Prognostic Value of *EVI1* Expression in Pediatric Acute Myeloid Leukemia: A Systematic Review

Mohammad Hadi Sadeghian, Zahra Rezaei Dezaki*

Cancer Molecular Pathology Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

KEYWORDS	ABSTRACT			
Gene Expression, Pediatrics, Leukemia, Myeloid, Acute	Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) as a distortion of blood cells involves the differ- entiation of hematopoietic stem cells. Several studies established the irregular over- expression of specific genes is a common finding in patients with AML. The ectopic viral integration site-1 ($EVII$) gene is a proto-oncogene subject to alternative splicing, and encodes a zinc-finger protein that acts as a transcriptional regulator in early devel- opment. Forced overexpression of $EVII$ in hematopoietic progenitors later induced a myeloid differentiation block. The current review aimed at determining the prognos- tic value of $EVII$ expression in patients with AML in the age range of one month to			
Article Info				
Received 26 March 2017; Accepted 18 Aug 2018; Published Online 12 Sep 2018;	fifteen years. The scientific databases including PubMed, Google Scholar, EMBASE, Scopus, and ISI published up to January 2016 were searched using the conformity keywords and a total of four articles were studied.			
	Three articles declared higher overexpression of $EVII$ in patients with mixed-lineage leukemia (MLL) rearrangements. The percentage of overall survival (OS), reported in two articles, decreased in AML patients with high EVI1 expression. A study reported that the relationship between EVI1 expression and OS was negligible in cases with and without $EVII$ expression. Another study showed significant differences in event free survival (EFS) and OS in the group of patients with positive MLL-AF9 between $EVII^+$ and $EVII^+$ patients.			
	The current study revealed that high <i>EVI1</i> expression was not a poor prognostic factor in pediatric patients with AML. And this gene expression was mainly prognostic concomitantly by other factors such as MLL rearrangement, <i>MEL1</i> expression, and white blood cell (WBC) count.			
Corresponding information	Zahra Rezaei Dezaki, Cancer Molecular Pathology Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran E-mail: eli88.rzi@gmail.com			

Copyright © 2018, IRANIAN JOURNAL OF PATHOLOGY. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-noncommercial 4.0 International License which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is characterized by blocked or distorted differentiation of hematopoietic stem cells. This malignancy emerges as the results of abnormal rearrangement and inhibition of maturation in blood cells (1, 2).

Several studies demonstrated that the aberrant overexpression of specific genes is a common finding in patients with AML; whereas some clinically relevant biological subsets are defined, which lack other cytogenetic or molecular prognostic markers (3). The ectopic viral integration site-1 (*EVI1*) is a proto-oncogene that encodes a zinc-finger protein and controls transcription in early development (4). The gene was first identified as a common site of viral integration in retrovirus inducing murine leukemia; it suggests a role for *EVI1* in hematopoietic cells transformation (5). Further researches demonstrated that forced overexpression of *EVI1* (*EVI1*⁺) in hematopoietic progenitors induces myeloid differentiation block; it increases self-renewal and survival of transformed progenitors (6). 295. Prognostic Value of EVI1 Expression in Pediatric ...

Increased *EVI1* expression is mainly caused by chromosomal. rearrangements involving chromosome band 3q26, where *EVI1* is located; it is applied as a prognostic factor (7). Overexpression of the *EVI1* gene is associated with adverse prognosis (8).

Aberrant *EV11* expression is reported in 8%-10% of human AML adults and obviously up to 27% of pediatric mixed-lineage leukemia (MLL). AML accounts for 25% of all cases of children with acute leukemia; it is accountable for >50% mortality in the mentioned populations (10, 11).

To the best of authors' knowledge, the prognostic

value of *EVI1* expression in pediatric patients with AML is not systematically reviewed. Hence, the current study aimed at determining the prognostic value of *EVI1* expression in patients with AML in the age range of one month to fifteen years.

Materials and methods

Search strategy and literature selection

The current systematic review was presented with the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses plans (PRISMA); PRISMA diagram was adapted too (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses. Flow Diagram: Screening Procedure of Selected Articles

Conformity keywords were used in various combinations: "EVII" and "AML" or "acute myeloid leukemia" and "pediatric" or "children" or "infants" and "prognosis", "EVII expression" and pediatric AML" or "EVII expression" and "molecular disorders" and "prediction" or "EVII" and "AML" and "prognosis" or "EVII" and "acute myeloid leukemia" and "forecast" or "prospects". The keywords were planned to proper MeSH terms.

