REVIEW



Nanoengineered thermoresponsive magnetic hydrogels for biomedical applications

Nima A. Jalili¹ | Madyson Muscarello¹ | Akhilesh K. Gaharwar^{1,2,3}

¹Dept. of Biomedical Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843

²Dept. of Materials Science and Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843

³Center for Remote Health Technologies and Systems, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843

Correspondence

Akhilesh K. Gaharwar, Dept. of Biomedical Engineering, Texas A&M University, 5024 Emerging Technology Building, College Station, TX 77843.
Email: gaharwar@tamu.edu.

Funding Information

Texas Engineering Experiment Station and Texas A&M University Seed Grant (to A.K.G.).

Abstract

"Smart" hydrogels are part of an emerging class of biomaterials that respond to multiple external stimuli. A range of thermoresponsive magnetic hydrogels is currently being developed for ondemand delivery of biomolecules for a range of biomedical applications, including therapeutic drug delivery, bioimaging, and regenerative engineering. In this review article, we explore different types of magnetic nanoparticles and thermoresponsive polymers used to fabricate these smart nanoengineered hydrogels. We highlight some of the emerging applications of these stimuliresponsive hydrogels for biomedical applications. Finally, we capture the growing trend of these smart nanoengineered hydrogels and will identify promising new research directions.

KEYWORDS

hydrogels, magnetic nanoparticles, nanocomposites, thermoresponsive, tissue engineering

1 | INTRODUCTION

Stimuli-responsive hydrogels can be designed to respond to changes in external stimuli, such as temperature, pH, light, and ultrasonic frequency. Hydrogels that experience physio-chemical changes due to a change in an external stimulus have great potential in the field of noninvasive and remote controlled therapies. However, the use of these external stimuli (temperature, pH, light, and ultrasound) limits the applications of these responsive hydrogels systems to specific tissue regions. For example, thermo-responsive hydrogels can only be used for skin-deep penetration, where external heating can be applied, while pH-responsive hydrogels can only be used in tissue with specific pH ranges. Hydrogels responding to ultrasound waves and near-IR radiation have limited penetration ability due to high diffraction and the absorption ability of surrounding tissues. This problem of tissue-specific stimuli can be circumvented through the use of hydrogels containing nanoparticles. Hydrogels can be applied to the problem of tissue-specific stimuli can be circumvented through the use of hydrogels containing nanoparticles.

A range of nanoparticles are incorporated within polymeric hydrogels to mechanically reinforce the hydrogel network and/or obtain desired stimuli-response. For example, two-dimensional (2D) graphene

nanosheets^{16,17} or nanosilicates^{18–20} can be incorporated within polymeric hydrogels for sustained and controlled release of therapeutic and to mechanically reinforce the network. In addition, nanoparticles can physically or chemically interacts with the hydrogel network and can results nanocomposite network with unique property combinations.^{21,22} Surface decorated nanoparticles can covalently interact with polymeric chains and can mechanically reinforce the network at ultralow concentration.²³ Developing nanoengineered hydrogels with tailored characteristics and functionality has opened up new possibilities in developing advanced biomaterials for various biomedical applications.^{24,25}

Magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs), in response to a generalized external stimulus, can internally stimulate changes to the polymeric network of the hydrogel, allowing for a versatile stimuli-responsive system.

MNPs respond to an alternating magnetic field (AMF) and have been shown to generate heat due to their superparamagnetic nature.

Due to this characteristic, MNPs are extensively used as magnetic contrast agents to improve imaging resolution for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as well as hyperthermia treatments.

26,29,35 By combining these MNPs with thermoresponsive polymers, a safe, deep-tissue response can be elicited to control the material behavior of the "smart

© 2016 The Authors. Bioengineering & Translational Medicine is published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc. on behalf of The American Institute of Chemical Engineers This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.




FIGURE 1 Magnetically responsive nanoengineered hydrogels for biomedical applications. (a) Schematic showing different types of nanomaterials fabricated from magnetic nanoparticles and thermoresponsive polymers. Common biomedical applications of magnetically-responsive nanocomposites. (b) Pie charts showing distribution of different types of magnetic nanoparticles, and thermoresponsive polymers for various biomedical applications. Data obtained from ISI Web of Science in June 2016

hydrogel." These thermoresponsive magnetic nanomaterials can be designed for a wide array of biomedical applications; such responsive materials can be utilized for delivering therapeutics to tumor sites through leaky vasculature, while others are designed to provide high contrast during MRI scanning. 36–40

In this review, we will specifically focus on nanoengineered thermoresponsive hydrogels loaded with magnetic nanoparticles. A range of articles is available on biomedical applications of thermoresponsive polymers^{1–10} and magnetic nanoparticles. ^{26–34} This review focuses on a subset of thermoresponsive hydrogels that respond to external magnetic fields. We will first introduce different types of magnetic nanoparticles and thermoresponsive polymers that are used to design thermoresponsive magnetic hydrogels (Figure 1a). We will critically evaluate and discuss biomedical applications—including therapeutic delivery, bioimaging and regenerative engineering of these composite hydrogels. Finally, we will capture the growing trend of these smart nanoengineered hydrogels and will identify promising new research directions.

