

Citation: Shakeel M, Irfan M, Khan IA (2018) Estimating the mutational load for cardiovascular diseases in Pakistani population. PLoS ONE 13(2): e0192446. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0192446

Editor: Gyaneshwer Chaubey, Banaras Hindu University, INDIA

Received: July 26, 2017

Accepted: January 23, 2018

Published: February 8, 2018

Copyright: © 2018 Shakeel et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This work was supported by Higher Education Comission, Pakistan, <u>www.hec.gov.pk</u>, PIN: 213-54240-2BM2-123 (MS). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Estimating the mutational load for cardiovascular diseases in Pakistani population

Muhammad Shakeel, Muhammad Irfan, Ishtiaq Ahmad Khan*

Jamil-ur-Rahman Center for Genome Research, Dr. Panjwani Center for Molecular Medicine and Drug Research, International Center for Chemical and Biological Sciences, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan

* ishtiaqchemist@gmail.com

Abstract

The deleterious genetic variants contributing to certain diseases may differ in terms of number and allele frequency from population to population depending on their evolutionary background. Here, we prioritize the deleterious variants from Pakistani population in manually curated gene list already reported to be associated with common, Mendelian, and congenital cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) using the genome/exome sequencing data of Pakistani individuals publically available in 1000 Genomes Project (PJL), and Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC) South Asia. By applying a set of tools such as Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion (CADD), ANNOVAR, and Variant Effect Predictor (VEP), we highlighted 561 potentially detrimental variants from PJL data, and 7374 variants from ExAC South Asian data. Likewise, filtration from ClinVar for CVDs revealed 03 pathogenic and 02 likely pathogenic variants from PJL and 112 pathogenic and 42 likely pathogenic variants from ExAC South Asians. The comparison of derived allele frequencies (DAF) revealed many of these prioritized variants having two fold and higher DAF in Pakistani individuals than in other populations. The highest number of deleterious variants contributing to common CVDs in descending order includes hypertension, atherosclerosis, heart failure, aneurysm, and coronary heart disease, and for Mendelian and congenital CVDs cardiomyopathies, cardiac arrhythmias, and atrioventricular septal defects.

Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) are the prime cause of death globally, accounting for over 31% of all the global deaths as estimated in 2012. The major proportion is endured by low- and middle-income countries, such as Pakistan [1]. The World Health Organization has reported 6.34 million disability adjusted life years (DALYs) due to CVDs in Pakistan in the duration 2000–2012, which was 19.6% of the burden by non-communicable diseases in the country [2]. The high prevalence and subsequent mortality attributed to CVDs is due to heritable and environmental contributing factors. The heritable component is polygenic and a result of complex interaction of many genes that confers an increased risk of CVD development [3].

Availability of population scale large DNA sequence datasets, such as 1000 Genomes Project [4] and the Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC) [5], have enabled researchers to explore variants frequencies of individual loci across populations and to highlight those related to local adaptations and disease susceptibility. The discovery of huge number of rare population or individual specific variants (MAF < 0.5%) in these genome sequencing projects is important for evaluating their contribution to the susceptibility and onset of diseases [6, 7]. Compared to the common variants, these rare variants more likely occur at evolutionary constrained site of proteins which have been kept conserved due to their functional importance. Such rare variants affect proteins composition in a more disruptive manner compromising or eliminating their function and affecting some phenotype [8]. The rate of emergence and distribution of such deleterious variants in populations is important in determining the patterns of underlying genetic load for diseases, because the increased accumulation of genetic load of diseases due to non-random segregation of deleterious variants is so detrimental that fixation or near-fixation of these mutations can play a significant role in the extinction of isolated populations with small effective population size [9, 10].

The effect of genetic variants for susceptibility or onset of diseases can be assessed in two ways using the DNA sequencing data: either screening the catalogued disease causing variants found already associated with certain disease by case-control studies, or prioritizing the detrimental variants, which have not been previously associated with diseases, by predicting their damaging effect [11]. The variant effect prediction tools make use of the available information such as the degree of conservation at the variant site and type of alteration in the protein composition, or its association with regulatory features and then predict the possible deleteriousness of variants under question [12]. As estimated earlier, on average a healthy person carries 281-515 missense substitutions, out of which 40-85 in homozygous state, predicted to be damaging and disease causing [11]. The presence of such deleterious variants in healthy individuals without showing apparent disease symptoms may be due to these variants being present in the heterozygous state, particularly for those that are associated with autosomal recessive disorders, having low penetrance, or being associated with a late disease onset. By genome wide association studies (GWAS), hundreds of common genetic variants have already been attributed to common CVDs such as hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and coronary artery disease. Likewise genetic screenings have also identified many rare variants associated with Mendelian CVDs such as cardiomyopathies and arrhythmias. The common variants impart small cumulative risk in the onset of disease. The rare deleterious variants have been hypothesized to pose greater effect for these complex diseases [13]. Quantification of the mutational load for certain diseases provides a framework for understanding the overall effect of population-specific history on deleterious variation.

South Asia is one of the most densely populated regions having approximately one fourth of the world's population [14]. This region faces severe socioeconomic inequities leading to serious health care issues [15]. Large scale ethnographic studies have shown that South Asians are at more risk to cardiovascular diseases than other ethnicities [16, 17]. CVDs account for 27% of the deaths in this region of the world, which is alarmingly high [18]. The age-standard-ized years of life lost due to CVDs has been increased in South Asia as compared to other regions. The incidence of acute myocardial infarction occurs about six years earlier than in western countries [19]. Likewise, the risk and prevalence of coronary artery disease is also considerably high in South Asians than in European populations [20].

Pakistan, the 2nd largest country of South Asia, and 6th largest country of the world (population 193.2 million) [14], is also facing serious health care issues. Estimates show that one in five adults of middle age may have sub-clinical coronary artery disease [21]. Prevalence of coronary artery disease in the local rural population has been reported to be 11.2% in one study

[22]. Owing to the socio-demographic perspectives, consanguineous marriages are quite common in this region [23], which are possible cause of high prevalence of genetic disorders including cardiovascular diseases [24]. In this scenario, this study aims to estimate the underlying mutational burden of cardiovascular diseases in the Pakistani population. For this purpose, we make use of publically available genomic data of Pakistani population (Punjabi from Lahore; PJL) in the 1000 Genomes Project, and South Asians (SAS) in ExAC which predominantly contains samples from Pakistan as a cohort of the Pakistan Risk of Myocardial Infarction Study (PROMIS) [25]. For quantifying the mutational load, we applied two approaches, i.e. filtration of variants already reported to be associated with cardiovascular diseases in Clin-Var database, and by predicting the functionally deleterious variants using variant effect prediction tools. In this analysis, we determined the concordance of mutational load of cardiac diseases between the two data sets, i.e., 1000 Genomes Project PJL, and ExAC SAS. We compared the allele frequencies of variants associated with these diseases to understand their relevance for estimating cardiovascular genetic risk in the Pakistani population in comparison with other continental populations.

