

# Insights Into the Pathophysiology of Cellulite: A Review

LAWRENCE S. BASS, MD\*<sup>†</sup> AND MICHAEL S. KAMINER, MD\*<sup>‡§||</sup>

**BACKGROUND** The etiology of cellulite is unclear. Treatment of cellulite has targeted adipose tissue, dermis, and fibrous septae with varying degrees of success and durability of response.

**OBJECTIVE** Results from clinical trials that target different anatomical aspects of cellulite can provide insights into the underlying pathophysiology of cellulite.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS** A search of the PubMed database and ClinicalTrials.gov website was conducted to identify clinical trials that have investigated treatments for cellulite.

**RESULTS** A lack of trial protocol standardization, objective means for quantification of improvement and reported cellulite severity, and short-term follow-up, as well as variation in assessment methods have made comparisons among efficacy studies challenging. However, the lack of durable efficacy and inconsistency seen in clinical results suggest that dermal or adipose tissue changes are not the primary etiologies of cellulite. Clinical studies targeting the collagen-rich fibrous septae in cellulite dimples through mechanical, surgical, or enzymatic approaches suggest that targeting fibrous septae is the strategy most likely to provide durable improvement of skin topography and the appearance of cellulite.

**CONCLUSION** The etiology of cellulite has not been completely elucidated. However, there is compelling clinical evidence that fibrous septae play a central role in the pathophysiology of cellulite.

*M.S. Kaminer reports serving as a clinical investigator and consultant for Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc. and serving as a consultant for Arctic Fox LLC, ExploraMed, and Soliton, Inc. Technical editorial and medical writing assistance was provided, under the direction of the authors, by Mary Beth Moncrief and Julie B. Stimmel, Synchrony Medical Communications, LLC, West Chester, PA. Funding for this support was provided by Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc., Malvern, PA. Endo Pharmaceuticals did not actively contribute to the article content or interpretation, reviewed for scientific accuracy only, and had no role in the decision to submit the article for publication. L.S. Bass reports being an advisory board participant for Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc.; serving as a consultant for Cynosure, A Hologic Company; and being a clinical investigator for Cynosure, A Hologic Company, Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc., and Merz North America.*

Cellulite is described as an aesthetic alteration of the skin surface characterized by a padded “orange peel” dimpling of the skin.<sup>1–3</sup> Although robust epidemiologic data are lacking in the scientific literature, cellulite is estimated to occur in 80% to 98% of postpubertal women.<sup>3,4</sup> In healthy men,

cellulite is rare, but it can occur because of medical conditions that result in androgen deficiency or require estrogen therapy.<sup>1,4</sup> It has been difficult to achieve durable success with treatments for cellulite, including topical agents, energy-based devices, subcision, and injectable biologic agents, all of which target key

*\*Bass Plastic Surgery, PLLC, New York, New York; †Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery, Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra Northwell, Hempstead, New York; ‡Skincare Physicians, Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts; §Department of Dermatology, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut; ||Department of Dermatology, Brown Medical School, Providence, Rhode Island*

*This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.*

*Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text and are provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's Web site ([www.dermatologicsurgery.org](http://www.dermatologicsurgery.org)).*

*Copyright © 2020 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the American Society for Dermatologic Surgery, Inc.*

*ISSN: 1076-0512 • Dermatol Surg 2020;46:S77–S85 • DOI: 10.1097/DSS.0000000000002388*

tissues (i.e., dermis, adipose, fibrous septae, or a combination of these).<sup>5</sup> However, data from anatomical studies have increased our understanding of the underlying physiology of cellulite.<sup>1,6–8</sup> Integrating this anatomical information with data from clinical studies examining specific tissues involved in cellulite may increase our knowledge of cellulite etiology and lead to improved cellulite treatment strategies. The aim of this review is to provide insights into the pathophysiology of cellulite based on the available data and outcomes from anatomical and interventional clinical studies.

## Methods

A search of the PubMed database with no date restriction was conducted in March 2019 using the following search terms: “cellulite” AND (“physiopathology” OR “anatomy” OR “histology” OR “physiology” OR “etiology” OR “causality”). Searches were limited to English-language publications. Reference lists in all relevant publications were examined and used to identify additional articles for inclusion. A search of the ClinicalTrials.gov web site was conducted to identify clinical trials with the intention to target cellulite (search term: “cellulite”). Using this search strategy, 206 articles were identified; of which, 65 articles were included in this review; articles that did not quantify cellulite severity were excluded.

## Anatomy of Cellulite

The high prevalence of cellulite in women is associated with sex-specific differences in the anatomy of the skin and subcutaneous tissue (e.g., fat and connective tissue)<sup>1,8</sup> and may be hormonally driven by estrogen.<sup>2</sup> These sex-specific differences can help to increase our understanding of cellulite pathophysiology. A 2019 anatomical study<sup>8</sup> demonstrated that dermal thickness is reduced with age in both men and women, suggesting that age-related changes in the dermis are not the primary pathology in cellulite. After analyzing thigh and buttock biopsies of 150 cadavers and 30 living women, Nürnberger and Müller<sup>1</sup> reported that the topographic characteristics of skin with cellulite were caused by adipose protruding into weakened dermal tissue. Microimaging magnetic resonance

imaging (MRI) studies and histopathologic analysis ( $n = 10$ , posterolateral thigh) have shown no correlation between cellulite severity and adipose layer thickness,<sup>7</sup> and no anatomical differences ( $n = 60$ , buttocks, abdomen, upper thigh;  $n = 30$  buttocks) between subcutaneous adipose tissue when comparing the morphology of control skin with subcutaneous fat from cellulite.<sup>7,9–11</sup> However, MRI and anatomical studies have demonstrated that adipose cell chambers in women are larger in height and width compared with men, which may allow adipose cells to protrude into the overlying skin.<sup>1,7,8</sup>

