

Which aortic clamp strategy is better to reduce postoperative stroke and death

Single center report and a meta-analysis

Liyu Chen, MD^a, Xiumeng Hua, MD^b, Jiangping Song, MD, PhD^{a,b,*}, Liqing Wang, MD^{a,*}

Abstract

Background: Stroke is severe complication of coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) which may be associated with clamp strategy, there are 2 strategies to clamp aorta including single aortic clamp (SAC) and partial aortic clamp (PAC). It is controversial that which clamping strategy is better to reduce the postoperative stroke and death, so this study aims to investigate which is better for reducing postoperative stroke and death within 30 days.

Methods: We collected 469 patients who had on-pump CABG in Fuwai Hospital during January 2014 to July 2015. The SAC group consisted of 265 patients while the PAC group included 204 patients. We compared the 2 group patient difference. At the same time, 12 studies were identified by systematic search. The odds ratio (OR) was used as effect index to compare SAC and PAC strategy by fix-effect modeling. We also tested heterogeneity and publication bias. The primary end point of study was occurrence of postoperative stroke within 30 days of operation, the second end point of study was the incidence of 30-day mortality.

Results: The single center retrospective study showed that the patients in the SAC group were older than those in the PAC group ($62.5 \pm 8.1 \text{ vs} 60.3 \pm 8.0 \text{ years}, P = .01$). The proportions of peripheral vascular disease and hypertension of SAC were higher than PAC (71 (26.8%) versus 36 (17.6%), P = .02; 183 (69.1%) versus 115 (56.4%), P = .01, respectively). Besides, the number of vascular anastomosis was more in the SAC group (3.29 ± 0.74 versus $2.97 \pm 0.974, P < .001$). The linear-regression analysis suggested that the time of cardiopulmonary bypass of SAC was shorter than the PAC group ($93.2 \pm 22.4 \text{ vs} .103.4 \pm 26.8 \text{ minutes}, P$ -regression < .001) and postoperative death within 30-days was similar (1 (0.4%) vs . 2 (1.0%), P-regression = .47). There was no stroke occurring in both the groups. And the meta-analysis suggested the postoperative stroke and death within 30-days were similar between SAC group and PAC group (OR: 0.78, 95% CI: 0.58-1.06; OR: 0.82, 95% CI: 0.61-1.10; respectively). Moreover, subgroup meta-analysis also had the same results.

Conclusion: There was no significant difference between SAC and PAC clamping strategy on postoperative stroke and death within 30-days; however, SAC can reduce the usage time of cardiopulmonary bypass.

Abbreviations: BMI = body mass index, CABG = coronary artery bypass grafting, CI = confidence interval, CPB = cardiopulmonary bypass, EF = ejection fraction, ICU = intensive care unit, OR = odds ratio, PAC = partial aortic clamp, PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention, PRISMA = preferred reporting items for systemic reviews and meta-analyses, RCT = randomized controlled trial, SAC = single aortic clamp, SD = standard-deviation.

Keywords: CABG, death, on-pump, partial aortic clamp, single aortic clamp, stroke

1. Introduction

Coronary atherosclerosis disease is a typical ischemic heart disease due to coronary stenosis or occlusion caused by

Editor: Jacek Bil.

LC and XH contributed equally to this work.

Funding/support: This study was supported by Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences Innovation Fund for Medical Sciences (CIFMS2017-I2M-1-008).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

^a Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, ^b State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Fuwai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China.

* Correspondence: Jiangping Song and Liqing Wang, 167A Beilishi Road, Xi Cheng District, Beijing 100037, China (e-mails: fwsongjiangping@126.com; lorrylin@hotmail.com).

Copyright © 2018 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives License 4.0, which allows for redistribution, commercial and non-commercial, as long as it is passed along unchanged and in whole, with credit to the author.

Medicine (2018) 97:12(e0221)

Received: 12 August 2017 / Received in final form: 22 January 2018 / Accepted: 1 March 2018

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000010221

atherosclerosis.^[1] The patients are usually older than 40 years old, and the male patients are most common. With the lifestyle changing, the incidence of coronary atherosclerosis is increasing and affecting the human life expectancy.^[2] Nowadays, the treatment strategies for coronary atherosclerosis disease include medical, percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), and coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG).^[3] Clinically, CABG may be performed either with cardiopulmonary bypass (on-pump) or without cardiopulmonary bypass (off-pump) for the patients with left main lesion or multivessel lesions.^[4,5] As for on-pump CABG, there are 2 aortic clamping strategies: single aortic clamp (SAC) and partial aortic clamp (PAC). Patients in the SAC group all had distal and proximal bypass graft anastomoses performed during 1 crossclamp period. Patients in the PAC group all had distal bypass graft anastomoses performed during 1 crossclamp period. The crossclamp was then removed, and the heart was reanimated. The PAC was then applied to the aorta and proximal bypass graft anastomoses were completed.^[6,7]