Methodology

i. Preparation of genes lists

The genes reported to be associated with common, Mendelian, and congenital cardiovascular diseases were obtained primarily from three data bases, Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM) [26], ClinVar [27], and Disease Ontology Annotation Framework (DOAF) [28]. The complete list of diseases at these databases were accessed and filtered for cardiovascular diseases using multiple terms related to CVDs such as 'cardio', 'cardiac', 'heart', 'coronary', 'cardiomyopathy', 'myocardial', 'aneurysm', 'arteriopathy', 'atherosclerosis', 'septal defect', 'septal noncompaction', 'tetralogy of fallot', 'artiral', 'arterial', 'hypertension', 'QT syndrome', 'hypercholesterolemia', 'hyper triglyceridemia' and some manually selected cardiac diseases. These terms were also compared with those in Human Phenotype Ontology [29] and WHO's International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10) database. After manual curation through literature survey and refinement through GeneCards database [30], three lists comprising of genes relating to three categories of CVDs were prepared: one for common CVDs (n = 895 genes) such as hypertension, atherosclerosis, coronary heart disease, and heart failure, second for Mendelian CVDs (n = 320 genes) such as cardiomyopathies, cardiac arrhythmia, QT syndromes, and atrial fibrillation, and third for congenital CVDs (n = 62 genes) such as congenital heart disease, and atrioventricular septal defects. The lists of the selected genes associated with common, Mendelian and congenital CVDs are given in S1 Table. There was overlapping of few genes between these three categories of CVDs (Fig 1). The gene ontology terms to which these finally short listed genes belong were determined by UniProt Gene Ontology Annotation database for human version 2.0 [31] and plotted using the 'BGI WEGO' online Gene Ontology Tool [32].

ii. Data set

Two data sets were used for estimating the mutational load of cardiovascular diseases in Pakistani population, i.e., the 1000 Genomes Project phase 3 data and ExAC release 0.3 data. The variants data for Pakistani population PJL (n = 96 individuals) was extracted from 1000 Genomes project data using the VCFtools [33]. This data of healthy persons was used for estimating mutational load of common, Mendelian and congenital CVDs. From ExAC database, the genetic variations related to South Asians (n = 8,276) were extracted and used as Pakistani data because it predominantly contained Pakistani individuals (n = 7,078) as part of the



Fig 1. Venn diagram showing the number and overlap of genes associated with common, Mendelian and congenital cardiovascular diseases used in this analysis.

Pakistan Risk of Myocardial Infarction Study. This data was used for mutational load of Mendelian and congenital CVDs only because it already contained cohort of common cardiac diseases such as hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and coronary artery disease apart from healthy controls [25].

iii. Analysis pipeline

We developed a pipeline for computational analysis to determine the predicted deleterious effects of genetic variants based on functional annotations and assessing their prevalence using the common bioinformatics tools (Fig 2). The coordinates of the selected genes involved in cardiovascular diseases were obtained from GENCODE release 19 (gencode.v19.annotation. gtf), which is the final build of GENCODE mapped to the human GRCh37 reference assembly [34]. To cover the promoter regions of these genes in the analysis, 2000 was subtracted from the gene's start position (the upstream region) and 2000 was added to the gene's end position (the downstream position). In order to subset the variants of relevant genes bcftools-1.2.1 was used. For the current analysis, only the SNVs were used for prioritization. To determine the functional impact of the subset variants on proteins' structure and function, three widely used tools were employed, i.e., the Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion (CADD) [35], PolyPhen-2 [36], and Sorting Intolerant from Tolerant (SIFT) [37]. These tools make use of machine learning approach to predict the effect of variants based on a number of factors including protein multiple sequence alignment, sequence- and structure-based features, and conservation across available homologous sequences [38]. Our approach was to prioritize missense (non-synonymous) variants preferably with low- and rare-allele frequency, because studies have shown that low- and rare-allele frequency variants are more in functional impact on proteins, whereby these are associated with complex phenotypes/disorders by changing the composition of proteins [39]. The annotation of the variants with CADD was performed using an in-house perl script (Supporting Information Script 1), while annotation with SIFT and PolyPhen-2 was performed with ANNOVAR [40]. We kept the criteria a bit stringent for



Fig 2. Analysis pipeline to determine the deleterious variants related to cardiovascular diseases in Pakistani population using 1000 Genomes Project PJL and ExAC SAS databases. (PJL = Punjabi in Lahore, Pakistan, ExAC SAS = South Asian in Exome Aggregation Consortium).

filtration of harmful variants, such that an SNV was considered 'functionally deleterious' for which PolyPhen-2 HDIV score was > 0.957, SIFT score was < 0.05, and CADD phred-like score was 15 or higher (i.e. $\le 1\%$ percentile highest scores). We called such filtered SNVs as 'predicted deleterious SNVs' (dSNVs). The ancestral and derived states of deleterious variants were retrieved from online CADD annotation tool, which utilizes human-chimpanzee ancestral genome from the Ensembl EPO multiple alignments [41].

iv. Comparison of the variants across the world populations

Population wise allele frequencies of predicted deleterious variants were retrieved by filterbased annotation with ANNOVAR using the 1000 Genomes and ExAC data frequency files. The comparison of allele frequencies for the two data sets (The 1000 Genomes and ExAC data) was carried out independently due to the difference in their data structure. The derived allele frequencies of predicted deleterious variants for cardiovascular diseases in Pakistani individuals of the 1000 Genomes Project were compared with all five major population groups i.e South Asian (SAS), European (EUR), Admixed American (AMR), African (AFR), East Asians (EAS), and Southeast Asian population 'Malay' [42]. Likewise, the derived allele frequencies of predicted deleterious variants in South Asian population of ExAC data were compared with all four populations of the same data i.e Non-Finnish Europeans (NFE), Latino (AMR), African/African American (AFR), and East Asian (EAS).

To find the populations wise genetic differentiation with respect to cardiovascular diseases, pair-wise Weir and Cockerham F_{ST} [43] values were calculated for the 1000 Genomes data, using the VCFtools. For this purpose, two approaches were employed, i.e F_{ST} calculation for all the genes which harbored the predicted deleterious SNVs in this analysis, and for deleterious SNVs only which were prioritized. Likewise, the relatedness of the populations based on the deleteriousness they harbored for cardiovascular diseases was assessed by Principal component analysis (PCA) using the PLINK tool (v1.90b3.30) [44] and verified by EIGENSOFT's smartpca (version 3.0) [45].

v. Searching the variants in ClinVar database

Annotation of the variants in genes set related to cardiovascular diseases were carried out using the ClinVar data release 20160104 [27]. The allele frequencies of ClinVar variants present in Pakistani individuals were retrieved by ANNOVAR annotation for both the 1000 Genomes populations and ExAC populations as described above. For comparison of allele frequencies among the populations, only those variants were selected with ClinVar significance 'Pathogenic', and 'Likely_pathogenic'.

Results

i. Gene ontology

The grouping of genes under study according to their biological role was carried out using UniProt Gene Ontology Annotation database [31], which showed that most of the genes were primarily involved in binding, catalysis, and molecular transduction in a number of biological processes such as biological regulation, anatomical structure formation, cellular compartment organization and genesis, developmental process, metabolic process, and organismal process etc. (S1 Fig). Gene ontology shows that many genes are also related to structural processes of the heart representing the anatomical nature of cardiac diseases.

ii. The mutational load of CVDs

All the SNVs in intronic, exonic, and flanking regulatory regions of our genes under study, as extracted from 1000 Genomes Project PJL and ExAC SAS data, were analyzed for mutational load by applying our analysis pipeline (Table 1). We calculated the proportions of synonymous, nonsynonymous, deleterious nonsynonymous, and homozygous deleterious SNVs from the two data sets. The proportions of nonsynonymous exonic SNVs (nonsynonymous SNVs/exonic SNVs), and deleterious nonsynonymous SNVs (deleterious nSNVs/nonsynonymous SNVs) was higher in ExAC SAS than in 1000 Genomes Project PJL (0.64 v.s. 0.51, and 0.26 v.s. 0.16 respectively). On the other hand, the proportion of synonymous SNVs and homozygous deleterious SNVs was observed to be higher in 1000 Genomes Project PJL than in ExAC SAS (0.45 v.s. 0.35, and 0.12 v.s. 0.04 respectively) (S2 Fig). After applying the prediction tools as described in analysis pipeline, 561 combinedly predicted deleterious SNVs were prioritized for common, Mendelian and congenital CVDs from 1000 Genomes Project PJL data, while there were 7374 combinedly predicted deleterious SNVs for Mendelian and congenital

Table 1. The number of variants subsetted from two datasets within the coordinates of our genes-sets of CVDs. The mutational load of deleterious SNVs per person was found to be higher for common CVDs than for Mendelian or congenital CVDs.

