scientific reports



OPEN Association of hemoglobin levels with bone mineral density for adults over 18 years of age: a cross-sectional study

Enqi Liu^{1,6}, Xinzheng Hou^{2,6}, Siqi Liu³, Jing Han⁴ & Hao Lv⁵

The overall objective of this study was to determine the association between hemoglobin (HGB) and bone mineral density (BMD) in the lumbar and thoracic spine of adults aged ≥ 18 years. This cross-sectional study utilized the non-institutionalized US population from the National Health and Nutrition Survey (NHANES) as the sample source. A multiple linear regression model was used to assess the relationship between HGB and BMD in the lumbar and thoracic spine, with analysis of subgroups conducted according to sex and race. Smooth curve fitting was performed to explore the potential nonlinear relationship. When nonlinearity was found, we further constructed a weighted two-piecewise linear regression model and used a recursive algorithm to calculate the inflection point. After accounting for relevant confounding variables, HGB was found to be negatively associated with lumbar spine BMD in multiple regression models. However, in the subgroup analyses stratified by sex and race, the relationship between HGB and thoracic spine BMD and lumbar spine BMD was only found in women and other races and races that were not recorded. In Non-Hispanic Asian subjects, the relationship between HGB and BMD in the lumbar spine and thoracic spine showed a U-shaped curve. In addition, the relationship between HGB and BMD in the lumbar spine formed an inverted U-shaped curve among participants in other races and those whose race was not reported. Our study shows that HGB has a non-linear relationship with lumbar and thoracic BMD. Further studies are required to elucidate the mechanisms underlying this association.

Abbreviations

HGB	Hemoglobin
BMD	Bone mineral density
NHANES	National Health and Nutrition Survey
SBP	Systolic blood pressure
DBP	Diastolic blood pressure
BMI	Body mass index
ALP	Alkaline phosphatase
ALT	Alanine aminotransferase
CPK	Creatine phosphor kinase
TG	Triglyceride
LDH	Lactate dehydrogenase
LDL-C	Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol
HDL-C	High-density lipoprotein cholesterol
RA	Rheumatoid arthritis
COPD	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
	· · ·

¹College of Acupuncture and Massage, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shandong 250355, China. ²College of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shandong 250355, China. ³Acupuncture and Massage Rehabilitation Department, Qingdao Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, 4 Renmin Road, Qingdao City 266033, Shandong Province, China. ⁴Department of Acupuncture, Affiliated Hospital of Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 16369 Jingshi Road, Jinan City 250014, Shandong Province, China. ⁵Pediatric Orthopaedics, Affiliated Hospital of Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 16369 Jingshi Road, Jinan City 250014, Shandong Province, China. ⁶These authors contributed equally: Engi Liu and Xinzheng Hou. [⊠]email: hanjing0127@163.com; semon.lv@163.com

MECs	Mobile examination centers
CBC	Complete blood count
DXA	Duel energy X-ray absorptiometry
CAPI	Computer-assisted personal interview
STROBE	Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology
FRAX	Fracture Risk Assessment Tool
MSC	Mesenchymal stem cell

Osteoporosis, which most commonly occurs in the hip, spine, and wrist, not only puts people at an increased risk of fragility and fracture but also has a substantial impact on their families and the society^{1,2}. Bone mineral density (BMD) evaluated using the Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA)³, accurately captures bone mass and is the gold standard for diagnosing osteoporosis, as well as being the best predictor of osteoporotic fracture risk⁴. BMD is affected by several factors, including sex, age, genetic factors, nutrition, hormone levels, physical activity, smoking, and alcohol consumption⁵. A recent study by Korkmaz et al. showed that anemia was an independent risk factor for low BMD in postmenopausal women⁶.

Hemoglobin (HGB) is found in erythrocytes, and its role is to combine with oxygen and transport it from the lungs to tissues⁷. Anemia, characterized by 'low hemoglobin concentration,' is a major public health problem prevalent in low-, middle-, and high-income countries worldwide⁸, affecting nearly a quarter of the world's population and predominantly affecting women and pre-school children⁹. Low levels of HGB are recognized as risk factors for osteoporosis, falls, fractures, and physical decline in the elderly population^{10,11}.

Zhou et al. conducted a study on 1238 volunteers from Anhui, China, and obtained a U-shaped curve for the relationship between BMD and HGB in healthy men¹². In contrast, a positive correlation was found between HGB levels and BMD in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic kidney disease, sickle cell anemia, and inflammatory bowel disease¹³⁻¹⁷. In addition, Zarei et al. measured BMD and bone mineral content in the lumbar spine and femoral neck of 21 patients over 10 years of age with hemoglobin H disease and concluded that the prevalence of BMD in the lumbar spine and femoral neck region in patients with hemoglobin H disease was significantly lower than the expected age range compared to healthy individuals¹⁸. Cui et al. adjusted for age, duration of diabetes, body mass index, and alanine aminotransferase to conclude that anemia was associated with osteoporosis in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, irrespective of sex¹⁹. Research on the relationship between HGB and BMD has been limited by the selection of study populations and sample sizes, and the findings are inconsistent. Furthermore, only a few studies have thoroughly investigated the association between HGB and thoracic and lumbar BMD.

Therefore, this cross-sectional study was conducted using the 2013–2018 National Health and Nutrition Survey (NHANES) as a sample source to determine the association between HGB and BMD in the lumbar and thoracic spine in adults aged \geq 18 years.

Materials and methods

Study population. Data for the analysis were obtained from the NHANES (2013–2018), a large, comprehensive, and regularly updated probability sample of the non-institutionalized U.S. population^{20–22}.

The study population consisted of participants aged \geq 18 years who had complete data on HGB and lumbar and thoracic spine BMD. The NCHS Ethics Review Committee approved the implementation of the NHANES, and informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study adhered to the relevant guidelines and regulations. The encryption procedure was uniform to make it possible to link complaints belonging to the same patient in the NHANES. Detailed documentation of the ethics application and written informed consent are available on the NHANES website^{23–25}.

Data collection. The following information was collected by two researchers (XZH and SQL):

Demographic data [gender, age, race, annual household income and education level].

Examination data [BMD of the lumbar spine and the thoracic spine (mg/cm²), systolic blood pressure (SBP) (mmHg), diastolic blood pressure (DBP) (mmHg), body mass index (BMI) (kg/m²)].

Laboratory data [HGB (g/dL), red blood cell count (× 10⁶ cells/µL), albumin creatinine ratio (mg/g), albumin (g/L), alkaline phosphatase (ALP)(IU/L), alanine aminotransferase (ALT)(U/L), blood urea nitrogen (mmol/L), total calcium (mg/dL), iron (umol/L), creatinine phosphor kinase (CPK)(IU/L), glucose (mmol/L), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)(IU/L), phosphorus (mmol/L), potassium (mmol/L), total bilirubin (umol/L), total protein (g/L), creatinine (umol/L), uric acid (umol/L), blood cadmium (µg/L),blood lead (µg/L),triglyceride (TG) (mmol/L),low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C)(mmol/L), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) (mmol/L) and cholesterol level (mmol/L)].

Questionnaire data [alcohol status (average alcohol drinks/day in the past 12 months), smoking status, diabetes (Has a doctor told you that you have diabetes?), rheumatoid arthritis (RA) (Which type of arthritis was it?), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (Ever told you had copd?), cancer or malignancy (Ever told you had cancer or malignancy?)].

Weight value [Depending on the rules for selecting weight values offered on the NHANES website, "Full Sample Two-Year Mobile Examination Center Exam Weight (WTMEC2YR)" was Selected as representative weighting value].

For the selection of confounding variables, we followed the following principles: (1) based on clinical significance, we selected indicators related to HGB and BMD; (2) from the perspective of statistical methodology, we chose to include factors that have an impact greater than 10% on the results; (3) based on the above two points, confounding factors were selected according to the biological point of view. Treatment of missing values for variables: (1) the missing values of continuous variables were replaced with mean values; (2) the missing values of a categorical variable were separated into a "not recorded" group.

Evaluation of variables. HGB was measured at the NHANES Mobile Examination Centers (MECs). A Beckman Coulter DxH 800 instrument was used to obtain a complete blood count (CBC) on blood specimens and provide a distribution of blood cells for all participants. Transmittance of light at 525 nm through a lysed WBC solution in the HGB cuvette was compared to the transmittance of the same light through a reagent blank. The system converted this ratio to an HGB value using a calibration factor. The weight (mass) of HGB was determined by the degree of absorbance recorded from the photocurrent transmittance expressed in g/dl. A detailed description of the measurement of HGB is mentioned in the Laboratory Method Files section on the NHANES website²⁶.