Postoperative stroke is low incidence but severe complication of on-pump CABG even causing patients death, so many researchers are committed to investigate how to reducing postoperative stroke and death happening.^[8] Some research showed reducing aortic manipulation would protect brain function from microemboli, that is SAC is better,^[9] others showed SAC did not protect against cerebrovascular accident in on-pump CABG.^[10]

This study aimed to investigate which aortic clamping strategy was better during on-pump CABG. We collected 469 patients who had on-pump CABG during January 2014 to July 2015. Among them, 265 patients had SAC clamping strategy and the rest 204 patients had PAC clamping strategy. We compared the postoperative difference between 2 groups to investigate which clamp was better for reducing postoperative stroke. At the same time, we also conducted a meta-analysis to compare which clamp strategy was better.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Single center retrospective study

2.1.1. Consent. This study was approved by the Fuwai Hospital, National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College. This study complied with the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent for participation was obtained from all patients prior to enrollment in the study.

2.1.2. Patients. The patients included in this study were collected from Fuwai Hospital during January 2014 to July 2015 who met the following criterion: on-pump CABG; did not have cardiac operation before; not be cardiac shock; not emergency operation; patients did not decide which clamp is used; did not have other cardiac operations such as cardiac valve replacement. There are 469 patients involved in our study, 265 patients in SAC group and the rest 204 patients in PAC. We collected this patient information including baseline (age, sex, BMI, and other medical history), preoperative examination (creatinine, troponin, INR, ejection fraction, number of vascular anastomosis, and so on), intraoperative data (the time of clamping and cardiopulmonary bypass usage), the postoperative situation (the first 12 hours urine volume, the time of breath machine usage, hospital stay, and so on) and postoperative following-up (stroke and death). Postoperative stroke was defined according to The Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) criteria as any confirmed neurological deficit of abrupt onset that did not resolve within 24 hours. Delirium was not considered postoperative stroke if it resolved completely prior to discharge. An overwhelming majority of postoperative stroke was confirmed by a board-certified neurologist and/or brain imaging (computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging).^[11,12] Pathological subtypes comprised ischaemic stroke (cerebral, retinal, and spinal infarction) and haemorrhagic stroke (intracerebral haemorrhage and subarachnoid haemorrhage) according to the findings of brain imaging.^[13]

2.1.3. Study end-point. The primary end point of study was occurrence of postoperative stroke within 30 days of operation, defined according to STS criteria as any confirmed neurological deficit of abrupt onset that did not resolve within 24 hours. The second end point of study was the incidence of 30-day mortality.

2.2. Statistical analysis

The statistical work was conducted by SPSS version 21. The continuous variables were described by means \pm standard-deviation (SD) which were compared between groups by using *t* test or nonparametric test when appropriate. The categorical variables

were described by frequency (proportions) which were compared between groups by χ^2 test or the Fisher exact test when appropriate. The linear or logistic regression analysis was also conducted for continuous or categorical variables. Two tailed P < .05 was considered statistically significant.^[12]

2.3. Meta-analysis

2.3.1. Literature search strategy. We used 3 main medical data bases (PubMed, Web of Sciences, and Medline) to conduct literature searching up date to June 2017. The terms we used included single aortic clamp (or single crossclamp, 1 crossclamp, 1 aortic clamping), partial aortic clamp (or partial crossclamp, 2 crossclamp, 2 aortic clamp), aortic clamping strategy, and postoperative stroke. The reference lists of selected articles for relevant citations were also screened. Publication language was not restricted. A summary of the search strategy is shown in Fig. 1. This meta-analysis was performed in line with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systemic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.^[14]

2.3.2. Inclusion criteria. This meta-analysis aimed to compare the effects of both SAC and PAC on postoperative stroke and death so the studies included should meet the following criteria: published in English; the study should be about on-pump CABG; comparing SAC and PAC; including the postoperative stroke and death within 30-days; retrospective study or randomized controlled trial (RCT).

2.3.3. Data extraction. The following information was extracted from the article included in meta-analysis: authors, publication year, publication journal, study design, sample size, clamping strategy, 30-days stroke, and death. The information was extracted by 2 authors independently.

2.3.4. Statistical analysis. This meta-analysis was conducted by ReviewerManager 5.3. The outcome variables were binary



 Table 1

 The baseline characteristics of 2 groups.

