Distinct effects of tubulin isotype mutations on neurite growth in Caenorhabditis elegans

Chaogu Zheng^{a,†}, Margarete Diaz-Cuadros^{a,†}, Ken C. Q. Nguyen^b, David H. Hall^b, and Martin Chalfie^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Biological Sciences, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027; ^bDepartment of Neuroscience, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY 10461

ABSTRACT Tubulins, the building block of microtubules (MTs), play a critical role in both supporting and regulating neurite growth. Eukaryotic genomes contain multiple tubulin isotypes, and their missense mutations cause a range of neurodevelopmental defects. Using the Caenorhabditis elegans touch receptor neurons, we analyzed the effects of 67 tubulin missense mutations on neurite growth. Three types of mutations emerged: 1) loss-of-function mutations, which cause mild defects in neurite growth; 2) antimorphic mutations, which map to the GTP binding site and intradimer and interdimer interfaces, significantly reduce MT stability, and cause severe neurite growth defects; and 3) neomorphic mutations, which map to the exterior surface, increase MT stability, and cause ectopic neurite growth. Structure-function analysis reveals a causal relationship between tubulin structure and MT stability. This stability affects neuronal morphogenesis. As part of this analysis, we engineered several disease-associated human tubulin mutations into C. elegans genes and examined their impact on neuronal development at the cellular level. We also discovered an α -tubulin (TBA-7) that appears to destabilize MTs. Loss of TBA-7 led to the formation of hyperstable MTs and the generation of ectopic neurites; the lack of potential sites for polyamination and polyglutamination on TBA-7 may be responsible for this destabilization.

Monitoring Editor Jeffery D. Hardin University of Wisconsin

Received: Jun 26, 2017 Revised: Aug 15, 2017 Accepted: Aug 16, 2017

INTRODUCTION

Microtubules (MTs) play important roles in many aspects of neurite development, being involved in the formation, extension, guidance, and maintenance of neurites (reviewed in Dent et al., 2011; Prokop, 2013; Sainath and Gallo, 2015). MTs constantly explore the growth cone periphery until they are captured by stabilized actin filaments or membrane receptors enriched at the side of the growth cone responding to a guidance cue (Tanaka et al., 1995; Challacombe et al., 1996; Schaefer et al., 2008; Qu et al., 2013). This capture

*Address correspondence to: Martin Chalfie (mc21@columbia.edu).

transiently stabilizes MTs and enables MT elongation in the direction that the growth cone has turned. In addition to providing physical support for the neurite growth that follows the changes in actin dynamics, MTs also play an instructive role in neurite guidance. Since local application of the MT-stabilizing drug paclitaxel (also known as taxol) induced growth cone attraction, of the MT-destabilizing drug nocodazole induced repulsion, and of the MT-depolymerizing drug colchicine resulted in branching (Bray et al., 1978; Buck and Zheng, 2002), signals that act by altering MT stability appear to directly initiate growth cone turning. Indeed, the guidance molecule Wnt can induce growth cone remodeling by changing the organization of MT structure through the inactivation of MT plus-end binding protein adenomatous polyposis coli (Purro et al., 2008). These results indicate that the regulation of MT dynamics is crucial for neurite growth and guidance, but how MT stability is controlled locally at specific sites of the growth cone and globally in the entire neuron is not well understood.

As the building blocks of MTs, α - and β -tubulins are crucial determinants of MT stability. In fact, eukaryotic genomes contain multiple tubulin genes encoding different isotypes (Sullivan, 1988; McKean et al., 2001) that are expressed in spatially and temporally distinct patterns (Leandro-Garcia et al., 2010) and that confer specific

This article was published online ahead of print in MBoC in Press (http://www .molbiolcell.org/cgi/doi/10.1091/mbc.E17-06-0424) on August 23, 2017. [†]These authors contributed equally to the work.

Abbreviations used: AN, anteriorly directed neurite; CRISPR, clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat; EM, electron microscopy; GTP/GDP, guanosine 5'-tri/diphosphate; MAP, microtubule-associated protein; MT, microtubule; PN, posteriorly directed neurite; TRN, touch receptor neurons.

^{© 2017} Zheng, Diaz-Cuadros, et al. This article is distributed by The American Society for Cell Biology under license from the author(s). Two months after publication it is available to the public under an Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported Creative Commons License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0).

[&]quot;ASCB®," "The American Society for Cell Biology®," and "Molecular Biology of the Cell®" are registered trademarks of The American Society for Cell Biology.

dynamic properties on MTs in tubulin polymerization assays in vitro (Hoyle and Raff, 1990; Panda et al., 1994; Pucciarelli et al., 2012). These observations support the "multi-tubulin hypothesis," which proposes that distinct tubulin isotypes impart specific properties onto MTs, so they can perform particular cellular functions (Fulton and Simpson, 1976; Cleveland, 1987). Moreover, tubulins also undergo a range of complex post-translational modification (reviewed by Song and Brady, 2015), which affect the dynamics of MTs and their interaction with other proteins and can add to the complexity of the tubulin code. In neurons specifically, stable axonal MTs are more detyrosinated, acetylated, and glutamylated, whereas the dynamic MTs in the growth cone are more tyrosinated (Liao and Gundersen, 1998; Konishi and Setou, 2009). Tubulin isotypes and modifications at the C-terminal tail also control the velocity and processivity of MT motor proteins and microtubule depolymerization rates in vitro (Sirajuddin et al., 2014). In turn, MT motors can also regulate the organization of MTs (Verhey and Gaertig, 2007; Yu et al., 2015).

The clinical importance of tubulin genes in the development of the nervous system is seen in the finding that α - and β -tubulin mutations in people are associated with microcephaly, lissencephaly, pachygyria, and other cortical malformations, as well as a range of axon guidance defects, including agenesis or hypoplasia of the corpus callosum, internal capsule, commissural fibers, and corticospinal tracts (Tischfield et al., 2011). Three summaries of human mutations (Bahi-Buisson et al., 2014; Liu and Dwyer, 2014; Chakraborti et al., 2016) identify 60 point mutations in α-tubulin genes (51 in TUBA1A, one in TUBA3E, and eight in TUBA4A), one splicing-affecting intronic deletion in TUBA8, 48 point mutations in β -tubulin genes (two in TUBB2A, 24 in TUBB2B, 19 in TUBB3, and three in TUBB5), and one exonic deletion in TUBB2B that produce neurological disorders in heterozygous carriers (Supplemental Table S1); all mutations, except for the TUBA8 deletion, appear to act as dominant-negative mutations. Although mutated residues are found throughout the molecules, many are found in region predicted to mediate either guanosine-5'-triphosphate (GTP) binding, heterodimer stability, interdimer interaction, or association with motor proteins and other MT-associated proteins (MAPs; Tischfield et al., 2011). Despite some in vitro studies on the effects of the mutations on tubulin folding, heterodimer assembly, and MT growth (Jaglin et al., 2009; Tian et al., 2010; Tischfield et al., 2010), very few in vivo studies can systematically test how these different tubulin mutations impact axon guidance and extension in living organisms. Moreover, the complexity of the mammalian nerve system makes the analysis of the developmental consequences of these tubulin mutations very difficult.

Here we use the morphologically simple and well-defined touch receptor neurons (TRNs) in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans to model the effects of tubulin mutations on neurite growth. By analyzing a large collection of missense mutations in several tubulin genes, we found that these mutations caused three morphologically distinct defects in TRN neurite outgrowth: 1) the shortening of all TRN neurites; 2) the specific shortening of posteriorly directed neurites; and 3) the production of ectopic posteriorly directed neurites. The structural location of the mutated residue correlated with the resulting phenotype. Many tubulin mutations characterized in our study affect the same amino acid residue or region as the disease-causing mutations in humans. We generated several such human mutations in C. elegans tubulin genes through genome editing and found that they also caused distinct neurite growth defects that fall into the above categories. Thus our system may be used to understand the different effects of the clinically identified tubulin mutations and to facilitate

their classification. Moreover, we found that null mutations in two α -tubulin genes led to very different phenotypes, supporting the hypothesis that tubulin isotypes perform specific and often non-overlapping roles in neurite development.

RESULTS

Neurite morphology and MT organization in the TRNs

The six mechanosensory TRNs (ALML/R, PLML/R, AVM, and PVM) in *C. elegans* are a useful model to study axonal outgrowth and guidance because of their well-defined morphology (Chalfie and Sulston, 1981). The ALM and PLM neurons are two pairs of embryonically derived, bilaterally symmetric cells, whereas the AVM and PVM neurons arise from postembryonic lineages. All six neurons have a long anteriorly directed neurite (AN); in addition, the two PLM neurons have a posteriorly directed neurite (PN), making them bipolar. Except in PVM, the ANs branch at their distal ends; we refer to this branch as the synaptic branch.

Unlike most of *C. elegans* cells, which have only a few (~5 per cross-section in ventral cord neurons) 11-protofilament (11-p) MTs, TRNs contain a great number (~31) of large-diameter (15-protofilament, 15-p) MTs that assemble into bundles that fill the neurites (Chalfie and Thomson, 1979; Savage *et al.*, 1994). The abundance and prominence of the MTs in the TRNs permits easy observation of alterations in MT structure and organization by electron microscopy.

The C. elegans genome contains nine α -tubulin genes (mec-12, tba-1, tba-2, and tba-4 through tba-9) and six β -tubulin genes (ben-1, mec-7, tbb-1, tbb-2, tbb-4, and tbb-6). Previous studies found that the α -tubulin MEC-12 and the β -tubulin MEC-7 are expressed specifically at high levels in the TRNs (Hamelin et al., 1992; Mitani et al., 1993) and are required for the mechanosensory functions of the TRNs (Chalfie and Au, 1989; Savage et al., 1994). TRNs also express the ubiquitously present α -tubulin, TBA-1 and TBA-2, and β -tubulin, TBB-1 and TBB-2, but their loss had no effect on the development or function of TRNs (Fukushige et al., 1993, 1995; Lockhead et al., 2016). Here we identify an additional α -tubulin TBA-6, which is also expressed in TRNs and functions to prevent excessive neurite growth.

In the following sections, we focus on MEC-7, MEC-12, and TBA-7. We first describe three types of *mec*-7 missense mutations and their distinct effects on MT structure, neurite growth, and neuronal function and then discuss the phenotypes of similar mutations in *mec*-12. The latter phenotypes are generally weaker than the corresponding *mec*-7 mutations. To allow for a comparison of the *mec*-7 and *mec*-12 phenotypes, however, we have organized the first four figures by the type of data (Figure 1 for electron microscopy, Figure 2 for process outgrowth, Figure 3 for structural analysis, and Figure 4 for TRN activity). Finally, we describe the effect of the loss of *tba-7*.

Deletion of mec-7/ β -tubulin led to the loss of 15-p MTs and defects in posteriorly directed neurite growth

Using electron microscopy (EM), we found that *mec-7(ok2152)* knockout animals had on average six MTs in a cross-section of the ALM neurite compared with 31 MTs in the wild-type animals (Figure 1). The *ok2152* mutation deletes the first four exons (Supplemental Figure S1A) and is thus a likely null allele. The diameter of MTs in *mec-7(ok2152)* animals was much smaller (16.6 nm) than that of the wild type (21.5 nm), and tannic acid staining indicated that the 15-p MTs in TRNs were replaced by smaller 11-p MTs in the absence of MEC-7 (Figure 1). These results suggest that the abundance of MTs and the formation of large-diameter 15-p MTs requires MEC-7,

	A	WT	mec-12(lf)	me	≥c-7(lf)
		tba-7(lf) med	5-12(u950 anti)	mec-12(u10)	21 anti)
В	Genotype	Number of MTs	MT diameters (nm)	Center-center distance (nm)	MT-occupied area (%)
	wild type	31.1 ± 7.3 (79)	21.5 ± 1.6 (126)	34.4 ± 1.0 (320)	46.7 ± 5.5 (38)
	mec-7(ok2152 lf)	6.0 ± 2.2 (52)**	16.6 ± 1.4 (123)**	-	-
	mec-12(tm5083 lf)	7.4 ± 2.4 (36)**	21.3 ± 2.0 (103)	-	-
	tba-7(u1015 lf)	27.0 ± 10.1 (109)	22.4 ± 1.8 (248)**	32.3 ± 2.1 (278)**	57.2 ± 10.1 (74)**
	mec-7(u170 neo)	18.0 ± 5.8 (25)**	22.7 ± 2.2 (192)**	31.7 ± 2.3 (148)**	58.6 ± 5.2 (20)**
	mec-7(u278 neo)	20.6 ± 6.8 (23)**	22.5 ± 1.9 (176)**	32.9 ± 1.5 (164)**	59.0 ± 8.1 (18)**
	mec-12(u76 anti)	1.6 ± 1.5 (52)**	17.6 ± 2.6 (53)**	-	-
	mec-12(u950 anti)	2.5 ± 2.1 (40)**	18.0 ± 2.0 (75)**	-	-
	mec-12(u1021 anti)	2.7 ± 2.4 (72)**	17.9 ± 1.8 (114)**	-	-

FIGURE 1: MT structures in tubulin mutants. (A) Cross-sectional images of ALM-AN in wild type and mec-12(tm5083 lf), mec-7(ok2152 lf), tba-7(u1015 lf), mec-12[u950 (S140F) anti], and mec-12[u1021 (G144S) anti] mutants. Images for mec-7(anti) and mec-7(neo) can be found in previous publications (Chalfie and Thomson, 1982; Savage et al., 1994). Insets in the lower right corner show the 15-p, 11-p, and 15-p structure of tannic acid stained MTs of mec-12(lf), mec-7(lf), and tba-7(lf) animals, respectively (fourfold enlarged). Scale bar = 100 nm. (B) Measures of MT structure and organization. Mean \pm SD are shown, and numbers of observations are in parentheses. A Dunnett's test was performed to compare the mutants with the wild type. Throughout the figures, one asterisk represents a statistical significance of p < 0.05 and two asterisks indicate p < 0.01. We did not measure center-center distance and MT-occupied area in mec-7(lf), mec-12(lf), and mec-12(anti) mutants, because they contained very few MTs, which did not form bundles.

which is consistent with our previous observations on *mec-7(e1506)* animals (the *e1506* mutation alters the start codon and results in no detectable *mec-7* mRNA; Chalfie and Thomson, 1982; Savage *et al.*, 1994).