Data Sets	1000 Genomes PJL	ExAC SAS	
Sample size	96	8276	
CVDs related genes analyzed here	1187	379	
Subset of variants in these genes	363543	71816	
Exonic variants	6941	44357	
Upstream variants	4668	80	
Downstream variants	4752	09	
5'-UTR	1573	1075	
3'-UTR	7541	1694	
Predicted Consequences of Variants:			
Non-synonymous SNVs	3521	28305	
'Combinedly predicted deleterious' with SIFT, Polyphen-2, and CADD phred score 15 (dSNVs)	561	7374	
Homozygous dSNVs	69	306	
Loss of Function (LoF) variants in dSNVs	05	142	
Per person dSNVs	5.84	0.89	
https://doi.org/10.1071/journal.name.0100446.t001			

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192446.t001

CVDs from the ExAC SAS data (Fig 3). Based on these findings from two data sets, the mutational load was observed to be higher for common CVDs than for Mendelian and congenital CVDs in Pakistani population. The highest number of deleterious variants contributing to common CVDs in descending order included hypertension, atherosclerosis, heart failure, aneurysm, and coronary heart disease, and for Mendelian and congenital CVDs cardiomyopathies (dilated and hypertrophic), cardiac arrhythmias, and atrioventricular septal defects.

iii. Filtration of variants in ClinVar

The filtration of our set of variants based on pathogenicity in ClinVar database identified several variants associated with Mendelian and congenital cardiovascular disorders. There were 03 variants with ClinVar significance 'Pathogenic', and 02 variants with 'likely Pathogenic', significance for CVDs in 1000 Genomes Project PJL population (S2 Table, sheet A). The three pathogenic SNVs (rs201654872, rs115372595, and rs201680145) contribute to dilated cardiomyopathy, atrioventricular septal defect, and cerebral autosomal dominant arteriopathy respectively. The annotation with online VEP tool showed that two pathogenic missense SNVs rs201654872 [Val/Met] and rs201680145 [Arg/Cys] are linked with CCCTC-binding factor site (CTCF_binding_site). The CTCF_binding_sites are major determinants of long-range interactions (looping) of chromatins which alter gene expression [46]. The third pathogenic missense SNV rs115372595 [Ala/Val] is also linked with regulatory region (open chromatin region). The open chromatin sites tend to be near the transcription start site and play a role in gene expression coincident with CTCF binding sites [47]. The two 'Likely Pathogenic' variants (rs193922669, and rs77613865) contribute to arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy respectively. The missense SNV rs193922669 causes Arg/His substitution in desmoplakin protein, while rs77613865 is a splice region variant, and is also linked with open chromatin region affecting the expression of myomesin 1 (MYOM1). On the other hand, in ExAC South Asian data, 112 'Pathogenic' SNVs, 42 'Likely Pathogenic' SNVs were filtered (S2 Table, sheet B). As a whole, 73 (47.40%) of the filtered SNVs belonged to various forms of cardiomyopathies, 38 (26.68%) were related to Long_QT syndrome, and





8 (5.19%) to different forms of atrioventicular defects. It was also noted that 31 SNVs had multiple significances for more than one type of Mendelian or congenital CVDs. The allele frequencies of filtered variants were compared which highlighted 11 variants having allele frequency significantly higher in SAS than in other populations (Table 2). Functional consequences with online VEP tool showed 13 variants with Loss of Function (LoF) effect, and 23 regulatory region variants (S2 Table, sheet B). We highlighted the genomic locations of genes harboring the ClinVar variants associated with common, Mendelian and congenital CVDs in Pakistani population (Fig 4). The loci of different genes such as *SCN5A* on chromosome 3, *KCNQ1* and *MYBPC3* on chromosome 11, *MYH6* and *MYH7* on chromosome 14, and *KCNE1* and *KCNE2* on chromosome 21 were found enriched for clinically significant variants.

iv. Comparison of derived allele frequencies of predicted deleterious variants across continental populations

Derived allele frequency spectrum of all the SNVs and deleterious SNVs in our genes-set of CVDs filtered from 1000 Genomes Project PJL data and ExAC South Asian data, revealed that majority of the deleterious variants were of rare allele frequency. The proportion of common allele frequency deleterious SNVs (AF > 5%) was found to be 11.59% in 1000 Genomes Project PJL for common, Mendelian and congenital CVDs, while it was found only 00.62% for Mendelian and congenital CVDs from ExAC SAS (Fig 5). The comparison of derived allele frequencies of predicted deleterious SNVs was carried out with other major population groups within their respective data set. This comparison revealed two important findings: (a) The extent of private and shared deleterious SNVs between the Pakistanis and other populations, and (b) the number of deleterious SNVs with higher derived allele frequency in the Pakistani population (or in South Asian in case of ExAC data) than in other populations. It was noted that the extent of sharing deleterious SNVs was different with different populations groups. Overall, 33.16% of the predicted deleterious SNVs were private to PJL in 1000 Genomes Project data, the derived allele frequencies of which varied from 0.0052 to 0.0260, while 66.84% SNVs were shared with derived allele frequencies ranging from 0.0052 to 0.7968. So, it was evident that among the predicted deleterious SNVs, the private proportion contained only rare



CHR	POS	ID	REF	ALT	Gene	Clinical Significance	ExAC_AF	AFR_AF	AMR_AF	EAS_AF	FIN_AF	NFE_AF	SAS_AF	Disease
1	3329208	rs397514743	Α	G	PRDM16	Pathogenic	0.0264	0	0	0.0375	0	0	0.3118	Left_ventricular_noncompaction_8
1	3347452	rs201654872	G	A	PRDM16	Pathogenic	0.3529	0.0103	0.0087	0.0116	0	0.0030	2.5630	Dilated_cardiomyopathy_1LL
3	38592408	rs137854619	С	Т	SCN5A	Pathogenic	0.0305	0	0	0	0	0.0060	0.1999	Long_QT_syndrome_2/3 Congenital_long_QT_syndrome
3	38622640	rs199473183	А	G	SCN5A	Pathogenic	0.0282	0	0	0	0	0	0.2183	Congenital_long_QT_syndrome
3	46899901	rs145520567	С	Т	MYL3	Likely Pathogenic	0.0264	0	0.0086	0	0	0.0090	0.1514	Cardiomyopathy
6	7542236	rs121912998	G	A	DSP	Pathogenic	0.1608	0.0542	0.1018	0	0.0773	0.3291	0.5701	Arrhythmogenic_right_ventricular_ cardiomyopathy\x2c_type_8
6	7583050	rs193922669	G	A	DSP	Likely Pathogenic	0.0239	0	0	0	0	0.0045	0.1575	Arrhythmogenic_right_ventricular_ cardiomyopathy
8	11615928	rs56208331	G	A	GATA4	Pathogenic	0.2117	0	0.0173	0	0.0151	0.0375	1.3687	Atrial_septal_defect_2 Tetralogy_of_Fallot
11	47354209	rs199669878	С	Т	МҮВРС3	Likely Pathogenic	0.0405	0.0118	0.0306	0	0	0.0372	0.1447	Cardiomyopathy
14	23894554	rs376754645	С	Т	MYH7	Likely Pathogenic	0.0222	0	0.0086	0.0231	0	0.0045	0.1272	Familial_hypertrophic_cardiomyopathy_1
18	3149140	rs77613865	Т	G	MYOM1	Likely Pathogenic	0.3879	1.616	0.0866	0	0	0.0090	1.7470	Hypertrophic_cardiomyopathy

