

Sero-epidemiological analysis of vertical transmission relative risk of Borna disease virus infection in dairy herds

Tatsuya ANDO¹⁾, Tadashi TAKINO^{2,3)}, Kohei MAKITA²⁾, Motoshi TAJIMA²⁾, Masateru KOIWA²⁾ and Katsuro HAGIWARA^{2)*}

¹⁾*Ishikari Agricultural Mutual Relief Association, Hokkaido 067-0055, Japan*

²⁾*School of Veterinary Medicine, Rakuno Gakuen University, Ebetsu, Hokkaido 069-8501, Japan*

³⁾*Scientific Feed Laboratory co., Ltd. 3-3 Miyahara-cho, Takasaki-city, Gunma 370-1202, Japan*

(Received 23 March 2016/Accepted 21 July 2016/Published online in J-STAGE 5 August 2016)

ABSTRACT. Borna disease virus (BDV) is a virus that causes a neurological disease in domestic animals, including a variety of animal species in Japan. Few studies have examined the mode of transmission of this virus in cattle, and the exact mechanisms underlying the transmission of the virus need to be elucidated. This study aimed to examine the contribution of vertical transmission of the virus, which occurs when the virus is transmitted from the mother to offspring during gestation or birth. We used an epidemiological approach. The relative risk (RR) was calculated for cattle born to BDV sero-positive cows from farms with a higher within-herd prevalence of BDV (56.8%). We tested the sera of 1,122 dairy cattle from 24 dairy herds in Hokkaido Prefecture, Japan, for BDV infection using the ELISA and western blotting method. The overall level of BDV sero-prevalence was 22.1%. Seroprevalence was significantly higher in closed-breeding herds that do not have buying in cows (39.7%) than in farms that restock cattle by buying in cows (4.4%, $P < 0.01$). The overall RR of BDV vertical transmission from infected mothers to their daughters was 1.86 (95% confidence interval (CI): 1.54–2.56). Our results show that vertical transmission contributes significantly to BDV transmission in the farms tested in this study.

KEY WORDS: Borna disease virus, dairy cow, relative risk, vertical transmission

doi: 10.1292/jvms.16-0156; *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* 78(11): 1669–1672, 2016

Borna disease virus (BDV) is a virus that causes a neurological disease, which is named after an epidemic of the disease in horses in 1885 in the town of Borna in southern Germany. Borna Disease (BD) by infection with BDV causes a neurological disease with non-suppurative encephalitis [10, 11, 16, 22]. BD occurs in many animal species worldwide, including Japan. However, cases of BD with neurological symptoms in cattle are rare. Symptoms other than encephalitis, such as infertility or astasia, has been confirmed in antibody-positive cows [1]. Borna disease in both domestic animals and companion animals has been reported in Japan [3–5, 7, 8, 13–15, 25]; however, epidemiological studies of cattle are lacking. There are few studies on the mode of transmission of this virus in cattle, and many questions remain surrounding infection. The method by which antibody-positive cattle were infected remains unknown. Most dairy farmers in Hokkaido Prefecture, Japan, have introduced young cows from other farmers to expand the scale of their farms following the herd size increase in recent years [18]. Many farms in Hokkaido have traded large numbers of cattle in recent years. It has been recognized as a general dairy management. Conversely, some dairy farmers manage their business using a closed breeding herd model. In other

words, the herd has the same maternal origin. In this study, we aimed to quantify the seroprevalence of BDV within farms and the virus transmission rate between cows within the same family. Therefore, we investigated the association between calves born from BDV-seropositive mothers, and seropositivity in daughters to understand the contribution of vertical transmission to total BDV transmission.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples: buy-in heifers or closed-breeding. Farms were selected from those routinely visited for clinical purposes who agreed to participate in this study. A total of 1,122 Holstein dairy cows were serologically tested for BDV antibodies. Of those, 498 of the cows were from the 21 farms that would buy in cows from outside farms, while 624 of the cows were from three farms that would breed within closed herds, without buying in from outside farms (Table 1).

