GENOME SEQUENCES





## Complete Genome Sequence of Lactobacillus acidophilus LA-10A, a Promising Probiotic Strain Isolated from Fermented Mare's Milk

Xueping Yu,<sup>a</sup> Yang Yu,<sup>a</sup> Jialiang Ouyang,<sup>a</sup> Haixia Wen,<sup>a</sup> Hongting Wang,<sup>a </sup> <sup>@</sup>[Xin Ma](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1605-9061)<sup>a,b</sup>\*

aThankcome Biological Science and Technology (Suzhou) Co., Ltd., Suzhou, Jiangsu Province, China bState Key Laboratory of Bioreactor Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai, China

ABSTRACT This report describes the whole-genome sequence of Lactobacillus acidophilus LA-10A, isolated from fermented mare's milk. This strain has been widely consumed due to its excellent performance in the treatment and prevention of Helicobacter pylori infection. The genome sequence of LA-10A provides further molecular information about its features.

actobacillus acidophilus is one of the commercially significant probiotics, originally isolated from the human gastrointestinal tract and designated Bacillus acidophilus in 1900 ([1](#page-1-0)). L. acidophilus has been reported to play a pivotal role in maintaining human health by alleviating lactose intolerance ([2](#page-1-1)), nonalcoholic fatty liver disease ([3](#page-1-2)), irritable bowel syndrome [\(4\)](#page-1-3), and hypercholesterolemia [\(5\)](#page-1-4) and preventing Helicobacter pylori infection [\(6,](#page-1-5) [7](#page-1-6)). Strain LA-10A was first isolated in 2016 from homemade fermented mare's milk that was prepared by a shepherd in Xinjiang, China. A sample (1 g) was serially diluted and spread onto MRS agar, then incubated under anaerobic conditions at 37°C for 48 h. One white colony growing on the plates was isolated and identified as Lactobacillus acidophilus by 16S rRNA gene sequencing. L. acidophilus LA-10A was deposited at the China Center for Type Culture Collection (CCTCC number M2019012).

A single colony of strain LA-10A was inoculated into MRS medium and cultured at 37°C for 48 h. Subsequently, high-quality genomic DNA was extracted using a modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method [\(8,](#page-1-7) [9\)](#page-1-8). Genomic DNA was sheared using g-TUBEs, then end-repaired to prepare SMRTbell DNA template libraries according to the manufacturer's instructions. The library quality was analyzed using Qubit, and the average fragment size was estimated using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. Single-molecule real-time (SMRT) sequencing was performed using the PacBio Sequel II platform. The polymerase reads generated were processed using SMRTLink v8.0, with the parameter minLength set to 50 and all other parameters kept at default. One SMRT cell produced a total of 4.47 Gb, including 533,696 subreads (average length, 8,381 bp) with an  $N_{50}$  value of 8,657 bp; de novo assembly was conducted using Canu v2.0 ([10,](#page-1-9) [11](#page-1-10)). Default parameters were used for all software unless otherwise specified.

The genome was assembled using Canu v2.0 with the parameters described in our previous paper ([12](#page-1-11)), and the results showed it to be a circularized structure with no plasmids. Canu detects and annotates the final assembly as circular when the best overlap graph (BOG) is circular. The average coverage depth was over 93-fold. The repeated sequences at the two ends identified by BLASTN v2.7.1 confirmed the chromosome circularization. The assembly sequence was reordered such that the beginning of the assembly started with the dnaA gene, yielding a single contig of 1,991,561 bp corresponding to a complete and circular chromosome (mean  $G+C$  content, 34.71%). Genome annotation was carried out subsequently using the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Automatic Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) [\(13](#page-1-12)). A total of 1,896 protein-coding genes (CDSs), 12 rRNAs, and 61 tRNAs were predicted. Editor Julie C. Dunning Hotopp, University of Maryland School of Medicine

Copyright © 2022 Yu et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to Xin Ma, pkartest@yeah.net.

\*Present address: Xin Ma, 388 San-Jia Road, Kunshan, Jiangsu Province, China.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 3 March 2022 Accepted 14 April 2022 Published 9 May 2022

Of the CDSs, 82.65% were classified into at least one Cluster of Orthologous Groups (COG), and 54.85% were classified into at least one Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) orthology region. Genes known to confer resistance to antibiotics of human or veterinary importance were not found in the genomic sequences, nor were toxin genes or hazardous virulence factors, indicating the safety of strain LA-10A.

