Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Heliyon



journal homepage: www.cell.com/heliyon

Research article

5²CelPress

Traditional Chinese medicine for idiopathic membranous nephropathy: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Wenjun Shan^{a,1}, Haiyu Guan^{a,1}, Haowen Gu^a, Rongrong Wang^{a,c}, Xiaoyan Huang^{a,b,c}, Ping Li^{a,b,c}, Ying Xie^{a,d}, Kun Bao^{a,b,c,d,*}, Xindong Qin^{a,c,**}

^a State Key Laboratory of Dampness Syndrome of Chinese Medicine, The Second Clinical College of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, China

^b Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macau Joint Lab on Chinese Medicine and Immune Disease Research, Guangzhou, China

^c Nephrology Department, Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, China

^d Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Chinese Medicine for Prevention and Treatment of Refractory Chronic Disease, The Second Affiliated

Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, China

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Idiopathic membranous nephropathy Traditional Chinese medicine Systematic review Meta-analysis

ABSTRACT

Background: Idiopathic membranous nephropathy (IMN) is a rare autoimmune disorder that causes nephrotic syndromes in adults. Conventional immunosuppressive therapies often exhibit limited efficacy in achieving remission and may result in notable adverse reactions, warranting the exploration of novel therapeutic approaches for IMN treatment. Traditional Chinese medicine (TCM), which is extensively used for kidney disease management, is a promising alternative. Objective: This study aimed to examine the safety and efficacy of TCM alone or in combination with Western medicine for the management of patients diagnosed with IMN. Methods: This study employed a systematic search of English and Chinese electronic databases to identify randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that examined the application of TCM in the treatment of IMN. RCTs that met the predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria and assessed the safety and efficacy of TCM alone or in combination with Western medicine in patients with IMN were included in the analysis. The methodological quality of the included studies was evaluated by using a risk-of-bias tool. All statistical analyses were performed using the RevMan software (version 5.4.2). The evidence was evaluated on the https://www.gradepro.org/website. Results: This study included 29 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) involving 1982 patients with moderate methodological quality that met the inclusion criteria. The results showed that, compared to Western medicine alone therapy, the use of TCM alone or in combination with Western medicine significantly improved total remission (TR) rate (risk ratios [RR] 1.38, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.29–1.46, $I^2 = 0\%$, P < 0.00001), complete remission (CR) rate (RR 1.78, 95% CI 1.48–2.15, $I^2 = 0$, P < 0.00001), partial remission (PR) rate (RR 1.27, 95% CI 1.161.40, $I^2 = 0\%$, P < 0.00001), and serum albumin (ALB) levels (MD: 4.05, 95% CI: 3.02–5.09, $I^2 = 91\%$, P < 0.00001). TCM alone or in combination with Western medicine also reduced proteinuria levels (mean difference [MD]: 1.05, 95% CI: 1.30 to -0.79, $I^2 = 95\%$, P < 0.00001),

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e28836

Received 15 October 2023; Received in revised form 23 March 2024; Accepted 26 March 2024

Available online 31 March 2024

^{*} Corresponding author: State Key Laboratory of Dampness Syndrome of Chinese Medicine, The Second Clinical College of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, China.

^{**} Corresponding author: State Key Laboratory of Dampness Syndrome of Chinese Medicine, The Second Clinical College of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, China.

E-mail addresses: baokun@aliyun.com (K. Bao), nealtcm@gzucm.edu.cn (X. Qin).

¹ Authors Wenjun Shan and Haiyu Guan made equal contributions to this study and should be recognized as co-primary authors.

^{2405-8440/© 2024} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

serum creatinine (SCr) levels (MD: 7.47, 95% CI: 13.70 to -1.24, $I^2 = 97\%$, P = 0.02), and serum antibodies against M-type phospholipase A2 receptor levels (aPLA2Rab) (MD: 19.24, 95% CI: 33.56 to -4.93, $I^2 = 87\%$, P = 0.008). Moreover, the efficacy of combined TCM and Western medicine is superior to that of Western medicine alone in reducing the incidence of infection, hepatotoxicity, and thrombosis. Although the primary and secondary outcomes were consistent, the evidence was generally moderate.

Conclusion: The results of this study suggest that TCM alone or in combination with Western medicine may be a feasible alternative therapeutic approach for the treatment of IMN. Nevertheless, additional, rigorously designed, high-quality, and extensive clinical trials are imperative to provide substantial evidence regarding the effectiveness of TCM in managing IMN.

1. Introduction

Membranous nephropathy (MN) is a form of glomerulonephritis characterized by increased proteinuria resulting from the accumulation of immune complexes beneath the glomerular epithelium [1]. Approximately 80% of MN cases manifest without a discernible cause, termed as idiopathic MN (IMN), whereas the remaining 20% are associated with comorbidities or exposure to toxins and specific medications (secondary MN, [SMN]), including hepatitis, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), and malignancy [2]. MN is frequently observed in adults aged between 50 and 60 years of age, exhibiting a higher predilection in men with a male-to-female ratio of 2:1 [3]. The prevalence of MN in non-diabetic Caucasian adults is estimated to be as high as 8–10 cases per 1 million individuals [1]. Approximately one-third of patients with MN progress to end-stage renal disease (ESRD) within a decade, and the likelihood of developing ESRD increases with elevated proteinuria [4]. Conventional treatment for patients with severe proteinuria caused by IMN typically involves a combination of corticosteroids and immunosuppressive agents administered for a duration of 6 to 12 months [5]. However, immunosuppressive therapy has potential adverse effects, including infections and myelosuppression [6]. Moreover, the prolonged treatment period, substantial treatment burden, and severe side effects associated with immunosuppressive therapy greatly limit its clinical applicability for IMN [2,3]. Therefore, exploring alternative treatment strategies for IMN is imperative, and traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) is a viable option.

Kidney diseases have been managed using TCM for extensive periods. Based on the expertise of professionals from diverse regions in China, patients diagnosed with IMN are administered distinct herbal formulations as part of their treatment regimens. Animal studies have substantiated the efficacy of these formulations in ameliorating proteinuria and increasing serum albumin (ALB) [7,8]. TCM interventions potentially exert their influence on IMN through multifaceted mechanisms, including anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive effects and improving podocyte injury [9,10]. Advancements in theoretical and clinical studies on the use of TCM for the treatment of IMN have demonstrated the efficacy of TCM. Notably, Astragalus membranaceus has successfully induced clinical remission in an older woman with IMN, eliminating the need for immunosuppressive agents [11]. The findings of a multicenter randomized controlled clinical trial demonstrated that Shenqi particles have a similar remission rate in patients with IMN and a better safety profile than the standard therapy with corticosteroids and cyclophosphamide [12]. Although the specific names of TCM compound formulas may vary, their underlying principles and constituent ingredients are mostly similar. These formulas predominantly consist of huangqi (Astragalus membranaeus (Fisch.) Bunge.), Fulins (Poria cocos (Schw.) Wolf.), Baizhu (Atractylodes macrocephala Koidz.), Danggui (Angelica sinensis (Oliv.)), Dangshen (Codonopsis pilosula (Franch.) Nannf.), Dilong (Lumbricus), Shuizhi (Whitmania pigra Whitman), Shanyao (Rhizoma Dioscoreae), Chuanxiong (Ligusticum chuanxiong Hort.), Zexie (Alisma plantago-aquatica Linn.), Dihuang (Rehmannia glutinosa (Gaertn.) Libosch. [R.glutinosa Libosch.], and F. Hueichingensis (Chao et Schih) Hsiao). Furthermore, the available multicenter, large-sample, randomized controlled clinical trials pertaining to this subject are scarce. Therefore, we conducted a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to provide evidence-based information on the effectiveness and adverse events of TCM for IMN treatment, including the total effective rate, complete and partial remission rates, 24-hour urinary total protein (24-h UTP), serum ALB, serum creatinine (SCr), estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), antibodies against the M-type phospholipase A2 receptor (aPLA2Rab), and adverse events.

2. Materials and methods

This systematic review and meta-analysis were conducted in accordance with the guidelines specified in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) 2020 statement for reporting systematic reviews [13]. The study was registered in PROSPERO under the registration number CRD42022354113.