Differences in the number, thickness, orientation, and interrelatedness of septae in cellulite skin have been identified.<sup>1,6–9</sup> Based on pathology studies, the septae of men are oriented at 45° angles to the skin in a criss-crossing pattern, whereas women have septae that are oriented perpendicularly to the skin.<sup>1,6–9</sup> A biopsy study of cellulite reported in 2000 that there was uneven thickness of the septae across samples and that the septae were fibrosclerotic.<sup>12</sup> Authors concluded that fat protrusion into the dermis was a secondary event—the result of continuous and progressive tension placed on the septae, creating dimples and depressions characteristic of cellulite.<sup>12</sup> Additionally, an MRI study in 30 women reported a significant increase in the mean (SD) thickness of septae in skin with cellulite (2.2 [0.9]) versus noncellulite skin (0.3 [0.6];  $p < .001$ ) of the buttocks; however, there was no relationship between septae thickness and cellulite severity.<sup>9</sup> A 2019 anatomical study of the buttocks from cadavers of 10 men and 10 women demonstrated that the number and type of septae play a key role in the development of cellulite, and the force needed to break the septae in men was significantly greater than in women ( $p = .02$ ).<sup>8</sup>

## Treatment of Cellulite

### Topical Creams

Methylxanthines (e.g., caffeine) penetrate the skin to act directly on adipose cells, promoting lipolysis,<sup>13</sup> and retinol can prevent in vitro human preadipocyte differentiation.<sup>14</sup> Small clinical studies (6 studies;  $n = 212$  women)<sup>15–20</sup> of topical caffeine (0.1%–13.0%), retinol, or a combination of both, using varying regimens,

reported significant improvement in cellulite severity (See **Supplemental Digital Content 1**, Table S1, <http://links.lww.com/DSS/A299>).<sup>15–45</sup> One study assessing durability noted that cellulite severity improvements were short term (<2 weeks).<sup>17</sup> A sugar derivative, sulfocarrabiose, reduced lipogenesis and increased lipolysis in vitro, and a randomized trial of 25 women applying a 3% sulfocarrabiose cream twice per day on the thigh for 8 weeks noted improvement in cellulite severity using a numeric scale (range: 0, no cellulite to 10, maximum cellulite;  $p < .05$ ).<sup>21</sup>

### **Massage**

LPG Endermologie (Endo-Systems, LLC, Fort Lauderdale, FL) is a US Food and Drug Administration–approved mechanical massage system for cellulite treatment that uses positive pressure from 2 rollers and negative pressure from aspiration to the skin and subcutaneous tissue.<sup>22,23</sup> In 2 observational studies of whole-body endermologie (15 sessions; 35–40 minutes per session; twice weekly), significant improvements from baseline ( $p \leq .02$ ) using the Nürnberger-Müller scale were observed in both studies (See **Supplemental Digital Content 1**, Table S1, <http://links.lww.com/DSS/A299>).<sup>22,23</sup> However, it is unclear from published data how durable these effects are long term. In clinical practice, improvements in the appearance of cellulite from massage are thought to be short lived.

### **Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy**

Extracorporeal shock wave therapy (ESWT) uses electrical energy to create mechanical disruption of targeted tissues without cytolysis, resulting in extracellular healing responses that create tissue regeneration changes such as collagen remodeling and improvement of local blood circulation from neovascularization.<sup>46–49</sup> Shock waves can pass through tissue and confine effects to areas of differing densities, with no substantial effect on the surrounding tissue.<sup>46,47</sup> Radial systems produce energy in a more dispersed form, creating more diffuse and superficial tissue effects that can nonetheless penetrate up to 25 mm, thus reaching subcutaneous fat and connective tissue structures.<sup>50</sup> Focused waves are typically higher energy and penetrate more deeply into tissue.<sup>51</sup> The mechanism of ESWT efficacy has not been completely elucidated, but

studies have indicated that potential mechanisms include induction of the formation and remodeling of collagen, neolastin formation, and skin laxity improvement.<sup>46,51–53</sup> Because of effects on collagen and improvement in skin characteristics, ESWT has been proposed as a treatment for cellulite by targeting the dermis, adipose, and septae (See **Supplemental Digital Content 1**, Table S1, <http://links.lww.com/DSS/A299>).<sup>24–45,54</sup>

Clinical trials have evaluated the use of acoustic wave therapy (AWT)<sup>26</sup> and ESWT<sup>25,27</sup> to target the dermis. A randomized controlled trial of 53 women treated with focused ESWT on the buttocks and thighs for 6 sessions reported a significant 2.5-point improvement ( $p = .001$ ) in photonumeric Cellulite Severity Scale (CSS) score compared with sham control at 12 weeks post-treatment.<sup>25</sup> Of note, additional assessments of skin improvement, such as change in the number ( $p = .01$ ) and depth ( $p = .001$ ) of depressions in the treatment group, were significantly reduced versus sham control, but skin elasticity was similar between the groups.<sup>25</sup> A second randomized controlled trial of 16 women treated with radial AWT on the thighs and buttocks for 7 sessions demonstrated statistical significance at 1 and 3 months post-treatment in the overall results ( $p = .01$ ) of skin waviness, surface, and volume of depressions and elevations assessed by 3-dimensional (3-D) imaging.<sup>26</sup> Using 2-dimensional (2-D) photographs, 4 investigators reported significant improvement in cellulite severity using the CSS.<sup>26</sup> A third randomized controlled clinical trial of 14 women treated unilaterally with radial ESWT on the posterior thigh and buttock for 8 sessions reported a mean improvement from baseline of 0.82 cellulite grades at 4 weeks.<sup>27</sup>