The following inclusion criteria were used: 1- Articles published in English, which focused on patients with childhood AML; 2-Articles evaluating any prognostic outcomes including overall survival (OS), event-free survival (EFS) or both, according to *EVI1* expression status (high and low expression rates).

The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1- Review

articles; 2- Expert opinions; 3- Case reports; 4- Articles with no available prognostic data; 5- Papers that were a subset of article by the same authors (for multiple reports of single study, only the most recent or most complete article was considered and examined); 6- Samples obtained from cell and tissue cultures; 7-Studies including patients over 15 years old.

A reviewer evaluated the titles and abstracts of the identified publications; potentially relevant articles were retrieved in full.

After removal of duplicate studies, the data were extracted based on PRISMA guidelines Including author's name, year of publication, region of the study, patient information (including numbers and median white blood cell (WBC) count) (Table 1), OS, and EFS rate and prognosis.

i culatile i attents					
First Author	Publication (region/yr)	Sample Size	Follow-up	Median WBC Count (10º/L)	
BV Balgobind	Netherlands, 2010	228	4 year	39.7_42.2	
Phoenix A.HO	USA,2013	206	5 year	15.4_35	
Hidemasa Matsuo	Japan, 2014	443	NR	48.4_88.7	
A Jo	Japan, 2015	130	4 year	NR	

 Table 1. Data Extracted From Studied Articles on the Effect of EVI1 Expression on Pediatric AML Patients

NR : data not reported

WBC, white blood cell

Results

Totally, four articles were studied in detail shown in Table 1. All studies were retrospective articles that evaluated prognostic value of *EVI1* gene expression in pediatric AML. The studied patients were from various countries and all of them were diagnosed with AML and were in the age range of one month to fifteen years.

Total population included 1007 patients, ranging 130 to 443 in the enrolled studies. *EVI1* expression was reported 12.29% to 36% with the mean of 26.57%. In all selected articles, real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RQ-PCR) method was used for *EVI1* expression evaluation.

Three out of 4 papers did not report any relationships between *EVI1* expression and gender (12, 13, 14); and even one article declared no relationships between age and WBC count (13). While one study declared that *EVI1* expression mostly occurred in older patients (P=0.03) and it was associated with higher WBC count (P=0.01) (12).

Another article stated that high expression of EVII was more common in infants less than one year old (up to 40%); lower counts of WBC were reported in cited patients (P= 0.061) (14). Only one paper reported bone marrow blast mean; it was similar in patients with and without EVII expression (14).

Conflict was concluded from the reviewed articles by investigating the correlation between *EVI1* expression and French-American-British (FAB) classification of AM.

A study reported high expression of *EVI1* in M4 and M5 FAB subtypes of AML; it was expressed that 33% and 22% in M4 and M5 patients, respectively (12).

Another study declared high rate of EVI1 expression in AML-M5 (36%) (13). Two studies observed highest expression of EVI1 (up to 24%) in AML-M7 (14,15); one of the cited studies showed high EVI1 expression in M4/M5 subtypes of leukemia with MLL rearrangements in addition to AML-M7 (15).

Molecular disorders and EVI1 expression

Gene mutations and chromosome abnormalities such as FLT3-ITD and NPM1 mutations are assessed with cytogenetic investigations.

Selected articles disagreed on FLT3-ITD mutation and *EVI1* expression. As Hidemasa et al., stated that FLT3-ITD mutation frequency was significantly higher in patients with *EVI1* expression (P=0.04) (12). Balgobind et al., declared that only one patient with *EVI1* expression had FLT3-ITD mutation; 19.70% of patients with *EVI1*- had FLT3-ITD mutation too (4.0% vs. 19.7%; P =0.05) (13).

Other published papers claimed that FLT3-ITD mutations were observed in both groups, with and without *EVI1* expression, equally (14).

Three of the selected papers examined the NPM1 mutation too. These three studies showed that NPM1 mutation is not correlated with *EVI1* expression (12, 13, 14).

Two articles assessed monosomy7 disorder and reported higher expression of EVII in all cases with monosomy7 (rate: 8%; P= 0.006) (13, 14).

One article compared the changes of *EVI1* expression in CEBPA mutant individuals; the authors reported just one CEBPA mutant patient with *EVI1* overexpression (14). While other studies did not report *EVI1* expression in individuals with mutant CEBPA (12).

Cytogenetic disorders and EVI1 expression

MDS1/EV11 transcript is a marker to detect cryptic 3q26 aberrations. Balgobind et al., evaluated 3q26 aberration and MDS1/*EV11* transcript; they found three of 25 patients with *EV11*+ that lacked the MDS1/*EV11* transcript (13).