2.1. Search strategy

Two reviewers (WS and HYG) systematically searched five English electronic databases (Web of Science, Embase, PubMed, Cochrane Library, and Clinical Trials.gov) and four Chinese electronic databases (Wanfang Med Database, Chinese VIP Information Database, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, and SinoMed) to identify randomized RCTs from the beginning date to August 11, 2022. The search was conducted using standard medical subject heading terms, as outlined in Supplementary Table 1.

2.2. Inclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows.

- (1) Participants included in the studies were diagnosed with IMN based on biopsy findings or positive serum anti-phospholipase A2 receptor antibody (aPLA2Rab) titers.
- (2) RCTs that focused on the treatment of IMN using Chinese herbal medicine formulas.
- (3) The intervention group received either TCM alone or in combination with Western medicine as recommended by the relevant guidelines. Only studies that utilized Chinese herbal medicine formulas in the form of decoctions or granules were included, with no restrictions on the dosage or duration of treatment. The control group was administered Western medicine exclusively.
- (4) The primary outcome metric was the total effective (TR) rate, which comprised both complete remission (CR) and partial remission (PR) rates. TR was determined by evaluating proteinuria and serum ALB levels. CR was defined as the maintenance of stable renal function with stable 24-h UTP <0.3 g/24 h and normal or near-normal ALB levels (≥35 g/L). PR was defined as maintenance of stable renal function with 24-h UTP of 0.3–3.5 g/24 h, a decrease of ≥50% in 24-h UTP compared to pretreatment values, and a significant improvement in ALB levels. The secondary outcome metrics were 24-h UTP, serum ALB, SCr, eGFR, serum aPLA2Rab, and adverse events.</p>

2.3. Exclusion criteria

- (1) Studies that included participants with SMN secondary to hepatitis B, SLE, medications, malignancies, or other etiologies.
- (2) Clinical trials that failed to yield sufficient data regarding diagnostic criteria, interventions, and, specifically, TR.
- (3) RCTs that lacked a control group or intervention drugs in the control group that used TCMs.
- (4) Articles for which full texts were not available.

2.4. Data extraction

Two reviewers (WS and HWG) independently extracted relevant data based on predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Any discrepancies were reviewed and evaluated by a third reviewer (XQ). The information extracted from the included studies consisted of publication year, first author, diagnosis, participants' sex and age, sample size, withdrawal rates, course of treatment, remission rates in complete and partial cases, adverse events, and outcomes.

2.5. Risk of bias assessment

The Cochrane Collaboration Risk of Bias Assessment Tool [14] was utilized to evaluate the eligible studies. Each study was subjected to an independent risk of bias assessment conducted by two reviewers (XH and PL), and the results were subsequently compared. In cases of disagreement, an independent third reviewer (KB) was consulted.

2.6. Quality of evidence

The certainty of the evidence pertaining to key comparisons and outcomes was evaluated using the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) approach [15]. The level of evidence for each outcome was independently assessed according to the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Evaluation by two reviewers (PL and HWG); however, controversial outcomes were further discussed and evaluated by a third reviewer (YX).

2.7. Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using RevMan 5.4 software. Owing to the diverse sample sizes of the studies, a randomized effects model was employed for all meta-analyses. Continuous data were assessed using mean difference (MD) and 95% confidence intervals (CI), and dichotomous data were expressed as risk ratios (RR) with a 95% CI. A P-value less than 0.05 indicated statistical significance. Subgroup analyses were performed based on the treatment duration, types of comparison treatments, and baseline 24-h UTP, if feasible. Statistical heterogeneity was assessed using the chi-square test and the I² statistic. An I-squared value > 50% was indicative of significant heterogeneity. If feasible, sensitivity analyses were performed to assess the robustness of the synthesized results. Funnel plots were used to evaluate publication bias.

3. Results

3.1. Study selection

Nine databases were searched, resulting in the identification of 2346 studies, of which 1557 remained after excluding duplicate articles. A review of the article titles identified 199 potential trials relevant to the subject. Among them, 170 trials, including those that did not meet the inclusion criteria, had incomplete data, and were non-randomized, were excluded. After a thorough evaluation of the

full text, 29 trials were finally included for data synthesis. The screening process is illustrated in Fig. 1.

3.2. Characteristics of eligible studies

A total of 1982 participants were included in the 29 eligible studies, of whom 1000 and 982 were experimental and control participants, respectively. The participant characteristics were similar across the treatment groups in terms of sex, age, and baseline metrics. Regarding the disease duration, 6 [16–21], 11 [22–32], and 4 trials [24,33–35] were mainly within 6 months, 12 months, and more than a year, respectively. Other trials did not provide detailed information on disease duration. Only one eligible trial [32] adopted TCM alone as the experimental treatment, whereas the other trials used a combination of TCM and Western medicine as the experimental treatment. The treatment duration varied between 1.5 and 12 months. Participants in 13 studies received short-term treatment, including one study [30] with a 1.5-month treatment, four studies [18,19,35,36] with a 2-month treatment, seven studies [21,23,26,34,37–39] with a 3-month treatment, and one study [40] with a 4-month treatment. The participants in 14 studies [16,17,22,24,25,27–29,31,33,41–44] received a 6-month midterm treatment. Participants in two studies [20,32] received 12-month long-term treatment. All included studies reported the outcomes of TR, CR, PR, 24-h UTP and serum ALB. The outcomes of TR, CR, PR, 24-h UTP and serum ALB were reported in all the studies that were included, and the outcomes of SCr were reported in 21 studies [18, 20–26,28–34,36,37,39,41,43,44] reported. Six studies [24,30,31,34,35,37] reported eGFR outcomes, and five studies [16,20,22,38, 43] reported serum aPLA2Rab outcomes. Supplementary Table 19 illustrates the characteristics of the included studies. The components of the Chinese herbal formulas are listed in Supplementary Table 20.

3.3. Quality assessment of studies

Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 1 show the moderate methodological quality of the included studies. Twenty-seven included studies [16–29,31–35,37–44] adopted the method of randomization, whereas two studies [30,36] did not provide details. Only one of the included studies reported the blinding of the outcome assessment [33]; however, the methodology was not specified. Thirteen studies reported withdrawals or dropouts [17,22,24–29,31,32,38,39,43], and the statistical analyses did not include data on patients who dropped out or were lost to follow-up. No selective reporting bias was found in any of the studies. No other biases were observed among the included studies.

3.4. Primary outcomes

3.4.1. Total remission rate

The data integration presented in Fig. 3 demonstrates a higher TR rate in the experimental group than in the control group (RR 1.38, 95% CI: 1.29–1.46, $I^2 = 0$ %, P < 0.00001). The strength and quality of the evidence were assessed using the GRADE methodology, which determined that the findings possessed a moderate level of certainty (Supplementary Table 18). The TR rates after short-term, mid-term, and long-term treatments were reported in 13 (839 patients) [18,19,21,23,26,30,34–40], 14 (1008 patients) [16,17,



Fig. 1. Flow chart of study selection.



Fig. 2. Risk of bias graph.