Extracorporeal shock wave therapy<sup>24</sup> also has been evaluated in clinical trials to target adipose tissue. Combined radial and focused ESWT treatment of the outer thighs of 15 patients assessed by ultrasound demonstrated significant reductions at 12 weeks in mean fat thickness ( $0.27 \pm 0.13$  cm;  $p < .0001$ ) versus untreated legs.<sup>24</sup> This study also reported visual improvement of cellulite that was not statistically analyzed, and it did not use a validated scale.<sup>24</sup> Thus, even though significant reductions in adipose tissue were seen at 12 weeks, it is unclear if the improvements had any effect on cellulite severity because the results were not statistically compared.<sup>24</sup>

One clinical trial has evaluated AWT<sup>28</sup> to target the adipose and dermis, with the intention to improve cellulite appearance. A single-center study of 30 women with moderate-to-severe cellulite (based on CSS score) on the thighs and buttocks reported significant improvement in cellulite severity following 12 sessions with combined focused and radial AWT.<sup>28</sup> The mean CSS score decreased from 11.1 at baseline to 9.5 at 12 weeks post-treatment (primary end point,  $p < .001$ ). Significant improvement from baseline at 12 weeks post-treatment was also reported for reduction in mean subcutaneous fat thickness ( $p < .001$ ).<sup>28</sup>

### Radiofrequency

Electrothermal effects of radiofrequency (RF) are generated by the impedance of electricity flow through the tissue, and the depth of penetration for multipolar and bipolar RF is reported as approximately half the distance between the 2 electrodes (often less than 2–4 mm); therefore, depending on the distance between the electrodes, application can result in more superficial and subcutaneous heating.<sup>29,55,56</sup> Monopolar and unipolar RF can achieve  $\geq 2$  cm depth of penetration into the skin for subcutaneous heating. Multipolar RF has the potential to achieve depths of penetration ranging from  $<1$  cm to  $>4$  cm.<sup>55</sup> Therapeutic effects of RF include collagen denaturation, which can result in skin tightening (See **Supplemental Digital Content 1**, Table S1, <http://links.lww.com/DSS/A299>).<sup>29,57</sup> Of note, unipolar RF has been shown to increase the number and thickness of septae.<sup>56</sup> Fifty women with Grade-3 (Rossi classification) cellulite on the buttocks were treated with bipolar RF for 12 weekly sessions and reported improvements in cellulite appearance by visual and photographic assessment; however, no statistical analysis was performed at 2 months.<sup>29</sup> One randomized controlled study of 45 women with Grade-1 to Grade-3 cellulite (Nürnberger-Müller scale) used ultrasound to assess the effects of low-level tripolar RF (regimen of 8 procedures conducted every 7–8 days) for the treatment of the posterior thigh; this study demonstrated significant improvement in cellulite grade ( $Z = 4.372$ ,  $p < .001$ ) and reduction in the thickness of subcutaneous tissue ( $Z = 4.541$ ,  $p < .001$ ) pre/post-treatment versus placebo at 4 weeks.<sup>30</sup> Of note, significant changes were

reported for dermal thickness in the RF treatment group ( $p = .006$ ), and increasing echogenicity was consistent with an increasing number of septae.<sup>30</sup>

### Laser- and Light-Based Devices

Various in vitro and in vivo studies have suggested the potential of low-level laser therapy (LLLT) to activate a biological cascade that increases the production of collagen.<sup>58,59</sup> In a randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled study, thigh and buttock cellulite (Grade-2 or -3 [Nürnberger-Müller scale]) in 68 women was treated with a 532-nm LLLT device (six 30-minute treatments during a 2-week period) with a multiarray noncontact treatment head (See **Supplemental Digital Content 1**, Table S1, <http://links.lww.com/DSS/A299>).<sup>32</sup> At 2 weeks post-treatment, 55.9% of participants achieved  $\geq 1$  Nürnberger-Müller scale decrease from baseline versus sham control ( $p < .0001$ ); the improvements persisted during an additional 4 weeks of follow-up.<sup>32</sup> Infrared light produced from a light-emitting diode ( $\geq 6$  h/d for 5 consecutive days each week during a 90-day period) demonstrated no significant cellulite improvement in 1 randomized controlled clinical trial of 25 women with Grade-2 or Grade-3 cellulite (Nürnberger-Müller scale).<sup>31</sup> At higher fluence than the LLLT, the non-ablative, long-pulsed 1064-nm neodymium-doped yttrium-aluminum-garnet (Nd:YAG) laser activated a wound repair response that resulted in the stimulation of fibroblast activity and collagen reformation.<sup>60,61</sup> In a randomized clinical trial, mild-to-moderate improvement in cellulite severity was observed after treatment with a long-pulsed 1064-nm Nd:YAG laser.<sup>33</sup>

A subdermal laser technique using a 1440-nm Nd:YAG laser combined with a side-firing fiber and temperature-sensing cannula can effectively treat cellulite through a combination approach.<sup>34</sup> This approach initiates thermal damage to adipocytes, severing fibrous septae via thermal subcision, and targeting the dermis using the thermal energy of the laser to stimulate an increase in collagen.<sup>34</sup> Four observational studies ( $n = 107$ ) evaluated the efficacy of the minimally invasive 1440-nm Nd:YAG laser

