Research Article

Burden of *Helicobacter pylori* Infections and Associated Risk Factors among Women of Child Bearing Age in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

Kumera Terfa Kitila ,^{1,2} Lemi Mosisa Sori,³ Daniel Melese Desalegn ,¹ and Kassu Desta Tullu²

¹*Ethiopia Public Health Institute (EPHI), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia*

²Addis Ababa University, College of Health Sciences, Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia ³City Government of Addis Ababa Technical and Vocational Education Training Agency, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

Correspondence should be addressed to Kumera Terfa Kitila; kumerat2012@gmail.com

Received 20 August 2018; Revised 17 October 2018; Accepted 31 October 2018; Published 12 November 2018

Academic Editor: Piotr Dziegiel

Copyright © 2018 Kumera Terfa Kitila et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. Early detection and treatment of Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) infection in women of child bearing ages may reduce the risk of maternal health disorder. This study was conducted to determine the burden of H. pylori infections and associated risk factors among women of child bearing ages in Kolfe Keranio Subcity Woreda 9 Health Centers, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Methods. Facility based cross sectional study design was conducted from April to October 2015. The study recruited 195 pregnant and 137 nonpregnant women with age range of 16-40 years. Sociodemographic data of study participants were collected by structured questionnaire. Venous blood was analyzed to determine hemoglobin, H. pylori stool antigen test kit was used to assess H. pylori infection, and fresh fecal (stool) was used to examine intestinal parasites among study subjects. Data was entered and analyzed using SPSS version 19. Bivariate and multivariate logistic regression model using odds ratio (OR) at 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated. P-value less than 0.05 was taken as statistically significant. Results. The overall burden of H. pylori infection among study participants was 29% (96/332). H. pylori infection was statistically significantly associated with pregnancy status (AOR: 1.825, CI (1.42-2.15), P=0.020), history of hyperemesis gravidarum (AOR=7.028, C.I (2.47-19.99), P=0.018), and low hemoglobin value (AOR=0.177, CI (0.083-0.379), p=0.003). There was no statistically significant association between H. pylori infection and sociodemographic characteristics and some expected risk factors like smoking, Khat chewing, alcohol drinking habit, and presence of intestinal parasites. Conclusion. In this study, H. pylori infection was still a public health problem in the study area. H. pylori infected women also had high rate of anemia compared to women who had not H. pylori infected. Hence clinician and other responsible bodies should give a special attention for women who had been infected with H. pylori. Further large case control studies are warranted to understand more the role of H. pylori, HG, and other associated risk factors.

1. Introduction

1.1. Background. Maternal mortality remains a major challenge to health systems worldwide and improving maternal health has been on the global health agenda for many years [1]. Ethiopia has one of the highest rates of maternal mortality in Africa [2]. *H. pylori* is an inflammatory gastric bacterial infection especially prevalent in developing countries. It is the most common bacterial infection worldwide, infecting

almost half of people in developed countries and 80% of people in developing countries [3]. Study conducted in Ethiopia showed that there are gaps on screening and treatment of infectious diseases including *H. pylori* that could contribute to high maternal morbidity [3]. *H. pylori* infection has a role in the pathogenesis of various pregnancy related disorders through different mechanisms, mainly focused on iron deficiency anemia, thrombocytopenia, fetal malformations and fetal growth restriction [4]. Chronic infection with *H. pylori* in pregnant women may also cause hyperemesis gravidarum (HG). This particular complication affects 0.3 to 2.0% of all pregnancies. Previous studies showed that HG has a strong correlation with *H. pylori* infection [5, 6].

Epidemiological studies suggested that anemia presumably due to iron deficiency is correlated to *H. pylori* infection. It is related to a high level risk of low birth weight, prematurity, and perinatal mortality. It is associated with anemia caused by iron deficiency regardless of the presence or absence of peptic ulcer disease. *H. pylori* is a cause of gastrointestinal blood loss, reduction in iron absorption, and increase of iron absorption by the bacteria [7, 8].

Moderate to severe maternal anemia has been associated with an increased risk of poor reproductive outcomes, including low birth weight and preterm birth deliveries [9]. Early detection and treatment of multiple causes of severe anemia may reduce the risk of prenatal and maternal mortality [10].

The burden of H. pylori infection among various adults and pediatrics groups in Ethiopia is available and the burden could vary in the range of 80-93%. However, none of them address disease burden among women of child bearing ages [11]. Diagnosis of H. pylori infection includes invasive techniques (requiring endoscopy) such as rapid Urease test, culture, and histology, and noninvasive methods such as serology, urea breath test (UBT), and stool antigen test. Invasive methods due to ethical issues and UBT because of the use of radioactive materials are prohibited in pregnancy [9, 11]. Serologic testing is the most common noninvasive diagnostic method for H. pylori and is relatively inexpensive and convenient; H. pylori tested by stool antigen test (HPSA) which is an enzymatic immunoassay to detect bacterial antigen of actual ongoing infection in stool is a reliable noninvasive marker in the primary diagnosis and in the monitoring of posttreatment outcome [9, 11]. Maternal health is complex and it requires different studies to identify the problem in each level of maternity health services. Hence this study aimed to determine the burden of H. pylori infection and associated factors among women of childbearing ages in the study area.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Setting and Context. Facility based cross-sectional study design was conducted among women of childbearing ages from April to October 2015. The study was conducted in Kolfe Keranio subcity, Woreda 9 Health Center, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. The health centers were delivering service for about 150-200 out patients per day. On average 40-50 pregnant women visited the antenatal care clinics on daily bases. The health facility was well established and trained with different professional staff. The laboratory section was also fairly well equipped with laboratory equipment.

2.2. Study Population. Three hundred thirty-two women of child bearing age, who were attended antenatal care clinics and outpatient services in the health centers, were used as study populations.

2.3. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria. All volunteer child bearing ages of pregnant and nonpregnant (16-45 years)

women were included. However, women on triple therapy for *H. pylori* infection in the past two weeks of data collection period and presentation with severe medical conditions that necessitated urgent care were excluded from the study.

2.4. Sample Size and Sampling Procedures. The sample size was determined using single population proportion formula, considering the assumption that prevalence of *H. pylori* infection was taken as 24.1% previous study conducted in Nigeria [12]. By considering 95% confidence interval (CI) and 5% of marginal level, the total sample size was calculated 332. We factored a 10% nonresponse rate in the sample size calculation. Simple random sampling was used to select the study participants. Each day, before the provision of health education, the names of every pregnant woman attending the antenatal clinic were taken. Fifty percent of the women were then randomly selected until the required sample size was attained. The probability sampling was employed to avoid selection bias.

2.5. Data Collection and Laboratory Procedure. A convenience sample of participants was recruited during the study period. For nonpregnant study participants the most common reasons for presentation themselves to outpatients' clinic were generalized medical healthcare seeking and in the same time, for pregnant women study participants the most common reasons for their presentation to the antenatal care clinic in the health center were for their prenatal and antennal care follow-up during pregnancy. Using a standard questionnaire, interviews with adult participants were conducted and information was collected on sociodemographic data and some clinical and behavioral variables.

