 Vifiter 1(1.67%) 0(0.00%) 1(3.57%) 0.01 1 1 None 5693.33%) 3(196.88%) 2(8.29%) 0.01 1 1 Vifiter 10.254% 10(3.13%) 0(0.00%) 0.01 1 1 Vifiter 10.252% 10(3.26%) 1(3.57%)<	Chronic kidney disease		3(5.00%)	3(9.38%)	0(0.00%)	0.241	b
Herror 2(3.3%) 2(6.2%) 0(0.0%) 0.494 b Vale isse 4(6.6%) 3(9.3%) 1(3.5%) 0.616 b Type / VCs Implanted pressing 2(38.3%) 16(50.0%) 7(25.0%) Implanted pressing 0.064 b PICC 1(1.6%) 0(0.0%) 1(3.5%) Implanted pressing 0.00 Implanted pressing	Neutropenia		1(1.85%)	1(3.33%)	0(0.00%)	>0.999	b
Vare interm 4(6.6%) 3(9.38%) 1(3.5%) 0.616 b Type interm Short-term central venous catheter 23(38.3%) 16(50.0%) 7(25.0%) Implement	Hemo	dialysis	2(3.33%)	2(6.25%)	0(0.00%)	0.494	b
Type VCS Indextion Indextion <t< td=""><td>Valve</td><td>disease</td><td>4(6.67%)</td><td>3(9.38%)</td><td>1(3.57%)</td><td>0.616</td><td>b</td></t<>	Valve	disease	4(6.67%)	3(9.38%)	1(3.57%)	0.616	b
Image: Non-term central venous catheter23(38.33%)16(50.00%)7(25.00%)Image: Non-term central venous catheter23(38.33%)16(50.00%)1(3.57%)Image: Non-term central venous catheterImage: Non-term central venous catheter <t< td=""><td>Туре</td><td>of CVCs</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.064</td><td>b</td></t<>	Туре	of CVCs				0.064	b
Image: Note of the set of t		Short-term central venous catheter	23(38.33%)	16(50.00%)	7(25.00%)		
Implaned port3660.00%)16(50.00%)20(71.43%)ImplanedImplanedPerseera non-removable foreign bodyIImplaned<		PICC	1(1.67%)	0(0.00%)	1(3.57%)		
Preserve of a non-removable foreign body Image: model foreign body 0.000 10.000% 10.3.57% 0.000 0.000%		Implanted port	36(60.00%)	16(50.00%)	20(71.43%)		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline IV filter & 1(1.67\%) & 0(0.00\%) & 1(3.57\%) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & $	Prese	nce of a non-removable foreign body				0.402	Ь
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline None & 56(93.3\%) & 31(96.8\%) & 25(89.29\%) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & $		IV filter	1(1.67%)	0(0.00%)	1(3.57%)		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		None	56(93.33%)	31(96.88%)	25(89.29%)		
Pitt Bacteremia Score 0 0 0 0 0.841 a 0 31(52.54%) 16(51.61%) 15(53.57%) 1 19(32.20%) 10(32.26%) 9(32.14%) 2 1(1.69%) 1(3.23%) 0(0.00%)) 3 2(3.39%) 1(3.23%) 1(3.57%) 4 4(6.78%) 1(3.23%) 3(10.71%) 8 2(3.39%) 2(6.45%) 0(0.00%) 8 2(3.39%) 2(6.45%) 0(0.00%) 9 5 2(3.39%) 1(3.13%) 0(0.00%) 9 5 3 1 1 9 5 1 1 1 <		Others	3(5.00%)	1(3.13%)	2(7.14%)		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Pitt B	acteremia Score				0.841	a
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0		31(52.54%)	16(51.61%)	15(53.57%)		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1		19(32.20%)	10(32.26%)	9(32.14%)		
3 2(3.39%) 1(3.23%) 1(3.57%) 4 4(6.78%) 1(3.23%) 3(10.71%) 8 2(3.39%) 2(6.45%) 0(0.00%) Secondary foci 11.67%) 1(3.13%) 0(0.00%) Postoperative wound 1(1.67%) 0(0.00%) <0.999 b Pneumonia 1(1.67%) 0(0.00%) 0(0.00%) >0.999 b Skin soft tissue 1(1.67%) 1(3.13%) 0(0.00%) >0.999 b	2		1(1.69%)	1(3.23%)	0(0.00%))		
	3		2(3.39%)	1(3.23%)	1(3.57%)		
8 2(3.39%) 2(6.45%) 0(0.00%) Image: Marcine Stress Secondary foci Image: Marcine Stress Im	4		4(6.78%)	1(3.23%)	3(10.71%)		
Secontry foi Image: Construction of the second	8		2(3.39%)	2(6.45%)	0(0.00%)		
Postoperative wound 1(1.67%) 1(3.13%) 0(0.00%) >0.999 b Pneumonia 1(1.67%) 0(0.00%) 1(3.57%) 0.467 b Skin soft tissue 1(1.67%) 1(3.13%) 0(0.00%) >0.999 b	Secon	dary foci					
Pneumonia 1(1.67%) 0(0.00%) 1(3.57%) 0.467 b Skin soft tissue 1(1.67%) 1(3.13%) 0(0.00%) >0.999 b		Postoperative wound	1(1.67%)	1(3.13%)	0(0.00%)	>0.999	b
Skin soft tissue 1(1.67%) 1(3.13%) 0(0.00%) >0.999 b		Pneumonia	1(1.67%)	0(0.00%)	1(3.57%)	0.467	b
		Skin soft tissue	1(1.67%)	1(3.13%)	0(0.00%)	>0.999	b