Detection of antibody: Serum samples were diluted to a ratio of 1:100 with phosphate buffered saline containing 10% Block Ace (Dainippon Pharmaceutical Co., Osaka, Japan) and 0.05% Tween 20. The samples were tested for BDV antibodies using the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) to screen for the recombinant BDV nucleoprotein (BDV-N) antigen as described in our previous studies [2]. To detect antigen-bound bovine immunoglobulin, we used a peroxidase-conjugated goat affinity purified anti-bovine IgG (Bethyl Laboratories, Inc., Montgomery, TX, U.S.A.). Positive reactions were identified using a Microplate Imaging System (Ultramark, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, U.S.A.) at 405 nm. The cutoff value for ELISA was calculated as the

*CORRESPONDENCE TO: HAGIWARA, K., School of Veterinary Medicine, Rakuno Gakuen University, Ebetsu, Hokkaido 069-8501, Japan. e-mail: k-hagi@rakuno.ac.jp

©2016 The Japanese Society of Veterinary Science

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives (by-nc-nd) License <<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>>.

Table 1. Cows group Overview and BDV seroprevalence in the breeding herd

| | Non-closed breeding herd | | Closed breeding herd | | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|
| | Heifer | Adult | Heifer | | | Adult | | |
| | | | A | B | C | A | B | C |
| BDV(+) | 13 | 9 | 4 | 24 | 15 | 70 | 74 | 61 |
| BDV(-) | 366 | 110 | 16 | 70 | 9 | 123 | 123 | 35 |
| | 3.4 (13/379) | 7.6 (9/119) | 20 (4/20) | 25.5 (24/94) | 62.5 (15/24) | 36.3 (70/193) | 37.6 (74/193) | 63.5 (61/96) |
| Positive rate | 4.4 (22/498) | | 31.2 (43/138) | | | 42.2 (205/486) | | |
| | 39.7 (248/624) | | | | | | | |
| | 24.1 (270/1122) | | | | | | | |

mean \pm 2SD at an optical density (OD) of 405 nm from 5 intact cows (cutoff: OD, 0.4). ELISA-positive samples were further examined to confirm their specificity to the BDV-N antigen. Antibody-antigen complexes were detected using the same peroxidase-conjugated goat affinity purified anti-bovine IgG [9].

To analyze the contribution of vertical transmission to overall virus transmission, we selected closed breeding herds with no history of buying in for at least 20 years. Using the statistical software R (version 3.0.2), we calculated the relative risk (RR) for seropositivity in daughters born to mothers who were BDV-seropositive. The relative risk is an indicator to evaluate the strength of association between the exposure to a factor and disease in a cohort study. It is calculated as a ratio of the incidence rate of exposed group to that of non-exposed group by a certain factor.

RESULTS

In our study, the overall sero-prevalence of BDV was 24.1% (270/1122), and there were entire farms with BDV sero-positive cows [Table 1]. There were no BD cases reported during the study period, and all the cows were in a healthy condition. All three closed-breeding farms had BDV sero-positive animals, with a prevalence of 39.7% (248/624). The prevalence in the other 21 non-closed breeding (buy-in) farms was 4.4% (22/498), which was significantly lower than that of the closed-breeding farms ($\chi^2=187.2$, $df=1$, $P<0.01$).