The low-level X-rays of the Hologic Discovery model A densitometer (Hologic, Inc., Bedford, Massachusetts) with Apex 3.2 software was used to scan the patient's body to estimate BMD under standard operating conditions by radiographers who participated in the DXA examination; the entrance dose of the examinee for a whole-body scan was less than 1 mR¹ (a standard X-ray is approximately 35 R). More information regarding the measurement of the DXA examination protocol can be obtained from the NHANES website²⁷.

Information about age, gender, race, education level, annual household income, alcohol status, smoking status, diabetes, RA, and COPD was provided to the participants by trained interviewers using a computer-assisted personal interview (CAPI) system. Data for serum albumin, blood urea nitrogen, uric acid, phosphorus, ALP, ALT, iron, CPK, glucose, LDH, potassium, total bilirubin, total protein, creatinine and calcium were obtained from standard biochemical profile analysis using a Beckman Synchron LX20. Total cholesterol were analyzed using a Roche Modular P chemistry analyzer (enzymatic method). Metals determination for blood cadmium and blood lead was performed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry on whole blood samples from the Laboratory Sciences Department of the National Center for Environmental Health. Triglycerides (TG), low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C). The details of the variables mentioned above are available on the NHANES website²⁸ and Appendix 1.

Statistical analysis. We used the weighted chi-square test to calculate the differences between the classification variables and a weighted linear regression model for continuous variables. Finally, the means (continuous variables) or proportions (categorical variables) were used to describe the baseline characteristics of all participants included in the study. Weighted multiple linear regression analysis was used to calculate the relationship between HGB and BMD. Following the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines²⁹, we calculated the unadjusted, minimally adjusted, and fully adjusted results. To further investigate the relationship between HGB and BMD of the thoracic and lumbar spine in different populations, a subgroup analysis was performed by separating the participants by age and sex. Additionally, smooth curve fitting was performed to explore the nonlinear relationship. Accordingly, we further constructed a weighted two-piecewise linear regression model and used a recursive algorithm to calculate the inflection point.

P values < 0.05 were defined as significant. All analyses were undertaken by EmpowerStats (version: 2.0. X&Y Solutions, Inc, Boston, MA. http://www.empowerstats.com) and R software, v.3.4.3 (Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2016 http://www.R-project.org).

Ethics approval. All analyses were based on data of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). And all procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The study was approved by the ethics review board of the National Center for Health Statistics. The National Center for Health Statistics Ethics Review Board protocol numbers are Protocol #2011-17 (NHANES 2013–2014), Continuation of Protocol #2011-17 (NHANES 2015–2016), Continuation of Protocol #2011-17 and #2018-01 (NHANES 2017–2018), respectively. The detailed information located on the NHANES website.

Consent for publication. All participating authors give their consent for this work to be published.

Results

Participant selection and general characteristics. Initially, the information of 29,400 participants was extracted from the NHANES database for 2013–2018. After excluding individuals without HGB data (n = 5211) and BMD data (n = 10,942), under 18 years of age (n = 4133), and with RA, COPD, cancer, or any other malignancy (n = 623), a total of 8491 participants were included in this study (Fig. 1).

The weighted socio-demographic and medical characteristics of the participants are presented in Table 1. The mean age of these participants was 37.8 ± 12.1 years, of which 52.5% were male and 47.2% were female, with non-Hispanic whites being the most numerous. There were significant differences in sex, age, race, annual household income, education level, SBP, DBP, BMI, red blood cell count, albumin, ALP, ALT, blood urea nitrogen, total calcium, iron, CPK, creatinine, glucose, LDH, phosphorus, total bilirubin, total protein, uric acid, albumin creatinine ratio, blood cadmium, blood lead, phosphorus, potassium, triglyceride, LDL-C, HDL-C, total cholesterol, alcohol status, smoking status and diabetes across the different HGB groups (quartiles, Q1 to Q4).



Figure 1. Flow chart of participants selection.

Relationship between HGB and BMD. To explore the relationship between HGB and BMD, we constructed three weighted univariate and multivariate linear regression models: Model 1, unadjusted; Model 2, adjusted for sex, age, and race; and Model 3, adjusted for the covariates in Table 1.

After fully adjusting for confounders, HGB was negatively correlated with lumbar spine BMD ($\beta = -0.0416$, P = 0.031873) (Table 2, Fig. 2). However, the negative correlation between HGB and thoracic spine BMD was not statistically significant ($\beta = -0.0127$, P = 0.362458) (Table 2, Fig. 3). In fully adjusted subgroup analyses stratified by sex and race, the negative association between HGB and thoracic spine BMD and lumbar spine BMD was only present in females and other races and races that were not recorded (Tables 3 and 4).

In addition, fully adjusted smoothened plots showed a non-linear relationship between HGB and BMD in the lumbar and thoracic spine after stratification by sex or race (Figs. 4 and 5). Among participants of Non-Hispanic Asian, BMD in the thoracic spine decreased with HGB until the turning point (turning point: HGB 14.9 g/dL). Similarly, there was a turning point between HGB and BMD in the lumbar spine (turning point: HGB 15.6 g/dL). There is also a turning point in other races and races that were not recorded (turning point: HGB 13.4 g/dL) (Table 5).

Overall, the relationship between HGB and BMD in the lumbar spine and BMD in the thoracic spine in Non-Hispanic Asian showed a U-shaped curve. In other races and races that were not recorded, there was an inverted U-shaped curve between HGB and lumbar BMD.

Discussion

The main goal of this study was to clarify whether HGB is correlated with BMD in the lumbar and thoracic spine. In our comprehensive adjusted model multiple linear regression analysis, we found that HGB was negatively correlated with lumbar BMD, and the completely adjusted smoothed curve fits showed a non-linear correlation. When stratified by sex and race, the relationship between HGB and thoracic spine BMD and lumbar spine BMD was only found in women and other races and races that were not recorded. In Non-Hispanic Asian subjects, the relationship between HGB and BMD in the lumbar spine and thoracic spine showed a U-shaped curve. In addition, the relationship between HGB and BMD in the lumbar spine formed an inverted U-shaped curve among participants in other races and those whose race was not reported.

Similarly, a cross-sectional study involving 3626 Korean participants found that HGB levels were inversely associated with low BMD in the lumbar spine among non-anemic adults³⁰. Furthermore, in a recently published study, BMD was negatively correlated with HGB levels in younger and older women³¹. imilar results were obtained by Cesari et al. in a survey of 950 older adults³². Nevertheless, a study examining the association between serum HGB levels, BMD, and fracture risk using estimated scores from the Fracture Risk Assessment Tool (FRAX) in 662 male patients concluded that HGB was positively associated with BMD but negatively associated with the risk of hip fracture and major osteoporotic fracture³³. It is possible that age and ethnic differences in the study population, or the limited sample size, may have influenced the results. Based on this, the cross-sectional study has a broad and large sample size, targeting both males and females aged 18 years and older, and provides subgroup analysis across gender and race.