	5		
	SAC	PAC	P value
N	265	204	
Male (n, %)	218 (82.3)	169 (82.8)	.87
Age, y	62.5±8.1	60.3 <u>+</u> 8.0	.01
BMI	26.0 ± 3.4	25.6±3.1	.21
Creatinine, umol/L	95.1 ± 21.9	90.7 ± 23.2	.75
BNP, pmol/L	551.7±676.6	473.6 <u>+</u> 738.8	.09
Triglyceride, mmol/L	1.68±1.12	1.67 <u>+</u> 1.24	.72
INR	1.00 ± 0.09	1.02±0.12	.80
Troponin, ng/mL	0.21 ± 1.07	0.29 <u>+</u> 1.06	.76
Hyperlipidaemia (n, %)	195 (73.6)	142 (69.6)	.34
Peripheral vascular disease (n, %)	71 (26.8)	36 (17.6)	.02
Smoke history (n, %)	155 (58.5)	123 (60.3)	.69
Hypertension (n, %)	183 (69.1)	115 (56.4)	.01
Stroke history (n,%)	12 (4.5)	9 (4.4)	.95
EF (%)	58.2 <u>+</u> 9.6	59.7 <u>+</u> 9.0	.12
Number of vascular anastomosis (n, %)	3.29 ± 0.74	2.97 ± 0.974	<.001

Table 2

The intraoperative	and	postoperative	situations.
--------------------	-----	---------------	-------------

	SAC	PAC	P value	P regression
N	265	204		
Clamping time, min	69.8±18.0	72.5 <u>+</u> 22.3	.58	.05
CPB usage time, min	93.2 <u>+</u> 22.4	103.4 <u>+</u> 26.8	<.001	<.001
12 h urine volume, mL	2155.2 ± 749.4	2243.3 ± 739.8	.25	.20
12 h chest drainage volume, mL	301.6±138.8	290.2±122.6	.52	.48
Breath machine, h	23.05±25.70	22.61 <u>+</u> 25.62	<.001	.97
Chest tube time, h	48.43 <u>+</u> 26.58	48.53 <u>+</u> 25.29	.24	.71
ICU stay, d	2.48 <u>+</u> 2.01	2.75 <u>+</u> 2.26	.18	.14
Reoperation (n, %)	13 (4.9)	3 (1.5)	.04	.08
Hospital stay, d	17.11 <u>+</u> 8.30	16.05 <u>+</u> 7.26	.06	.47
30-d stroke (n, %)	0	0	-	-
Ischaemic stroke (n, %)	0	0	-	-
Haemorrhagic stroke (n, %)	0	0	-	-
30-d death (n, %)	1 (0.4)	2 (1.0)	.42	.47

CPB=cardiopulmonary bypass, ICU=intensive care unit, PAC=partial aortic clamp, SAC=single aortic clamp.

variables so the effect value was evaluated by using odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) and *P* value. Literature heterogeneity was evaluated by Q-test and I²-test, the fixed effect model was used when $I^2 < 50\%$, the random effects model was used when $I^2 > 50\%$. Besides we conducted subgroup metaanalysis according to study design. Publication bias was shown by the funnel plot and tested by the Egger and Begg tests, and P < .05 indicated there was publication bias.

BMI = body mass index, EF = ejection fraction, PAC = partial aortic clamp, SAC = single aortic clamp.

3. Results

3.1. Single center retrospective study

3.1.1. Patient baseline characteristics. All the 469 patients' information was shown in Table 1, which suggested that the proportion of male patients of 2 groups were similar and both were more than 80% (218 (82.3%) vs 169 (82.8%), P = .87). The patients in the SAC group were older than PAC group patients $(62.5 \pm 8.1 \text{ vs } 60.3 \pm 8.0 \text{ years}, P=.01)$; the proportions of peripheral vascular disease and hypertension of SAC were higher than PAC (71 (26.8%) vs 36 (17.6%), P=.02; 183 (69.1%) vs 115 (56.4%), P=.01, respectively). Besides, the numbers of vascular anastomosis were more in the SAC group $(3.29 \pm 0.74 \text{ vs})$ 2.97 ± 0.974 , P < .001). There was no significant difference on other aspects between 2 groups, and the details were shown in Table 1. According to the baseline information of 2 groups, there were confounding factors when comparing the 2 groups to investigate which clamp was better, so the linear or logistic regression analysis should be used to ensure whether clamp strategies contribute the intraoperative and postoperative difference.

