



# A unifying model for the accretion of chondrules and matrix

Elishevah M. M. E. van Kooten<sup>a,1</sup>, Frédéric Moynier<sup>a</sup>, and Arnaud Agraniér<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, Université de Paris, CNRS UMR 7154, 75238 Paris, France; and <sup>b</sup>Laboratoire Domaines Océaniques UMR/CNRS 6538, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Technopôle Brest-Iroise, 29280 Plouzané, France

Edited by Mark H. Thiemens, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, and approved August 6, 2019 (received for review May 2, 2019)

**The so far unique role of our Solar System in the universe regarding its capacity for life raises fundamental questions about its formation history relative to exoplanetary systems. Central in this research is the accretion of asteroids and planets from a gas-rich circumstellar disk and the final distribution of their mass around the Sun. The key building blocks of the planets may be represented by chondrules, the main constituents of chondritic meteorites, which in turn are primitive fragments of planetary bodies. Chondrule formation mechanisms, as well as their subsequent storage and transport in the disk, are still poorly understood, and their origin and evolution can be probed through their link (i.e., complementary or noncomplementary) to fine-grained dust (matrix) that accreted together with chondrules. Here, we investigate the apparent chondrule–matrix complementarity by analyzing major, minor, and trace element compositions of chondrules and matrix in altered and relatively unaltered CV, CM, and CR (Vigarano-type, Mighei-type, and Renazzo-type) chondrites. We show that matrices of the most unaltered CM and CV chondrites are overall CI-like (Ivuna-type) (similar to solar composition) and do not reflect any volatile enrichment or elemental patterns complementary to chondrules, the exception being their Fe/Mg ratios. We propose to unify these contradictory data by invoking a chondrule formation model in which CI-like dust accreted to so-called armored chondrules, which are ubiquitous in many chondrites. Metal rims expelled during chondrule formation, but still attached to their host chondrule, interacted with the accreted matrix, thereby enriching the matrix in siderophile elements and generating an apparent complementarity.**

complementarity | chondrules | secondary alteration | LA-ICPMS

The blueprint of our Solar System was mainly attained in the turbulent first million years of its lifetime. In this active period, the young Sun was surrounded by a mostly gaseous protoplanetary disk from which planetary bodies formed through accretion of dust and pebble-like objects such as chondrules (1). Along with a fine-grained matrix of dust, chondrules are the main components of chondrites, the most unaltered fragments of asteroids that present us with a 4.5-billion-year window in time toward the early evolution of the Solar System. Chondrules are submillimeter- to centimeter-sized silicate spherules that formed in the protoplanetary disk by thermal processing of preexisting nebular dust. To date, there is no consensus on how, where, and when chondrules were formed, stored, and transported, even though they dominate the meteorite fabric and are the likely building blocks of planets (1). Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain chondrule formation (2), but these have to conform to an increasing list of constraints posed by their isotope signatures and elemental compositions. To complicate matters, some constraints seem to contradict each other. Chondrules are thought to have formed throughout the lifetime of the protoplanetary disk, and their individual ages show a range of  $\sim 4$  Myr using Pb–Pb dating techniques (3, 4). These spherules, which are located centimeters apart within the same meteorite, have varying ages and nucleosynthetic isotope signatures (i.e., Cr and Mg; refs. 5, 6), suggesting they formed at different times and/or

locations before they were transported to their accretion regions (7). In stark contrast, for the same chondrites, an apparent elemental and isotope bulk complementarity is proposed to exist between the matrix and the chondrules (8). Complementarity is a model that invokes chondrules and matrix within a chondrite group to be genetically related and formed from a single, initially CI-like (i.e., and Ivuna-type chondrite that is compositionally similar to the Sun's photosphere) reservoir. Elements lost during chondrule formation are reabsorbed by CI-like dust, generating elemental ratios which are subchondritic (below the CI ratio) in chondrules and superchondritic (above the CI ratio) in matrix. These complementarities are suggested for Fe/Mg, Si/Mg, Ca/Al, Ca/Ti, and Hf/W ratios (8–11) and require a CI-like bulk composition of the analyzed chondrites. Furthermore, other authors argue that in favor of complementarity, chondrule and matrix separates from CV chondrites (i.e., Vigarano-type carbonaceous chondrites) show mirroring W and Mo isotope signatures relative to the bulk chondritic value (11, 12), and volatility patterns of carbonaceous chondrite bulk and matrix are also interpreted in favor of complementarity (13). These conflicting findings—individual chondrule ages and isotope signatures versus bulk chondrule and matrix isotope and chemical composition—leave the cosmochemical community at an impasse. Without a solution to resolve the apparent chondrule–matrix complementarity with respect to the observed temporal and spatial interval over which chondrules formed, progress cannot be made at frontiers that matter to understand the history of our Solar System. This includes understanding the nature of planetary building blocks