Stool (fecal) Specimen Collection and Laboratory procedure: H. pylori infection was examined by using H. pylori stool antigen test kits (CTK Biotech HpSA kit, San Diego, CA 92121 Inc., USA). A random stool (fecal) specimen in a clean, dry receptacle was collected. Then the stool collection device with the specimen's ID number (patient ID sticker) was labeled. The stool collection device was opened by unscrewing the top and used the collection stick to randomly pierce in 2-5 different sites, twisting the collection stick into the fecal specimens to help collection if necessary. All inner grooves of the collection stick were filled with fecal specimen. However, excess fecal specimen on the outside of grooves was scraped off. The collection stick and tighten securely to close the stool collection device was replace. According to the manufacturer leaflet provided with the H. pylori test kits the relative sensitivity, relative specificity, and overall agreement were 96.7%, 93.8%, and 94.9%, respectively. Its analytical sensitivity was 100% positive detection rate at 1 ng/mL of pylori lysate antigen in fecal specimens. All reagents were ready to use as supplied. Unopened test devices were properly stored at 2-30°C. It was ensured that the test device is brought to room temperature before opening, when stored at 2-8°C. The test device was stabled through the expiration date printed on the sealed pouch. The kits were not stored in the freezer or exposed to temperatures above 30°C. Lastly the stool collection device vigorously was shacked and ready for H. pylori test. An H. pylori stool antigen (HPSA) test was performed according to the manufacturer's recommendation. The tests were done with fresh stool samples. The results were evaluated within 10 minutes and tests with any change of color of the test-line were interpreted as positive.

Venous blood samples were collected following standard operating procedures (SOP) by well-trained laboratory personnel and were analyzed using cell dyne methods (Hemocount 30^{TS} hematology analyzer machine, Germany) to determine the hemoglobin level of study subjects and then hemoglobin value <12mg/dl for nonpregnant and <11.0 mg/dl for pregnant women was considered as anemic according to hematology analyzer machine user manual. The manufacturer supplied controls were run every morning to ensure that the analyzer was operating within 2.0 standard deviations. The analyzer automatically sampled blood, processed, analyzed, and printed out the hemoglobin concentration levels.

Intestinal parasites identification was done by direct smear microscopy and formol-ether stool concentration technique. The stool samples were processing based on standard operation procedures described in Monica Cheesbrough [13].

2.6. Data Management and Quality Assurances. Before data collection, adequate training was given for data collectors and supervisors. Data collectors were instructed to check the completeness of each data before submission. Quality of data collection process and all laboratory procedures were supervised and monitored by the principal investigator.

2.7. Statistical Analysis. The collected data were checked for completeness and consistency and summarized using Microsoft office Excel. The data were coded and analyzed using SPSS version 19.0 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, USA) software. Descriptive statistics was employed for the analysis of demographic data. Association between the burden *H. pylori* infection and risk factors was assessed by Chi-square (X²) tests. We used odds ratios as a measure of association, with a 95% confidence interval. Variables with p-values < 0.2 at bivariable analysis and these with biological plausibility with respect to *H. pylori* infection were put into backward stepwise multivariable logistic regression to determine predictors for *H. pylori* infection in study subjects. P-value less than 0.05 was taken as statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Study Subjects Characteristics. From the total 332 study participants that were involved in this study, 195 (58.7%) were pregnant and the remaining were nonpregnant women. The mean (\pm SD) age of the respondents was 27.3 \pm 4.7 years. Majority (82.5%) of the study subjects were married. Sixty-two (18.7%) of study subjects were illiterate. Most (70.2%) of childbearing women lived with a family number of four or more. Among the pregnant women, 44.6% and 28.7% were become pregnant for the first and second time respectively. Sixty percent and 31.7% pregnant women were within a gestational period of 1-12 weeks and 13-24 weeks, respectively. One hundred ninety (57.2%) of study participants had gastrointestinal illness. From the total of pregnant women,

74.4% had history of HG. In this study, stool parasitological investigation showed that 71 (21.4%) of study participants had different intestinal parasites. Regarding the risk factors, 6/332 (1.8%), 1/332 (0.3%), and 2/332 (0.6%) of women had an experience habit of drinking alcohol, cigarette smoking, and Khat chewing, respectively. Majority (81.3%) of them had an experience of drinking tea and coffee. Almost all (99.4%) of them were used tap water for drinking purpose and all of them were wash their hands before meals and after toile. Study participants showed that 71 (21.3%) of them had different intestinal parasites, 190 (57.2%) of them had history of gastrointestinal illness, 61 (18.4%) were anemic, and 145 (74.5%) were pregnant women with hyperemesis gravidarum [Table 1].

3.2. H. pylori Infections and Risk Factors. The overall burden of H. pylori infection among study subjects was 29% (96/332). About 36.0% of women in the age group of 31-35 years were had the highest burden of H. pylori infection. Around 43.2% pregnant women with 25-40 weeks gestational age have the highest burden of H. pylori infection compared to women within 13-24 weeks, which accounts 29.6%. The burden of H. pylori was lowest among women without children, 9/62 (14.5%) compared to women with three or more children; it accounts 9/21 (42.8%). A high increment of H. pylori infection was observed among women who had more household members. In this study, another important point showed that 44/61 (72.1%) of the study subjects were H. pylori infected and they were anemic [Table 2].

There was no statistically significance association between H. pylori infection and sociodemographic characteristics (age group, marital status, educational background, occupational status, and number of people in the household), and some expected behavioral and clinical risk factors like habits of drinking alcohol, cigarette smoking, Khat chewing, drinking tea and coffee, water source for drinking purpose, gestational period (week), gravidity (number of pregnancy), parity (number of children), and presence of intestinal parasites, whereas categorical Chi-square (X²) analysis showed that there was statistically significant association between *H. pylori* infection and pregnancy status ($X^2 = 68.61$, P=0.001), history of hyperemesis gravidarum in pregnant women (X²=5.259, P=0.001), history of gastrointestinal illness (X^2 =7.3235, p=0.007), and anemia status (X^2 =68.61, P=0.001) of the study subjects [Table 2].

In this study the overall burden of *H. pylori* infection among study subjects was 29%. Burden of *H. pylori* infection among pregnant and nonpregnant women is 21.9% and 33.8%, respectively [Figure 1].

Results of multiple logistic regression analysis showed that pregnant mothers were 1.8 times more likely had *H. pylori* infection than nonpregnant mothers [AOR: 1.825, 95% CI=1.105-3.014, P=0.020]. The pregnant women who had history of hyperemesis gravidarum were seven times more likely had *H. pylori* infection than pregnant mothers without hyperemesis gravidarum [AOR=7.0281, 95% C.I=2.47-19.99, P=0.001]. Women who had gastrointestinal illness were 1.8 times more likely had *H. pylori* infection than who

TABLE 1: sociodemographic characteristics and associated risk factors among women of childbearing ages participated in the study in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, April to October 2015 (n=332).