	Experim	ental	Contr	ol Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight I	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI	
1.1.1 Short-term treatm	nent							
Jiao Zhenshu 2018	25	30	19	30	3.6%	1.32 [0.96, 1.80]		
Li Ling 2021	23	29	15	28	2.4%	1.48 [1.00, 2.19]		
Liu Jinlan 2015	16	20	6	20	0.7%	2.67 [1.32, 5.39]		
Li Wei 2020	27	32	18	32	3.1%	1.50 [1.07, 2.11]		
Mao Jingyu 2022	25	28	18	28	3.9%	1.39 [1.02, 1.88]		
Ma Zhongwei 2011	14	30	12	30	1.1%	1.17 [0.65, 2.09]		
Tang Guojuan 2018	20	33	18	34	2.1%	1.14 [0.75, 1.74]		
Wang Que 2020	25	28	14	28	2.4%	1.79 [1.21, 2.64]		
Wang Yanhui 2018	16	20	10	20	1.5%	1.60 [0.98, 2.61]		
Wei Yajing 2019	21	57	18	57	1.4%	1.17 [0.70, 1.95]		
Xian Qijing 2011	20	23	14	23	2.7%	1.43 [0.99, 2.06]		
Yi Yanfang 2019	24	40	14	39	1.5%	1.67 [1.02, 2.73]		
Zhuang Kesheng 2018	39	50	15	50	1.8%	2.60 [1.66, 4.07]	· · · · · ·	
Subtotal (95% CI)		420		419	28.2%	1.50 [1.33, 1.70]	•	
Total events	295		191					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.	.01; Chi ² =	13.81,	df = 12	(P = 0.1)	31); $I^2 = 13$	3%		
Test for overall effect: Z	= 6.50 (P	< 0.000	01)					
1.1.2 Mid-term treatme	ent							
Cai Zhen 2022	24	30	14	30	2.0%	1.71 [1.12, 2.62]		
Feng Xin 2021	29	36	19	36	3.0%	1.53 [1.08, 2.16]		
Guo Wengang 2015	31	37	23	37	4.3%	1.35 [1.01, 1.80]		
He Xingcai 2016	46	50	36	50	9.8%	1.28 [1.06, 1.55]		
Liang Jing 2018	22	25	14	23	2.8%	1.45 [1.01, 2.07]		
Li Ning 2020	32	40	27	40	5.1%	1.19 [0.91, 1.54]		
Liu Chao 2020	9	30	4	30	0.3%	2.25 [0.78, 6.52]		
Li Xing 2019	17	20	11	18	2.1%	1.39 [0.92, 2.10]		
Qu Yun 2019	56	64	43	60	10.6%	1.22 [1.02, 1.47]		
Sun Xueyan 2022	36	42	22	39	4.0%	1.52 [1.12, 2.06]		
Wang Zehua 2020	25	30	12	29	1.7%	2.01 [1.27, 3.20]		
Yu Yue 2015	25	28	19	26	5.1%	1.22 [0.94, 1.59]		
Zhang Yating 2021	35	39	27	39	6.6%	1.30 [1.03, 1.64]		
Zuo Jianjiao 2018	34	40	24	40	4.5%	1.42 [1.07, 1.88]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		511		497	62.0%	1.34 [1.24, 1.45]	•	
Total events	421		295					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	.00; Chi ² =	= 10.07,	df = 13	(P = 0.)	$(59); 1^2 = 0;$	%		
Test for overall effect: Z	= 7.53 (P	< 0.000	01)					
1.1.3 Long-term treatm	ient							
Yang Zhihao 2019	35	39	28	39	7.2%	1.25 [1.00, 1.56]		
Zhang Chunsong 2018	23	30	16	21	2.6%	1.29 [0.89, 1.87]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		69		00	9.9%	1.20 [1.04, 1.55]	-	
lotal events	58		44		.2			
neurogenergy: rau = 0.00, cm = 0.03, cf = 1 ($P = 0.87$); $\Gamma = 0\%$								
rest for overall effect: Z	= 2.38 (P	= 0.02)						
Total (95% CI)		1000		982	100.0%	1 38 [1 29 1 46]		
Total events	774	1000	520	502	100.070	1.50 [1.25, 1.40]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² - 0	00: Chi ² -	- 28 07	df - 20	(P - 0)	$(6) \cdot 1^2 = 0$	¥		
Test for overall effects 7	- 10 37 /	- 20.07,	001)	(i = 0	(0), 1 = 0	70	0.2 0.5 i ż ś	
Test for subgroup differ	= 10.37 (I	2 _ 3 33	df = 2	P - 0	20) 1 ² - 29	8 1%	Favours [control] Favours [experimental]	
rescror subgroup unien	ences. CIII	- 3.23	, ui = 2 i	u = 0.3	0, i = 30	3.1/0		

Fig. 3. Comparison of TR rates between TCM alone, TCM coupled with Western medicine, and Western medicine alone.

22,24,25,27–29,31,33,41–44], and 2 studies (135 patients) [20,32], respectively. After a short-term treatment, the experimental group demonstrated a higher TR rate than did the control group (RR 1.50, 95% CI 1.33–1.70, $I^2 = 13\%$, P < 0.00001). This benefit was observed in both mid-term treatment (RR 1.34, 95% CI 1.24–1.45, $I^2 = 0$, P < 0.00001) and long-term treatment (RR 1.26, 95% CI 1.04–1.53, $I^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.02). Subgroup analysis was conducted based on different treatment comparisons, and the TR rates remained consistent across basic treatments, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers (ACEIs/ARBs), cortico-steroids, and immunosuppressive agents (Supplementary Table 2). Regardless of the baseline 24-h UTP levels (either < or > 4 g), the experimental group exhibited increased TR rates (Supplementary Table 10).

3.4.2. Complete remission rate

Fig. 4 illustrates the superior effect of the experimental group on the CR rate in the eligible studies than in the control group (RR 1.78, 95% CI 1.48–2.15, $I^2 = 0$, P < 0.00001). The study findings indicated a low level of certainty following assessment using the GRADE evaluation of the strength and quality of evidence (Supplementary Table 18). The CR rates following short-, mid-, and long-term treatments were examined in 13 studies (839 patients) [18,19,21,23,26,30,34–40], 14 studies (1008 patients) [16,17,22,24,25, 27–29,31,33,41–44], and 2 studies (135 patients) [20,32], respectively. The findings revealed that the experimental group exhibited superior CR rates than did the control group in both short-term (RR 2.63, 95% CI 1.83–3.78, $I^2 = 0$ %, P < 0.00001) and mid-term treatments (RR 1.55, 95% CI 1.22–1.96, $I^2 = 0$ %, P = 0.0003); however, this was not observed in long-term treatment (RR 1.50,

	Experime	ental	Contro	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight I	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI
2.1.1 Short-term treatn	nent						
liao Zhenshu 2018	7	30	3	30	2 2%	2 33 [0 67 8 18]	
Li Ling 2021	5	29	1	28	0.8%	4.83 [0.60, 38,77]	
Liu linlan 2015	8	20	2	20	1.7%	4.00 [0.97, 16,55]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Li Wei 2020	9	32	2	32	1.7%	4 50 [1 05, 19 22]	
Mao lingvu 2022	8	28	5	28	3.6%	1 60 [0 60 4 29]	
Ma Zhongwei 2011	6	30	4	30	2.6%	1.50 [0.47, 4.78]	
Tang Guojuan 2018	6	33	1	34	0.8%	6 18 [0 79 48 60]	
Wang Que 2020	4	28	1	28	0.8%	4 00 [0 48 33 58]	
Wang Yanhui 2018	7	20	4	20	3.1%	1 75 [0 61 5 05]	
Wei Yajing 2019	15	57	3	57	2.5%	5 00 [1 53 16 34]	
Xian Oijing 2011	4	23	2	23	1.4%	2 00 [0 41 9 87]	
Yi Yanfang 2019	3	40	1	39	0.7%	2 92 [0 32 26 93]	
Zhuang Kesheng 2018	17	50	6	50	4 9%	2 83 [1 22 6 59]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	17	420	0	419	27.0%	2.63 [1.83, 3.78]	•
Total events	99		35			,,,	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² - 0	00: Chi ² -	5 90 d	f - 12 (P	- 0.9	$2) \cdot 1^2 - 0\%$		
Test for overall effect: 7	- 5 25 (P	< 0.000	01)	- 0.5	2), 1 = 0/0		
rest for overall effect. 2	- 5.25 (1 -	< 0.000	01)				
2.1.2 Mid-term treatme	nt						
Cai Zhen 2022	5	30	1	30	0.8%	5.00 [0.62, 40.28]	
Feng Xin 2021	6	36	3	36	2.1%	2.00 [0.54, 7.39]	
Guo Wengang 2015	12	37	9	37	6.5%	1.33 [0.64, 2.78]	
He Xingcai 2016	22	50	17	50	14.3%	1.29 [0.79, 2.13]	
Liang ling 2018	1	25	1	23	0.5%	0.92 [0.06, 13,87]	
Li Ning 2020	9	40	6	40	4.0%	1.50 [0.59, 3.82]	
Liu Chao 2020	3	30	1	30	0.7%	3.00 [0.33, 27,23]	
Li Xing 2019	4	20	2	18	1.4%	1.80 [0.37, 8,68]	
Ou Yun 2019	18	64	11	60	8.0%	1.53 [0.79, 2.97]	
Sun Xuevan 2022	8	42	4	39	2.8%	1.86 [0.61, 5.68]	
Wang Zehua 2020	3	30	0	29	0.4%	6.77 [0.37, 125,65]	
Yu Yue 2015	15	28	11	26	11.0%	1.27 [0.72, 2.23]	
Zhang Yating 2021	17	39	8	39	6.9%	2.13 [1.04, 4.34]	
Zuo lianijao 2018	8	40	4	40	2.8%	2.00 [0.65, 6.11]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		511		497	62.3%	1.55 [1.22, 1.96]	◆
Total events	131		78				
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0$.	00: $Chi^2 =$	5.25. d	f = 13 (P)	= 0.9	7): $I^2 = 0\%$		
Test for overall effect: Z	= 3.60 (P =	= 0.000	3)				
2.1.3 Long-term treatm	ient						
Yang Zhihao 2019	14	39	10	39	7.6%	1.40 [0.71, 2.76]	+
Zhang Chunsong 2018	8	30	4	27	3.0%	1.80 [0.61, 5.31]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		69		66	10.6%	1.50 [0.85, 2.67]	◆
Total events	22		14				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.	00; Chi ² =	0.15, d	f = 1 (P =	= 0.70); $I^2 = 0\%$		
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.39 (P = 0.17)							
Total (95% CI)		1000		982	100.0%	1 78 [1 48 2 15]	
Total guests	252	1000	127	562	100.0%	1.70 [1.40, 2.15]	•
Total events	252	17.00	12/	D 0	0.23, 12 0	0/	
Test for overall offert: 7	- 6 02 (P	17.96,	ui = 28 (r = 0.	95); 1" = 0	70	0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.03 (P ·	< 0.000	JE 24		252 12 53	7 50/	Favours [control] Favours [experimental]
rest for subgroup different	Test for subgroup differences: $Cni^{-} = 6.16$, $dt = 2$ ($P = 0.05$), $i^{-} = 67.5\%$						

