

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Lung Cancer

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/lungcan

Optimizing lung cancer radiation treatment worldwide in COVID-19 outbreak

Zhongxing Liao^{a,**}, Eleonor Rivin del Campo^b, Ahmed Salem^{c,d}, Qingsong Pang^e, Hui Liu^f, Jose Luis Lopez Guerra^{g,h,*}

^a Department of Radiation Oncology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA

^b Department of Radiation Oncology, Tenon University Hospital, Sorbonne University, 4 Rue de la Chine, 75020 Paris, France

^c Division of Cancer Sciences, University of Manchester, United Kingdom

^d Department of Clinical Oncology, The Christie Hospital NHS Trust, Manchester, United Kingdom

e Department of Radiation Oncology, Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital, Tianjin, China

^f Department of Radiation Oncology, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China

⁸ Department of Radiation Oncology, University Hospital Virgen del Rocío, Avda. Manuel Siurot s/n, 41013 Seville, Spain

h Instituto de Biomedicina de Sevilla, Universidad de Sevilla-CSIC-Hospital Universitario V. del Rocío, Avda. Manuel Siurot s/n, 41013 Seville, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Lung cancer

COVID-19

Pandemic

Outbreak

Radiation therapy

ABSTRACT

COVID-19 has spread around the planet, sending billions of people into lockdown as health services struggle to cope. Meanwhile in Asia, where the disease began, the spread continues, in China it seems for now to have passed its peak. Italy, Spain, France, UK, and the US have been the countries more affected in terms of deaths. The coronavirus is more dangerous to the elderly and those with certain pre-existing medical conditions which is precisely the profile of lung cancer patients. Essential cancer services should be delivered but all steps should be taken to protect patients and the health workforce from infection with COVID-19. This presents a major challenge to radiotherapy (RT) departments worldwide. An international panel with expertise in the management of lung cancer in high-volume comprehensive centres has come together to share its experience on COVID-19 preparedness to deliver optimal care in such exceptional circumstances. A comprehensive systematic review of the literature through a PubMed search was undertaken. Twelve recommendations including, among others, the consideration of shorter courses, delays, and the omission of RT for lung cancer are proposed by the panel. In summary, we recommend the screening of every single person accessing the treatment room, the consideration of hypofractionation and to delay postoperative RT for non-small cell lung cancer, to avoid twice-daily treatments and delay or deliver prophylactic cranial irradiation during radio(chemo)therapy for limited-stage small cell lung cancer, review image guided RT images for suspicious image findings, and the use of single-fraction RT for the palliative treatment of stage IV lung cancer patients. Given that lung cancer is one of the most common and severe pathologies in radiation oncology departments, the following recommendations require particularly urgent consideration. The decision-making paths strongly depend on locally available resources, and a tailored approach should be used to attend lung cancer patients during this pandemic.

1. Introduction

COVID-19 has spread around the planet, sending billions of people into lockdown as health services struggle to cope. By April 2020, there are over a million two hundred thousand confirmed cases and more than sixty-five thousand deaths worldwide [1]. Meanwhile in Asia, where the disease began, the spread continues, in China it seems for now to have passed its peak. Italy, Spain, France, the United Kingdom, and the United States have been the countries more affected in terms of deaths. However, limited testing and challenges in the attribution of the cause of death means that the number of confirmed deaths may not be an accurate count of the true total number of deaths from COVID-19.

We know the coronavirus is more dangerous to the elderly and those with certain pre-existing medical conditions. A prospective cohort study

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lungcan.2020.05.029



Review





^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Radiation Oncology, Virgen del Rocío University Hospital. Manuel Siurot avenue, s/n. 41013, Seville, Spain. ** Corresponding author at: Department of Radiation Oncology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Blvd, Unit 97, Houston, TX 77030. United States.

E-mail addresses: zliao@mdanderson.org (Z. Liao), chanodetriana@yahoo.es (J.L. Lopez Guerra).

Received 6 April 2020; Received in revised form 18 May 2020; Accepted 23 May 2020 0169-5002/ © 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

from China suggested that cancer patients have a higher risk of infection and poorer outcomes after COVID-19, compared to patients without cancer [2]. Five of the 18 cancer patients included in this report had lung cancer (28 %). A separate retrospective study from China demonstrated that chronic obstructive lung disease, a condition often co-existent in lung cancer patients, is more common in non-surviving COVID-19 patients [3]. Lung cancer patients receiving immunosuppressive anticancer treatments are also likely to be at heightened risk of morbidity and mortality from COVID-19.

Moreover, the data shows that over half of all those hospitalized across Spain are over 70 years old [4]. In China, the case-fatality rate was 8 % in 70–79 years and was 14.8 % in 80 years or older [3]. Although men and women are testing positive to coronavirus in similar numbers, men are more likely to be admitted into hospital and treated in intensive care units. Elderly men with certain pre-existing medical conditions is precisely the profile of lung cancer patients. The main route of viral transmission is respiratory droplets, and rigorous protective measures are essential to limit the extent of transmissibility and guarantee healthcare workers' safety while delivering oncologic treatments.

Essential cancer services should be delivered, but all steps should be taken to protect patients and the healthcare workforce from infection with COVID-19. This presents a major challenge to radiotherapy (RT) departments worldwide in curbing the spread of COVID-19 while ensuring the continuity of services. Individual risk from exposure to COVID-19 varies from person to person, and all risks of COVID-19 infection should be balanced against the need for tumour control and discussed on a case-by-case basis with the patient and their care givers. The spontaneous networking of members of local RT societies/groups (i.e. Spanish Oncologic Group for the Study of Lung Cancer or the Andalusian Cancer Society) exchanging and discussing their experience and the most recent evidence during this pandemic, has played an important role to guide actions in real time.