Age group 12 16-20 42 12-20 123 21-25 123 26-30 94 26-30 94 26-30 94 26-30 38 31-35 38 37-40 35 Colleg/contents 106 Illiterate 62 18.7 Primary school 73 22.0 Colleg/contersity 78 25.5 Maritad status 15.5 15.5 Maritad status 74 82.5 Divoced/Widowed 7 2 Cocorational status 11.7 NGO Goevernment 39 11.7 NGO 19 5.7 Private 128 88.6 House wife 129 38.9 House wife 129 38.9 House wife 120 12.0 Foar 51 12.4 Greater than foar 12.0 1	Variables	Frequency, N	Percentage (%)
10-201212721-2512337025-309428331-353811.436-403510.6Level of calcaction1935.8Secondary school7322.0College/inversity7823.5Mariad status7322.0Diracec/Vidoved7482.5Mariad status7482.5Diracec/Vidoved7482.5Diracec/Vidoved7482.5Diracec/Vidoved7482.5Diracec/Vidoved7482.5Diracec/Vidoved7482.5Diracec/Vidoved7482.5Diracec/Vidoved7482.5Diracec/Vidoved7482.5Diracec/Vidoved7482.5Diracec/Vidoved7482.5Dirace of progle in house hold1751.5NetGO1917.5Pirviate2836.6House wife12.070.2Forar5912.8Greater tan four2370.2Number of providity12.882.5Three298.7Greater tan four2332.0Number of providity12.835.2There of equal to four6237.7Greater than 24.98.236.5Diracechi12.013.7One child6237.7Three of equal to four23.029.2Number of Child (party) <t< td=""><td>Age group</td><td></td><td>~ ~ ~</td></t<>	Age group		~ ~ ~
2-25235726-30942833+3538143-640351062-vel of education11Illierate62187Primary school7322.0Colleg/antversity7825.5Marilad Status1985.8Secondary school7122.0Colleg/antversity7825.5Divarced/Vidowed72Occopational status72Government957.7NGO1957.8Privaze12938.6House wife12938.6House wife12938.6House wife12938.6House wife12938.6House wife12070.2Second pregnancy5615.9There9017.8Greater than four2370.2Vanders of greylei in house hold12.0Less fland Period13.215.2Second pregnancy5615.9Three2938.7Greater than four23.215.2Second pregnancy5615.9Three dave bold13.213.2Il-2 weeks16.215.7Second pregnancy5615.9Three dave bold13.213.2Varide for dividi13.213.2Second pregnancy5615.9Second pregnancy15.213.2Second pregnancy<	16-20	42	12.7
26-309428.33P-353811.436-40350.6Level of calcuation1935.8Primary school1935.8Secondary school7322.0Collage/anhyrersity7823.5Marriad27482.5Divrocad/Widowed72Occenational stats72Coccenational stats72Torocad/Widowed195.7Private12838.6House wife12938.9House wife12938.9House wife2938.9House wife12017.8Second pregnancy5717.8Correational stats712.0Vambers of propic in house hold12.0Four2938.9House wife2938.9House maid72.0Second pregnancy672.6Second pregnancy6216.9Three and alove children208.7Greater dra 24 weeks1735.2Ja 24 weeks164.8No child572.9.3Three and above children23.7One child (parity)135.2Yes3030.039.4No child renthi13.0No child renthi13.0No child renthi13.0No child renthi13.0No child renthi13.0	21-25	123	37.0
31-353811.436'403510.636'403510.636'403510.6111111111113.813.8Secondary school7323.0Calleg/aniversity7823.5Marital status7115.3Marital status7120Divorced/vidowed72Occupational status7135.1Government2936.7NGO195.7Private12836.6House wife12938.9House mid175.1Numbers of people in house hold175.1Vintree297.8Greater than four297.9Private2136.9Three298.7Greater than four3135.2Three298.7Greater than four32.36.9Three298.7Greater than four35.235.7Three298.7Greater or equal to four21.235.7Three20.235.7One child (parity)32.235.7Vitoriden31.235.2Three or equal to four32.235.7One child (parity)32.235.7Vitor di adoohilsm31.235.2Three of child(parity)32.235.7No30.235.235.2Three of child(parity)30.235.2<	26-30	94	28.3
36-40350.6Level or clacacion87Vertural y chool1935.8Secondary school7822.0College/university7823.5Marrisd7823.5Marrisd27482.5Divorced/Widowed27482.5Divorced/Widowed27482.5Divorced/Widowed2917Secondary school1057Private12838.6House wife12938.9House wife12938.9House wife12057Private2378.9House maid751Nembers of people in house hold12078.9Corur59178.8Greater than four2978.9Second pregnancy8726.2Second pregnancy8726.2Second pregnancy8726.2Second pregnancy8726.2Second pregnancy8726.2No child5729.3Trace or capia to four2087.1Second pregnancy8726.2Second pregnancy1015.2No child (parity)10.110.2Prive Sind Sind Sind Sind Sind Sind Sind Sind	31-35	38	11.4
Level of calucationII'llicrate6218.7Primary school7322.0Secondary school7823.0College/university7823.0Married2482.5Married7482.5Divorced/Wildowed72Occupitonal status72Government9957.7Private1957.6House wife1957.6House wife1938.6House wife1938.6House wife1938.6House maid1738.6House wife1938.6House wife1938.6House wife1938.6House wife1938.6House wife1937.0Private5012.0Ford repole in house hold17Test programacy5616.9Ford repolation208.7Greater of equal to four298.7Greater of equal to four208.7Haveels163.63.6Haveels173.23.6Haveels163.63.6Haveels173.23.6Haveels183.63.6Haveels193.73.6House193.73.6Haveels163.63.6Haveels163.63.6Haveels163.63.6<	36-40	35	10.6
Illiterate62187Primary school1935.8Secondary school7322.0Collegeruniversity7823.5Martial status	Level of education		
Primary school1935.8Secondary school7322.0College/university7823.5Martiat status55Single3135.3Martied27482.5Drored/Vildoved72Coccupational status117NGO195.7Private2836.6House wife12936.9House wife12936.9House wife2936.9House wife2370.2Numbers of people in house hold12.0Ees than or equal to three4012.0Four2370.2Second prognancy5616.9Three298.7Greater than four236.9Three298.7Greater to equal to four236.9Creater or equal to four236.9Greater than 24 wecks6218.7Greater than 24 wecks623.7Greater than 24 wecks623.7Greater than 24 wecks623.7One child (parity)724.3Warber Gold (parity)724.3Yes33.03.9No child Parity)73.1No child Parity)73.1Yes33.03.0No child Parity)73.1Yes33.13.3No3.13.33.0No3.1	Illiterate	62	18.7
Second yr school7322.0Colleg (university7823.5Martiel sturs115.5Martiel Attriel27482.5Martiel Attriel27482.5Divorced/Wildowed72Occupational status11.7Government391.7NGO195.7Private12838.6House wife12938.9House wife12031.7Sumbers of people in house hold175.1Numbers of gravithy8726.2Four5917.8Greater than or equal to three4012.0Four5917.8Greater than four298.7Greater or equal to four206.9Second pregnancy5616.9Itree298.7Greater or equal to four208.7Greater or equal to four208.7Greater or equal to four208.7Greater or equal to four208.7Itree s175.213-24 weeks6218.7Greater or equal to four5528.3Ture of child (parity)11.3No hold729.3Three an above children5528.3No child73.2No child condish10.3No3030.1No child condish10.3No3030.1No	Primary school	119	35.8
College/university 78 23.5 Married 51 15.5 Single 51 25.5 Married 274 82.5 Divorced/Wildowed 27 82.5 Divorced/Wildowed 7 2 Coccupational status 7 17 NGO 19 35.6 House wife 129 38.9 House maid 17 35.2 Numbers of people in house hold 120 38.9 Four 129 38.9 Four 233 70.2 Second prepole in house hold 12.0 17.8 Greater than four 23.3 70.2 Numbers of gravidity 72 17.8 First pregnancy 56 16.9 Second pregnancy 56 16.9 Greater or equal to four 29 8.7 Greater or equal to four 35.2 35.2 Back of than 24 16 4.8 Nuber of child (parity) 20.3 <td>Secondary school</td> <td>73</td> <td>22.0</td>	Secondary school	73	22.0