3.1.2. Intraoperative and postoperative difference. The 2 groups patients had on-pump CABG by using SAC or PAC respectively. First, the time of clamping of 2 groups was similar (69.8 ± 18.0 vs 72.5 ± 22.3 minutes, P = .58, *P*-regression = .05) but the time of cardiopulmonary bypass usage of SAC group was shorter than PAC group and linear regression analysis confirmed (93.2 ± 22.4 min vs 103.4 ± 26.8 minutes, P < .001, *P*-regression < .001). The first 12-hour after operation urine and chest drainage volume were similar (2155.2 ± 749.4 mL vs 2243.3 ± 739.8 mL, P = .24, *P*-regression = .20; 301.6 ± 138.8

mL vs 290.2 ± 122.6 mL, P = .52, P-regression = .48, respectively). The time of breath machine usage was longer in the SAC group but there was no significant difference between the SAC and PAC groups after linear regression analysis (23.05 ± 25.70 hours vs 22.61 ± 25.62 hours, P < .001, P-regression = .97). The rest aspects were similar between 2 groups (Table 2). These results showed that SAC could reduce the usage time of cardiopulmonary bypass usage and be noninferior to PAC during hospital stay. Then we compared the 30 days stroke and death of the 2 groups.

Because the study aimed to investigate SAC and PAC which was better to reduce postoperative stroke and death happening, we had a 30-day follow-up of all patients (Table 2). Neither ischaemic stroke nor haemorrhagic stroke occurred in both the groups. One of 265 (0.37%) patients in SAC group died and 2 of 204 (0.90%) patients died during 30-day following-up. After logistic regression analysis, SAC and PAC had same contribution to 30 days death (*P*-regression=.47).

3.2. Meta-analysis

3.2.1. Literature search results. As the flow chat shown (Fig. 1), we finally collected 12 studies to conduct metaanalysis.^[7,10,12,15-23] The information of the 12 studies was summarized in Table 3. These studies included 15,486 on-pump CABG patients, 5174 of them in SAC group and 10,312 of them in PAC group. Seven of 12 studies were retrospective studies, including 14,577 patients, 4701 of them having SAC and the rest having PAC;^[7,10,12,15-18] other 5 of 12 studies were rRCTs, including 909 patients, among them 473 patients having SAC, and the rest 436 having PAC.^[19–23]

3.2.2. *Meta-analysis results.* There was no significant difference on postoperative stroke and death within 30 days between 2 groups, after merging effect value. Comparing SAC to PAC, the OR for 30-day stroke was 0.78 (95% CI: 0.58–1.06, P=.10, $I^2=0\%$) and the OR for 30-day death was 0.82 (95% CI: 0.61–1.10, P=.18, $I^2=0\%$) (Fig. 2). Then, we analyzed subgroup to investigate whether different study designs had different results. In RCTs, the ORs for 30 days stroke and death were that OR: 0.53, 95% CI: 0.19–1.45, P=.22, $I^2=0\%$; OR: 0.46, 95% CI: 0.16–1.33, P=.15, $I^2=0\%$, respectively (Fig. 3). In retrospective

				Sample size	Stroke (%)	Death (%)
Author-first	Publication year	Study design	Publication journal	(SAC/PAC)	(SAC/PAC)	(SAC/PAC)
Danny Chu	2016	Retrospective studies	JAMA Surg	1107/712	1.5 vs 1.4	1.9 vs 1.8
Juan C. Araque	2015	Retrospective studies	J Thorac Cardiov Sur	2051/6446	1.2 vs 1.5	1.0 vs 1.4
Ihsan Sami Uyar	2013	Retrospective studies	Cardiovasc J Afr	500/1500	1.8 vs 1.7	1.4 vs 1.6
Mehmet Ates	2006	Retrospective studies	Int Heart J	550/550	1.3 vs 1.6	1.1 vs 1.3
John W. H.	2006	RCT	J Thorac Cardiov Sur	102/67	2.9 vs 4.5	0 vs 4.5
Sinatra R	2004	RCT	Ital Heart J	145/136	0.6 vs 0.7	1.3 vs 1.4
MelihHulusi Us	2003	Retrospective studies	AnadoluKardiyolDerg.	32/212	0 vs 10.4	3.1 vs 3.3
John C. Tsang	2003	RCT	J Card Surg	133/135	0 vs 1.5	1.5 vs 2.2
Richard W. Kim	2001	Retrospective studies	Eur J Cardio-Thorac	301/306	1.7 vs 2.0	2.7 vs 1.6
Francesco	1998	RCT	Eur J Cardio-Thorac	48/43	2.0 vs 0	0 vs 0
Paolo Bertolini	1997	RCT	Eur J Cardio-Thorac	45/55	0 vs 5.5	0 vs 1.8
Sary F. Aranki	1994	Retrospective studies	Ann ThoraeSurg	160/150	0.6 vs 2.0	2.5 vs 5.3

PAC = partial aortic clamp, RCT = randomized controlled trial, SAC = single aortic clamp.

studies, the merge result for 30 days stroke was that OR: 0.81, 95% CI: 0.60–1.10, P=.18, $I^2=0\%$; the combined result for 30 days death was that OR: 0.86, 95% CI: 0.63–1.17, P=.33, $I^2=0\%$ (Fig. 4). These results showed that SAC and PAC contributed similarly to 30 days stroke and death according to the overall meta-analysis and subgroup analysis.