## Significance

**An impasse exists between chemical and astrophysical models that explore the accretion of the Solar System's building blocks, the chondrites. To resolve this issue means to gain an understanding of the dimensions of mass transport in the early Solar System and, hence, a crucial insight into the volatile inventory of the terrestrial planets. Here, we use element volatility patterns of chondrules and their dust rims to show that these main constituents of chondrites are not complementary to each other and did not form in the same chemical reservoirs. We propose a unifying chondrule and matrix accretion model that necessitates significant mass transport in the protoplanetary disk and an inward flux of volatile-rich CI-like (Ivuna-type carbonaceous chondrite) dust.**

Author contributions: E.M.M.E.v.K. designed research; E.M.M.E.v.K. and A.A. performed research; E.M.M.E.v.K. analyzed data; and E.M.M.E.v.K. and F.M. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

This open access article is distributed under [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 \(CC BY-NC-ND\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Data deposition: Excel data sheet including raw data of LA-ICPMS analyses performed during this study is provided as [Dataset S1](#).

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: [vankooten@ipgg.fr](mailto:vankooten@ipgg.fr).


This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1907592116/-DCSupplemental](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1907592116/-DCSupplemental).

Published online September 4, 2019.

and the dimensions of mass transport in the protoplanetary disk. One solution is that this apparent complementarity is the result of secondary alteration processes on the chondrite parent bodies (14). Elemental exchange during fluid mobilization may create complementary patterns, whereas the initial composition of the matrix was CI-like. Alternatively, the observed complementarity in chondrites may simply reflect a generic process of chondrule formation that does not require formation of chondrules and matrix from the same reservoir (7, 15). To distinguish between these different models, we have investigated major, minor, and trace element compositions of chondrules and their fine-grained dust rims from altered and relatively unaltered CV (Leoville CV3.1, Vigarano CV3.1-3.4, Allende CV>3.6), CM (Mighei-type; Maribo CM>2.8, Cold Bokkeveld CM2.2), and CR (Renazzo-type; NWA801 CR2) chondrites using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICPMS). Considering most chondrites are accretionary breccias and contain clasts with various degrees of alteration, we investigate the matrix rims surrounding chondrules, rather than the bulk matrix. If complementarity exists, individual dust rims should be complementary at least to their host chondrule. As such, we provide first-order, model-independent observations between individual chondrules and their accreted matrix. Also in contrast to previous compositional studies of chondritic matrix (13), we include some of the least-altered carbonaceous chondrites and apply the same technique to chondrules as well as matrix. We will discuss the effect of aqueous alteration and thermal metamorphism on the composition of chondrules and surrounding matrix and, finally, a mechanism to unify the apparent elemental complementarity of chondrules and matrix (8) with observed isotope heterogeneities and ages of individual chondrules (3–6). We invoke a unifying model for the accretion of chondrules and matrix, in which their relationship to one another is defined in a 3-component system.