Fig. 4. Comparison of CR rates between TCM alone, TCM coupled with Western medicine, and Western medicine alone.

95% CI 0.85–2.67, $I^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.17). Subgroup analysis was performed to compare the treatments, which revealed that the CR rates of the TCM plus glucocorticoids and cyclophosphamide (CTX), TCM plus glucocorticoids and tacrolimus, and TCM plus tacrolimus groups were better than those of the control group. However, TCM plus basic treatment, TCM plus ACEI/ARB, and TCM plus glucocorticoids and cyclosporine A did not show statistically significant CR rates than in the control treatment (Supplementary Table 3). Regardless of whether the baseline 24-h UTP levels (less than or greater than 4 g), the experimental group exhibited increased CR rates (Supplementary Table 11).

3.4.3. Partial remission rate

As shown in Fig. 5, the eligible studies demonstrated a superior effect of the experimental group in terms of PR rate (RR 1.27, 95% CI 1.16–1.40, $I^2 = 0\%$, P < 0.00001) than did the control group. The strength and quality of the evidence were assessed using GRADE, which indicated a moderate level of certainty (Supplementary Table 18). The PR rates following short-, mid-, and long-term treatments were reported in 13 (839 patients) [18,19,21,23,26,30,34–40], 14 (1008 patients) [16,17,22,24,25,27–29,31,33,41–44], and 2 studies (135 patients) [20,32], respectively. The experimental group exhibited higher rates of PR than did the control group following short-term treatment (RR 1.26, 95% CI 1.05–1.52, $I^2 = 26\%$, P = 0.01) and mid-term treatment (RR 1.30, 95% CI 1.15–1.46, $I^2 = 0\%$, P < 0.0001). However, no statistically significant differences in PR rates (RR 1.15, 95% CI 0.81–1.63, $I^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.43) were observed between the two groups after long-term treatment. Subgroup analysis revealed that the PR rates of TCM plus basic treatment, ACEIs/ARBs, and glucocorticoids and CTX were superior to those in the control group. Conversely, no statistically significant differences in PR rates were found when comparing the TCM plus glucocorticoids and tacrolimus, TCM plus tacrolimus, and TCM plus glucocorticoids and cyclosporine A groups with the control group (Supplementary Table 4). Regardless of whether the baseline 24-h UTP levels (below or above 4 g), the experimental group exhibited an increased PR (Supplementary Table 12).

3.5. Secondary outcomes

3.5.1. Changes in 24-h UTP

Supplemental Fig. 2 demonstrates that compared to the control treatment, the eligible studies consistently showed a superior effect of the experimental group in terms of 24-h UTP (MD -1.05, 95% CI -1.30 to -0.79, $I^2 = 95\%$, P < 0.00001). The lack of consistency in the instrumentation and standards employed in various studies has resulted in a high degree of heterogeneity in the findings. Therefore, a sensitivity analysis was performed to determine the robustness and reliability of the results. The change in 24-h UTP was

	Experime	ntal	Contro	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subaroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H. Random, 95% CI	M-H. Random, 95% CI
3.1.2 Short-term treatm	nent						
liao Zhenshu 2018	18	30	16	30	4 3%	1 13 [0 72 1 75]	
Li Ling 2021	18	29	14	28	3.9%	1.24 [0.78, 1.98]	_ _
Liu linlan 2015	8	20	4	20	0.8%	2 00 [0 72 5 59]	
Li Wei 2020	18	32	16	32	3 9%	1 13 [0 71 1 79]	
Mao linovu 2022	17	28	13	28	3 4%	1 31 [0 80 2 15]	
Ma Zhongwei 2011	8	30	8	30	1 2%	1 00 [0 43 2 31]	
Tang Cuojuan 2019	14	22	17	24	2 19/	0.85 [0.50, 1.42]	
Wang Que 2020	21	28	13	28	4 1%	1 62 [1 03 2 54]	
Wang Vanhui 2018	21	20	15	20	1 2%	1 50 [0 66 2 42]	
Wai Vaiing 2019	6	57	15	57	1 1 1 %	0.40 [0.17 0.96]	
Vian Oiling 2011	16	22	12	22	2 70/	1 22 [0 22 2 15]	
Xian Qijing 2011	21	40	12	20	2 0%	1.55 [0.65, 2.15]	
Thuana Kashana 2019	21	40	15	55	1.00/	2 44 [1 25 4 77]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	22	420	9	419	35.6%	1 26 [1 05 1 52]	▲
Tatal avents	106	420	156	415	33.070	1.20 [1.05, 1.52]	•
Hotoregeneity Tau ²	190	16 27	46 12/	0	1 0), 12 -	Cev.	
Test for everall effects 7	2 48 (D	0.01)	ui = 12 (P = 0.	10), T = 2	0%	
Test for overall effect: Z	= 2.48 (P =	0.01)					
3.1.3 Mid-term treatme	nt						
Cai Zhen 2022	19	30	13	30	3.5%	1.46 [0.89, 2.39]	
Feng Xin 2021	23	36	16	36	4.3%	1.44 [0.93, 2.23]	
Guo Wengang 2015	19	37	14	37	3.1%	1.36 [0.81, 2.28]	
He Xingcai 2016	24	50	19	50	4.0%	1.26 [0.80, 1.99]	—
Liang ling 2018	21	25	13	23	5.3%	1.49 [1.00, 2.21]	
Li Ning 2020	23	40	21	40	5.3%	1.10 [0.74, 1.63]	
Liu Chao 2020	6	30	3	30	0.5%	2.00 [0.55, 7.27]	
Li Xing 2019	13	20	9	18	2.7%	1.30 [0.74, 2.28]	
Ou Yun 2019	38	64	32	60	8.7%	1.11 [0.82, 1.52]	
Sun Xuevan 2022	28	42	18	39	5.2%	1.44 [0.97, 2.16]	
Wang Zehua 2020	22	30	12	29	3.6%	1.77 [1.09, 2.88]	
Yu Yue 2015	10	28	8	26	1.5%	1.16 [0.54, 2.48]	
Zhang Yating 2021	18	39	19	39	3.9%	0.95 [0.59, 1.51]	
Zuo lianijao 2018	26	40	20	40	5.7%	1.30 [0.89, 1.91]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		511		497	57.4%	1.30 [1.15, 1.46]	•
Total events	290		217				,
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0$	$00 \cdot Chi^2 = f$	667 d	f = 13 (P)	= 0.9	2): $1^2 = 0^9$	6	
Test for overall effect: 7	= 4 19 (P <	0.000	1)	015	-,,		
rest for overall enced E	1125 (1 1	01000	-/				
3.1.4 Long-term treatm	ient						
Yang Zhihao 2019	21	39	18	39	4.2%	1.17 [0.75, 1.82]	
Zhang Chunsong 2018	15	30	12	27	2.8%	1.13 [0.65, 1.96]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		69		66	7.0%	1.15 [0.81, 1.63]	+
Total events	36		30				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 0.01, df = 1 (P = 0.92); l ² = 0%							
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.79 (P = 0.43)							
Total (95% CI)		1000		982	100.0%	1.27 [1.16, 1.40]	•
Total events	522		403				
Heterogeneity: $Ta\mu^2 = 0$.	00: Chi ² = 2	23.37	df = 28.0	P = 0	71): $ ^2 = 0$	0%	
Test for overall effect: 7	= 5.16 (P <	0.000	01)				0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² = 0.42, df = 2 (P = 0.81), l ² = 0%							