We next examined how mec-7(ok2152) mutation affected TRN morphogenesis. The mec-7 deletion allele only slightly affected the growth of anteriorly directed neurites of PLM neurons but markedly shortened the posteriorly directed neurites (Figure 2B). This result suggests that PLM-AN and PLM-PN, which arise at the same time in the embryo, have different tubulin requirements. Normally the PLM-AN extends anteriorly past the vulva to within 50 µm of the ALM cell body. Lockhead et al. (2016) reported that the gap between the end of PLM and ALM cell body was wider in animals with the presumed null mec-7(u241) due to the shortening of the PLM-AN. We found a similar modest widening of the gap in mec-7(ok2152) animals, but 92% of the PLM-ANs still extended beyond the vulva (Figure 2, B and D). Moreover, no shortening of the ALM-AN was seen. A more penetrant and striking defect, however, was the loss of the synaptic branch in 78% of PLM-ANs in mec-7(ok2152) animals (Figure 2A and Supplemental Figure S2; the synaptic branch normally arises posterior to the vulva). The ALM synaptic branch was not affected. mec-7 nonsense alleles u156 and u440 produced similar morphological defects (Supplemental Figure S1B and data not shown). These results suggest that the smaller, generic 11-p MTs in *mec-7* knockout mutants are, in general, capable of supporting the growth of most TRN neurites, but the normal extension of PLM-PN and the elaboration of the posterior synaptic branch require the special 15-p MTs.

The neurites of wild-type TRNs have many 15-p MTs associated into a bundle. This bundle may provide stronger support for neurite growth than the few 11-p MTs found in *mec-7* deletion mutants. If so, then the requirement for the 15-p MTs in the PLM-PN may be due to a higher sensitivity to changes in microtubule stability there than in the PLM-AN. In fact, treatment with the MT-destabilizing drug colchicine (1 mM), which specifically removes most of the TRN MTs (Chalfie and Thomson, 1982), led to a similar shortening of the PLM-PN, phenocopying the *mec-7* null mutants (Supplemental Figure S3A).

Antimorphic mutations in *mec-7* led to severe neurite growth defects

We next examined 55 mec-7 alleles that represent 48 different missense mutations (Table 1) and found three categories of TRN morphological defects. First, 12 mutations caused neurite growth defects similar to the knockout allele and were thus classified as loss-of-function (If) mutations. Data from u1020 (G34S) animals are shown in Figure 2 as an additional *If* example. When mapped to the structure of a bovine $\alpha\beta$ tubulin dimer (Figure 3; Nogales et al., 1998), If mutations were found throughout the molecule and presumably affected protein folding. Second, 19 mutations caused severe shortening of all TRN neurites; the ALM-AN did not reach the pharynx, the PLM-AN terminated before reaching the PVM cell body, and the PLM-PN was significantly shortened (Figure 2, B and D). Because of the dominant-negative nature of these alleles (all, except u430, were either dominant or semidominant), we classified them as antimorphic (anti) gain-of-function mutations. We also identified four weak mec-7(anti) mutants (Table 1), in which ALM-AN did not extend beyond the nerve ring and PLM-AN did not reach the vulva. Third, six mutations led to the growth of an extra posteriorly directed neurite in ALM neurons, as well as the overextension of the PLM-PN. Among the six alleles, u278 (C303Y) produced the strongest phenotype; the ectopic posterior neurite of ALM neurons often extended posteriorly to the PLM cell body (Figure 2C). Because this phenotype was novel and differed from the phenotype of either mec-7 If or anti mutations, we classified these alleles as neomorphic (neo) gain-of-function mutations. Except for two semidominant ones (u278 and ky852), most of the neo alleles were recessive. Because of the antagonism between anteriorly and posteriorly directed outgrowth (Zheng et al., 2016), some neo alleles, especially u1017, caused mild shortening of the ANs, that is, PLM-AN terminated slightly posterior to the vulva (Figure 3C).

EM studies of the *mec-7(anti)* allele, *e1343* (P171L), found that the mutants contained very few (2.8 ± 0.5 in a cross-section) MTs (Chalfie and Thomson, 1982), which suggests that the *anti* mutations block MT polymerization and thereby cause the severe neurite outgrowth defects. In fact, when mapped to the tubulin structure, amino acid residues mutated in the *anti* alleles are clustered in three regions: 1) the guanosine 5'-tri/diphosphate (GTP/GDP) binding pocket, 2) the intradimer interface, and 3) the lateral or longitudinal interdimer interface, all of which are important for tubulin polymerization. For example, *u430* (A97V), *u911* (P171S), *u957* (P171L), and *u262* (N226Y) mutations alter amino acids in direct contact with the GTP molecule, and *u48* (S176F) and *u449* (V179A) change amino acids in the the fifth β -strand to the fifth α -helix (B5-to-H5) loop, which is crucial for forming the GTP/GDP binding pocket (Figure 3C). Two other mutations, *u445* (M300V) and *u98* (M300T), substitute



FIGURE 2: *mec-7* and *mec-12* mutations affect TRN neurite length. (A) Schematic diagram of ALM and PLM morphology in *mec-7* and *mec-12 lf, anti,* and *neo* mutants. (B) On the left panel, compared with the wild-type animals, *mec-7(ok2152 lf)* animals have an increased gap between PLM-AN and ALM cell body (dashed line) and significantly shortened PLM-PN (arrows). PLM-AN still extends beyond the position of the vulva indicated by the triangle. The middle panel shows PLM posterior neurites in the various animals. Arrows point to the shortened PLM-PN. The right panel displays the TRN morphologies in *mec-7[u957* (P171L) *anti], mec-7[u958* (G244S) *anti],* and *mec-12[u1019* (G354E) *anti]* animals. (C) TRN morphology of *mec-7[u278* (C303Y) *neo], mec-7[u1017* (C377F) *neo],* and *mec-12[u917* (V260I) *neo]* animals. Arrows point to the ectopic ALM-PN. (D) The percentage of ALM and PLM cells that had significantly shortened anterior neurites (ALM-AN not reaching the posterior pharyngeal bulb and PLM-AN not extending beyond the vulva). Amino acid changes in the alleles can be found in Table 1. A χ^2 test for categorical data was used to compare mutants with the wild type. (E, F) The relative length (mean \pm SEM) of PLM-PN or ALM-PN in *mec-7* and *mec-12 lf, anti,* and *neo* mutant animals, as well as the double mutants of *mec-7(ok2151 lf)* with *mec-12 neo* alleles. Dunnett's tests were used to analyze the data.