However, by combined data of the gene expression profiling and RQ-PCR in all cases with *EVI1*+, cryptic 3q26 rearrangements were not detected (13).

Also, two other studies did not detect 3q26 rearrangement in EBV (Epstein-Barr virus) positive cases.

In all articles, the association between *EV11* expression and MLL type rearrangement was investigated. An article reported that *EV11* expression was not correlated with MLL translocation partners (12). Another article declared that higher frequency of *EV11* over-expression (27.65%) was observed in patients with MLL rearrangements (13).

Also, another study detected this gene rearrangement in 40% of high expressed *EVI1* patients (14).

EVI1 expression and clinical outcome

All of the articles on OS, EFS, and patients followups were evaluated in terms of high or low *EVI1* expression.

In three papers, the association between the expression status of EVI1 gene and OS was reported (P=0.45 to P < 0.001). The calculated OS, provided in two article, decreased in patients with AML and high *EVI1* expression (51%±14%; P=0.05) (14).

A study assessed the relationship between EVII expression and OS; it was negligible in cases with and without EVII expression (P=0.45 and P=0.34, respectively) (12, 13).

Also in another study, clinical outcomes were compared between EVII+ and EVII- cases in the group of patients with MLL-AF9. The results showed significant difference in EFS (P<0.0001) and OS (P=0.0008) (12).

An article declared that there was a link between *EVI1* overexpression and EFS. Over expressed patients had lower rates of five-year OS ($51\%\pm14\%$; *P* = 0.015) and EFS ($40\%\pm13\%$; *P* = 0.042) (14).

Another study showed that patients with EVI1 overexpression had significantly a higher four-year EFS (28%±11%; P=0.04) in comparison with patients without EVI1 overexpression (13).

A study reported poor survival in patients with high *EVI1* and MEL1 expression (four-year EFS: 39%; OS: 44%); whiles survival of patients just with *EVI1* overexpression was slightly inferior in the analysis of total patient (15).

Discussion

AML survival is influenced by factors such as age, genetic abnormalities such as gene mutations, aberrant expression of genes, etc. (16). Age is the most vital predictive factor for patients with AML; adults older than 60 years have a lower OS in comparison with younger cases (17, 18). Also, cytogenetic disorders dramatically influenced clinical outcomes of AML (19).

EVI1 plays an important role in upregulation of cell proliferation; it impairs cell differentiation, and induces cell transformation (21). Increased *EVI1* expression in AML mainly occurs after chromosomal rearrangements involving chromosome band 3q26, inv (3) (q21q26)/t (3; 3) (q21; q26)/t (3, 21) (q26; q22) (7). Increased *EVI1* expression is observed in *EVI1*-rearranged AML, and in patients with other cytogenetic abnormalities (22) such as monosomy7 and 11q23 rearrangements involving MLL as well as cytogenetically normal AML (CN-AML). In contrast, Groschel et al., established that overexpression of *EVI1* was mostly absent in cytogenetically favorable-risk group and in NPM1 mutated AMLs (23).

Interestingly, Aria et al., reported that the specific MLL-ENL fusion triggers *EVII* transcription in un-

differentiated hematopoietic cells (24). The prognostic value of high *EVI1* expression and the correlation between these markers and AML are not thoroughly evaluated in pediatric patients with AML. Furthermore, in the current systematic review four related articles to the prognostic role of *EVI1* in pediatric AML were analyzed.

Matsuo et al., proposed that *EV11* overexpression was not correlated with adverse prognostic factor; since it was associated with reduced remission duration in pediatric patients with AML and MLL-rearrangement, especially in patients with MLL-AF9 rearrangement (12).

Moreover, Lugthart et al., declared that adult patients with AML and EVI1 overexpression, irrespective of harboring 3q26 aberrations, had poor prognosis (20). In contrast to adult AML, Balgobind et al., demonstrated no correlations between chromosome 3q26 abnormality, and EVI1 expression in pediatric AML. Also, they reported that cases with $EVII^+$ had no independent prognostic value for pediatrics; Patients with high EVI1 expression had a significantly inferior four-year EFS compared with that of the patients with no EVI1 overexpression. Conversely, the OS was not significantly different between EVI1+ and EVI1⁻ groups. Also, in the MLL-rearranged AML patients no significant differences were reported in terms of EFS and OS between the cited groups. They proposed an association between the types of pediatric AML and intermediate to unfavorable prognosis; for example, MLL-AF6 and monosomy7 cause poor prognosis for patients with EVI1 expression (13).