Fig. 5. Comparison of PR rates between TCM alone, TCM coupled with Western medicine, and Western medicine alone.

assessed based on 13 studies [18,19,21,23,26,30,34–40] involving 839 patients after short-term treatment, 14 studies [16,17,22,24, 25,27–29,31,33,41–44] involving 1008 patients after mid-term treatment, and 2 studies [20,32] involving 135 patients after long-term treatment. Compared to the control group, the experimental group demonstrated a significant reduction in proteinuria following short-term treatment (MD -0.91, 95% CI -1.34 to -0.48, I² = 96%, P < 0.0001) and mid-term treatment (MD -1.21, 95% CI -1.56 to -0.86, I² = 92%, P < 0.0001). Furthermore, this benefit persisted even with long-term treatment (MD -0.64, 95% CI -1.26 to -0.01, I² = 21%, P = 0.05). The results of the subgroup analysis showed that 24-h UTP was consistent for basic treatment, ACEIs/ARBs, corticosteroids, and immunosuppressive agents (Supplementary Table 5). Regardless of the baseline 24-h UTP levels (below or above 4 g), 24-h UTP decreased in the experimental group (Supplementary Table 13).

3.5.2. Changes in serum ALB

As shown in Supplemental Fig. 3, the eligible studies demonstrated a significant improvement in serum ALB levels in the experimental group than did the control treatment (MD 4.05, 95% CI 3.02–5.09, $I^2 = 91\%$, P < 0.00001). The lack of consistency in the instrumentation and standards employed in various studies has resulted in a high degree of heterogeneity in findings. However, following sensitivity analysis, the results were determined to be robust and reliable. Specifically, changes in serum ALB were assessed in 13 studies (839 patients) [18,19,21,23,26,30,34-40] after short-term treatment, 14 studies (1008 patients) [16,17,22,24,25,27-29, 31,33,41-44] after mid-term treatment, and 2 studies (135 patients) [20,32] after long-term treatment. In this study, a comparison between the experimental and control groups revealed a significant increase in serum ALB levels following short-term treatment. This beneficial effect was observed to persist throughout mid-term and long-term treatments (MD 4.16, 95% CI 2.53–5.79, $I^2 = 91\%$, P < 100%0.00001). And the benefit persisted through mid-term treatment (MD 3.93, 95% CI 2.17–5.69, $I^2 = 88\%$, P < 0.0001), long-term treatment (MD 4.05, 95% CI 3.57–4.54, $I^2 = 0\%$, P < 0.00001). Subgroup analysis was conducted to compare different treatment approaches. Patients who received TCM in combination with basic treatment, TCM in combination with glucocorticoids and CTX, or TCM in combination with glucocorticoids and cyclosporine An exhibited higher serum ALB levels than did the control group. However, the studies revealed no statistically significant difference in serum ALB levels between TCM plus basic treatment, TCM plus ACEIs/ARBs, or TCM plus glucocorticoids and tacrolimus vs. control treatment (Supplementary Table 6). Regardless of whether the baseline 24-h UTP levels were less than or greater than 4 g, the experimental group exhibited increased serum ALB levels (Supplementary Table 14).

3.5.3. Changes in SCr

As shown in Supplemental Fig. 4, the eligible studies demonstrated a more pronounced impact of the experimental group on SCr levels than in the control (MD -7.47, 95% CI -13.70 to -1.24, I² = 97%, P = 0.02). The lack of consistency in the instrumentation and standards employed in various studies has resulted in a high degree of heterogeneity in the findings. However, following sensitivity analysis, the results were determined to be robust and reliable. Specifically, alterations in SCr were monitored in 9 studies (646 patients) [18,21,23,26,30,34,36,37,39] following short-term treatment, 10 studies (738 patients) [22,24,25,28,29,31,33,41,43,44] following mid-term treatment and 2 studies (135 patients) [20,32] following long-term treatment. The results indicated no statistically significant differences in SCr levels between the two groups after short-term (MD -6.67, 95% CI -16.57 to 3.24, I² = 98%, P = 0.19) and mid-term treatment (MD -8.68, 95% CI -20.71 to 3.36, I² = 98%, P = 0.16). However, a significant decrease in SCr levels was observed in the experimental group than in the control group after long-term treatment (MD -6.01, 95% CI -8.28 to -3.75, I² = 0%, P < 0.00001). Subgroup analysis revealed that the combination of TCM plus glucocorticoids and tacrolimus resulted in higher serum creatinine levels than in the control group. However, the study revealed that there were no statistically significant differences in SCr levels between the two groups, regardless of whether the baseline 24-h UTP levels were less than or greater than 4 g (Supplementary Table 15).

3.5.4. Changes in eGFR

As shown in Supplemental Fig. 5, six studies (373 patients) [24,30,31,34,35,37] reported an alteration in eGFR. The findings of these studies revealed no statistically significant differences in eGFR between the two groups (MD 1.95, 95% CI -0.46 to 4.35, $I^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.11). Subsequently, we performed a subgroup analysis based on the different treatments and baseline levels of 24-h UTP, which indicated no statistically significant differences in eGFR between the two groups (Supplementary Tables 8 and 16).

3.5.5. Changes in serum aPLA2Rab

As shown in Supplemental Fig. 6, a total of four studies (268 patients) [16,22,38,43] examined alterations in serum aPLA2Rab levels. The experimental group exhibited a noteworthy decrease in serum aPLA2Rab levels in comparison to the control group (MD -19.24, 95% CI -33.56 to -4.93, $I^2 = 87\%$, P = 0.008). The lack of consistency in the instrumentation and standards employed in various studies has resulted in a high degree of heterogeneity in the findings. However, following sensitivity analysis, the results were determined to be robust and reliable. Subgroup analysis conducted based on the comparison treatments revealed that the serum aPLA2Rab levels in the TCM plus tacrolimus, and TCM plus glucocorticoids and cyclosporine A groups were superior to those in the control group. However, no statistically significant differences in serum aPLA2Rab levels were observed between the control group analysis was conducted to compare the baseline 24-h UTP levels, which revealed no statistically significant differences in serum aPLA2Rab levels of <4 g. However, in patients with baseline 24-h UTP levels exceeding 4 g, the experimental group exhibited decreased serum aPLA2Rab levels (Supplementary Table 17).

3.5.6. Adverse events

Eleven articles [16,17,20,22,24,29,32,38,41,43,44] provided data on adverse events, which included incidences of infection (7.04%, 20/284), gastrointestinal symptoms (4.23%, 9/213), glucose intolerance (8.05%, 14/174), thrombosis (2.02%, 2/99), hep-atotoxicity (1.45%, 3/207), leukocytopenia (0, 0/109), and other adverse effects (11.04%, 18/163) in TCM combined with Western medicine group. Incidences of infection (16.07%, 45/280), gastrointestinal symptoms (10.1%, 21/208), glucose intolerance (10.98%, 19/173), thrombosis (13%, 13/100), hepatotoxicity (5.91%, 12/203), leukocytopenia (8.49%, 9/106), and others (20.99%, 34/162) were observed in the control group. No statistically significant differences in gastrointestinal symptoms (RR 0.49, 95% CI 0.23–1.05, I² = 0%, P = 0.07) and glucose intolerance (RR 0.76, 95% CI 0.41–1.44, I² = 0%, P = 0.40) were observed between the two groups. However, the control treatment exhibited greater susceptibility to infection (RR 0.47, 95% CI 0.29–0.76, I² = 0%, P = 0.002), thrombosis (RR 0.22, 95% CI 0.06–0.87, I² = 0%, P = 0.03), hepatotoxicity (RR 0.33, 95% CI 0.12–0.94, I² = 0%, P = 0.04), and leukocytopenia (RR 0.14, 95% CI 0.03–0.77, I² = 0%, P = 0.02) than that of the TCM coupled with Western medicine treatment. Table 1 lists the details of the adverse events.