In this article, a panel of international experts from countries most affected by the COVID-19 pandemic presents practical recommendations regarding lung cancer RT during the COVID-19 outbreak. Three aspects are addressed: work organization decreasing the risk of infection, modification of treatment schedules, allowing for reduction of the time patients spend in the RT facilities, and using image-guided radiation therapy (IGRT) as a means of COVID-19 diagnosis in asymptomatic infected patients.

2. Methods

An international panel with expertise in the management of lung cancer in high-volume comprehensive centres has come together to share its experience on COVID-19 preparedness to deliver optimal care in such exceptional circumstances. A comprehensive systematic review of the literature through a PubMed search was undertaken using the following keywords: COVID-19, coronavirus, pandemic, outbreak, lung cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, small cell lung cancer, unresectable non-small cell lung cancer, radiotherapy, radiation therapy, hypofractionation, stereotactic body radiation therapy, stereotactic ablative radiotherapy, post-operative radiotherapy, prophylactic cranial irradiation, image-guided radiation therapy, and palliative radiotherapy. Clinical studies, clinical trials, meta-analysis, reviews and references from the articles were selected and further classified into five categories: patients and health care professionals, non-small cell lung cancer patients (NSCLC), small cell lung cancer patients (SCLC), IGRT, and palliative RT.

Given that lung cancer is one of the most common and severe pathologies in radiation oncology departments, the following recommendations require particularly urgent consideration. The decision-making paths strongly depend on locally available resources, and a tailored approach is should be used to attend lung cancer patients during this pandemic. Twelve recommendations covering the work organization, the use of RT considering shorter courses, delays, and omission of RT for lung cancer, and the use of IGRT for any new suspicious image findings are proposed by the panel.

3. Suggested recommendations (Table 1)

3.1. Precautions for patients and health care professionals

1 Screening of every single person accessing the treatment room. One of the most important lessons learned from RT departments in China is the screening of every single person who is able to get into the treatment room, including patients and staff [5]. Additionally, many radiation oncology departments worldwide, request all patients to wear protective masks during their stay in the RT department even if asymptomatic. The treatment room is a confined space and is not easy to sterilize. Therefore, COVID-19 symptom assessment for all lung cancer patients before RT is mandatory. Recent relevant symptoms/contact history should be reported. COVID-19 virus should be tested before RT for those patients with clinical and/or radiological (i.e. image findings at simulation chest computerized tomography [CT]) suspected infection. If positive, RT should start after the recovery of the infection. If negative but still suspicious, they should be treated in a single treatment machine at the end of the LINAC shift to limit the chances of infection for other patients. Radiation oncologists are encouraged to follow protective measures as recommended by the World Health Organisation (e.g., frequent hand washing, social distancing) [6]. RT technologists and other healthcare personnel at simulation and treatment need to wear appropriate personal protective equipment and disinfection of the scanner/bunker must be performed afterwards. In the case of COVID-19 confirmed infection during treatment, the decision to continue or discontinue treatment should be on a case per case basis, keeping with the previous recommendations for protection of personnel and disinfection. Decision making should include patient prognosis, pre-existing medical conditions and the extent and symptoms of the COVID-19 infection [7]. In RT, shortening overall treatment time to reduce the number of patients present in the treatment area at same time is an important consideration. To further reduce the time patients spend in the RT facilities, it is advisable to limit on-treatment visits as well, for instance, on demand of patients while maintaining optimal care conditions. Recently, Guckenberger et al [8] have published an ESTRO-ASTRO consensus statement for lung cancer RT during the COVID-19 pandemic. Three clear messages were reported: (1) Not compromising the prognosis of lung cancer patients by departing from guideline-recommended RT practice; (2) Postponement or interruption of RT of COVID-19 positive patients is generally recommended to avoid exposure of cancer patients and staff to an increased risk of COVID-19 infection; and (3) Factors for patient triage include potential for cure, relative benefit of RT, life expectancy, and performance status.

3.2. NSCLC patients

2 Offer SABR or consider hypofractionation for early stage NSCLC. During this pandemic, the availability of operating rooms for surgical treatment may be compromised. SABR can play a critical role to offer curative treatment to these patients. To optimize resources, shorter schedules should be privileged, allowing access to more patients. Centres with experience in SABR treatment may deliver SABR in 1 − 3 fractions for stage I-II patients requiring thoracic RT with NSCLC. Options include 30 − 34 Gy in one fraction for tumors < 2 cm and ≥ 1 cm from the chest wall [9–11] and 48 − 54 Gy in 3 fractions over 1 week for peripheral lesions [12]. More mild hypofractionation (45–60 Gy in 4–8 fractions) could be considered for central and ultra-central lesions [13]. Lower quality evidence led to conditional recommendations on use of SABR for tumors >5 cm,

Table 1

O			A	41		- C		41	1	41	COULD 10	
Summart	n n	recommenda	rione in	TNP	1160	OT	radiation	therany	auring	TNP	(()/))_/9	nandemic
ounnary	- 01	recommenda	tions m	unc	use	or.	radiation	unciapy	uuiing	unc		panacinic.