Data availability. The complete genome sequence of Lactobacillus acidophilus LA-10A is available at NCBI GenBank under Sequence Read Archive (SRA) accession number [SRR18189666](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRR18189666). The raw sequence reads have been deposited in the SRA under BioProject accession number [PRJNA810352](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA810352) and BioSample accession number [SAMN26264341.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/biosample/SAMN26264341)

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by Thankcome Biological Science and Technology (number 2021RD04). We declare no conflicts of interest.

## **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-1-0"></span>1. Bull M, Plummer S, Marchesi J, Mahenthiralingam E. 2013. The life history of Lactobacillus acidophilus as a probiotic: a tale of revisionary taxonomy, misidentification and commercial success. FEMS Microbiol Lett 349: 77–87. [https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6968.12293.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6968.12293)
- <span id="page-1-1"></span>2. Masoumi SJ, Mehrabani D, Saberifiroozi M, Fattahi MR, Moradi F, Najafi M. 2021. The effect of yogurt fortified with Lactobacillus acidophilus and Bifidobacterium sp. probiotic in patients with lactose intolerance. Food Sci Nutr 9:1704–1711. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.2145>.
- <span id="page-1-2"></span>3. Ahn SB, Jun DW, Kang B, Lim JH, Lim S, Chung M. 2019. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of a multispecies probiotic mixture in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Sci Rep 9:5688. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-42059-3) [s41598-019-42059-3.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-42059-3)
- <span id="page-1-3"></span>4. Maixent JM, Pons O, Sennoune SR, Sadrin S. 2020. Clinical effects of Lactobacillus strains as probiotics in the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome. Results from the LAPIBSS trial: future objectives. Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand) 66: 211–214. <https://doi.org/10.14715/cmb/2020.66.3.34>.
- <span id="page-1-4"></span>5. Lewis SJ, Burmeister S. 2005. A double-blind placebo-controlled study of the effects of Lactobacillus acidophilus on plasma lipids. Eur J Clin Nutr 59:776–780. [https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602139.](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602139)
- <span id="page-1-5"></span>6. Lorca GL, Wadstrom T, de Valdez GF, Ljungh A. 2001. Lactobacillus acidophilus autolysins inhibit Helicobacter pylori in vitro. Curr Microbiol 42: 39–44. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s002840010175.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002840010175)
- <span id="page-1-6"></span>7. Canducci F, Armuzzi A, Cremonini F, Cammarota G, Bartolozzi F, Pola P, Gasbarrini G, Gasbarrini A. 2000. A lyophilized and inactivated culture of Lactobacillus acidophilus increases Helicobacter pylori eradication rates.

Aliment Pharmacol Ther 14:1625–1629. [https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2036.2000.00885.x) [-2036.2000.00885.x.](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2036.2000.00885.x)

- <span id="page-1-7"></span>8. Minas K, McEwan NR, Newbold CJ, Scott KP. 2011. Optimization of a highthroughput CTAB-based protocol for the extraction of qPCR-grade DNA from rumen fluid, plant and bacterial pure cultures. FEMS Microbiol Lett 325:162–169. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2011.02424.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2011.02424.x)
- <span id="page-1-8"></span>9. Zheng L, Gao N, Deng Y. 2012. Evaluation of DNA extraction methods for the analysis of microbial community in biological activated carbon. Environ Technol 33:437–444. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2011.579179>.
- <span id="page-1-9"></span>10. Chin C-S, Alexander DH, Marks P, Klammer AA, Drake J, Heiner C, Clum A, Copeland A, Huddleston J, Eichler EE, Turner SW, Korlach J. 2013. Nonhybrid, finished microbial genome assemblies from long-read SMRT sequencing data. Nat Methods 10:563–569. [https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2474.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2474)
- <span id="page-1-10"></span>11. Koren S, Walenz BP, Berlin K, Miller JR, Bergman NH, Phillippy AM. 2017. Canu: scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer weighting and repeat separation. Genome Res 27:722–736. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.215087.116) [.org/10.1101/gr.215087.116.](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.215087.116)
- <span id="page-1-11"></span>12. Yu Y, Yu X, Ouyang J, Ma X. 2021. Complete genome sequence of Bacillus coagulans BC01, a promising human probiotic strain isolated from thick broad bean sauce. Microbiol Resour Announc 10:e00392-21. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00392-21) [.org/10.1128/MRA.00392-21.](https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00392-21)
- <span id="page-1-12"></span>13. Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 44:6614–6624. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw569) [.org/10.1093/nar/gkw569](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw569).