Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Heliyon



journal homepage: www.cell.com/heliyon

Prenatal diagnosis of fetal digestive system malformations and pregnancy outcomes at a tertiary referral center in Fujian, China: A retrospective study

Bin Liang ^{a,1}, Fang Yang ^{b,1}, Hailong Huang ^a, Zhaozhen Liu ^b, Qingqiang Ji ^b, Yan Wang ^a, Xiaoqing Wu ^a, Yuan Lin ^c, Lanting Xie ^b, Wantong Zhao ^a, Hua Cao ^{d,e}, Liangpu Xu ^{a,**}, Na Lin ^{a,*}

^a Medical Genetic Diagnosis and Therapy Center, Fujian Key Laboratory for Prenatal Diagnosis and Birth Defect, Fujian Maternity and Child Health Hospital College of Clinical Medicine for Obstetrics & Gynecology and Pediatrics, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, 350001, China

^b Department of Obstetrics, Fujian Maternity and Child Health Hospital College of Clinical Medicine for Obstetrics & Gynecology and Pediatrics, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, 350001, China

^c Department of Gynecology, Fujian Maternity and Child Health Hospital College of Clinical Medicine for Obstetrics & Gynecology and Pediatrics, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, 350001, China

^d Medical Research Center, Fujian Maternity and Child Health Hospital, College of Clinical Medicine for Obstetrics & Gynecology and Pediatrics, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, China

^e NHC Key Laboratory of Technical Evaluation of Fertility Regulation for Non-human Primates, Fujian Maternity and Child Health Hospital, Fuzhou, China

ARTICLE INFO

CelPress

Keywords: Digestive system malformations Genetic anomalies Prenatal diagnosis Pregnancy outcomes SNP array Whole-exome sequencing

ABSTRACT

Fetal digestive system malformations (DSMs) are correlated with chromosomal anomalies. The prenatal diagnosis of DSMs allows for timely treatment and reduces perinatal morbidity and mortality. However, genetic screening for fetal DSMs is rarely reported. This study aimed to investigate genetic etiology and pregnancy outcomes in cases of fetal DSM by analyzing correlations between DSM types and chromosomal anomalies. This retrospective single-center study included 126 fetuses in whom DSMs were detected via prenatal ultrasonography. Genetic etiology was investigated using conventional karyotyping, chromosome microarray analysis (CMA), and whole-exome sequencing (WES). DSMs were categorized as simple DSM (Group A), DSM combined with abnormal ultrasound soft markers (Group B), and DSM combined with comorbidities of other systems (Group C). Abnormal karyotypes were detected in 11/126 (8.7 %) fetuses. Four more pathogenic copy number variants (CNVs) were detected using CMA, increasing the detection rate to 11.9 %. The detection rates significantly differed between the three DSM types (1.78 %, 8.11 %, and 33.33 % in Groups A, B, and C, respectively). The overall adverse pregnancy outcome rate was 33.9 %, and 11.5 %, 23.5 %, and 81.3 %, (P < 0.001), respectively, in Groups A, B, and C. Out of 83 live births, three neonates died, 26 underwent postnatal surgery with 24 favorable outcomes, and 54 did not undergo surgery and were basically normal. Two neonates who underwent WES were diagnosed with CHD7-associated Charge syndrome and JAG1-associated Alagille syndrome, respectively. Our findings demonstrate that fetal DSM is closely related to

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

* Corresponding author. Fujian Maternity and Child Health Hospital, Fuzhou, 350001, China.

** Corresponding author. Fujian Maternity and Child Health Hospital, Fuzhou, 350001, China.

E-mail addresses: xiliangpu@fjmu.edu.cn (L. Xu), linna1088@fjmu.edu.cn (N. Lin).

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e21546

Received 26 September 2023; Received in revised form 18 October 2023; Accepted 23 October 2023

Available online 3 November 2023 2405-8440/© 2023 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

chromosome aneuploidies, CNVs, and point mutations. The prognoses of most fetuses with simple DSM and those with comorbid abnormal ultrasound soft markers were favorable in the absence of chromosomal anomalies and severe structural malformations, provided they underwent timely surgery as neonates. These findings provide guidance for the prenatal diagnosis and clinical management of fetal DSMs and the genetic counseling of parents.

1. Introduction

Fetal digestive system malformations (DSMs) account for approximately 7.35 % of all congenital deformities [1], and their diagnoses are largely based on prenatal ultrasound findings in the second and third trimesters. The main ultrasonographic manifestations of DSMs include digestive tract stenosis or atresia (esophageal, duodenal, and anal), intestinal duplication, meconium ileus or peritonitis, and hepatobiliary malformations. The etiological factors of abnormal fetal digestive systems include genetic factors, maternal smoking, exposure to infections, anemia, and environmental factors [2–4]. The prenatal diagnosis of DSMs allows for aggressive surgical treatment of neonates before serious complications occur after birth. This reduces perinatal morbidity and mortality to as low as 5 % in the case of duodenal atresia [5,6]. However, prognoses are poor if other body system anomalies or chromosomal abnormalities are also present.

Previous studies have revealed that gastrointestinal tract malformations are correlated with common chromosomal anomalies, among which duodenal stenosis/atresia and esophageal atresia have the closest correlation with trisomy 21 and trisomy 18, respectively [7,8]. With the wide use of chromosome microarray analysis (CMA) in genetic testing [9], copy number variants (CNVs), including microdeletion and microduplication, have been described in individuals with congenital digestive tract malformations, such as 17q22-q23.3 deletion in esophageal atresia [10], 17q11.2 deletion/duplication [11,12] and 4q22.3 deletion [7] in duodenal atresia, 13q32.1-q33.3 deletion in anal atresia [13], and 6p21.32 and 22q11.21 CNVs in Hirschsprung disease [14], among others. However, reports on genetic screening for fetal DSMs are rare. In this study, we aimed to investigate the prenatal diagnosis and clinical outcomes in 126 DSM cases detected via prenatal ultrasonography. Our findings provide references for the prenatal diagnosis, genetic counseling, and clinical management of women bearing fetuses with malformations of the digestive system.

Table 1

Demographic characteristics for 126 pregnancies with fetal digestive system malformations.