Marital status 51.9 55.5 Single 51.9 55.5 Married 27.4 82.5.5 Divorced/Widowed 7 2 Occupational status 7 2 Government 99 1.7 NGO 19 5.7 Private 128 38.6 House wife 129 38.9 House maid 17 51. Numbers of people in house hold 17 51. Less than or equal to three 40 12.0 Four 59 17.8 Greater than four 23.0 70.2 Numbers of people in house hold 17 52.0 Less than or equal to three 40 12.0 Four 59 17.8 Greater than four 20 8.7 Greater or equal to four 62.0 18.7 Greater or equal to four 62 18.7 Greater or equal to four 52 23.3 Nuber of child (parity)	College/university	78	23.5
Single 51 155 Married 274 825 Divorced/Widowed 7 2 Occupational status 9 1.7 Government 99 1.7 NGO 19 5.7 Private 128 38.6 House wife 129 38.9 House wife 120 38.9 House wife 120 38.9 House wife 120 38.9 House mide 17 51.1 Numbers of people in house hold 12.0 38.9 Greater than four 23.3 70.2 Greater than four 23.3 70.2 Second pregnancy 56 16.9 Three 29 8.7 Greater or equal to four 23 3.6 1-12 weeks 17 35.2 Greater than 24 weeks 16 4.8 No child 57 29.3 Three and bave childen 57 29.3 <	Marital status		
n 274 82.5 Divorced/Widowed 7 2 Occupational status	Single	51	15.5
Divorced/Widowed 7 2 Occupational status	Married	274	82.5
Compatibulity Image: Compatibulity Government 39 11.7 NGO 19 5.7 Private 128 38.6 House wife 129 38.9 House wife 129 38.9 House wife 120 38.9 House wife 129 38.9 House wife 29 38.9 House wife 40 12.0 First preprint of people in house hold 12.0 70.2 Greater than four 23.3 70.2 Second pregnancy 56 16.9 Three 29 8.7 Greater or equal to four 23 6.9 Greater or equal to four 23 6.9 Ihree 29 8.7 Greater or equal to four 20 18.7 Greater than 24 weeks 62 18.7 Mumber of child oparity) 10.7 19.3 Nuber of child oparity 20 10.7 Ves child of acoholism 10.0	Divorced/Widowed	7	2
Government 39 1.7 NGO 19 5.7 Private 128 38.6 House wife 129 38.9 House maid 17 5.1 Numbers of people in house hold 17 5.1 Less than or equal to three 40 12.0 Four 59 17.8 Greater than four 23.0 70.2 Numbers of gravidity 17 5.1 First pregnancy 56 16.9 Three 29 8.7 Greater or equal to four 23 6.9 Greater than 24 weeks 16 4.8 Number of child (parity) 1 10.7 No child 57 28.3 Three and above children 2 10.7 No <t< td=""><td>Occupational status</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Occupational status		
NGO 19 5.7 Private 128 38.6 House wife 129 38.9 House maid 17 5.1 Numbers of people in house hold 12 38.9 Less than or equal to three 40 12.0 Four 59 17.8 Greater than four 233 70.2 Numbers of gravidity 7 26.2 Second pregnancy 56 16.9 Three 29 8.7 Greater or equal to four 23 6.9 Cestational period 1 35.2 13-24 weeks 117 35.2 13-24 weeks 62 18.7 Greater than 24 weeks 62 1.7 One child (parity) 10.7 29.3 Three and above children 21 0.7 One child for alooy 55 28.3 Three and above children 2 0.3 No 326 98.2 Kasi 6 1.8<	Government	39	11.7
Interf Inter Inter Inter <td>NGO</td> <td>19</td> <td>5.7</td>	NGO	19	5.7
House wife Loc Jac House maid 17 5.1 Numbers of people in house hold 120 5.1 Less than or equal to three 40 12.0 Four 59 17.8 Greater than four 233 70.2 Numbers of gravidity 7 26.2 Second pregnancy 56 16.9 Three 29 8.7 Greater or equal to four 23 6.9 Estational period 7 7 I-12 weeks 117 35.2 13-24 weeks 62 18.7 Greater than 24 weeks 16 4.8 Number of child (parity) 7 29.3 No child 57 29.3 Three an above children 21 10.7 Habit of alcholism 7 29.3 Yes 6 1.8 No 326 28.3 No 331 99.7 Habit of alcholism 7 1.3 </td <td>Private</td> <td>128</td> <td>38.6</td>	Private	128	38.6
House maid 10 5.0 House maid 17 5.1 Numbers of people in house hold 12.0 Eest than or equal to three 40 12.0 Four 52 72 Greater than four 233 70.2 Numbers of gravidity 78 70.2 First pregnancy 87 26.2 Second pregnancy 56 16.9 Three 29 8.7 Greater or equal to four 23 6.9 Cestational period 20 8.7 Greater or equal to four 23 6.9 1-12 weeks 117 35.2 13-24 weeks 62 18.7 Greater than 24 weeks 62 31.7 One child (pariy) 70 29.3 No children 55 28.3 Three and above children 10 70.3 No 32 9.6 No 331 99.7 Kreing Khathabits 2 0.6 <td>House wife</td> <td>120</td> <td>38.9</td>	House wife	120	38.9
International and the second	House maid	17	51
Instant of propendition 40 12.0 Four 59 17.8 Greater than four 233 70.2 Numbers of gravidity 233 70.2 First pregnancy 56 16.9 Second pregnancy 56 16.9 Three 29 8.7 Greater or equal to four 23 6.9 Gestational period 17 35.2 1-12 weeks 16 4.8 Number of child (parity) 35.2 18.7 Greater than 24 weeks 62 18.7 One child 57 29.3 Two children 55 28.3 Three and above children 21 10.7 Habit of alcoholism 1 0.6 Yes 31 99.3 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 1 9.3 Yes 1 0.3 No 330 99.4 Wet used for drinking purpose 9 9 <td>Numbers of people in house hold</td> <td>17</td> <td></td>	Numbers of people in house hold	17	
Four 10 100 Four 59 17.8 Greater than four 233 70.2 Numbers of gravidity 233 70.2 First pregnancy 87 26.2 Second pregnancy 56 16.9 Three 29 8.7 Greater or equal to four 23 6.9 Greater than 24 weeks 62 18.7 Greater than 24 weeks 16 4.8 Number of child (parity) 10.7 17.3 No child 55 28.3 Three and above children 21 10.7 Habit of alcoholism 10.7 18 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 1 0.3 Yes 1 0.3 No 30	Less than or equal to three	40	12.0
Total Dotal Total Greater than four 233 70.2 Numbers of gravidity 7 26.2 Second pregnancy 56 16.9 Three 29 8.7 Greater or equal to four 23 6.9 Greater or equal to four 23 6.9 Greater or equal to four 23 6.9 Greater or equal to four 51 3.5.2 1-12 weeks 117 35.2 13-24 weeks 62 18.7 Greater than 24 weeks 62 31.7 One child (parity) 1 29.3 No child Conditoren 57 28.3 Three and above children 21 0.7 Habit of alcoholism 2 32 Yes 6 1.8 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 2 0.6 Yes 1 0.3 No 330 99.4 Water used for drinking purpose 2	Four	59	178
Numbers of gravidity Numbers of gravidity First pregnancy 87 26.2 Second pregnancy 56 16.9 Three 29 8.7 Greater or equal to four 23 6.9 Greater or equal to four 62 18.7 Greater or equal to four 56 18.7 Ja-24 weeks 62 18.7 Greater than 24 weeks 62 18.7 Greater than 24 weeks 16 4.8 Number of child (parity) 7 29.3 No child 57 29.3 Two children 55 28.3 Three and above children 21 0.7 Habit of alcoholism 7 29.3 Yes 6 1.8 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 7 29.3 Yes 1 0.3 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 7 29.4 Yes 1 0.6 N	Greater than four	233	70.2