Table 2. ClinVar's Pathogenic and Likely pathogenic variants associated with CVDs having significantly higher percent allele frequency in SAS than in other populations.

variants (DAF < 0.5%), while the shared proportion contained both rare (47.50%) and common variants (52.50%) within this category. On the other hand from ExAC data analysis, greater proportion of deleterious SNVs (i.e. 56.64%) was private to SAS, while 43.36% deleterious SNVs were shared with other populations. Among the shared deleterious SNVs, the proportions of those having higher derived allele frequencies in Pakistani population were found greater in all five comparisons conducted within 1000 Genomes Project populations. Whereas, for ExAC data, the proportion of shared deleterious SNVs with higher derived allele frequency in SAS was greater than Non-Finnish European only (Table 3). Interestingly it was noted that the proportion of shared deleterious SNVs of Mendelian and congenital CVDs with other populations (ExAC data) was less than the proportion of shared deleterious SNVs of common, Mendelian and congenital CVDs (1000 Genomes Project data) except for the comparison with the European population (S3 Fig). This comparison also revealed that there was comparatively less difference in derived allele frequencies of most of the deleterious SNVs between 1000 Genomes Project PJL and rest of 1000 Genomes Project South Asian populations, however, in some cases a significant difference up to 5.2 times higher was observed. The maximum difference of derived allele frequency of shared deleterious SNVs with Americans was 22.32 times higher in PJL, for Europeans 41.67 times higher in PJL, whereas, great frequency difference was observed with Africans and East Asians where the maximum derived allele frequency difference was calculated to be 72.19 times higher in PJL (Fig 6) (S3 Table, sheet A). The median DAF was found to be higher in PJL as compared with SAS, EAS, AMR, and AFR populations, while it was lower in PJL when compared with EUR populations (S4 Fig). Likewise, for comparisons of derived allele frequency of ExAC SAS, the highest difference was observed with NFE i.e. 1098 timers higher in SAS. The maximum difference for other ExAC populations was 858 times than EAS, 347 times than AFR, 290 times than AMR, and 64 times than FIN populations (S3 Table, sheet B).

v. Functional consequences of deleterious variants

We then grouped the deleterious variants of both data sets according to their functional consequences to point out LoF variants, including 'stop_gained', 'stop_lost', 'start_lost', 'frameshift





change', and 'splice donor or acceptor variants' which possess the most damaging effect to proteins structure and function [48], by using the online Variant Effect Predictor tool [49]. The analysis divulged 03 LoF variants in 1000 Genomes Project PJL individuals, out of which 2 were in homozygous state. These include homozygous 'rs2228570' (start lost), heterozygous 'rs371316552' (stop gain), and homozygous 'rs117054298' (splice acceptor variant). The derived allele frequency of 'rs2228570' was found to be quite high in the PJL population i.e. 79.68%. Comparison of derived allele frequency of this variant with global populations showed that this is prevalent in almost all populations with higher frequencies ranging from 51.73% in Americans to 81.09% in Africans. This variant lies within vitamin D receptor (*VDR*), whose 6 out of 9 transcripts were found to be affected with LoF mutation, and is associated with many disease conditions including the hypertension [50, 51]. The 'rs371316552' SNP belongs to cathepsin B (*CTSB*), whose increased expression has been reported to pose a risk for atherosclerosis and myocardial infarction in rat models [52]. The third LoF SNP 'rs117054298'





Fig 5. Allele frequency spectrum (AFS) of all and deleterious SNVs in genes related to CVDs. A. All SNVs in 1000 Genomes PJL, B. Deleterious SNVs in 1000 Genomes PJL. C. All SNVs in ExAC SAS, D. Deleterious SNVs in ExAC SAS. There are more deleterious SNVs in common DAF bins (>5%) in 1000 Genomes PJL than in ExAC SAS.

belongs to insulin-like growth factor (IGF) binding protein-1 (*IGFBP1*), whose splice site of one transcript ENST00000457280 is disrupted and contributes to atherosclerosis [53]. Likewise, 30 LoF variants were found in ExAC South Asians, out of which 2 were in homozygous state (S4 Table).

vi. Differentiation of deleterious variants

Data from whole genome/exome sequencing projects can be used to find out the extent of differentiation among populations based on the differences in allele frequencies of nonsynonymous variants. The presence of variants with highly differentiated frequencies among the populations provides a direction to fine-map signals of local adaptation as well as susceptibility to diseases [54]. In this study, the differentiation was determined by calculating the Weir and Cockerham F_{ST} in two ways: (1) F_{ST} calculation for PJL versus other South Asian (SAS) populations of 1000 Genomes Project using all the SNVs in genes harboring the filtered deleterious Table 3. The proportion of shared deleterious SNVs (sdSNVs) with other populations of 1000 Genomes Project and ExAC. The proportion of sdSNVs with a higher DAF in PJL was greater in all pairwise population comparisons. On the other hand, from the comparison of ExAC_SAS with other populations, the proportion of sdSNVs with higher DAF in SAS was greater than NFE (Non-Finnish Europeans) only, while, it was less than AMR (Americans), AFR (Africans), EAS (East Asians), and FIN (Finnish) populations.

1000 Genomes PJL	Total dSNVs	Private dSNVs	deleterious SNVs shared with different populations		Proportion (shared with pop/total shared dSNVs)	SNVs with higher DAF in PJL	SNVs with lower DAF in PJL	Proportion (higher DAF SNVs/shared in pop)
	561	185	shared 376 with SAS		1.000	282	94	0.750
			shared 199 with EUR		0.529	108	91	0.543
			shared 171 with AMR		0.455	99	72	0.579
			shared with AFR	157	0.418	119	38	0.758
			shared with EAS	127	0.338	84	43	0.661
ExAC SAS	Total dSNVs	Private dSNVs	deleterious SNVs shared with different populations		Proportion (shared with pop/total shared dSNVs)	SNVs with higher DAF in SAS	SNVs with lower DAF in SAS	Proportion (higher DAF SNVs/shared in pop)
	7374	4170	shared with NFE	2480	0.774	1883	597	0.759
			shared with AMR	1211	0.378	473	738	0.391
			shared with AFR	1202	0.375	445	757	0.370
			shared with EAS	893	0.279	268	625	0.300
			shared with FIN	478	0.149	123	355	0.257

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192446.t003

SNVs for cardiovascular diseases, and (2) F_{ST} calculation for PJL versus all other populations in 1000 Genomes Project. The F_{ST} calculated for PJL versus SAS populations showed mean F_{ST} value of 0.00134, while the mean F_{ST} for deleterious SNVs was calculated as 0.00638, which is 4.76 times higher than the mean F_{ST} of all SNVs. Two deleterious SNVs (rs560826688 and rs563254260) were found moderately differentiated (F_{ST} value 0.05–0.15) from other South Asian populations ranking well above top 1% within all SNVs i.e., at top 0.11% and 0.29% respectively (Fig 7). The derived allele frequency of rs560826688 is 0.031, and belongs to *LRP5* involved in hypertension [55], and derived allele frequency of rs563254260 is 0.026 and lies in *SERPINF1* which relates to obesity and hypertension [56]. In addition to these, one highly differentiated (F_{ST} value 0.15–0.25) SNV rs539962979 with F_{ST} value 0.16597 was also observed



Fig 6. Based on the shared predicted deleterious SNVs, the comparative distribution of allele frequencies in Pakistani population versus all five groups of 1000 Genomes Project. The variants at diagonal line have almost equal DAF in both the populations being compared, whereas, the variants to the right of diagonal line have higher DAF in PJL, and variants to the left of diagonal line have higher DAF in the other population being compared.

in *DMPK* which has been reported to be involved in cardiomyopathy [57]. Likewise, the F-statistics performed for PJL versus all other populations of 1000 Genomes Project, showed comparatively higher differentiation than with the SAS populations, where the mean F_{ST} of 0.0031 for all SNVs, and 0.0392 for deleterious SNVs was calculated. The proportions of moderately, highly, and severely differentiated SNVs was calculated within the pools of deleterious SNVs and all SNVs separately. This comparison showed that deleterious pool had higher proportion of moderately differentiated SNVs (S5 Fig). Besides this, 08 highly differentiated and 02 severely differentiated deleterious SNVs were also observed (S5 Table).