AgeAgeBodyBod	Hemoglobin (g/dL)	All	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	P-value
Service <ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr>Service<ttr><t< td=""><td>Age</td><td>37.8±12.1</td><td>37.9 ± 11.6</td><td>38.3 ± 12.2</td><td>38.3±12.2</td><td>36.9 ± 12.1</td><td>< 0.0001</td></t<></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr></ttr>	Age	37.8±12.1	37.9 ± 11.6	38.3 ± 12.2	38.3±12.2	36.9 ± 12.1	< 0.0001
MainSignerSignerSignerSignerRemet57.0057.0057.0057.00Remet57.0057.0057.0057.00Metanan57.0057.0057.0057.00Consignation57.0057.0057.0057.00Non-Hippin Koline57.0057.0057.0057.00Non-Hippin Koline57.0057.0057.0057.00Non-Hippin Koline57.0057.0057.0057.00Non-Hippin Koline57.0057.0057.0057.00Statistic Koline57.0057.0057.0057.00<	Sex (%)		·			•	< 0.0001
Fermion95.0095.0095.0095.0095.00Restrict15.0014.0015.0015.0015.0015.00One Hapanic Mance15.0015.0015.0015.0015.0015.00Non-Hapanic Mathen15.0015.0015.0015.0015.0015.00Non-Hapanic Mathen15.0015.0015.0015.0015.0015.0015.00Non-Hapanic Mathen15.0015.0015.0015.0015.0015.0015.0015.00Non-Hapanic Mathen15.0015.0015.0015.0015.0015.0015.0015.00Standard Mathen15.00<	Male	52.5	6.3	24.3	66.2	94.7	
Receive descent and any and any	Female	47.5	93.7	75.7	33.8	5.3	
Median150150150150150150150Oherhipanc150150150150150150150Non-Hippanc Macha151150150150150150150150Non-Hippanc Macha150150150150150150150150150Conterpace150 </td <td>Race (%)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>< 0.0001</td>	Race (%)						< 0.0001
Onderlaganic Mathem9,78,78,61,6 <t< td=""><td>Mexican American</td><td>15.0</td><td>14.4</td><td>15.7</td><td>14.2</td><td>15.6</td><td></td></t<>	Mexican American	15.0	14.4	15.7	14.2	15.6	
Namilpank WhiteQ.2.48.8.04.8.	Other Hispanic	9.7	10.8	9.6	10.4	8.6	
Non-Hippank Black18.12.0.28.1.417.618.117.6Non-Hippank Alam9.49.78.78.78.77.0001Contering Contering Co	Non-Hispanic White	42.4	38.0	41.8	43.9	44.6	
Non-Hoppic Adam94.4097.4087.4097.4087.4097.40Other Race and net coord3.35.45.45.45.4000Isschan off grade5.95.75.85.85.85.8Parth prace finduke 12 ingoid with moment5.35.75.85.85.85.85.8Big school graduate or apove and angene5.8 <t< td=""><td>Non-Hispanic Black</td><td>18.1</td><td>22.0</td><td>18.1</td><td>17.6</td><td>15.8</td><td></td></t<>	Non-Hispanic Black	18.1	22.0	18.1	17.6	15.8	
Other Rear and not recorded5354545454Education leval (NM)83828485868000Less than 9ft grade (fickules 12ft grad	Non-Hispanic Asian	9.4	9.9	9.7	8.3	9.9	
Pataimagei	Other Race and not recorded	5.3	5.0	5.2	5.6	5.5	
Iesthm 9h grade3.93.73.33.84.54.59-11h grade (Includes 12th grade with no alphomal8.38.27.67.89.41.8High school graduate/(CED or equivalent21.61.7.720.623.423.42.02.0Some colleg or Ad degree30.731.134.129.727.31.01.0Colleg graduate or above30.731.134.129.727.31.00.0093Some colleg or Ad degree30.731.134.129.77.31.01.0Solopose Order of Ad degree30.723.11.11.723.01.51.01.0Solopose Order of Ad degree1.72.31.21.51.01.01.01.0Solopose Order of Ad degree2.02.41.72.82.82.82.82.82.82.82.82.82.82.82.82.82.52.53.11.01.01.02.52.500-3.43.03.13	Education level (%)						< 0.0001
9-11 prader (includes 12th grade within on polyman8.38.27.67.89.49.49.4High school graduate/GED or equivalent21.617.720.623.423.41Some college or AA degree30.831.830.331.531.631.631.631.6College graduate or above5.41.41.88.427.31.71.01.0Annet control2.02.41.72.31.61.71.61.7Somo-99992.02.82.82.82.81.61.71.0 <td< td=""><td>Less than 9th grade</td><td>3.9</td><td>3.7</td><td>3.3</td><td>3.8</td><td>4.5</td><td></td></td<>	Less than 9th grade	3.9	3.7	3.3	3.8	4.5	
High school graduate/GED or equivalent21.617.720.623.4 <td>9–11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma)</td> <td>8.3</td> <td>8.2</td> <td>7.6</td> <td>7.8</td> <td>9.4</td> <td></td>	9–11th grade (Includes 12th grade with no diploma)	8.3	8.2	7.6	7.8	9.4	
Some college or AA degree30.831.830.331.530.01College graduate or above30.733.134.129.727.37Not recorded4.75.44.13.85.47Shamal housschol income1.72.31.51.71.5\$500-9992.02.41.72.31.61.7\$10000-14,9993.44.43.23.33.11.7\$25,000-34,9994.65.14.04.15.01.1\$25,000-34,9998.08.27.38.58.11.1\$25,000-44,9998.08.27.38.58.11.1\$55,000-64,9998.08.27.38.58.11.1\$55,000-74,9998.08.27.38.58.11.1\$25,000-34,9995.45.25.26.13.01.11.1\$25,000-74,9995.45.25.26.13.01.11.1\$25,000-74,9991.241.141.221.31.11.1\$25,000-74,9991.241.141.21.31.11.1\$25,000-74,9991.241.141.211.51.31.1\$20,000 and over3.23.03.13.11.11.1\$20,000 and over2.83.77.57.51.5\$10,000 and over2.41.41.21.51.51.5\$10,000 and ov	High school graduate/GED or equivalent	21.6	17.7	20.6	23.4	23.4	
Colleg graduate or above30.731.134.129.727.31Not recorded4.75.44.11.85.05.0Annual household income17.02.31.51.70.0093So-09992.02.41.72.31.61.7\$10000-14,0992.83.22.82.22.83.11.7\$15,000-19,0993.44.43.23.33.11.72.8\$15,000-19,0993.44.65.14.15.01.11.1\$25,000-34,0998.08.27.38.58.11.11.1\$25,000-34,0998.08.66.68.31.11.11.1\$25,000-44,0998.08.68.66.68.31.11.11.1\$25,000-54,0996.45.25.26.13.71.1	Some college or AA degree	30.8	31.8	30.3	31.5	30.0	
Net recorded4.75.44.1185.45.45.4Annal boschol income173.31.7 </td <td>College graduate or above</td> <td>30.7</td> <td>33.1</td> <td>34.1</td> <td>29.7</td> <td>27.3</td> <td></td>	College graduate or above	30.7	33.1	34.1	29.7	27.3	
Annual household income0.003\$ 0-m9991.72.31.21.51.72\$ 500-99992.02.41.72.31.61\$ 5000-99992.83.22.82.22.82.8\$ 15,000-19,9993.44.43.23.33.11\$ 25,000-34,9994.65.14.04.15.01\$ 25,000-34,9998.08.27.38.410.11\$ 25,000-34,9998.08.68.66.68.31\$ 55,000-54,9996.45.96.26.15.01\$ 55,000-54,9995.45.25.26.15.01\$ 55,000-34,9995.45.25.26.15.01\$ 55,000-34,9995.45.25.26.15.01\$ 50,000-34,9995.45.25.26.15.01\$ 50,000-34,9995.45.25.26.15.01\$ 50,000-34,9995.45.25.21.311\$ 50,000-34,9995.45.21.33.15.1\$ 50,000-34,9995.45.25.21.33.15.1\$ 50,000-34,9995.41.41.41.21.31\$ 50,000-34,9995.45.25.23.35.15.2\$ 50,000-34,9995.45.25.23.35.15.25.2\$ 50,000-34,9995	Not recorded	4.7	5.4	4.1	3.8	5.4	
\$ 0-49991.72.31.21.51.72.4\$ 5000-99992.02.41.72.31.61.6\$10,000-14,9992.83.22.82.22.81.0\$15,000-19,9993.44.43.23.33.11.1\$20,000-24,9994.65.14.04.15.01.1\$25,000-34,9998.08.27.38.58.11.1\$35,000-44,9998.08.68.66.68.31.1\$45,000-54,9998.08.68.66.68.31.1\$55,000-64,9995.45.25.26.15.01.1\$20,000 and over3.23.03.13.12.1\$20,000 and over2.80.81.10.80.41.1\$10,000 and over2.7.82.6.631.32.63.31.1\$10,000 and over2.7.82.6.631.32.61.11.2\$10,000 and over2.7.82.6.631.32.61.11.2\$10,000 and over2.7.82.6.73.1.32.11.21.2\$10,000 and over2.7.83.07.27.33.21.1\$10,000 and over2.7.83.31.13.21.21.2\$10,000 and over2.8.93.31.23.31.21.2\$10,000 and over3.63.07.07.33.21.2\$10,000 and over3.6	Annual household income					1	0.0093
\$ 5000-99992.02.41.72.31.61.6\$ 11,000-14,9992.83.22.82.22.82.83.11.0\$ 51,000-19,9993.44.43.23.33.11.01.0\$ 25,000-34,9998.08.04.04.15.01.01.0\$ 25,000-34,9998.08.08.66.68.31.01.0\$ 55,000-44,9998.08.08.08.06.06.36.86.0\$ 55,000-74,9996.45.06.26.15.06.15.05.0\$ 55,000-74,9995.45.25.26.15.0	\$ 0-4999	1.7	2.3	1.2	1.5	1.7	
\$10,000-19,9992.83.22.82.22.81\$15,000-19,9993.44.43.23.33.11\$20,000-24,9994.65.14.04.15.01\$35,000-44,9998.08.27.38.41.0.11\$45,000-54,9998.08.77.68.40.11\$45,000-54,9996.45.96.26.36.85.01\$55,000-64,9995.45.25.26.15.011\$65,000-74,9995.45.25.26.15.011\$85,000-74,9995.41.41.21.33.711\$85,000-74,9995.45.23.13.7111\$10,0000.80.81.10.80.4111<	\$ 5000-9999	2.0	2.4	1.7	2.3	1.6	
\$15,000-19,9993444323.33.11\$20,000-24,999665.14.04.15.05.0\$25,000-34,9998.08.77.68.41.11.0\$35,000-44,9998.08.66.68.31.0\$45,000-54,9996.45.96.26.38.7\$55,000-64,9995.45.25.26.15.01.0\$20,000 and over3.23.03.13.77.0\$20,000 and over0.88.81.10.80.41.0\$10,000 and over27.825.63.32.63.31.0\$10,000 and over7.82.63.32.61.01.0\$10,000 and over7.82.63.32.61.01.0\$10,000 and over7.37.27.03.21.01.0\$10,000 and over1.60.37.07.57.21.0\$10,000 and over1.60.31.73.21.01.0\$10,000 and over1.60.31.73.21.01.0\$10,000 and over1.61.11.21.11.01.01.0\$10,000 and over1.61.11.11.11.01.11.01.0\$10,000 and over1.61.11.11.11.11.01.11.01.0\$10,000 and over1.61.11.61.11.01.01.0 </td <td>\$10,000-14,999</td> <td>2.8</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>2.8</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>2.8</td> <td></td>	\$10,000-14,999	2.8	3.2	2.8	2.2	2.8	
\$20,000-24,99946514041501\$25,000-34,99980827,38,58,11\$35,000-44,999808686668,31\$45,000-54,99964596,26,36,81\$55,000-74,9995,45,25,26,13,01\$20,000 and over3,23,03,13,71\$20,000 and over1,21,11,21,3.31\$75,000-99,9991,21,11,21,3.31\$10,000 and over1,21,3.41,41,21,3.31\$10,000 and over1,21,3.41,41,3.411\$10,000 and over1,21,3.41,41,3.411\$10,000 and over1,21,41,21,3.3111\$10,000 and over1,21,41,31,3.4111\$10,000 and over1,41,41,21,3.311<	\$15,000-19,999	3.4	4.4	3.2	3.3	3.1	