2 | MAGNETIC NANOPARTICLES AND THERMORESPONSIVE POLYMERS USED TO FABRICATE SMART HYDROGELS

2.1 | Magnetic nanoparticles

Among the different types of MNPs, ferromagnetic, and superparamagnetic nanoparticles have been extensively investigated for biomedical

applications (Figure 1b).^{29,33,41-44} Superparamagnetic MNPs are less than 30 nm in diameter and under an AMF these MNPs produce heat due to Brownian and Néel relaxation.³² This localized heat response can be used to ablate cells.³⁵ However, due to their small size and large surface area, these MNPs may aggregate and thereby lose superparamagnetic characteristics.²⁶ To improve the stability of MNPs and prevent aggregation, the nanoparticle surface can be functionalized with various small molecules including poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG), citric acid, and oleic acid.³⁰ Magnetite and hematite are the most commonly utilized ferromagnetic nanoparticles for biomedical applications due to their biocompatibility, physiological stability, and high magnetization ability. Magnetite, which has the chemical formula Fe₃O₄ and may also be written as Fe_2O_3 ·FeO, contains Fe^{3+} as well as Fe^{2+} ions ordered unequally, which gives rise to a net magnetization ability, as well as superparamagnetic capabilities. This high magnetization ability makes magnetite a prime agent for use as an MRI agent, hyperthermic agent, and a component in drug delivery constructs. Magnetite can also be used in the creation of a scaffold-free tissue culture. 26,30,45,46 The other common ferromagnetic nanoparticle, hematite, has the formula Fe₂O₃ and can be functionalized with fullerenes such as C₆₀ for potential use in drug delivery, nonviral gene delivery, and MRI contrast agents.⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ Another iron-containing nanoparticle used in biomedical applications is iron platinum (FePt). The modified surface of FePt nanoparticles allows for applications in targeted drug delivery, photochemical therapy, biosensing, and imaging. 42,50,51 Finally, cobalt-iron (CoFe) nanoparticles are also under investigation for potential bioimaging and drug delivery

applications. 41,52,53 Overall, superparamagnetic iron-containing nanoparticles (SPINs) are utilized in research for a wide range of biomedical applications and have potential for clinical translation due to low cell toxicity.54,55

MNPs are biocompatible and biodegradability and thus have been investigated for a range of biomedical applications. MNPs are used in clinical diagnostics (MRI contrast agents [Feridex I.V. ®]) and treatment (liposomal drug delivery systems for cancer therapy [Doxil®]). MNPs can be metabolized and releases iron ions, which can be stored in body and eventually used by erythrocytes to form hemoglobin. However, biocompatibility of thermoresponsive magnetic hydrogels will depend on various parameters such as type of polymeric network and structures of MNPs. In addition, the shape, size and surface coating of MNPs will directly control metabolism, clearance, and toxicity profiles of MNPs. Therefore, additional investigation on long-term biocompatibly, biodistribution, and clearance need to be investigated in detail.

2.2 | Thermoresponsive polymers

Thermoresponsive hydrogels exhibit phase transition behavior from hydrophilic to hydrophobic states due to a change in temperature. 1-10 By using the Néel and Brownian relaxation mechanisms of magnetic nanoparticles, which can be either suspended or encapsulated within these thermoresponsive hydrogels, the swelling and de-swelling behavior of the polymeric network can be controlled by external magnetic fields. A range of synthetic polymers including poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAM), poloxamer, and poly(organophosphazene) (PPZN) have been utilized in thermoresponsive hydrogels for biomedical applications (Figure 1b).

PNIPAM, has been extensively investigated with potential applications in drug delivery, cell sheet engineering, tissue engineered scaffolds, and DNA sensing. 12,56-60 PNIPAM has its lower critical solution temperature (LCST) at 32°C, and can be copolymerized with hydrophilic or hydrophobic polymers to increase or decrease the transition temperature, respectively. The process of hydrophilic to hydrophobic transition at LCST is reversible, which can be designed to load and deliver therapeutics. Poloxamer is the generic name for tri-block copolymers consisting of two blocks of poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) and a block of poly(propylene oxide) (PPO).4 Poloxamers are sold commercially as Pluronics® and have exhibited modular LCST depending on molecular weight and the ratio between PEO and PPO segments. PPZN is a family of macromolecules first isolated in the 1960s; they have been found to exhibit a reversible sol-gel transition with an associated change in temperature.⁶¹

Thermoresponsive natural polymers such as polypeptides and artificial poly(amino acid)s have shown LCST-like behavior. 11,62 For example, natural elastin consist of Val-Pro-Gly-Val-Gly (Val = valine, Pro = proline, Gly = glycine) (L46), demonstrate a transition temperature ~27 °C. Similarly, elastin-like polypeptides and collagen-mimetic peptides, can undergo a thermally reversible phase transition to form mechanically resilient hydrogels. However, very limited studies have investigated thermoresponsive natural polymers loaded with MNPs for biomedical applications.