(Cellulaze; Cynosure, Westford, MA) for the treatment of cellulite.<sup>34–37</sup> In a study of 10 women with moderate-to-severe cellulite who received a single treatment, significant improvement in skin thickness (ultrasound) and elasticity was demonstrated at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months post-treatment (1, 3, and 6 months,  $p < .001$ ; 12 months,  $p \leq .02$ ) in the treated thigh versus the untreated thigh; however, no significant improvement was seen in cellulite severity.<sup>34</sup> In a subsequent multicenter study of a larger participant population ( $n = 57$ ), single treatment of bilateral thighs and/or buttocks with the 1440-nm Nd:YAG laser resulted in significant mean improvement from baseline in both dimples and contour scores (5-point scales), as observed via digital photography, at 2 months (dimple: 1.4,  $p < .001$ ; contour: 1.0,  $p < .001$ ), 3 months (dimple: 1.4,  $p < .001$ ; contour: 0.9,  $p < .001$ ), and 6 months (dimple: 1.5,  $p < .001$ ; contour: 1.1,  $p < .001$ ) versus the untreated area.<sup>35</sup> The  $\geq 1$ -point improvement recorded at 6 months was maintained at 12 months in 90% of the treatment sites (46 of 51 treatment areas in 30 patients).<sup>62</sup> A study of 15 women with Grade-2 or Grade-3 cellulite in the thighs and buttocks reported significant improvement after a single treatment in both mean dimple appearance (0–5 scale; baseline, 2.2; 6 months, 1.2;  $p < .005$ ) and mean contour irregularities (0–5 scale; baseline, 1.9; 6 months, 1.0;  $p < .005$ ) with the use of 1440-nm Nd:YAG laser, as observed by blinded investigators reviewing 2-D photography.<sup>36</sup> Furthermore, 3-D photography demonstrated that overall mean dimple depth improved by 42% ( $p = .0002$ ) and 49% ( $p = .0003$ ) at 3 and 6 months, respectively.<sup>36</sup> Using DermaLab Elasticity Module (Cortex Technology, Hadsund, Denmark), 25 women with Grade-2 or Grade-3 posterior or lateral thigh cellulite (Nürnberg-Müller scale) identified significant improvements from baseline in skin elasticity after a single treatment ( $p < .01$ ) at 2, 3, 6, and 24 months post-treatment with the 1440-nm Nd:YAG laser.<sup>37</sup> Improvements in cellulite severity and dermal thickness were also reported but were not quantified.<sup>37</sup>

### **Liposuction**

Since the 1970s, liposuction has been the standard treatment for body contouring by removal of sub-

cutaneous adipose tissue.<sup>63</sup> However, clinical studies evaluating the efficacy of liposuction for the treatment of cellulite are extremely limited. In addition, several studies that did not quantify cellulite severity as an end point did not meet the criteria to be included in this review. A prospective study with 72 women treated with 20 sessions of endermologie or ultrasound-assisted liposuction reported that the liposuction treatment group had no improvement in the reduction of cellulite (endermologie,  $50\% \pm 16.9$ ; liposuction,  $0\%$ ;  $p = .001$ ).<sup>63</sup> It has been suggested that subcutaneous fibrosis and lipoatrophy from liposuction may cause secondary cellulite or aggravate existing cellulite.<sup>64</sup> In most cases, tumescent liposuction neither improves nor worsens cellulite.<sup>65</sup>

### **Subcision**

One of the first studies of manual subcision was a retrospective study of 232 women with Grade-2 or Grade-3 cellulite of the buttocks and thighs, with improvement assessed by photographs.<sup>66</sup> After 1 treatment session, 183 (78.9%) participants reported being satisfied with the improvement, and 47 (20.3%) participants had “reasonable results.” The improvement in cellulite appearance was reported to be persistent ( $>2$  years) in 9.9% ( $n = 23$ ) of participants.<sup>66</sup> However, the size of the cohort available for evaluation at that post-treatment interval ( $\geq 2$  years) and the percentage of patients available for long-term follow-up who had persistent improvement were unclear.<sup>66</sup> If there was evidence of durable improvement in 9.9% of patients at  $>2$  years,<sup>66</sup> then these results are consistent with historical anecdotal experience indicating that manual subcision often has late recurrence. Also, improvements were not quantified according to the cellulite grading scale used to score pretreatment cellulite severity.<sup>66</sup> In a multicenter study of 200 women who underwent 1 procedure with a manual subcision device for cellulite, mean improvement of 8.1 (range, 7.3–9.4) on a 10-point scale was reported at 6 months postprocedure, based on photographic evidence (See **Supplemental Digital Content 1**, Table S1, <http://links.lww.com/DSS/A299>).<sup>38</sup> A study of manual subcision in 2 women evaluated anatomical changes with MRI imaging in addition to quantifying cellulite severity using the CSS for up to 7 months post-treatment; MRI imaging demonstrated that baseline dimple

location correlated with the presence of thick fibrous septae located beneath the dimple. Following manual subcision, MRI imaging confirmed the septae were no longer present beneath the dimple at 7 months post-treatment, and more importantly, cellulite severity was improved.<sup>54</sup>