\$25,000-34,9998.08.08.27.38.58.11\$35,000-44,9998.88.77.68.410.11\$45,000-54,9996.45.96.26.38.81\$55,000-64,9995.45.25.26.15.01\$20,000 and over3.23.03.03.13.71\$20,000 and over0.80.81.10.80.41\$20,000 and over2.73.23.03.13.71\$100,000 and over2.75.24.44.65.31\$100,000 and over2.75.24.44.65.31\$100,000 and over2.75.24.44.65.31\$100,000 and over2.75.37.212.81\$100,000 and over2.75.24.44.65.31\$100,000 and over2.75.24.44.65.31\$100,000 and over2.75.24.44.65.31\$100,000 and over2.75.37.211\$100,000 and over2.81.11.31.21\$100,000 and over2.81.31.31.311\$100,000 and over2.81.31.31.311\$100,000 and over2.81.31.31.31.311\$100,000 and over2.81.31.3<	\$20,000-24,999	4.6	5.1	4.0	4.1	5.0	
\$35,000-44,9998.88.77.68.41.019.1\$45,000-54,9996.08.08.66.68.37.0\$55,000-74,9995.45.25.26.15.07.0\$20,000 and over3.23.03.03.77.0\$20,000 and over0.80.81.10.80.47.0\$75,000-99,99912.41.412.212.513.37.0\$100,000 and over27.85.631.329.624.87.0\$100,000 and over7.35.631.329.624.87.0\$100,000 and over7.36.07.07.57.27.0\$100,000 and over1.60.30.71.73.27.0\$100,000 and over1.60.30.71.73.27.0\$100,000 and over1.60.30.71.73.27.0\$100,000 and over1.60.30.71.73.27.0\$100,000 and over1.60.30.71.73.27.0\$12 or1.61.61.51.61.61.51.6\$12 or1.60.31.71.73.27.07.0\$12 or1.61.61.51.61.61.51.6\$12 or1.61.61.51.61.61.51.6\$12 or1.61.61.51.61.61.61.61.61.6	\$25,000-34,999	8.0	8.2	7.3	8.5	8.1	
\$45,000-54,9998.08.68.66.68.39\$55,000-64,9996.45.96.26.36.81\$55,000-74,9995.45.25.26.15.01\$20,000 and over0.80.81.00.80.41\$20,000 and over0.80.81.10.80.41\$75,000-99,99912.411.412.212.51.3.31\$75,000-99,99912.41.412.21.51.3.31\$75,000-99,99912.41.41.2.21.51.3.31\$75,000-99,99912.41.41.2.21.51.3.31\$75,000-99,99912.41.41.2.21.51.3.31\$75,000-99,99912.41.41.2.21.51.3.31\$75,000-99,99912.41.41.2.21.51.3.31\$100,000 and over27.825.631.32.671\$100,000 and over7.31.31.53.5 <td< td=""><td>\$35,000-44,999</td><td>8.8</td><td>8.7</td><td>7.6</td><td>8.4</td><td>10.1</td><td></td></td<>	\$35,000-44,999	8.8	8.7	7.6	8.4	10.1	
S55,000-74,9996.45.96.26.36.81\$55,000-74,9995.45.25.26.15.01\$20,000 and over3.23.03.03.13.71\$20,000 and over0.80.81.10.80.41\$75,000-99,99912.411.412.212.513.31\$75,000 and over27.825.631.329.624.81\$100,000 and over4.95.24.44.65.31\$100,000 and over27.825.631.329.624.81\$100,000 and over27.825.631.329.624.81\$100,000 and over27.825.631.329.624.81\$100,000 and over27.825.631.329.624.81\$100,000 and over27.825.631.329.624.81\$100,000 and over15.631.775.25.211\$100,000 and over25.431.77.322.011\$100 and over25.431.77.321.611\$100 and over25.431.77.321.611\$100 and over15.910.315.915.011\$100 and over15.27.73.53.84.16.811\$100 and over18.27.77.66.35.11.71 <td>\$45,000-54,999</td> <td>8.0</td> <td>8.6</td> <td>8.6</td> <td>6.6</td> <td>8.3</td> <td></td>	\$45,000-54,999	8.0	8.6	8.6	6.6	8.3	
Se5,000-74.9995.45.25.26.15.01\$85,000 and over3.23.03.03.13.71\$100000.80.81.10.80.41\$75,000-99,99912.411.412.212.513.31\$100,000 and over27.825.631.324.81Not recorded4.95.24.44.65.31\$100,000 and over73.068.072.075.375.21\$2 oz1.60.30.71.73.21\$2 12 oz1.60.30.71.73.21\$100 recorded2.63.172.7323.01.61Smoking status (%)1.313.918.92.811Served yall14.910.313.918.92.81Some days4.73.53.84.16.81Not recorded62.275.066.358.151.71Dister (%)5.16.24.74.8501Yes5.16.24.74.85011No recorded0.000.2001Not recorded0.000.2001Not recorded9.94.99.2.4.72.8.94.59.2.810.001No recorded0.000.20000Sub recor	\$55,000-64,999	6.4	5.9	6.2	6.3	6.8	
S20.000 and over5.23.03.03.13.71Under \$20,0000.80.81.10.80.41\$75,000-99,99912.411.412.212.513.31\$100,000 and over27.825.631.329.624.81Not recorded4.95.24.44.65.01Alcohol status (%)5.27.07.07.07.01<12 oz	\$65,000-74,999	5.4	5.2	5.2	6.1	5.0	
Under \$20,0000.80.81.10.80.41\$75,000-99,99912.411.412.212.513.31\$100,000 and over27.825.631.329.624.81Not recorded4.95.24.44.65.31Alcohol status (%)5.24.44.65.212<12 oz	\$20,000 and over	3.2	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.7	
\$75,000-99,99912.414.412.212.513.31\$100,000 and over27.825.631.329.624.81Not recorded4.95.24.44.65.31Alcohol status (%)5.24.44.65.31<12 oz	Under \$20.000	0.8	0.8	1.1	0.8	0.4	
S100,000 and over27.825.631.329.624.81Not recorded4.95.24.44.65.3 $<$ Alcohol status (%) $<<<<<<<<>><<<<<<<>><<<<>><<<<<<>><<<<>><<<<<<>><<<<>><<<<<<<>><<<<>><<<<>><$	\$75,000-99,999	12.4	11.4	12.2	12.5	13.3	
InitIn	\$100.000 and over	27.8	25.6	31.3	29.6	24.8	
Alcohol status (%) Initial and the status (%) Initian	Not recorded	4.9	5.2	4.4	4.6	5.3	
classical (12 or (21 cor)73.068.072.075.375.210 ≥ 12 oz1.60.30.71.73.21Not recorded25.431.727.323.021.61Smoking status (%) $75.23.03.018.922.810.001Every day14.910.313.918.922.81Some days4.73.53.84.16.81Not at all18.211.215.919.018.71Not recorded62.275.066.358.151.71Diabets (%)5.16.24.74.85.01Yes5.16.24.74.85.011No93.191.693.693.893.211Not recorded0.000.20000Not recorded0.000.200000BM (kg/m³)28.946.929.247.928.947.328.746.529.146.20.0001BP (mmHg)118.5±13.8116.7±14.3116.8±14.2119.0±13.512.0±1.04.0001DBP (mmHg)71.2±11.169.2±10.770.3±11.071.5±11.173.0±11.2<0.0011$	Alcohol status (%)						< 0.0001
IntermIntermIntermIntermIntermIntermIntermInterm $\geq 12 \text{ oz}$ 1.60.30.71.73.23.2Not recorded25.431.727.323.021.65Smoking status (%)14.910.313.918.922.8Every day4.73.53.84.16.8Not at all18.211.215.919.018.7Not recorded62.275.066.358.151.7Diabetes (%)Ves5.16.24.74.85.0No93.191.693.693.893.2Borderline1.72.11.61.51.8Not recorded0.000.200SBP (mmHg)118.5±13.8116.7±14.3116.8±14.2119.0±13.5120.9±12.9<0.0001	< 12 oz	73.0	68.0	72.0	75.3	75.2	
International Interna International International<	>12 oz	1.6	0.3	0.7	1.7	3.2	
Init Init <th< td=""><td>Not recorded</td><td>25.4</td><td>31.7</td><td>27.3</td><td>23.0</td><td>21.6</td><td></td></th<>	Not recorded	25.4	31.7	27.3	23.0	21.6	
B 14.9 10.3 13.9 18.9 22.8 Some days 4.7 3.5 3.8 4.1 6.8 Not at all 18.2 11.2 15.9 19.0 18.7 Not recorded 62.2 75.0 66.3 58.1 51.7 Diabetes (%) 0.0163	Smoking status (%)						< 0.0001
Init Init <th< td=""><td>Every day</td><td>14.9</td><td>10.3</td><td>13.9</td><td>18.9</td><td>22.8</td><td></td></th<>	Every day	14.9	10.3	13.9	18.9	22.8	
Not at all IA	Some days	4.7	3.5	3.8	4.1	6.8	
Not recorded 62.2 75.0 66.3 58.1 51.7 Diabetes (%)	Not at all	18.2	11.2	15.9	19.0	18.7	
Diabetes (%) Diabetes (%) Output	Not recorded	62.2	75.0	66.3	58.1	51.7	
Yes 5.1 6.2 4.7 4.8 5.0 No 93.1 91.6 93.6 93.8 93.2 Image: Control of the state of t	Diabetes (%)			1			0.0163
No93.191.693.693.893.2Borderline1.72.11.61.51.8Not recorded0.000.200BMI (kg/m²)28.9±6.929.2±7.928.9±7.328.7±6.529.1±6.20.1095SBP (mmHg)118.5±13.8116.7±14.3116.8±14.2119.0±13.5120.9±12.9<0.001	Yes	5.1	6.2	4.7	4.8	5.0	
Borderline 1.7 2.1 1.6 1.5 1.8 1.9 Borderline 1.7 2.1 1.6 1.5 1.8 1.8 Not recorded 0.0 0 0.2 0 0 1.95 BMI (kg/m ²) 28.9±6.9 29.2±7.9 28.9±7.3 28.7±6.5 29.1±6.2 0.1095 SBP (mmHg) 118.5±13.8 116.7±14.3 116.8±14.2 119.0±13.5 120.9±12.9 <0.001	No	93.1	91.6	93.6	93.8	93.2	
Not recorded 0.0 0 0.2 0 0 0 BMI (kg/m²) 28.9±6.9 29.2±7.9 28.9±7.3 28.7±6.5 29.1±6.2 0.1095 SBP (mmHg) 118.5±13.8 116.7±14.3 116.8±14.2 119.0±13.5 120.9±12.9 <0.0001	Borderline	1.7	2.1	1.6	1.5	1.8	
BMI (kg/m²)28.9 ± 6.929.2 ± 7.928.9 ± 7.328.7 ± 6.529.1 ± 6.20.1095SBP (mmHg)118.5 ± 13.8116.7 ± 14.3116.8 ± 14.2119.0 ± 13.5120.9 ± 12.9<0.0001	Not recorded	0.0	0	0.2	0	0	
SBP (mmHg) 118.5±13.8 116.7±14.3 116.8±14.2 119.0±13.5 120.9±12.9 <0.0001 DBP (mmHg) 71.2±11.1 69.2±10.7 70.3±11.0 71.5±11.1 73.0±11.2 <0.0001	BMI (kg/m ²)	28.9±6.9	29.2±7.9	28.9±7.3	28.7±6.5	29.1±6.2	0.1095
DBP (mmHg) 71.2±11.1 69.2±10.7 70.3±11.0 71.5±11.1 73.0±11.2 <0.0001 Thoracic spine BMD 8.2±1.2 8.1±1.1 8.1±1.1 8.3±1.1 8.4±1.1 <0.0001	SBP (mmHg)	118.5±13.8	116.7±14.3	116.8±14.2	119.0±13.5	120.9±12.9	< 0.0001
Thoracic spine BMD 8.2±1.2 8.1±1.1 8.1±1.1 8.3±1.1 8.4±1.1 <0.0001 Lumbar spine BMD 10.3±1.5 10.6±1.4 10.5±1.5 10.3±1.5 10.3±1.5 <0.0001	DBP (mmHg)	71.2±11.1	69.2 ± 10.7	70.3±11.0	71.5±11.1	73.0±11.2	< 0.0001
Lumbar spine BMD 10.3±1.5 10.6±1.4 10.5±1.5 10.3±1.5 10.3±1.5 0.0001 Albumin (g/L) 43.2±3.4 41.1±3.3 42.7±3.0 43.6±3.1 44.6±3.2 <0.0001	Thoracic spine BMD	8.2±1.2	8.1±1.1	8.1±1.1	8.3±1.1	8.4±1.1	< 0.0001
Albumin (g/L) 43.2±3.4 41.1±3.3 42.7±3.0 43.6±3.1 44.6±3.2 <0.0001 ALP (IU/L) 68.1±23.5 65.5±22.7 66.5±22.1 68.0±24.0 71.2±24.4 <0.0001	Lumbar spine BMD	10.3±1.5	10.6±1.4	10.5±1.5	10.3±1.5	10.3±1.5	< 0.0001
ALP (IU/L) 68.1±23.5 65.5±22.7 66.5±22.1 68.0±24.0 71.2±24.4 <0.0001 ALT (IU/L) 26.0±19.9 19.4±13.4 22.2±16.7 26.0±17.0 33.6±25.2 <0.0001	Albumin (g/L)	43.2±3.4	41.1±3.3	42.7 ± 3.0	43.6±3.1	44.6±3.2	< 0.0001
ALT (IU/L) 26.0 ± 19.9 19.4 ± 13.4 22.2 ± 16.7 26.0 ± 17.0 33.6 ± 25.2 <0.0001 Continued <	ALP (IU/L)	68.1 + 23.5	65.5+22.7	66.5 + 22.1	68.0+24.0	71.2+24.4	< 0.0001
Continued	ALT (IU/L)	26.0+19.9	19.4+13.4	22.2+167	26.0+17.0	33.6+25.2	< 0.0001
	Continued	1					