Context	Recommendations							
Patients and health care professionals	 a. Screening of every single person accessing the treatment room. b. COVID-19 symptom assessment for all lung cancer patients before RT. c. COVID-19 virus should be tested before RT for those patients with clinical and/or radiological suspected infection: If positive, RT should start after the recovery of the infection. If negative but still suspicious, they should be treated in a single treatment machine at the end of the LINAC shift, disinfected afterwards. d. RT technologists and other healthcare personnel need to wear personal protective equipment, at least mask and gloves during the procedure. e. If COVID-19 confirmed infection during treatment: decide to continue/discontinue treatment depending on patient prognosis, pre-existing medical conditions and the extent and symptoms of the COVID-19 infection. f. Not compromising the prognosis of lung cancer patients by departing from guideline-recommended RT practice according ESTRO-ASTRO recommended intege. 							
NSCLC	Early stage	 Offer SABR or consider hypofractionation: a. SABR in 1–3 fx for stage I-II patients. Peripheral lesions: 30–34 Gy in one fx for tumors < 2 cm and ≥ 1 cm from the chest wall. 48–54 Gy in 3 fx for tumors ≤ 5 cm. Central/ultra-central lesions: 45–60 Gy in 4–8 fractions. b. 55–60 Gy in 15–20 fx in patients not suitable for SABR. 						
	Locally advanced stage	Offer hypofractionation: a 55–60 Gy in 20 fx. b 20–45 Gy in 5–15 fx for poor performance status patients.						
	PORT	Delay RT with an imaging re-evaluation before RT at 2–3 m. Consider hypofractionation: a 55–60 Gy in 20 fx.						
SCLC	Oligometastasis Limited-disease Early stage	Local consolidative therapy with RT could be either delayed or even omitted. There is no need to modify SABR or use it in lieu of standard RT. When SABR is used, several options are available: SABR in 3–5 fx for stage I-II patients. a. Peripheral lesions: 60 Gy in 3 fx, 48 Gy in 4 fx, 50 Gy in 5 fx. b. Central/ultra-central lesions: as in NSCLC.						
	Limited-disease Locally advanced stage	It may be logistically preferable to avoid twice-daily RT. Consider hypofractionation: a 40–42 Gy in 15 fx or 50–55 Gy in 20–25 fx.						
	Extensive-disease	Consider optional in the context of limited resources. If delivered, offer a short course: a 30 Gy in 10 fx.						
	PCI	a. Limited-stage: May be delayed. 25 Gy in 10 fx during thoracic RT. May be omitted in patients with p-stage I or \geq 70 years with tumor size \geq 5 cm. This option implies surveillance with brain MRI every 3-4 months.						
IGRT	 b. Extensive-stage: PCI could be omitted. Follow-up with MRI. a Carefully review the IGRT images for suspicious image findings. b Patient with suspicious CT images should be sent for COVID 19 testing and be quarantined until the test result is known. Asymp infected patients pose a potential risk of spreading the view without being poticed. 							
Palliative RT	Brain metastases	Unsuitable for resection or SRS: dexamethasone and supportive care without RT. a Patients with urgent indications: 20 Gy in 5 fx. b RT after surgery or SRS could be omitted.						
	Other sites	Offer single-fraction RT or short regimens: a Single-fraction of 8 Gy. b 20 Gy in 5 fractions.						

Abbreviations: RTradiation therapy; LINAClinear accelerator; CTcomputerized tomography; IGRTimage guided radiation therapy; NSCLCnon-small lung cancer; SCLCSmall lung cancer SABR, stereotactic ablative radiotherapy; PORTpostoperative radiation therapy; Fractionfx; SRSstereotactic radiosurgery; PCIprophylactic cranial irradiation; MRImagnetic resonance imaging.

patients with prior pneumonectomy, T3 tumors with chest wall invasion, synchronous multiple primary lung cancer, and as a salvage therapy after prior RT [14]. Consider 55-60 Gy in 15-20 fractions in early-stage patients not suitable for SABR [15,16].

3 Offer RT in 20 fractions (55 Gy) for stage II-III patients requiring thoracic RT with NSCLC. In the United Kingdom, 55 Gy in 20 fractions (2.75 Gy daily fractions) is the most commonly used radical NSCLC radiotherapy schedule, particularly for patients treated with sequential chemoradiotherapy. The phase II SOCCAR trial showed that schedule is associated with 50 % and 46 % 2-year survival and 2.9 % and 1.7 % treatment-related mortality when combined with concurrent and sequential chemotherapy, respectively [15]. Based on these data, this is an acceptable approach for stage II-III NSCLC patients in the era of COVID-19. However, due to the lack of confirmatory level I trial evidence, caution needs to be exercised when using this schedule concurrent with chemotherapy in patients with bulky mediastinal disease. Other options available are 60-72 Gy at 20-24 fx [16]. For those patients with concurrent chemotherapy, RT should be started on day 1 of chemotherapy, so only two cycles will be needed [17]. The systemic treatment may be continued at full or reduced dose, or suspended when the patient has a COVID-19 confirmed infection during treatment depending on, among others, patient prognosis and symptoms of the infection. Accelerated hypofractionated RT with 45 Gy in 15 fractions or even shorter RT regimes (i.e. 20-30 Gy in 5–10 fractions) appear to be an acceptable treatment option for poor performance status NSCLC patients with stage III inoperable tumours [18].

- 4 Delay postoperative RT (PORT) for NSCLC. The use of postoperative RT (PORT) for NSCLC patients is controversial. Multiple older studies [19] showed no survival benefit to PORT but recent data suggest benefit of modern PORT for pN2 patients [20]. PORT in pN2 or incompletely resected stage II and III NSCLC could be reasonably delayed with an imaging re-evaluation before treatment at 2-3 months or treated in 20 fractions (55–60 Gy) [15,16,21].
- 5 In NSCLC patients with limited metastases, local consolidative therapy with RT could be either delayed or even omitted since there is no phase III evidence showing a survival benefit in this subset of patients. In oligometastatic NSCLC, a phase II randomized study [22] showed that there is a role for more aggressive treatment in all disease sites. There is a benefit to either early or late radiation in the setting of limited metastatic disease [22,23]. However, in the context of the pandemic, centres may need to prioritize to limit patient's

visits to the hospital and exposure to COVID-19. NSCLC patients with a limited number of brain metastasis could be treated with stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) at 1-3 fractions [24] in order to delay or potentially avoid whole brain radiation (WBI). Nevertheless, some centres with limited resources may have to prioritize curative-intent treatments to SRS for brain metastasis.