3 | MAGNETICALLY TRIGGERED THERMO-RESPONSIVE NANOGELS AND NANOCOMPOSITES FOR DRUG DELIVERY

Optimizing doses and rate of therapeutic delivery are important for a range of clinical procedures. Many analgesics utilize delayed release or diffusion kinetics to control the delivery of drugs within the therapeutic window. However, most of these approaches are administered systemically and due to limited control over therapeutic diffusion rate, effective concentration is difficult to sustain for a prolonged duration. In addition, many drugs are water insoluble and have a short half-life. These factors, combined with the potentially serious side effects of systemic toxicity due to a dose above the therapeutic window, are partially responsible for many pharmaceuticals' harmful side effects. In order to reduce these side effects, while maintaining drug effectiveness, a range of polymeric and composite nanoparticles have been investigated as a potential drug delivery vehicle. A drug delivery vehicle small enough to enter into tissue can increase cellular uptake, as seen in smaller molecules that exist systemically, but in order to work effectively in vivo, the nanoparticles also have to be biocompatible. In order to achieve a controlled drug release, the immune system cannot interfere with the delivery vehicles. When applying nanocomposite systems for drug delivery or other applications, interference from the immune system will cause digestion of the construct by macrophages and cause either burst release or prevent release by fibrous encapsulation. Traditionally, thermoresponsive polymer encapsulating magnetic nanoparticles are designed for controlled drug delivery and a range of review articles highlight these approaches. In this section, we will highlight some of these approaches to overcome some of the above listed limitations.

Recently, magneto-thermoresponsive nanocomposites have been synthesized by conjugating Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles with either PEG or polyhedral oligomeric silsesquixone (Figure 2).63 The particles were then encapsulated by copolymerizing a layer of PNIPAM to produce a nanocomposite hydrogel system for in vitro release of incorporated Doxorubicin with and without presence of an AMF field. At temperatures above the material's intrinsic lower critical solution temperature (LCST), the PNIPAM expels its water content to form globules and precipitates out of solution. This occurs because hydrophobic constituents (isopropyl) of the polymers forming the hydrogel become entropically favored over the hydrogen bonding from hydrophilic components, resulting in thermally induced conformational changes. They observed that a 48-hr incubation period after 1 hr of AMF exposure allowed for the highest release of entrapped drug ($\sim\!40\%$ or 10 μg of DOX) and resulted in <20% viability. This show the efficacy of nanogels for controlled release of therapeutics.

In another similar approach, thermoresponsive Pluronic® P85, was loaded with magnetic nanoparticles for drug delivery.⁶⁴ Pluronic® P85, a block co-polymer of PEO and PPO blocks, undergoes a hydrophilic to hydrophobic transition below its transition temperature. This behavior is known as a critical micellar temperature (CMT). They covalently conjugated functionalized magnetic nanoparticles to Pluronic® P85 via amino groups to obtain MagPluronics. The nanocomposite system was synthesized at a temperature lower than CMT to encapsulate




FIGURE 2 Stimuli responsive nanogels for drug delivery. (a) Schematic showing magnetically-triggered hydrogel for on-demand release of therapeutics. (b) Electron microscopy showing uptake of drug(DOX)-loaded nanogels (HGMNS) by cancer cells (HeLa), identified by white arrows. (c) HeLa cell viability under different conditions demonstrating efficacy of magnetically triggered drug release from nanogels. Adapted and reproduced⁶³ with permission from American Chemical Society © 2014

curcumin. They showed a sustained release of curcumin of more than 4 days under physiological conditions. The proposed system demonstrates a thermoresponsive system where the magnetic nanoparticles acted as a nucleating agent for the covalently bound theremoresponsive polymer to nanocarriers for stimuli-responsive drug delivery. Additional studies are required to show the theremoresponsive behavior of these nanoengineered system.

Synthetic and natural polymers can be combined to fabricate thermoresponsive magnetic hydrogels. A multiresponsive PNIPAM grafted carboxymethylchitosan (CMC) composite loaded with MNPs was fabricated. The PNIPAM was synthesized *via* free radical polymerization in the presence of CMC and surface functionalized MNP, and then crosslinked with glutaraldehyde to form water swellable microspheres. The microspheres were shown to be both temperature and pH responsive with a LCST of $\sim 50\,^{\circ}$ C and an activating pH of 11, respectively. The microspheres have a potential for drug delivery, releasing 87% of the model drug indomethacin after 48 hr above its LCST. Drug release is further facilitated through exposure to a basic pH (pH = 11), and is inhibited upon exposure to acidic pHs.

Another approach to design nanocomposite constructs is to fabricate UV-crosslinked electrospun fibrous mats of PNIPAM/Magnetite. 66 In order to obtain a mechanically strong fiber, PNIPAM and Fe $_3$ O $_4$ nanoparticles were mixed with a crosslinking agent of dipentaerythritol hexylacrylate. After electrospinning, fibers of approximately 1 μ m in

diameter were fabricated. Post-fabrication fiber mats were then loaded with Vitamin B_{12} by soaking in an aqueous solution of the model drug. Burst release was observed above the LCST value of $\sim\!33\,^{\circ}\text{C}$. They also observed that subjecting fibrous mat to AMF, also trigger release of therapeutics. Although this construct was utilized for drug delivery, a mechanically strong fibrous mat such as this one has potential for scaffolding or cell sheet engineering applications.