Hemoglobin (g/dL)	All	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	P-value
Blood urea nitrogen (mmol/L)	4.7 ± 1.5	4.3 ± 1.7	4.5 ± 1.3	4.8 ± 1.5	4.8 ± 1.4	< 0.0001
Total calcium (mmol/L)	2.3 ± 0.1	2.3 ± 0.1	2.3 ± 0.1	2.4 ± 0.1	2.4 ± 0.1	< 0.0001
CPK (IU/L)	167.5 ± 267.6	128.8 ± 158.5	147.2 ± 235.3	187.8 ± 315.3	192.2 ± 298.9	< 0.0001
Creatinine (umol/L)	75.6±24.2	67.9±41.6	69.8±16.4	77.9 ± 16.0	83.5 ± 14.6	< 0.0001
LDH (IU/L)	132.0±29.7	128.4 ± 28.4	131.1±29.5	133.0 ± 29.0	134.2 ± 31.0	< 0.0001
Phosphorus (mmol/L)	1.2 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.2				
Potassium (mmol/L)	4.0 ± 0.3	3.9±0.3	4.0 ± 0.3	4.0 ± 0.3	4.0 ± 0.3	< 0.0001
Total bilirubin (umol/L)	9.9±5.3	7.8 ± 4.1	9.1 ± 4.7	9.9 ± 4.9	11.8±6.2	7.8 ± 4.1
Total protein (g/L)	71.5 ± 4.2	70.8 ± 4.4	71.2 ± 4.0	71.7 ± 4.1	72.2 ± 4.1	< 0.0001
Albumin creatinine ratio (mg/g)	24.3 ± 234.5	47.1±394.2	27.2 ± 289.9	11.5 ± 52.2	17.7 ± 94.8	< 0.0001
Red blood cell count (×10 ⁶ cells/µL)	4.8 ± 0.5	4.4 ± 0.4	4.6±0.3	4.9 ± 0.3	5.2 ± 0.3	< 0.0001
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.4 ± 0.4	1.5 ± 0.4	1.5 ± 0.4	1.4 ± 0.4	1.2 ± 0.3	< 0.0001
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.9 ± 1.0	4.7 ± 0.9	4.9 ± 1.0	4.9 ± 1.0	5.0 ± 1.1	< 0.0001
Glucose (mmol/L)	5.4 ± 1.8	5.3 ± 1.5	5.3 ± 1.7	5.4 ± 1.8	5.5 ± 2.0	< 0.0001
Iron (umol/L)	15.9±6.8	12.2±6.6	15.1±5.8	16.7 ± 6.5	18.4±6.6	< 0.0001
Uric acid (umol/L)	319.1±80.8	272.9 ± 68.9	292.1 ± 70.5	333.0±79.2	359.9±73.1	< 0.0001
Blood cadmium (µg/L)	0.4 ± 0.4	0.4 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.4	0.4 ± 0.4	0.0078
Blood lead (µg/L)	1.0 ± 1.1	0.9 ± 0.7	0.9 ± 0.7	1.0 ± 1.0	1.2 ± 1.6	< 0.0001
Triglyceride (mmol/L)	1.7 ± 1.4	1.4 ± 0.9	1.5 ± 1.1	1.6 ± 1.1	2.1 ± 1.8	< 0.0001
LDL-C (mmol/L) (%)						< 0.0001
0-2.302	11.3	11.4	11.5	12.3	10.0	
2.301-2.870	13.1	11.7	13.3	12.5	14.3	
2.871-3.491	11.0	10.4	9.6	11.7	12.1	
> 3.491	10.3	7.4	7.9	11.0	13.6	
Not recorded	54.3	59.1	57.6	52.6	49.9	