The observed difference in allele frequencies and calculated F_{ST} values of functionally predicted deleterious SNVs between PJL and rest of the global populations gave a clue for stratification of the world populations based on mutational burden for cardiovascular diseases. So, principal component analysis was performed for the deleterious SNVs and all the SNVs of our genes-set from 1000 Genomes Project data. The analysis with all the low and rare allele frequency SNVs of our genes-set (DAF \leq 5.0%) showed all the populations grouped together while African populations making distinct group (Fig 8A). The analysis with low and rare deleterious SNVs showed all populations grouped at one place while PJL scattering from them (Fig 8C). Likewise, the PCA with all common allele frequency SNVs (DAF > 5.0%) of our genesset suggested three distinct groups of world populations in which South Asian, European, and American populations appeared as one group. The African populations and East Asian populations grouped separately in this analysis (Fig 8B). In the PCA with deleterious common allele frequency SNVs, the afore-mentioned groups appeared to be merging together (Fig 8D).



11 Chromosomes

Fig 7. Manhattan plot for pair wise FST values between the PJL and other South Asian populations of 1000 Genomes Project. The plot is for selected genes which harbored the deleterious SNVs for cardiovascular diseases, as filtered in this analysis. The horizontal lines at 0.05, and 0.15 positions of y-axis represent boundaries of less differentiated (F_{ST} <0.05), moderately differentiated (F_{ST} 0.05-0.15) and highly differentiated (F_{ST} 0.15-0.25). The two deleterious SNVs, which are moderately differentiated in PJL, are highlighted red.

9 10

8

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192446.g007

2

1

0.00

Using the same set of genes, the burden of common and Mendelian, and congenital cardiovascular diseases was also determined for one population from each of five major population groups of 1000 Genomes Project i.e., Yoruba in Ibadan (YRI) in Africa, Southern Han Chinese (CHS) from East Asian, Gujarati Indian from Houston (GIH) in South Asia, Puerto Ricans (PUR) from America, Finnish (FIN) in Finland, and Malay of East Asia which is not part of 1000 Genomes Project. This empirical estimation showed excess of deleterious derived rare variants (singletons) in YRI and Malay populations, while FIN and PJL populations harbored the least number of deleterious derived singletons (Fig 9A). Furthermore, the proportion of homozygous deleterious derived SNVs was observed to be second highest in PJL after the Finnish population (PJL 12.30%, Finnish 12.79%, Fig 9B).

14 15

13

12

16 17 18 19 20

21

22

Discussion

In this study, we have quantified the mutational burden for common, Mendelian, and congenital cardiovascular diseases in Pakistani population and compared it with other populations of the world. This quantification of mutational load by assessing the functionally deleterious SNVs gave a clue for high prevalence of common CVDs in this region [58]. The observed higher mutational load for common CVDs than for Mendelian and congenital CVDs can be explained that common CVDs are polygenic where large number of deleterious variants with modest-to-weak effect contribute to them, whereas Mendelian CVDs are monogenic or oligogenic where few rare variants pose greater effect in the phenotype [6]. These modest-to-weak effect deleterious variants spread in the populations and raised in allele frequencies along with neutral variants during the rapid population expansion [59]. However, the allele frequencies of deleterious genetic variants contributing to certain human diseases may be different among populations, according to their historical modes of expansion, role of evolutionary forces, and



Fig 8. Principal Components Analysis (PCA) based on genes involved in CVDs. A. PCA based on all variants in our genes set with $AF \le 5.0\%$, B. PCA based on all variants in our genes set with $AF \le 5.0\%$, C. PCA based on deleterious variants with $AF \le 5.0\%$, D. PCA based on deleterious variants with $AF \le 5.0\%$, D. PCA based on deleterious variants with $AF \ge 5.0\%$. PJL appears to be diverging out from other populations of the world based on low and rare allele frequency deleterious variants ($AF \le 5.0\%$) (Panel C) when compared to the analysis with all low and rare allele frequency variants in our genes-set (Panel A). For common allele frequency variants ($AF \ge 5.0\%$), populations appeared in three distinct groups based on all variants (Panel B), while they appeared to be merging when analyzed with deleterious variants only (Panel D).

bottlenecks. Highly deleterious variants are purged by purifying selection from the population and are rare [6, 58, 60]. So, more deleterious variants were observed in common DAF bins (>5%) for 1000 Genomes Project PJL than for ExAC SAS (Fig 5). This was further evaluated by calculating the proportions of rare-, low- and common-DAF deleterious SNVs for both data sets. The proportion of common-DAF deleterious variants was found to be 11.03% in 1000 Genomes PJL, while it was only 0.54% in ExAC SAS (S6 Fig). The higher proportion of common-DAF deleterious SNVs in 1000 Genomes Project PJL can also be explained by previous findings that the variants with very small detrimental effect for complex disorders can survive in populations for thousands of years without undergone purifying selection [61], or these contribute to late onset of diseases. Further, the genes contributing to Mendelian disorders are being under tight selection, while those contributing to complex disorders show interplay of negative and positive selection due to some balancing effect [62]. For example, the high frequency deleterious SNV rs2228570 (start lost of VDR) has been reported to contribute to hypertension [50, 51], and also protects from intervertebral disc degeneration [63]. This comparison also revealed that the proportion of rare-DAF SNVs was higher in deleterious pool than in total SNVs pool for both the data sets (S6 Fig). The comparatively higher proportion of rare SNVs in deleterious pool (62.80% in 1000 Genomes Project PJL and 98.63% in ExAC SAS) is consistent with earlier studies [64], and can be inferred in the light of population





Fig 9. Comparison of site frequency spectrum for PJL, 5 other populations of 1000 Genomes Project, and one Southeast Asian population 'Malay', using the data of same number of individuals (n = 96) of each population for normalization. A. Comparison of low frequency deleterious SNVs in genes set of cardiovascular diseases. B. Percent homozyous deleterious SNVs in each population.

demography i.e., the genes involved in cardiovascular diseases have acquired such rare deleterious SNVs in the Pakistani population because of rapid population expansion in recent times [65]. The effect of neutral forces is further strengthened by the larger proportion of private deleterious SNVs (Table 3), because the most recently emerged SNVs also tend to be private in a population, and those population specific rare variants are even more likely to be deleterious for certain diseases [66].