Table 1	
Adverse events among IMN patients receiving TCM/Western medicine and contro	l treatments

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	- ,				
Adverse events	Trials	E (A/N)	C (A/N)	RR (95%CI)	P value	Heterogeneity
Infection	8	20/284	45/280	0.47 [0.29, 0.76]	0.002	$P = 0.91 \ I^2 = 0\%$
Gastrointestinal syndrome	6	9/213	21/208	0.49 [0.23, 1.05]	0.07	$P = 0.78 \ I^2 = 0\%$
Glucose intolerance	5	14/174	19/173	0.76 [0.41, 1.44]	0.40	$P = 0.96 I^2 = 0\%$
Thrombosis	3	2/99	13/100	0.22 [0.06, 0.87]	0.03	$P = 0.58 \ I^2 = 0\%$
Hepatotoxicity	6	3/207	12/203	0.33 [0.12, 0.94]	0.04	$P = 1 \ I^2 = 0\%$
Leukocytopenia	3	0/109	9/106	0.14 [0.03, 0.77]	0.02	$P = 0.96 I^2 = 0\%$
Others	5	18/163	34/162	0.56 [0.34, 0.94]	0.03	$P = 0.40 \ I^2 = 1\%$

E: experimental group; C: control group; A: number of adverse events; N: Total number of participants.

3.5.7. Funnel plot

This was performed to examine the presence of publication bias in 29 studies that reported TR rates and compared the efficacy of TCM alone or TCM plus Western medicine with a control treatment. The funnel plot displayed an asymmetrical distribution of studies, suggesting the potential existence of a publication bias within the included studies (Fig. 6).

4. Discussion

This study conducted a comprehensive assessment of the effectiveness and safety of TCM alone or in combination with Western medicine in patients with IMN. The evaluation was based on 29 RCTs involving 1982 IMN patients.

4.1. Summary of main results

The study findings suggest that TCM, used alone or in conjunction with Western medicine, has positive therapeutic effects and offers advantages in mitigating specific adverse effects. Compared with the control treatment, the experimental treatment significantly enhanced the TR rate and ALB levels following short-term treatment, and this benefit persisted throughout the mid- and long-term treatments. Moreover, the experimental treatment was superior to the control treatment in improving the CR and PR rates while also reducing 24-h UTP levels after both short- and mid-term treatments. Although no statistically significant differences were observed between the two groups following long-term treatment, there was a tendency toward an increase in both CR and PR rates. This tendency could mainly be attributed to the inadequate sample size. Furthermore, despite the lack of improvement in eGFR with experimental treatment involving TCM alone or in combination with Western medicine, it was more effective in reducing serum aPLA2Rab and SCr levels than did the control treatment. Notably, TCM alone or in combination with Western medicine, and mid-term treatment an improvement in SCr levels after long-term treatment, suggesting their potential benefits on renal function.

A subgroup analysis was conducted to compare the effects of TCM alone and TCM combined with Western medicine on various disease indicators. Although the results indicated that both treatment approaches showed improvements in one or more disease indicators, the statistical insignificance observed in certain treatment subgroups contradicted the findings in other subgroups. This inconsistency may be attributed to the limited sample size of this study. Regardless of whether the baseline 24-h UTP levels were below or above 4 g, the administration of TCM alone or in combination with Western medicine resulted in improvements in TR, CR, PR, 24-h UTP, and ALB. However, statistical analysis revealed no significant differences in the eGFR or SCr levels between the two groups. Notably, the short treatment duration in most patients may have limited the accuracy of eGFR and SCr data in reflecting long-term renal prognosis. Moreover, the findings for subgroups with baseline 24-h UTP levels <4 g were inconsistent with those of other subgroups. An insufficient sample size was identified as the main factor contributing to this phenomenon. The combination of TCM and Western medicine demonstrates the ability to decrease serum aPLA2Rab levels when the baseline 24-h UTP levels exceed 4 g.

Furthermore, data pertaining to adverse reactions such as infections, gastrointestinal symptoms, glucose intolerance, thrombosis, hepatotoxicity, and leukocytopenia were extracted from 13 trials. The experimental group exhibited a reduction in infection, hepatotoxicity, and thrombosis than did the control group.

A comprehensive review by Lu et al. [45] conducted in China examined the use of TCM as an adjuvant therapy for IMN. The findings of their systematic review indicated that TCM had a significant effect on patients with IMN, which was consistent with our findings. To provide an updated assessment, we incorporated recently published trials into our systematic review. To ensure the robustness of our analysis, we established stringent inclusion criteria by precisely defining CR and PR rates. In addition to evaluating the effects of TCM on 24-h UTP and ALB levels, we focused on its effect on SCr, eGFR, and aPLA2Rab levels because these biomarkers are strongly associated with disease prognosis. Although previous studies have failed to consider the impact of TCM treatment courses on the development of IMN, our study successfully addresses this gap in the literature.



Fig. 6. Funnel plot.

4.2. Rationality of TCM for IMN

MN, a renal-limiting immune disease, contributes substantially to the onset of nephrotic syndrome in adults. According to the 2021 clinical guidelines, the initial treatment approach for IMN involves the administration of glucocorticoids in conjunction with alkylating agents [5]. Nevertheless, these treatment modalities may not always be effective and may result in notable adverse effects. For instance, the use of cyclophosphamide as part of the treatment regimen may increase the risk of myelosuppression, gonadal toxicity, hemorrhagic cystitis, infections, and malignancies [46,47].

Calcineurin inhibitors (CNIs) such as cyclosporin A and tacrolimus resulted in high relapse rates upon discontinuation, with relapse rates ranging from 40% to 50% [48–50]. Additionally, the administration of rituximab has been associated with incidents of pruritus and infusion-related reactions [49,51]. Consequently, managing high recurrence rates and serious adverse reactions remains challenging for physicians when standard immunosuppressive therapies are ineffective, necessitating the search for an optimal treatment.

TCM has gained considerable attention as an effective approach for the treatment of IMN. A preliminary study showed that Zhenwu-tang was effective in MN model rats by significantly reducing 24-h UTP levels and ameliorating renal damage. These favorable outcomes were attributed to the inhibition of the nuclear factor-kappa B pathway and the NOD-, LRR-, and pyrin domain-containing protein 3 inflammasome [10]. Moreover, Wenyang Lishui decoction effectively treated MN model rats by reducing proteinuria and improving podocyte damage, which was associated with the modulation of p53 and Bcl-2 expression [52]. Additional experimental studies [53] conducted on rats with passive Heymann nephritis have provided further evidence that Mahuang Fuzi and Shenzhuo Decoction have notable advantages in reducing urinary protein levels and exerting renoprotective effects. These benefits have been attributed to the facilitation of autophagy and the suppression of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway.

The efficacy of TCM in treating patients with MN has been increasingly supported by a growing body of research. Chen et al. [12] conducted the first multicenter randomized controlled clinical trial to specifically investigate the use of Shenqi particles in the treatment of IMN. The trial yielded findings indicating that the administration of Shenqi particles can effectively decelerate the decline in eGFRs and reduce the occurrence of adverse events. A multicenter, non-randomized, single-arm clinical trial demonstrated that the utilization of Mahuang Fuzi and Shenzhuo Decoction in the treatment of patients with MN led to remission in 61.4% of individuals, with no significant adverse effects observed during a 3-year follow-up period. This remission rate was notably higher than the 35% observed in the target group [54]. Additionally, another study discovered that the modified Jianpi Qushi Heluo decoction exhibited beneficial effects in patients with MN following a two-month treatment regimen [55]. The modified Jianpi Qushi Heluo decoction demonstrated notable efficacy in reducing 24-h urinary albumin levels and enhancing plasma albumin levels. Additionally, it exhibits immunomodulatory effects in patients with MN by decreasing Th1 and Th17 levels and increasing Th2 levels in the peripheral blood.