mec/ 2005, u137 S2F Tubuin Idelragy (activativation) Weak antimorphic (activativation) Constrained (activativation) Constrained (activativativation) Constrained (activativativativativativativativativativa	Gene	Allele	Mutation	Structural function	Classification	Morphological defects	Touch sensitivity	Expression
u056, u12073345Lumen-fracting loopHShurt MuMNEnersistic $u050, u1223$ R1LLateral interactionWesk antimorphNo defects $i=1$ No $u030, u223$ R1LLateral interactionWesk antimorphNo defects $i=1$ No $u330, u233$ R1LLateral interactionWesk antimorphNo defects $i=1$ No $u332, u233$ R1LLateral interactionWesk antimorphNo defects $i=1$ No $u332, u332$ R1LLateral interactionWesk antimorphNo defects $i=1$ No $u422, u323$ G141RLobulin foldingHShort PUMPN $i=1$ Semidoninant $u422, u323, u323G141RLobulin foldingHShort PUMPNi=1Semidoninantu422, u323, u323, u323G141RLobulin foldingHShort PUMPNi=1Recessiveu422, u323, u323G141RLobulin foldingHShort PUMPNi=1Recessiveu422, u323, u323G141RLobulin foldingHShort PUMPNi=1Recessiveu422, u323, u123, e1343P171LGTP bindingNeorophicEctopic AUM-PNi=1Recessiveu422, u323, e1343P171LGTP bindingNeorophicEctopic AUM-PNi=1Recessiveu422, u323, e1343P171LGTP bindingNeorophicEctopic AUM-PNi=1Recessiveu1055, u127, e1343P171LGTP bindingAntimophicShort TRU neurises$	mec-7	u319	S25F	Tubulin folding	Weak antimorph	Moderately shortened neurites	I	Semidominant
9600ddi G38E Lumen-factory loop NA No defects + NA 0.58 u223 P61 Jaten interaction Weak antmoth No defects + No 0.26 u23 P61 Jaten interaction Weak antmoth No - - Semidorinant 0.273 NV GTb Inding N/A No No No - Semidorinant 0.232 G1418 Tubuin folding N/A No No - Semidorinant 0.232 G1418 Tubuin folding H No No No - Semidorinant 0.255 G1418 Tubuin folding H Sint PM-PN - - Semidorinant 0.255 G1418 Tubuin folding H Sint PM-PN - - Semidorinant 0.255 G1418 Tubuin folding H Sint PM-PN - - Semidorinant 0.255 G1416 No Sint PM-PN - -		u305, u1020	G34S	Lumen-facing loop	If	Short PLM-PN	+1	Recessive
u35 761L Lateral Interaction Weak antimorph Moderately shortened nurlies C Semidominant u33 16 Lateral Interaction Weak antimorph Moderately shortened nurlies C Semidominant u430 481 Lateral Interaction Weak antimorph No defects C Semidominant u430 481 Tubuin folding If No F C Semidominant u430 481 Tubuin folding If Stort PLM-PN C F Semidominant u430 6118 Tubuin folding If Stort PLM-PN C F Rendominant u430 7111 GTP binding If Stort PLM-PN C F Rendominant u537 1172 GTP binding If Stort PLM-PN C F Rendominant u537 1172 GTP binding If Stort PLM-PN C F Rendominant u537 1172 GTP binding If Stort PLM-PN		gk906464	G38E	Lumen-facing loop	N/A	No defects	+	N/A
d249 Fol S Late of Interaction Weak antinorph Moderately shortened neurities		u58, u223	P61L	Lateral interaction	Weak antimorph	Moderately shortened neurites	Ι	Semidominant
gd33718Vd41Tubulin folingNANo defects+NA $a222$ G197G107G197G197Recessive-Recessive $a222$ G197G197G197G197G197Recessive-Recessive $a223$ G1418Tubulin folingfStort PLM-NN-Recessive $a225$ G1418Tubulin folingfStort PLM-NN-Recessive $a225$ G1418Tubulin folingfStort PLM-NN-Recessive $a225$ G1418Tubulin folingfStort PLM-NN-Recessive $a257$ G1418Tubulin folingNeomorphStort TRN neurites-Recessive $a255$ T1491Tubulin folingNeomorphStort TRN neurites-Recessive $a257$, $a127$ P1711GTP bindingNeomorphStort TRN neurites-Recessive $a757$ S1746GTP bindingNinNoor TRN neurites-Censive $a757$ S1747GTP bindingNinNoor TRN neurites-Semidominant $a765$ S1746GTP bindingNinNoor TRN neurites-Semidominant $a765$ S1746GTP bindingNinNoor TRN neurites-Semidominant $a765$ S1746GTP bindingNinNoor TRN neurites-Semidominant $a765$ S1747GTP bindingNinNoor TRN neurites-Semidominant $a765$ S134		u249	P61S	Lateral interaction	Weak antimorph	Moderately shortened neurites	I	Semidominant
ud20 $A7V$ GTP bindingAntimorphStort TRN neurices $-ud2$ Receive $ud222$ $G109E$ Lareal interactionWeak antimorphNote transition $-ud2$ Receive $ud223$ $G141E$ Lubulin folding f Short PLM-RN $-$ Receive $ud223$ $G141E$ Lubulin folding f Short PLM-RN $-$ Receive $ud223$ $G141E$ Lubulin folding f Short PLM-RN $-$ Receive $g695768$ $G141E$ Lubulin folding f Short PLM-RN $-$ Receive $g280003$ $R1450$ Mathin folding f Short PLM-RN $-$ Receive $g28003$ $R1450$ Mathin folding f Short PLM-RN $ -$ Receive $g28003$ $R1450$ Mathin folding f Short PLM-RN $ -$ Receive $g28003$ $R1450$ Mathin folding f Short PLM-RN $ -$ Receive $g28003$ $R1473$ GTP binding f Short PLM-RN $ -$ Receive $u1057$ $P1714$ GTP binding f Short PLM-RN $ u1057$ $P1734$ GTP binding f Short PLM-RN $ u1057$ $P1734$ GTP binding f Short PLM-RN $ -$ <		gk337318	V64I	Tubulin folding	N/A	No defects	+	N/A
u222G10ELateral interactionWeak artinorphModerately shortened neurliesIIISont PLM-PNIIIISont pLM-PNIII<		u430	A97V	GTP binding	Antimorph	Short TRN neurites	I	Recessive
ud22, ud33G141ETubulin foldingHShort PUM-PN-Recessive $g/695764$ G141RTubulin foldingHShort PUM-PN-Recessive $u275$ G141RTubulin foldingHShort PUM-PN-Recessive $u275$ G141RTubulin foldingHShort PUM-PN-Recessive $u275$ G141RTubulin foldingNeomorphEccopic ALM-PN+Recessive $g/695768$ T1491Tubulin foldingNeomorphEccopic ALM-PN+Recessive $g/711$ F175G17 bindingMemorphShort PLM-PN+Recessive $u1057$ P171LG17 bindingAntimorphShort PLM-PN+Recessive $u1057$ P171LG17 bindingAntimorphShort PLM-PN+Necessive $u1057$ P171LG17 bindingAntimorphShort PLM-PN+Necessive $u1057$ P171LG17 bindingAntimorphShort PLM-PN+Necessive $u1057$ P172LG17 bindingHShort PLM-PN+Necessive $u1057$ P173LG17 bindingHShort PLM-PN+Necessive $u1057$ P173LG17 bindingHShort PLM-PN+N $u1057$ P173LG17 bindingHShort PLM-PN+N $u1057$ P124RNuthorbingNorthorphShort PLM-PN+N $u228$ P243LIntradimer interactionAntimorph </td <td></td> <td>u222</td> <td>G109E</td> <td>Lateral interaction</td> <td>Weak antimorph</td> <td>Moderately shortened neurites</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>Semidominant</td>		u222	G109E	Lateral interaction	Weak antimorph	Moderately shortened neurites	Ι	Semidominant
g/5953d4 G141R Tubulin folding [f Short PLM-PN - Recessive u275 G143R Tubulin folding If Short PLM-PN - Recessive g/895748 T1491 Tubulin folding If Short PLM-PN - Recessive g/805748 T1491 GTP binding Neomorph Short PLM-PN - Recessive g/805748 T1715 GTP binding Antimorph Short PLM-PN - Recessive u/957 T1734 GTP binding Antimorph Short PLM-PN - Recessive u/957 T174 GTP binding Antimorph Short PLM-PN - Recessive u/957 T124 Tubulin folding If Short PLM-PN - Recessive u/1057 T148 Tubulin folding If Short PLM-PN - Recessive u/1057 T148 Tubulin folding If Short PLM-PN - Semidominant u/1057 T148 Tubulin foldin		u429, u433	G141E	Tubulin folding	If	Short PLM-PN	I	Recessive
u275G148Tubulin folding f Short PUN-PN-Recessive $g/8957/88$ T1491Tubulin foldingNeomorphEctopic ALM-PN±Recessive $g/28957/88$ T1491T1401GTP bindingNeomorphEctopic ALM-PN±Recessive $g/286003$ R162/DMAP bindingAntimorphShort TRN neurites±Necessive $g/286003$ S172P*GTP bindingAntimorphShort TRN neurites±Necessive $u1055$ S172P*GTP bindingN/ANo defects±Necessive $u1055$ S174FGTP bindingN/ANo defects±Necessive $u1055$ S174FGTP bindingN/ANo defects±Necessive $u1055$ S174FGTP bindingN/ANo defects±Necessive $u1055$ S18FTubulin foldingfShort TRN neurites±Semidominant $u225$ T214PTubulin foldingfShort TRN neurites±Semidominant $u225$ T214PTubulin foldingfShort TRN neurites±Semidominant $u228$ P2243Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites±Semidominant $u228$ P2243Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites±Semidominant $u228$ P2243Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites±Semidominant $u228$ P2243Intradimer interaction		gk595364	G141R	Tubulin folding	If	Short PLM-PN	I	Recessive
glage5748 T1491 Tubulin folding Neomorph Ectopic ALM-PN ± Recessive g/2280003 R162A Map Inding Mermorph Ectopic ALM-PN ± Recessive u/917 R162A Map Inding Antimorph Stort FN merines ± Recessive u/957 u127,e1343 P171L GTP binding Antimorph Short FUM-NN ± Recessive u/1055 S172R* GTP binding Antimorph Short FUM-NN ± Recessive u/105 S178R Tubulin folding If Short FUM-NN ± Recessive u/10 S188F Tubulin folding Antimorph Short FUM-NN ± Recessive u/10 S188F Tubulin folding If Short FUM-NN ± Recessive u/10 S188F Tubulin folding If Short FUM-NN ± Recessive u/10 S188F Tubulin folding If Short FUM-NN ± Semidorininant u/10		u275	G148R	Tubulin folding	If	Short PLM-PN	Ι	Recessive
g/236003R1620MAP bindingMeomorphEctopic ALM-PN \pm Recessive 0.711 GTP bindingAntimorphShort RN neurites \pm Dominant 0.957 , u122, e1343P171LGTP bindingAntimorphShort RN neurites \pm Dominant 0.957 , u122, e1343P171LGTP bindingAntimorphShort RN neurites \pm Secssive 0.957 , u122, e1343P172L*GTP bindingAntimorphShort PLM-PN \pm Recessive 0.957 , u122P173L*GTP bindingAntimorphShort RN neurites \pm Na 0.49 V179AGTP bindingAntimorphShort RN neurites \pm Recessive 0.42 S172PT241PTubuin foldingIfShort PLM-PN \pm Recessive 0.10 S18FTubuin foldingIfShort PLM-PN \pm Recessive 0.225 N226/02T241BTubuin foldingIfShort PLM-PN \pm Recessive 0.226 N226/02T241BIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites \pm Recessive 0.226 N226/02T241BIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites \pm Recessive 0.728 N2243Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites \pm Secosive 0.728 N2243Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites \pm Secosive 0.728 N2430Intradimer interactionAntimorph		gk895768	T149I	Tubulin folding	Neomorph	Ectopic ALM-PN	+1	Recessive
0711 1711 GTP bindingArtimorphShort TRN neuritesDominant 0.977 , $u122$, $e1343$ 17711 GTP binding A timorphShort TRN neurites-Semidominant $u1055$ 17714 GTP binding H NANo defects-Semidominant $u1055$ 17714 GTP binding NA No defects+NA $u1055$ 1774 GTP binding NA No defects+NA $u105$ $518F$ Tubulin folding H Short TRN neurites+NA $u10$ $518F$ Tubulin folding H Short TRN neurites+NA $u10$ $518F$ Tubulin folding H Short TRN neurites+-Semidominant $u10$ $518F$ Tubulin folding H Short TRN neurites+NANo $u10$ $518F$ Tubulin folding H Short TRN neurites+NA $u222$ $1234N$ Intradimer interactionAntimophShort TRN neurites+Semidominant $u228$ $1234S$ Intradimer interactionAntimophShort TRN neurites+NANo $u129$, $u958$ $G2445$ Intradimer interactionAntimophShort TRN neurites+Na $u122$, $u958$ $G2445$ Intradimer interactionAntimophShort TRN neurites+Na $u122$, $u958$ $G2445$ Intradimer interactionAntimophShort TRN neurites+Na <tr< td=""><td></td><td>gk286003</td><td>R162Q</td><td>MAP binding</td><td>Meomorph</td><td>Ectopic ALM-PN</td><td>+1</td><td>Recessive</td></tr<>		gk286003	R162Q	MAP binding	Meomorph	Ectopic ALM-PN	+1	Recessive
$u/57, u/127, e1343$ $P171L$ GTP bindingAntimorphShort TRN neurites $-$ Semidominant $u/055$ $5172P^*$ GTP bindingIfShort TRN neurites $-$ Reasolve $u/1057$ $5172L^*$ GTP bindingIfShort TRN neurites $-$ Reasolve $u/479$ $1713L^*$ GTP bindingAntimorphShort TRN neurites $-$ Reasolve $u/479$ $1714P$ Tubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites $-$ Semidominant $u/225$ $17214P$ Tubulin foldingIfShort TRN neurites $-$ Semidominant $u/225$ $1223L$ Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites $-$ Semidominant $u/224$ Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites $-$ Semidominant $u/224$ Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites $-$ Dominant $u/224$ Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites $-$ Dominant $u/224$ Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites $-$ Dominant $u/225$ $u/228$ Inbulin foldingIfShort TRN neurites $-$ Dominant		u911	P171S	GTP binding	Antimorph	Short TRN neurites	Ι	Dominant
u1056 5172P* GTP binding If Short PLM-PN - Recessive u1057 P173L* GTP binding N/A No defects + N/A u448 S176F GTP binding N/A No defects + N/A u449 V179A GTP binding Antimorph Short TRN neurtes - - Recessive u225 Tubulin folding If Short PLM-PN ± Recessive - Semidominant v225 T214P Tubulin folding If Short PLM-PN ± Recessive ky852 P2205 Tubulin folding If Short PLM-PN ± Recessive ky852 P243L Intradimer interaction Antimorph Short TRN neurtes - 5 Semidominant u233 P243L Intradimer interaction Antimorph Short TRN neurtes - 5 Semidominant u122, u528 G2445 Intradimer interaction Antimorph Short TRN neurtes - 0 0 - 5 0 - 5 0 -		u957, u127, e1343	P171L	GTP binding	Antimorph	Short TRN neurites	Ι	Semidominant
u/1057 $P1731*$ GTP binding N/A No defects $+$ N/A $u/48$ $5176F$ GTP binding $Antimorph$ $Short TRN neurties$ $+$ N/A $u/49$ $V179A$ GTP binding $Antimorph$ $Short TRN neurties$ $ Semidominantu/49V179AGTP bindingAntimorphShort TRN neurties Semidominantu/25T214PTubulin foldingfShort TRN neurties Semidominantu/25T214PTubulin foldingfShort TRN neurties Semidominantu/25T214PTubulin foldingfShort TRN neurties Semidominantu/22N226YGTP bindingNeomorphShort TRN neurties Semidominantu/22N2243LIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurties Semidominantu/22N2243LIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurties Semidominantu/22N2243LIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurties Semidominantu/22D2349NIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurties Dominantu/22D2349LIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurties Dominantu/22D2349NIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurties Dominantu/32$		u1056	S172P*	GTP binding	If	Short PLM-PN	Ι	Recessive
u48 S17.6F GTP binding Antimorph Short TRN neurites - Semidominant u449 V179A GTP binding F Short TRN neurites - Semidominant u442 V179A GTP binding F Short TRN neurites - Semidominant u225 T214P Tubulin folding F Short PLM-PN + Recessive u222 N226Y GTP binding Neomorph Short PLM-PN + Recessive u262 N226Y GTP binding Neomorph Short PLM-PN + Recessive u262 N226Y GTP binding Antimorph Short TRN neurites - 5 Semidominant u262 N226Y GTP binding Antimorph Short TRN neurites - - 5 5 u283 P243L Intradimer interaction Antimorph Short TRN neurites - - 5 5 u283 G244S Intradimer interaction Antimorph Short TRN neurites - - 5 5 u129. u765 G269D Tubulin folding f Short TRN neurites - - 5 5 u1655 G2645 Tubulin folding		u1057	P173L*	GTP binding	N/A	No defects	+	N/A
$u449$ V179AGTP bindingAntimorphShort TRN neurtesSemidominant $u10$ \$188FTubulin foldingIfShort PLM-PN \pm Recessive $u225$ T214PTubulin foldingIfShort PLM-PN \pm Recessive $u2820$ P2205Tubulin foldingIfShort PLM-PN \pm Recessive $u2820$ P2205Tubulin foldingNeonorphEctopic ALM-PN \pm Recessive $u2820$ P243LIntradime interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurtes \pm Recessive $u283$ P243LIntradime interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurtes \pm Recessive $u129$, $u958$ G244SIntradime interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurtes \pm Dominant $u1227$ U224NIntradime interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurtes \pm Dominant $u1227$ U224NIntradime interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurtes \pm Dominant $u1227$ U224NIntradime interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurtes \pm \pm Recessive $u1227$ U224NIntradime interactionAntimorphShor		u48	S176F	GTP binding	Antimorph	Short TRN neurites	I	Semidominant
u10S188FTubulin foldingIfShort PLM-PN±Recessiveu225T214PTubulin foldingIfShort PLM-PN±Recessiveky852P2205Tubulin foldingIfShort PLM-PN±Pu262N2264GTP bindingNeomorphEctopic ALM-FN±Semidominantu262N2265P2435Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites±Semidominantu283P243LIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites±Semidominantu283P243LIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites±Semidominantu283P243LIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites±Recessiveu129, u958G2445Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites±Recessiveu129, u958G249DIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites±Recessiveu162D249DInbulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites±Pominantu162U162G289DTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites±Recessiveu162U286DTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites±Pominantu162U162U162AntimorphShort TRN neurites±Pominantu162U286DTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites±±Pominantu162		u449	V179A	GTP binding	Antimorph	Short TRN neurites	I	Semidominant
$u225$ T214PTubulin foldingIfShort PLM-PN \pm Recessive $ky852$ P2205Tubulin foldingNeomorphEctopic ALM-PN \pm Recessive $u2622$ P2205Tubulin foldingNeomorphEctopic ALM-PN \pm Semidomiant $u2622$ N2264GTP bindingAntimorphShort TRN neurites \pm Semidomiant $u2833$ P2435Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites \pm Semidomiant $u2833$ P2431Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites \pm Semidomiant $u129$, $u958$ G2445Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites \pm Dominant $u129$, $u958$ G2491Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites \pm Dominant $u162$ U286DLateral interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites \pm Dominant $u162$ U286DLateral interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites \pm Dominant $u445$ M300YTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites \pm Dominant $u98$ M300TTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites \pm Semidomiant $u278$ C303YMAP bindingAntimorphShort TRN neurites \pm Semidomiant $u286001$ C303SMAP bindingAntimorphShort TRN neurites \pm Semidomiant $u278$ C303SMAP bindingAntimorphShort TRN n		u10	S188F	Tubulin folding	lf	Short PLM-PN	+1	Recessive
ky852P220STubulin foldingNeomorphEctopic ALM-PNSemidominant $u262$ N226YGTP bindingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Semidominant $u262$ N226YGTP bindingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Semidominant $u283$ P243LIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Semidominant $u283$ P244SIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Semidominant $u129$, $u958$ G244SIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominant $u129$, $u958$ G244SIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominant $u129$, $u958$ G249DTubulin foldingIfShort TRN neurites-Dominant $u162$ D249NIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominant $u162$ U286DLateral interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominant $u162$ U286DLateral interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominant $u162$ U286DMaP bindingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominant $u162$ M300YTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominant $u162$ M300YTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominant $u278$ M300YTubulin foldin		u225	T214P	Tubulin folding	If	Short PLM-PN	+1	Recessive
$u262$ N226YGTP bindingAntimophShort TRN neurites $-$ Semidominant $g/286002$ P243SIntradimer interactionIfShort PLM-PN \pm Semidominant $g/286002$ P243LIntradimer interactionAntimophShort TRN neurites \pm Recessive $u283$ P243LIntradimer interactionAntimophShort TRN neurites \pm Dominant $u129, u558$ G244SIntradimer interactionAntimophShort TRN neurites \pm Dominant $u122, u580$ G244SIntradimer interactionAntimophShort TRN neurites \pm Dominant $u162$ D249NIntradimer interactionAntimophShort TRN neurites \pm Dominant $u162$ C269DTubulin foldingIfShort TRN neurites \pm Dominant $u162$ U286DLateral interactionAntimophShort TRN neurites \pm Dominant $u162$ N300VTubulin foldingAntimophShort TRN neurites \pm Dominant $u162$ M300VTubulin foldingAntimophShort TRN neurites \pm Dominant $u28$ M300YTubulin foldingAntimophShort TRN neurites \pm Dominant $u1058$ A3027*MAP bindingAntimophShort TRN neurites \pm Semidominant $u1058$ C303YMAP bindingAntimophShort TRN neurites \pm Semidominant $u278$ C303SMAP bindingN/ANo defects \pm <		ky852	P220S	Tubulin folding	Neomorph	Ectopic ALM-PN	I	Semidominant
gk286002P243Intradimer interactionIfShort PLM-PN±Recessiveu283P243Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominantu129, u958G2445Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominantu129, u958G2445Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominantu129, u958G2445Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominantu162D249NIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominantu162D249NIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominantu162D249NIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominantu162D249NIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominantu162D249NTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominantu162M300VTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-CSemidominantu38M300YTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-CSemidominantu38A302T*MAP bindingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-CSemidominantu38C333MAP bindingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-CSemidominantu278C303YMAP bindingN/ANo defe		u262	N226Y	GTP binding	Antimorph	Short TRN neurites	I	Semidominant
u283P243LIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominant $u129, u958$ G244SIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominant $n434$ N2471Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominant $n434$ N2471Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominant $n434$ N2471Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominant $n452$ D249NTubulin foldingIfShort TRN neurites-Dominant $e1505$ G269DTubulin foldingIfShort TRN neurites-Dominant $e1507$ V286DLateral interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominant $u445$ M300YTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominant $u445$ M300TTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominant $u768$ A302T*MAP bindingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Senidominant $u7058$ A302T*MAP bindingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Senidominant $u278$ C303YMAP bindingN/AN/ANo defects+N/A $u278$ C303SMAP bindingN/AN/ANo defects+N/A		gk286002	P243S	Intradimer interaction	If	Short PLM-PN	+1	Recessive
u129, u958 $G244S$ Intradimer interactionAntimophShort TRN neurites-Dominant $n434$ N2471Intradimer interactionAntimophShort TRN neurites-Dominant $n162$ D249NIntradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominant $u162$ D249NIntradimer interactionantimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominant $u162$ D249NInbulin foldingIfShort TRN neurites-Dominant $u162$ G269DTubulin foldingIfShort TRN neurites-Dominant $u162$ V286DLateral interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominant $u445$ M300YTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Semidominant $u98$ M300TTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Semidominant $u7058$ A302T*MAP bindingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Semidominant $u278$ C303YMAP bindingAntimorphEctopic ALM-PN+Semidominant $u278$ C303YMAP bindingN/ANo defects+NA $u278$ C303XMAP bindingN/ANo defects+NA		u283	P243L	Intradimer interaction	Antimorph	Short TRN neurites	I	Dominant
n434N2471Intradimer interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominantu162D249NIntradimer interactionantimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominante1505G269DTubulin foldingIfShort PLM-PN±Recessivee1527V286DLateral interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites±Dominantu445M300VTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominantu98M300TTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Semidominantu7058A302T*MAP bindingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Semidominantu278C303YMAP bindingN/AN/AN/A-Semidominantgk286001C303SMAP bindingN/AN/AN/A-Semidominant		u129, u958	G244S	Intradimer interaction	Antimorph	Short TRN neurites	I	Dominant
u162D249NIntradimer interactionantimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominante1505G269DTubulin foldingIfShort PLM-PN±Recessivee1527V286DLateral interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites±Recessivee1527V286DLateral interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites±Dominantu445M300YTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites±Semidominantu98M300TTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites±Semidominantu7058A302T*MAP bindingAntimorphEctopic ALM-PN±Semidominantu278C303YMAP bindingN/AN/AN/A*N/Agk286001C303SMAP bindingN/AN/AN/A*N/A		n434	N247I	Intradimer interaction	Antimorph	Short TRN neurites	I	Dominant
e1505G269DTubulin foldingIfShort PLM-PN±Recessivee1527V286DLateral interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominantu445M300VTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Semidominantu98M300TTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Semidominantu7058A300TTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Semidominantu1058A302T*MAP bindingAntimorphEctopic ALM-PN+Semidominantu278C303YMAP bindingN/AN/AN/AN/AN/A		u162	D249N	Intradimer interaction	antimorph	Short TRN neurites	I	Dominant
e1527V286DLateral interactionAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Dominantu445M300VTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Semidominantu98M300TTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Semidominantu105A302T*MAP bindingAntimorphEctopic ALM-PN+Semidominantu278C303YMAP bindingAntimorphEctopic ALM-PN-Semidominantu278C303SMAP bindingN/AN/AN/AN/AN/A		e1505	G269D	Tubulin folding	lf	Short PLM-PN	+1	Recessive
u445M300VTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Semidominantu98M300TTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Semidominantu1058A302T*MAP bindingAntimorphEctopic ALM-PN±Semidominantu278C303YMAP bindingAntimorphEctopic ALM-PN-Semidominantu278C303SMAP bindingN/AN/ANo defects+N/A		e1527	V286D	Lateral interaction	Antimorph	Short TRN neurites	I	Dominant
u98M300TTubulin foldingAntimorphShort TRN neurites-Semidominantu1058A302T*MAP bindingAntimorphEctopic ALM-PN±Semidominantu278C303YMAP bindingAntimorphEctopic ALM-PN-Semidominantu278C303XMAP bindingAntimorphEctopic ALM-PN-Semidominantu278C303SMAP bindingN/AN/ANo defects+N/A		u445	M300V	Tubulin folding	Antimorph	Short TRN neurites	I	Semidominant
u1058A302T*MAP bindingAntimorphEctopic ALM-PN±Semidominantu278C303YMAP bindingAntimorphEctopic ALM-PN-Semidominantgk286001C303SMAP bindingN/ANo defects+N/A		u98	M300T	Tubulin folding	Antimorph	Short TRN neurites	I	Semidominant
u278 C303Y MAP binding Antimorph Ectopic ALM-PN – Semidominant gk286001 C303S MAP binding N/A No defects + N/A		u1058	A302T*	MAP binding	Antimorph	Ectopic ALM-PN	+1	Semidominant
gk286001 C303S MAP binding N/A No defects + N/A		u278	С303Ү	MAP binding	Antimorph	Ectopic ALM-PN	I	Semidominant
		gk286001	C303S	MAP binding	N/A	No defects	+	N/A