To improve the reproducibility of the manual procedure, a vacuum-assisted system was introduced to provide precise control of the procedure area and user-selected treatment depth.<sup>3</sup> In a randomized clinical trial, 55 women with moderate-to-severe cellulite (CSS score) underwent a single procedure and reported a significant reduction in mean (SD) CSS score of 2.1 (0.7,  $p < .0001$ ) and 2.0 (0.8,  $p < .0001$ ) at the 3- and 12-month follow-up, respectively, via blinded investigator-assessment of photographs (See **Supplemental Digital Content 1**, Table S1, <http://links.lww.com/DSS/A299>).<sup>39</sup> At 3 and 12 months post-procedure, 92.7% (51/55) and 94.0% (47/50) of participants achieved  $\geq 1$ -point improvement in CSS score, respectively.<sup>39</sup> At 3 years postprocedure, the mean (SD) reduction in CSS score from baseline remained significant (2.0 [1.0];  $p < .0001$ ) for 45 participants from the original study, and 91.1% (41/45) had achieved  $\geq 1$ -point improvement in CSS score.<sup>40</sup> At 5 years postprocedure, the mean reduction in CSS score was maintained (1.8;  $p < .0001$ ) by 37 participants from the original study, and 87% had achieved  $\geq 1$ -point improvement in CSS score.<sup>41</sup> In a separate study of 16 women, in addition to cellulite improvement (CSS score), a mean improvement of 67.4% in negative volume and 58.4% in minimum dimple height, determined by 3-D imaging 6 months postprocedure, was reported following vacuum-assisted subcision.<sup>42</sup> In a subsequent retrospective study of vacuum-assisted subcision, 23 women with mild-to-moderate cellulite severity reported mean improvement from baseline of 2.9 (buttocks) and 2.8 (thighs), based on photographic assessment (score range, 0–4), using a limited release technique.<sup>43</sup> Overall mean cellulite improvement from baseline was reported as 3.1.<sup>43</sup> Both manual and vacuum-assisted subcision have been efficacious in smoothing the skin topography through the release of the collagen-rich subdermal septae, although durability of this effect has

been demonstrated only for vacuum-assisted subcision.

### **Collagenase Clostridium histolyticum**

In a Phase 2a, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study ( $n = 150$ ), women treated with collagenase *Clostridium histolyticum* (CCH) 0.48 mg or 0.84 mg had significant improvement from baseline in investigator-performed mean Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale scores at Day 73 versus placebo (0.9 [0.48-mg dose] and 1.1 [0.84-mg dose] vs 0.5 [placebo];  $p < .05$  vs placebo for both; See **Supplemental Digital Content 1**, Table S1, <http://links.lww.com/DSS/A299>).<sup>44</sup> A Phase 2b, randomized, double-blind study evaluated the efficacy of subcutaneous CCH 0.84 mg in 375 adult women with moderate-to-severe cellulite of the buttocks or posterolateral thighs.<sup>45</sup> At Day 71, 10.6% and 44.6% of patients in the CCH group were 2-level and 1-level composite responders (improvement in both Clinician Reported Photonumeric CSS and Patient Reported Photonumeric CSS), respectively, versus 1.6% and 17.9%, respectively, for placebo ( $p < .001$  vs placebo for both).<sup>45</sup>

### **Effectiveness of Cellulite Treatments by Target Tissue**

The main premise of this review is that our understanding of cellulite pathophysiology may increase by reviewing clinical studies that target tissues associated with cellulite. Studies failing to show the efficacy (e.g., inconsistent results between studies, limited, or no results) or studies with inadequate follow-up or only short-term durability post-treatment do not provide data in support of applicable target tissue(s) as the etiology of cellulite. Two studies that targeted the dermis using focused and radial ESWT devices demonstrated statistically significant improvements in skin characteristics and cellulite severity.<sup>25,26</sup> These studies are suggestive that surface skin changes can, to some degree, mask or improve the appearance of cellulite at the intervals evaluated. A 532-nm LLLT device, theorized to target skin, also demonstrated significant improvements in cellulite severity, but only with follow-up of a few weeks and in combination with weight loss compared with the

control group.<sup>32</sup> To date, no clinical studies targeting adipose alone have demonstrated improvement in cellulite severity. In contrast, clinical studies selectively releasing septae through vacuum-assisted subcision or through an enzymatic agent have demonstrated significant improvements in cellulite severity that appear to be potentially durable.<sup>38–40,42,44,45,54,66</sup>

Technologies that target multiple tissues also have been used as an approach for the treatment of cellulite. Topical creams containing various active ingredients that target the dermis and adipose have demonstrated statistically significant improvements in cellulite severity with daily application; however, the durability of these improvements after cessation of treatment was unclear or short term ( $\leq 2$  weeks).<sup>15–19,21</sup> The durability of statistically significant improvements seen after treatment targeting the dermis and subcutaneous tissue with endermologie is unclear.<sup>22,23</sup> Combined, focused, and radial AWT targeting dermis and adipose tissue demonstrated statistical improvements in cellulite severity and reductions in adipose tissue at 3 months.<sup>28</sup> Low-level RF<sup>30</sup> and subdermal laser-based devices<sup>34–37</sup> have also been used as an alternative approach for the treatment of cellulite to target the adipose, dermis, and septae. Both low-level tripolar RF and the 1440-nm Nd:YAG laser demonstrated significant short-term improvements in cellulite severity, with the laser treatment demonstrating durable efficacy at 12 months.<sup>30,35,62</sup> These approaches also demonstrated improvement in skin characteristics.<sup>30,34,37</sup> It is difficult to determine from these studies if one or another of the target tissues was predominately responsible for the improvements seen, or if a combination of small responses cumulated in a clinically meaningful overall result. Therefore, these combination target studies do little to support or refute the case for a given target tissue as the principle pathophysiological culprit in the appearance of cellulite.