3.2.3. *Publication bias.* The publication bias of the studies included in this meta-analysis was shown as Fig. 5. The funnel plot suggested that there was no publication bias, besides that the

Begg and Egger tests supported there was no publication bias (Begg test: P = .24 > .05, Egger test: P = .11 > .05, respectively).

4. Discussion

At present, surgical treatment is still preferred one for left main coronary artery and multiple vessel disease.^[24] There are 2 surgery ways to conduct CABG including on-pump and off-pump CABG. The outcome of CABG is very well, but very few patients will have stroke after operation which is severe complication and

	single cross	clamp	partial aortic c	lamping		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
2.1.1 stroke							a second de la companya de
Danny Chu et al.2016	17	1107	10	712	11.3%	1.09 [0.50, 2.40]	
Francesco Musumeci et al. 1998	1	48	0	43	0.5%	2.75 [0.11, 69.24]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
hsan Sami Uyar et al.2013	9	500	26	1500	12.1%	1.04 [0.48, 2.23]	
John C. Tsang et al.2003	0	133	2	135	2.3%	0.20 [0.01, 4.21]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
John W. Hammon et al.2006	3	102	3	67	3.3%	0.65 [0.13, 3.30]	
Juan C. Arague et al.2015	25	2051	98	6446	44.1%	0.80 [0.51, 1.24]	
Mehmet Ates et al.2006	7	550	9	550	8.4%	0.77 [0.29, 2.10]	
Aelih Hulusi Us et al.2003	0	32	22	212	5.6%	0.13 [0.01, 2.20]	• • • • •
aolo Bertolini et al.1997	0	45	3	55	2.9%	0.16 [0.01, 3.28]	· · · · · ·
Richard W. Kim et al.2001	5	301	6	306	5.5%	0.84 [0.25, 2.80]	
Sary F. Aranki et al. 1994	1	160	3	150	2.9%	0.31 [0.03, 3.00]	
Sinatra R et al.2004	1	145	1	136	1.0%	0.94 [0.06, 15.14]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		5174		10312	100.0%	0.78 [0.58, 1.05]	•
otal events	69		183				
leterogeneity: Chi ² = 5.90, df = 1	$1 (P = 0.88); I^2$	= 0%					
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.64 (P	= 0.10)						
2.1.2 death							
Danny Chu et al.2016	21	1107	13	712	15.2%	1.04 [0.52, 2.09]	
rancesco Musumeci et al.1998	0	48	0	43		Not estimable	
hsan Sami Uyar et al.2013	7	500	24	1500	11.6%	0.87 [0.37, 2.04]	
John C. Tsang et al.2003	2	133	3	135	2.9%	0.67 [0.11, 4.09]	
John W. Hammon et al.2006	0	102	3	67	4.1%	0.09 [0.00, 1.77]	·
Juan C. Arague et al.2015	22	2051	89	6446	41.7%	0.77 [0.48, 1.24]	
Mehmet Ates et al.2006	6	550	7	550	6.8%	0.86 [0.29, 2.56]	
Aelih Hulusi Us et al.2003	1	32	7	212	1.7%	0.94 [0.11, 7.94]	
aolo Bertolini et al.1997	0	45	1	55	1.3%	0.40 [0.02, 10.04]	
Richard W. Kim et al.2001	8	301	5	306	4.7%	1.64 [0.53, 5.08]	
Sary F. Aranki et al. 1994	4	160	8	150	7.9%	0.46 [0.13, 1.54]	
Sinatra R et al.2004	2	145	2	136	2.0%	0.94 [0.13, 6.75]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		5174		10312	100.0%	0.82 [0.61, 1.10]	•
otal events	73		162				
leterogeneity: Chi ² = 5,27, df = 1	$0 (P = 0.87); 1^2$	= 0%					
est for overall effect: Z = 1.35 (P	= 0.18)						
							0.01 0.1 1 10 100