To investigate why prevalence differed between the closed-breeding and buy-in farms, we examined the stage of the animals that were sero-positive. In closed-breeding farms, sero-positivity in adult cows was 42.2% (205/486), which was significantly higher than in heifers (31.2%, 43/138; $\chi^2=5.0$, $df=1$, $P=0.03$). This suggests an increase in prevalence as the cows age, due to increasing exposure to the virus over time. Conversely, in buy-in breeding farms, prevalence was not significantly different between heifers (3.4%, 13/379) and adult cows (7.6%, 9/119; $\chi^2=2.8$, $df=1$, $P=0.1$, Table 1). In the closed-breeding farms, the BDV sero-prevalence of adult cows from individual farms were: farm A 36.3% (70/193); farm B 37.6% (74/197); and farm C 63.5% (61/96) (Table 1). The prevalence in these farms was approximately 6 times higher than that in non-closed breeding farms as shown in Table 1. The proportion of adult

Table 2. Cohort study on vertical transmission in the closed breeding herd

| | | Adult | | |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| | | BDV (+) | BDV (-) | Total |
| Heifer | BDV (+) | 54 | 50 | 104 |
| | BDV (-) | 41 | 106 | 147 |
| | Total | 95 | 156 | 251 |

Table 3. Relative risk of BDV vertical transmission in the closed breeding herd

| | A | B | C | Entirety |
|---|-----------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| Vertical transmission relative risk | 1.01 | 1.94 ^a | 1.67 | 1.86 ^a |
| 95% confidence interval (Lower ~ Upper limit) | 0.56~1.82 | 1.27~2.98 | 0.99~2.82 | 1.54~2.56 |

a) Significant at the 95% confidence interval.

cows that were sero-positive was significantly greater in closed-breeding farms (77.8%, 486/624) than in non-closed breeding farms (23.9%, 119/498; $\chi^2=322.8$, $df=1$, $P<0.001$). The greater proportion of sero-positive adult cows in the closed-breeding farms was the major contributor to the different levels of sero-prevalence detected between closed and non-closed breeding farms.

We investigated the RR of seropositivity of daughters using sero-epidemiological data. The combination was able to confirm the information of the mother and child relationship was 251 pairs (Table 2). Of the 251 sets, the relationship of mother and daughter has been established; the mother was positive was 95 pairs (95/251 37.8%), and out of the 95 sets, the daughter cows were positive was 54 pairs (54/95 56.8%). The RR was 1.01 at farm A, 1.94 at farm B, and 1.67 at farm C. Across all three closed breeding farms, the RR was 1.86 (95% confidence interval, (CI): 1.54~2.56) (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

Previous epidemiological studies on BDV infection in cattle have suggested that BD virus is transmitted within the herd. However, to date, the RR of BDV transmission

remains unclear. This study showed the seropositive rate of heifers from non-closed breeding (general dairy management) farms was 3.4%. Closed breeding herds did have a higher seropositive rate than non-closed breeding herds. If horizontal transmission was the major route for infection with this virus, the seroprevalence of BDV should be the same among them. However, the seroprevalence was similar in the non-closed breeding herds; therefore, there must be another transmission route that increased the seroprevalence of BDV in the closed-breeding herd. The non-closed breeding herd had contact with herds from other farms through pasture grazing, and the seroprevalence of BDV differed between the two farm management styles. Therefore, we focused on the possibility of virus transmission among the BDV-antibody positive herds. We analyzed the RR of vertical transmission between seropositive mothers and calves using epidemiological risk analysis, which was based on statistical techniques. This risk analysis strategy is used mainly to indicate the relationship between intensity of exposure factors and disease outbreaks. In humans, it has been widely used to calculate the relative risk between blood levels of the hepatitis C virus on liver cancer risk [19], as well as between passive smoking and lung cancer risk [24]. In this study, we also analyzed the occurrence of a causative agent for BSE. In the BSE report, Wilesmith showed the significance of vertical transmission in relative risk, with antibody-positive mothers, the postulated source of the agent exposure [26]. In this experiment, we used the statistical software R to evaluate the RR of BD virus transmission between BDV seropositive mothers and seropositivity in daughters. We found that the RR of BDV vertical transmission from infected mothers to their daughters was between 1.01 and 1.94 at three different closed-breeding farms. The RR across the three farms was 1.86 (95% CI: 1.54 ~2.56). This shows that vertical transmission was a significant RR in closed-breeding herds.