Groups	Group A ^a (n = 56)	Group B ^b (n = 37)	Group C^c (n = 33)	Total
Maternal age (years), (Range, Mean \pm SD)	21–40, 30 \pm 4	24–42, 31 \pm 4	$2137,29\pm4$	$2142,30\pm4$
Gestation age at fetal digestive system anomalies initially diagnosed	18–34 $^{+5}$, 26 \pm 4	18 ⁺² –32 ⁺³ , 26 \pm	19 ⁺³ –32 ⁺⁶ , 25 \pm	18–34 $^{+5}$, 26 \pm
(Range, Mean \pm SD)		3	4	4
Sample				
Amniotic fluid	29	20	17	66
Cord blood	27	17	16	60
Type of digestive system anomalies				
Absent gallbladder	12	8	6	26
Abnormal gastric bubbles	3	8	9	20
Duodenal ileus	10	3	5	18
Jejunal or ileal atresia/stenosis	4	3	1	8
Meconium peritonitis	2	5	1	8
choledochal cyst	6	1	1	8
Intestinal duplication	3	2	1	6
Esophageal atresia/stenosis	3	1	1	5
Intrahepatic calcification	2	2	1	5
Abdominal masses	2	2	1	5
Colonic atresia and stenosis	3	0	1	4
Hepatomegaly/splenomegaly	1	1	2	4
Hepatic hemangioma	1	1	1	3
Intrahepatic portosystemic venous shunt	1	0	1	2
Anal atresia	1	0	0	1
Meconium ileus	1	0	0	1
Urorectal septum malformation sequence	0	0	1	1
Esophageal diverticulum	1	0	0	1

^a Group A was referred as fetuses with simple digestive system malformations.

^b Group B was referred as fetuses with digestive system malformations combined with abnormal ultrasound soft markers, including increased nuchal translucency, nuchal cystic hygroma, increased nuchal fold, cerebral ventriculomegaly, mild renal pelvis separation, echogenic intracardiac focus, hyperechogenic bowel, fetal femur/humerus length, head circumference/biparietal diameter less than gestational age, abnormal nasal bone development, choroid plexus cyst, posterior fetal fossa pool enlargement, single umbilical artery, venous catheter blood flow spectrum abnormalities, aberrant subclavian artery, polyhydramnios, oligohydramnios, etc.

^c Group C was referred as fetuses with digestive system malformations combined with comorbidities of other systems, including nervous system, face and neck, respiratory system, cardiovascular system, urinary system, skeletal system, etc.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

Fetal samples were collected from 126 pregnant women (mean age, 30 ± 4 years; range, 21–42 years) who provided written, informed consent to undergo prenatal diagnostic examinations for fetal DSMs at Fujian Provincial Maternity and Children's Hospital (Fujian, China) between June 2015 and December 2020. The fetuses were prenatally diagnosed with DSMs at $18-34^{+5}$ weeks of gestation (mean gestational age, 25 ± 4 weeks) via ultrasound examinations. Eighteen disease entities were included. The top 10 disease entities were: absent gallbladder (n = 26), abnormal gastric bubbles (n = 20), duodenal ileus (n = 18), jejuno-ileal atresia (n = 26), abnormal gastric bubbles (n = 20), duodenal ileus (n = 18), jejuno-ileal atresia (n = 26), abnormal gastric bubbles (n = 20), duodenal ileus (n = 18), jejuno-ileal atresia (n = 26), abnormal gastric bubbles (n = 20), duodenal ileus (n = 18), jejuno-ileal atresia (n = 26), abnormal gastric bubbles (n = 20), duodenal ileus (n = 18), jejuno-ileal atresia (n = 26), abnormal gastric bubbles (n = 20), duodenal ileus (n = 18), jejuno-ileal atresia (n = 26), abnormal gastric bubbles (n = 20), duodenal ileus (n = 18), jejuno-ileal atresia (n = 26), abnormal gastric bubbles (n = 20), duodenal ileus (n = 18), jejuno-ileal atresia (n = 26), abnormal gastric bubbles (n = 20), duodenal ileus (n = 18), jejuno-ileal atresia (n = 26), abnormal gastric bubbles (n = 20), duodenal ileus (n = 18), jejuno-ileal atresia (n = 26), abnormal gastric bubbles (n = 20), duodenal ileus (n = 18), jejuno-ileal atresia (n = 26), abnormal gastric bubbles (n = 20), duodenal ileus (n = 18), jejuno-ileal atresia (n = 26), abnormal gastric bubbles (n = 20), duodenal ileus (n = 18), jejuno-ileal atresia (n = 26), abnormal gastric bubbles (n = 20), duodenal ileus (n = 18), jejuno-ileal atresia (n = 26), abnormal gastric bubbles (n = 20), duodenal ileus (n = 20), du 8), meconium peritonitis (n = 8), choledochal cyst (n = 8), intestinal duplication (n = 6), esophageal obstruction (n = 5), intrahepatic calcification (n = 5), and abdominal masses (n = 5), with these disease entities accounting for 109 (86.5 %) of the 126 fetuses. The other disease entities comprised colonic atresia and stenosis (n = 4), hepatomegaly/splenomegaly (n = 4), hepatic hemangioma (n = 4)3), intrahepatic portosystemic venous shunts (n = 2), anal atresia (n = 1), meconium ileus (n = 1), urorectal septum malformation sequence (n = 1), and esophageal diverticulum (n = 1). DSMs were grouped based on ultrasound findings as follows: Group A (n = 56)was characterized by simple DSMs; Group B (n = 37) was characterized by DSMs combined with abnormal ultrasound soft markers, including increased nuchal translucency, nuchal cystic hygroma, increased nuchal fold, cerebral ventriculomegaly, mild renal pelvis separation, echogenic intracardiac focus, hyperechogenic bowel, fetal femur/humerus length, head circumference/biparietal diameter less than gestational age, abnormal nasal bone development, choroid plexus cyst, posterior fetal fossa pool enlargement, single umbilical artery, venous catheter blood flow spectrum abnormalities, aberrant subclavian artery, polyhydramnios, and oligohydramnios, among others; and Group C (n = 33) was characterized by DSMs accompanied by other malformations, including face and neck malformations and nervous, respiratory, cardiovascular, urinary, and skeletal system malformations (Table 1).