3.3. SCLC patients

- 6 There is no need to modify SABR for stage I-II SCLC, or use it in lieu of standard RT in the context of pandemic. SABR in SCLC is used exceptionally. Although data to date are limited and there are no completed randomized controlled trials of SABR for SCLC, this modality is of particular utility for patients who are not operative candidates owing to medical comorbidities, functional status, poor baseline lung function, or preference to avoid surgery according to recent ASTRO SCLC guidelines [25]. SABR options include 60 Gy in 3 fractions, 48 Gy in 4 fractions, and 50 Gy in 5 fractions for per-ipheral lesions [26,27]. More mild hypofractionation could be considered in very select patients (i.e. ultra-central lung lesions), akin to treatment approaches in NSCLC [13].
- 7 During this pandemic, it may be logistically preferable to avoid twice-daily treatments for limited-stage SCLC. Standard of care for limited-stage SCLC patients is early or upfront concurrent chemoradiation with [28] thoracic RT in 15 days (45 Gy in 30 twice daily fractions of 1.5 Gy) [29]. Studies show that perhaps doses of 40-42 Gy in 15 daily fractions [30,31] or 50-55 Gy in 20-25 daily fractions are an alternative during the COVID-19 pandemic [32,33]. If tumor shrinkage might allow for a decrease in radiation toxicities, starting RT with cycle 3 of chemotherapy may be more optimal for a subset of patients [34]. Decreasing the risk of toxicity, especially esophagitis and pneumonitis, may be useful for reducing the number of visits (i.e. emergency consultation) to the Hospital and therefore the COVID-19 exposure.
- 8 Thoracic RT for extensive-stage SCLC patients could be considered optional in the context of a pandemic and limited resources, especially when some institutions may have to prioritize curative-intent treatments. Studies have shown that it could be offered to patients with limited extrathoracic tumour burden after good thoracic and extrathoracic response to systemic treatment [35] at 30 Gy in 10 fractions [36,37]. Slotman et al. [37] reported 2-year overall survival (OS) of 13 % in the thoracic radiotherapy group versus 3% for the control group (p = 0.004).
- 9 Prophylactic cranial irradiation (PCI) could be performed during radio(chemo)therapy [40] in order to avoid more days of treatment or may be delayed since it is a prophylactic treatment. PCI has shown an improvement in OS and a reduction in the incidence of brain metastasis in limited-stage SCLC patients [38,39] delivered at 25 Gy in 10 fractions [40]. Omission of PCI in patients with p-stage I SCLC may be an option due to the lower incidence of brain metastasis (12 % at 5 years) [39,41]. In addition, a retrospective analysis of the M.D. Anderson Cancer Center [42] reported no benefit of PCI in OS for patients \geq 70 years old with tumor size \geq 5 cm (2-year OS: 39 % vs 41 %). Nevertheless, omission of PCI implies surveillance with brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) every 3-4 months, which may be problematic in the context of limited resources. The role of PCI in extensive-stage SCLC after good response to systemic treatment is controversial [43]. In a Japanese trial [43], PCI did not result in longer OS compared with observation when patients received brain MRI at initial work-up and during follow-up, therefore that could be an option. The role of reirradiation after PCI for brain metastasis is also controversial. A retrospective study [44] showed an OS of 58 %, 50 %, 21 %, and 5% after SRS, chemotherapy only, repeat whole brain irradiation (WBI; 20 Gy at 2 Gy/fraction), and observation, respectively. Therefore, it could be omitted in patients receiving systemic treatment. SRS could be an option for patients

with reduced number of brain metastasis, good performance status, and controlled extracranial disease.

3.4. IGRT

10 We recommend careful review of IGRT images for new ground glass opacities, consolidations, round morphology, and other suspicious image findings. It is well known that COVID-19 pneumonia has CT presentations of bilateral lung opacities in 98 % of chest CTs in infected patients and lobular and subsegmental areas of consolidation, ground glass consolidation, rounded morphology as the most typical radiographic findings. The diagnosis of the first COVID-19 pneumonia cases in Wuhan was initially based on clinical characteristics, chest imaging, and the ruling out of common bacterial and viral pathogens that cause pneumonia [45,46]. Asymptomatic infected patients pose a potential risk of spreading the virus without being noticed and which is a great challenge in RT clinics. Most recently, there have been case reports from the US and Europe [47] that new ground glass opacities were caught on the images obtained by CT on rail and cone beam CT (CBCT) for IGRT delivery of lung stereotactic ablative radiotherapy (SABR). Those patients were later all tested positive for COVID-19 even though they were totally asymptomatic. IGRT imaging (CT on rail, or CBCT) before the first fraction of the treatment, and subsequently, should be compared with the images of the simulation CT for any new image changes suspicious for COVID -19 infection. Patient with suspicious CT images should be sent for COVID-19 testing and be quarantined until the test result is known.