Phoenix A. Ho et al., did not detect any chromosomal rearrangements of 3q26 in pediatric patients with AML. *EVI1* overexpression mechanisms seem to be distinct in pediatric AML from *EVI1* overexpression in the setting of chromosome 3 aberrations in adult AML.

They investigated that patients with high *EVI1* expression had significantly lower rates of five-year OS $(51\%\pm14\%)$ and EFS $(40\%\pm13\%)$. Also, favorable-risk was a strong predictor of improved OS compared with intermediate-risk. Multivariate analysis showed

that high *EVI1* expression did not retain an independent prognostic significant factor for OS among other established prognostic markers (14).

Jo et al., declared that patients with high *EV11* or MEL1 expression had very poor rates of EFS and OS for a four-year period (EFS: 39%, OS: 44%); EFS and OS rates were even lower in patients without overexpression. Also, they reported no inferior survival (EFS and OS) rates in patients with M7 subtype and *EV11* overexpression.

Concomitantly, overexpression of EVI1 and MEL1 (PRDM16), an EVI1 family member, might act as an even better prognostic marker in pediatric AML compared with EVI1 overexpression alone (15).

Conclusion

According to the results of review studies, it was observed that high *EVI1* expression was not a poor prognostic factor in pediatric patients with AML. Generally, this gene expression was a prognostic factor compatible with other factors such as MLL rearrangement, MEL1 expression, and WBC count.

Acknowledgments

This study was the result of a Msc. student thesis proposal supported by the research vice chancellor of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences (MUMS).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there was no conflict of interest. 299. Prognostic Value of EVI1 Expression in Pediatric ...

References

- Ferrara F, Palmieri S, Leoni F. Clinically useful prognostic factors in acute myeloid leukemia. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2008; 66(3):181-93. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cri-</u> trevonc.2007.09.008 PMID:<u>17996460</u>
- Weber S, Alpermann T, Dicker F, Jeromin S, Nadarajah N, Eder C, et al. BAALC expression: a suitable marker for prognostic risk stratification and detection of residual disease in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. Blood Cancer J. 2014 10; 4: 173.
- Martinelli G1, Ottaviani E, Buonamici S, Isidori A, Borsaru G, Visani G, et al. Association of 3q21q26 syndrome with different RPN1/ EVI1 fusion transcripts. Haematologica. 2003; 88:1221–1228. PMID:<u>14607750</u>
- Hoyt PR, Bartholomew C, Davis AJ, Yutzey K, Garner LW, Potter SS, Ihle JN, Mucenski ML. The *Evi1* proto-oncogene is required at midgestation for neural, heart, and paraxial mesenchyme development. Mechanisms of Development. 1997; 65:55–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/</u> <u>S0925-4773(97)00057-9</u>
- Morishita K, Parker DS, Mucenski ML, Jenkins NA, Copeland NG, Ihle JN. Retroviral activation of a novel gene encoding a zinc finger protein in IL-3-dependent myeloid leukemia cell lines. Cell. 1988; 54:831–840. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(88)91175-0</u>
- Laricchia-Robbio L, Nucifora G. Significant increase of self-renewal in hematopoietic cells after forced expression of EVI1. Blood Cells, Molecules, & Diseases. 2008; 40:141–147 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcmd.2007.07.012</u> PMID:<u>17913523</u> PMCid:PMC2323624
- Fonatsch C, Gudat H, Lengfelder E, Wandt H, Silling-Engelhardt G, Ludwig WD, et al. Correlation of cytogenetic findings with clinical features in 18 patients with inv(3)(q21q26) or t(3;3)(q21;q26). Leukemia.1994; 8(8):1318– 1326. PMID:8057667
- 8. Barjesteh van Waalwijk van Doorn-Khosrovani S, Erpelinck C, Van Putten WL, Valk PJ, Van der Poel-van de Luytgaarde S, Hack R, et al. High EVI1 expression predicts poor survival in acute myeloid leukemia: A study of 319 de