### The Complementarity Paradox

Followers of the complementarity model put forward the Fe/Mg and Si/Mg compositions of chondrules and matrix as major cornerstones of the debate. Chondrules typically have subchondritic Fe/Mg ratios, whereas matrix has superchondritic ratios (8). Arguments against these data reflecting complementarity are that 1) the Fe/Mg ratios of chondritic components are usually measured by defocused beam analyses, supposedly biased toward higher Fe/Mg ratios for the matrix, and 2) that these ratios may reflect remobilization through aqueous alteration (14). Here, we have determined the major element composition of CV, CM, and CR chondrules and their dust rims (for a detailed description of their petrology, see *SI Appendix, Supporting Information Text and Figs. S1–S6*). We show that the most unaltered carbonaceous chondrites (Maribo and Leoville) have matrices with superchondritic Fe/Mg ratios, where CM chondrite matrix is closer to the CI composition than CV chondrites (Fig. 1A). More-altered chondrites, having experienced either metamorphism (Allende) or aqueous alteration (Cold Bokkeveld), have increasingly lower Fe/Mg ratios that move toward the CI ratio line and even become subchondritic. The chondrules of all chondrites studied here have subchondritic ratios and CV chondrules have lower Fe/Mg ratios than CM chondrules (Fig. 1B). These trends are independently confirmed by LA-ICPMS data in this study, wet analyses and Instrumental Neutron Activation Analysis for the same chondrites (*SI Appendix, Fig. S7*), bypassing potential analytical artifacts from electron microprobe analyses (14). Even though Fe/Mg ratios of chondrules and matrix from several carbonaceous chondrite groups appear to be in agreement with a complementarity scenario, we emphasize that chondrites are regarded here as 2-component systems of chondrules and matrix, which, as we show below, is clearly not the case. The Si/Mg ratios observed for chondrites in this study show




**Fig. 1.** Major element plots from SEM/EDS elemental maps of CV, CM, and CR chondrules and surrounding dust rims (*SI Appendix, Figs. S1–S6*). (A) FeO versus MgO wt% of dust rims, reflecting a decrease in Fe/Mg ratio with secondary alteration, and a primary superchondritic composition for the matrix. (B) Same plot as A, but with chondrule and bulk compositions added, which are all subchondritic. Individual data points reflect regions of interest where LA-ICPMS spots were analyzed (*SI Appendix, Dataset S1*). For each sample, this amounts to 3 to 4 chondrules, with 2 to 4 spots per chondrule core and 2 to 4 spots per surrounding dust rim.

that unaltered CV and CM matrices are slightly superchondritic, whereas the chondrules are spread around the CI ratio line and are not definitively subchondritic (*SI Appendix, Fig. S7C*). The CR chondrite displays a more recognizable complementarity (*SI Appendix, Fig. S7D*). One of the main arguments against complementarity is the overall CI-like composition of the Paris matrix (16), Paris being one of the least-altered CM chondrites (CM2.7-2.9). Although the matrix is not perfectly CI-like for all elements (e.g., the Fe/Mg ratio of Paris is also superchondritic), it reflects an absence of volatile addition to a CI-like matrix, as envisioned for the complementarity scenario. During chondrule formation,








**Fig. 3.** Element abundance relative to CI composition according to decreasing 50% condensation temperature (28) for average NWA801 chondrules and dust rims and bulk CR composition from ref. 29 (A). (B) Zoom-in of A, showing mirroring (i.e., complementary) and nonmirroring patterns (i.e., noncomplementary) for matrix and chondrules (SI Appendix, Fig. S16).

with a mass balance equation of all elements. For CV and CM chondrites, the Fe/Mg ratios contradict the volatility patterns.

### The Effect of Secondary Alteration

We have investigated the volatility patterns of altered and relatively unaltered chondrules and dust rims from CV and CM chondrites to identify the mobilization of elements during thermal metamorphism and aqueous alteration. We have combined the patterns of Leville and Vigarano to represent average “unaltered” CV chondrite compositions (Fig. 2A), since Vigarano and Leville chondrules have nearly identical volatility patterns (SI Appendix, Fig. S14A) and the chemical alteration of Vigarano mostly shows within a few tens of microns along the chondrule boundaries (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). Allende, the more altered CV chondrite, shows markedly different patterns for matrix and chondrules at condensation temperatures below the refractory plateau (Fig. 2B), in agreement with previous LA-ICPMS studies of Allende matrix (13). Mobilization is evident for siderophile elements such as Fe, Ni, and Co, which are depleted from the chondrules. Moreover, more volatile elements such as Rb and Cs are enriched in the chondrules but depleted in the matrix relative to the unaltered CV chondrites. Overall, the degree of complementarity between matrix and chondrules increases during thermal metamorphism but decreases for the Fe/Mg ratio (SI Appendix, Fig. S12B). Within the refrac-