3.5. Palliative RT

- 11 Lung cancer patients with brain metastases unsuitable for resection or SRS could receive dexamethasone and supportive care without WBI. The QUARTZ study [48] showed absence of a difference in survival, quality of life or dexamethasone use between patients with and without WBI. However, for patients with urgent indications (i.e. neurologic symptoms), WBI at 20 Gy in 5 fractions is an option that has shown similar survival compared with longer courses [49]. WBI after surgery or SRS could be omitted since there is a modest benefit in OS in only a very selected group of patients [24,50,51].
- 12 Use of single-fraction RT (8 Gy) could be an option for stage IV lung cancer patients with symptomatic (i.e. pain, hemoptysis, etc.) or medical emergency (non-brain) metastasis (i.e., superior vena cava syndrome or spinal cord compression) [52,53]. Re-irradiation with the same dose to the same site could be considered after initial palliative RT if there is no response or an additional benefit from repeat treatment is expected (i.e. pain relapse after initial satisfactory response). Among other dose options available, we favor 20 Gy in 5 fractions [54].

4. Conclusions

Everyone can still change the course of this pandemic by taking timely and concrete actions. Twelve recommendations are proposed by the international panel. In summary, we recommend screening before accessing the treatment room, consideration of hypofractionation and delay of PORT for NSCLC, avoidance of twice-daily treatments and to delay or deliver PCI during radio(chemo)therapy for limited-stage SCLC, careful review of IGRT images for suspicious image findings, and the use of single-fraction RT for palliative treatment of stage IV lung cancer.

References

E. Dong, H. Du, L. Gardner, An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time, Lancet Infect. Dis. 20 (5) (2020) 533–534.

- [2] W. Liang, W. Guan, R. Chen, W. Wang, J. Li, K. Xu, C. Li, Q. Ai, W. Lu, H. Liang, S. Li, J. He, Cancer patients in SARS-CoV-2 infection: a nationwide analysis in China, Lancet Oncol. 21 (3) (2020) 335–337.
- [3] Z. Wu, J.M. McGoogan, Characteristics of and Important Lessons From the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China: Summary of a Report of 72314 Cases From the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, JAMA (2020).
- [4] Informe nº 19. Situación de COVID-19 en españa a 1 de abril de, Equipo COVID-19. RENAVE, CNE. CNM (ISCIII), 2020.
- [5] W. Wei, D. Zheng, Y. Lei, S. Wu, V. Verma, Y. Liu, X. Wei, J. Bi, D. Hu, G. Han, Radiotherapy Workflow and Protection Procedures During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak: Experience of the Hubei Cancer Hospital in Wuhan, China, Radiother. Oncol. (2020).
- [6] World Health Organization, Novel Coronavirus(2019-nCoV) Situation Report 72, (2020).
- [7] A.R. Filippi, E. Russi, S.M. Magrini, R. Corvo, Letter from Italy: first practical indications for radiation therapy departments during COVID-19 outbreak, Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 107 (3) (2020) 597–599.
- [8] M. Guckenberger, C. Belka, A. Bezjak, J. Bradley, M.E. Daly, D. DeRuysscher, R. Dziadziuszko, C. Faivre-Finn, M. Flentje, E. Gore, K.A. Higgins, P. Iyengar, B.D. Kavanagh, S. Kumar, C. Le Pechoux, Y. Lievens, K. Lindberg, F. McDonald, S. Ramella, R. Rengan, U. Ricardi, A. Rimner, G.B. Rodrigues, S.E. Schild, S. Senan, C.B. Simone Ii, B.J. Slotman, M. Stuschke, G. Videtic, J. Widder, S.S. Yom, D. Palma, Practice recommendations for lung cancer radiotherapy during the COVID-19 pandemic: an ESTRO-ASTRO consensus statement, Radiother. Oncol. 146 (2020) 223–229.
- [9] R. Singh, E.J. Lehrer, B. Dahshan, J.D. Palmer, A. Sahgal, P.C. Gerszten, N.G. Zaorsky, D.M. Trifiletti, Single fraction radiosurgery, fractionated radiosurgery, and conventional radiotherapy for spinal oligometastasis (SAFFRON): a systematic review and meta-analysis, Radiother. Oncol. 146 (2020) 76–89.