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

		All (n = 60)	IV group (n = 32)	IV+PO group (n = 28)	P-value	
	Endocarditis	1(1.67%)	1(3.13%)	0(0.00%)	>0.999	b
	Thromboembolism	6(10.00%)	0(0.00%)	6(21.43%)	0.008	b
	Liver abscess	1(1.67%)	0(0.00%)	1(3.57%)	0.467	b
Persis	tent bacteremia	6(20.00%)	1(7.69%)	5(29.41%)	0.196	b
Remo	ved CVCs	55(91.67%)	27(84.38%)	28(100.00%)	0.055	b
Drain	age except for removing CVCs	5(8.33%)	2(6.25%)	3(10.71%)	0.657	b
CVCs	reinsertion during antibiotic treatment	24(40.00%)	15(46.88%)	9(32.14%)	0.297	b
Comp	lication after treatment					
	Thromboembolism	5(8.33%)	1(3.13%)	4(14.29%)	0.175	b
	Osteomyelitis	1(1.67%)	0(0.00%)	1(3.57%)	0.467	b
	Skin soft tissue	1(1.67%)	0(0.00%)	1(3.57%)	0.467	b
	None	55(91.67%)	31(96.88%)	24(85.71%)	0.175	b
ID con	nsultation	47(78.33%)	21(65.63%)	26(92.86%)	0.013	b
Receiv	red echocardiogram	38(63.33%)	14(43.75%)	24(85.71%)	0.001	b
IV and	ibiotics					
	CEZ	56(93.33%)	29(90.63%)	27(96.43%)	0.616	b
	CMZ	3(5.00%)	2(6.25%)	1(3.57%)	>0.999	b
	CTRX	4(6.67%)	3(9.38%)	1(3.57%)	0.616	b
	СГРМ	24(40.00%)	9(28.13%)	15(53.57%)	0.065	b
	SBT/CPZ	5(8.33%)	4(12.50%)	1(3.57%)	0.359	b
	SBT/ABPC	6(10.00%)	3(9.38%)	3(10.71%)	>0.999	b
	TAZ/PIPC	12(20.00%)	5(15.63%)	7(25.00%)	0.520	b
	IPM/CS	3(5.00%)	1(3.13%)	2(7.14%)	0.594	b
	МЕРМ	3(5.00%)	2(6.25%)	1(3.57%)	>0.999	b
	VCM	50(83.33%)	23(71.88%)	27(96.43%)	0.014	b
	CLDM	6(10.00%)	2(6.25%)	4(14.29%)	0.404	b
	others	2(3.34%)	2(6.25%)	0(0.00%)		
PO an	tibiotics					
	CEX	19(31.67%)		19(67.86%)		
	CVA/AMPC	4(6.67%)		4(14.29%)		
	LVFX	4(6.67%)		4(14.29%)		
	ST	2(3.33%)		2(7.14%)		
	CLDM	6(10.00%)		6(21.43%)		
	others	2(3.33%)		2(7.14%)		
Duration of antibiotics days (median, range)		26.0(15,0, 34.0)	16.5(13.0,30.5)	32.5(26.0,52.3)	<0.001	b
Duration of IV antibiotics (median, range)		17.0(13.0,24.75)	16.5(13.0,30.5)	17.5(13.0,24.0)	0.935	b
Recur	rence	1(1.67%)	1(3.13%)	0(0.00%)	>0.999	b
Infect	ion-related death	0(0.00%)	0(0.00%)	0(0.00%)		
Death	within 90 days	20(33.33%)	17(53.13%)	3(10.71%)	0.001	b
Death	within 30 days	7(11.67%)	7(21.88%)	0(0.00%)	0.012	b

data: n, %; median [interquartile range (IQR): 25%, 75%].

a, Mann-Whitney U test

b, Fisher's Exact Test.