Continues indicates the average response to five anterior stimuli is above 4; ± indicates the average is between 4 and 1; – indicates the average is below 1. Partial *If* alleles of *mec-12* showed some but not all of the *If* phenotypes (see the text). Asterisks indicate that the mutation was originally found in humans and was created in *mec-7* gene through CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing. Mapping of the amino acid residues to the structural domains was done according to Tischfield *et al.* (2011).

Gene	Allele	Mutation	Structural function	Classification	Morphological detects	Iouch sensitivity	Expression
	gk286000	A314V	Tubulin folding	Antimorph	Short TRN neurites	I	Semidominant
	e1522	F317I	Tubulin folding	If	Short PLM-PN	+1	Recessive
	u234	R318O	Tubulin folding	If	Short PLM-PN	+1	Recessive
	u955	A352T	Intradimer interaction	Antimorph	Short TRN neurites	I	Dominant
	u910, gk373602	P357L	Tubulin folding	Antimorph	Short TRN neurites	I	Dominant
	u956	P358L	Tubulin folding	Antimorph	Short TRN neurites	Ι	Dominant
	u428	G369E	Tubulin folding	If	Short PLM-PN	Ι	Recessive
	u1017	L377F	MAP binding	Neomorph	The growth of ectopic ALM-PN	+1	Recessive
	u1059	R380S*	MAP binding	Neomorph	The growth of ectopic ALM-PN	+1	Recessive
	u18	A393T	Longitudinal interaction	Antimorph	Short TRN neurites	I	Dominant
	gk285997	A393V	Longitudinal interaction	Antimorph	Short TRN neurites	I	Dominant
	u170	E407L	MAP binding	Neomorph	The growth of ectopic ALM-PN	+I	Recessive
	u1060	E410K*	MAP binding	Antimorph	Shortened TRN-ANs	I	Semidominant
mec-12	gk170196	P32S	Lumen-facing loop	Neomorph	The growth of ectopic ALM-PN	+I	Recessive
	gk170195	S50N	Lumen-facing loop	N/A	No defects	+	N/A
	gk636747	R60H	Lumen-facing loop	N/A	No defects	+	N/A
	u76	D69N	GTP binding	Antimorph	Short PLM-PN	Ι	Recessive
	u1016	E97K	Intradimer interaction	Antimorph	Short PLM-PN	I	Recessive
	u950, gk672907	S140F	GTP binding	Antimorph	Short PLM-PN	I	Recessive
	gk600523	G142E	GTP binding	If	No defects	+1	Recessive
	u1021, e1607	G144S	GTP binding	Antimorph	Short PLM-PN	Ι	Recessive
	gk583647	L152F	Tubulin folding	N/A	No defects	+	N/A
	u50, e1605	H192Y	MAP binding	Partial If	No defects	I	Recessive
	gk915672	E196K	MAP binding	Neomorph	The growth of ectopic ALM-PN	+1	Recessive
	u1041	G246E	Tubulin folding	If	No defects	+1	Recessive
	u917	V260I	MAP binding	Nneomorph	The growth of ectopic ALM-PN	+1	Recessive
	gk854211	P307L	MAP binding	N/A	No defects	+	N/A
	gk515972	V323I	Longitudinal interaction	Neomorph	The growth of ectopic ALM-PN	+1	Recessive
	u241, u1019	G354E	Longitudinal interaction	Antimorph	Short PLM-PN	I	Recessive
	gk341552	G365E	Lumen-facing loop	N/A	No defects	+	N/A
	u63	E415K	MAP binding	Partial If	No defects	+1	Recessive
	gm379	G416E	MAP binding	Partial If	No defects	+1	Recessive
TABLE 1: The <i>r</i> indicates the av	<i>mec-7</i> and <i>mec-12</i> mutat erage response to five a	tions analyzed i interior stimuli	n this study. Several mutations is above 4; \pm indicates the ave	are represented by mu rage is between 4 and 1	ttiple alleles, whose phenotypes were f ; - indicates the average is below 1. Pa	found to be similar. Fo artial <i>If</i> alleles of <i>mec</i> -1	r touch sensitivity, + '2 showed some but no

Mapping of the amino acid residues to the structural domains was done according to Tischfield et al. (2011). Continued



FIGURE 3: Position of amino acid residues changed in *mec-7* and *mec-12* mutants. (A) The structure of the α/β tubulin dimer (1jff.pdb) visualized using PyMOL. To be consistent with the original structure (Nogales *et al.*, 1998) and the mapping of human tubulin mutations (Tischfield *et al.*, 2011), α -tubulin (wheat) is shown on top of β -tubulin (cyan, magenta, and pink, which labels α -helices, β -sheets, and loops, respectively). GTP is labeled in green. (B, C) Amino acid changes around the GTP binding pocket in *mec-7* (red) and *mec-12* (pink) antimorphs. P173L and S172P (blue) are disease-associated mutations found in human TUBB3 that were engineered in *mec-7* to test their effects (see Figure 5). (D) *mec-7* (red) and *mec-12* (pink) *anti* mutations mapped to intradimer interface. (E, F) Amino acid alterations in *mec-7* (E, yellow) and *mec-12* (F, yellow) *neo* alleles. A302T, R380S, and E410K (orange) were clinically identified TUBB3 mutations. E415 and G416 (green), mutated in *mec-12* partial *If* alleles, are located at the exterior surface of α -tubulin.

M300, which is located in a loop near the GTP binding site and may participate in positioning H7 (the seventh α -helix) with its critical N226 and thus indirectly affect GTP binding.

The second group of mutated residues in *mec-7(anti)* alleles is located in the intradimer interface, where β -tubulin makes contact with α -tubulin to form the heterodimer (red residues in Figure 3D). *u283* (P243L), *u958* (G244S), *n434* (N247I), and *u162* (D249N) mutations all affect the residues on the H7-to-H8 loop, which interacts extensively with residues on H1 and H2 of α -tubulin. Two other dominant mutations *gk286000* (A314V) and *u955* (A352T) changed residues located on B8 and B9, respectively, which are physically adjacent to the H7-to-H8 loop at the interface. In addition, two proline mutations, *u910* (P357L) and *u956* (P358L), possibly disrupted the B9-to-B10 loop (amino acids 356–361) critical for the positioning of B9.

The third group of mec-7(anti) alleles includes e1527 (V286D), u18 (A393T), and gk285997 (A393V), which affect residues involved in the lateral (V286) and longitudinal (A393) interdimer interaction between one α / β -tubulin heterodimer and the neighboring one on the MTs. These mutations may interfere with the interaction between tubulin dimers.

Overall, the MEC-7/ β -tubulin anti mutants likely act in a dominant-negative manner by forming poisonous α/β dimers, whose incorporation into MTs could terminate MT polymerization and induce instability. The mutated β -tubulin cannot properly bind to GTP (the first group), form misshaped α/β heterodimers that block the growing end of MTs (the second group), or disrupt the stacking of tubulin dimers (the third group). Therefore, changes in tubulin structure led to compromised MT elongation, which caused severe defects in neurite outgrowth, highlighting the importance of functional MTs in neuronal morphogenesis.

Neomorphic mutations in *mec-7* led to ectopic neurite growth by increasing MT stability

The mec-7(neo) mutations appear to induce the growth of ectopic ALM-PNs by forming hyperstable MTs, as first suggested by Kirszenblat et al. (2013). Supporting this hypothesis, pharmacological stabilization of MTs with paclitaxel induced the growth of ALM-PN, although at a low frequency, and destabilizing MTs with colchicine can partially suppress this ectopic growth in mec-7(ky852 neo) mutants (Kirszenblat et al., 2013) and in mec-7(u278 neo) and mec-7(u1017 neo) animals (Supplemental Figure S3A). We found that mec-7(neo) animals had increased resistance to colchicine compared with the wild-type animals. More of this MTdestabilizing drug was needed to produce the same level of reduction in both TRN function (touch sensitivity) and PLM-PN length in neo mutants than in wild-type animals (Supplemental Figure S3, B and C). Moreover, these mutants also showed increased tubulin acetylation (Figure 4C), an indication of stable MTs (Song and Brady, 2015).