- [10] G.M. Videtic, C. Hu, A.K. Singh, J.Y. Chang, W. Parker, K.R. Olivier, S.E. Schild, R. Komaki, J.J. Urbanic, R.D. Timmerman, H. Choy, A randomized phase 2 study comparing 2 stereotactic body radiation therapy schedules for medically inoperable patients with stage I peripheral non-small cell lung Cancer: nrg oncology RTOG 0915 (NCCTG N0927), Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 93 (4) (2015) 757-764.
- [11] G.M. Videtic, R. Paulus, A.K. Singh, J.Y. Chang, W. Parker, K.R. Olivier, R.D. Timmerman, R.R. Komaki, J.J. Urbanic, K.L. Stephans, S.S. Yom, C.G. Robinson, C.P. Belani, P. Iyengar, M.I. Ajlouni, D.D. Gopaul, J.B. Gomez Suescun, R.C. McGarry, H. Choy, J.D. Bradley, Long-term Follow-up on NRG Oncology RTOG 0915 (NCCTG N0927): a randomized phase 2 study comparing 2 stereotactic body radiation therapy schedules for medically inoperable patients with stage i peripheral non-small cell lung cancer, Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 103 (5) (2019) 1077–1084.
- [12] D.L. Andolino, J.A. Forquer, M.A. Henderson, R.B. Barriger, R.H. Shapiro, J.G. Brabham, P.A. Johnstone, H.R. Cardenes, A.J. Fakiris, Chest wall toxicity after stereotactic body radiotherapy for malignant lesions of the lung and liver, Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 80 (3) (2011) 692–697.
- [13] H. Chen, J.M. Laba, S. Zayed, R.G. Boldt, D.A. Palma, A.V. Louie, Safety and effectiveness of stereotactic ablative radiotherapy for ultra-central lung lesions: a systematic review, J. Thorac. Oncol. 14 (8) (2019) 1332–1342.
- [14] G.M.M. Videtic, J. Donington, M. Giuliani, J. Heinzerling, T.Z. Karas, C.R. Kelsey, B.E. Lally, K. Latzka, S.S. Lo, D. Moghanaki, B. Movsas, A. Rimner, M. Roach, G. Rodrigues, S.M. Shirvani, C.B. Simone 2nd, R. Timmerman, M.E. Daly, Stereotactic body radiation therapy for early-stage non-small cell lung cancer: executive Summary of an ASTRO Evidence-Based Guideline, Pract. Radiat. Oncol. 7 (5) (2017) 295–301.
- [15] J. Maguire, I. Khan, R. McMenemin, N. O'Rourke, S. McNee, V. Kelly, C. Peedell, M. Snee, SOCCAR: a randomised phase II trial comparing sequential versus concurrent chemotherapy and radical hypofractionated radiotherapy in patients with inoperable stage III Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer and good performance status, Eur. J. Cancer 50 (17) (2014) 2939–2949.
- [16] W.K. Cho, J.M. Noh, Y.C. Ahn, D. Oh, H. Pyo, Radiation therapy alone in cT1-3N0 non-small cell lung Cancer patients who are unfit for surgical resection or stereotactic radiation therapy: comparison of risk-adaptive dose schedules, Cancer Res. Treat. 48 (4) (2016) 1187–1195.
- [17] G. Banna, A. Curioni-Fontecedro, A. Friedlaender, A. Addeo, How we treat patients with lung cancer during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic: primum non nocere, ESMO Open 5 (2) (2020).
- [18] I. Fraser, S. Lefresne, J. Regan, E. Berthelet, N. Chooback, C. Ho, R. Olson, Palliative thoracic radiotherapy near the end of life in lung cancer: a population-based analysis, Lung Cancer 135 (2019) 97–103.
- [19] S. Burdett, L. Stewart, P.M.-a. Group, Postoperative radiotherapy in non-small-cell lung cancer: update of an individual patient data meta-analysis, Lung Cancer 47 (1) (2005) 81–83.
- [20] C.G. Robinson, A.P. Patel, J.D. Bradley, T. DeWees, S.N. Waqar, D. Morgensztern, M.Q. Baggstrom, R. Govindan, J.M. Bell, T.J. Guthrie, G.A. Colditz, T.D. Crabtree, D. Kreisel, A.S. Krupnick, G.A. Patterson, B.F. Meyers, V. Puri, Postoperative radiotherapy for pathologic N2 non-small-cell lung cancer treated with adjuvant chemotherapy: a review of the National Cancer data Base, J. Clin. Oncol. 33 (8) (2015) 870–876.
- [21] E.H. Wang, C.D. Corso, C.E. Rutter, H.S. Park, A.B. Chen, A.W. Kim, L.D. Wilson, R.H. Decker, J.B. Yu, Postoperative radiation therapy is associated with improved overall survival in incompletely resected stage II and III non-small-Cell lung Cancer, J. Clin. Oncol. 33 (25) (2015) 2727–2734.
- [22] D.R. Gomez, C. Tang, J. Zhang, G.R. Blumenschein Jr., M. Hernandez, J.J. Lee, R. Ye, D.A. Palma, A.V. Louie, D.R. Camidge, R.C. Doebele, F. Skoulidis,

