



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

C.S. Kow<sup>a,\*</sup>, S.S. Hasan<sup>b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Postgraduate Studies, International Medical University, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

<sup>b</sup> Department of Pharmacy, University of Huddersfield, Huddersfield, United Kingdom

<sup>c</sup> School of Biomedical Sciences & Pharmacy, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, Australia

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [chiasiang\\_93@hotmail.com](mailto:chiasiang_93@hotmail.com) (C.S. Kow).

2 October 2020

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pulmoe.2020.10.004>

2531-0437/ © 2020 Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia.

Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).



## Rationale and evidence on the use of tocilizumab in COVID-19: A systematic review. Authors' reply

Dear Editor,

We read with interest the Letter from Siang Kow et al.<sup>1</sup> commenting on our systematic review<sup>2</sup> and their discussion of the preliminary evidence from recent randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on the efficacy and safety of tocilizumab for COVID-19. We concur with the authors that it may indeed be time to divert some of our attention from IL-6 to other mediators of inflammation in COVID-19 patients. In fact, previous pharmacological attempts to modulate the inflammatory response in patients with ARDS and sepsis have repeatedly proven unsuccessful. It is therefore reasonable to also question whether suppressing the pathophysiological inflammatory response, or blocking a single mediator for that matter, will be beneficial for patients with COVID-19.

The authors commented on the absence of significant difference in mortality between patients who received tocilizumab (Actemra/RoActemra) or placebo in the industry funded COVACTA (NCT04320615 - <https://www.roche.com/dam/jcr:6d8de90d-2e31-43c8-b4e1-0a24a2675015/en/29072020-mr-covacta.pdf>) and EMBACTA (NCT04372186 - <https://www.roche.com/media/releases/med-cor-2020-09-18.htm>) trials.

The results of these trials confirm that findings from non-randomized trials should be interpreted with caution and that such caution is warranted particularly during public health emergencies when large numbers of patients may subsequently receive redundant treatments. As discussed by the authors in the context of tocilizumab and exemplified also by the hydroxychloroquine landslide,<sup>3</sup> experimental drugs are not always harmless, particularly when indiscriminately used. Patient safety should always be prioritized, which is why experimental drugs must be administered within the framework of registered RCTs that are accompanied by appropriate monitoring and regulation.

Research methodology may have also contributed to the negative findings of the above-mentioned RCTs. One example of a potential determinant of outcome in relation to treatment is the timing of administration in respect to the clinical phase of the disease.<sup>4</sup> Another is the treatment dose. Case mix may also have diluted the results; there may be sub-populations of COVID-19 patients who do actually benefit from receiving tocilizumab. Hopefully the full reports of the COVACTA and EMBACTA trials will shed some light on

these questions and more. These analyses combined with additional data from the interventional tocilizumab arm of the RECOVERY trial ([www.recoverytrial.net](http://www.recoverytrial.net)) may yet change our perspective on this drug. To summarize, although oft repeated, the following rhetoric is simply the truth: more (high quality) research is urgently needed.

## Authors' contribution

AC, MI, SE conceived the content, drafted the manuscript and approved the final version for publication.

## Funding

None.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

## References

- Kow CS, Hasan SS. Interleukin-6 blockade with tocilizumab in COVID-19: Does it live up to its hype? Pulmonology. 2020, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pulmoe.2020.10.004>.
- Cortegiani A, Ippolito M, Greco M, Granone V, Protti A, Gregoretti C, et al. Rationale and evidence on the use of tocilizumab in COVID-19: a systematic review. Pulmonology. 2020, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pulmoe.2020.07.003>. Jul 20:S2531-0437(20)30153-7.
- Cortegiani A, Ippolito M, Ingoglia G, Iozzo P, Giarratano A, Einav S. Update I. A systematic review on the efficacy and safety of chloroquine/hydroxychloroquine for COVID-19. J Crit Care. 2020;59:176–90, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2020.06.019>.
- Furlow B. COVACTA trial raises questions about tocilizumab's benefit in COVID-19. Lancet Rheumatol. 2020;2(10), e592.

A. Cortegiani<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, M. Ippolito<sup>a</sup>, S. Einav<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Surgical, Oncological and Oral Science (Di.Cirr.On.S.), University of Palermo, Italy

<sup>b</sup> Department of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Emergency, Policlinico Paolo Giaccone, University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy

<sup>c</sup> Intensive Care Unit of the Shaare Zedek Medical Medical Centre, Hebrew University Faculty of Medicine, Jerusalem, Israel

\*Corresponding author at: Department of Surgical, Oncological and Oral Science (Di.Chir.On.S.), University of Palermo, Italy.

E-mail address: [andrea.cortegiani@unipa.it](mailto:andrea.cortegiani@unipa.it) (A. Cortegiani).