 $\label{eq:stability} \textbf{Table 1.} Weighted characteristics of the study population. Mean \pm SD for continuous variables: P-value was calculated by weighted linear regression model. % for categorical variables: P-value was calculated by weighted chi-square test.$

	Non-adjusted	Adjust I	Adjust II
Thoracic spine BMD	0.0716 (0.0551, 0.0881) < 0.000001	-0.0476 (-0.0689, -0.0263) 0.000012	-0.0127 (-0.0401, 0.0147) 0.362458
Lumbar spine BMD	-0.0756 (-0.0971, -0.0540) < 0.000001	-0.0975 (-0.1259, -0.0690) < 0.000001	-0.0416 (-0.0797, -0.0036) 0.031873

Table 2. Relationship between HGB and BMD. Expose variable HGB (g/dL), ending variable thoracic Spine BMD and lumbar spine BMD (mg/cm²), the results in the table are expressed as β (95% CI). Model 1, no covariates were adjusted. Model 2, age, sex, race were adjusted. Model 3, age, sex, race, annual household income, education level, SBP, DBP, BMI, red blood cell count, albumin, ALP, ALT, blood urea nitrogen, total calcium, iron, CPK, creatinine, glucose, LDH, phosphorus, total bilirubin, total protein, uric acid, albumin creatinine ratio, blood cadmium, blood lead, phosphorus, potassium, triglyceride, LDL-C, HDL-C, total cholesterol, alcohol status, smoking status and diabetes were adjusted.

.....

The mechanism of the link between HGB and BMD is not yet clear; however, based on the theory that both osteoblasts and cells of the hematopoietic microenvironment that are responsible for maintaining hematopoietic tissue have a common progenitor, namely mesenchymal stem cells (MSC)³⁴, Gurevitch et al. proposed a hypothesis that the differentiation pathways of osteogenesis and the hematopoietic microenvironment compete with each other, with osteogenic stimulation predominating during the growth phase of the organism. Nonetheless, after maturation, there is a gradual increase in differentiation. This subsequently leads to a reduction in bone mass and enlargement of the bone marrow cavity in hematopoietically active cancellous bones³⁵. They speculated that continuous overproduction of blood cells leads to excessive depletion of the hematopoietic system and is a non-negligible component in the etiology of osteoporosis. Blood loss promotes the proliferation of hematopoietic progenitor cells, leading to an increase in the number of hematopoietic cells including osteoblasts, which enhances bone tissue resorption. In addition, a reduction in blood volume stimulates bone development and increases the number of osteoblasts, thereby promoting new bone formation³⁶. It has also been shown that acute bleeding stimulates the secretion of bone morphogenetic protein 2 and BMP6 by hematopoietic stem cells, thereby driving MSC differentiation along the osteogenic pathway³⁷.



Figure 2. Correlation between hemoglobin and thoracic bone mineral density. (**a**) Each black point represents one sample. (**b**) The area between the two blue dashed lines is represented as a 95% CI. The area between the lines is expressed as 95% CI. Each point represents the size of the hemoglobin and is connected into a continuous line. Age, sex, race, annual household income, education level, SBP, DBP, BMI, red blood cell count, albumin, ALP, ALT, blood urea nitrogen, total calcium, iron, CPK, creatinine, glucose, LDH, phosphorus, total bilirubin, total protein, uric acid, albumin creatinine ratio, blood cadmium, blood lead, phosphorus, potassium, triglyceride, LDL-C, HDL-C, total cholesterol, alcohol status, smoking status and diabetes were adjusted.



Figure 3. Correlation between hemoglobin and lumbar bone mineral density. (**a**) Each black point represents one sample. (**b**) The area between the two blue dashed lines is represented as a 95% CI. The area between the lines is expressed as 95% CI. Each point represents the size of the hemoglobin and is connected into a continuous line. Age,sex, race, annual household income, education level, SBP, DBP, BMI, red blood cell count, albumin, ALP, ALT, blood urea nitrogen, total calcium, iron, CPK, creatinine, glucose, LDH, phosphorus, total bilirubin, total protein, uric acid, albumin creatinine ratio, blood cadmium, blood lead, phosphorus, potassium, triglyceride, LDL-C, HDL-C, total cholesterol, alcohol status, smoking status and diabetes were adjusted.

.....

This cross-sectional study not only confirmed the association between HGB and BMD, but also provided substantial clinical significance. The U-shaped curve in the relationship between HGB and BMD in the Non-Hispanic Asian population implies that BMD in the thoracic and lumbar spine may be quietly decreasing as HGB levels approach 14.9 (g/dL) or 15.6 (g/dL) levels. More notably, however, the inverted U-shaped curve in the relationship between HGB and BMD of the lumbar and thoracic spine in people of other races and unreported races demonstrates that for these patients, clinicians should be aware of low levels of HGB while being alert to the risk of reduced bone mass and the need for close monitoring of BMD and early intervention.