	single cross	clamp	partial aortic cla	mping		Odds Ratio		Odds	Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C		M-H, Fixe	d. 95% Cl	
3.1.1 stroke										
Francesco Musumeci et al.1998	1	48	0	43	4.8%	2.75 [0.11, 69.24]				
John C. Tsang et al.2003	0	133	2	135	23.2%	0.20 [0.01, 4.21]				
John W. Hammon et al.2006	3	102	3	67	33.0%	0.65 [0.13, 3.30]				
Paolo Bertolini et al.1997	0	45	3	55	29.3%	0.16 [0.01, 3.28]	-	-		
Sinatra R et al.2004	1	145	1	136	9.6%	0.94 [0.06, 15.14]				
Subtotal (95% CI)		473		436	100.0%	0.53 [0.19, 1.45]			•	
Total events	5		9							
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2.20, df = 4	$(P = 0.70); I^2 =$	0%								
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.23 (P	= 0.22)									
3.1.2 death										
Francesco Musumeci et al. 1998	0	48	0	43		Not estimable				
John C. Tsang et al.2003	2	133	3	135	27.9%	0.67 [0.11, 4.09]				
John W. Hammon et al.2006	0	102	3	67	40.0%	0.09 [0.00, 1.77]	_	-	-	
Paolo Bertolini et al.1997	0	45	1	55	12.7%	0.40 [0.02, 10.04]				
Sinatra R et al.2004	2	145	2	136	19.4%	0.94 [0.13, 6.75]				
Subtotal (95% CI)		473		436	100.0%	0.46 [0.16, 1.33]				
Total events	4		9							
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.84, df = 3	$(P = 0.61); I^2 =$	0%								
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.44 (P	= 0.15)									
							-			
							0.001	0,1 1	10	1000
								Favours SC	Favours PC	

Figure 3. The merge effect of 5 RCTs: the ORs for 30 days stroke and death were that OR: 0.53, 95% CI: 0.19 to 1.45, P = .22, $I^2 = 0\%$; OR: 0.46, 95% CI: 0.16 to 1.33, P = .15, $I^2 = 0\%$, respectively. OR = odds ratio, CI = confidence interval, RCT = randomized controlled trial.

even life-threatening. The stroke is mainly caused by microthrombus, it is thought that reducing aortic manipulation can reduce the microthrombus and protesting from stroke.^[9,25] Moreover, it is reported that inflammation not only contributes to stroke pathogenesis but also has a possible association with outcome in acute ischemic cerebrovascular syndromes.^[26–29] Clamping strategy may contribute to postoperative stroke by promoting inflammation, but the relationship between stroke and clamping strategy remains further investigated.

After comparing the 2 group baseline characteristics, confounding factors exist such as age, peripheral vascular disease, hypertension, and number of vessel anastomose; it suggests the patients in the SAC group are at high risk (Table 1). To compare clamping strategy effect on outcomes of patients, we conduct regression analysis (Table 2). It indicates that different clamping strategies have similar effect on postoperative situations and 30-day stroke and death, but SAC has advantage on shorter usage of cardiopulmonary bypass ($69.8 \pm 18.0 \text{ min vs } 72.5 \pm 22.3 \text{ minutes}$, *P*-regression=.05). As reported, the usage cardiopulmonary bypass would bring side effect on body such as acute kidney injury, brain injury, blood loss, transfusion, and other organ dysfunction.^[30–33]

	single cross	clamp	partial aortic cl	amping		Odds Ratio		Odds	Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	<u> </u>	M-H, Fix	ed, 95% Cl	
4.1.1 stroke										
Danny Chu et al.2016	17	1107	10	712	12.6%	1.09 [0.50, 2.40]		-	-	
Ihsan Sami Uyar et al.2013	9	500	26	1500	13.4%	1.04 [0.48, 2.23]			-	
Juan C. Araque et al.2015	25	2051	98	6446	49.1%	0.80 [0.51, 1.24]		-	-	
Mehmet Ates et al.2006	7	550	9	550	9.3%	0.77 [0.29, 2.10]			<u> </u>	
Melih Hulusi Us et al.2003	0	32	22	212	6.2%	0.13 [0.01, 2.20]	+		-	
Richard W. Kim et al.2001	5	301	6	306	6.1%	0.84 [0.25, 2.80]				
Sary F. Aranki et al. 1994	1	160	3	150	3.2%	0.31 [0.03, 3.00]	-		_	
Subtotal (95% CI)		4701		9876	100.0%	0.81 [0.60, 1.10]		-		
Total events	64		174							
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3.28, c	If = 6 (P = 0.77)	; $ ^2 = 0\%$								
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.	34 (P = 0.18)									
4.1.2 death										
Danny Chu et al.2016	21	1107	13	712	17.0%	1.04 [0.52, 2.09]		_	-	
Ihsan Sami Uyar et al.2013	7	500	24	1500	12.9%	0.87 [0.37, 2.04]		_	-	
Juan C. Araque et al.2015	22	2051	89	6446	46.5%	0.77 [0.48, 1.24]		-	⊢	
Mehmet Ates et al.2006	6	550	7	550	7.6%	0.86 [0.29, 2.56]			<u> </u>	
Melih Hulusi Us et al.2003	1	32	7	212	1.9%	0.94 [0.11, 7.94]			<u> </u>	
Richard W. Kim et al.2001	8	301	5	306	5.3%	1.64 [0.53, 5.08]		_		
Sary F. Aranki et al. 1994	4	160	8	150	8.8%	0.46 [0.13, 1.54]			-	
Subtotal (95% CI)		4701		9876	100.0%	0.86 [0.63, 1.17]				
Total events	69		153							
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2.79, c	f = 6 (P = 0.83) 97 (P = 0.33)	; l ² = 0%								
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.	(/									
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.								12	L 12	