2.2. Sample collection

Informed consent was obtained from parents. Amniotic fluid was obtained via amniocentesis at $17-23^{+6}$ gestational weeks, or umbilical cord blood was obtained via cordocentesis at over 24 weeks. The gestational week was determined based on the last menstrual period and ultrasonography findings obtained at $11-13^{+6}$ weeks. Genomic DNA was extracted using a QIAamp DNA Blood Mini kit (QIAGEN, Germany).

2.3. Karyotyping

Karyotype analysis was performed for all cases. Cells from samples were cultured and prepared for G-banding. Karyotype analysis and descriptions were based on the International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature (ISCN 2016).

2.4. Chromosome microarray analysis

CMA was performed in 112 of the 126 cases. CNVs and loss of homozygosity (LOH) were detected using a genome-wide highresolution single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array on a 750 k platform (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) containing 200 000 SNP markers and 550 000 CNV markers. All procedures were performed according to the manufacturer's protocol. The raw data were analyzed using Chromosome Analysis Suite (ChAS) version 3.1 software (Affymetrix), and CNVs and LOHs were annotated based on the GRCh37/hg19 Genome Build (July 2013). CNVs were finally classified as (1) pathogenic, (2) likely pathogenic, (3) variants of uncertain significance (VOUS), (4) likely benign, or (5) benign, following the guidelines of the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG) [15,16]. The laboratory reported CNVs \geq 100 kb of pathogenic/likely pathogenic and \geq 400 kb of VOUS. In cases that presented abnormal microarray findings, parental testing was performed, if possible, to determine the inheritance pattern of the deletion or duplication. All CNVs were analyzed at a resolution of 100 kb from 50 markers.

2.5. Whole-exome sequencing

To detect potential pathogenic variants, WES was performed after obtaining parental consent. DNA was extracted, randomly fragmented, purified, and enriched to construct DNA libraries. The DNA libraries were sequenced using a NextSeq500 System sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. The called variants were annotated with the reference sequence GRCh37. For the extraction of significant mutations from the called variants, the considered criteria included the following: clinical findings presented in the proband by ultrasound and/or computed tomography and the variant's possible effect on gene function, frequency in the general population, and inheritance pattern in the trio analysis (if parental samples were available). Collectively, gene mutations were interpreted according to the ACMG guidelines (2015) [17].

2.6. Database search

CNV and gene mutation results were interpreted using the following online databases: PubMed (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM; http://www.omim.org/), DECIPHER (http://decipher.sanger.ac.uk), University of California Santa Cruz Genome Browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu/), ClinGen Dosage Sensitivity Curations (https://search.

clinicalgenome.org/kb/gene-dosage?page=1&size=25&search =), 1000 Genomes Project (http://browser.1000genomes.org/), Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD; http://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/), Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC; http://exac. broadinstitute.org/), ClinVar (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/), and the Human Gene Mutation Database (http://www.hgmd.cf.ac.uk/ac/index.php).

2.7. Pregnancy outcomes and postnatal follow-up

Follow-up was conducted from October 2020 to December 2020. The results of postnatal ultrasound examinations, pregnancy outcomes, and postnatal growth and development were followed up by telephone and electronic clinical information at our hospital.

2.8. Statistical analysis

SPSS software version 24.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL) was used for statistical analysis. P < 0.05 was considered statistically



Fig. 1. Overall flow of analysis in our study. Group A, fetuses with simple digestive system malformations; Group B, fetuses with digestive system malformations combined with abnormal ultrasound soft markers; Group C, fetuses with digestive system malformations combined with comorbidities of other systems. CNV, copy number variant; PDR, positive diagnostic rate; TOP, termination of pregnancy.

significant.

3. Results

3.1. Prenatal diagnosis of fetal digestive system malformations

A flow chart of the overall analysis is depicted in Fig. 1. Conventional karyotyping was performed in all 126 cases. Abnormal karyotypes were detected in 11 (8.7 %) cases, including three cases of trisomy 21, seven cases of trisomy 18, and one case of der(21). The remaining 115 (91.3 %) cases exhibited normal karyotypes. Typical karyotyping results for cases 2, 96, 101, and 105 are shown in Fig. 2. In addition to the chromosomal anomalies detected by karyotyping in 11 cases, four pathogenic CNVs were identified including one 17q12 deletion syndrome (case 89), one 22q11.2 deletion syndrome (DiGeorge syndrome) (case 103), one Miller–Dieker syndrome (case 120), and one 22q11.2 duplication syndrome (case 126) (Fig. 3), increasing the detection rate to 11.9 % (15/126). In total, the detection rates in Groups A, B, and C were 1.78 % (1/56), 8.11 % (3/37), and 33.33 % (11/33), respectively, with a significant intergroup difference (P < 0.001). Table 2 presents the abnormal genetic results obtained in these 15 cases. Out of these cases, induction of labor was performed in 13 cases.

In case 2, der(21) was detected using karyotyping, and CMA revealed a concurrent 14.3-Mb duplication at 2p25.3p24.3, containing 50 protein-coding genes but no triplosensitive genes, and 573-kb deletion at 21q22.3. The 21q22.3 deletion contained *DIP2A* (607711) and another 10 OMIM genes. The haploinsufficiency score for *DIP2A* was 1 as shown in the ClinGen database. Termination was performed in this case due to the pathogenic CNVs and prenatal ultrasound findings (small bowel obstruction), despite the absence of genes or CNV syndromes associated with small bowel obstruction. Labor was induced in the cases involving DiGeorge syndrome (case 103) and Miller–Dieker syndrome (case 120). In the cases involving 17q12 deletion syndrome (case 89) and 22q11.2 duplication syndrome (case 126), development continued, and the pregnancies were full-term. During the follow-up of the 17q12 deletion



Fig. 2. Typical karyotyping results of four cases. Case 2, 46,XN,der(21)t(2;21)(p24.3;q22.3); Case 96, 48,XXY,inv(9)(p12q13),+18; Case 101, 46,XN,rob(21)(q10;q10); Case 105, 47,XN,+21. The arrow points to an abnormal chromosome.



Fig. 3. Four cases found with copy number variants (CNVs) by chromosomal microarray analysis. Red and blue bars represent copy number deletions and duplications, respectively. Case 89, arr[GRCh37]17q12(34822465–36404555) × 1; Case 103, arr[GRCh37] 22q11.21 (18919477–20312661) × 1; Case 120, arr[GRCh37]17p13.3p13.2(5525–5204373) × 1; Case 126, arr[GRCh37]22q11.21(18,648,855–21459713) × 3. Parental testing was performed for case 89 and case 126, each with maternally inherited CNV.

syndrome (case 89) at 14 months of age and 22q11.2 duplication syndrome (case 126) at 18 months of age, respectively, normal development was exhibited for each.