L.E. Gaspar, J.W. Welsh, D.L. Gibbons, J.A. Karam, B.D. Kavanagh, A.S. Tsao, B. Sepesi, S.G. Swisher, J.V. Heymach, Local consolidative therapy vs. maintenance therapy or observation for patients with oligometastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: long-term results of a multi-institutional, phase ii, randomized study, J. Clin. Oncol. 37 (18) (2019) 1558–1565.

- [23] J.L. Lopez Guerra, D. Gomez, Y. Zhuang, D.S. Hong, J.V. Heymach, S.G. Swisher, S.H. Lin, R. Komaki, J.D. Cox, Z. Liao, Prognostic impact of radiation therapy to the primary tumor in patients with non-small cell lung cancer and oligometastasis at diagnosis, Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 84 (1) (2012) e61–7.
- [24] H. Aoyama, M. Tago, H. Shirato, I. Japanese radiation oncology study group, stereotactic radiosurgery with or without whole-brain radiotherapy for brain metastases: secondary analysis of the JROSG 99-1 randomized clinical trial, JAMA Oncol. 1 (4) (2015) 457–464.
- [25] C.B. Simone 2nd, J.A. Bogart, A.R. Cabrera, M.E. Daly, N.J. DeNunzio, F. Detterbeck, C. Faivre-Finn, N. Gatschet, E. Gore, S.K. Jabbour, T.J. Kruser, B.J. Schneider, B. Slotman, A. Turrisi, A.J. Wu, J. Zeng, K.E. Rosenzweig, Radiation therapy for small cell lung Cancer: an ASTRO clinical practice guideline, Pract. Radiat. Oncol. 10 (3) (2020) 158–173.
- [26] F. Alongi, S. Arcangeli, B. De Bari, N. Giaj-Levra, A. Fiorentino, R. Mazzola, M. Trovo, Stage-I small cell lung cancer: a new potential option for stereotactic ablative radiation therapy? A review of literature, Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol. 112 (2017) 67–71.
- [27] V. Verma, C.B. Simone 2nd, P.K. Allen, S.H. Lin, Outcomes of stereotactic body radiotherapy for T1-T2N0 small cell carcinoma according to addition of chemotherapy and prophylactic cranial irradiation: a multicenter analysis, Clin. Lung Cancer 18 (6) (2017) 675–681 e1.
- [28] D. De Ruysscher, B. Lueza, C. Le Pechoux, D.H. Johnson, M. O'Brien, N. Murray, S. Spiro, X. Wang, M. Takada, B. Lebeau, W. Blackstock, D. Skarlos, P. Baas, H. Choy, A. Price, L. Seymour, R. Arriagada, J.P. Pignon, R.-S.C. Group, Impact of thoracic radiotherapy timing in limited-stage small-cell lung cancer: usefulness of the individual patient data meta-analysis, Ann. Oncol. 27 (10) (2016) 1818–1828.
- [29] C. Faivre-Finn, M. Snee, L. Ashcroft, W. Appel, F. Barlesi, A. Bhatnagar, A. Bezjak, F. Cardenal, P. Fournel, S. Harden, C. Le Pechoux, R. McMenemin, N. Mohammed, M. O'Brien, J. Pantarotto, V. Surmont, J.P. Van Meerbeeck, P.J. Woll, P. Lorigan, F. Blackhall, C.S. Team, Concurrent once-daily versus twice-daily chemoradiotherapy in patients with limited-stage small-cell lung cancer (CONVERT): an open-label, phase 3, randomised, superiority trial, Lancet Oncol. 18 (8) (2017) 1116–1125.
- [30] N. Murray, P. Coy, J.L. Pater, I. Hodson, A. Arnold, B.C. Zee, D. Payne, E.C. Kostashuk, W.K. Evans, P. Dixon, et al., Importance of timing for thoracic irradiation in the combined modality treatment of limited-stage small-cell lung cancer. The National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group, J. Clin. Oncol. 11 (2) (1993) 336–344.
- [31] B.H. Gronberg, T.O. Halvorsen, O. Flotten, O.T. Brustugun, P.F. Brunsvig, U. Aasebo, R.M. Bremnes, T. Tollali, K. Hornslien, B.Y. Aksnessaether, E.D. Liaaen, S. Sundstrom, G. Norwegian Lung Cancer study, Randomized phase II trial comparing twice daily hyperfractionated with once daily hypofractionated thoracic radiotherapy in limited disease small cell lung cancer, Acta Oncol. 55 (5) (2016) 591–597.
- [32] E.F. McClay, J. Bogart, J.E. Herndon 2nd, D. Watson, L. Evans, S.L. Seagren, M.R. Green, B.S. Cancer, Leukemia Group, A phase III trial evaluating the combination of cisplatin, etoposide, and radiation therapy with or without tamoxifen in patients with limited-stage small cell lung cancer: Cancer and Leukemia Group B Study (9235), Am. J. Clin. Oncol. 28 (1) (2005) 81–90.
- [33] B. Xia, L.Z. Hong, X.W. Cai, Z.F. Zhu, Q. Liu, K.L. Zhao, M. Fan, J.F. Mao, H.J. Yang, K.L. Wu, X.L. Fu, Phase 2 study of accelerated hypofractionated thoracic radiation therapy and concurrent chemotherapy in patients with limited-stage small-cell lung cancer, Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 91 (3) (2015) 517–523.
- [34] J.M. Sun, Y.C. Ahn, E.K. Choi, M.J. Ahn, J.S. Ahn, S.H. Lee, D.H. Lee, H. Pyo, S.Y. Song, S.H. Jung, J.S. Jo, J. Jo, H.J. Sohn, C. Suh, J.S. Lee, S.W. Kim, K. Park, Phase III trial of concurrent thoracic radiotherapy with either first- or third-cycle chemotherapy for limited-disease small-cell lung cancer, Ann. Oncol. 24 (8) (2013) 2088–2092.
- [35] P.M. Putora, M. Glatzer, D. De Ruysscher, C. Faivre-Finn, J. Belderbos, B. Besse, F. Blackhall, R. Califano, F. Cappuzzo, F. de Marinis, R. Dziadiuszko, E. Felip, M. Fruh, P. Garrido, C. Le Pechoux, F. McDonald, U. Nestle, S. Novello, M.O. Brien, L. Paz Ares, S. Peeters, C. Pottgen, S. Ramella, M. Reck, E.G.C. Troost, P. Van Houtte, V. Westeel, J. Widder, F. Mornex, B.J. Slotman, Consolidative thoracic radiotherapy in stage IV small cell lung cancer: selection of patients amongst European IASLC and ESTRO experts, Radiother. Oncol. 135 (2019) 74–77.
- [36] D.A. Palma, A. Warner, A.V. Louie, S. Senan, B. Slotman, G.B. Rodrigues, Thoracic radiotherapy for extensive stage small-cell lung Cancer: a meta-analysis, Clin. Lung Cancer 17 (4) (2016) 239–244.
- [37] B.J. Slotman, H. van Tinteren, J.O. Praag, J.L. Knegjens, S.Y. El Sharouni, M. Hatton, A. Keijser, C. Faivre-Finn, S. Senan, Use of thoracic radiotherapy for extensive stage small-cell lung cancer: a phase 3 randomised controlled trial, Lancet 385 (9962) (2015) 36–42.
- [38] A. Auperin, R. Arriagada, J.P. Pignon, C. Le Pechoux, A. Gregor, R.J. Stephens, P.E. Kristjansen, B.E. Johnson, H. Ueoka, H. Wagner, J. Aisner, Prophylactic cranial irradiation for patients with small-cell lung cancer in complete remission. Prophylactic cranial irradiation overview collaborative group, N. Engl. J. Med. 341 (7) (1999) 476–484.
- [39] Y. Yang, D. Zhang, X. Zhou, W. Bao, Y. Ji, L. Sheng, L. Cheng, Y. Chen, X. Du, G. Qiu, Prophylactic cranial irradiation in resected small cell lung cancer: a systematic review with meta-analysis, J. Cancer 9 (2) (2018) 433–439.
- [40] C. Le Pechoux, A. Dunant, S. Senan, A. Wolfson, E. Quoix, C. Faivre-Finn,

T. Ciuleanu, R. Arriagada, R. Jones, R. Wanders, D. Lerouge, A. Laplanche, G. Prophylactic Cranial Irradiation Collaborative, Standard-dose versus higher-dose prophylactic cranial irradiation (PCI) in patients with limited-stage small-cell lung cancer in complete remission after chemotherapy and thoracic radiotherapy (PCI 99-01, EORTC 22003-08004, RTOG 0212, and IFCT 99-01): a randomised clinical trial, Lancet Oncol. 10 (5) (2009) 467–474.