The greater MT stability and higher resistance to destabilizing reagents in the *neo* mutants could be the result of either reduced dynamics of individual MTs or more stable bundles. MTs in wild-type TRNs showed very little dynamics, since Hsu *et al.* (2014) found, and we confirmed, that the GFP labeled MT plus-end binding protein EBP-2/EB2 barely moved in the wild-type TRNs. Thus the already very high stability of individual MTs is unlikely to increase greatly in the *neo* mutants. However, the MT bundles in the TRNs of *neo* mutants have changed and so may be the cause of the drug resistance in these animals. By quantifying EM data previously obtained (Savage *et al.*, 1994), we found that *mec-7(u278 neo)* and *mec-7(u170 neo)* mutants kept the large-diameter MTs (slightly larger



FIGURE 4: Effects of *mec-7* and *mec-12* mutations on TRN function and activity. (A) The average number of touch responses of five for *mec-7* and *mec-12 If*, *anti*, and *neo* mutants when they were touched anteriorly. (B) Defective targeting of the synaptic vesicle marker RAB-3::GFP in *mec-7* and *mec-12* mutant PLM neurons. RAB-3::GFP signal is found in patches where PLM-AN branches synapse onto target neurons in the wild-type ventral nerve cord. This targeting was partially (reduced GFP signal at the synapse) or completely (no GFP signal at the synapse) lost in many of the mutants; this loss in *mec-7(lf)* and *mec-12(anti)* mutants could be partly caused by the lack of PLM synaptic branch. In *mec-7(u278*; C303Y) mutants, however, the marker was mistargeted to the distal end of PLM-PN (arrow). (C) Immunofluorescence intensity of antibody labeling of acetylated α -tubulin. (D) Fluorescence intensity of the TRN marker *uls134 [mec-17p::RFP]* in various *mec-7* and *mec-12* mutants and their doubles with the *dlk-1 lf (ju476)* allele. For C and D, representative images are shown in Supplemental Figure S3. Dunnett's tests were performed to compare the mutants with the wild-type animals, and t tests with Bonferroni correction were used to identify significant difference between the tubulin single mutants and *dlk-1; mec-7* or *dlk-1; mec-12* double mutants.

than in wild-type cells) but had fewer of them (Figure 1B). Importantly, the MT bundles in the mutants are more closely spaced than the wild type and are not surrounded by the electron-lucent region seen in the wild type (Savage *et al.*, 1994). We quantified these differences by showing that the distance between two closest center points of MTs is smaller in *mec-7(neo)* mutants compared with the wild type and that MTs occupied a bigger proportion of the crosssectional area of the neurite in the mutants (Figure 1B). In fact, the TRN neurite in these mutants is ~50% thinner than the wild type animals. The observation that tightly packed 15-p MT bundles filled up most of the space in the TRN neurites is consistent with increased MT stability, which presumably allowed the excessive neurite growth toward the posterior, overcoming the normal inhibition of this growth in the wild-type ALM neurons.

The majority of the altered residues in the *mec-7(neo)* alleles are located on the exterior surface of MTs, where they may interfere with the binding of motor proteins or MAPs to the MTs and therefore cause changes in MT stability. Examples include *u1017* (L377F) and *u170* (E407K), which are located on the H11 and H12 helices, respectively, *gk286003* (R162Q) on the H4-to-B5 loop, and *u278* (C303Y) on the H9-to-B8 loop, which are also exposed on the MT surface (Figure 3E). Interestingly, although *u278* (C303Y) produced the strongest *neo* phenotype, C303S mutation in allele *gk286001* did not lead to similar excessive growth (Table 1), suggesting that tyrosine's bulky phenolic ring may be responsible for the phenotype. In contrast, the *neo* alleles *gk895768* (T149I) and *ky852*

(P220S) affect residues inside the MTs and may be important for the folding of the protein.

Loss-of-function, antimophic, and neomorphic mutations of $mec-12/\alpha$ -tubulin caused similar but weaker phenotypes than the *mec-7* mutations

We next examined the contribution of MEC-12/ α -tubulin to MT organization and neurite growth in the TRNs. mec-12(tm5083) allele deletes part of exon 3 and intron 3 (Supplemental Figure S1B) and is likely to be a If mutation. Those deletion mutants had much fewer (~7) MTs in a cross-section of ALM neurites than the wild-type animals, but the MTs had the same diameter as the 15-p MTs in the wild type (Figure 1B). Moreover, we observed no defects in TRN outgrowth in the mec-12(tm5083 lf) mutants (Figure 2) and confirmed the results using three CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat)/Cas9-induced frameshift mutations (u1026, u1027, and u1028; Supplemental Figure S1B and data not shown). Therefore, although the abundance of MTs requires MEC-12, the formation of 15-p MTs does not require MEC-12, and the reduction of MT numbers in mec-12(If) mutants did not affect neurite development. Because the C. elegans genome contains nine α -tubulin genes, other tubulin isotypes may compensate for the loss of MEC-12. Although TBA-1 and TBA-2 are expressed in the TRNs, tba-1(lf); mec-12(If) and tba-2(If); mec-12(If) double mutants had very mild defects in neurite growth, only the slight shortening of PLM-AN (Lockhead et al., 2016). In this study, we found another α -tubulin TBA-7, which is also expressed and functions in TRNs (see below); however, *mec-12; tba-7* double mutants were still capable of forming and growing normal TRN neurites, suggesting further genetic redundancy.

The EM results of *mec-12(lf)* mutants were unexpected, because the mec-12[(e1607 (G144S)] allele, which had been regarded as the null allele (Bounoutas et al., 2009a, 2011; Hsu et al., 2014), had very few (~2) MTs, all of which had the small diameter (Chalfie and Au, 1989). We repeated the EM studies using the newly isolated mec-12(u1021) allele that carries the same G144S mutation and found the ALM neurites had ~2.7 MTs in a cross-section and 15% of the 72 sections examined had no MTs: the diameter of MTs was 17.9 nm (Figure 1B). We found that e1607 and several other missense alleles (Table 1) were in fact antimorphic (anti) gain-of-function alleles, which caused the significant shortening of PLM-PN (Figure 2, B and E). Like the mec-7(anti), the five mec-12(anti) mutations also mapped to the GTP binding pocket (u76 [D69N], u950 [S140F], and u1021 [G144S]; labeled in red in Figure 3B), the intradimer interface (u1016 [E97K]; Figure 3D), or the interdimer interface (u1019 [G354E]). However, unlike the mec-7(anti) alleles, mec-12(anti) are mostly recessive at 20°C, except for *u1019*, and did not cause strong, general defects in TRN neurite outgrowth but rather specific shortening of PLM-PN similar to the mec-7(lf) mutants.

One possible explanation for this phenotype is that MEC-12/ α tubulin preferentially or exclusively binds to MEC-7/ β -tubulin, and the MEC-12(*anti*) proteins sequester and disable MEC-7 but no other β -tubulin isotypes. As a result, both *mec-12(anti*) and *mec-7(lf)* removes MEC-7 from the pool of free tubulins, leading to the loss of the 15-p MTs that require MEC-7 and the shortening of PLM-PN. Consistent with this hypothesis, *mec-7(lf)*; *mec-12(anti*) double mutants did not show additive effects with regards to the neurite growth defects compared with either single mutant (Figure 2E).

Four of the 19 *mec-12* missense mutations (Table 1) were *neo* alleles (*gk170196* [P32S], *gk915672* [E196K], *u917* [V260I], *gk515972* [V323I]). These alleles produced a similar but less severe phenotype than the *mec-7(neo)* alleles. Specifically, the ectopic ALM-PN was shorter in the *mec-12(neo)* mutants than in the *mec-7(neo)* mutants (Figure 2F). This observation supports the notion that MEC-12 may be less important than MEC-7 in regulating MT stability and subsequent neurite growth. E196 and V260 are located on loops on the exterior surface, whereas P32 and V323 may be involved in protein folding (Figure 3F).

The production of the ectopic ALM-PN requires both *mec-7* and *mec-12*. The deletion of *mec-12* suppressed the growth of ALM-PN in *mec-7(neo)* mutants, and the deletion of *mec-7* similarly suppressed the effect of *mec-12(neo)* alleles (Supplemental Figure S3A). These results suggest that the tubulin *neo* mutations induce excessive neurite growth by altering the properties of mostly MEC-7/MEC-12 heterodimers and not other α/β heterodimers.

mec-7 and *mec-12* mutations also affect TRN functions, vesicle transport, and protein expression

In addition to neurite growth, MTs are important for many other functions in TRNs (Bounoutas *et al.*, 2009a; Hsu *et al.*, 2014). Deletion of either *mec-12* or *mec-7* resulted in touch insensitivity, defects in the localization of presynaptic vesicles, and severe loss of MT acetylation, a mark for stable MTs (Figure 4 and Supplemental Figure S4A). Since MEC-12 is the only α -tubulin isotype that contains the acetylation site (lysine 40) in *C. elegans*, the TRN-specific 15-p MTs are likely the only MTs that can be acetylated. The loss of *mec-7* and *mec-12* also induced a global reduction in protein levels through a mechanism dependent on dual leucine zipper-bearing

kinase DLK-1 (Bounoutas et al., 2011). Interestingly, mutations in dlk-1 restored the normal protein expression in mec-7 and mec-12 null mutants but failed to rescue the PLM-PN growth defects in mec-7(lf) animals (Figure 4D and Supplemental Figure S4B). Therefore, this PLM-PN defect is most likely a direct effect of the loss of MEC-7 and not the result of secondary changes in protein levels.

Compared to the mec-7(If) mutants, the mec-7(anti) mutations caused stronger defects not only in neurite outgrowth but also in mechanosensation, synaptic vesicle transport, tubulin acetylation, and protein expression levels (Figure 4 and Supplemental Figure S4B). Those phenotypes in mec-12(anti) mutants were more severe than the phenotypes in the mec-12(If) alleles and were comparable to those produced by mec-7(If) mutations but not as strong as the mec-7(anti) mutations (Figure 4). The mec-7 and mec-12 neo alleles also caused touch insensitivity, synaptic vesicle transport defects, and reduced TRN protein levels, as found in the If mutants; but the neo mutants had markedly increased MT acetylation (Figure 4). Moreover, the fact that both impaired (in anti mutants) and excessive (in neo mutants) neurite growth can occur in conjunction with other TRN defects suggests that the role of MTs in regulating neurite development is genetically separable from other MT functions.

Furthermore, several *mec-12* partial *lf* mutations affected only a subset of MT functions. *mec-12(e1605)* animals carrying the H192Y missense mutation were defective in mechanosensation but had retained normal 15-p MT structures, tubulin acetylation, axonal transport, protein levels, and neurite growth patterns (Supplemental Figure S5; Bounoutas et al., 2009a). Similarly, *mec-12* mutants carrying the *u63* (E415K) or *gm379* (G416E) alleles were partially touch insensitive but kept the large dimeter MTs; however, these animals showed mistargeting of synaptic vesicles presumably because alterations in the EEGE (amino acids 414–417) motif increased affinity with the motor dynein (Hsu *et al.*, 2014). *u63* and *gm379* mutations also caused a slight decrease in tubulin acetylation and a partial reduction in protein production; *gm379* allele led to very mild defects in the growth of PLM-PN (Supplemental Figure S5). These mutations may be useful in understanding some specific aspects of MT functions.

Modeling the effects of tubulin mutations using the TRN neurites

Our genetic analysis shows that missense mutations in tubulin genes can have distinct effects on MT stability and neurite growth pattern, and those different phenotypes appear to correlate with the positions of the altered residues in the tubulin structure. Such structurefunction analyses have been carried out for the yeast α -tubulin TUB1 and the Drosophila testis-specific β-tubulin βTub85D (Fackenthal et al., 1995; Richards et al., 2000) but not for tubulins expressed in the nervous system. Moreover, recent discovery of more than 100 missense mutations in tubulin genes in patients with a range of neurological disorders prompted us to examine the cellular impact of specific tubulin mutations on neurons. Combining the convenience of genome editing in C. elegans and the ease of observing TRN morphology as the readout of MT stability and neurite growth, we could systematically study the effects of those human tubulin mutations. To provide a proof of concept, we generated five clinically observed β-tubulin mutations (S172P, P173L, A302T, P380S, and E410K) in mec-7 through CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing (Materials and Methods; Figure 5A) and found that these mutations indeed caused distinct phenotypes on TRN morphogenesis.

Heterozygous S172P and P173L mutations in human TUBB3 result in microcephaly, polymicrogyria, cortical dysplasia, and agenesis of the corpus callosum, all of which are defects in neuronal migration



FIGURE 5: Modeling human tubulin mutations in *C. elegans* TRNs. (A) Sequence changes designed to introduce TUBB3 missense mutations in *mec-7* gene. For each mutation, the line with an arrow underscores the 20–base pair DNA sequence for the guide RNA target, and the directionality of the arrow indicates the strand of the target. The bottom DNA sequence contains the desired nonsynonymous (red) and synonymous (blue) mutations on the homologous repair template. Amino acids sequence with the positional information was shown on the top. (B–D) TRN morphologies of animals carrying the engineered missense mutations. For *u1056*, the dashed line, triangle, and arrow indicate the gap from PLM-AN to ALM cell body, the position of the vulva, and the shortened PLM-PN, respectively. *u1057* showed normal TRN morphology. The growth of ALM-PN (arrow) was suppressed in *mec-17(ok2109 lf); mec-7(u1056)* and *mec-17(ok2109 lf); mec-7(u1057)* double mutants. For *u1058* and *u1059* (C), arrows point to the ectopic ALM-PN. For *u1060* (D), the asterisk demarcates the end of the severely shortened PLM-AN.

and axon growth (Jaglin et al., 2009; Poirier et al., 2010; Bahi-Buisson et al., 2014). In vitro studies found that tubulin heterodimer containing the β -tubulin S172P mutant could not be incorporated into MTs (Jaglin et al., 2009), indicating that the mutated protein is nonfunctional. Consistent with these findings, the u1056 (S172P) mutation of mec-7 led to a recessive phenotype similar to mec-7(If) in the TRNs, which showed significantly shortened PLM-PN and slightly shortened PLM-AN with branching defects (Figure 5B). Surprisingly, the u1057 (P173L) allele did not cause any TRN morphological or functional defects (Figure 5B). The difference between the mutant phenotypes in humans and worms is puzzling, since both S172 and P173 are located on the GTP binding B5-to-H5 loop (Figure 3C), which is identical in C. elegans MEC-7 and human TUBB3 (Supplemental Figure S6). Perhaps the differences arise from differences in MT structure and organization: the C. elegans TRNs have 15-p MTs that are bundled, whereas human neurons have 13-p MTs that are not bundled. In fact, when 15-p MTs were converted to 13-p MTs and the bundle was disrupted in *mec-17* (α -tubulin acetyl-transferase) mutants (Topalidou et al., 2012), both MEC-7 S172P and P173L mutations led to decreased MT stability and the loss of ALM-PN (Figure 5B and Supplemental Figure S7). This result suggests that P173L mutation indeed compromises MT stability in TRNs under sensitized conditions.

Heterozygous A302T and R380C mutations in human TUBB3, which affect residues on the external surface of the MTs, were associated with moderate congenital fibrosis of the extraocular muscles 3 (CEFOM3), anterior commissure hypoplasia, and corpus callosum hypoplasia. Mutation of A302 and R380 in yeast led to the formation

of highly stable, benomyl-resistant MTs (Tischfield *et al.*, 2010). Consistent with these observations, we found that the same mutations of MEC-7 produced a *neo* phenotype (the generation of an ectopic ALM-PN; Figure 5C).