The presence of ClinVar's pathogenic and likely pathogenic variants of CVDs in 1000 Genomes Project PJL and ExAC SAS also represents the underlying burden of these diseases in Pakistani population. The variants filtered in PJL and SAS were found to be associated with Mendelian and congenital CVDs only. The major proportion of filtered variants was related to cardiomyopathies (47.8%), long_QT syndrome (23.9%), cardiac arrhythmia (8.8%), and atrioventricular septal defects (5.0%). Among the 11 SNVs with higher allele frequency in SAS than in other populations (Table 2), 8 were related to cardiomyopathies. In addition to SNVs, we filtered a 25-bp deletion (rs36212066) in intron 32 of *MYBPC3* (cardiac myosin binding protein C), which was reported to be related with cardiomyopathies and present in populations of Indian origin with MAF ~4% [67]. In this analysis, this deletion was found with MAF 3.1% in both the 1000 Genomes PJL, and ExAC SAS. In PJL, it was present in heterozygous form, while in ExAC SAS, 11 were in homozygous state and 495 in heterozygous state.

Owing to the current understanding that genetic burden of common diseases may be different for populations according to their past histories [58], we hypothesized that deleterious variants imparting their role in cardiovascular diseases in Pakistani population may had differentiated from South Asian populations in a more recent time. But our results from the pair-wise calculated F_{ST} values were persistent with previous findings that variants contributing to common diseases are not well differentiated [68]. The two deleterious SNVs, rs560826688 (DAF 0.0312) and rs563254260 (DAF 0.0260) which are moderately differentiated from other South Asians, are also severely differentiated form all populations of 1000 Genomes Project. These correspond to LRP5 (which encodes Low Density Lipoprotein Receptor-Related Protein 5) and SERPINF1 (which encodes Pigment Epithelium Derived Factor (PEDF) belonging to Serpin Peptidase Inhibitors superfamily) respectively; and both contribute to hypertension [55, 56]. Their evolution to comparatively higher frequencies in Pakistani population may be due to genetic drift having some bona fide effect masking their role in hypertension in this region [69]. The severely and highly differentiated SNVs from all 1000 Genomes Project populations (S5 Table) is also in accordance with the calculated higher burden of CVDs in Pakistan i.e., hypertension, atherosclerosis, heart failure, cardiomyopathy, and septal defects. Overall, comparatively less differentiation of deleterious SNVs was observed from South Asian, European and American populations (S5 Fig) representing the less evolution of genetic factors responsible for the susceptibility of cardiovascular diseases, while the observed high differentiation with African and East Asian populations represents their diversity or differential susceptibility to cardiac diseases, which is persistent with the influence of geography, language and ethnicity on genetic variation in those regions [70]. The PJL was also found grouped together with other South Asians, Europeans and Americans based on the genetics of cardiovascular diseases as carried out in this analysis (Fig 8). This paradigm also correlates with the route of expansion of modern humans after the migration from Africa. In future, the prioritized variants can be assessed and validated empirically by DNA sequencing of these genes in large cohort of relent cardiac patients.

Web resources

The URLs for data used and tools presented herein are:

International Classification of Diseases: http://apps.who.int/classifications/icd10/browse/ 2010/en

1000 Genomes Project phase 3 data: http://ftp.1000genomes.ebi.ac.uk/vol1/ftp/release/20130502/

ExAC release 0.3 data: ftp://ftp.broadinstitute.org/pub/ExAC_release/release0.3/

UniProt Gene ontology annotations dataset: http://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/GO/goa/HUMAN/

Gene Ontology Tool: http://wego.genomics.org.cn/cgi-bin/wego/index.pl bcftools-1.2.1 http://www.htslib.org/download/

Online CADD annotation tool: <u>http://cadd.gs.washington.edu/score</u> Online VEP tool: <u>http://asia.ensembl.org/Tools/VEP</u>

Supporting information

S1 Script. Perl script to annotate the variants with CADD scores. (PL)

S1 Fig. Categorization of genes involved in CVDs based on cellular, molecular, and biological processes.

(TIF)

S2 Fig. Proportions of synonymous SNVs, nonsynonymous SNVs, deleterious non-synonymous SNVs (as determined by SIFT, Polyphen-2, and CADD) and homozygous deleterious SNVs in the two data sets.

(TIF)

S3 Fig. Sharing of deleterious SNVs of 1000 Genomes PJL and ExAC SAS with other continental populations of their respective datasets. In both cases, sharing was observed in a descending order EUR>AMR>AFR>EAS>FIN. Notably, the proportion of sharing deleterious SNVs with European populations was greater for Mendelian and congenital CVDs than for common CVDs.

(TIF)

S4 Fig. Violin plots to compare the density of predicted deleterious SNVs between Pakistani population versus all five groups of 1000 Genomes Project. The thickness of violins is proportional to the number of variants corresponding to that derived allele frequency (DAF). The box plots inside the violins showing the median values of DAF. (TIF)

S5 Fig. Based on Weir and Cockerham FST values, comparison of the proportions of moderately (FST 0.05–0.15), highly (FST 0.15–0.25), and severely (FST > 0.25) differentiated deleterious SNVs and all the SNVs in genes harboring these deleterious SNVs. The proportion of moderately differentiated SNVs is higher for deleterious SNVs when compared Pakistani population with all 25 populations of 1000 Genomes Project. (TIF)

S6 Fig. Comparison of the rare (AF < 0.5%), low (0.5% \leq AF \leq 5.0%), and common (AF > 5.0%)-allele frequency SNVs within the deleterious SNVs pool and total SNVs pool in genes of CVDs. The difference in the proportions of 'rare variants' within two categories i.e. total and deleterious, can be observed in each data set. (TIF)

S1 Table. The genes of common, Mendelian, and congenital CVDs analyzed in this study. (XLSX)

S2 Table. ClinVar's pathogenic, and likely pathogenic variants found in two datasets i.e., 1000 Genomes Project PJL and ExAC SAS. (XLSX)

S3 Table. Predicted deleterious variants shared with other continental populations. (XLSX)

S4 Table. Loss of Function variants found from ExAC SAS dataset. (XLSX)

S5 Table. Deleterious SNVs of Pakistani population which are highly and severely differentiated from global populations of 1000 Genomes Project. It is note-worthy that two severely differentiated SNVs (rs560826688 and rs563254260) are both related to hypertension. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

We are thankful to Dr. Qasim Ayub, from the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton, CB10 1SA, United Kingdom, for contributing in study design and manuscript review.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Ishtiaq Ahmad Khan.

Formal analysis: Muhammad Shakeel.

Investigation: Muhammad Shakeel.

Methodology: Ishtiaq Ahmad Khan.

Software: Muhammad Shakeel, Muhammad Irfan.

Supervision: Ishtiaq Ahmad Khan.

Visualization: Muhammad Shakeel.

Writing – original draft: Muhammad Shakeel.

