Review Article

Efficacy of the Nourishing Yin and Clearing Heat Therapy Based on Traditional Chinese Medicine in the Prevention and Treatment of Radiotherapy-Induced Oral Mucositis in Nasopharyngeal Carcinomas: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Thirty Randomized Controlled Trials

Jinsheng Huang [1,2,3,4] **Jun Kan** [2,3,4] **Teng Fan** [2,3,4] **Qi Quan** [2,3,4] **Xujia Li** [2,3,4] **Qi Jiang** [2,3,4] **Bei Zhang** [2,3,4] **and Guifang Guo** [2,3,4]

[1]*Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou 510006, China*
[2]*VIP Department, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, 651 Dongfeng Road East, Guangzhou 510006, China*
[3]*State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, 651 Dongfeng Road East, Guangzhou 510006, China*
[4]*Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, 651 Dongfeng Road East, Guangzhou 510006, China*

Correspondence should be addressed to Bei Zhang; zhangbei@sysucc.org.cn and Guifang Guo; guogf@sysucc.org.cn

This study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of nourishing Yin and clearing heat therapy (NYCH therapy) based on traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) in the treatment of radiotherapy-induced oral mucositis (RTOM) in nasopharyngeal carcinomas (NPCs). A total of eight online databases were searched from inception to September 2021 for randomized controlled trials (RCTs). The control group was treated with Western medicine (WM) alone, whereas the experimental group was treated with a combined NYCH and WM therapy. A total of 30 RCTs involving 2562 participants were ultimately included. NYCH therapy combined with conventional WM delayed the onset time (days) of RTOM (MD = 10.80, $p < 0.001$), and at that time, a higher cumulative radiotherapy dose (Gy) (MD = 5.72, $p < 0.001$) was completed in the experimental group. The combination regimen also reduced the incidence of severe oral mucositis (Grade III–IV) (RR = 0.25, $p < 0.001$). In addition, the treatment efficacy of the experimental group was significantly better than that of the control group (RR = 1.31, $p < 0.001$). Compared with the patients in the control group, the experimental group had lower xerostomia scores (MD = -1.07, $p < 0.001$) and more saliva (MD = 0.36, $p < 0.001$). NYCH combined with WM improved the efficacy of treating RTOM in NPC. This study provides a sufficient basis for conducting further large RCTs to prove the efficacy of NYCH.

1. Background

Nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) has a distinct geographical distribution and is particularly common in East and Southeast Asia. According to the World Health Organization, the incidence of NPC in China accounts for approximately 47% of the global incidence, with the highest incidence reported in Guangdong, China [1,2]. Radiotherapy is the most important

therapy for NPC, and the 5-year survival rate of patients with NPC after treatment is as high as 83.2% [3]. Despite the remarkable therapeutic effects of radiotherapy on NPC, the salivary glands are damaged after this therapy due to irradiation. The changes in the quantity, nature, and composition of saliva cause several complications, and radiotherapy-induced oral mucositis (RTOM) is the most common disease plaguing patients. More than 80% of patients with NPC suffer

from RTOM during radiotherapy [4], with more than half of them developing severe RTOM (Grade III–IV) [5]. Oral mucositis is characterized by erythema and fused ulcers, and its main clinical symptoms include reduced salivation, xerostomia, oral pain, dehydration, taste disturbance, and malnutrition. In addition, severe long-term reactions can lead to difficulties in swallowing and speaking, sleep disorders, ageusia, dental caries, and oral infections [6]. Moreover, severe oral mucositis can result in reduced compliance with treatment, reduced doses of concurrent chemotherapy, or interruption of radiotherapy [7], leading to a lower quality of life, weight loss, prolonged hospital stays, and the use of additional analgesic and anti-infective drugs, thereby increasing the financial and emotional burden of patients [8]. Various therapeutic approaches have been used to prevent and treat RTOM with considerable efficacy, such as granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF); recombinant human epidermal growth factor (rhEGF); borax gargle; sodium bicarbonate injection; vitamin B_{12}; lidocaine gargle; analgesics such as morphine and fentanyl; antibiotics; and glucocorticoids, when necessary [9–11]. However, the efficacy of these approaches is not yet satisfactory.