5. Limitations

Although our study findings corroborated those of previous studies and demonstrated the efficacy of TCM as an adjuvant treatment for IMN, there were certain potential limitations. The primary limitation of this review was that the methodological quality of the eligible studies was moderate, while none of the included studies reported whether allocation concealment was performed, and no detail about blinding was described. As the primary outcome measure of this study is objective test results, the impact of whether participants are aware of their allocation to a specific treatment group during the implementation of the clinical trial is relatively minor on the outcomes. However, if researchers are aware of the intervention information between treatment groups, there may be a tendency to allocate participants with milder conditions to the treatment group, which could potentially influence the outcomes. Therefore, the overall quality evaluation was medium quality. The relapse rate serves as an indicator of the long-term efficacy of the medication. However, only one study [42] recorded recurrence rates, whereas others did not conduct long-term follow-up assessments. Considering that TCM was used as the sole experimental group drug in only one study [32], supporting evidence is insufficient. Consequently, future clinical trials incorporating TCM alone should be designed to enhance the strength of this evidence. Therefore, prudence should be exercised when interpreting the statistical findings of this study.

Because the inclusion of numerous studies in the data collection process has been hindered by the inconsistent utilization of response criteria across studies, future research endeavors should primarily employ the response criteria outlined in the KIDGO guidelines to facilitate a comparative analysis of the effectiveness of diverse treatment alternatives. Furthermore, most studies on TCM treatment for MN are limited to a duration of 3–6 months, with a lack of long-term follow-up; therefore, comprehensive data on the long-term remission and prognosis of patients with MN remain scarce. Hence, future studies prioritizing long-term follow-up in their research design with a recommended follow-up period of 1–2 years are warranted.

To overcome these limitations, meticulously planned, high-caliber, and extensive clinical trials are imperative to provide additional evidence of the efficacy of TCM in the treatment of IMN.

6. Conclusion

The current review shows that TCM have potential advantages in terms of increasing remission rate and serum ALB levels, decreasing 24 h-UTP, SCr and serum aPLA2Rab levels. Besides, TCM can help reduce the adverse reactions of corticosteroids and immunosuppressive agents to a certain extent. TCM may be an adjuvant treatment for IMN. Well-designed randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are necessary in the future to provide clinical decision-makers with valuable information regarding the effectiveness of TCM prescriptions for the treatment of IMN.

Data availability statement

Although the data associated with our study have not yet been deposited in a publicly available repository. Any inquiries regarding the original data presented in this article may be addressed to the corresponding author. The original data will be made available on request.

Funding

The work is supported by Special Project of State Key Laboratory of Dampness Syndrome of Chinese Medicine (Nos. SZ2021ZZ36 and SZ2021ZZ09), the 2020 Guangdong Provincial Science and Technology Innovation Strategy Special Fund (Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macau Joint Lab) (No. 2020B1212030006), the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (No.2022A1515011628), the Traditional Chinese Medicine Bureau of Guangdong Province (No. 20211194) and the Guangzhou Science and Technology Plan Project (No.202102010212), Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Chinese Medicine for Prevention and Treatment of Refractory Chronic Diseases (No. MB2020KF06), Special Funding for Scientific and Technological Research on Traditional Chinese Medicine, Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine (YN2023HL03)

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Wenjun Shan: Writing – original draft, Methodology, Conceptualization. Haiyu Guan: Writing – original draft, Data curation. Haowen Gu: Investigation, Data curation. Rongrong Wang: Visualization, Investigation. Xiaoyan Huang: Visualization, Investigation. Ping Li: Validation. Ying Xie: Supervision. Kun Bao: Writing – review & editing. Xindong Qin: Methodology, Conceptualization.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e28836.

Abbreviation

idiopathic membranous nephropathy				
traditional Chinese medicine				
randomized controlled trials				
total remission				
risk ratios				
confidence interval				
complete remission				
partial remission				
secondary membranous nephropathy				
systemic lupus erythematosus				
end stage renal disease				
24-hour urinary total protein				
serum albumin				
serum creatinine				
estimated glomerular filtration rate				
o antibodies against M-type phospholipase A2 receptor				
Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses				
Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation				
mean difference				
angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor				
angiotensin receptor blocker				
cyclophosphamide				
calcineurin inhibitors				