Figure 4. The merge effect of 7 retrospective studies: the merge result for 30 days stroke was that OR: 0.81, 95% CI: 0.60 to 1.10, P=.18, $I^2=0\%$. The combined result for 30 days death was that OR: 0.86, 95% CI: 0.63 to 1.17, P=.33, $I^2=0\%$. OR=odds ratio, CI=confidence interval.



Since 1990, there were only 12 studies about comparing the different clamping strategy effects on postoperative stroke and death and different studies had different conclusions. And we conducted a meta-analysis to investigate which clamp is better. This meta-analysis shows different clamp strategies contribute similarly to postoperative stroke and death. The ORs for stroke and death are that OR: 0.78, 95% CI: 0.58–1.05, P=.10; OR: 0.82, 95% CI: 0.61–1.10, P=.18, respectively (Fig. 2). Meanwhile, we analyze subgroup according to study design and the results are consistent with the overall results. Because ORs are less than 1 (P > .05), it is inferred that SAC may have tendency to protest patients from stroke and death but without significant difference. We think that some reasons can explain the phenomenon: 2 clamp strategies are the same in fact; lack of middle and long-term following-up results; the sample size of RCT is small.

In summary, according to the Fuwai hospital retrospective study, it suggested that SAC and PAC group patients have same outcomes including postoperative stroke and death but SAC could reduce the usage of cardiopulmonary bypass which was good for patients. Besides, the meta-analysis confirms the single center study. The meta-analysis indicates that there is no difference on postoperative stroke and death within 30 days comparing SAC with PAC.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank Dr Man Rao for statistical consultation. The authors thank Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences Innovation Fund for Medical Sciences (CIFMS2017-I2M-1-008).

Author contributions

Formal analysis: X. Hua. Methodology: J. Song, L. Wang. Project administration: J. Song, L. Wang. Writing – original draft: L. Chen, X. Hua.

References

- [1] Hansson GK. Inflammation, atherosclerosis, and coronary artery disease. N Engl J Med 2005;352:1685–95.
- [2] Nemetz PN, Smith CY, Bailey KR, et al. Trends in coronary atherosclerosis: a tale of two population subgroups. Am J Med 2016;129:307–14.
- [3] Boudoulas KD, Triposciadis F, Geleris P, et al. Coronary atherosclerosis: pathophysiologic basis for diagnosis and management. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2016;58:676–92.
- [4] Serruys PW, Morice MC, Kappetein AP, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus coronary-artery bypass grafting for severe coronary artery disease. N Engl J Med 2009;360:961–72.
- [5] Kim JB, Yun SC, Lim JW, et al. Long-term survival following coronary artery bypass grafting: off-pump versus on-pump strategies. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;63:2280–8.
- [6] Daniel WT, Kilgo P, Puskas JD, et al. Trends in aortic clamp use during coronary artery bypass surgery: effect of aortic clamping strategies on neurologic outcomes. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2014;147:652–7.
- [7] Araque JC, Greason KL, Li Z, et al. On-pump coronary artery bypass graft operation: is one crossclamp application better than two? J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2015;150:145–9.
- [8] Goto T, Maekawa K. Cerebral dysfunction after coronary artery bypass surgery. J Anesth 2014;28:242–8.
- [9] Moss E, Puskas JD, Thourani VH, et al. Avoiding aortic clamping during coronary artery bypass grafting reduces postoperative stroke. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2015;149:175–80.
- [10] Kim RW, Mariconda DC, Tellides G, et al. Single-clamp technique does not protect against cerebrovascular accident in coronary artery bypass grafting. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2001;20:127–32.
- [11] Shroyer AL, Coombs LP, Peterson ED, et al. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons: 30-day operative mortality and morbidity risk models. Ann Thorac Surg 2003;75:1856–64.
- [12] Chu D, Schaheen L, Morell VO, et al. Effect of aortic clamping strategy on postoperative stroke in coronary artery bypass grafting operations. JAMA Surg 2016;151:59–62.
- [13] Hankey GJ. Stroke. Lancet 2017;389:641-54.
- [14] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med 2009;6:e1000097.
- [15] Uyar IS, Akpinar MB, Sahin V, et al. Effects of single aortic clamping versus partial aortic clamping techniques on post-operative stroke during coronary artery bypass surgery. Cardiovasc J Afr 2013;24:213–7.
- [16] Ates M, Yangel M, Gullu AU, et al. Is single or double aortic clamping safer in terms of cerebral outcome during coronary bypass surgery? Int Heart J 2006;47:185–92.
- [17] Us MH, Sungun M, Cagli K, et al. Single clamp technique in elderly patients undergoing coronary artery surgery. Anadolu Kardiyol Derg 2003;3:291–5.