There are three different routes for vertical transmission. The first route is trans-placental transmission and intrauterine infection *in utero*, the second is that virus transmission occurs via the vagina during delivery, and the third is that the colostrum contains the virus or virus-infected cells [12, 21, 23]. BDV infection in equine or rodent fetuses has also been reported [6, 17, 20]. In this study, we calculated the RR of BDV vertical transmission in dairy herds for the first time. The antibody-positive ratio of cows from closed-breeding herds was significantly greater than in cows from non-closed breeding farms. Our statistical analysis suggests a strong possibility of vertical transmission of BDV. Closed-breeding herd management is likely to increase the rate of BDV transmission from seropositive mothers to their daughters by vertical transmission. Since seropositive cows are at risk of transmitting the virus to their calves, this might explain the higher BDV infection rates in closed-breeding farms. In the future, the detection and distribution of the virus should be evaluated in individual seropositive cattle.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This study was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science's Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research, JSPS KAKENHI grant number

15580279, 23580427. We thank Dr. Yuko Kato-Mori, Mr. Shin Yoshinaga, Mr. Yuji Wada, and Mr. Tatsunari Kondo for the technical assistance they provided during this research.

REFERENCES

- Hagiwara, K., Ando, T. and Koiwa, M. 2012. The influence of Borna disease viral infection on dairy cow reproduction. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* **74**: 419–421. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Hagiwara, K., Asakawa, M., Liao, L., Jiang, W., Yan, S., Chai, J., Oku, Y., Ikuta, K. and Ito, M. 2001. Seroprevalence of Borna disease virus in domestic animals in Xinjiang, China. *Vet. Microbiol.* **80**: 383–389. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Hagiwara, K., Kawamoto, S., Takahashi, H., Nakamura, Y., Nakaya, T., Hiramune, T., Ishihara, C. and Ikuta, K. 1997. High prevalence of Borna disease virus infection in healthy sheep in Japan. *Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol.* **4**: 339–344. [[Medline](#)]
- Hagiwara, K., Matoba, Y. and Asakawa, M. 2009. Borna disease virus in Raccoons (*Procyon lotor*) in Japan. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* **71**: 1009–1015. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Hagiwara, K., Nakaya, T., Nakamura, Y., Asahi, S., Takahashi, H., Ishihara, C. and Ikuta, K. 1996. Borna disease virus RNA in peripheral blood mononuclear cells obtained from healthy dairy cattle. *Med. Microbiol. Immunol. (Berl.)* **185**: 145–151. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Hagiwara, K., Okamoto, M., Kamitani, W., Takamura, S., Taniyama, H., Tsunoda, N., Tanaka, H., Iwai, H. and Ikuta, K. 2002. Nosological study of Borna disease virus infection in race horses. *Vet. Microbiol.* **84**: 367–374. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Hagiwara, K., Tsuge, Y., Asakawa, M., Kabaya, H., Okamoto, M., Miyasho, T., Taniyama, H., Ishihara, C., de la Torre, J. C. and Ikuta, K. 2008. Borna disease virus RNA detected in Japanese macaques (*Macaca fuscata*). *Primates* **49**: 57–64. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Hirano, N., Kao, M. and Ludwig, H. 1983. Persistent, tolerant or subacute infection in Borna disease virus-infected rats. *J. Gen. Virol.* **64**: 1521–1530. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Kishi, M., Nakaya, T., Nakamura, Y., Kakinuma, M., Takahashi, T. A., Sekiguchi, S., Uchikawa, M., Tadokoro, K., Ikeda, K. and Ikuta, K. 1995. Prevalence of Borna disease virus RNA in peripheral blood mononuclear cells from blood donors. *Med. Microbiol. Immunol. (Berl.)* **184**: 135–138. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Lancaster, K., Dietz, D. M., Moran, T. H. and Pletnikov, M. V. 2007. Abnormal social behaviors in young and adult rats neonatally infected with Borna disease virus. *Behav. Brain Res.* **176**: 141–148. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Matsumoto, Y., Hayashi, Y., Omori, H., Honda, T., Daito, T., Horie, M., Ikuta, K., Fujino, K., Nakamura, S., Schneider, U., Chase, G., Yoshimori, T., Schwemmler, M. and Tomonaga, K. 2012. Bornavirus closely associates and segregates with host chromosomes to ensure persistent intranuclear infection. *Cell Host Microbe* **11**: 492–503. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Mims, C. A. 1981. Vertical transmission of viruses. *Microbiol. Rev.* **45**: 267–286. [[Medline](#)]
- Nishino, Y., Funaba, M., Fukushima, R., Mizutani, T., Kimura, T., Iizuka, R., Hirami, H. and Hara, M. 1999. Borna disease virus infection in domestic cats: evaluation by RNA and antibody detection. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* **61**: 1167–1170. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Okamoto, M., Furuoka, H., Hagiwara, K., Kamitani, W., Kirisawa, R., Ikuta, K. and Taniyama, H. 2002. Borna disease in a heifer in Japan. *Vet. Rec.* **150**: 16–18. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- Okamoto, M., Kagawa, Y., Kamitani, W., Hagiwara, K., Kirisawa, R., Iwai, H., Ikuta, K. and Taniyama, H. 2002. Borna disease