References

- [1] K.C. Keri, et al., Primary membranous nephropathy: comprehensive review and historical perspective, Postgrad Med. 95 (1119) (2019) 23-31.
- [2] P. Ronco, et al., Membranous nephropathy, Nat Rev Dis Prim. 7 (1) (2021) 69.
- [3] W.G. Couser, Primary membranous nephropathy, Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 12 (6) (2017) 983.
- [4] D.C. Cattran, et al., Membranous nephropathy: integrating basic science into improved clinical management, Kidney Int. 91 (3) (2017) 566-574.
- [5] Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Glomerular Diseases Work Group, KDIGO 2021 clinical practice guideline for the management of glomerular diseases, Kidney Int. 100 (4S) (2021) S1–S276.
- [6] J.A.J.G. van den Brand, et al., Safety of rituximab compared with steroids and cyclophosphamide for idiopathic membranous nephropathy, J Am Soc Nephrol. 28 (9) (2017) 2729–2737.
- [7] X. Wang, et al., Sanqi oral solution mitigates proteinuria in rat passive Heymann nephritis and blocks podocyte apoptosis via Nrf2/HO-1 pathway, Front Pharmacol. 12 (2021) 727874.
- [8] Y. Wang, et al., Fangji Huangqi decoction ameliorates membranous nephropathy through the upregulation of BNIP3-mediated mitophagy, J Ethnopharmacol. 324 (2024) 117734.
- [9] Y.N. Wang, et al., Moshen granule ameliorates membranous nephropathy by blocking intrarenal renin-angiotensin system signalling via the Wnt1/β-catenin pathway, Phytomedicine. 114 (2023) 154763.
- [10] B. Liu, et al., Zhen-Wu-tang ameliorates membranous nephropathy rats through inhibiting NF-κB pathway and NLRP3 inflammasome, Phytomedicine. 59 (2019) 152913.
- [11] M.S. Ahmed, et al., Treatment of idiopathic membranous nephropathy with the herb Astragalus membranaceus, Am J Kidney Dis. 50 (6) (2007) 1028–1032.
- [12] Y. Chen, et al., Efficacy and safety of traditional Chinese medicine (Shenqi particle) for patients with idiopathic membranous nephropathy: a multicenter randomized controlled clinical trial. Am J Kidney Dis. 62 (6) (2013) 1068–1076.
- [13] M.J. Page, et al., The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews, BMJ. 372 (2021) n71.
- [14] J. Higgins, et al., Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, version 6.3 (updated February 2022), Cochrane, 2022. Available from: www. training.cochrane.org/handbook.
- [15] H. Balshem, et al., GRADE guidelines: 3. Rating the quality of evidence, J Clin Epodemiol 64 (4) (2011) 401-406.
- [16] Z. Cai, et al., Clinical effect of modified shenqi dihuang decoction in the treatment of membranous nephropathy with low and medium risk of serum anti-M-type phosphoesterase A2 receptor antibody positive in patients with qi-yin deficiency and kidney stasis, Beijing Med J. 44 (3) (2022) 203–208.
- [17] J. Liang, Reinforcing Spleen and Kidney and Clearing Heat and Activating Blood-Based Treatment of Idiopathic Membranous Nephropathy Clinical Observation, Hebei Medical University, 2017.
- [18] Z. Ma, Clinical Study on Idiopathic Membranous Nephropathy of Blood Stasis Due to Qi Deficiency with Jia-Wei-Bu-Yang-Huan-Wu Powder, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 2011.
- [19] Q. Xian, et al., Remove stasis treatment of idiopathic membranous nephropathy clinical observation, CHINESE ARCHIVES OF TRADITIONAL CHINESE MEDICINE. 29 (7) (2011) 1698–1700.
- [20] Z. Yang, et al., Clinical observation of "No.1 formula for kidney diseases" combined with western medicine in the treatment of membranous nephropathy, CHINESE JOURNAL OF TRADITIONAL MEDICINE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY. 26 (1) (2019) 55–57.
- [21] K. Zhuang, et al., Self-made qiteng tongluo decoction in the treatment of idiopathic membranous nephropath in 50 cases, Global Traditional Chinese Medicine. 11 (1) (2018) 142–145.
- [22] X. Feng, Clinical Observation of Yiqi Xiaozheng Decoction in the Treatment of Primary Membranous Nephropathy Stage I-II Type of Qi Deficiency and Blood Stasis, Shanxi University of Chinese Medicine, 2021.
- [23] Z. Jiao, Observation on Curative Effect of Shenqi Zhilong Decoction in Treating Membranous Nephropathy of Qi Deficiency and Blood Stasis Type, Academy of Chinese Medicine of Heilongjiang Province, 2018.
- [24] N. Li, Clinical Observation on Treatment of Idiopathic Membranous Nephropathy with Jianpi Wenshen, Hebei University of Chinese Medicine, 2020. Qufeng Shengshi formula.
- [25] C. Liu, Clinical Observation NF-Kb Related Mechanism of Modified Shengjiang San in the Treatment of Idiopathic Membranous Nephropathy, Hebei North University, 2020.
- [26] J. Mao, et al., Effect of yishen tongluo formula on renal tubular function in patients with low-and medium-risk idiopathic membranous nephropathy, Pharmacology and Clinics of Chinese Materia Medica. 38 (2) (2022) 202–206.
- [27] Y. Qu, et al., Clinical efficacy of huangtu yishen granules on idiopathic membranous nephropathy patients and its effect on urinary podocalyxin, Chin J Inf Tradit Chin Med. 26 (7) (2019) 26–29.
- [28] X. Sun, et al., Yiqi zishen huoxue recipe in treatment of idiopathic membranous nephropathy in 42 cases, Global Traditional Chinese Medicine. 15 (7) (2022) 1264–1268.
- [29] Z. Wang, Clinical Observation of "MN No.1 Prescription" with ARB in Treating Primary Membranous Nephropathy (Deficiency of Spleen and Kidney Qi), Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 2020.
- [30] Y. Yi, Synergistic and Attenuated Effects of Nourishing Yin and Clearing Heat on Primary Membranous Nephropathy Clinical Observation, Hubei University of Chinese Medicine, 2019.
- [31] Y. Yu, Observation the effect of shen qi decoction combined with tacrolimus in the treatment of spleen and kidney deficiency type I-ii membranous nephropathy. Academy of Chinese Medicine of Heilongjiang Province, 2015.
- [32] C. Zhang, et al., Clinical observation of "Chen's No.9 Formula for kidney diseases" in the treatment of idiopathic membranous nephropathy, Shanghai J Tradit Chin Med. 52 (6) (2018) 37–39+43.
- [33] X. He, et al., Observation on the therapeutic effect of self-made qingxue xiaobai recipe in the treatment of membranous nephrotic syndrome, Modern Journal of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine. 25 (31) (2016) 3453–3454.
- [34] Q. Wang, K. Zhang, L. Song, Clinical observation of Jianpi Yishen and Qufeng Chushi decoction on idiopathic membranous nephropathy with spleen kidney deficiency and rheumatism syndrome, HEBEI JOURNAL OF TRADITIONAL CHINESE MEDICINE. 42 (7) (2020) 1001–1005.
- [35] Y. Wang, et al., Clinical observation of guben xiezhuo granule in treatment of idiopathic membranous nephropathy with qi deficiency and dampness obstruction syndrome, ACTA CHINESE MEDICINE. 33 (5) (2018) 882–886.
- [36] L. Li, Clinical Study of Yishen Qingli Decoction in the Treatment of Kidney Deficiency Dampness-Heat Syndrome in Idiopathic Membranous Nephropathy, Nanjing University Of Chinese Medicine, 2021.
- [37] W. Li, et al., Jinqi Fumo decoction in the treatment of kidney deficiency and dampness stasis syndrome in 32 cases of idiopathic membranous nephropathy, Chinese Journal of Clinical Research. 33 (12) (2020) 1684–1687.
- [38] G. Tang, Clinical Study of Shenqi Rehmannia and Taohong Siwu Decoction in Treating Idiopathic Membranous Nephropathy and its Effect on Blood Antibody to PLA2R, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 2018.
- [39] Y. Wei, Clinical Study of Qilong Tongshen Recipe in Treating Membranous Nephropathy with Qi Deficiency and Blood Stasis Syndrome, Shanxi Provincial Institute of TCM, 2019.
- [40] J. Liu, Clinical Observation of Supplemented Wulingsan in Treatment of Idiopathic Membranous Nephropathy with Spleen and Kidney Yang Deficiency, Shanxi University of Chinese Medicine, 2015.
- [41] W. Guo, et al., Observation on curative effect of combined Chinese and western medicine on membranous nephropathy, CHINESE JOURNAL OF CLINICAL RATIONAL DRUG USE. 8 (28) (2015) 61–62.
- [42] X. Li, et al., The first exploration of curative effect of poria cocos decoction combined with hormone plus cyclophosphamide on the treatment of membranous nephropathy, Yunnan Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine and Materia Medica. 40 (7) (2019) 31–33.

- [43] Y. Zhang, Clinical Observation of Shenqi Dihuang Decoction Combined with Tacrolimus in the Treatment of Membranous Nephropathy with Deficiency of Qi and Yin and Blood Stasis, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 2021.
- [44] J. Zuo, et al., Clinical observation of combination of qiling tongluo formula methylprednisoloneand cyclophosphamide treating high risk patientsof idiopathic membranous nephropathy, CHINESE ARCHIVES OF TRADITIONAL CHINESE MEDICINE. 36 (11) (2018) 2723–2725.
- [45] Z. Lu, et al., Traditional Chinese Medicine as an adjunct therapy in the treatment of idiopathic membranous nephropathy: a systematic review and metaanalysis, PLoS One. 16 (5) (2021) e0251131.
- [46] K. Latta, et al., A meta-analysis of cytotoxic treatment for frequently relapsing nephrotic syndrome in children, Pediatr Nephrol. 16 (3) (2001) 271–282.
- [47] E.M. Rüth, et al., Children with steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome come of age: long-term outcome, J Pediatr. 147 (2) (2005) 202–207.
- [48] D.C. Cattran, et al., Cyclosporine in patients with steroid-resistant membranous nephropathy: a randomized trial, Kidney Int. 59 (4) (2001) 1484–1490.
- [49] F.C. Fervenza, et al., Rituximab or cyclosporine in the treatment of membranous nephropathy, N Engl J Med. 381 (1) (2019) 36–46.
- [50] R. Ramachandran, et al., Two-year follow-up study of membranous nephropathy treated with tacrolimus and corticosteroids versus cyclical corticosteroids and cyclophosphamide, Kidney Int Rep. 2 (4) (2017) 610–616.
- [51] P. Gauckler, et al., Rituximab in membranous nephropathy, Kidney Int Rep. 6 (4) (2021) 881-893.
- [52] H. Lu, et al., Wenyang Lishui decoction ameliorates podocyte injury in membranous nephropathy rat and cell models by regulating p53 and bcl-2, Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2020 (2020) 6813760.
- [53] Y. Gao, et al., The ameliorative effect of Mahuang Fuzi and Shenzhuo decoction on membranous nephropathy of rodent model is associated with autophagy and wnt/β-catenin pathway, Front Pharmacol. 13 (2022) 820130.
- [54] Z. Dong, et al., Effect of Mahuang Fuzi and Shenzhuo decoction on idiopathic membranous nephropathy: a multicenter, nonrandomized, single-arm clinical trial, Front Pharmacol. 12 (2021) 724744.
- [55] K. Wu, et al., Effects of modified Jianpi Qushi Heluo decoction on scores of TCM syndromes, 24 h urinary albumin, and plasma albumin in IMN of spleen-kidney qi deficiency, Emerg Med Int. 2022 (2022) 6061709.