tory plateau, some elements mobile in fluids (e.g., Sr and Ba) reflect remobilization, which may be related to crystallization of feldspar in the chondrule mesostasis. In addition, light REEs such as La and Ce, but also Hf and Zr are slightly enriched in the matrix relative to the heavy REE (SI Appendix, Fig. S8). The changes in elemental patterns observed between Maribo and Cold Bokkeveld chondrules and matrix is markedly different compared to those observed in CV chondrites (Fig. 4A and B). This likely reflects the difference between mobilization during aqueous alteration and thermal metamorphism. For example, chondrules, and to lesser extent matrix from Cold Bokkeveld, are enriched in Zr, Hf, REE, and Ca relative to Maribo. These elements are typically enriched in CAIs, suggesting that during aqueous alteration, significant exchange takes place between CAIs and the rest of the chondrite. This mechanism has been previously proposed by ref. 14 to explain amongst others, the W complementarity in Allende (12). However, mobilization of W may have been less extensive during thermal metamorphism (even though assisted by metasomatic fluids; ref. 30), as evidenced by the behavior of similarly refractory elements such as Hf and Zr (Fig. 2 and SI Appendix, Fig. S12). Furthermore, we observe loss of siderophile elements from the chondrules, which to some extent is taken up by the matrix. No significant changes are seen for Mg between chondrules of Maribo and Cold Bokkeveld, showing that the lower Fe/Mg ratios in the latter are the results of Fe loss, rather than Mg enrichment. In contrast, the lower Fe/Mg ratios for Cold Bokkeveld matrix are attributed to an enrichment of Mg, possibly derived from CAIs or perhaps from tochilinite-cronstedtite inclusions. Lastly, Cold Bokkeveld shows significant loss of Sr, Ba, U, Rb, and Pb from the matrix, as well as Sr, Ba, and U from the chondrules. These elements are all highly mobile during aqueous alteration. The fact that changes in these features are clearly less pronounced between altered and unaltered CV chondrites suggests that fluid alterations



**Fig. 4.** Element abundances relative to CI composition according to decreasing 50% condensation temperature (28) of CM chondrules and matrix of unaltered and altered samples. (A) Chondrules of Maribo and Cold Bokkeveld compared. (B) Matrix compared.


during thermal metamorphism on the CV parent body were less important.

The absence of an unaltered CR chondrite in this study prevents a thorough assessment of elemental mobilization during aqueous alteration on the CR parent body. We note, however, that NWA801 likely underwent only mild aqueous alteration (*SI Appendix*), implying that the observed volatility patterns are primary features.

### A Noncomplementary Unifying Model

We have established that when regarding the most unaltered CM, CV, and CR chondrites as 2-component systems, Fe/Mg ratios of chondrules and matrix appear to be complementary, a trend that is reduced during progressive secondary alteration. The overall matrix composition of CV and CM chondrites appears to be CI-like and does not record reabsorption of volatiles lost during chondrule formation or acts like an elemental mirror to chondrules. This is at odds with the seemingly complementary Fe/Mg and Hf/W ratios and W and Mo isotope

signatures within CM and CV chondrites (8, 11, 12). We propose below a chondrule and matrix accretion model that can explain both datasets and rules out complementarity. Type I (FeO-poor) chondrules are ubiquitous in CV, CR, CM, and ordinary chondrites (>95% of CV, CM, and CR chondrules; ref. 31) and are often “armored,” containing FeNi metal or sulfide coatings on their host chondrule (32, 33). During transient heating events in the disk, chondrule precursors are liquefied and immiscible metal/sulfide (MS) and silicate melts are generated. Centrifugal forces on a rotating chondrule allows for metal–silicate separation through migration of MS melts to the surface (33). Subsequently, this MS either wets the chondrule or recondenses on the chondrule after evaporation (32). We observe these metal/sulfide rims around primary and secondary chondrule cores as well as around igneous rims in our Leoville, Vigarano, and NWA801 sections and to a lesser extent in Maribo (*SI Appendix, Fig. S18*). If such armored chondrules were to accrete CI-like dust, then oxidation of the metal during the early stages of aqueous alteration would enrich the surrounding matrix