First pregnarcy 57 26.2 Second pregnancy 56 16.9 Three 29 8.7 Greater or equal to four 23 6.9 Gestational period 117 35.2 13-24 weeks 62 18.7 Greater than 24 weeks 16 4.8 Number of child (parity) 4.8 18.7 No child 62 31.7 One child (parity) 55 28.3 Two children 57 29.3 Two children 51 28.3 Three and above children 21 10.7 Habit of alcoholism 21 10.7 Yes 6 1.8 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 99.7 Yes 1 0.3 No 331 99.7 Chewing Khathabits 2 0.6 No 330 99.4 No 330 99.4 Tanker water 2 </td <td>Numbers of gravidity</td> <td>200</td> <td>, 0.2</td>	Numbers of gravidity	200	, 0.2
Interpretation 56 100 Second pregnancy 56 16.9 Three 29 8.7 Greater or equal to four 23 6.9 Gestational period 117 35.2 1-12 weeks 62 18.7 Greater than 24 weeks 62 18.7 Greater than 24 weeks 16 4.8 Number of child (parity) 1 4.8 Number of child (parity) 57 29.3 Two children 57 29.3 Two children 55 28.3 Three and above children 21 10.7 Habit of alcoholism 1 0.3 Yes 6 1.8 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 99.7 Chewing Khathabits 1 0.3 Yes 1 0.6 No 330 99.7 Chewing Khathabits 91 0.6 No 300 99.4 Water us	First pregnancy	87	26.2
Three 29 87 Greater or equal to four 23 69 Gestational period 117 35.2 13-24 weeks 62 18.7 Greater than 24 weeks 62 18.7 Greater than 24 weeks 62 18.7 Number of child (parity) 48 48 Number of child (parity) 57 29.3 Two child 57 29.3 Two children 55 28.3 Three and above children 21 10.7 Habit of alcoholism 1 0.3 Yes 6 1.8 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 99.7 Yes 1 0.3 No 331 99.7 Chewing Khathabits 1 92.4 Yes 2 0.6 No 30 99.4 Water used for drinking purpose 330 99.4 Tanker water 2 0.6	Second pregnancy	56	16.9
Intel D D Greater or equal to four 23 6.9 Gestational period 1 35.2 1-12 weeks 117 35.2 13-24 weeks 62 18.7 Greater than 24 weeks 16 4.8 Number of child (parity) 16 4.8 Number of child (parity) 57 29.3 No child 57 29.3 Two children 51 28.3 Three and above children 21 10.7 Habit of alcoholism 24 24.2 Yes 6 1.8 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 2 0.3 Yes 1 0.3 No 331 99.7 Chewing Khathabits 2 0.6 No 300 39.4 No 330 99.4 Tanker water 330 99.4	Three	29	87
Gestational period 52 65 Gestational period 117 35.2 1-12 weeks 62 18.7 Greater than 24 weeks 62 18.7 Greater than 24 weeks 16 4.8 Number of child (parity) 1 4.8 Number of child (parity) 57 29.3 No child 57 29.3 Two children 55 28.3 Three and above children 21 0.7 Habit of alcoholism 1 0.7 Yes 6 1.8 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 99.7 Yes 1 0.3 No 331 99.7 Chewing Khathabits 2 0.6 Yes 2 0.6 No 330 99.4 Tanker water 2 0.6	Greater or equal to four	23	6.9
1-12 weeks 117 35.2 13-24 weeks 62 18.7 Greater than 24 weeks 16 4.8 Number of child (parity) 10 4.8 Number of child (parity) 62 31.7 No child 57 29.3 Two children 55 28.3 Three and above children 21 10.7 Habit of alcoholism 2 10.7 Yes 6 1.8 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 92.3 33.1 Yes 1 0.3 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 97.7 1 Yes 1 0.3 No 331 99.7 Chewing Khathabits 92 0.6 No 330 99.4 No 330 99.4 Yes 330 99.4 Tanker water 2 0.6	Gestational period		
13-24 weeks 62 18.7 13-24 weeks 62 18.7 Greater than 24 weeks 16 4.8 Number of child (parity) 62 31.7 No child 62 31.7 One child 57 29.3 Two children 55 28.3 Three and above children 21 10.7 Habit of alcoholism 7 29.3 Yes 6 1.8 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 7 0.3 Yes 1 0.3 No 331 99.7 Chewing Khathabits 7 0.6 Yees 2 0.6 No 330 99.4 Taker water 2 0.6	1-12 weeks	117	35.2
Greater than 24 weeks 16 4.8 Number of child (parity) 1 17 No child 62 31.7 One child 57 29.3 Two children 55 28.3 Three and above children 21 10.7 Habit of alcoholism 1 0.7 Yes 6 1.8 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 1 0.3 Yes 1 0.3 No 331 99.7 Chewing Khathabits 2 0.6 Yes 2 0.6 No 330 99.4 Water used for drinking purpose 2 0.6 Pipe water 330 99.4	13-24 weeks	62	18.7
Number of child (parity) 10 No child (parity) 21 No child for alcoholism 21 Two children 21 Three and above children 21 Habit of alcoholism 10.7 Yes 6 1.8 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 1 0.3 Yes 1 0.3 No 331 99.7 Chewing Khathabits 2 0.6 Yes 2 0.6 No 330 99.4 Tanker water 2 0.6	Greater than 24 weeks	16	4.8
No child 62 31.7 One child 57 29.3 Two children 55 28.3 Three and above children 21 10.7 Habit of alcoholism 21 10.7 Yes 6 1.8 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 2 0.3 Yes 1 0.3 No 331 99.7 Chewing Khathabits 2 0.6 Yes 2 0.6 No 330 99.4 Tanker water 2 0.6	Number of child (parity)		110
One child 57 29.3 Two children 55 28.3 Three and above children 21 10.7 Habit of alcoholism 1 10.7 Yes 6 1.8 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 1 0.3 Yes 1 0.3 No 331 99.7 Chewing Khathabits 2 0.6 No 330 99.4 Water used for drinking purpose 330 99.4 Tanker water 2 0.6	No child	62	31.7
Two children 57 28.3 Three and above children 21 10.7 Habit of alcoholism 21 10.7 Yes 6 1.8 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 1 0.3 Yes 1 0.3 No 331 99.7 Chewing Khathabits 1 0.6 Yes 2 0.6 No 330 99.4 Tanker water 2 0.6	One child	57	29.3
Three and above children 21 10.7 Habit of alcoholism 1 1.8 Yes 6 1.8 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 1 0.3 Yes 1 0.3 No 331 99.7 Chewing Khathabits 2 0.6 Yes 2 0.6 No 330 99.4 Water used for drinking purpose 330 99.4 Tanker water 2 0.6	Two children	55	28.3
Habit of alcoholism 6 1.8 Yes 6 1.8 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 1 0.3 Yes 1 0.3 No 331 99.7 Chewing Khathabits 99.7 Yes 2 0.6 No 330 99.4 Water used for drinking purpose 330 99.4 Pipe water 330 99.4 Tanker water 2 0.6	Three and above children	21	10.7
Yes 6 1.8 No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 1 0.3 Yes 1 0.3 No 331 99.7 Chewing Khathabits 2 0.6 No 330 99.4 Water used for drinking purpose 330 99.4 Tanker water 2 0.6	Habit of alcoholism		
No 326 98.2 Habit of smoking 98.2 Yes 1 0.3 No 331 99.7 Chewing Khathabits 99.7 Yes 2 0.6 No 330 99.4 Water used for drinking purpose 99.4 Pipe water 330 99.4 Tanker water 2 0.6	Yes	6	1.8
HoJulHabit of smoking1Yes1No331Solution331Yes2Yes2No330Water used for drinking purposePipe water330Pipe water330Tanker water20.6	No	326	98.2
Yes10.3No33199.7Chewing Khathabits20.6Yes20.6No33099.4Water used for drinking purpose99.4Pipe water33099.4Tanker water20.6	Habit of smoking	020	2012
No33199.7Chewing Khathabits20.6Yes20.6No33099.4Water used for drinking purpose33099.4Pipe water33099.4Tanker water20.6	Yes	1	0.3
Chewing Khathabits20.6Yes20.6No33099.4Water used for drinking purpose33099.4Pipe water320.6Tanker water20.6	No	331	99.7
Yes20.6No33099.4Water used for drinking purpose33099.4Pipe water33099.4Tanker water20.6	Chewing Khathabits		~~~~
No33099.4Water used for drinking purpose33099.4Pipe water33099.4Tanker water20.6	Yes	2	0.6
Water used for drinking purpose33099.4Pipe water20.6	No	- 330	99.4
Pipe water33099.4Tanker water20.6	Water used for drinking purpose		
Tanker water 2 0.6	Pipe water	330	99.4
	Tanker water	2	0.6