Overall, multiple clinical studies that targeted septae alone or in combination with other tissues have reported significant durable ( $\geq 12$  months) improvements in cellulite severity.<sup>34,39–41,62,66</sup> The successes seen with this approach are consistent with the anatomical differences in septae between cellulite-affected

skin and normal skin that were first documented in 1978<sup>1</sup> and confirmed in subsequent biopsy and imaging studies.<sup>1,7,9,12</sup>

## Discussion and Conclusions

The etiology of cellulite still has not been fully elucidated in a single comprehensive, scientifically valid study. Limitations of using efficacy studies (See **Supplemental Digital Content 1**, Table S1, <http://links.lww.com/DSS/A299>) to elucidate the etiology of cellulite include variations in trial protocol design and duration, evaluation time points, treatment parameters, and end points reported, as well as variation in photography techniques and number and training of graders. The inability to objectively quantify the severity of cellulite at baseline, lack of grading scale standardization among studies, and variability in assessing degrees of cellulite improvement are further limitations.<sup>67</sup>

There are 2 central findings of this review: (1) the presence of visible cellulite is associated with histologic changes in the dermis, adipose tissue, and septae, compared with unaffected skin, and (2) efficacy with a treatment strategy that targets fibrous septae (1 of the 3 primary anatomical features of cellulite) is evidence of a cause and effect relationship for the development of cellulite. The lack of efficacy from strategies that target adipose tissue suggests that alterations in adipose tissue are not the primary etiology of cellulite.<sup>24</sup> Short-term improvements have been demonstrated with specific technologies intended to enhance dermal thickness or promote skin remodeling, or a strategy that targets the dermis and adipose tissue simultaneously. However, the lack of durable efficacy suggests that dermal or dermal/adipose changes are not the primary cause of cellulite. Young adult female skin commonly presents with cellulite, which suggests that aging-related changes in dermal thickness are not the primary cause of cellulite.

Clinical data support that targeting the collagen-rich fibrous septae in cellulite dimples through mechanical, surgical, or enzymatic approaches (with or without concomitant treatment of dermis or adipose) is most

likely to improve skin topography and produce durable improvement in the appearance of cellulite. Furthermore, a 2019 anatomical study appears to support the importance of the number and type of septae in the etiology of cellulite.<sup>8</sup> Researchers are pursuing further insights into the anatomy and physiology of cellulite, but additional clinical studies to refine existing etiology hypotheses are also needed and can only lead to a better understanding of this common condition in women.