**Fig. 5.** A unifying model explaining the complementarity paradox. (A) Chondrule formation and accretion of CI-like dust. (B) Chondrule formation and transport in the protoplanetary disk (PPD). (C) Chondrule storage in pressure traps.

in Fe and other siderophile elements (*SI Appendix, Fig. S18H*). Alternatively, physical erosion of the metal rim (*SI Appendix, Fig. S18E*) would yield similar results: the resulting matrix composition would be CI-like but with an increased Fe/Mg ratio complementary to the host chondrule. Moreover, the leaching of Fe to the matrix is accompanied by siderophile elements such as Co, Ni, Mo, and W that are carried by the metal phase (11, 12). Hence, the observed chondrule–matrix complementarity for Fe/Mg ratios and Fe, Mo, and W isotopes can be ascribed to the mixing of metal/sulfide with a CI-like matrix, which is in agreement with mass balance calculations between chondrules, metal, and matrix (*SI Appendix*). As such, the main implication of this study is the absence of genetic relationships between chondrules and matrix in CV and CM chondrites and the existence of an apparent complementarity between these fractions, caused by a third key component, the metal. Another important implication of our observations is that the inferred Hf–W ages of combined chondrule and matrix isochrons from CV chondrites are not valid, since they assume a genetic relationship between these chondritic components (11). Essentially, our model does not require chondrules and matrix from a single chondrite to have formed in the same reservoir but necessitates mass transport in the protoplanetary disk (*Fig. 5B*). This involves the transport of matrix to the chondrule forming region but likely also extends to the transport and storage of individual chondrules throughout the disk, as evidenced by their individual Cr isotope signatures and Pb–Pb ages (3–6). Chondrules could have been stored in loose rubble pile asteroids within pressure traps at planetary gaps (*Fig. 5C* and *ref. 34*), which allow for size sorting of chondrules in various chondrite groups according to the filter efficiency of the dust traps. The increasing efficiency of the dust trap during the growth of a Jupiter-sized planet, would have allowed transport of possibly thermally processed dust, complementary to the chondrules at early times, and accretion of inward migrating CI-like dust at later times within the dust trap. Finally, gravitational collapse of these rubble piles would result in cementing of chondrites containing chondrules alongside each other with varying nucleosynthetic isotope signatures and ages. We note that this model addresses the generic constraints of chondrule and matrix transport within the protoplanetary disk and that a more detailed perspective on the accretion of different chondrite groups with their various chondrule populations requires full knowledge of

their age distribution, isotope systematics, and physical properties (e.g., texture, size, compounds; *ref. 35*). Collectively, this model invokes a generic chondrule-formation process to explain the apparent complementarity between chondrules and matrix in CV and CM carbonaceous chondrites, which may also pertain to ordinary chondrites in which armored chondrules are ubiquitous. Lastly, evidence for complementarity is found between chondrules and matrix of CR chondrites. CR chondrite matrix may be different from that of CM and CV chondrites and could consist of a second dust generation, in agreement with late accretion of CR chondrites. This complementarity is also consistent with the similar Cr and Mg isotope compositions of CR chondrules and bulk (5, 6).