TABLE 1:	Continued.
----------	------------

Variables	Frequency, N	Percentage (%)	
washing hands before meals and after toilets used			
Yes	332	100.0	
Consumption of tea and coffee			
Yes	270	81.3	
No	62	18.7	
History of Hyperemesis gravidarum			
Yes	145	74.5	
No	50	25.6	
History of gastrointestinal illness			
Yes	190	57.2	
No	142	42.8	
HPSA result			
Positive	96	29	
Negative	236	71	
Presence of intestinal parasite			
Parasite Seen	71	21.4	
Parasite not Seen	261	78.6	
Anemic status			
Anemic	61	18.4	
Not anemic	271	81.6	

NGO: nongovernment organization; HPSA: H. pylori stool antigen test.



FIGURE 1: Burden of *H. pylori* infection among women of child bearing ages in a Woreda 9 HC from April to October 2015, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

did not infected, even though there was no statistically significant *H. pylori* infection [AOR=1.86, 95% C.I= (0.95-3.667, P=0.060]. Another important point in this study is that also women who had low hemoglobin value were more likely had *H. pylori* infection than who had normal hemoglobin value (AOR=0.177, 95% CI=0.083-0.379, p=0.003)[Table 3].

4. Discussion

In this study burden of *H. pylori* infection among pregnant women is lower than study reported 45.2% in Uganda and 52.4% in Belgium, Brussels [14, 15]. However, this study finding is higher than studies conducted in France and Zanzibar

that reported a prevalence of 21.5% and 17.5%, respectively [16, 17]. The variation might be due to the difference in study settings, study population, and the laboratory method. In the study of Uganda and Belgium, Brussels, serological antibody test was used as compared to the current study. In the current study burden of *H. pylori* infection among pregnant women was similar to study reported 33.3% in US-Mexico and 24.1% in Nigeria [12, 18].

In the current study, *H. pylori* infection among in the age group of 31–35 years was higher (36.8%) compared to in the age group of 21-25 years (21.4%). This might be due to *H. pylori* acquired during young age but it is asymptomatic, which lead to develop gastritis, peptic ulcers, and gastric carcinoma, usually during late adulthood [11, 16].

Variables	HPSA positive		\mathbf{v}^2	D value
	Ν	n (%)	Λ	r-value
Age group				
16-20	42	8 (19.1)		
21-25	119	33 (27.7)		
26-30	95	30 (31.6)	3.670	0.453
31-35	50	18 (36.0)		
36-40	26	7 (26.9)		
Marital status				
Single	207	17 (8.2)	0.063	0.996
Married	118	77 (65.3)		
Divorced/widowed	7	2 (28.6)		
Education level				
Illiterate	36	7 (19.4)		
Primary School	105	30 (28.6)	3.033	0.387
Secondary school	85	23 (27.1)		
Higher education	106	36 (34.0)		
Occupational status				
Government	62	22 (35.5)		
NGO	22	4 (18.2)	3.708	0.447
Private	126	35 (27.8)		
house wife	114	34 (29.8)		
house servant	8	1 (12.5)		
Number of children (parity)				
No children	62	9 (14.5)		
One	57	22 (38.6)	0.437	0.933
Two	55	18 (32.7)		
Three and above	21	9 (42.8)		
Gestational week				
1-12 week	77	26 (33.8)		
13-2 4 week	81	24 (29.6)	7.879	0.069
25-40 week	37	16 (43.2)		
No. of people in house hold				
Two	4	2 (50.0)		
Three	76	26 (34.2)	2.479	0.140
Four	138	36 (26.1)		
Greater than four	114	32 (28.1)		
Gravidity / no. of pregnancy				
One	91	28 (30.8)		
Two	61	24 (39.3)	8.314	0.140
Greater than three or equal to three	41	14 (34.1)		
Pregnancy				
Pregnant	195	66 (33.8)	5.589	0.020*
Non pregnant	137	30 (21.9)		
Habit of alcohol drinking				
Yes	6	1 (16.60		
No	325	95 (29.1)	0.446	0.677
Smoking habit				
Yes	1	0 (0.0)		
No	331	96 (29.0)	0.468	1.000

 TABLE 2: Univariate analysis showing association of *H. pylori* infection with some associated risk factors among study participated in Woreda
 9 HC, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, April to October 2015 (n=332).