Thermoresponsive hydrogels loaded with magnetic nanoparticles can be magnetically activated to obtain thermal response. A recent study has shown that by adsorbing magnetic nanoparticles on PNIPAM network, LCST of composite network can be modulated.⁶⁷ The authors used this approach for controlled release of entrapped biomolecules, vascular endothelial growth factor, to stimulate cell proliferation. The activity of released growth factor was determined using human umbilical vein endothelial cells. The study showed that bioactivity of released protein was preserved.⁶⁷ In a different approach, magnetic nanoparticles combined with 2D silicates (Laponite® RD), was used for dual-delivery.⁶⁸ They synthesized magnetic nanoparticles within hydroxypropyl methylcellulose-g-poly(acrylamide)-silicate nanocomposites hydrogels. The nanoengineered hydrogels showed response to change in pH as well as temperature. In the pH sensitive study, only a small amount of drug was burst-released from the initial acidic (pH 1.2) conditions (less than 5% cumulative release), and a burst release of up to 30-50% was found after increasing pH \sim 7.4). Incorporation of an




FIGURE 3 Microfabricated gels loaded with magnetic nanowire. (a) Schematic showing fabrication of magneto-thermoresponsive NWC particles using microfluidic system. (b) Release of Rh(B) from particles at low-power and high-power alternating magnetic fields. NWC show enhanced release at low power filed, when compared to NBC particles. (b) The effect of nanowire concentration can modulate release profile of entrapped molecule. Adapted and reproduced by permission⁶⁹ from Macmillan Publishers Limited © 2016

alternating magnetic field increased cumulative release to up to 80% under the strongest field. Changes in the AMF demonstrated a direct relationship with the amount of drug released under pH 7.4 conditions.

Traditionally, spherical magnetic nanoparticles are used to fabricate magnetically responsive nanocomposites. A recent study has shown that use of magnetic nanowire can be used to design highly efficient theremoresponsive nanocomposites (Figure 3).⁶⁹ A simple microfluidic technique was used to fabricate PNIPAM microgels loaded with magnetic nanowire in a relatively short time. When subjected to a pulsating magnetic field, microgels loaded with nanowire (NWC), released 70% of entrapped drug with a magnetic field of five orders of magnitude lower power than microgels loaded with superparamagnetic nanobeads (NBC).

4 | MAGNETICALLY TRIGGERED THERMORESPONSIVE NANOENGINEERED HYDROGELS FOR MRI APPLICATIONS

Currently, the clinical standard for contrast mediums are gadolinium solutions, however, this heavy ion has a recorded toxicity problem in some patients.²¹ Since greater than 90% of the solution is excreted from the kidneys, gadolinium's potential toxicity makes some physicians reluctant to order frequent MRI scans on patients with kidney problems.²¹ In this section, we review the use of magnetic particles as potential contrast mediums as a way to combat this toxicity issue and still achieve enhanced imaging with contrast mediums. Due to the hysteresis relaxation mechanism, magnetic nanoparticles have been investigated for T2/T2* modalities on MRI scans. The magnetization potential of these particles allows for more intensity and better resolution when combined with diffusion MRI of normal body tissue. Some contrast agents that have been more recently used include magnetic particles, which have special behavior at certain sizes that can cause a secondary response of hyperthermia to surrounding areas.

A nanocomposite that has been commercialized for MRI application in recent years is ferumoxide.²⁹ Commercially sold as Feridex® and Endorem®, this MR contrast agent contains SPINs coated with dextran or carboxydextran to form a spherical, nanocomposite colloid of approximately 120-180 nm. These ferumoxides have been shown in clinical trials to increase T2 imaging capabilities by showing an enhanced image from an MRI scan of normal tissue without the construct. Due to high uptake of SPINs in the liver, Feridex® is utilized as a diagnostic tool and a contrast agent to visualize healthy versus cancerous liver tissue. It has been reported that up to 80% of SPIN solutions are taken up into healthy liver tissue by the reticuloendothelial system via physiologically normal Kupffer cells.³⁴ Based on this report, this product is most effective for imaging and diagnosis of some liver cancers.

Injectable hydrogels are developed for simultaneous MRI imaging and multiple hyperthermia treatment. For example, an injectable nanoparticle-loaded hydrogel based system was synthesized to facilitate retention of superparamagnetic nanoparticles and allow for multiple cycles of magnetic hyperthermia therapy (MHT) with a single SPIN injection (Figure 4).²² A traditional method of tumor treatment, magnetic thermal ablation (MTA) induces tumor cell necrosis at very high temperatures (>50 °C), but some nearby healthy tissue is also damaged through heat conductance. As an alternative to MTA, multiple MHT is proposed, allowing for multiple cycles of heating and cooling at a lower maximum temperature (~44 °C), to prevent damage to nearby tissues.

Magnetically responsive hydrogels from PPZN and cobalt ferrite (CoFe₂O₄) nanoparticles coated with hydrophobic oleic acid and oleylamine were developed for MRI imaging (Figure 5).⁵³ In order to have a fully merged solution of CoFe₂O₄ and PPZN, hexane was used as the main solvent and then removed via freeze-drying for in vitro and in vivo experiments. Because the system was formed with a harsh solvent, it was important to test biocompatibility. Cytocompatibility of the hydrogel at various concentrations was tested against mouse and human cell lines and no significant decrease in viability was found in comparison to control after 24 hr. In vivo trials on rats were performed to image a surgically induced puncture in the skull with the developed hydrogel nanocomposite construct. MRI T2 images showed clear contrast present in the skull until 32 days after the stereotactic surgery. Some downsides to clinical applications of this construct include a complex fabrication process and specific storage conditions.