## Materials and Methods

We have selected chondrules and surrounding dust rims from CV, CM, and CR chondrites (for a full sample description, see *SI Appendix*). Detailed back-scattered electron images and high-resolution elemental maps were made of these objects by Zeiss EVO MA10 SEM/energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM/EDS) at the Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris (IPGP) (Paris), from which major element concentrations were quantified of regions of interest for laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICPMS) at the Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer (Brest). We have analyzed 33 major, minor, and trace elements, spanning a range of 50% condensation temperatures between 700 and 1,750 K. LA-ICPMS analyses were performed with a 193-nm Compex Pro102 Coherent Laser Ablation System coupled to an Element XR high-resolution mass spectrometer. Detailed acquisition parameters and data reduction methods are described in *SI Appendix*.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** We thank 3 anonymous referees for their thoughtful suggestions that have improved this manuscript. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant 786081. F.M. thanks the European Research Council (ERC) for funding under the European Community's H2020 Framework Programme/ERC Grant 637503 (Pristine) and the French National Research Agency (ANR) for Chaire d'Excellence Sorbonne Paris Cité Grant IDEX13C445 and for UnivEarthS Labex Program Grants ANR-10-LABX-0023 and ANR-11-IDEX-0005-02. Parts of this work were supported by the IPGP multidisciplinary program Plateforme d'analyse haute résolution and by Region Île-de-France SESAME Grant 12015908. We thank the Natural History Museum of Denmark for the generous loan of thick sections from Leoville, Maribo, and NWA801. We greatly appreciate the assistance of Bleuenn Gueguen in the operation of the LA-ICPMS and Stephen Borensztajn for the SEM.

1. A. Johansen, M.-M. Mac Low, P. Lacerda, M. Bizzarro, Growth of asteroids, planetary embryos, and Kuiper belt objects by chondrule accretion. *Sci. Adv.* **1**, 1500109 (2015).
2. H. C. Connolly Jr., R. H. Jones, Chondrules: The canonical and noncanonical views. *J. Geophys. Res. Planets* **121**, 1885–1899 (2016).
3. J. N. Connelly et al., The absolute chronology and thermal processing of solids in the solar protoplanetary disk. *Science* **338**, 651–655 (2012).
4. J. Bollard et al., Early formation of planetary building blocks inferred from Pb isotopic ages of chondrules. *Sci. Adv.* **3**, e1700407 (2017).
5. E. M. M. E. Van Kooten et al., Isotopic evidence for primordial molecular cloud material in metal-rich carbonaceous chondrites. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **113**, 2011–2016 (2016).
6. M. B. Olsen, D. Wielandt, M. Schiller, E. M. M. E. Van Kooten, M. Bizzarro, Magnesium and <sup>54</sup>Cr isotope compositions of carbonaceous chondrite chondrules – Insights into early disk processes. *Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta* **191**, 118–138 (2016).
7. M. Bizzarro, J. N. Connelly, A. N. Krot, “Chondrules: Ubiquitous chondritic solids tracking the evolution of the solar protoplanetary disk” in *Formation, Evolution, and Dynamics of Young Solar Systems*, M. Pessah, O. Gressel, Eds. (Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 2017), p. 445.
8. D. C. Hezel, P. A. Bland, H. Palme, E. Jacquet, J. Bigolski, “Composition of chondrules and matrix and their complementary relationship in chondrites” in *Chondrules: Records of Protoplanetary Disk Processes*, S. S. Russell, H. C. Connolly Jr., A. N. Krot, Eds. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2018), pp. 91–121.
9. D. C. Hezel, H. Palme, Constraints for chondrule formation from Ca–Al distribution in carbonaceous chondrites. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **265**, 716–725 (2008).
10. D. S. Ebel et al., Abundance, major element composition and size of components and matrix in CV, CO and Acfer 094 chondrites. *Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta* **172**, 322–356 (2016).
11. G. Budde, T. Kleine, T. S. Kruijer, C. Burkhardt, K. Metzler, Tungsten isotopic constraints on the age and origin of chondrules. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **113**, 2886–2891 (2016).
12. G. Budde et al., Molybdenum isotopic evidence for the origin of chondrules and a distinct genetic heritage of carbonaceous and non-carbonaceous meteorites. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **454**, 293–303 (2016).
13. P. A. Bland et al., Volatile fractionation in the early solar system and chondrule/matrix complementarity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **102**, 13755–13760 (2005).
14. B. Zanda, É. Lewin, M. Humayun, “The chondritic assemblage” in *Chondrules: Records of Protoplanetary Disk Processes*, S. S. Russell, H. C. Connolly Jr., A. N. Krot, Eds. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2018), pp. 122–150.
15. C. M. O. Alexander, Re-examining the role of chondrules in producing the elemental fractionations in chondrites. *Meteorit. Planet. Sci.* **40**, 943–965 (2005).
16. R. H. Hewins et al., The Paris meteorite, the least altered CM chondrite so far. *Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta* **124**, 190–222 (2014).
17. A. Patzer, D. C. Hezel, V. Bendel, A. Pack, Chondritic ingredients: II. Reconstructing early solar system history via refractory lithophile trace elements in individual objects of the Leoville CV3 chondrite. *Meteorit. Planet. Sci.* **53**, 1391–1412 (2018).
18. G. R. Huss, C. M. O. Alexander, H. Palme, P. A. Bland, J. T. Wasson, “Genetic relationships between chondrules, fine-grained rims, and interchondrule matrix” in *Chondrites and the Protoplanetary Disk* (Astronomical Society of the Pacific, San Francisco, CA, APS Series 341, 2005) p. 701.
19. D. C. Hezel, H. Palme, Pre-accretionary distribution of Ca and Al between matrix and chondrules in cv chondrites in *Thirty-Eighth Lunar and Planetary Conference* (Lunar and Planetary Institute, Houston, TX, 2007) p. 1667.
20. Y. Marrocchi et al., Formation of cv chondrules by recycling of amoeboid olivine aggregate-like precursors. *Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta* **247**, 121–141 (2019).
21. P. A. Bland, M. E. Zolensky, G. K. Benedix, M. A. Sephton, *Weathering of Chondritic Meteorites* (Imperial College London and Natural History Museum, London, UK, 2006), pp. 853–867.
22. G. R. Huss, A. P. Meshik, J. B. Smith, C. M. Hohenberg, Presolar diamond, silicon carbide, and graphite in carbonaceous chondrites: Implications for thermal processing in the solar nebula. *Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta* **67**, 4823–4848 (2003).