This study analyzed NHANES 2013–2018 data conducted by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Owing to the rigorous design of the NHANES database, the accuracy of the data requires the completion

	Non-adjusted	Adjust I	Adjust II						
Thoracic spine BMD									
Male	-0.0307 (-0.0632, 0.0018) 0.064372	-0.0002 (-0.0323, 0.0319) 0.990719	0.0232 (-0.0198, 0.0663) 0.290500						
Female	-0.0806 (-0.1085, -0.0527)<0.000001	-0.0722 (-0.1003, -0.0441)<0.000001	-0.0438 (-0.0790, -0.0086) 0.014779						
Lumbar spine BMD									
Male	-0.0927 (-0.1363, -0.0491) 0.000031	-0.0923 (-0.1362, -0.0483) 0.000039	-0.0184 (-0.0798, 0.0430) 0.556416						
Female	-0.1081 (-0.1448, -0.0714) < 0.000001	-0.0941 (-0.1308, -0.0573) < 0.000001	- 0.0780 (- 0.1261, - 0.0299) 0.001499						

Table 3. Association between HGB and BMD stratified by gender. Expose variable HGB (g/dL), ending variable lumbar spine BMD, the results in the table are expressed as β (95% CI). Model 1, no covariates were adjusted. Model 2, age, race were adjusted. Model 3, age, race, annual household income, education level, SBP, DBP, BMI, red blood cell count, albumin, ALP, ALT, blood urea nitrogen, total calcium, iron, CPK, creatinine, glucose, LDH, phosphorus, total bilirubin, total protein, uric acid, albumin creatinine ratio, blood cadmium, blood lead, phosphorus, potassium, triglyceride, LDL-C, HDL-C, total cholesterol, alcohol status, smoking status and diabetes were adjusted. In the subgroup analysis stratified by gender, the model is not adjusted for the stratification variable itself.

	Non-adjusted	Adjust I	Adjust II			
Thoracic spine BMD						
Mexican American	0.1043 (0.0688, 0.1399) < 0.000001	-0.0082 (-0.0539, 0.0375) 0.726446	-0.0246 (-0.0863, 0.0372) 0.435539			
Other Hispanic	0.0837 (0.0300, 0.1375) 0.002332	-0.0778 (-0.1486, -0.0071) 0.031307	-0.0230 (-0.1141, 0.0681) 0.620970			
Non-Hispanic White	0.0795 (0.0505, 0.1084) < 0.000001	-0.0402 (-0.0776, -0.0027) 0.035695	-0.0031 (-0.0522, 0.0460) 0.900976			
Non-Hispanic Black	0.0731 (0.0367, 0.1094) 0.000086	-0.0742 (-0.1207, -0.0277) 0.001809	0.0008 (-0.0582, 0.0599) 0.977939			
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.0444 (-0.0020, 0.0907)	-0.0398 (-0.1021, 0.0226)	0.0075 (-0.0741, 0.0890)			
	0.061182	0.211246	0.857496			
Other Races and Not recorded	0.0271 (-0.0423, 0.0966)	-0.1110 (-0.1993, -0.0227)	-0.1191 (-0.2329, -0.0053)			
	0.444195	0.014051	0.040823			
Lumbar spine BMD						
Mexican American	-0.0250 (-0.0761, 0.0262)	-0.0357 (-0.1024, 0.0311)	-0.0798 (-0.1715, 0.0118)			
	0.338566	0.295251	0.087958			
Other Hispanic	-0.1062 (-0.1761, -0.0363)	-0.1494 (-0.2436, -0.0552)	-0.0949 (-0.2194, 0.0295)			
	0.002979	0.001933	0.135367			
Non-Hispanic White	-0.0657 (-0.1030, -0.0284)	-0.0827 (-0.1317, -0.0336)	-0.0144 (-0.0814, 0.0525)			
	0.000566	0.000966	0.672600			
Non-Hispanic Black	-0.1078 (-0.1548, -0.0608)	-0.1734 (-0.2353,	-0.0388 (-0.1208, 0.0433)			
	0.000007	-0.1114) < 0.000001	0.354759			
Non-Hispanic Asian	-0.0518 (-0.1124, 0.0088)	-0.0473 (-0.1300, 0.0354)	-0.0241 (-0.1353, 0.0870)			
	0.094161	0.262388	0.670396			
Other races and not recorded	-0.1206 (-0.2065, -0.0348)	-0.1360 (-0.2481, -0.0240)	-0.1617 (-0.3165, -0.0069)			
	0.006117	0.017681	0.041218			

Table 4. Association between HGB and BMD stratified by race. Expose variable HGB (g/dL), ending variable lumbar spine BMD, the results in the table are expressed as β (95% CI). Model 1, no covariates were adjusted. Model 2, age, sex were adjusted. Model 3, age, sex, annual household income, education level, SBP, DBP, BMI, red blood cell count, albumin, ALP, ALT, blood urea nitrogen, total calcium, iron, CPK, creatinine, glucose, LDH, phosphorus, total bilirubin, total protein, uric acid, albumin creatinine ratio, blood cadmium, blood lead, phosphorus, potassium, triglyceride, LDL-C, HDL-C, total cholesterol, alcohol status, smoking status and diabetes were adjusted. In the subgroup analysis stratified by race, the model is not adjusted for the stratification variable itself.

of a large sample size and stratified analysis for this study. It is regrettable that for some confounding factors that may affect the results, such as chronic kidney disease, chronic inflammatory disease, long-term infection, and the use of certain drugs, due to the lack of relevant information in the 2013–2018 NHANES database, this study cannot describe the current cases in the study. Nonetheless, this study relied on a cross-sectional design; therefore, it was not possible to ascertain a causal relationship between BMD and HGB.

Conclusions

Our study shows that HGB has a non-linear relationship with lumbar and thoracic BMD. Further studies are required to elucidate the mechanisms underlying this association.



Figure 4. The hemoglobin and bone mineral density relationship, stratified by gender. Age, race, annual household income, education level, SBP, DBP, BMI, red blood cell count, albumin, ALP, ALT, blood urea nitrogen, total calcium, iron, CPK, creatinine, glucose, LDH, phosphorus, total bilirubin, total protein, uric acid, albumin creatinine ratio, blood cadmium, blood lead, phosphorus, potassium, triglyceride, LDL-C, HDL-C, total cholesterol, alcohol status, smoking status and diabetes were adjusted. (**a**) Thoracic BMD; (**b**) Lumbar BMD. Red line: Male; Blue line: Female.



Figure 5. The hemoglobin and bone mineral density relationship, stratified by race. Age, sex, annual household income, education level, SBP, DBP, BMI, red blood cell count, albumin, ALP, ALT, blood urea nitrogen, total calcium, iron, CPK, creatinine, glucose, LDH, phosphorus, total bilirubin, total protein, uric acid, albumin creatinine ratio, blood cadmium, blood lead, phosphorus, potassium, triglyceride, LDL-C, HDL-C, total cholesterol, alcohol status, smoking status and diabetes were adjusted. (**a**) Thoracic BMD; (**b**) Lumbar BMD. Red line: Mexican American; Yellow line: Other Hispanic; Green line: Non-Hispanic White; Light blue line: Non-Hispanic Black; Dark blue line: Non-Hispanic Asian; Purple line: Other Races and Not recorded.

	Hemoglobin (g/dL)	Adjusted β (95% CI), <i>p</i> -value							
Non-Hispanic Asian									
Thoracic spine BMD	<14.9	-0.1 (-0.2, 0.0) 0.095							
	>14.9	0.2 (0.1, 0.4) 0.002							
Lumber mine PMD	<15.6	-0.1 (-0.2, 0.0) 0.138							
	>15.6	0.4 (0.1, 0.7) 0.012							
Non-Hispanic White									
Thoracic spine BMD	<15.1	-0.0 (-0.1, 0.0) 0.395							
	>15.1	0.1 (-0.0, 0.2) 0.196							
Other race and not recorded									
Lumbar spine BMD	<13.4	0.1 (-0.1, 0.3) 0.376							
	>13.4	-0.4 (-0.6, -0.2)<0.001							

Table 5. Threshold effect analysis of HGB on Thoracic Spine BMD and Lumbar Spine BMD using twopiecewise linear regression. Age, sex, annual household income, education level, SBP, DBP, BMI, red blood cell count, albumin, ALP, ALT, blood urea nitrogen, total calcium, iron, CPK, creatinine, glucose, LDH, phosphorus, total bilirubin, total protein, uric acid, albumin creatinine ratio, blood cadmium, blood lead, phosphorus, potassium, triglyceride, LDL-C, HDL-C, total cholesterol, alcohol status, smoking status and diabetes were adjusted.