TABLE 2: Continued.					
Variables	HPSA positive		x ²	Davahaa	
	Ν	n (%)	А	P-value	
Khat chewing habit					
Yes	2	0(0.0)	0.818	1.000	
No	330	96 (29.0)			
Drinking coffee & tea					
Yes	270	77 (28.5)		0.757	
No	62	19 (30.6)	0.111		
History of gastrointestinal illness					
Yes	190	66 (34.7)	7.323	0.007*	
No	142	30 (21.1)			
Hyperemesis gravidarum					
Yes	145	61 (29.6)	5.259	0.001*	
No	50	5 (9.1)			
water for drinking purpose					
pipe water	331	96 (29.0)			
Tanker	1	0(0.0)	0.408	1.000	
Presence of intestinal parasite					
Negative	261	72 (27.6)	1.049	0.306	
positive	71	24 (33.8)			
Anemia status					
Anemic	61	44 (72.1)	68.61	0.001*	
Non anemic	271	52 (19.2)			

Note. * Statistically significant, HPSA: H. pylori stool antigen test, and NGO: nongovernmental organization.

TABLE 3: Multivariate logistic regression showing adjusted odds ratio between *H. pylori* infection and associated risk factors among women of childbearing ages participated in the study in selected health centers, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, April to October 2015 (n=332).

Variables	HPS	A positive	COR	Davalara	AOR	Devalues
	Ν	n (%)	(95% CI)	P-value	(95% CI)	P-value
Pregnancy status						
Pregnant	195	66 (33.)	1.570 (1.31, 1.87)	< 0.001	1.825 (1.42,2.15)	0.020*
Non pregnant	137	30 (21.9)	1			
Hyperemesis gravidarum						
Yes	145	61 (42.1)	6.536 (2.45,17.43)	< 0.001	7.028 (2.47,19.99)	0.018*
No	50	5 (10.0)	1			
Anemia status						
Anemic	61	33 (54.1)	0.257 (0.144,0.458)	< 0.001	0.177 (0.083,0.379)	0.003*
Non anemic	271	63 (23.2)	1			

AOD: adjusted odd ratio, COR: crude odd ratio, CI: confidence interval, and HPSA: Helicobacter pylori stool antigen test.

The results of this study also showed that *H. pylori* infection was significantly high in the pregnant population with hyperemesis gravidarum accounting 29.6%, and this finding agrees with study conducted in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, However, in case of Saudi Arabia there was a case control study with hyperemesis gravidarum and without hyperemesis gravidarum [19]. But this study showed higher result than the study done in Izmir, Turkey, which showed that women who were *H. pylori* infected with hyperemesis gravidarum were 22.2% [20]. The possible explanation for this result is that an association of *H. pylori* and hyperemesis gravidarum could be that an increased accumulation of fluid and a displacement

of intracellular and extracellular volume occur as a result of increase in steroid hormones, and this condition results in a change of pH which could lead to the manifestation of a latent *H. pylori* infection in the gastrointestinal tract. The increased level of steroid hormones and human chorionic gonadotropins (HCG) during pregnancy also leads to changes in PH and motility of GI tract; this change favors activities of *H. pylori* infection [21].

In this study, 34.7% of *H. pylori* infected study participant women had history of gastrointestinal illness. This finding is relatively lower than study done in Chile, which reported 68.6% of study participant women had *H. pylori* infection

among complaining dyspepsia [22]. This indicates that one of the major consequences of *H. pylori* infection is its effect on acid production in the stomach. The bacteria affect the stomach cells that control stomach acid secretion. This can lead to overproduction of hydrochloric acid, paving the way for ulceration, then the acid producing cells themselves are affected, and less acid is secreted, causing low stomach acid. The consequence of low stomach acid is low B12, since it is difficult to assimilate the nutrient from animal protein if you do not have adequate stomach acid, leading to the fact that gastritis or stomach inflammation always accompanies infection [23, 24].

In these study findings, high prevalence of H. pylori infection was seen in anemic (72.1%) study women and H. pylori infection has been found to be associated with anemia. Our findings are similar to other studies in Baghdad [10], Jeddah, Saudi Arabia [23], Zanzibar [17], and India that showed that women who had been infected with H. pylori, indicating that they had high prevalence of anemia [17, 24]. The probable finding of this results could be due to some possible mechanism by which H. pylori affects iron metabolism by decreased absorption resulting from chronic gastritis [23], decreased gastric juice ascorbic acid concentration, increased hepcidin production associated with H. pylori gastritis, uptake of iron by H. pylori for growth, and decreased availability of iron by sequestration of iron in lactoferrin in the gastric mucosa and bacterium host competition for dietary iron supply [14]. Another explanation most commonly offered for this relationship could be also based upon the development of *H. pylori* associated chronic gastritis with resultant achlorhydria and reduced ascorbic acid secretion leading to reduced intestinal iron absorption. Besides an association between anemia [23] and H. pylori includes occult blood loss from erosive gastritis [23] and sequestration and utilization of iron by the organism [25].

In this study another point observed is that pregnant women were significantly associated with H. pylori infection. These findings agree with that of Cardaropoli and Ehab reports [4, 26]. This probably proposed that pregnant women are among the most vulnerable groups for *H. pylori* infection and a reduction of gastric acid production during early pregnancy as a result of increased accumulation of woman's body fluid, steroid hormone changes, and immunologic tolerance which could lead to the activation of latent H. pylori infection, which can exacerbate dyspepsia, nausea, and vomiting symptoms, because of underlying undiagnosed peptic ulcer disease, which in turn may affect maternal gastric absorption. Mild to moderate dyspepsia is commonly associated with nausea and vomiting and complicates about 50% of all pregnancies and it diminishes women's life quality and social functions during early pregnancy [27]. However, in most women, these symptoms resolve by fluid and vitamin supplementation as well as dietary modification.

5. Conclusion

In this study *H. pylori* infection was still a problem among women child bearing age in study area. *H. pylori* infection was statistically associated with pregnancy status, low hemoglobin value, and presence of hyperemesis gravidarum in pregnant women. As *H. pylori* infection can lead to serious gastrointestinal problem throughout the individual's life, we would like to emphasize how important it is for *H. pylori* screening and eradication treatment to be conducted in the country. Therefore clinician and other responsible bodies should give a special attention for women who have been infected with *H. pylori*; however further large scale case control studies are warranted among study participants to understand between *H. pylori* infection and related risk factors.

Abbreviations

- HG: Hyperemesis gravidarum
- HC: Health center
- HP: *Helicobacter pylori*
- HPSA: Helicobacter pylori stool antigen test
- HCG: Human chorionic gonadotropins
- IDA: Iron deficiency anemia
- IQC: Internal Quality Control
- SMLS: School of Medical Laboratory Sciences
- SOP: Standard operational procedures
- WHO: World Health Organization.

Data Availability

The data used to support the ïňĄndings of this study cannot be shared in a publicly available data repository system, because there is no such a data repository system in the country. However, the data are available from the authors upon request.

Ethical Approval

The study was approved by Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Addis Ababa University, School of Medical Laboratory Science. In addition, official letter permission was obtained from the study site. Moreover, permission was obtained from respective health facilities.