8 October 2020

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pulmoe.2020.10.003>  
2531-0437 / © 2020 Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia.  
Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Spontaneous pneumomediastinum: Beyond the risky diving



To the Editor:

We read with great interest the letter from Cascais-Costa et al.<sup>1</sup> on the risk of developing pneumomediastinum as a result of diving. The authors reviewed the medical condition along with its primary risk factors. Hyperventilation due to psychological stress is one cause that is scarcely referenced in the medical literature. We introduce a case of a patient with a leptosomal phenotype body who presents a spontaneous pneumomediastinum resulting from an anxiety crisis.

Spontaneous pneumomediastinum (SP) is an uncommon condition where air gets trapped in the mediastinum without trauma associated. The most common factors are emesis, cough or Valsava maneuvers. Other trigger situations are asthma exacerbation, barotrauma, use of illicit drugs or tracheobronchial/esophageal rupture.<sup>2</sup> Psychological stress with consequent altered breathing pattern are reported as a cause of SP.<sup>3</sup> Psychiatric diseases such anorexia nervosa or anxiety attacks, have also been shown to cause SP. This could be related to the intentional vomiting or the previously mentioned alterations in the ventilatory pattern. This generates an increase in intrathoracic pressure causing alveolar rupture, which then releases air from the peribronchial spaces to the mediastinum.<sup>4</sup> Similar to pneumothorax cases, those who have a leptosomal clinical phenotype with tall and thin body and are also young and predominantly male are considered to have several of the predisposing risk factors that are associated with the appearance of SP. This is due to the structure of the thoracic tissues.<sup>5</sup> In some cases, pneumothorax or pneumoperitoneum may appear as a pneumomediastinum complication. Usually, if no surgical interventions are needed, treatment consists of relieving symptoms and conservative management with radiological follow up.

A 34 years-old male patient, nonsmoker with other toxic habits, medical history or respiratory pathologies, was admitted to emergency room with 12 h-history of pharyngeal, cervical and thoracic oppressive pain that got worse with body movements. No fever, coughing attacks, vomiting, great efforts, Valsalva maneuvers or other findings were present. Upon admission his BMI was 19 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Patient expressed feeling increased levels of psychological stress resulting from job issues that arose due to the pandemic. Patient displayed cyclic episodes of short breathing and hyperventilation patterns. His blood pressure was 145/75 mmHg, respiratory rate was 24 beats/min and oxygen saturation of 96% at room air. On examination, palpable crepitus at the neck area and upper torso

were detected. There were no relevant findings on laboratories studies. Thorax radiography revealed air presence in the left paratracheal structures with no indication of a pneumothorax. Subsequent CT cervical scan showed air located in vascular, prevertebral and perivisceral spaces (figure A black arrows), which extended from the skull base to the thorax. CT thorax scan revealed air in prevascular space, supra-aortic trunks, trachea, great vessels and peribronchovascular area (Macklin effect – figure B black arrow-) to the latero-cervical region. Subcutaneous emphysema was in the supraclavicular spaces. These findings are consistent with spontaneous pneumomediastinum affecting the cervical region. Tracheobronchial and esophageal rupture were ruled out by a bronchoscopy and barium esophagography. Subsequent to the thoracic surgical evaluation, no surgical intervention was needed. After 48 h with an improved follow up, patient was discharged with good outcomes.

In conclusion, this case demonstrates that a patient with leptosomal body phenotype who is experiencing increased levels of stress could be at risk of developing a spontaneous pneumomediastinum.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

## References

1. Cascais-Costa C, Teixeira G, Andrade L. Risky diving. Pulmonology. 2020;26:174–6.
2. Dirweesh A, Alvarez C, Khan M, Christmas D. Spontaneous pneumomediastinum in a healthy young female: a case report and literature review. Respir Med Case Rep. 2017;20:129–32.
3. Kribs A, Lang-Roth R, Bohm GB. Class test stress as an unusual cause of spontaneous pneumomediastinum in a 14-year-old girl. Pediatr Emerg Care. 2009;25:401–2.
4. Golpe Gómez R, Mateos Colino A, Docasar Bertolo L, Miguélez Vara C. Spontaneous pneumomediastinum associated with anxiety state. An Med Interna. 2002;19:378–9.
5. Jougon JB, Ballester M, Delcambre F, Mac Bride T, Dromer CE, Velly JF. Assessment of spontaneous pneumomediastinum: experience with 12 patients. Ann Thorac Surg. 2003;75:1711–4.

Jordi Juanola-Pla<sup>a,d,\*</sup>, Mireia Carmona-Tomàs<sup>b</sup>,  
Sonia Casals-Vila<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Pulmonology Department, Hospital de Mataró, Consorci Sanitari del Maresme, Mataró, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Family medicine Department, CAP Cirera Molins, Mataró, Consorci Sanitari del Maresme, Mataró, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Radiology Department, Hospital de Mataró, Consorci Sanitari del Maresme, Mataró, Barcelona, Spain