TUBB3 E410K mutation was also found in patients with severe CEFOM3 and hypoplasia of anterior commissure and corpus callosum, but these patients also suffer from facial weakness and progressive axonal sensorimotor polyneuropathy (Tischfield et al., 2010). In yeast, MTs containing β-tubulin with the E410K substitution were less stable and less resistant to benomyl and had markedly decreased plus-end accumulation of kinesin-like motor proteins, compared with MTs with A302T and R380C mutations (Tischfield et al., 2010). In C. elegans, MEC-7(E410K) produced a distinct neurite growth phenotype: ALM-AN and PLM-AN were significantly shortened, which indicates reduced MT stability; but the PLM-PN was not affected, making the phenotype also different from that of the mec-7(anti) alleles, which cause general defects in MT polymerization (Figure 5D). Since E410 is also exposed to the exterior of MTs like A302 and R380 (Figure 3E), these results suggest that the cellular impact of the missense mutations would depend on the specific amino acid change instead of the location of the affected residues alone.

The loss of tba-7/ α -tubulin also caused excessive posterior neurite growth

In addition to MEC-7 and MEC-12, we identified a second α -tubulin gene *tba-7* that also regulates neurite growth in the TRNs. In the course of a mutagenesis for mutants with abnormal TRNs (Supplemental Results), we isolated a missense *tba-7* allele *u1015* (G92D), which caused the growth of an ectopic ALM posterior neurite, resembling the phenotype of *mec-7* and *mec-12 neo* alleles (Figure 6, A and B). This *tba-7* allele is likely a *lf* allele, because it failed to complement with *gk787939* (Q230*), which is presumably a null and produced a similar phenotype as *u1015* (Supplemental Figure S1C). We also examined mutants of other tubulin isotypes and did not find morphological defects in the TRNs (we did not test for redundancy among the genes; Supplemental Table S2).

tba-7 was expressed in the TRNs, and the excessive growth phenotype of *tba-7(u1015)* could be rescued by expressing *tba-7(+)* from the TRN-specific *mec-17* promoter, indicating that TBA-7 acts cell-autonomously in the TRNs (Supplemental Figure S8). *tba-7(lf)* mutants did not exhibit touch insensitivity, synaptic vesicle mistargeting, or reduced protein levels, suggesting that the general function of TRN MTs was not affected and that the primary function of TBA-7 is to regulate neurite growth (Supplemental Figure S9). We hypothesized that the loss of TBA-7 led to the formation of hyperstable MTs, similarly to the *mec-7* and *mec-12 neo* mutations. Supporting this hypothesis, electron microscopy studies revealed that, like *mec-7(neo)* mutants, *tba-7(u1015)* animals retained the large-diameter 15-p MTs and have closely packed MT bundles, which occupied a larger-than-normal area of a neurite cross-section (Figure 1).



FIGURE 6: Mutations in *tba-7* and *klp-7* result in the growth of ectopic ALM posterior neurites. (A) A representative image of the ectopic ALM-PN in *tba-7(u1015 lf*) mutants. (B) The length of ALM-PN in *tba-7(u1015 lf*) and the effect of 1 mM colchicine and *mec-7(ok2152 lf*), *mec-7(u957 anti*), *mec-12(tm5083 lf*), and *mec-12(u1016 anti*) mutations on the *tba-7(lf*) phenotype. (C) The differences between the TBA-7 and MEC-12 amino acid sequences in the alignment were labeled in color. (D) The length of ALM-PN in *tba-7(u1015 lf*) carrying the transgene expressing the wild-type or mutant TBA-7 proteins. TBA-7 (NT SWAP) had TSMEPDGNSGSLG (amino acids 35–47) replaced by QMPSDKSLGGSDDSFS, TBA-7 (CT SWAP) had DANDNGD (amino acids 435–441) replaced by MEDNGEEG, and TBA-7 (L31Q + CT SWAP) carried both mutations. (E) Sprouting of neurites from the ALM and PLM cell bodies in *tba-7(u1015 lf*); *mec-7(u1017 neo)* double mutants. (F) The number of neurites emanating from the cell bodies in *tba-7(u1015 lf*) and *mec-7(u1017 neo)* single mutants and their double mutants. Box plot shows the minimum, first quartile, median, third quartile, and maximum; the mean is indicated by a + sign. (G) The length of ALM-PN in *klp-7(tm2143 lf*) mutants and their double mutants with *mec-7(ok2152 lf*), *mec-7(u957 anti*), *mec-12(tm5083 lf*), and *mec-7(u1017 neo)*.

tba-7(lf) mutants also retained normal tubulin acetylation levels (Supplemental Figure S9C) and had increased resistance to colchicine (Supplemental Figure S3), which confirmed the presence of stable MTs. Treatment with 1 mM colchicine fully suppressed the growth of ALM-PN in *tba-7(u1015)* animals, as did either *lf* or *anti* mutations in *mec-7* or *mec-12* (Figure 6B).

The amino acids sequences of α -tubulin TBA-7 and MEC-12 are 82% identical and 94% similar; they mainly differ in a N-terminal region and the C-terminal tail (Figure 6C). Domain swapping experiments showed that changing L31 of TBA-7 to Q found in MEC-12 or replacing the C-terminal DANDNGD (amino acids 435-441 in TBA-7) sequence with MEDNGEEG (amino acids 440-447 in MEC-12) partially impaired the function of TBA-7 proteins to restrict excessive neurite growth, and TBA-7 proteins with both L31Q mutation and the C-terminal replacement completely lost the ability to rescue the tba-7(If) phenotype (Figure 6D). Q31 in MEC-12 is a potential site for polyamination (Song et al., 2013) and E445 of the GEE motif (amino acids 444-446 in MEC-12, but absent in TBA-7) is a target for polyglutamination (Edde et al., 1990). Posttranslational modifications at these two sites could increase the stability of neuronal MTs (Song and Brady, 2015). Interestingly, replacing the amino acids 35-47 region of TBA-7 with the sequence from MEC-12 that contains lysine

40 (the site for α -tubulin acetylation) did not affect the TBA-7 function (Figure 6D), suggesting that the absence of this MT acetylation site in TBA-7 was not responsible for its activity in preventing ectopic neurite growth.

Since TBA-7 lacks some modification sites (e.g., Q31 and E445) that could stabilize MTs, our results suggest that TBA-7 may be a MT-destabilizing tubulin isotype, as compared with MEC-12. In wild-type animals, TBA-7 incorporation into the 15-p MTs with MEC-7 and MEC-12 may reduce MT stability; when TBA-7 is not available, more MEC-12 and possibly other α -tubulin isotypes replace TBA-7, and this replacement led to the formation of hyperstable MTs.

The tba-7(u1015 lf); mec-7(u1017 neo) double mutant had an ALM-PN that was similar in length to that in mec-7(u1017) single mutants (Figure 6A) but additionally produced up to four and five short ectopic neurites sprouting from the ALM and PLM cell bodies, respectively; this phenotype was rarely observed in mec-7(u1017) animals (Figure 6, E and F). The additive effect in the double mutant suggest that the loss of TBA-7 and the MEC-7 neo mutations act in parallel to elevate MT stability.

Mutations in MT-destabilizing kinesin 13 affect TRN neurite growth

Since motor proteins and MAPs regulate MT dynamics (Akhmanova and Steinmetz, 2015), we expected mutations in MAP genes to cause similar phenotype to those of some of the tubulin mutations. Indeed, Ghosh-Roy *et al.* (2012) found, and we have confirmed, that the loss of the MT-depolymerizing kinesin *klp*-7 induced the growth

of an ectopic posterior neurite in ALM neurons (Figure 6G). KLP-7 belongs to the kinesin-13 family of catastrophe factors that bind to MT plus-ends and promotes depolymerization, thus generating dynamic microtubules (Han *et al.*, 2015). Importantly, we found that, similarly to the tubulin *neo* alleles, the growth of the ectopic ALM-PN in *klp-7(lf)* mutants was suppressed by the loss of *mec-7* or *mec-12*, but the *klp-7* phenotype was not enhanced by *mec-7(neo)* mutations. These results suggest that the mutated MEC-7 proteins may render MTs hyperstable by reducing their interaction with KLP-7 or by making the MTs insensitive to the action of KLP-7.

DISCUSSION

Neuronal morphogenesis requires optimal MT stability

MTs both support and regulate neurite growth. As the building block of MTs, α - and β -tubulins determine the structural properties of MTs and mediate their interaction with motor proteins and MAPs. As a result, any alteration in the tubulin proteins can potentially lead to changes in MT stability, which then affects neurite formation, guidance, and extension. In this study, we analyzed the effects of 67 tubulin missense mutations on neurite development in *C. elegans* touch receptor neurons. On the basis of the phenotypes, we categorized these mutations into three classes: loss-of-function (*If*),



FIGURE 7: Model for the effect of MT dynamics on neurite development. (A) In wild-type animals, 15-p MTs are mostly made of MEC-12/ α -tubulin (brown) and MEC-7/ β -tubulin (cyan). Another α -tubulin TBA-7 (yellow) is also incorporated into the MTs, probably to decrease the stability. For simplicity, other tubulin isotypes that may function in TRNs, including TBA-1, TBA-2, TBB-1, and TBB-2 (Lockhead *et al.*, 2016), were shown in blue. Arrows toward the left and right represent depolymerization and polymerization, respectively. Stars represent mutations on the tubulin protein. (B) In the absence of MEC-7, the abundant 15-p MTs are replaced by a few 11-p MTs, which use other β -tubulins for polymerization and can still support neurite growth. Whether those β -tubulins are normally incorporated into the MTs is unclear. (C) *mec-7(anti)* mutations produce dominant-negative MEC-7 proteins with defects in the GTP binding pocket or the intradimer or interdimer interfaces. Such MEC-7 mutants can either sequester all α -tubulin or form toxic heterodimers that can terminate MT elongation if incorporated. Thus MT polymerization is blocked and neurite growth is disrupted. (D) *mec-7(neo)* mutations mostly map to the exterior facing surface of the tubulin structure. When incorporated into the MTs, those MEC-7 mutant proteins may affect the binding of MAPs or motor proteins (e.g., kinesin 13 family protein KLP-7) by either binding poorer to destabilizing proteins or better to stabilizing proteins. The net result would be hyperstable MTs. The loss of TBA-7 presumably allowed more MEC-12 to be incorporated into the MTs, which led to increased MT stability. Abnormally elevated MT stability caused excessive neurite growth.

antimorphic (*anti*), and neomorphic (*neo*) mutations. 1) *If* mutations cause only mild neurite growth defects by reducing MT stability moderately; 2) *anti* mutations block MT polymerization and cause severe neurite growth defects; and 3) *neo* mutations cause excessive neurite growth by inducing hyperstable MTs (Figure 7). The directionality of the excessive neurite in ALM reflects its potential to grow toward the posterior. In fact, ~30% of the adult ALM neurons have a very short (less than one cell body length) posterior protrusion, and manipulation of cellular signaling (e.g., activating the small GTPase Rac) can induce the production of ALM-PN may serve as an indication for altered cytoskeletal organization and dynamics.

The correlation between the amount of neurite growth and the stability of MTs suggests that MT structure and dynamics are major determinants of neurite growth. Given the great number of proteins involved in both positively and negatively regulating MT assembly and disassembly (Mimori-Kiyosue, 2011), our results support the hypothesis that an optimal level of MT stability is required for proper neuronal morphogenesis. The finding that negative regulators of MT stability, such as the α -tubulin isotype TBA-7 and the MT-destabilizing kinesin-13 KLP-7, prevent excessive TRN neurite growth further supports this hypothesis.

Structure-function analysis of tubulin mutations

The large number of missense mutations analyzed in this study allowed us to perform a structure–function analysis of neuronal tubulin proteins in living animals. Mapping the altered amino acid residues onto the *Bos taurus* tubulin α/β heterodimer structure 1JFF (Nogales *et al.*, 1998), we found that *lf* mutations mostly affect residues located in the interior of the structure and possibly disrupt protein folding. *anti* mutations affected residues that participate in GTP binding, intradimer interaction, or interdimer interactions. *neo* mutations mostly altered residues on the exterior surface and probably perturb the association of motor proteins and MAPs with MTs (Figures 3 and 7).

Our findings are consistent with similar structure–function relationship studies conducted in yeast α -tubulin *TUB1* and β -tubulin *TUB2* and *Drosophila* testis-specific β 2-tubulin (Reijo et al., 1994; Fackenthal et al., 1995; Richards et al., 2000) and provide another model to study the properties of tubulin proteins. For example, yeast *TUB1* mutations that caused supersensitivity to the MT-destabilizing drug benomyl mainly altered amino acids involved in GTP binding (e.g., D70) and intradimer (e.g., E98) and interdimer interaction; the change of equivalent residues in MEC-12 (D69 and E97) were identified in *mec-12(anti)* mutants. Similarly, some *Drosophila* β 2-tubulin mutations (S25L and G96E) that made MTs less stable (Fackenthal et al., 1995) affected the same or the adjacent residue in *mec-7(anti)* alleles (*u319* [S25F] and *u430* [A97V]). Moreover, yeast *TUB1* mutations conferring benomyl resistance mostly affected the residues on the outer surface of the MTs (Richards *et al.*, 2000) as did the *mec-7(neo)* mutations that were resistant to colchicine. Thus comparative studies using the three different organisms can help identify key residues in the tubulin structure responsible for various MT functions.

Modeling the effects of neuronal tubulin mutations

We have used the *C. elegans* TRNs as a model to study neuronal MTs, which are much more stable and have different types of posttranslational modification than the MTs in dividing cells (Baas *et al.*, 2016). Clinical studies since the early 2010s identified more than 100 missense mutations in tubulin genes that cause a wide spectrum of neurodevelopmental disorders, such as microcephaly, lissencephaly, polymicrogyria, and cortical malformation, along with severe defects in axon guidance and growth, including the complete or partial absence of corpus callosum, defects in commissural fiber tracts, and degeneration of motor and sensory axons (Tischfield *et al.*, 2011; Chakraborti *et al.*, 2016). Patients carrying different tubulin mutations often display distinct symptoms, but the complexity of the human nervous system makes it difficult to analyze the impact of specific mutations at the cellular level.

Combining CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing with in vivo examination of TRN morphology, we were able to create several disease-causing human β -tubulin mutations in the *C. elegans mec-7* gene and examined their effects on neurite growth at a single neuron resolution. Our phenotypic evaluation was largely consistent with previous characterization of those mutations, suggesting that the TRN system could be instrumental to model the effects of human tubulin mutations identified in the clinic.

In fact, many disease-causing tubulin mutations alter amino acids that are physically adjacent to or located in the same region as the ones affected in our mutants, suggesting that they may affect MT stability in similar ways. By mapping 51 TUBA1A, 24 TUBB2B, and 19 TUBB3B mutations that were clinically identified onto the tubulin structure, we could correlate the location of some of the affected residues with the resulting clinical manifestation (Supplemental Table S1). For example, 7/8 (87.5%) mutations that alter residues involved in GTP binding caused complete agenesis of corpus callosum, an indication of severe defects in axonal growth, whereas only 5/23 (21.7%) changes of residues in the interior of the structure and 10/32 (31.2%) mutations of residues involved in MAP binding did so (Supplemental Table S1).