Consent

Written consent was obtained from all study subjects for those who could write and read; for the illiterate ones they are verbally informed by data collector, and then informed consent was obtained. The authors kept the privacy and confidentiality of the study participants throughout the study process.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

Kumera Terfa Kitila conceived and designed the study protocol, collected data, performed analysis, and interpreted data. Kassu Desta Tullu, Lemi Mosisa Sori, and Daniel Melese Desalegn critically reviewed and made progressive suggestions from the design to final write up of the result. All of the authors participated in data collection, analysis, and interpretation and critically evaluated and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge Addis Ababa University, school of Medical Laboratory Sciences for creating and facilitating this research opportunity. We express our heartfelt thanks to study participants for their willingness and being involved in this study. Lastly, the authors also acknowledge staff members of the health facilities that helped us in data collection, preparation and laboratory examination activities.

References

- J. Bongaarts, "WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group, and united nations population division trends in maternal mortality: 1990 to 2015 geneva: world health organization, 2015," *Population and Development Review*, vol. 42, no. 4, pp. 726-726, 2016.
- [2] Ministry of Finance and Economic Development United Nations Country Team Ethiopia, "Assessing progress towards the Millennium Development Goals," Ethiopia MDGs Report 2012, 2012.
- [3] B. E. Kwast, W. Kidane-Mariam, E. M. Saed, and F. G. Fowkes, "Epidemiology of maternal mortality in Addis Ababa: a community-based study," *Ethiopian Medical Journal*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 7–16, 1985.
- [4] S. Cardaropoli, A. Rolfo, and T. Todros, "Helicobacter pylori and pregnancy-related disorders," *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 654–664, 2014.
- [5] G. M. Mansour and E. H. Nashaat, "Helicobacter pylori and hyperemesis gravidarum," International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics, vol. 106, no. 1, pp. 63-64, 2009.
- [6] A. Güngören, N. Bayramoğlu, N. Duran, and M. Kurul, "Association of *Helicobacter pylori* positivity with the symptoms in patients with hyperemesis gravidarum," *Archives of Gynecology* and Obstetrics, vol. 288, no. 6, pp. 1279–1283, 2013.
- [7] Z. Bolaman, G. Kadikoylu, V. Yukselen, I. Yavasoglu, S. Barutca, and T. Senturk, "Oral versus intramuscular cobalamin treatment in megaloblastic anemia: a single-center, prospective, randomized, open-label study," *Clinical Therapeutics*, vol. 25, no. 12, pp. 3124–3134, 2003.
- [8] K. Muhsen and D. Cohen, "Helicobacter pylori infection and iron stores: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Helicobacter*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 323–340, 2008.
- [9] M. Azami, M. Parizad Nasirkandy, A. Mansouri et al., "Global Prevalence of Helicobacter pylori Infection in Pregnant Women: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis Study," *International Journal of Women's Health and Reproduction Sciences*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 30–36, 2017.
- [10] M. Caseem, "Iron deficiency in Helicobacter pylori infected patients in Baghdad," *Journal of Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 114–117, 2011.
- [11] N. F. Tanih, A. M. Clarke, N. Mkwetshana, E. Green, L. M. Ndip, and R. N. Ndip, "Helicobacter pylori infection in Africa: Pathology and microbiological diagnosis," *African Journal of Biotechnology*, vol. 7, no. 25, pp. 4653–4662, 2008.

- [12] I. E. Ugwuja, C. Nicholas, and C. E. I. UgwujaNicholas, "Plasma lipids in *Helicobacter pylori* infected pregnant women, Abakaliki, Nigeria," *International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences*, vol. 1, no. 5, pp. 4–11, 2009.
- [13] M. Cheesbrough, "District laboratory practice in tropical countries, second edition," in *District Laboratory Practice in tropical countries, part 1*, pp. 191–200, 2nd edition, 2006.
- [14] R. K. Baingana, J. Kiboko Enyaru, and L. Davidsson, "Helicobacter pylori infection in pregnant women in four districts of Uganda: Role of geographic location, education and water sources," *BMC Public Health*, vol. 14, no. 1, 2014.
- [15] S. Lanciers, B. Despinasse, D. I. Mehta, and U. Blecker, "Increased susceptibility to Helicobacter pylori infection in pregnancy," *Infectious Diseases in Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 195–198, 1999.
- [16] N. Kalach, J. Desramé, C. Bonnet et al., "Helicobacter pylori seroprevalence in asymptomatic pregnant women in France," *Clinical and Diagnostic Laboratory Immunology*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 736-737, 2002.
- [17] T. H. Farag, R. J. Stoltzfus, S. S. Khalfan, and J. M. Tielsch, "Helicobacter pylori infection is associated with severe anemia of pregnancy on Pemba Island, Zanzibar," *The American Journal* of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, vol. 76, no. 3, pp. 541–548, 2007.
- [18] C. Alvarado-Esquivel, "Seroepidemiology of Helicobacter pylori infection in pregnant women in rural Durango, Mexico," *International Journal of Biomedical Science*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 224–229, 2013.
- [19] A. R. Abd Alwahed, H. M. Elsaadany, A. M. Radwan, M. A. Noureldin, and R. K. Kumar, "Role of helicobacter pylori eradication in the management of hyperemesis Gravidarum," *Research Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 6–13, 2014.
- [20] I. Bezircioğlu, H. B. Elveren, A. Baloğlu, and M. Biçer, "The positivity of *Helicobacter pylori* stool antigen in patients with hyperemesis gravidarum," *Journal of the Turkish German Gynecology Association*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 71–74, 2011.
- [21] Ç. Karaca, N. Güler, A. Yazar, H. Çamlica, K. Demir, and G. Yildirim, "Is lower socio-economic status a risk factor for *Helicobacter pylori* infection in pregnant women with hyperemesis gravidarum?" *The Turkish Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 86–89, 2004.
- [22] G. F. Poveda, K. S. Carrillo, M. E. Monje, C. A. Cruz, and A. G. Cancino, "Helicobacter pylori infection and gastrointestinal symptoms on Chilean pregnant women," *Revista da Associação Médica Brasileira*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 306–310, 2014.
- [23] N. Mubarak, G. I. Gasim, K. E. Khalafalla, N. I. Ali, and I. Adam, "Helicobacter pylori, anemia, iron deficiency and thrombocytopenia among pregnant women at Khartoum, Sudan," *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, vol. 108, no. 6, Article ID tru044, pp. 380–384, 2014.
- [24] S. Bassily, R. W. Frenck, E. W. Mohareb et al., "Seroprevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* among Egyptian newborns and their mothers: a preliminary report," *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 37–40, 1999.
- [25] D. Kibru, B. Gelaw, A. Alemu, and Z. Addis, "Helicobacter pylori infection and its association with anemia among adult dyspeptic patients attending Butajira Hospital, Ethiopia," *BMC Infectious Diseases*, vol. 14, no. 1, 2014.
- [26] H. Ehab and M. G. Nashaat Ghada, "MD: Helicobacter pylori and Hyperemesis Gravidarum. Department of Obstetrics and

Gynecology," Journal of Nature and Science, vol. 8, pp. 22–26, 2010.

[27] W. D. Chey and B. C. Y. Wong, "American College of Gastroenterology guideline on the management of Helicobacter pylori infection," *American Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 102, no. 8, pp. 1808–1825, 2007.