Data availability

The datasets obtained and analysed during the current study are available in the NHANES [https://www.cdc. gov/nchs/nhanes/index.htm].

Received: 21 March 2022; Accepted: 31 May 2022 Published online: 15 June 2022

References

- Hauk, L. Treatment of low BMD and osteoporosis to prevent fractures: Updated guideline from the ACP. Am. Fam. Physician. 97(5), 352–353 (2018).
- Falaschi, P., Marques, A. & Giordano, S. Osteoporosis and fragility in elderly patients. In Orthogeriatrics: The Management of Older Patients with Fragility Fractures (eds Falaschi, P. & Marsh, D.) 35–52 (Springer, 2021).
- Blake, G. M. & Fogelman, I. The role of DXA bone density scans in the diagnosis and treatment of osteoporosis. *Postgrad. Med. J.* 83(982), 509–517 (2007).
- 4. Schurman, L. et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis, prevention and treatment of osteoporosis, 2012. Medicina 73(1), 55-74 (2013).
- 5. Lane, N. E. Epidemiology, etiology, and diagnosis of osteoporosis. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 194(2 Suppl), S3-11 (2006).
- Korkmaz, U. et al. Anemia as a risk factor for low bone mineral density in postmenopausal Turkish women. Eur. J. Intern. Med. 23(2), 154–158 (2012).
- 7. Farid, Y., Bowman, N. S. & Lecat, P. Biochemistry, Hemoglobin Synthesis (StatPearls Publishing, 2021).
- Balarajan, Y., Ramakrishnan, U., Ozaltin, E., Shankar, A. H. & Subramanian, S. V. Anaemia in low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet (London, England)*. 378(9809), 2123–2135 (2011).
- Crea, F. et al. Mechanisms and diagnostic evaluation of persistent or recurrent angina following percutaneous coronary revascularization. Eur. Heart J. 40(29), 2455–2462 (2019).
- 10. Bani Hassan, E. *et al.* Hemoglobin levels are low in sarcopenic and osteosarcopenic older persons. *Calcif. Tissue Int.* **107**(2), 135–142 (2020).
- 11. Hirani, V. *et al.* Low hemoglobin concentrations are associated with sarcopenia, physical performance, and disability in older australian men in cross-sectional and longitudinal analysis: The concord health and ageing in men project. *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* **71**(12), 1667–1675 (2016).
- 12. Zhou, Y. *et al.* Implications of gender-based variabilities in bone mineral density and hemoglobin levels. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* **22**(1), 645 (2021).
- 13. Shirazi, K. M. *et al.* Bone density and bone metabolism in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Saudi J. Gastroenterol.* **18**(4), 241–247 (2012).
- Miller, R. G. et al. High prevalence and correlates of low bone mineral density in young adults with sickle cell disease. Am. J. Hematol. 81(4), 236–241 (2006).
- Sarrai, M., Duroseau, H., D'Augustine, J., Moktan, S. & Bellevue, R. Bone mass density in adults with sickle cell disease. Br. J. Haematol. 136(4), 666–672 (2007).
- Aggarwal, H. K., Jain, D., Yadav, S. & Kaverappa, V. Bone mineral density in patients with predialysis chronic kidney disease. *Ren. Fail.* 35(8), 1105–1111 (2013).
- Rutten, E. P., Franssen, F. M., Spruit, M. A. & Wouters, E. F. Anemia is associated with bone mineral density in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. COPD 10(3), 286–292 (2013).
- 18. Zarei, T. et al. Evaluation of bone mineral density in patients with hemoglobin H disease. Ann. Hematol. 95(8), 1329-1332 (2016).
- 19. Cui, R., Zhao, Z., Fei, Z., Li, Y. & Gao, W. Anemia is related to osteoporosis in Chinese type 2 diabetic patients. Arch. Osteoporos. 16(1), 161 (2021).
- CDC (2013) National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, NHANES 2013–2014. https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/ContinuousNhanes/Default.aspx?BeginYear=2013. Accessed 3 Jan 2022
- CDC (2015) National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, NHANES 2015–2016. https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/continuousnhanes/default.aspx?BeginYear=2015. Accessed 3 Jan 2022
- CDC (2017) National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, NHANES 2017–2018. https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/conti nuousnhanes/default.aspx?BeginYear=2017. Accessed 3 Jan 2022

- CDC (2013) NHANES 2013–2014 Brochures and Consent Documents. https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/ContinuousNhanes/ Documents.aspx?BeginYear=2013. Accessed 3 Jan 2022
- CDC (2015) NHANES 2015–2016 Brochures and Consent Documents. https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/ContinuousNhanes/ Documents.aspx?BeginYear=2015. Accessed 3 Jan 2022
- CDC (2017) NHANES 2017–2018 Brochures and Consent Documents. https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/ContinuousNhanes/ Documents.aspx?BeginYear=2017. Accessed 3 Jan 2022
- National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2017–2018 Data Documentation, Codebook, and Frequencies Complete Blood Count with 5-Part Differential (CBC_J). https://wwwn.cdc.gov/Nchs/Nhanes/2017-2018/CBC_J.htm. Accessed 3 Jan 2022
- National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2017–2018 Data Documentation, Codebook, and Frequencies Dual-Energy X-ray Absorptiometry - Whole Body (DXX_J). https://wwwn.cdc.gov/Nchs/Nhanes/2017-2018/DXX_J.htm. Accessed 3 Jan 2022
- CDC (2017) NHANES 2017–2018 Laboratory Methods. https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/continuousnhanes/labmethods.aspx? BeginYear=2017. Accessed 3 Jan 2022
- von Elm, E. et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: Guidelines for reporting observational studies. Lancet (London, England). 370(9596), 1453–1457 (2007).
- Kim, H. S., Park, H. M., Lee, H. S. & Lee, Y. J. Hemoglobin levels and low bone mineral density in non-anemic older adults: Secondary analysis of the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Exp. Gerontol.* 126, 110706 (2019).
- Chuang, T.L., Koo, M., Chuang, M.H., Wang, Y.F. Bone mineral density and hemoglobin levels: Opposite associations in younger and older women. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health.* 18(10) (2021).
- 32. Cesari, M. *et al.* Bone density and hemoglobin levels in older persons: Results from the InCHIANTI study. *Osteoporosis Int.* **16**(6), 691–699 (2005).
- Chuang, M. H., Chuang, T. L., Koo, M. & Wang, Y. F. Low hemoglobin is associated with low bone mineral density and high risk of bone fracture in male adults: A retrospective medical record review study. Am. J. Mens Health 13(3), 1557988319850378 (2019).
- 34. Ho, M. S., Medcalf, R. L., Livesey, S. A. & Traianedes, K. The dynamics of adult haematopoiesis in the bone and bone marrow environment. *Br. J. Haematol.* **170**(4), 472–486 (2015).
- 35. Gurevitch, O., Slavin, S. & Feldman, A. G. Conversion of red bone marrow into yellow—Cause and mechanisms. *Med. Hypotheses* 69(3), 531–536 (2007).
- 36. Gurevitch, O. & Slavin, S. The hematological etiology of osteoporosis. Med. Hypotheses 67(4), 729-735 (2006).
- 37. Adams, G. B. & Scadden, D. T. A niche opportunity for stem cell therapeutics. Gene Ther. 15(2), 96–99 (2008).

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the following financial support: the Shandong University of Chinese Medicine Research and Innovation Team (No. 220318); the Shandong Province Famous Old Chinese Medicine Experts Inheritance Studio Construction Project (No. 22201906). We thank the NHANES database for sharing the data.

Author contributions

All authors made a significant contribution to the work reported and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. H.J. and L.E.Q. designed the experiments. L.E.Q., H.X.Z., and L.S.Q. collected and analyzed data. L.E.Q. and H.X.Z. prepared the initial draft of the manuscript. L.H., L.E.Q. and H.J. gave critical feedback during the study or during the submission of the manuscript. All authors provided final approval of the version to be submitted and agreed on the journal for publication.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from the Shandong University of Chinese Medicine Research and Innovation Team (No. 220318); the Shandong Province Famous Old Chinese Medicine Experts Inheritance Studio Construction Project (No. 22201906).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-13973-w.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.H. or H.L.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022