novo AML patients. Blood.2003; 101(3):837– 845 PMID:<u>12393383</u>

- Bindels EMJ, Havermans M, Lugthart S, Erpelinck C, Wocjtowicz E, et al. EVI1 is critical for the pathogenesis of a subset of MLL-AF9'A "i rearranged AMLs. Blood. 2012; 119: 5838–5849. <u>https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2011-11-393827</u> PMID:22553314
 PMCid:PMC3382941
- Meshinchi S, Arceci RJ. Prognostic factors and risk-based therapy in pediatric acute myeloid leukemia. Oncologist. 2007; 12(3):341-55. <u>https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.12-3-341</u> PMID:<u>17405900</u>
- Damiani D, Tiribelli M, Franzoni A, Michelutti A, Fabbro D, Cavallin M, et al. BAALC overexpression retains its negative prognostic role across all cytogenetic risk groups in acute myeloid leukemia patients. Am J Hematol. 2013; 88(10):848-52. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ ajh.23516</u> PMID:23760853
- Matsuo H, Kajihara M, Tomizawa D, Watanabe T, Moriya Saito A, et al. EVI1 overexpression is a poor prognostic factor in pediatric patients with mixed lineage leukemia-AF9 rearranged acute myeloid leukemia. haematologica 2014; 99(11): 225-227 PMID: <u>25015941</u>
- Balgobind BV, Lugthart S, Hollink IH, Arentsen-Peters ST, Van Wering ER, De Graaf SS, et al. EVI1 overexpression in distinct subtypes of pediatric acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia. 2010;24(5):942–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ leu.2010.47</u> PMID:20357826
- 14. Ho PA, Alonzo TA, Gerbing RB, Pollard JA, Hirsch B, Raimondi SC, et al. High EVI1 expression is associated with MLL rearrangements and predicts decreased survival in paediatric acute myeloid leukaemia: a report from the children's oncology group. Br J Haematol. 2013 Sep;162(5):670–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/</u> bjh.12444 PMID:23826732
- Jo A, Mitani S, Shiba N, Hayashi Y, Hara Y, Takahashi H, et al. High expression of EVI1 and MEL1 is a compelling poor prognostic marker of pediatric AML. Leukemia. 2015;29(5):1076–83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ leu.2015.5</u> PMID:25567132

- 16. Singh H, Asali S, WernerLL, et al. Outcome of older adults with cytogenetically normal AML (CN-AML) and FLT3 mutations. Leuk Res. 2011; 35(12):1611-1615. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leukres.2011.05.032</u> PMID:21696826 PMCid:PMC3498766
- 17. Estey E, Döhner H. Acute myeloid leukaemia. Lancet. 2006 Nov;368(9550):1894–907. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(06)69780-<u>8</u> PMID:<u>17126723</u>
- Gangatharan SA, grove CS, Png S, et al. acute myeloid leukemia in western Australia 1991-2005: a retrospective population –based study of 898 patients regarding epidemiology, cytogenetics, treatment and outcome. Intrrn Med J. 2013; 43:903-911. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ imj.12169</u> PMID:23611681
- Byrd JC, Mrozek K, Dodge RK, et al. Pretreatment cytogenetic abnormalities are predictive of induction success, cumulative incidence of relapse, and overall survival in adult patients with de novo acute myeloid leukemia: results from Cancer and Leukemia group B (CALGB8461). Blood. 2002; 100:4325-4336. <u>https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2002-03-0772</u> PMID:<u>12393746</u>
- 20. Lugthart S, van Drunen E, van Norden Y, van Hoven A, Erpelinck CA, Valk PJ, et al. High EVI1 levels predict adverse outcome

in acute myeloid leukemia: prevalence of EVI1 overexpression and chromosome 3q26 abnormalities underestimated. Blood. 2008 Apr;111(8):4329–37. <u>https://doi.org/10.1182/</u> <u>blood-2007-10-119230</u> PMID:<u>18272813</u>

21. Nucifora G, Laricchia-Robbio L, Senyuk V. EVI1 and hematopoietic disorders: history and perspectives. Gene. 2006 Mar;368:1–11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2005.09.020</u> PMID:<u>16314052</u>

22. Langabeer SE, Roger, J.R, Harrison G, Wheatley K, Walker H, Bain B.J, et al. EVI1 expression in acute myeloid leukaemia. ritish Journal of Haematology. 2001;112(1): 208–11. <u>https://</u> <u>doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2141.2001.02569.x</u>

23. Gröschel S, Lugthart S, Schlenk RF, Valk PJ, Eiwen K, Goudswaard C, et al. High EVI1 expression predicts outcome in younger adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia and is associated with distinct cytogenetic abnormalities. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(12):2101–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2009.26.0646</u> PMID:20308656

24. Arai S YA, Shimabe M, Ichikawa M, Nakagawa M, Imai Y, et al. . Evi-1 is a transcriptional target of MLL oncoproteins in hematopoietic stem cells. Blood. 2011;117(23):6304-14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2009-07-234310</u> PMID:21190993

How to Cite This Article

Sadeghian M H, Rezaei Dezaki Z. Prognostic Value of EVI1 Expression in Pediatric Acute Myeloid Leukemia: A Systematic Review. Iranian Journal of Pathology, 2018; 13(3): 294-300