VOUS were detected using CMA in three cases (Table S1). One fetus (case 83) carried a 2.2 Mb deletion that encompassed two OMIM genes, *LINGO2* (609793) and *MIR873* (616137), that had no related disorders. Ultrasonography in late pregnancy had indicated an abnormal frequency spectrum of blood flow signals in the middle cerebral artery, fetal growth restriction, and polyhydramnios. The neonate died five days after birth. Multiple LOHs were detected in another fetus (case 89); termination of pregnancy was performed due to malformations detected via ultrasound, i.e., bilateral ventricle broadening (1.4 mm) greater than gestational age and suspected small intestinal obstruction. These multiple LOHs occurred on different chromosomes, the percentage of which was 3.6 %, as estimated by the sum of the sizes of the homozygous segments (>5 Mb) divided by the total marker-covered autosomal length (~2781 Mb). The third fetus (case 113) carried a duplication at 16p13.11, and this pregnancy was also terminated due to the urorectal septum malformation sequence detected via ultrasonography.

In three cases (cases 46, 80 and 110), chromosomal balanced translocations or chromosomal inversions detected by karyotyping were undetectable by CMA (Table S2). In these three cases, termination was performed in case 110 due to the presence of multiple structural malformations (duodenal obstruction, smaller femur length, ventricular septal defect, and persistence of the left upper cavity) detected via prenatal ultrasonography.

We further investigated the correlation between different types of DSMs and chromosomal anomalies. As shown in Table 2, the prevalence of chromosomal anomalies was the highest (40.0 %; 8/20) in fetuses with abnormal gastric bubbles. Chromosomal anomalies were found in 16.7 % (3/18) and 12.5 % (1/8) of fetuses with duodenal ileus and small bowel obstruction, respectively. No chromosomal anomalies were observed in fetuses with esophageal or large bowel obstruction. One variant each was detected in eight fetuses with choledochal cyst, five intrahepatic calcifications, and two intrahepatic portosystemic venous shunts, respectively.

3.2. Pregnancy outcomes

In total, 118 (93.5 %) of the 126 cases were followed up at ages ranging from 13 months to five years. Labor was induced in 26.3 % (31/118) of cases, intrauterine fetal death occurred in 3.4 % (4/118) of cases, and live births occurred in 70.3 % (83/118) of cases. Of

Table 2			
Fifteen fetuses detected with genetic abnormalities by	y karyotyping	g or chromosome microa	rray analysis.

Case No.	Group	Ultrasound presentation on digestive system	Other findings	Karyotyping	CMA (GRCh37)	Classification of variants	Pregnancy outcome	Follow-up
2	A	Small bowel obstruct-tion, meconium peritonitis	None	46,XN,der(21)t (2;21)(p24.3; q22.3)	2p25.3p24.3 (12770–14313,160) × 3; 21q22.3 (47.520.595–48093.361) × 1	P, VOUS	ТОР	NA
58	В	Duodenal obstruction	Nasal bone dysplasia, overlapping fingers	47,XN,+21	(21)x3	Р	TOP	NA
76	В	Unclear gastric bubble	Left lateral ventricle diameter was at high limit of normal value	47,XN,+18	(18)x3	Р	ТОР	NA
89	В	Large gastric bubble	Increased echo of bilateral renal parenchyma, polyhydramnios	Normal	17q12 (34822465–36404555) $ imes$ 1	Р	Term birth	Normal development
96	С	Small gastric bubble	Cervical lymphatic cyst, overlapping fingers, single umbilical artery	48,XXY,inv(9) (p12q13),+18	(X)x2, (Y)x1, (18)x3	Р	TOP	NA
101	С	Intrahepatic multiple small nodules and enhanced parenchymal echo	Enhanced echo of bilateral renal corte, posterior fetal fossa pool enlargement, right heart larger than left heart, mild tricuspid regurgitation	46,XN,rob(21) (q10;q10)	(21)x3	Р	ТОР	NA
103	С	Choledochocele	Cardiac dysplasia, permanent right umbilical vein	Normal	22q11.21 (18919477–20312661) × 1 mat	Р	ТОР	NA
104	С	Absent gastric bubble, large gallbladder	Tetralogy of Fallot, small jaw, bilateral ventricular choroid plexus cyst	47,XN,+18	(18)x3	р	TOP	NA
105	С	Duodenal obstruction	Bilateral cerebral ventriculomegaly, partial corpus callosum hypoplasia, increased nuchal fold, nasal bone dysplasia, ventricular septal defect, right subclavian artery vagus	47,XN,+21	(21)x3	Р	ТОР	NA
106	С	Absent gastric bubble	Absent bladder, suspected neural tube malformation, absent cerebellomedullary cistern, umbilical hernia, abnormal posture of hands, single umbilical artery, nasal bone loss, increased nuchal translucency	sent bladder, suspected neural tube malformation, 47,XN,+18 (18)x3 sent cerebellomedullary cistern, umbilical hernia, normal posture of hands, single umbilical artery, nasal ne loss, increased nuchal translucency		Р	ТОР	NA
107	С	Absent gastric bubble	Ventricular septal defect, hook hand, left rocking chair foot	47,XN,+18	(18)x3	Р	TOP	NA
108	С	Duodenal atresia/stenosis	Ventricular septal defect	47,XN,+18	(18)x3	Р	TOP	NA
119	С	Small gastric bubble	Bilateral choroid plexus cyst, micromandible, short nasal bone, ventricular septal defect, widened inferior vena cava, bilateral radius loss, bipedal varus	47,XN,+18	(18)x3	Р	ТОР	NA
120	С	Small gastric bubble	Bilateral cerebral ventriculomegaly, bipedal varus, cerebellar vermis dysplasia, polyhydramnios	Normal	17p13.3p13.2 (5525–5204373) × 1	Р	ТОР	NA
126	С	Intrahepatic portosystemic venous shunt	Tumor-like expansion of the ventral segment of umbilical vein, fetal growth restriction	Normal	22q11.21 (18,648,855–21459713) × 3 mat	Р	Term birth	Normal development

CMA: chromosome microarray analysis; P, pathogenic, VOUS, variants of uncertain significance; TOP, terminal of pregnancy; mat, maternally inherited. NA, not applied. Groups A, B, and C were previously described as Table 1.