Abbreviations; IV, intravenous; PO, oral; IQR, interquartile range; CVCs, central venous catheters; PICC, peripherally inserted central catheter; ID, infectious disease; CEZ, Cefazolin; CMZ, Cefmetazole; IPM/CS, Imipenem/Cilastatin; MEPM, Meropenem; VCM, vancomycin; CLDM, Clindamycin; CEX, Cefalexin; CVA/AMPC, Amoxicillin/Clavulanate; LVFX, Levofloxacin; ST, Sulfamethoxazole/Trimethoprim.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207413.t001

	Alive (n = 40)	Death (n = 20)	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	P-value	PS-adjusted OR (95% CI)	P-value
IV group	15(37.50%)	17(85.00%)	ref.			
IV + PO group	25(62.50%)	3(15.00%)	0.106(0.027-0.423)	0.001	0.377(0.037-3.884)	0.413

Table 2. Univariate and propensity score-adjusted analysis of risk factors associated with mortality within 90 days.

OR: odds ratio, 95% CI: 95% confidence interval.

Abbreviations: PS, Propensity score; IV, intravenous; ref, reference; PO, oral.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207413.t002

switching to oral therapy was not associated with poorer outcomes in cancer patients with CRBSI due to MSSA. Therefore, the results of the present study are quite novel.

Previous studies have shown that adherence to evidence-based quality-of-care indicators (QCIs), as well as ID consultation, which is associated with adherence to QCIs in the management of SAB, could result in reduced mortality [2,14,15]. The main QCIs consist of the following five aspects: (1) follow-up blood cultures; (2) early source control when applicable; (3) echocardiography; (4) the early use of appropriate antibiotics, and (5) the appropriate duration of therapy [15]. In our study, there was a low adherence rate to follow-up blood cultures, echocardiography, and removal of CVCs in the IV group compared to the proposed QCIs. However, some reports do not recommend routine echocardiography [16–18], and performing routine echocardiography for SAB is controversial. Only 84% of patients in the IV group had the CVCs removed, which was primarily due to consideration of their cancer prognosis.

However, ID consultation, which adheres to QCIs, has also been shown to be associated with reduced mortality [2]. In this study, it is not known why oral switch therapy was adopted in certain patients, and this may have led to an unknown bias. However, it is possible that oral switch therapy could be an option for patients with CRBSI due to MSSA if they adhere to QCIs and ID consultation.

Pharmacist-facilitated antimicrobial stewardship initiatives can aid in switching from IV to PO [19]. While IV medications may be more bioavailable and have greater effects, some oral drugs produce serum levels comparable to the parenteral form [5]. In our study, cefalexin was the most common oral antibiotic option. The antimicrobial activity of cephalexin has been shown to be active against common Gram-positive organisms, including MSSA [20]. Furthermore, orally-administered cephalexin has been shown to be almost completely absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract [20].

The mortality in our study was significantly higher than that in previous studies, particularly in patients on IV treatment [2]. This finding might have resulted from the fact that all participants in the present study were cancer patients. In some studies, cancer is reported to be a predictor of mortality in *S. aureus* bacteremia [21–23]. Although the design of our study did not provide an opportunity to determine the weight of this association, we did evaluate variables related to neoplastic disease that could explain this outcome.

The recurrence of MSSA infections complicated by bacteremia was similar between the two treatment groups in our study. Our findings were similar to those of a meta-analysis that included 11 studies, which showed that the relapse rate after conventional 4- to 6-week antibiotic regimens for catheter-related *S. aureus* bacteremia is expected to be less than 1% [1].