## References

- Nürnberg F, Müller G. So-called cellulite: an invented disease. *J Dermatol Surg Oncol* 1978;4:221–9.
- Rossi AB, Vergnanini AL. Cellulite: a review. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2000;14:251–62.
- Friedmann DP, Vick GL, Mishra V. Cellulite: a review with a focus on subcision. *Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol* 2017;10:17–23.
- Avram MM. Cellulite: a review of its physiology and treatment. *J Cosmet Laser Ther* 2005;6:181–5.
- Sadick N. Treatment for cellulite. *Int J Womens Dermatol* 2019;5:68–72.
- Querleux B, Cornillon C, Jolivet O, Bittoun J. Anatomy and physiology of subcutaneous adipose tissue by in vivo magnetic resonance imaging and spectroscopy: relationships with sex and presence of cellulite. *Skin Res Technol* 2002;8:118–24.
- Mirrashed F, Sharp JC, Krause V, Morgan J, et al. Pilot study of dermal and subcutaneous fat structures by MRI in individuals who differ in gender, BMI, and cellulite grading. *Skin Res Technol* 2004;10:161–8.
- Rudolph C, Hladik C, Hamade H, Frank K, et al. Structural gender-dimorphism and the biomechanics of the gluteal subcutaneous tissue—implications for the pathophysiology of cellulite. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2019;143:1077–86.
- Hexsel DM, Abreu M, Rodrigues TC, Soirefmann M, et al. Side-by-side comparison of areas with and without cellulite depressions using magnetic resonance imaging. *Dermatol Surg* 2009;35:1471–7.
- Rosenbaum M, Prieto V, Hellmer J, Boschmann M, et al. An exploratory investigation of the morphology and biochemistry of cellulite. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1998;101:1934–9.
- Hexsel D, Siega C, Schilling-Souza J, Porto MD, et al. A comparative study of the anatomy of adipose tissue in areas with and without raised lesions of cellulite using magnetic resonance imaging. *Dermatol Surg* 2013;39:1877–86.
- Piérard GE, Nizer JL, Piérard-Franchimont C. Cellulite: from standing fat herniation to hypodermal stretch marks. *Am J Dermatopathol* 2000;22:34–7.
- Hexsel D, Soirefmann M. Cosmeceuticals for cellulite. *Semin Cutan Med Surg* 2011;30:167–70.
- Machinal-Quelin F, Dieudonne MN, Leneveu MC, Pecquery R, et al. Expression studies of key adipogenic transcriptional factors reveal that the anti-adipogenic properties of retinol in primary cultured human preadipocytes are due to retinol per se. *Int J Cosmet Sci* 2001;23:299–308.
- Byun SY, Kwon SH, Heo SH, Shim JS, et al. Efficacy of slimming cream containing 3.5% water-soluble caffeine and xanthenes for the treatment of cellulite: clinical study and literature review. *Ann Dermatol* 2015;27:243–9.
- Puviani M, Tovecci F, Milani M. A two-center, assessor-blinded, prospective trial evaluating the efficacy of a novel hypertonic draining cream for cellulite reduction: a clinical and instrumental (Antera 3D CS) assessment. *J Cosmet Dermatol* 2018;17:448–53.
- Ngamdokmai N, Waranuch N, Chootip K, Jampachaisri K, et al. Cellulite reduction by modified Thai herbal compresses; a randomized double-blind trial. *J Evid Based Integr Med* 2018;23:2515690X18794158.
- Al-Bader T, Byrne A, Gillbro J, Mitarotonda A, et al. Effect of cosmetic ingredients as anticellulite agents: synergistic action of actives with *in vitro* and *in vivo* efficacy. *J Cosmet Dermatol* 2012;11:17–26.
- Dupont E, Journet M, Oula ML, Gomez J, et al. An integral topical gel for cellulite reduction: results from a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled evaluation of efficacy. *Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol* 2014;7:73–88.
- Roure R, Oddos T, Rossi A, Vial F, et al. Evaluation of the efficacy of a topical cosmetic slimming product combining tetrahydroxypropyl ethylenediamine, caffeine, carnitine, forskolin and retinol, *In vitro*, *ex vivo* and *in vivo* studies. *Int J Cosmet Sci* 2011;33:519–26.
- Vogelgesang B, Bonnet I, Godard N, Sohm B, et al. In vitro and in vivo efficacy of sulfo-carrabiose, a sugar-based cosmetic ingredient with anti-cellulite properties. *Int J Cosmet Sci* 2011;33:120–5.
- Güleç AT. Treatment of cellulite with LPG endermologie. *Int J Dermatol* 2009;48:265–70.
- Kutlubay Z, Songur A, Engin B, Khatib R, et al. An alternative treatment modality for cellulite: LPG endermologie. *J Cosmet Laser Ther* 2013;15:266–70.
- Nassar AH, Dorizas AS, Shafai A, Sadick NS. A randomized, controlled clinical study to investigate the safety and efficacy of acoustic wave therapy in body contouring. *Dermatol Surg* 2015;41:366–70.
- Knobloch K, Joest B, Krämer R, Vogt PM. Cellulite and focused extracorporeal shockwave therapy for non-invasive body contouring: a randomized trial. *Dermatol Ther (Heidelb)* 2013;3:143–55.
- Russe-Wilflingseder K, Russe E, Vester JC, Haller G, et al. Placebo controlled, prospectively randomized, double-blinded study for the investigation of the effectiveness and safety of the acoustic wave therapy (AWT<sup>®</sup>) for cellulite treatment. *J Cosmet Laser Ther* 2013;15:155–62.
- Schlaudraff KU, Kiessling MC, Császár NB, Schmitz C. Predictability of the individual clinical outcome of extracorporeal shock wave therapy for cellulite. *Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol* 2014;7:171–83.
- Hexsel D, Camozzato FO, Silva AF, Siega C. Acoustic wave therapy for cellulite, body shaping and fat reduction. *J Cosmet Laser Ther* 2017;19:165–73.
- van der Lugt C, Romero C, Ancona D, Al-Zarouni M, et al. A multicenter study of cellulite treatment with a variable emission radio frequency system. *Dermatol Ther* 2009;22:74–84.
- Mlosek RK, Woźniak W, Malinowska S, Lewandowski M, et al. The effectiveness of anticellulite treatment using tripolar radiofrequency monitored by classic and high-frequency ultrasound. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2012;26:696–703.
- Bagatin E, Miot HA, Soares JL, Sanudo A, et al. Long-wave infrared radiation reflected by compression stockings in the treatment of cellulite: a clinical double-blind, randomized and controlled study. *Int J Cosmet Sci* 2013;35:502–9.
- Jackson RF, Roche GC, Shanks SC. A double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized trial evaluating the ability of low-level laser therapy to improve the appearance of cellulite. *Lasers Surg Med* 2013;45:141–7.