- in a dog in Japan. *J. Comp. Pathol.* **126**: 312–317. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Ovanesov, M. V., Vogel, M. W., Moran, T. H. and Pletnikov, M. V. 2007. Neonatal Borna disease virus infection in rats is associated with increased extracellular levels of glutamate and neurodegeneration in the striatum. *J. Neurovirol.* **13**: 185–194. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
 17. Rott, R. and Becht, H. 1995. Natural and experimental Borna disease in animals. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* **190**: 17–30. [[Medline](#)]
 18. Sakurai, M. 1974. Future of Japanese Animal Husbandry. *Jpn. J. Zotech. Sci.* **45**: 627–637.
 19. Sanada, M., Naitou, H., Murakami, J., Tanioka, Y., Kioka, H., Fujii, T., Tamura, I. and Kouda, T. 1993. Clinical evaluation of mother to infant transmission of hepatitis C virus infection. *Iryo* **47**: 449–453.
 20. Staeheli, P., Sauder, C., Hausmann, J., Ehrensperger, F. and Schwemmler, M. 2000. Epidemiology of Borna disease virus. *J. Gen. Virol.* **81**: 2123–2135. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
 21. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists 1999. Scheduled cesarean delivery and the prevention of vertical transmission of HIV infection. *ACOG* **234**: 1–3.
 22. Tomonaga, K., Kobayashi, T. and Ikuta, K. 2002. Molecular and cellular biology of Borna disease virus infection. *Microbes Infect.* **4**: 491–500. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
 23. Tóth, F. D., Bácsi, A., Beck, Z. and Szabó, J. 2001. Vertical transmission of human immunodeficiency virus. *Acta Microbiol. Immunol. Hung.* **48**: 413–427. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
 24. Tsugane, S. 2010. Risk and prevention of breast cancer, from an epidemiologic standpoint. *J. Jan. Assoc. Breast Cancer Screen.* **19**: 4–15. [[CrossRef](#)]
 25. Watanabe, Y., Yanai, H., Ohtaki, N., Ikuta, K. and Tomonaga, K. 2006. Prevalence of Borna disease virus antibodies in healthy Japanese black cattle in Kyushu. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* **68**: 171–174. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
 26. Wilesmith, J. W., Wells, G. A. H., Ryan, J. B. M., Gavier-Widen, D. and Simmons, M. M. 1997. A cohort study to examine maternally-associated risk factors for bovine spongiform encephalopathy. *Vet. Rec.* **141**: 239–243. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]