Position of the mutated residues alone, however, could not determine the effects of the missense mutation. For example, although the β -tubulin B5-to-H5 loop (from V170 to V179) contacts GTP, and four strong *mec-7(anti)* mutations (P171S, P171L, S176F, and V179A) mapped to this loop, the S172P mutation led to a *lf* phenotype and P173L mutation caused no defects in TRNs. One possible explanation is that S172 and P173 are farther away from the GTP binding site; thus their mutations interfere less with microtubule function.

The identity of the residue replacing the wild-type one is also important. For instance, u278 (C303Y) was the strongest *mec-7(neo)* mutant, but *gk286001* (C303S) had no effect at all; since both tyrosine and serine have the polar hydroxyl group, the bulky phenyl group of the tyrosine may be responsible for disrupting some MT functions. Moreover, the u1058 (A302T) mutation also caused a strong *mec-7(neo)* phenotype. A302 and C303 on the H9-to-B8 loop are exposed to the exterior of MTs but are not located on the major landing surface (H11 and H12) for motor protein and MAPs

(Nogales et al., 1998). Our results suggest that A302 and C303 may represent a previously unrecognized site of interaction between the MTs and their associated proteins. Even for residues located on H11 and H12, the effects of their mutation can differ. u1059 (R380S) is a mec-7(neo) mutation, whereas u1060 (E410K) allele produced an anti-like phenotype, suggesting that the impact of the missense mutation depends on how the interaction of MTs with the motor proteins or MAP is affected by the amino acid change.

MT-destabilizing tubulin isotype and "multi-tubulin hypothesis"

The presence of multiple tubulin genes in eukaryotic genomes, their subtle differences in the protein sequences, and their functional differences led to the "multi-tubulin hypothesis." In multicellular organism, the tubulins may have distinct expression patterns, for example, *Drosophila* β 2-tubulin is expressed only in the male germline (Kemphues et al., 1980); human TUBB3B is highly enriched in the nervous system, whereas TUBB2B is expressed in many tissues (Sullivan and Cleveland, 1986). In single-cell organisms like *Tetrahymena*, different β -tubulin isotypes are spatially separated; some are only used to make the nuclear MTs of the mitotic apparatus, and some are detected only in the MTs of somatic cilia and basal bodies (Pucciarelli *et al.*, 2012). Our studies, however, found that two distinct α -tubulin isotypes (MEC-12 and TBA-7) could have different functions when both incorporated into the same MTs in the same neurons; MEC-12 promotes stability, and TBA-7 promotes instability.

The abundance of large diameter 15-p MTs in the TRNs requires MEC-12, but the role of TBA-7 is to increase MT dynamics and to prevent the ectopic growth of posteriorly directed neurites in ALMs. Thus TBA-7 is a MT-destabilizing tubulin isotype, and this destabilizing activity depends on the absence of potential polyamination and polyglutamination sites that could increase MT stability. Our results are consistent with early in vitro experiments suggesting that TUBB3 (also known as β_{III}) also promotes MT dynamics; removal of TUBB3 from the brain extract resulted in a tubulin mixture that assembled much more rapidly than the unfractionated control (Banerjee et al., 1990), and MTs assembled from the purified $\alpha\beta_{III}$ heterodimers were considerably more dynamic than MTs made from the $\alpha\beta_{II}$ and $\alpha\beta_{IV}$ dimers (Panda et al., 1994). However, the long-standing question is whether some tubulin isotypes do destabilize MTs in vivo. Our findings suggest the answer is yes. A balanced incorporation of multiple tubulin isotypes into the same MT structure is critical to generate MTs with the optimal stability.

The absence of posttranslational modification sites in tubulin isotypes may lead to functional diversity. In addition to TBA-7, among the other eight *C. elegans* α -tubulin isotypes, TBA-8 and TBA-5 also lack the Q31 for polyamination, and TBA-6 lacks the E445 for polyglutamination; all nine human α -tubulins have Q31, but TUBAL3 has a short C-terminal tail and does not contain potential polyglutamination sites (Supplemental Figure S10). The incorporation of those tubulin isotypes may be a general regulatory mechanism to control MT stability. Despite the functional differences between MEC-12 and TBA-7, the fact that both *mec-12(lf)* mutants and *mec-12(lf)*; *tba-7(lf)* double mutants retained the ability to grow out a normal amount of neurites suggests also genetic redundancy among the α -tubulins in supporting neurite growth.

Different contributions of tubulin isotypes to MT dynamics were also observed in *C. elegans* embryogenesis (Honda *et al.*, 2017). The spindle MTs required two β -tubulins (TBB-1 and TBB-2), but TBB-2 was incorporated into the MTs twice as much as TBB-1; the loss of TBB-2 caused a dramatic decrease in MT growth rate and an increase in catastrophe frequency, leading to highly unstable MTs,

whereas the loss of TBB-1 only slightly reduced growth rate. These data support the "multi-tubulin concept" that different tubulin isotypes have distinct functions in the same cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and genetic screens

Caenorhabditis elegans wild-type (N2) and mutant strains were maintained at 20°C as previously described (Brenner, 1974). *mec-7* alleles *u910*, *u911*, *u955*, *u956*, *u957*, *u958*, *u1017*, and *u1020*; *mec-12* alleles *u917*, *u950*, *u1016*, *u1019*, and *u1021*; and *tba-7(u1015)* were isolated by visually screening for mutants with TRN differentiation defects using TU4069, which carries *uls134* [*mec-17p::RFP*] for the visualization of the TRNs, as the starter strain and ethyl methanesulfonate as the mutagen (Brenner, 1974). Mutants were outcrossed with wild type, and the phenotype-causing mutations were identified as previously described by whole-genome resequencing (Zheng et al., 2013) or by complementation tests with reference alleles. A detailed description of the screen can be found in the Supplemental results.

mec-7 alleles e1343, e1505, e1527, e1522, n434, u10, u18, u22, u48, u58, u98, u127, u129, u162, u170, u223, u225, u278, u234, u249, u262, u275, u283, u305, u319, u428, u429, u430, u433, u449, and u445 and mec-12 alleles e1605, e1607, u50, u63, u76, and u241 were previously isolated (Chalfie and Sulston, 1981; Chalfie and Au, 1989; Savage et al., 1994) and reexamined in this study.

u1056 to u1060 alleles were created through CRISPR/Cas9-mediated homologous recombination (Dickinson et al., 2013). Guide RNAs were designed according to the target sequence closest to the desired codon change (Figure 5A). Recombination templates were created by cloning the mec-7 coding region and 466-base pair 3'UTR sequence into the Sall and BamHI sites of pBlueScript II SK (+) vector and then introducing the desired missense mutation (red in Figure 5A), along with synonymous mutations (blue in Figure 5A) that change the guide RNA target sites, using the Q5 Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit from New England Biolabs (NEB; Ipswich, MA). pDD162 constructs expressing Cas9 and specific guide RNAs were injected together with the corresponding recombination template and marker myo-2p::RFP into TU4069 animals. F1s expressing RFP in the muscle were singled out and genotyped to identify heterozygotes with successful edits, and F2s carrying homozygous mutations were then isolated and examined for TRN morphology.

The *gk* alleles of *mec-7* and *mec-12* listed in Table 1 and *tba-7(gk787939)* were generated in the million mutation project (Thompson *et al.*, 2013). These *gk* alleles, as well as *mec-17(ok2019)*, *dlk-1(ju476)*, and *dlk-1(km12)*, were obtained from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center, which is funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440). *klp-7(tm2143)* and *mec-12(tm5083)* were generated by the National Bioresource Project of Japan, and *mec-12(gm379)* was kindly provided by Chun-Liang Pan at the National Taiwan University.

Additional *mec-12* null alleles (*u1026*, *u1027*, and *u1028*) were generated by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing targeting 5'-GAAGTAATTTCGATTCACATCGG-3' in exon 2 of *mec-12* as described above (Dickinson *et al.*, 2013). Frameshift-causing mutations were identified by sequencing the *mec-12* locus (Supplemental Figure S2).

Constructs and transgenes

A *tba-7::GFP* reporter (TU#1632) was made by cloning a 1.2-kb *tba-7* promoter and the entire coding region from the genomic DNA to wild-type genomic DNA into the Gateway pDONR221 P4-P1r vector; the resulting entry vector, together with the pENTR-GFP, pENTR-*unc-54-3'*UTR, and destination vector pDEST-R4-R3, were used in

the LR reaction to create the final expression vectors. *mec*-17p::tba-7(+) (TU#1629) was created by cloning a 1.9-kb *mec*-17 promoter and the *tba*-7 coding sequence into pDONR221 P4-P1r and pDONR221 vectors, respectively, and assembling these entry vectors with pENTR-*unc*-54-3'UTR and the destination vector. Details about the Gateway cloning method by Life Technologies can be found at www.thermofisher.com/us/en/home/life-science/cloning/ gateway-cloning/multisite-gateway-technology.html. *tba*-7(+) locus, including the 1.2-kb promoter, coding region, and a 911–base pair 3'UTR, was cloned using *Sall* and *Notl* into pBlueScript II SK(+) vector; an NEB Q5 site-directed mutagenesis kit was then used to generate constructs expressing the TBA-7 variants shown in Figure 6E.

Transgenes uls31[mec-17p::GFP] III, uls115[mec-17p::RFP] IV, and uls134[mec-17p::RFP] V were used to visualize TRN morphology (Zheng et al., 2015). jsls821[mec-7p::GFP::RAB-3] was used to assess synaptic vesicle localization (Bounoutas et al., 2009b).

Electron microscopy

We reanalyzed previously collected cross-section images of ALM neurites from wild-type animals (N501, N631, N933, and N934 from the Hall lab collection) and from *mec-7(neo*) mutants *u170* and *u278* (Savage *et al.*, 1994). The wild-type animals had been fixed by either chemical immersion fixation without tannic acid (samples N501, N631; Hall, 1995) or by high-pressure freezing/freeze substitution (HPF/FS) including tannic acid (samples N933, N934; Topalidou *et al.*, 2012). The *mec-7(neo*) alleles had also been fixed by chemical immersion, without tannic acid.

For this study, we fixed mec-7(ok2152 lf), mec-12(tm5083 lf), and tba-7(u1015 lf) adults using an HPF/FS protocol that included a first fixation in 0.5% glutaraldehyde + 0.1% tannic acid and a second fix in 2% osmium tetroxide + 0.1% uranyl acetate (UAc), both at -90°C, followed by staining in 1% UAc in acetone at 0°C. We also fixed adults of mec-12(anti) mutants, u950, u76, and u1021, using HPF/FS using a similar protocol, but without tannic acid. Eighty-nanometer transverse sections were collected at multiple positions along the ALM anterior neurite and poststained with uranium acetate and lead citrate. A Philips CM10 electron microscope with an attached Morada digital camera (Olympus) was used to acquire the images.

For each animal, we counted the number of MTs in the ALMs and measured the MT diameter on sections collected from at least five different positions along the ALM-AN; data from ALML and ALMR were combined. For each strain, we examined sections from at three different animals. For wild-type animals, *tba-7(lf)*, and *mec-7(neo)* mutants, we used at least 10 sections to measure the distance between two closest MT centers and the proportion of MT-occupied area to the cross-sectional area of the neurite.

We noticed that our earlier studies found that wild-type TRN MTs had much larger diameters (29.6 \pm 0.4 nm; Chalfie and Thomson, 1979) than we find here (21.5 \pm 1.6 nm). Fixation method could not explain the difference, because we obtained similar results from N501 and N631 (fixed by chemical immersion) and N933 and N934 (fixed by HPF/FS); data obtained using both methods showed smaller MT diameters than the measurements from earlier studies, which used chemical immersion fixation. Although we could not explain this discrepancy, we are very confident in the current calibration of the electron microscope.

Phenotype scoring and statistical analysis

We measured the length of TRN neurites in at least 30 fourth-stage larvae or young adults grown at 20°C, except where otherwise stated. Relative length of posteriorly directed neurites was calculated by dividing the neurite length by the diameter of the cell body. Defects in TRN anterior neurite length were assessed by counting the percentage of cells whose neurites failed to reach the vulva (for PLM) or the posterior pharyngeal bulb (for ALM); at least 50 cells were examined for each strain.

Fluorescence intensity of the RFP expressed from the *uls134* transgene in the ALM cell body was used to measure TRN protein levels; intensity was calculated after manual background subtraction using ImageJ as previously described (Chen and Chalfie, 2015). Synaptic vesicle localization was quantified by calculating the percentage of animals in each of the four phenotypical categories: 1) normal localization to the PLM synaptic branch, 2) reduced intensity of the synaptic marker at the synapses as partial defect, 3) complete loss of normal localization to the synapse as complete defect, and 4) localization to the PLM-PN as mistargeting. At least 50 adult animals were examined.

Acetylated α -tubulin staining using antibody [6-11B-1] (Abcam, Cambridge, MA) was performed and analyzed as previously described (Topalidou *et al.*, 2012). For colchicine treatment, animals were grown in standard NGM agar plates containing different concentrations (from 0.06 to 2 mM) of colchicine before phenotypic analysis as described before (Bounoutas *et al.*, 2009a). Similarly, 100 nM paclitaxel was added to the NGM agar plates, L1 animals were placed onto the plates, and young adults were examined for TRN morphology. PyMOL (Schrodinger, 2015) was used to view the structure of α/β tubulin dimer (1jff.pdb; Nogales *et al.*, 1998) and to label affected residues in *mec-7* and *mec-12* mutants.

For statistical analysis, analysis of variance and the post hoc Dunnett's test (comparison of each of many treatments with a single control) or Tukey–Kramer test (studentized range test for all pairwise comparison) were performed using the GraphPad Prism (version 5.00 for Windows, GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA; www .graphpad.com) to identify significant difference between the mutants and wild-type animals. Student's t test was used to find significant difference between two samples in paired comparisons. Single and double asterisks indicate p < 0.05 and p < 0.01, respectively. The χ^2 test was used for the categorical data to find significant difference between different strains.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dan Dickinson, Chun-Liang Pan, and Andrew Chisholm for sharing reagents. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants GM30997 (M.C.) and OD 010943 (D.H.H.). Core facilities for electron microscopy were supported by National Institute of Child Health and Human Development P30 HD71593 for the RFK-IDDRC at Albert Einstein College of Medicine.