References

- World Health Organization. Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), Fact sheet, September 2016. 2016. http://www.who.int/cardiovascular_diseases/en/.
- 2. World Health Organization. Health statistics and information systems. 2016. http://www.who.int/ healthinfo/global_burden_disease/estimates/en/index2.html.
- O'donnell CJ, Nabel EG. Genomics of cardiovascular disease. New England Journal of Medicine. 2011; 365(22):2098–109. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1105239 PMID: 22129254
- 1000 Genomes Project Consortium. A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature. 2015; 526 (7571):68–74. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15393 PMID: 26432245
- Lek M, Karczewski KJ, Minikel EV, Samocha KE, Banks E, Fennell T, et al. Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. Nature. 2016; 536(7616):285–91. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19057</u> PMID: 27535533
- Lettre G. Rare and low-frequency variants in human common diseases and other complex traits. Journal of Medical Genetics. 2014; 51(11):705–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2014-102437</u> PMID: 25185437
- Gravel S, Henn BM, Gutenkunst RN, Indap AR, Marth GT, Clark AG, et al. Demographic history and rare allele sharing among human populations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2011; 108(29):11983–8.
- Li Y, Vinckenbosch N, Tian G, Huerta-Sanchez E, Jiang T, Jiang H, et al. Resequencing of 200 human exomes identifies an excess of low-frequency non-synonymous coding variants. Nature Genetics. 2010; 42(11):969–72. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.680 PMID: 20890277
- Karafet TM, Bulayeva KB, Bulayev OA, Gurgenova F, Omarova J, Yepiskoposyan L, et al. Extensive genome-wide autozygosity in the population isolates of Daghestan. European Journal of Human Genetics. 2015; 23(10):1405–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/ejhg.2014.299 PMID: 25604856
- Deng H-W, Lynch M. Estimation of deleterious-mutation parameters in natural populations. Genetics. 1996; 144(1):349–60. PMID: 8878698
- Xue Y, Chen Y, Ayub Q, Huang N, Ball EV, Mort M, et al. Deleterious-and disease-allele prevalence in healthy individuals: insights from current predictions, mutation databases, and population-scale resequencing. The American Journal of Human Genetics. 2012; 91(6):1022–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ajhg.2012.10.015 PMID: 23217326
- MacArthur DG, Tyler-Smith C. Loss-of-function variants in the genomes of healthy humans. Human Molecular Genetics. 2010; 19(R2):R125–R30. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddg365 PMID: 20805107
- Wain LV. Rare variants and cardiovascular disease. Briefings in Functional Genomics. 2014; 13 (5):384–91. https://doi.org/10.1093/bfgp/elu010 PMID: 24771349
- 14. The World Bank. The World Bank data for world populations. 2016. <u>http://databank.worldbank.org/data/</u> download/POP.pdf.
- Zaidi S, Saligram P, Ahmed S, Sonderp E, Sheikh K. Expanding access to healthcare in South Asia. BMJ. 2017; 357:j1645. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j1645 PMID: 28400377
- Hussain SM, Oldenburg B, Wang Y, Zoungas S, Tonkin AM. Assessment of Cardiovascular Disease Risk in South Asian Populations. International Journal of Vascular Medicine. 2013; 2013(786801):1–10. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/786801 PMID: 24163770
- Tillin T, Hughes AD, Mayet J, Whincup P, Sattar N, Forouhi NG, et al. The relationship between metabolic risk factors and incident cardiovascular disease in Europeans, South Asians, and African Caribbeans: SABRE (Southall and Brent Revisited)—a prospective population-based study. Journal of the

American College of Cardiology. 2013; 61(17):1777–86. Epub 2013/03/19. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2012.12.046</u> PMID: 23500273.

- Misra A, Tandon N, Ebrahim S, Sattar N, Alam D, Shrivastava U, et al. Diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and chronic kidney disease in South Asia: current status and future directions. BMJ. 2017; 357: j1420. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j1420 PMID: 28400361
- Moran AE, Tzong KY, Forouzanfar MH, Rothy GA, Mensah GA, Ezzati M, et al. Variations in ischemic heart disease burden by age, country, and income: the Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors 2010 study. Global heart. 2014; 9(1):91–9. Epub 2014/07/01. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gheart. 2013.12.007 PMID: 24977114.
- Zaman MJS, Patel KCR. Health risks in south Asian men: heightening awareness. Trends in Urology & Men's Health. 2012; 3(3):11–6. https://doi.org/10.1002/tre.262
- Jafar TH, Qadri Z, Chaturvedi N. Coronary artery disease epidemic in Pakistan-more electrocardiographic evidence of ischemia in women than in men. Heart. 2007; 94(4):408–13. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1136/hrt.2007.120774 PMID: 17646192</u>
- Awan ZA, Gul AM, Sahibzada WA, Hafizullah M. Prevalence of coronary artery disease in rural areas of Peshawar. Journal of Postgraduate Medical Institute (Peshawar-Pakistan). 2011; 19(1).
- **23.** Hussain R, Bittles A. The prevalence and demographic characteristics of consanguineous marriages in Pakistan. Journal of Biosocial Science. 1998; 30(02):261–75.
- 24. Haq FU, Jalil F, Hashmi S, Jumani MI, Imdad A, Jabeen M, et al. Risk factors predisposing to congenital heart defects. Annals of Pediatric Cardiology. 2011; 4(2):117–21. https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-2069. 84641 PMID: 21976868
- 25. Saleheen D, Natarajan P, Armean IM, Zhao W, Rasheed A, Khetarpal SA, et al. Human knockouts and phenotypic analysis in a cohort with a high rate of consanguinity. Nature. 2017; 544(7649):235–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22034 PMID: 28406212
- Hamosh A, Scott AF, Amberger JS, Bocchini CA, McKusick VA. Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM), a knowledgebase of human genes and genetic disorders. Nucleic Acids Research. 2005; 33 (suppl 1):D514–D7.
- Landrum MJ, Lee JM, Riley GR, Jang W, Rubinstein WS, Church DM, et al. ClinVar: public archive of relationships among sequence variation and human phenotype. Nucleic Acids Research. 2014; 42(D1): D980–D5.
- Xu W, Wang H, Cheng W, Fu D, Xia T, Kibbe WA, et al. A framework for annotating human genome in disease context. PLoS One. 2012; 7(12):e49686. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0049686 PMID: 23251346
- Köhler S, Doelken SC, Mungall CJ, Bauer S, Firth HV, Bailleul-Forestier I, et al. The Human Phenotype Ontology project: linking molecular biology and disease through phenotype data. Nucleic Acids Research. 2014; 42(D1):D966–D74.
- Rebhan M, Chalifa-Caspi V, Prilusky J, Lancet D. GeneCards: a novel functional genomics compendium with automated data mining and query reformulation support. Bioinformatics. 1998; 14(8):656–64. PMID: 9789091
- Camon E, Magrane M, Barrell D, Lee V, Dimmer E, Maslen J, et al. The gene ontology annotation (goa) database: sharing knowledge in uniprot with gene ontology. Nucleic Acids Research. 2004; 32 (suppl_1):D262–D6.
- 32. Ye J, Fang L, Zheng H, Zhang Y, Chen J, Zhang Z, et al. WEGO: a web tool for plotting GO annotations. Nucleic Acids Research. 2006; 34(suppl 2):W293–W7.
- **33.** Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, et al. The variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics. 2011; 27(15):2156–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330</u> PMID: 21653522
- Harrow J, Frankish A, Gonzalez JM, Tapanari E, Diekhans M, Kokocinski F, et al. GENCODE: the reference human genome annotation for The ENCODE Project. Genome Research. 2012; 22(9):1760–74. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.135350.111 PMID: 22955987
- Kircher M, Witten DM, Jain P, O'roak BJ, Cooper GM, Shendure J. A general framework for estimating the relative pathogenicity of human genetic variants. Nature Genetics. 2014; 46(3):310–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2892</u> PMID: 24487276
- Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A, Bork P, et al. A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. Nature Methods. 2010; 7(4):248–9. https://doi.org/10. 1038/nmeth0410-248 PMID: 20354512
- Kumar P, Henikoff S, Ng PC. Predicting the effects of coding non-synonymous variants on protein function using the SIFT algorithm. Nature Protocols. 2009; 4(7):1073–81. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot. 2009.86 PMID: 19561590