7

the 83 live births, deaths occurred in three cases (cases 35, 83, and 95) without genetic anomalies. Duodenal ileus and small intestine stenosis or atresia were prenatally detected in case 35, and the anus was absent in the postnatal examination. Thus, treatment was withdrawn and the neonate died three days after birth. In another case (case 83) with small gastric bubbles, brain atrophy, and peritoneal effusion, death occurred five days after birth. Case 95 involved high-density umbilical cord coiling, type III esophageal atresia, irregular heart rate, reflexes, muscle tone, and respiration, and death occurred 11 days after birth. Furthermore, the accuracy of the detection of fetal DSMs using prenatal ultrasonography in 83 preserved pregnancies was investigated. As shown in Table S3, postnatal conditions that were inconsistent with prenatal ultrasound findings were present in 23 cases. The prenatal detection rate of duodenal ileus, small bowel obstruction, meconium peritonitis, intestinal duplication, esophageal obstruction, and intrahepatic calcification was >75 % for each.

Of the surviving 80 neonates, 26 underwent postnatal surgery resulting in two poor and 24 favorable outcomes. The remaining 54 neonates who did not undergo surgery were basically normal. The two cases involving poor outcomes included case 9 in which death occurred five months after congenital megacolon surgery and case 97 presenting with cholestasis, butterfly vertebrae, and developmental delay (Fig. 1; Table 3). Of note, in one case (case 92) included in the 24 cases with favorable outcomes, two surgeries were performed: a bowel obstruction developed after surgery for congenital jejunal atresia type I, and a second surgical procedure was required. All neonates with a postnatal diagnosis of duodenal ileus, jejunoileal atresia/stenosis, or biliary atresia were surgically treated, and favorable outcomes were observed in the majority.

The overall rate of adverse pregnancy outcomes in the 118 followed-up patients was 33.9 %, and the adverse pregnancy outcome rate in Groups A, B, and C were 11.5 % (6/52), 23.5 % (8/34), and 81.3 % (26/32), respectively. The rate of labor induction was higher in Group C (65.6 %, 21/32) than in Groups A (7.7 %, 4/52) and B (17.6 %, 6/34) (P < 0.001). The difference in the rates of labor induction between Groups A and B was not significant (P > 0.05).

3.3. Whole-exome sequencing

WES was performed in two cases with prenatally normal CMA and karyotyping results (Table 4). The first case (case 95) constitutes one of the three neonatal deaths mentioned in section 3.2. A de novo pathogenic heterozygous mutation in *CHD7* (NM_017780: c.8956dupG) was detected. In the second case (case 97), a heterozygous mutation in *JAG1* (NM_000214:exon23:c.2895delT(p. F965Lfs*5) was detected. The mutation was classified as likely pathogenic considering that it is a frameshift mutation that results in loss of function. Cholestasis, butterfly vertebrae, and developmental delay were present at follow-up at the age of three years.

Table 3

The surgery option and clinical outcome for 83 live born infants.

Clinical findings by prenatal	No. of live born	Surgery treated		No surgery treated		
ultrasound	infants	Basically Normal	Poor outcome	Basically normal	Poor outcome	
Absent gallbladder	24	0	1 biliary atresia (Case 97) ^a	23	0	
Abnormal gastric bubbles	5	0	0	4	1 neonatal death (Case) 83)	
Duodenal ileus	12	11 (Case 31) ^b	0	0	1 neonatal death (Case 35) ^c	
Jejunal or ileal atresia/stenosis	4	4	0	0	0	
Meconium peritonitis	8	3	0	5	0	
Choledochal cyst	7	2	0	5	0	
Intestinal duplication	2	1	0	1	0	
Esophageal atresia/stenosis	4	1	0	2	1 neonatal death (Case 95)	
Intrahepatic calcification	4	0	0	4	0	
Abdominal masses	2	0	0	2	0	
Colonic atresia and stenosis	2	1	1 death (Case 9)	0	0	
Hepatomegaly/splenomegaly	2	0	0	2	0	
Hepatic hemangioma	2	0	0	2	0	
Intrahepatic portosystemic venous shunt	2	0	0	2	0	
Anal atresia	1	1	0	0	0	
Meconium ileus	1	0	0	1	0	
Urorectal septum malformation sequence	0	0	0	0	0	
Esophageal diverticulum	1	0	0	1	0	

^a Case 97 postnatally diagnosed with biliary atresia and then underwent surgery. The manifestations of cholestasis, butterfly, and developmental delay were presented at the age of 3 years.

^b Case 31, one out of 11 cases prenatally diagnosed with duodenal ileus, was postnatally diagnosed with small bowel obstruction and then underwent surgery.

^c Case 35, one out of 11 cases prenatally diagnosed with duodenal ileus, was postnatally diagnosed with small bowel obstruction and anal atresia, then this infant died 3 days after birth without surgery.

Table 4

Clinical data for two cases undergoing whole exome sequencing.

Case No.	Clinical examinations	Gene	Variant	Associated phenotype	Inheritance pattern	Origin	Classification	Clinical outcome
95	Prenatal ultrasound showed esophageal atresia and pulmonary valve stenosis. Postnatal examinations confirmed esophageal atresia, and also found cardiac defect, external ear malformation, cryptorchidism and small penis	CHD7	Chr8: 61778453 NM_017780: c.8956dupG het	Charge syndrome	AD	De novo	Pathogenic	No surgery treated, and death occurred 11 days after birth
97	Prenatal ultrasound showed absent gallbladder and suspected thoracic hemivertebrae. Postnatal examination showed biliary atresia and small than gestational age (SGA)	JAG1	chr20:10622128 NM_000214: exon23: c.2895delT(p. F965Lfs*5) het	Alagille syndrome	AD	Not applied	Likely pathogenic	The child was diagnosed as Alagille syndrome, whose manifestations included cholestasis, butterfly vertebrae, ocular abnormalities and developmental delay

AD, autosomal dominant inheritance; het, heterozygous.