FIGURE 4 Injectable hydrogels for magnetic hyperthermia treatment. (a) Schematic showing the composition of SPIN-loaded nanoparticles and thermoresponsive characteristics of nanoparticles results in formation of injectable hydrogels. (b) The nanoengineered system can be used for simultaneous multiple magnetic and MHT and long-term MRI monitoring. (c) SPIN-loaded nanoparticles can be used for long-term MRI monitoring of tumor tissues (marked out with yellow line). Adapted and reproduced by permission²² from Elsevier © 2016

5 | MAGNETICALLY TRIGGERED HYDROGELS FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE

Microfabricated structures are used to mimic complex tissue architecture such as a vascular network.^{70,71} In a recent study, stimuli-responsive microfibers were investigated for remotely controlled cellular response (Figure 6).⁹ Coaxial capillary injection of the PNIPAM/MNP pre-polymer solution and a calcium alginate template was used

(a) Thermosensitive/magnetic poly(organophosphazene) hydrogels

Hydrophobic CoFe₂O₄

AMPEG750 GlyLacOEt Phosphazene Backbone

1st Week 2nd Week nth Week

In vivo long-term degradation

FIGURE 5 Magnetically responsive hydrogel for MIR imaging. (a) Schematic showing thermosensitive/magnetic poly (organophosphazene) sol-gel transition. (b) Injected hydrogels used as long-term MR contrast platform to determine the condition of rat brains. The hydrogel shows in vivo degradation of injected magnetic thermoresponsive hydrogel. Adapted and reproduced⁵³ by permission from Elsevier © 2012

to fabricate temperature-responsive microfibers. The size of the microfibers was controlled by diameter of the microcapillary. Both hollow and solid microfibers can be fabricated by switching the orientation of the calcium alginate with regards to the hydrogel monomer solution.




FIGURE 6 Magnetically responsive microfiber. (a) Schematic showing fabricating of thermoresponsive microfibers loaded with magnetic nanoparticles. Alginate, NIPAM, crosslinker are injected though inlet A, while calcium solution is injected though inlet B to obtain physically crosslinked fiber. UV light was used to obtain (b) chemically crosslinked PNIPAM microfibers. (c) and (d) The diameter of microfiber changes when subjected to different temperature. Adapted and reproduced by permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry © 2015

PNIPAM/MNP microfibers showed temperature-dependent reversible volume transition. It is expected that such stimuli-responsive microfabricated hydrogels can be used as scaffold for tissue engineering and drug delivery systems. In addition, the pre-polymer solutions can also be used for 3D bioprinting of complex tissue structures.

In a recent paper, C₁₀-C₂₀ saturated fatty acid salts with encapsulated Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles were fabricated for potential use in cell sheet engineering and 2D gel constructs.⁷² These fatty acid salts were determined to have phase transition temperatures depending on the carbon chain length. At C₁₅ and above, the fatty acid shell was solidified and would only become fluid again once heated. Magnetic forces on the Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles allowed both temperature and magnetic fields to control the flow and assembly of these fibers. By utilizing capillary interactions between the fatty acids, magnetic forces forced fiber assembly. When the magnet was turned off, the chains curled in on themselves from full extension along the magnetic axis, but the fibers stayed intact. This dispersion of coated nanoparticles was found to hold its own macrostructure due to nanocapillary bridges from electrostatic forces.

Although PNIPAM is well investigated for engineering cell-sheets,⁶ magnetically controlled nanocomposites could allow for improved and repeatable controlled removal of cell sheets.¹⁴ Magnetite can also be utilized for cell sheet engineering. By having cells uptake SPINs, researchers have found that magnetic guidance can help cells to arrange into cell sheets via mechanotaxis.⁷³ With potential in both PNI-PAM and magnetite, a composite could be fabricated to explore their possibilities for interaction.⁴⁵ Although no cell-sheet constructs currently have both thermoresponsive and magnetic nanoparticles, and each system creates cell sheets very differently, future research could focus on this combined approach.

CONCLUSIONS

A range of thermoresponsive magnetic hydrogels are developed for on-demand delivery of biomolecules for a range of biomedical applications, including therapeutic delivery, bioimaging, and regenerative engineering. Although most of the studies are focused on use of spherical magnetic nanoparticles to fabricate smart hydrogels, other shapes and types of magnetic nanoparticles have been developed to enhance the efficacy. In addition, though PNIPAM seems to be the common choice of thermoresponsive polymer, use of other theremoresponsive polymers such as poloxamer and poly(organophosphazene) are also explored. A range of microfabrication approaches to engineer thermoresponsive magnetic microgels are also developed. These fabrication approaches can be used to mimic complex tissue structures.

Development of injectable hydrogels for cell or therapeutic delivery will be highly beneficial for minimally invasive approaches. However, use of these smart systems in 3D bioprinting and other emerging fabrication approaches are not observed. It is expected that injectable magnetic hydrogels can be used for additive manufacturing processes to control and patter cellular behavior. The emergence of bioprinting technologies resulted in the development of 3D printed scaffolds for functional organ engineering, consisting of spatially controlled cell patterns that may be loaded with appropriate biological moieties to control or direct cell fate. The development of thermoresponsive magnetic bioinks will provide significant control over spatially driven design to coordinate cellular arrangements into tissues and organs of interest. By developing stimuli-responsive bioinks that can respond to external magnetic fields, we can control and direct cellular process to obtain functional and implantable constructs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

All authors contributed in writing and revising the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

There is no financial conflict of interests.