- Miosge LA, Field MA, Sontani Y, Cho V, Johnson S, Palkova A, et al. Comparison of predicted and actual consequences of missense mutations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2015; 112(37):E5189–E98.
- Panoutsopoulou K, Tachmazidou I, Zeggini E. In search of low-frequency and rare variants affecting complex traits. Human Molecular Genetics. 2013; 22(R1):R16–R21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ ddt376 PMID: 23922232</u>
- Yang H, Wang K. Genomic variant annotation and prioritization with ANNOVAR and wANNOVAR. Nature Protocols. 2015; 10(10):1556–66. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2015.105 PMID: 26379229
- Herrero J, Muffato M, Beal K, Fitzgerald S, Gordon L, Pignatelli M, et al. Ensembl comparative genomics resources. Database. 2016; 2016:bav096. https://doi.org/10.1093/database/bav096 PMID: 26896847
- Wong L-P, Ong RT-H, Poh W-T, Liu X, Chen P, Li R, et al. Deep whole-genome sequencing of 100 southeast Asian Malays. The American Journal of Human Genetics. 2013; 92(1):52–66. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2012.12.005</u> PMID: 23290073
- **43.** Weir BS, Cockerham CC. Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population structure. Evolution. 1984; 38(6):1358–70. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1984.tb05657.x PMID: 28563791
- Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D, et al. PLINK: a tool set for wholegenome association and population-based linkage analyses. The American Journal of Human Genetics. 2007; 81(3):559–75.
- Patterson N, Price AL, Reich D. Population structure and eigenanalysis. PLoS Genetics. 2006; 2(12): e190. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.0020190 PMID: 17194218
- Zlotorynski E. Chromosome biology: CTCF-binding site orientation shapes the genome. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology. 2015; 16(10):578–9. Epub 2015/08/27. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> nrm4057 PMID: 26307237
- Song L, Zhang Z, Grasfeder LL, Boyle AP, Giresi PG, Lee B-K, et al. Open chromatin defined by DNasel and FAIRE identifies regulatory elements that shape cell-type identity. Genome Research. 2011; 21 (10):1757–67. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.121541.111 PMID: 21750106
- MacArthur DG, Balasubramanian S, Frankish A, Huang N, Morris J, Walter K, et al. A systematic survey of loss-of-function variants in human protein-coding genes. Science. 2012; 335(6070):823–8. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.1215040 PMID: 22344438
- McLaren W, Gil L, Hunt SE, Riat HS, Ritchie GR, Thormann A, et al. The ensembl variant effect predictor. Genome Biology. 2016; 17(122):1–14.
- Santoro D, Buemi M, Gagliostro G, Vecchio M, Currò M, Ientile R, et al. Association of VDR gene polymorphisms with heart disease in chronic kidney disease patients. Clinical Biochemistry. 2015; 48 (16):1028–32.
- Swapna N, Vamsi UM, Usha G, Padma T. Risk conferred by Fokl polymorphism of vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene for essential hypertension. Indian Journal of Human Genetics. 2011; 17(3):201–6. https:// doi.org/10.4103/0971-6866.92104 PMID: 22345993
- Jormsjö S, Wuttge DM, Sirsjö A, Whatling C, Hamsten A, Stemme S, et al. Differential expression of cysteine and aspartic proteases during progression of atherosclerosis in apolipoprotein E-deficient mice. The American Journal of Pathology. 2002; 161(3):939–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9440 (10)64254-X PMID: 12213722
- Rajwani A, Ezzat V, Smith J, Yuldasheva NY, Duncan ER, Gage M, et al. Increasing circulating IGFBP1 levels improves insulin sensitivity, promotes nitric oxide production, lowers blood pressure, and protects against atherosclerosis. Diabetes. 2012; 61(4):915–24. https://doi.org/10.2337/db11-0963 PMID: 22357965
- 1000 Genomes Project Consortium. A map of human genome variation from population-scale sequencing. Nature. 2010; 467(7319):1061–73. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09534 PMID: 20981092
- 55. Suwazono Y, Kobayashi E, Uetani M, Miura K, Morikawa Y, Ishizaki M, et al. Low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5 variant A1330V is a determinant of blood pressure in Japanese males. Life Sciences. 2006; 78(21):2475–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2005.10.001 PMID: 16289238
- 56. Chen C, Tso AW, Cheung BM, Law LS, Ong K, Wat N, et al. Plasma concentration of pigment epithelium-derived factor is closely associated with blood pressure and predicts incident hypertension in Chinese: a 10-year prospective study. Clinical Endocrinology. 2012; 76(4):506–13. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1365-2265.2011.04178.x PMID: 21777264
- Furutama D, Negoro N, Terasaki F, Tsuji-Matsuyama K, Sakai R, Maeda T, et al. Possible de novo CTG repeat expansion in the DMPK gene of a patient with cardiomyopathy. Journal of Clinical Neuroscience. 2010; 17(3):408–9.

- Henn BM, Botigué LR, Bustamante CD, Clark AG, Gravel S. Estimating the mutation load in human genomes. Nature Reviews Genetics. 2015; 16(6):333–43. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3931 PMID: 25963372
- Peischl S, Excoffier L. Expansion load: recessive mutations and the role of standing genetic variation. Molecular Ecology. 2015; 24(9):2084–94. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13154 PMID: 25786336
- Tennessen JA, Bigham AW, O'Connor TD, Fu W, Kenny EE, Gravel S, et al. Evolution and functional impact of rare coding variation from deep sequencing of human exomes. Science. 2012; 337(6090):64– 9. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1219240 PMID: 22604720
- Subramanian S. Temporal trails of natural selection in human mitogenomes. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 2009; 26(4):715–7. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msp005 PMID: 19150805
- Blekhman R, Man O, Herrmann L, Boyko AR, Indap A, Kosiol C, et al. Natural selection on genes that underlie human disease susceptibility. Current Biology. 2008; 18(12):883–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> cub.2008.04.074 PMID: 18571414
- Pabalan N, Tabangay L, Jarjanazi H, Vieira LA, dos Santos AA, Barbosa CP, et al. Association Between the Fokl and Apal Polymorphisms in the Vitamin D Receptor Gene and Intervertebral Disc Degeneration: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers. 2017; 21 (1):24–32. https://doi.org/10.1089/gtmb.2016.0054 PMID: 27797588
- Coventry A, Bull-Otterson LM, Liu X, Clark AG, Maxwell TJ, Crosby J, et al. Deep resequencing reveals excess rare recent variants consistent with explosive population growth. Nature Communications. 2010; 1(131):1–6.
- 65. Fu W, O'Connor TD, Jun G, Kang HM, Abecasis G, Leal SM, et al. Analysis of 6,515 exomes reveals the recent origin of most human protein-coding variants. Nature. 2013; 493(7431):216–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11690</u> PMID: 23201682
- 66. Marth GT, Yu F, Indap AR, Garimella K, Gravel S, Leong WF, et al. The functional spectrum of low-frequency coding variation. Genome Biology. 2011; 12(9):R84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2011-12-9-r84</u> PMID: 21917140
- Dhandapany PS, Sadayappan S, Xue Y, Powell GT, Rani DS, Nallari P, et al. A common MYBPC3 (cardiac myosin binding protein C) variant associated with cardiomyopathies in South Asia. Nature Genetics. 2009; 41(2):187–91. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.309 PMID: 19151713
- Lohmueller KE, Mauney MM, Reich D, Braverman JM. Variants associated with common disease are not unusually differentiated in frequency across populations. The American Journal of Human Genetics. 2006; 78(1):130–6. https://doi.org/10.1086/499287 PMID: 16385456
- 69. Casals F, Hodgkinson A, Hussin J, Idaghdour Y, Bruat V, de Maillard T, et al. Whole-exome sequencing reveals a rapid change in the frequency of rare functional variants in a founding population of humans. PLoS Genetics. 2013; 9(9):e1003815. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003815 PMID: 24086152
- Ayub Q, Tyler-Smith C. Genetic variation in South Asia: assessing the influences of geography, language and ethnicity for understanding history and disease risk. Briefings in Functional Genomics & Proteomics. 2009; 8(5):395–404.