4. Discussion

Previous studies have revealed that DSMs are closely associated with chromosome aneuploidies [7,8]. In this study, abnormal karyotypes were detected in 8.7 % of DSM cases, including cases of trisomy 21, trisomy 18, and der(21). These findings are similar to that of Martin et al. [18] who have reported abnormal karyotypes (primarily trisomy 21) in 8.88 % of pediatric patients with congenital DSMs exhibiting comorbid malformations of other systems. In addition, chromosomal anomalies were most prevalent in fetuses with abnormal gastric bubbles, which are often detected in combination with other abnormalities using prenatal ultrasonography. These results suggest that the incidence of chromosomal anomalies significantly increases when other system abnormalities accompany DSMs and highlight the importance of prenatal genetic testing.

In one case, we detected a mutation in *CHD7*, which causes Charge syndrome (MIM:214800) [19] via autosomal dominant inheritance. The esophageal atresia, heart defects, and external ear malformations manifested in this case fell within the phenotypic spectrum of this syndrome. In another case, a heterozygous mutation in *JAG1* was detected. *JAG1* is associated with an autosomal dominantly inherited disorder, Alagille syndrome (MIM: 118450) [20]. However, the variant identified in this case has not been previously reported in the literature or databases. The phenotypic manifestation in this case included biliary atresia and butterfly vertebrae, which are relatively consistent with the characteristics of Alagille syndrome. Surgery was performed to treat the biliary atresia.

We detected 17q12 deletion syndrome in one case and 22q11.2 duplication syndrome in another case. As previously described [21], individuals with 17q12 deletion syndrome, also known as renal cysts and diabetes syndrome, may present with abnormalities of the kidneys, maturity-onset diabetes of the young type 5, developmental delay or intellectual disability, duodenal atresia, and other variable features, with a clinical penetrance of 34.4 %. 22q11.2 duplication syndrome has a clinical penetrance of 21.9 % and is associated with clinical findings that may include global developmental delay, psychomotor retardation, learning difficulties, cardiovascular abnormalities, hypotonia, and dysmorphic features but DSMs have not been reported. As 17q12 deletion syndrome and 22q11.2 duplication syndrome show incomplete penetrance and variable expressivity, future follow-up will be needed in these cases. Overall, induction of labor was required in most cases exhibiting genetic abnormalities, and term pregnancies were reported after full genetic counseling in the remaining two cases with incomplete penetrance, suggesting the guiding value of prenatal genetic testing for prognosis evaluation and pregnancy outcomes.

Previous studies have reported individuals with partial trisomy 2p syndrome, with manifestations mainly including mental retardation, typical facial appearance, ocular anomalies, and scoliosis or kyphosis [22–24]. The 21q22.3 deletion may be associated with dysphasia (dyslexia). One study reported that in one pedigree, a father and son had deletions in the 21q22.3 region, including *PCNT*, *DIP2A*, *S100B*, and *PRMT2*, and the clinical phenotype was learning dyslexia [25]. In our study, the detection of both deletions and duplications on different chromosomes indicated that one parent carried a balanced translocation. The parents had normal karyotypes, thus further detection of cryptic balanced translocation by fluorescence in situ hybridization is recommended. In addition, we found chromosomal balanced translocation or inversion, phenotypes are often normal; however, exceptions arise, such as when a functional gene is interrupted or with the formation of a fusion gene.

The VOUS detected in our study are most likely unrelated to DSMs and are considered incidental findings in genetic testing. In one case, the detection of multiple LOHs on different chromosomes indicated fourth-degree relatedness of the parents. However, the parents denied consanguinity and declined the opportunity to perform WES for the detection of underlying pathogenic variants. A duplication was detected at 16p13.11, which is a neurodevelopmental disorder susceptibility locus associated with developmental delay, cognitive impairment, and autism [26], with low clinical penetrance (approximately 7–8%).

Our results affirm the effectiveness of surgery to correct malformations of the gastrointestinal tract [5,6,27,28]. The postoperative prognoses of neonates with DSMs were generally good; only 1 neonate out of 26 neonates that were surgically treated died five months after colon surgery. The most common type of malformation in this study was congenital duodenal ileus, the prognosis of which was favorable in the absence of chromosomal abnormalities and other severe malformations outside the digestive system, provided that timely postpartum surgery was performed. Of note, the rate of chromosomal aneuploidies and induced labor was higher in Group C than in Groups A and B, demonstrating that chromosomal abnormalities often cause multiple malformations. Recently, next-generation sequencing (NGS) methods such as WES or whole-genome sequencing have been used in the diagnosis of genetic diseases, which can improve the molecular diagnostic yield for fetuses with structural anomalies and provide better clinical guidance [29,30]. Lord et al. [30] have reported a missense variant in *MYCN* that is associated with Feingold syndrome in a fetus with duodenal atresia detected via prenatal ultrasonography. However, no diagnostic variant has been reported in fetuses with gastrointestinal tract anomalies [29]. In our study, clinically relevant variants were detected in both neonates who underwent WES. Prenatal diagnoses could have offered the parents more comprehensive clinical prognostic evaluations.

We found inconsistencies between prenatal ultrasound findings and postnatal conditions, which may be associated with the level of skill of the ultrasound physician, the performance of Doppler ultrasound instruments, or the dynamic development of the fetus. Nonetheless, our results indicate a high detection rate of DSMs, suggesting that prenatal ultrasonography provides an important reference for parents to make an informed choice regarding either termination or continuation of the pregnancy and the selection of postnatal surgical treatment plans.

The limitations of our study should be noted. First, the data were obtained from a retrospective single-center study, and incomplete follow-up information might have led to the underestimation of late-onset adverse phenotypes. To avoid the loss of important post-partum information, especially in cases involving abnormalities, we advise parents to return to our hospital for appropriate examinations if abnormal symptoms are observed in children. Second, for the detection of an underlying single mutation, most patients did not undergo WES, which is mainly attributed to the limited use of NGS in prenatal diagnosis during the past five years. The use of NGS to prospectively detect variants associated with fetal DSMs is a priority for future studies.