LITERATURE CITED

- [1] Zhao X, Ding X, Deng Z, Zheng Z, Peng Y, Long X. Thermoswitchable electronic properties of a gold nanoparticle/hydrogel composite. Macromol Rapid Commun. 2005;26(22):1784-1787.
- [2] De SK, Aluru NR, Johnson B, Crone WC, Beebe DJ, Moore J. Equilibrium swelling and kinetics of pH-responsive hydrogels: models, experiments, and simulations. J Microelectromech Syst. 2002;11(5): 544-555
- [3] Kwok CS, Mourad PD, Crum LA, Ratner BD. Self-assembled molecular structures as ultrasonically-responsive barrier membranes for pulsatile drug delivery. J Biomed Mater Res. 2001;57(2):151-164.
- [4] Klouda L, Mikos AG. Thermoresponsive hydrogels in biomedical applications. Eur J Pharm Biopharm. 2008;68(1):34-45.
- [5] Bawa P, Pillay V, Choonara YE, du Toit LC. Stimuli-responsive polymers and their applications in drug delivery. Biomed Mater. 2009;4 (2):15.
- [6] de las Heras Alarcón C, Pennadam S, Alexander C. Stimuli responsive polymers for biomedical applications. Chem Soc Rev. 2005;34 (3):276-285.
- [7] Hoffman AS. Stimuli-responsive polymers: biomedical applications and challenges for clinical translation. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2013;65 (1):10-16.
- [8] Jeong B, Gutowska A. Lessons from nature: stimuli-responsive polymers and their biomedical applications. Trends Biotechnol. 2002;20 (7):305-311.
- [9] Lim D, Lee E, Kim H, Park S, Baek S, Yoon J. Multi stimuliresponsive hydrogel microfibers containing magnetite nanoparticles prepared using microcapillary devices. Soft Matter. 2015;11(8):
- [10] Mano JF. Stimuli-responsive polymeric systems for biomedical applications. Adv Eng Mater. 2008;10(6):515-527.
- [11] Roy D, Brooks WL, Sumerlin BS. New directions in thermoresponsive polymers. Chem Soc Rev. 2013;42(17):7214-7243.
- [12] Tan H, Ramirez CM, Miljkovic N, Li H, Rubin JP, Marra KG. Thermosensitive injectable hyaluronic acid hydrogel for adipose tissue engineering. Biomaterials. 2009;30(36):6844-6853.
- [13] Gaharwar AK, Peppas NA, Khademhosseini A. Nanocomposite hydrogels for biomedical applications. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2014;111 (3):441-453.
- [14] Schexnailder P, Schmidt G. Nanocomposite polymer hydrogels. Colloid Polym Sci. 2009;287(1):1-11.

- [15] Kerativitayanan P, Carrow JK, Gaharwar AK. Nanomaterials for engineering stem cell responses. Adv Healthc Mater. 2015;4(11): 1600–1627.
- [16] Shin SR, Aghaei-Ghareh-Bolagh B, Dang TT, et al. Cell-laden microengineered and mechanically tunable hybrid hydrogels of gelatin and graphene oxide. Adv Mater. 2013;25(44):6385–6391.
- [17] Paul A, Hasan A, Kindi HA, et al. Injectable graphene oxide/hydrogel-based angiogenic gene delivery system for vasculogenesis and cardiac repair. ACS Nano. 2014;8(8):8050–8062.
- [18] Chimene D, Lennox KK, Kaunas RR, Gaharwar AK. Advanced bioinks for 3D printing: a materials science perspective. Ann Biomed Eng. 2016;44(6):2090–2102.
- [19] Gaharwar AK, Avery RK, Assmann A, et al. Shear-thinning nanocomposite hydrogels for the treatment of hemorrhage. ACS Nano. 2014;8(10):9833–9842.
- [20] Xavier JR, Thakur T, Desai P, et al. Bioactive nanoengineered hydrogels for bone tissue engineering: a growth-factor-free approach. ACS Nano. 2015;9(3):3109–3118.
- [21] Murphy KJ, Brunberg JA, Cohan RH. Adverse reactions to gadolinium contrast media: a review of 36 cases. Am J Roentgenol. 1996; 167(4):847–849.
- [22] Zhang ZQ, Song SC. Thermosensitive/superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticle-loaded nanocapsule hydrogels for multiple cancer hyperthermia. *Biomaterials*. 2016;106:13–23.
- [23] Jaiswal MK, Xavier JR, Carrow JK, Desai P, Alge D, Gaharwar AK. Mechanically stiff nanocomposite hydrogels at ultralow nanoparticle content. ACS Nano. 2016;10(1):246–256.
- [24] Peppas NA, Hilt JZ, Thomas JB. Nanotechnology in Therapeutics: Current Technology and Applications. Norfolk: Horizon Bioscience; 2007.
- [25] Lowman AM, Dziubla TD, Bures P, Peppas NA. Structural and dynamic response of neutral and intelligent networks in biomedical environments. In: Peppas NA, Sefton MV, eds. Molecular and Cellular Foundations of Biomaterials. Vol. 29. New York: Academic Press; 2004:75–130.
- [26] Lu AH, Salabas EL, Schüth F. Magnetic nanoparticles: synthesis, protection, functionalization, and application. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2007;46(8):1222–1244.
- [27] Reddy LH, Arias JL, Nicolas J, Couvreur P. Magnetic nanoparticles: design and characterization, toxicity and biocompatibility, pharmaceutical and biomedical applications. Chem Rev. 2012;112(11):5818–5878.
- [28] Tran N, Webster TJ. Magnetic nanoparticles: biomedical applications and challenges. *J Mater Chem.* 2010;20(40):8760–8767.
- [29] Wang YX. Superparamagnetic iron oxide based MRI contrast agents: current status of clinical application. Quant Imaging Med Surg. 2011;1(1):35-40.
- [30] Gupta AK, Gupta M. Synthesis and surface engineering of iron oxide nanoparticles for biomedical applications. *Biomaterials*. 2005; 26(18):3995–4021.
- [31] Hao R, Xing R, Xu Z, Hou Y, Gao S, Sun S. Synthesis, functionalization, and biomedical applications of multifunctional magnetic nanoparticles. Adv Mater. 2010;22(25):2729–2742.
- [32] Laurent S, Forge D, Port M, et al. Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles: synthesis, stabilization, vectorization, physicochemical characterizations, and biological applications. Chem Rev. 2008;108(6):2064–2110.
- [33] Sun SH, Zeng H, Robinson DB, et al. Monodisperse MFe2O4 (M = Fe, Co, Mn) nanoparticles. J Am Chem Soc. 2004;126(1):273–279.
- [34] Weissleder R, Stark DD, Engelstad BL, et al. Superparamagnetic iron oxide: pharmacokinetics and toxicity. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1989;152(1):167–173.