23. Y. Marrocchi, G. Libourel, Sulfur and sulfides in chondrules. *Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta* **119**, 117–136 (2013).
24. P. Friend, D. C. Hezel, D. Mucerschi, The conditions of chondrule formation, Part II: Open system. *Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta* **173**, 198–209 (2016).
25. J. Barosch, D. C. Hezel, D. S. Ebel, P. Friend, Mineralogically zoned chondrules in ordinary chondrites as evidence for open system chondrule behaviour. *Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta* **249**, 1–16 (2019).
26. J. M. Friedrich *et al.*, Chondrule size and related physical properties: A compilation and evaluation of current data across all meteorite groups. *Geochemistry* **75**, 419–443 (2015).
27. N. Braukmüller, F. Wombacher, D. C. Hezel, R. Escoube, C. Münker, The chemical composition of carbonaceous chondrites: Implications for volatile element depletion, complementarity and alteration. *Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta* **239**, 17–48 (2018).
28. K. Lodders, Solar system abundances and condensation temperatures of the elements. *Astrophys. J.* **591**, 1220–1247 (2003).
29. H. Y. McSween Jr, G. R. Huss, *Cosmochemical and Geochemical Fractionations* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2010), pp. 192–229.
30. A. J. Brearley, A. N. Krot, “Metasomatism in the early solar system: The record from chondritic meteorites” in *Metasomatism and the Chemical Transformation of Rock*, D. E. Harlov, H. Austrheim, Eds. (Lecture Notes in Earth System Sciences, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2013), pp. 659–789.
31. E. R. D. Scott, Chondrites and the protoplanetary disk. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet Sci.* **35**, 577–620 (2007).
32. N. Vogel, H. Baur, A. Bischoff, I. Leya, R. Wieler, Noble gas studies in CAIs from CV3 chondrites: No evidence for primordial noble gases. *Meteorit. Planet. Sci.* **39**, 767–778 (2004).
33. J. T. Wasson, A. E. Rubin, Metal in CR chondrites. *Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta* **74**, 2212–2230 (2010).
34. P. Weber, P. Benítez-Llambay, O. Gressel, L. Krapp, M. E. Pessah, Characterizing the variable dust permeability of planet-induced gaps. *Astrophys. J.* **854**, 153 (2018).
35. A. E. Rubin, Physical properties of chondrules in different chondrite groups: Implications for multiple melting events in dusty environments. *Geochem. Cosmochim. Acta* **74**, 4807–4828 (2010).