5. Conclusions

Genetic testing of fetuses with DSMs via a combination of conventional karyotyping and CMA gave a diagnostic yield of 11.9 %, and postnatal WES revealed genetic mutations, suggesting the importance of genetic tests in the diagnosis of DSMs. The incidence of chromosomal anomalies significantly increased for DSMs accompanied by abnormalities of other systems. Advances in minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery allow pediatric patients with congenital DSMs without genetic disorders involving chromosomal abnormalities to undergo timely postnatal surgery that may improve prognoses.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from Joints Funds for the Innovation of Science and Technology, Fujian Province (grant no. 2020Y9159, 2021Y9179); Key Project on Science and Technology Program of Fujian Health Commission (grant no.2021ZD01002); Key Project on the Integration of Industry, Education and Research Collaborative Innovation of Fujian Province (grant no. 2021YZ034011).

Ethics approval

All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible institutional committee on human experimentation and with Helsinki Declaration of 1975 (revised in 2000). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Fujian Maternity and Child Health Hospital (ID: No. 2021KLRD643). Written informed consents were obtained from all the participants.

Data availability statement

Data will be made available on request.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Bin Liang: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Fang Yang:** Writing – original draft, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Hailong Huang:** Methodology, Investigation, Data curation. **Zhaozhen Liu:** Resources, Methodology, Data curation. **Qingqiang Ji:** Methodology, Data curation. **Yan Wang:** Methodology, Data curation. **Xiaoqing Wu:** Methodology, Data curation. **Yuan Lin:** Supervision, Investigation. **Lanting Xie:** Methodology. **Wantong Zhao:** Data curation. **Hua Cao:** Funding acquisition. **Liangpu Xu:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Data curation. **Na Lin:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Data curation. Conceptualization.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

We deeply thank the patients and family members who participated in this study.

List of abbreviations

- DSMs digestive system malformations
- CMA chromosome microarray analysis
- CNVs copy number variants
- WES whole-exome sequencing
- LOH loss of homozygosity
- SNP single nucleotide polymorphism
- VOUS variant of uncertain significance
- TOP termination of pregnancy

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e21546.

References

- J.M. Carrera, M. Torrents, C. Mortera, V. Cusí, A. Muñoz, Routine prenatal ultrasound screening for fetal abnormalities: 22 years' experience, Ultrasound Obstet. Gynecol. 5 (1995) 174–179.
- [2] J. Celli, Genetics of gastrointestinal atresias, Eur. J. Med. Genet. 57 (2014) 424-439.
- [3] A. Hackshaw, C. Rodeck, S. Boniface, Maternal smoking in pregnancy and birth defects: a systematic review based on 173 687 malformed cases and 11.7 million controls, Hum. Reprod. Update 17 (2011) 589–604.
- [4] F. Wu, Z. Wang, Y. Bi, Z. Guo, Y. Wang, Investigation of the risk factors of anorectal malformations, Birth Defects Res 114 (2022) 136–144.
- [5] A. Mentessidou, A.K. Saxena, Laparoscopic repair of duodenal atresia: systematic review and meta-analysis, World J. Surg. 41 (2017) 2178–2184.
- [6] M. Guelfand, C. Harding, Laparoscopic management of congenital intestinal obstruction: duodenal atresia and small bowel atresia, J. Laparoendosc. Adv. Surg. Tech. 31 (2021) 1185–1194.
- [7] J.C. Bishop, B. McCormick, C.T. Johnson, J. Miller, E. Jelin, K. Blakemore, A.C. Jelin, The double bubble sign: duodenal atresia and associated genetic etiologies, Fetal Diagn. Ther. 47 (2020) 98–103.
- [8] J.F. Felix, D. Tibboel, A. de Klein, Chromosomal anomalies in the aetiology of oesophageal atresia and tracheo-oesophageal fistula, Eur. J. Med. Genet. 50 (2007) 163–175.
- [9] D.T. Miller, M.P. Adam, S. Aradhya, L.G. Biesecker, A.R. Brothman, N.P. Carter, D.M. Church, J.A. Crolla, E.E. Eichler, C.J. Epstein, W.A. Faucett, L. Feuk, J. M. Friedman, A. Hamosh, L. Jackson, E.B. Kaminsky, K. Kok, I.D. Krantz, R.M. Kuhn, C. Lee, J.M. Ostell, C. Rosenberg, S.W. Scherer, N.B. Spinner, D. J. Stavropoulos, J.H. Tepperberg, E.C. Thorland, J.R. Vermeesch, D.J. Waggoner, M.S. Watson, C.L. Martin, D.H. Ledbetter, Consensus statement: chromosomal microarray is a first-tier clinical diagnostic test for individuals with developmental disabilities or congenital anomalies, Am. J. Hum. Genet. 86 (2010) 749–764.
- [10] A.J. Marsh, D. Wellesley, D. Burge, M. Ashton, C. Browne, N.R. Dennis, K. Temple, Interstitial deletion of chromosome 17 (del(17)(q22q23.3)) confirms a link with oesophageal atresia, J. Med. Genet. 37 (2000) 701–704.
- [11] F. Quintero-Rivera, J.S. Woo, E.M. Bomberg, W.D. Wallace, J. Peredo, K.M. Dipple, Duodenal atresia in 17q12 microdeletion including HNF1B: a new associated malformation in this syndrome, Am. J. Med. Genet. 164a (2014) 3076–3082.
- [12] X. Wu, L. Su, Q. Shen, Q. Guo, Y. Li, S. Xu, N. Lin, H. Huang, L. Xu, Chromosomal abnormalities and pregnancy outcomes for fetuses with gastrointestinal tract obstructions, Front Pediatr 10 (2022), 918-130.
- [13] H. Wang, C. Huang, L. Li, Y. Liu, T. Wang, Y. Zhang, H. Li, [Clinical and genetic analysis of a child with chromosomal 13q32.1-q33.3 deletion], Zhonghua Yi Xue Yi Chuan Xue Za Zhi 36 (2019) 1213–1218.
- [14] J.S. Bae, I. Koh, H.S. Cheong, J.M. Seo, D.Y. Kim, J.T. Oh, H.Y. Kim, K. Jung, J.H. Sul, W.Y. Park, J.H. Kim, H.D. Shin, A genome-wide association analysis of chromosomal aberrations and Hirschsprung disease, Transl. Res. 177 (2016) 31–40.e36.
- [15] E.R. Riggs, E.F. Andersen, A.M. Cherry, S. Kantarci, H. Kearney, A. Patel, G. Raca, D.I. Ritter, S.T. South, E.C. Thorland, D. Pineda-Alvarez, S. Aradhya, C. L. Martin, Technical standards for the interpretation and reporting of constitutional copy-number variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) and the Clinical Genome Resource (ClinGen), Genet. Med. 22 (2020) 245–257.
- [16] H.M. Kearney, E.C. Thorland, K.K. Brown, F. Quintero-Rivera, S.T. South, American College of Medical Genetics standards and guidelines for interpretation and reporting of postnatal constitutional copy number variants, Genet. Med. 13 (2011) 680–685.
- [17] S. Richards, N. Aziz, S. Bale, D. Bick, S. Das, J. Gastier-Foster, W.W. Grody, M. Hegde, E. Lyon, E. Spector, K. Voelkerding, H.L. Rehm, Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of medical genetics and genomics and the association for molecular pathology, Genet. Med. 17 (2015) 405–424.
- [18] M.C. Haeusler, A. Berghold, C. Stoll, I. Barisic, M. Clementi, Prenatal ultrasonographic detection of gastrointestinal obstruction: results from 18 European congenital anomaly registries, Prenat. Diagn. 22 (2002) 616–623.
- [19] P. Hsu, A. Ma, M. Wilson, G. Williams, J. Curotta, C.F. Munns, S. Mehr, CHARGE syndrome: a review, J. Paediatr. Child Health 50 (2014) 504–511.
- [20] T.J. Kohut, M.A. Gilbert, K.M. Loomes, Alagille syndrome: a focused review on clinical features, genetics, and treatment, Semin. Liver Dis. 41 (2021) 525–537.
 [21] I. Maya, R. Sharony, S. Yacobson, S. Kahana, J. Yeshaya, T. Tenne, I. Agmon-Fishman, L. Cohen-Vig, Y. Goldberg, R. Berger, L. Basel-Salmon, M. Shohat, When genotype is not predictive of phenotype: implications for genetic counseling based on 21,594 chromosomal microarray analysis examinations, Genet. Med. 20 (2018) 128–131.