33. Truitt A, Elkeeb L, Ortiz A, Saedi N, et al. Evaluation of a long pulsed 1064-nm Nd:YAG laser for improvement in appearance of cellulite. *J Cosmet Laser Ther* 2012;14:139–44.
34. DiBernardo BE. Treatment of cellulite using a 1440-nm pulsed laser with one-year follow-up. *Aesthet Surg J* 2011;31:328–41.
35. DiBernardo B, Sasaki G, Katz BE, Hunstad JP, et al. A multicenter study for a single, three-step laser treatment for cellulite using a 1440-nm Nd:YAG laser, a novel side-firing fiber, and a temperature-sensing cannula. *Aesthet Surg J* 2013;33:576–84.
36. Katz B. Quantitative and qualitative evaluation of the efficacy of a 1440 nm Nd:YAG laser with novel bi-directional optical fiber in the treatment of cellulite as measured by 3-dimensional surface imaging. *J Drugs Dermatol* 2013;12:1224–30.
37. Sasaki GH. Single treatment of grades II and III cellulite using a minimally invasive 1,440-nm pulsed Nd:YAG laser and side-firing fiber: an institutional review board-approved study with a 24-month follow-up period. *Aesthet Plast Surg* 2013;37:1073–89.
38. Amore R, Amuso D, Leonardi V, Sbarbati A, et al. Treatment of dimpling from cellulite. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open* 2018;6:e1771.
39. Kaminer MS, Coleman WP III, Weiss RA, Robinson DM, et al. Multicenter pivotal study of vacuum-assisted precise tissue release for the treatment of cellulite. *Dermatol Surg* 2015;41:336–47.
40. Kaminer MS, Coleman WP III, Weiss RA, Robinson DM, et al. A multicenter pivotal study to evaluate tissue stabilized-guided subcision using the Cellfina device for the treatment of cellulite with 3-year follow-up. *Dermatol Surg* 2017;43:1240–8.
41. Kaminer M. Multi-center pivotal study of the safety & effectiveness of a tissue stabilized-guided subcision procedure for the treatment of cellulite—5-year update. Presented at American Society for Dermatologic Surgery Annual Meeting, Phoenix, AZ 2018 October 11–14.
42. Brauer JA, Christman MP, Bae YS, Bernstein LJ, et al. Three-dimensional analysis of minimally invasive vacuum-assisted subcision treatment of cellulite. *J Drugs Dermatol* 2018;17:960–5.
43. Ibrahim O, Haimovic A, Lee N, Kaminer MS. Efficacy using a modified technique for tissue stabilized-guided subcision for the treatment of mild-to-moderate cellulite of the buttocks and thighs. *Dermatol Surg* 2018;44:1272–7.
44. Goldman MP, Sadick NS, Young L. Phase 2a, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled dose-ranging study of repeat doses of collagenase clostridium histolyticum for the treatment of edematous fibrosclerotic panniculopathy (cellulite). *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2015;72:AB19.
45. Sadick NS, Goldman MP, Liu G, Shusterman NH, et al. Collagenase clostridium histolyticum for the treatment of edematous fibrosclerotic panniculopathy (cellulite): a randomized trial. *Dermatol Surg* 2019;45:1047–56.
46. Angehrn F, Kuhn C, Voss A. Can cellulite be treated with low-energy extracorporeal shock wave therapy?. *Clin Interv Aging* 2007;2:623–30.
47. Ogden JA, Tóth-Kischkat A, Schultheiss R. Principles of shock wave therapy. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2001;337:8–17.
48. Humphrey JD, Dufresne ER, Schwartz MA. Mechanotransduction and extracellular matrix homeostasis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2014;15:802–12.
49. Baxter SC, Morales MO, Goldsmith EC. Adaptive changes in cardiac fibroblast morphology and collagen organization as a result of mechanical environment. *Cell Biochem Biophys* 2008;51:33–44.
50. Russe-Wilflingseder K. Acoustic wave treatment for cellulite - a new approach. *Laser Florence* 2009;15:25–30.
51. Kuhn C, Angehrn F, Sonnabend O, Voss A. Impact of extracorporeal shock waves on the human skin with cellulite: a case study of an unique instance. *Clin Interv Aging* 2008;3:201–10.
52. Modena DAO, da Silva CN, Grecco C, Guidi RM, et al. Extracorporeal shockwave: mechanisms of action and physiological aspects for cellulite, body shaping, and localized fat-systematic review. *J Cosmet Laser Ther* 2017;19:314–9.
53. Christ C, Brenke R, Sattler G, Siems W, et al. Improvement in skin elasticity in the treatment of cellulite and connective tissue weakness by means of extracorporeal pulse activation therapy. *Aesthet Surg J* 2008;28:538–44.
54. Hexsel D, Dal Forno T, Hexsel C, Schilling-Souza J, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging of cellulite depressed lesions successfully treated by subcision. *Dermatol Surg* 2016;42:693–6.
55. Narsete T, Narsete DS. Evaluation of radiofrequency devices in aesthetic medicine: a preliminary report. *J Dermatol Ther* 2017;1:5–8.
56. Emilia del Pino M, Rosado RH, Azuela A, Graciela Guzman M, et al. Effect of controlled volumetric tissue heating with radiofrequency on cellulite and the subcutaneous tissue of the buttocks and thighs. *J Drugs Dermatol* 2006;5:714–22.
57. Luebberding S, Krueger N, Sadick NS. Cellulite: an evidence-based review. *Am J Clin Dermatol* 2015;16:243–56.
58. Lyons RF, Abergel RP, White RA, Dwyer RM, et al. Biostimulation of wound healing in vivo by a helium-neon laser. *Ann Plast Surg* 1987;18:47–50.
59. Abergel RP, Lyons RF, Castel JC, Dwyer RM, et al. Biostimulation of wound healing by lasers: experimental approaches in animal models and in fibroblast cultures. *J Dermatol Surg Oncol* 1987;13:127–33.
60. Keller R, Belda Júnior W, Valente NY, Rodrigues CJ. Nonablative 1,064-nm Nd:YAG laser for treating atrophic facial acne scars: histologic and clinical analysis. *Dermatol Surg* 2007;33:1470–6.
61. Prieto VG, Diwan AH, Shea CR, Zhang P, et al. Effects of intense pulsed light and the 1,064 nm Nd:YAG laser on sun-damaged human skin: histologic and immunohistochemical analysis. *Dermatol Surg* 2005;31:522–5.
62. DiBernardo BE, Sasaki GH, Katz BE, Hunstad JP, et al. A multicenter study for cellulite treatment using a 1440-nm Nd:YAG wavelength laser with side-firing fiber. *Aesthet Surg J* 2016;36:335–43.
63. LaTrenta GS. Endermologie versus liposuction with external ultrasound assist. *Aesthet Surg J* 1999;19:452–8.
64. Hexsel D, Mazzuco R. Cellulite. In: Goldman M, Bacci PA, Leibaschoff G, Hexsel D, et al, editors. *Cellulite Pathophysiology and Treatment*. New York, NY: Taylor & Francis; 2006.
65. Venkataram J. Tumescence liposuction: a review. *J Cutan Aesthet Surg* 2008;1:49–57.
66. Hexsel DM, Mazzuco R. Subcision: a treatment for cellulite. *Int J Dermatol* 2000;39:539–44.
67. Hexsel D, Fabi SG, Sattler G, Bartsch R, et al. Validated assessment scales for cellulite dimples on the buttocks and thighs in female patients. *Dermatol Surg* 2019;45(Suppl 1):S2–S11.

---

Address correspondence and reprint requests to: Lawrence S. Bass, MD, Bass Plastic Surgery, PLLC, 568 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10065, or e-mail: drbass@drbass.net