- [35] Guardia P, Di Corato R, Lartigue L, et al. Water-soluble iron oxide nanocubes with high values of specific absorption rate for cancer cell hyperthermia treatment. ACS Nano. 2012;6(4):3080–3091.
- [36] Hayashi K, Nakamura M, Sakamoto W, et al. Superparamagnetic nanoparticle clusters for cancer theranostics combining magnetic resonance imaging and hyperthermia treatment. *Theranostics*. 2013; 3(6):366–376.
- [37] Kim JI, Lee BS, Chun C, Cho JK, Kim SY, Song SC. Long-term theranostic hydrogel system for solid tumors. *Biomaterials*. 2012;33(7): 2251–2259.
- [38] Gaharwar A, Wong J, Müller-Schulte D, Bahadur D, Richtering W. Magnetic nanoparticles encapsulated within a thermoresponsive polymer. J Nanosci Nanotech. 2009;9(9):5355–5361.
- [39] Wong JE, Gaharwar AK, Müller-Schulte D, Bahadur D, Richtering W. Dual-stimuli responsive PNiPAM microgel achieved via layer-bylayer assembly: magnetic and thermoresponsive. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2008:324(1):47–54.
- [40] Wong JE, Gaharwar AK, Müller-Schulte D, Bahadur D, Richtering W. Magnetic nanoparticle-polyelectrolyte interaction: a layered approach for biomedical applications. J Nanosci Nanotech. 2008;8 (8):4033-4040.
- [41] Georgiadou V, Kokotidou C, Le Droumaguet B, Carbonnier B, Choli-Papadopoulou T, Dendrinou-Samara C. Oleylamine as a beneficial agent for the synthesis of CoFe₂O₄ nanoparticles with potential biomedical uses. *Dalton Trans.* 2014;43(17):6377–6388.
- [42] Hariri G, Wellons MS, Morris WH III, Lukehart CM, Hallahan DE. Multifunctional FePt nanoparticles for radiation-guided targeting and imaging of cancer. Ann Biomed Eng. 2011;39(3): 946-952
- [43] Maiti D, Saha A, Devi PS. Surface modified multifunctional ZnFe2O4 nanoparticles for hydrophobic and hydrophilic anti-cancer drug molecule loading. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2016;18(3):1439–1450.
- [44] Manukyan KV, Chen YS, Rouvimov S, et al. Ultrasmall α -Fe2O3 superparamagnetic nanoparticles with high magnetization prepared by template-assisted combustion process. *J Phys Chem C.* 2014;118 (29):16264–16271.
- [45] Ghosh S, Kumar SRP, Puri IK, Elankumaran S. Magnetic assembly of 3D cell clusters: visualizing the formation of an engineered tissue. Cell Prolif. 2016;49(1):134–144.
- [46] Tang M, Wang Q, Jiang M, et al. Magnetic solid-phase extraction based on methylcellulose coated-Fe3O4-SiO2-phenyl for HPLC-DAD analysis of sildenafil and its metabolite in biological samples. *Talanta*. 2014;130:427-432.
- [47] Kılınç E. Fullerene C60 functionalized γ-Fe2O3 magnetic nanoparticle: Synthesis, characterization, and biomedical applications. Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol. 2016;44(1):298–304.
- [48] Mroz P, Pawlak A, Satti M, et al. Functionalized fullerenes mediate photodynamic killing of cancer cells: type I versus type II photochemical mechanism. Free Radic Biol Med. 2007;43(5): 711-719.
- [49] Tóth É, Bolskar RD, Borel A, et al. Water-soluble gadofullerenes: toward high-relaxivity, pH-responsive MRI contrast agents. J Am Chem Soc. 2005;127(2):799-805.
- [50] Chen CL, Kuo LR, Lee SY, et al. Photothermal cancer therapy via femtosecond-laser-excited FePt nanoparticles. *Biomaterials*. 2013;34 (4):1128–1134.
- [51] Sahu NK, Gupta J, Bahadur D. PEGylated FePt-Fe3O4 composite nanoassemblies (CNAs): in vitro hyperthermia, drug delivery and generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). Dalton Trans. (Cambridge, England: 2003) 2015;44(19):9103-9113.

