

## CORRECTION

# Correction: Quantitative and Qualitative Assessment of Yttrium-90 PET/CT Imaging

The PLOS ONE Staff

In the third sentence under the subheading “Sensitivity and calibration measurements” of Results, [Fig. 1](#), and the legend for [Fig. 1](#), the abbreviation for kilocounts is incorrect. Please view the corrected [Fig. 1](#) below.

The corrected third sentence under the subheading “Sensitivity and calibration measurements” of Results is: “The net true coincidences fall along a straight line with a slope of  $(189.4 \pm 1.5)$  kcts/GBq, y-intercept of  $(6.8 \pm 2.5)$  kcts and  $R^2 = 0.9998$ .”

The complete, corrected [Fig. 1](#) legend is: “Counting statistics (kcts) for the 30 min acquisition with 2 bed positions for Day 0, 3, 5 and 7 measurement time points during 1 week and an empty phantom measurement.”




CrossMark  
click for updates

## OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** The PLOS ONE Staff (2015) Correction: Quantitative and Qualitative Assessment of Yttrium-90 PET/CT Imaging. PLoS ONE 10(2): e0118423. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118423

**Published:** February 26, 2015

**Copyright:** © 2015 The PLOS ONE Staff. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



**Fig 1.** Counting statistics (kcts) for the 30 min acquisition with 2 bed positions for Day 0, 3, 5 and 7 measurement time points during 1 week and an empty phantom measurement.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118423.g001

## Reference

1. Attarwala AA, Molina-Duran F, Büsing K-A, Schönberg SO, Bailey DL, Willowson K, et al. (2014) Quantitative and Qualitative Assessment of Yttrium-90 PET/CT Imaging. PLoS ONE 9(11): e110401. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0110401](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0110401) PMID: [25369020](#)