In recent years, traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) practitioners have conducted several useful studies on the prevention and treatment of RTOM. The studies included Chinese medicine oral administration [12], Chinese medicine aerosol inhalation [13], Chinese medicine gargle [14], acupuncture [15], and Chinese patent medicine such as Shuangliao Houfeng Powder [16]. In Chinese medicine, oral administration is widely used, and therapies include nourishing yin and clearing heat, cooling blood and promoting fluid production, and removing toxins for relieving sore throats. Nourishing Yin and clearing heat (NYCH) decoction orally was the most common and effective in preventing and treating RTOM in NPC. However, the current available studies were all small sample sizes, and TCM efficacy in treating RTOM has not yet been elucidated in large-scale stage 3 clinical trials. RTOM is rarely treated with TCM in other countries. To assess the clinical efficacy of TCM (NYCH therapy) in treating RTOM, we conducted a literature review to retrieve clinical randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and performed a meta-analysis. In addition to the efficacy, we also investigated the medication rules of TCM in the treatment of RTOM and tried to elucidate the potential mechanism of TCM active ingredients.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Search Strategy. The search strategy and inclusion and exclusion criteria were developed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Statement (PRISMA Statement) (http://www.prisma-statement.org) (PRISMA 2020 Checklist is included in Supplement 5), followed by a literature search using a combination of electronic database and manual searches. According to the PICOS principle (population, intervention, comparators, outcomes, study design), we searched eight electronic databases, including the Excerpta Medica Database (Embase) (https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/solutions/ovid/

embase), Public Medicine (PubMed) (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online (MEDLINE) (https://www.bionity.com/en/encyclopedia/MEDLINE), Cochrane Library (https://www.cochranelibrary.com), Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) (https://www.cnki.net), Vipshop Chinese Journal Database (VIP) (http://www.cqvip.com), Wanfang Database (https://www.wanfangdata.com.cn), and China Biomedical Literature Service system (CBM) (http://www.sinomed.ac.cn). The search terms included 'nasopharyngeal neoplasm, radiation therapy, oral mucositis, traditional Chinese medicine and randomized controlled trials.' The details of the search strategies are included in Supplement 1. All studies included in the search were published from the establishment of the abovementioned databases until September 2021. In addition, a manual search was conducted to avoid omissions in the literature search and to identify RCTs that might meet the inclusion criteria of the present study. All searches were restricted to human RCTs, excluding animal trials and fundamental research, and the search was conducted independently by two researchers.

2.2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria. Based on the aim of the present study, the inclusion criteria for this meta-analysis were as follows: (1) patients aged 18 years or older, male or female; (2) pathologically confirmed diagnosis of NPC, regardless of the type of pathology; (3) first concurrent radiotherapy for NPC and no previous radiotherapy to the head and neck; (4) no oral mucositis caused by other diseases; (5) RCTs, whether blinded or not; (6) complete data on outcome indicators, which can be extracted directly or indirectly for statistical analysis; (7) outcome indicators related to RTOM, (8) internal heat owing to Yin deficiency on TCM syndrome differentiation typing; and (9) full-text literature in Chinese or English that meets the aforementioned criteria.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) animal studies or nonclinical studies; (2) articles with inconsistent studies or poor study designs; and (3) articles with incomplete data on outcome indicators and incorrect statistical methods that could not be corrected.

2.3. Interventions. The control group received conventional WM, including gentamicin, metronidazole, tinidazole, dexamethasone, prednisone, lidocaine, procaine, tetracaine, borax gargle, alpha-chymotrypsin, vitamin B12, vitamin C, rhEGF, and rhG-CSF. The detailed medicine for every study is shown in Table 1.

The experimental group received NYCH therapy combined with WM (oral administration).

2.4. Outcome Indicators. The outcome indicators were as follows: (1) overall effective rate of oral mucositis (the details of evaluation criteria for the overall effective rate of oral mucositis are included in Supplement 2); (2) incidence of Grade III–IV oral mucositis (the details of oral mucositis grading are included in Supplement 3.1); (3) time to the onset of oral mucositis and cumulative radiotherapy dose at

Table 1: The basic characteristics of all included randomized controlled trials' studies.