- [41] C. Le Pechoux, Prophylactic cranial irradiation or no prophylactic cranial irradiation after adjuvant chemotherapy in resected small cell lung cancer? J. Thorac. Oncol. 12 (2) (2017) 173–175.
- [42] A.S. Farooqi, E.B. Holliday, P.K. Allen, X. Wei, J.D. Cox, R. Komaki, Prophylactic cranial irradiation after definitive chemoradiotherapy for limited-stage small cell lung cancer: do all patients benefit? Radiother. Oncol. 122 (2) (2017) 307–312.
- [43] T. Takahashi, T. Yamanaka, T. Seto, H. Harada, H. Nokihara, H. Saka, M. Nishio, H. Kaneda, K. Takayama, O. Ishimoto, K. Takeda, H. Yoshioka, M. Tachihara, H. Sakai, K. Goto, N. Yamamoto, Prophylactic cranial irradiation versus observation in patients with extensive-disease small-cell lung cancer: a multicentre, randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial, Lancet Oncol. 18 (5) (2017) 663–671.
- [44] R. Suzuki, X. Wei, P.K. Allen, J.W. Welsh, J.D. Cox, R. Komaki, S.H. Lin, Outcomes of re-irradiation for brain recurrence after prophylactic or therapeutic whole-brain irradiation for small cell lung Cancer: a retrospective analysis, Radiat. Oncol. 13 (1) (2018) 258.
- [45] M. Chung, A. Bernheim, X. Mei, N. Zhang, M. Huang, X. Zeng, J. Cui, W. Xu, Y. Yang, Z.A. Fayad, A. Jacobi, K. Li, S. Li, H. Shan, CT imaging features of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV), Radiology 295 (1) (2020) 202–207.
- [46] C. Huang, Y. Wang, X. Li, L. Ren, J. Zhao, Y. Hu, L. Zhang, G. Fan, J. Xu, X. Gu, Z. Cheng, T. Yu, J. Xia, Y. Wei, W. Wu, X. Xie, W. Yin, H. Li, M. Liu, Y. Xiao, H. Gao, L. Guo, J. Xie, G. Wang, R. Jiang, Z. Gao, Q. Jin, J. Wang, B. Cao, Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China, Lancet 395 (10223) (2020) 497–506.
- [47] M.H. Suppli, S. Riisgaard de Blanck, T. Elgaard, M. Josipovic, M. Pohl, Early

appearance of COVID-19 associated pulmonary infiltrates during daily radiotherapy imaging for lung cancer, J. Thorac. Oncol. 15 (6) (2020) 1081–1084.

- [48] P. Mulvenna, M. Nankivell, R. Barton, C. Faivre-Finn, P. Wilson, E. McColl, B. Moore, I. Brisbane, D. Ardron, T. Holt, S. Morgan, C. Lee, K. Waite, N. Bayman, C. Pugh, B. Sydes, R. Stephens, M.K. Parmar, R.E. Langley, Dexamethasone and supportive care with or without whole brain radiotherapy in treating patients with non-small cell lung cancer with brain metastases unsuitable for resection or stereotactic radiotherapy (QUARTZ): results from a phase 3, non-inferiority, randomised trial, Lancet 388 (10055) (2016) 2004–2014.
- [49] D. Rades, J. Dunst, S.E. Schild, A new scoring system to predicting the survival of patients treated with whole-brain radiotherapy for brain metastases, Strahlenther. Onkol. 184 (5) (2008) 251–255.
- [50] R.A. Patchell, P.A. Tibbs, W.F. Regine, R.J. Dempsey, M. Mohiuddin, R.J. Kryscio, W.R. Markesbery, K.A. Foon, B. Young, Postoperative radiotherapy in the treatment of single metastases to the brain: a randomized trial, JAMA 280 (17) (1998) 1485–1489.
- [51] M. Tsao, W. Xu, A. Sahgal, A meta-analysis evaluating stereotactic radiosurgery, whole-brain radiotherapy, or both for patients presenting with a limited number of brain metastases, Cancer 118 (9) (2012) 2486–2493.
- [52] R. Chow, P. Hoskin, S.E. Schild, S. Raman, J. Im, D. Zhang, S. Chan, N. Chiu, L. Chiu, H. Lam, E. Chow, M. Lock, Single vs multiple fraction palliative radiation therapy for bone metastases: cumulative meta-analysis, Radiother. Oncol. 141 (2019) 56–61.
- [53] L.M. Hertan, J.A. Jones, T.A. Balboni, Palliative radiation oncology: moving beyond the single fraction, Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 94 (1) (2016) 48–50.
- [54] E. Senkus-Konefka, R. Dziadziuszko, E. Bednaruk-Mlynski, A. Pliszka, J. Kubrak, A. Lewandowska, K. Malachowski, M. Wierzchowski, M. Matecka-Nowak, J. Jassem, A prospective, randomised study to compare two palliative radiotherapy schedules for non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), Br. J. Cancer 92 (6) (2005) 1038–1045.