- [22] M.C. Bonaglia, R. Giorda, A. Massagli, R. Galluzzi, R. Ciccone, O. Zuffardi, A familial inverted duplication/deletion of 2p25.1-25.3 provides new clues on the genesis of inverted duplications, Eur. J. Hum. Genet. 17 (2009) 179–186.
- [23] N. Gruchy, M.L. Jacquemont, S. Lyonnet, P. Labrune, I. El Kamel, J.P. Siffroi, M.F. Portnoï, Recurrent inverted duplication of 2p with terminal deletion in a patient with the classical phenotype of trisomy 2p23-pter, Am. J. Med. Genet. 143a (2007) 2417–2422.
- [24] L.K. Kochilas, D.N. Abuelo, U. Tantravahi, Bilateral semilunar valve dysplasia in a patient with inverted duplication 2p25-22, Pediatr. Cardiol. 29 (2008) 172–175.
- [25] G. Poelmans, J.J. Engelen, J. Van Lent-Albrechts, H.J. Smeets, E. Schoenmakers, B. Franke, J.K. Buitelaar, M. Wuisman-Frerker, W. Erens, J. Steyaert, C. Schrander-Stumpel, Identification of novel dyslexia candidate genes through the analysis of a chromosomal deletion, Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 150b (2009) 140–147.
- [26] L. Allach El Khattabi, S. Heide, J.H. Caberg, J. Andrieux, M. Doco Fenzy, C. Vincent-Delorme, P. Callier, S. Chantot-Bastaraud, A. Afenjar, O. Boute-Benejean, M. P. Cordier, L. Faivre, C. Francannet, M. Gerard, A. Goldenberg, A. Masurel-Paulet, A.L. Mosca-Boidron, N. Marle, A. Moncla, N. Le Meur, M. Mathieu-Dramard, G. Plessis, G. Lesca, M. Rossi, P. Edery, A. Delahaye-Duriez, L. De Pontual, A.C. Tabet, A. Lebbar, L. Suiro, C. Ioos, A. Natid, S. Chafai Elalaoui, C. Missirian, A. Receveur, C. François-Fiquet, P. Garnier, C. Yardin, C. Laroche, P. Vago, D. Sanlaville, J.M. Dupont, B. Benzacken, E. Pipiras, 16p13.11 microduplication in 45 new patients: refined clinical significance and genotype-phenotype correlations, J. Med. Genet. 57 (2020) 301–307.
- [27] S. Zhang, Y. Wu, H. Liu, Y. Zhai, W. Liu, [Experience in treatment of complex congenital intestinal atresia in children], Zhejiang Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban 47 (2018) 255–260.
- [28] L.M. Ping, V.S. Rajadurai, S.E. Saffari, S. Chandran, Meconium Peritonitis, Correlation of antenatal diagnosis and postnatal outcome an institutional experience over 10 years, Fetal Diagn. Ther. 42 (2017) 57–62.
- [29] J. Lord, D.J. McMullan, R.Y. Eberhardt, G. Rinck, S.J. Hamilton, E. Quinlan-Jones, E. Prigmore, R. Keelagher, S.K. Best, G.K. Carey, R. Mellis, S. Robart, I. R. Berry, K.E. Chandler, D. Cilliers, L. Cresswell, S.L. Edwards, C. Gardiner, A. Henderson, S.T. Holden, T. Homfray, T. Lester, R.A. Lewis, R. Newbury-Ecob, K. Prescott, O.W. Quarrell, S.C. Ramsden, E. Roberts, D. Tapon, M.J. Tooley, P.C. Vasudevan, A.P. Weber, D.G. Wellesley, P. Westwood, H. White, M. Parker, D. Williams, L. Jenkins, R.H. Scott, M.D. Kilby, L.S. Chitty, M.E. Hurles, E.R. Maher, s (PAGE): a cohort study, Lancet 393 (2019) 747–757.
- [30] S. Petrovski, V. Aggarwal, J.L. Giordano, M. Stosic, K. Wou, L. Bier, E. Spiegel, K. Brennan, N. Stong, V. Jobanputra, Z. Ren, X. Zhu, C. Mebane, O. Nahum, Q. Wang, S. Kamalakaran, C. Malone, K. Anyane-Yeboa, R. Miller, B. Levy, D.B. Goldstein, R.J. Wapner, Whole-exome sequencing in the evaluation of fetal structural anomalies: a prospective cohort study, Lancet 393 (2019) 758–767.