Study	No (E/C)	Age (E/C)	Male (E/C)	Female (E/C)	Intervention (E/C)	Radiation dose	evaluation
[17]	140/140	mean = 45.3Y/ mean = 44.9Y	86/88	54/52	NYCH therapy + D1, D4, D8, D11, D12, D15/D1, D4, D8, D11, D12, D15	72 to 78 Gy	OMR (G III–IV)
[18]	23/18	24 to 68 (mean = 52.2) Y	27*	14*	NYCH therapy + D10/D10	60 to 76 Gy	TER, XS, SFR
[18]	47/47	26 to 69 (mean = 51.05 ± 6.47) Y/29 to 68 (50.61 ± 6.89) Y	33/32	14/15	NYCH therapy + D1, D4, D11/D1, D4, D11	60 to 75 Gy	TER
[19]	42/42	43 to 71 (mean = 56.54 ± 6.37) Y/45 to 73 (mean = 57.89 ± 6.19) Y	29/27	13/15	NYCH therapy + D11/D11	68 to 76 Gy	XS, SFR
[20]	30/30	35 to 72 (mean = 56.7 ± 8.9) Y/38 to 75 (mean = 58.3 ± 10.4) Y	17/20	13/10	NYCH therapy + D14/D14	68 to 74 Gy	OMR (G III–IV)
[21]	32/32	mean = 52.91 ± 3,.82Y/ mean = 53.57 ± 4.25Y	19/18	13/14	NYCH therapy + D1, D4, D11/D1, D4, D11	NA	OMR (G III–IV)
[12]	25/25	mean = 49.6 ± 5.6Y/ mean = 50.1 ± 6.0Y	15/13	10/12	NYCH therapy + D15/D15	NA	TER
[22]	40/40	30 to 72 (mean = 55.15 ± 6.01) Y/30 to 73(mean = 54.61 ± 5.92) Y	28/26	12/14	NYCH therapy + D14/D14	66 to 72 Gy	OMR (G III–IV)
[23]	51/51	mean = 52 ± 1.27Y/ mean = 54 ± 2.01Y	30/32	21/19	NYCH therapy + D17/D17	64 to 70.4 Gy	TER, XS, SFR
He et al. 2017	100/100	21 to 71Y/23 to 69Y	72/74	28/26	NYCH therapy + D2/D2	NA	OMR (TC)
[24]	52/49	20 to 70 (mean = 45) Y/22 to 71(mean = 46) Y	42/40	10/9	NYCH therapy + D14/D14	68 to 72 Gy	OMR (G III–IV)
[25]	40/40	mean = 47.76 ± 5.37Y/ mean = 48.23 ± 5.72Y	27/25	13/15	NYCH therapy + D15/D15	68 to 74 Gy	OMR (G III–IV)
Li et al. 2008	67/67	mean = 46.6Y/ mean = 46.1Y	57/51	10/16	NYCH therapy + D14/D14	62 to 74 Gy	OMR (G III–IV)
Li et al. 2018	40/40	mean = 44.48 ± 5.23Y/ mean = 44.75 ± 4.72Y	29/26	11/14	NYCH therapy + D1, D6, D9/D1, D6, D9	70 Gy	TER, OMR (G III–IV)
[26]	30/30	24 to 78 (mean = 43) Y	42*	18*	NYCH therapy + D1, D5, D9, D14/D1, D5, D9, D14	68 to 72 Gy	OMR (G III–IV)
[27]	41/41	mean = 52.80 ± 7.26Y/ mean = 52.43 ± 7.18Y	27/25	14/16	NYCH therapy + D16/D16	66 to 72 Gy	TER, OMR (G III–IV)
[28]	30/30	19 to 66 (mean = 46.33 ± 11.85) Y/18 to 69(mean = 44.90 ± 13.32) Y	21/18	9/12	NYCH therapy + D17/D17	64 to 70 Gy	TER
[29]	31/31	mean = 43.20 ± 7.79Y/ mean = 43.4 ± 8.86Y	22/24	9/7	NYCH therapy + D1, D4, D6, D9/D1, D4, D6, D9	66 to 70 Gy	OMR (G III–IV), OMR (TC)
Shen et al. 2012	40/40	mean = 50.22 ± 10.17Y/ mean = 50.65 ± 11.25Y	31/29	9/11	NYCH therapy + D1, D4, D6/D1, D4, D6	70 to 74 Gy	OMR (G III–IV)
[30]	40/40	21 to 68 (mean = 47.5) Y/23 to 70(mean = 48.5) Y	NA	NA	NYCH therapy + D17/D17	60 to 70 Gy	OMR (G III–IV)
[31]	30/30	mean = 46.3 ± 11.5Y/ mean = 45.3 ± 13.0Y	25/24	5/6	NYCH therapy + D2/D2	60 to 70 Gy	OMR (G III–IV)
[32]	45/40	mean = 50.34 ± 12.06Y/ mean = 52.83 ± 8.37Y	24/25	21/15	NYCH therapy + D1, D4, D7/D1, D4, D7	68 to 76 Gy	OMR (G III–IV)
[33]	37/37	24 to 71 (mean = 45.41 ± 1.50) Y/23 to 71 (mean = 45.32 ± 1.51) Y	25/22	12/15	NYCH therapy + D17/D17	60 to 75 Gy	TER, OMR (G III–IV)
[34]	34/34	45~72 (57.26 ± 9.71)/46~70(57.05 ± 8.82)	20/21	14/13	NYCH therapy + D11/D11	NA	OMR (G III–IV)
Yuan et al. 2006	28/26	30 to 70 (mean = 48.5) Y/25 to 72(mean = 46.8) Y	15/14	13/12	NYCH therapy + D17/D17	60 to 70 Gy	OMR (G III–IV)
[35]	48/48	21 to 72 (mean = 45) Y/20 to 72(mean = 46) Y	40/40	8/8	NYCH therapy + D1, D4, D6, D9, D13/D1, D4, D6, D9, D13	68 to 76 Gy	OMR (G III–IV), OMR (TC)
[36]	32/30	30 to 64 (mean = 48.4) Y/29 to 64(mean = 49.3) Y	18/18	14/12	NYCH therapy + D17/D17	70 to 76 Gy	SFR