The present study has several limitations. First, a relatively small number of patients were enrolled in the study. Second, because the study used data from retrospective medical records review, the patients were not treated according to defined protocol. Thus, type of antibiotics, dose, and duration of treatment differed in our participants. In addition, echocardiography and follow-up blood culture were not performed in all patients; therefore, the true incidence of complications and recurrences may have been underestimated. Additionally, there were some

missing data in our study; however, rigorous and consistent data collection and verification were ensured by four ID doctors. Likewise, both groups had no infection-related death in our study. The reason for this finding is unclear, and we considered this as a limitation of retrospective data collection. Therefore, we evaluated 30-day mortality in addition to 90-day mortality.

Third, data were collected at a single institution treating cancer patients with CRBSI, and the predominant places of acquisition of infection were hospital onset and health care-associated infections; hence, our results may not be generalizable. Our results should therefore be validated in other cohorts, especially in non-cancer patients. Finally, there was a potential for confounding by indication. The majority of patients, at the time of admission to the hospital for severe infections, often tend to opt for IV medications and continue to receive them until discharge. Patients receiving IV antibiotics might differ from patients receiving oral antibiotics after IV treatment in ways that could have been associated with mortality. Furthermore, cancer staging and performance status, which might have influenced mortality, were not obtained in our study. However, patient characteristics were not significantly different between groups. We attempted to limit the potential for unadjusted confounding by including both measures of illness severity based on vital signs at baseline (i.e., Pitt Bacteremia Score) and comorbidities.

In conclusion, our study suggests that CRBSI due to MSSA in cancer patients can be treated by switching to oral therapy, rather than relying on conventionally recommended IV therapy. Future prospective randomized trials are needed to better clarify our findings and confirm whether switching to oral therapy for CRBSI due to MSSA is indicated in cancer patients.

Supporting information

S1 File. Raw data of our patients. (XLSX)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Naoya Itoh.

Data curation: Naoya Itoh, Sho Saito, Michiko Myokai, Hanako Kurai.

Formal analysis: Yasunobu Nakamura.

Investigation: Naoya Itoh.

Methodology: Naoya Itoh.

Project administration: Naoya Itoh.

Resources: Naoya Itoh.

Supervision: Yoshiro Hadano, Hanako Kurai.

Writing - original draft: Naoya Itoh.

Writing - review & editing: Naoya Itoh, Hanako Kurai.

References

- 1. Jernigan JA, Farr BM. Short-course therapy of catheter-related Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia: a meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med. 1993; 119: 304–311. PMID: 8328740
- 2. Bai AD, Showler A, Burry L, Steinberg M, Ricciuto DR, Fernandes T, et al. Impact of Infectious Disease Consultation on Quality of Care, Mortality, and Length of Stay in Staphylococcus aureus Bacteremia:

Results From a Large Multicenter Cohort Study. Clin Infect Dis. 2015; 60: 1451–1461. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/cid/civ120 PMID: 25701854