- [18] Aranki SF, Rizzo RJ, Adams DH, et al. Single-clamp technique: an important adjunct to myocardial and cerebral protection in coronary operations. Ann Thorac Surg 1994;58:296–302.
- [19] Hammon JW, Stump DA, Butterworth JF, et al. Single crossclamp improves 6-month cognitive outcome in high-risk coronary bypass patients: the effect of reduced aortic manipulation. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2006;131:114–21.
- [20] Sinatra R, Capuano F, Santaniello E, et al. Occluding clamp technique during coronary artery bypass grafting: single or double-clamp technique? Ital Heart J 2004;5:450–2.
- [21] Tsang JC, Morin JF, Tchervenkov CI, et al. Single aortic clamp versus partial occluding clamp technique for cerebral protection during coronary artery bypass: a randomized prospective trial. J Card Surg 2003;18:158–63.
- [22] Musumeci F, Feccia M, MacCarthy PA, et al. Prospective randomized trial of single clamp technique versus intermittent ischaemic arrest: myocardial and neurological outcome. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 1998;13:702–9.
- [23] Bertolini P, Santini F, Montalbano G, et al. Single aortic cross-clamp technique in coronary surgery: a prospective randomized study. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 1997;12:413–8.
- [24] Pinho-Gomes AC, Taggart DP. Coronary artery bypass grafting for left main disease and the risk of stroke: incidence, aetiology and prevention. Surgeon 2017;15:155–60.
- [25] Gasparovic H, Malojcic B, Borojevic M, et al. Reduction of microembolic signals with a single-clamp strategy in coronary artery bypass surgery: a pilot study. Heart Surg Forum 2009;12:E357–61.
- [26] Rigoldi M, Concolino D, Morrone A, et al. Intrafamilial phenotypic variability in four families with Anderson-Fabry disease. Clin Genet 2014;86:258–63.
- [27] Tuttolomondo A, Pedone C, Pinto A, et al. Predictors of outcome in acute ischemic cerebrovascular syndromes: the GIFA study. Int J Cardiol 2008;125:391–6.
- [28] Messina S, Tortorella G, Concolino D, et al. Congenital muscular dystrophy with defective alpha-dystroglycan, cerebellar hypoplasia, and epilepsy. Neurology 2009;73:1599–601.
- [29] Tuttolomondo A, Pecoraro R, Casuccio A, et al. Peripheral frequency of CD4+ CD28- cells in acute ischemic stroke: relationship with stroke subtype and severity markers. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015;94:e813.
- [30] Jarral OA, Saso S, Harling L, et al. Organ dysfunction in patients with left ventricular impairment: what is the effect of cardiopulmonary bypass? Heart Lung Circ 2014;23:852–62.
- [31] Kumar AB, Suneja M, Bayman EO, et al. Association between postoperative acute kidney injury and duration of cardiopulmonary bypass: a meta-analysis. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2012;26:64–9.
- [32] Jarral OA, Saso S, Harling L, et al. Atrial fibrillation, blood loss, and transfusion in patients with left ventricular dysfunction: what is the effect of cardiopulmonary bypass? ASAIO J 2012;58:311–9.
- [33] Selnes OA, Goldsborough MA, Borowicz LM, et al. Neurobehavioural sequelae of cardiopulmonary bypass. Lancet 1999;353:1601–6.