REFERENCES

- Akhmanova A, Steinmetz MO (2015). Control of microtubule organization and dynamics: two ends in the limelight. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 16, 711–726.
- Baas PW, Rao AN, Matamoros AJ, Leo L (2016). Stability properties of neuronal microtubules. Cytoskeleton (Hoboken) 73, 442–460.
- Bahi-Buisson N, Poirier K, Fourniol F, Saillour Y, Valence S, Lebrun N, Hully M, Bianco CF, Boddaert N, Elie C, et al. (2014). The wide spectrum of tubulinopathies: what are the key features for the diagnosis? Brain 137, 1676–1700.
- Banerjee A, Roach MC, Trcka P, Luduena RF (1990). Increased microtubule assembly in bovine brain tubulin lacking the type III isotype of beta-tubulin. J Biol Chem 265, 1794–1799.
- Bounoutas A, Kratz J, Emtage L, Ma C, Nguyen KC, Chalfie M (2011). Microtubule depolymerization in Caenorhabditis elegans touch receptor neurons reduces gene expression through a p38 MAPK pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108, 3982–3987.
- Bounoutas A, O'Hagan R, Chalfie M (2009a). The multipurpose 15-protofilament microtubules in C. elegans have specific roles in mechanosensation. Curr Biol 19, 1362–1367.

- Bounoutas A, Zheng Q, Nonet ML, Chalfie M (2009b). mec-15 encodes an F-box protein required for touch receptor neuron mechanosensation, synapse formation and development. Genetics 183, 607–617601SI-604SI.
- Bray D, Thomas C, Shaw G (1978). Growth cone formation in cultures of sensory neurons. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 75, 5226–5229.
- Brenner S (1974). The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 77, 71–94.
- Buck KB, Zheng JQ (2002). Growth cone turning induced by direct local modification of microtubule dynamics. J Neurosci Nurs 22, 9358–9367.
- Chakraborti S, Natarajan K, Curiel J, Janke C, Liu J (2016). The emerging role of the tubulin code: From the tubulin molecule to neuronal function and disease. Cytoskeleton (Hoboken) 73, 521–550.
- Chalfie M, Au M (1989). Genetic control of differentiation of the Caenorhabditis elegans touch receptor neurons. Science 243, 1027–1033.
- Chalfie M, Sulston J (1981). Developmental genetics of the mechanosensory neurons of Caenorhabditis elegans. Dev Biol 82, 358–370.
- Chalfie M, Thomson JN (1979). Organization of neuronal microtubules in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. J Cell Biol 82, 278–289.
- Chalfie M, Thomson JN (1982). Structural and functional diversity in the neuronal microtubules of Caenorhabditis elegans. J Cell Biol 93, 15–23.
- Challacombe JF, Snow DM, Letourneau PC (1996). Actin filament bundles are required for microtubule reorientation during growth cone turning to avoid an inhibitory guidance cue. J Cell Sci 109(Pt 8), 2031–2040.
- Chen X, Chalfie M (2015). Regulation of mechanosensation in C. elegans through ubiquitination of the MEC-4 mechanotransduction channel. J Neurosci Nurs 35, 2200–2212.
- Cleveland DW (1987). The multitubulin hypothesis revisited: what have we learned? J Cell Biol 104, 381–383.
- Dent EW, Gupton SL, Gertler FB (2011). The growth cone cytoskeleton in axon outgrowth and guidance. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 3, a001800.
- Dickinson DJ, Ward JD, Reiner DJ, Goldstein B (2013). Engineering the Caenorhabditis elegans genome using Cas9-triggered homologous recombination. Nat Methods 10, 1028–1034.
- Edde B, Rossier J, Le Caer JP, Desbruyeres E, Gros F, Denoulet P (1990). Posttranslational glutamylation of alpha-tubulin. Science 247, 83–85.
- Fackenthal JD, Hutchens JA, Turner FR, Raff EC (1995). Structural analysis of mutations in the Drosophila beta 2-tubulin isoform reveals regions in the beta-tubulin molecular required for general and for tissue-specific microtubule functions. Genetics 139, 267–286.
- Fukushige T, Yasuda H, Siddiqui SS (1993). Molecular cloning and developmental expression of the alpha-2 tubulin gene of Caenorhabditis elegans. J Mol Biol 234, 1290–1300.
- Fukushige T, Yasuda H, Siddiqui SS (1995). Selective expression of the tba-1 alpha tubulin gene in a set of mechanosensory and motor neurons during the development of Caenorhabditis elegans. Biochim Biophys Acta 1261, 401–416.
- Fulton C, Simpson FA (1976). Selective synthesis and utilization of flagellar tubulin: the multi-tubulin hypothesis. In: Cell Motility, Vol. 3, ed. R Goldman, T Pollard, and J Rosenbaum, New York: Cold Spring Harbor Publications, 987–1005.
- Ghosh-Roy A, Goncharov A, Jin Y, Chisholm AD (2012). Kinesin-13 and tubulin posttranslational modifications regulate microtubule growth in axon regeneration. Dev Cell 23, 716–728.
- Hall DH (1995). Electron microscopy and three-dimensional image reconstruction. Methods Cell Biol 48, 395–436.
- Hamelin M, Scott IM, Way JC, Culotti JG (1992). The mec-7 beta-tubulin gene of Caenorhabditis elegans is expressed primarily in the touch receptor neurons. EMBO J 11, 2885–2893.
- Han X, Adames K, Sykes EM, Srayko M (2015). The KLP-7 residue S546 Is a putative aurora kinase site required for microtubule regulation at the centrosome in C. elegans. PLoS One 10, e0132593.
- Honda Y, Tsuchiya K, Sumiyoshi E, Haruta N, Sugimoto A (2017). Tubulin isotype substitution revealed that isotype composition modulates microtubule dynamics in C. elegans embryos. J Cell Sci 130, 1652–1661.
- Hoyle HD, Raff EC (1990). Two Drosophila beta tubulin isoforms are not functionally equivalent. J Cell Biol 111, 1009–1026.
- Hsu JM, Chen CH, Chen YC, McDonald KL, Gurling M, Lee A, Garriga G, Pan CL (2014). Genetic analysis of a novel tubulin mutation that redirects synaptic vesicle targeting and causes neurite degeneration in C. elegans. PLoS Genet 10, e1004715.
- Jaglin XH, Poirier K, Saillour Y, Buhler E, Tian G, Bahi-Buisson N, Fallet-Bianco C, Phan-Dinh-Tuy F, Kong XP, Bomont P, *et al.* (2009). Mutations in the beta-tubulin gene TUBB2B result in asymmetrical polymicrogyria. Nat Genet 41, 746–752.

Kemphues KJ, Raff EC, Raff RA, Kaufman TC (1980). Mutation in a testisspecific beta-tubulin in Drosophila: analysis of its effects on meiosis and map location of the gene. Cell 21, 445–451.

Kirszenblat L, Neumann B, Coakley S, Hilliard MA (2013). A dominant mutation in mec-7/beta-tubulin affects axon development and regeneration in Caenorhabditis elegans neurons. Mol Biol Cell 24, 285–296.

Konishi Y, Setou M (2009). Tubulin tyrosination navigates the kinesin-1 motor domain to axons. Nat Neurosci 12, 559–567.

Leandro-Garcia LJ, Leskela S, Landa I, Montero-Conde C, Lopez-Jimenez E, Leton R, Cascon A, Robledo M, Rodriguez-Antona C (2010). Tumoral and tissue-specific expression of the major human beta-tubulin isotypes. Cytoskeleton (Hoboken) 67, 214–223.

Liao G, Gundersen GG (1998). Kinesin is a candidate for cross-bridging microtubules and intermediate filaments. Selective binding of kinesin to detyrosinated tubulin and vimentin. J Biol Chem 273, 9797–9803.

Liu G, Dwyer T (2014). Microtubule dynamics in axon guidance. Neurosci Bull 30, 569–583.

Lockhead D, Schwarz EM, O'Hagan R, Bellotti S, Krieg M, Barr MM, Dunn AR, Sternberg PW, Goodman MB (2016). The tubulin repertoire of C. elegans sensory neurons and its context-dependent role in process outgrowth. Mol Biol Cell 27, 3717–3728.

McKean PG, Vaughan S, Gull K (2001). The extended tubulin superfamily. J Cell Sci 114, 2723–2733.

Mimori-Kiyosue Y (2011). Shaping microtubules into diverse patterns: molecular connections for setting up both ends. Cytoskeleton (Hoboken) 68, 603–618.

Mitani S, Du H, Hall DH, Driscoll M, Chalfie M (1993). Combinatorial control of touch receptor neuron expression in Caenorhabditis elegans. Development 119, 773–783.

Nogales E, Wolf SG, Downing KH (1998). Structure of the alpha beta tubulin dimer by electron crystallography. Nature 391, 199–203.

Panda D, Miller HP, Banerjee A, Luduena RF, Wilson L (1994). Microtubule dynamics in vitro are regulated by the tubulin isotype composition. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91, 11358–11362.

Poirier K, Saillour Y, Bahi-Buisson N, Jaglin XH, Fallet-Bianco C, Nabbout R, Castelnau-Ptakhine L, Roubertie A, Attie-Bitach T, Desguerre I, et al. (2010). Mutations in the neuronal ss-tubulin subunit TUBB3 result in malformation of cortical development and neuronal migration defects. Hum Mol Genet 19, 4462–4473.

Prokop A (2013). The intricate relationship between microtubules and their associated motor proteins during axon growth and maintenance. Neural Dev 8, 17.

Pucciarelli S, Ballarini P, Sparvoli D, Barchetta S, Yu T, Detrich HW 3rd, Miceli C (2012). Distinct functional roles of beta-tubulin isotypes in microtubule arrays of Tetrahymena thermophila, a model single-celled organism. PLoS One 7, e39694.

Purro SA, Ciani L, Hoyos-Flight M, Stamatakou E, Siomou E, Salinas PC (2008). Wnt regulates axon behavior through changes in microtubule growth directionality: a new role for adenomatous polyposis coli. J Neurosci 28, 8644–8654.

Qu C, Dwyer T, Shao Q, Yang T, Huang H, Liu G (2013). Direct binding of TUBB3 with DCC couples netrin-1 signaling to intracellular microtubule dynamics in axon outgrowth and guidance. J Cell Sci 126, 3070–3081.

Reijo RA, Cooper EM, Beagle GJ, Huffaker TC (1994). Systematic mutational analysis of the yeast beta-tubulin gene. Mol Biol Cell 5, 29–43.

Richards KL, Anders KR, Nogales E, Schwartz K, Downing KH, Botstein D (2000). Structure-function relationships in yeast tubulins. Mol Biol Cell 11, 1887–1903. Sainath R, Gallo G (2015). Cytoskeletal and signaling mechanisms of neurite formation. Cell Tissue Res 359, 267–278.

Savage C, Xue Y, Mitani S, Hall D, Zakhary R, Chalfie M (1994). Mutations in the Caenorhabditis elegans beta-tubulin gene mec-7: effects on microtubule assembly and stability and on tubulin autoregulation. J Cell Sci 107(Pt 8), 2165–2175.

Schaefer AW, Schoonderwoert VT, Ji L, Mederios N, Danuser G, Forscher P (2008). Coordination of actin filament and microtubule dynamics during neurite outgrowth. Dev Cell 15, 146–162.

Schrodinger LLC (2015). The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.8.

Sirajuddin M, Rice LM, Vale RD (2014). Regulation of microtubule motors by tubulin isotypes and post-translational modifications. Nat Cell Biol 16, 335–344.

Song Y, Brady ST (2015). Post-translational modifications of tubulin: pathways to functional diversity of microtubules. Trends Cell Biol 25, 125–136.

Song Y, Kirkpatrick LL, Schilling AB, Helseth DL, Chabot N, Keillor JW, Johnson GV, Brady ST (2013). Transglutaminase and polyamination of tubulin: posttranslational modification for stabilizing axonal microtubules. Neuron 78, 109–123.

Sullivan KF (1988). Structure and utilization of tubulin isotypes. Annu Rev Cell Biol 4, 687–716.

Sullivan KF, Cleveland DW (1986). Identification of conserved isotypedefining variable region sequences for four vertebrate beta tubulin polypeptide classes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 83, 4327–4331.

Tanaka E, Ho T, Kirschner MW (1995). The role of microtubule dynamics in growth cone motility and axonal growth. J Cell Biol 128, 139–155.

Thompson O, Edgley M, Strasbourger P, Flibotte S, Ewing B, Adair R, Au V, Chaudhry I, Fernando L, Hutter H, et al. (2013). The million mutation project: a new approach to genetics in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genome Res 23, 1749–1762.

Tian G, Jaglin XH, Keays DA, Francis F, Chelly J, Cowan NJ (2010). Diseaseassociated mutations in TUBA1A result in a spectrum of defects in the tubulin folding and heterodimer assembly pathway. Hum Mol Genet 19, 3599–3613.

Tischfield MA, Baris HN, Wu C, Rudolph G, Van Maldergem L, He W, Chan WM, Andrews C, Demer JL, Robertson RL, *et al.* (2010). Human TUBB3 mutations perturb microtubule dynamics, kinesin interactions, and axon guidance. Cell 140, 74–87.

Tischfield MA, Cederquist GY, Gupta ML Jr, Engle EC (2011). Phenotypic spectrum of the tubulin-related disorders and functional implications of disease-causing mutations. Curr Opin Genet Dev 21, 286–294.

Topalidou I, Keller C, Kalebic N, Nguyen KC, Somhegyi H, Politi KA, Heppenstall P, Hall DH, Chalfie M (2012). Genetically separable functions of the MEC-17 tubulin acetyltransferase affect microtubule organization. Curr Biol 22, 1057–1065.

Verhey KJ, Gaertig J (2007). The tubulin code. Cell Cycle 6, 2152–2160.

Yu I, Garnham CP, Roll-Mecak A (2015). Writing and reading the tubulin code. J Biol Chem 290, 17163–17172.

Zheng C, Diaz-Cuadros M, Chalfie M (2015). Dishevelled attenuates the repelling activity of Wnt signaling during neurite outgrowth in Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 112, 13243–13248.

Zheng C, Diaz-Cuadros M, Chalfie M (2016). GEFs and Rac GTPases control directional specificity of neurite extension along the anterior-posterior axis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113, 6973–6978.

Zheng C, Karimzadegan S, Chiang V, Chalfie M (2013). Histone methylation restrains the expression of subtype-specific genes during terminal neuronal differentiation in Caenorhabditis elegans. PLoS Genet 9, e1004017.