- Mermel LA, Allon M, Bouza E, Craven DE, Flynn P, O'Grady NP, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of intravascular catheter-related infection: 2009 Update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis. 2009; 49: 1–45. https://doi.org/10.1086/599376 PMID: 19489710
- Liu C, Bayer A, Cosgrove SE, Daum RS, Fridkin SK, Gorwitz RJ, et al. Clinical practice guidelines by the infectious diseases society of America for the treatment of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infections in adults and children. Clin Infect Dis. 2011; 52: e18–55. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ cig146 PMID: 21208910
- Schrenzel J, Harbarth S, Schockmel G, Genné D, Bregenzer T, Flueckiger U, et al. A randomized clinical trial to compare fleroxacin-rifampicin with flucloxacillin or vancomycin for the treatment of staphylococcal infection. Clin Infect Dis. 2004; 39: 1285–1292. https://doi.org/10.1086/424506 PMID: 15494904
- Thwaites GE, United Kingdom Clinical Infection Research Group (UKCIRG). The management of Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia in the United Kingdom and Vietnam: a multi-centre evaluation. PLoS One. 2010; 5: e14170. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0014170 PMID: 21179193
- Kaasch AJ, Fätkenheuer G, Prinz-Langenohl R, Paulus U, Hellmich M, Weiß V, Jung N, et al. Early oral switch therapy in low-risk Staphylococcus aureus bloodstream infection (SABATO): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials. 2015; 16: 450. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-015-0973-x PMID: 26452342
- Vashi PG, Virginkar N, Popiel B, Edwin P, Gupta D. Incidence of and factors associated with catheterrelated bloodstream infection in patients with advanced solid tumors on home parenteral nutrition managed using a standardized catheter care protocol. BMC Infect Dis. 2017; 17: 372. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> 1186/s12879-017-2469-7 PMID: 28558699
- Soriano A, Martínez JA, Mensa J, Marco F, Almela M, Moreno-Martínez A, Sánchez F, et al. Pathogenic significance of methicillin resistance for patients with Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia. Clin Infect Dis. 2000; 30: 368–373. https://doi.org/10.1086/313650 PMID: 10671343
- Asgeirsson H, Kristjansson M, Kristinsson KG, Gudlaugsson O. Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia— Nationwide assessment of treatment adequacy and outcome. J Infect. 2011; 62: 339–346. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jinf.2011.03.003 PMID: 21402101
- Lodise TP, Graves J, Evans A, Graffunder E, Helmecke M, Lomaestro BM, et al. Relationship between vancomycin MIC and failure among patients with methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia treated with vancomycin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2008; 52: 3315–3320. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00113-08 PMID: 18591266</u>
- Friedman ND, Kaye KS, Stout JE, McGarry SA, Trivette SL, Briggs JP, et al. Health care—associated bloodstream infections in adults: a reason to change the accepted definition of community-acquired infections. Ann Intern Med. 2002; 137: 791–797. PMID: 12435215
- Paterson DL, Ko WC, Von Gottberg A, Mohapatra S, Casellas JM, Goossens H, et al. International prospective study of Klebsiella pneumoniae bacteremia: implications of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase production in nosocomial Infections. Ann Intern Med. 2004; 140: 26–32. PMID: 14706969
- Nagao M, Yamamoto M, Matsumura Y, Yokota I, Takakura S, Teramukai S, et al. Complete adherence to evidence-based quality-of-care indicators for Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia resulted in better prognosis. Infection. 2017; 45: 83–91. https://doi.org/10.1007/s15010-016-0946-3 PMID: 27709434
- López-Cortés LE, Del Toro MD, Gálvez-Acebal J, Bereciartua-Bastarrica E, Fariñas MC, Sanz-Franco M, et al. Impact of an evidence-based bundle intervention in the quality-of-care management and outcome of Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia. Clin Infect Dis. 2013; 57: 1225–1233. https://doi.org/10. 1093/cid/cit499 PMID: 23929889
- Palraj BR, Baddour LM, Hess EP, Steckelberg JM, Wilson WR, Lahr BD, et al. Predicting Risk of Endocarditis Using a Clinical Tool (PREDICT): Scoring System to Guide Use of Echocardiography in the Management of Staphylococcus aureus Bacteremia. Clin Infect Dis. 2015; 61: 18–28. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1093/cid/civ235</u> PMID: 25810284
- Heriot G, Yeoh J, Street A, Ratnam I. Echocardiography has minimal yield and may not be warranted in Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia without clinical risk factors for endocarditis. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2015; 34: 1231–1236. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-015-2352-7 PMID: 25717023
- Naucler P, Berge A. Screening algorithm to perform transesophageal echocardiography in patients with staphylococcus aureus bacteremia. Clin Infect Dis. 2015; 61: 1630.
- Dunn K, O'Reilly A, Silke B, Rogers T, Bergin C. Implementing a pharmacist-led sequential antimicrobial therapy strategy: a controlled before-and-after study. Int J Clin Pharm. 2011; 33: 208–214. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11096-010-9475-9 PMID: 21744190

- Meyers BR, Kaplan K, Weinstein L. Cephalexin: microbiological effects and pharmacologic parameters in man. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 1969; 10: 810–816. PMID: 4900202
- Cuervo SI, Cortés JA, Sánchez R, Rodríguez JY, Silva E, Tibavizco D, et al. Risk factors for mortality caused by Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia in cancer patients. Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin. 2010; 28: 349–354. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eimc.2009.06.015 PMID: 20430483
- Hill PC, Birch M, Chambers S, Drinkovic D, Ellis-Pegler RB, Everts R, et al. Prospective study of 424 cases of Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia: determination of factors affecting incidence and mortality. Intern Med J. 2001; 31: 97–103. PMID: <u>11480485</u>
- Kim SH, Park WB, Lee KD, Kang CI, Kim HB, Oh MD, et al. Outcome of Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia in patients with eradicable foci versus noneradicable foci. Clin Infect Dis. 2003; 37: 794–799. https://doi.org/10.1086/377540 PMID: 12955640