- [52] Salunkhe AB, Khot VM, Thorat ND, et al. Polyvinyl alcohol functionalized cobalt ferrite nanoparticles for biomedical applications. Appl Surf Sci. 2013;264:598–604.
- [53] Kim JI, Chun C, Kim B, et al. Thermosensitive/magnetic poly(organophosphazene) hydrogel as a long-term magnetic resonance contrast platform. *Biomaterials*. 2012;33(1):218–224.
- [54] Barrena R, Casals E, Colón J, Font X, Sánchez A, Puntes V. Evaluation of the ecotoxicity of model nanoparticles. *Chemosphere*. 2009; 75(7):850–857.
- [55] Karlsson HL, Gustafsson J, Cronholm P, Möller L. Size-dependent toxicity of metal oxide particles—a comparison between nano- and micrometer size. *Toxicol Lett.* 2009;188(2):112–118.
- [56] Baeza A, Guisasola E, Ruiz-Hernández E, Vallet-Regí M. Magnetically triggered multidrug release by hybrid mesoporous silica nanoparticles. Chem Mater. 2012;24(3):517–524.
- [57] Sivakumaran D, Maitland D, Hoare T. Injectable microgel-hydrogel composites for prolonged small-molecule drug delivery. *Biomacro-molecules*. 2011;12(11):4112–4120.
- [58] Yang J, Yamato M, Shimizu T, et al. Reconstruction of functional tissues with cell sheet engineering. *Biomaterials*. 2007;28(34):5033–5043.
- [59] Hong SW, Kim DY, Lee JU, Jo WH. Synthesis of polymeric temperature sensor based on photophysical property of fullerene and thermal sensitivity of poly(N-isopropylacrylamide). *Macromolecules*. 2009;42(7):2756–2761.
- [60] Yang CC, Tian Y, Jen AKY, Chen WC. New environmentally responsive fluorescent N-isopropylacrylamide copolymer and its application to DNA sensing. J Polym Sci A Polym Chem. 2006;44(19):5495–5504.
- [61] Allcock HR, Kugel RL, Valan KJ. Phosphonitrilic compounds. VI. High molecular weight poly(alkoxy- and aryloxyphosphazenes). *Inorg Chem.* 1966;5(10):1709–1715.
- [62] Luo T, Kiick KL. Collagen-like peptides and peptide-polymer conjugates in the design of assembled materials. Eur Polym J. 2013;49 (10):2998–3009.
- [63] Jaiswal MK, De M, Chou SS, et al. Thermoresponsive magnetic hydrogels as theranostic nanoconstructs. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces. 2014;6(9):6237-6247.

- [64] Wang N, Guan YP, Yang LR, et al. Magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) covalently coated by PEO-PPO-PEO block copolymer for drug delivery. J Colloid Interface Sci. 2013;395:50–57.
- [65] Rodkate N, Rutnakornpituk M. Multi-responsive magnetic microsphere of poly(N-isopropylacrylamide)/carboxymethylchitosan hydrogel for drug controlled release. *Carbohydr Polym.* 2016;151: 251–259.
- [66] Huang SR, Lin KF, Don TM, Chiu WY, Lin MF. Fabrication and characterization of UV-crosslinkable thermoresponsive composite fibers with magnetic properties. J Polym Sci Part A Polym Chem. 2015;53 (18):2152-2162.
- [67] Dionigi C, Lungaro L, Goranov V, et al. Smart magnetic poly (N-iso-propylacrylamide) to control the release of bio-active molecules. J Mater Sci Mater Med. 2014;25(10):2365–2371.
- [68] Mahdavinia GR, Ettehadi S, Amini M, Sabzi M. Synthesis and characterization of hydroxypropyl methylcellulose-g-poly(acrylamide)/ LAPONITE[registered sign] RD nanocomposites as novel magneticand pH-sensitive carriers for controlled drug release. RSC Adv. 2015;5(55):44516–44523.
- [69] Yassine O, Zaher A, Li EQ, et al. Highly efficient thermoresponsive nanocomposite for controlled release applications. Sci Rep. 2016;6: 28539.
- [70] van der Linden HJ, Herber S, Olthuis W, Bergveld P. Stimulus-sensitive hydrogels and their applications in chemical (micro) analysis. Analyst. 2003;128(4):325–331.
- [71] Verhulsel M, Vignes M, Descroix S, Malaquin L, Vignjevic DM, Viovy JL. A review of microfabrication and hydrogel engineering for micro-organs on chips. *Biomaterials*. 2014;35(6): 1816–1832.
- [72] Bharti B, Fameau AL, Rubinstein M, Velev OD. Nanocapillarity-mediated magnetic assembly of nanoparticles into ultraflexible filaments and reconfigurable networks. *Nat Mater.* 2015;14(11):1104–1109.
- [73] Santos LJ, Reis RL, Gomes ME. Harnessing magnetic-mechano actuation in regenerative medicine and tissue engineering. *Trends Biotechnol.* 2015;33(8):471–479.