TABLE 1: Continued.

Study	No (E/C)	Age (E/C)	Male (E/C)	Female (E/C)	Intervention (E/C)	Radiation dose	evaluation
[37]	21/20	30 to 72(mean = 46) Y/27 to 69(mean = 50) Y	13/11	8/9	NYCH therapy + D17/D17	68 to 70 Gy	OMR (G III–IV)
Zhou et al. 2015	14/14	32 to 63(mean = 47.5) Y/38 to 66(mean = 48.1) Y	8/7	6/7	NYCH therapy + D10/D10	60 to 76 Gy	TER, XS
Zou et al. 2005	60/60	18 to 72(mean = 42) Y/20 to 73(mean = 43) Y	55/54	5/6	NYCH therapy + D14/D14	68 to 72 Gy	OMR (G III–IV)

Note. NYCH therapy = nourishing Yin and clearing heat therapy; E/C = experimental groups/control groups; Y = year(s); *male and female not grouped; NA = not applicable; D = drug; D1 = gentamicin; D2 = metronidazole; D3 = tinidazole; D4 = dexamethasone; D5 = prednisone; D6 = lidocaine; D7 = procaine; D8 = tetracaine; D9 = vitamin B12; D10 = vitamin C; D11 = Kangfuxin solution; D12 = recombinant human epidermal growth factor, rhEGF; D13 = chymotrypsin; D14 = compound borax solution; D15 = compound chlorhexidine gargle; D16 = recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor injection, rhG-CSF; D17 = conventional Western medicine; TER = total effective rate; OMR (G III–IV) = Grade III–IV oral mucositis; OMR (TC) = time and cumulative of oral mucositis; XS = xerostomia score; SFR = saliva dynamic total flow rate.

the time of onset; (4) xerostomia scores (the details of xerostomia scores are included in Supplement 3.2); and (5) stimulated total saliva flow rate (the details of stimulated total saliva flow rate are included in Supplement 4).

2.5. Literature Screening and Data Extraction.
Two trained researchers independently screened the literature, extracted data, and evaluated the methodological quality of the included RCTs according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria (Kappa index = 0.842). Disagreements were resolved through discussion or by consulting a third reviewer. A homemade form was used to extract the following data: (1) basic information about the RCTs, including the title, first author and year of publication; (2) study characteristics, including general information regarding the study object, sample size, radiotherapy dose, and interventions; and (3) the above outcome indicators.

2.6. Quality Assessment.
The risk of bias of the included RCTs was evaluated by three trained researchers using the risk of bias assessment tool for RCTs recommended in the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* [38] in RevMan (version 5.4). Disagreements were resolved via discussion or by consulting a fourth trained researcher.

2.7. Statistical Analysis.
After collecting the data related to RCTs according to the requirements of our meta-analysis, statistical analysis was performed using RevMan (version 5.4) provided by the Cochrane Collaboration. The risk ratio (RR) was used as an effect indicator because the overall effective rate of oral mucositis and the incidence of Grade III–IV oral mucositis were dichotomous variables. However, because the remaining outcome indicators were continuous variables, the mean difference (MD) or standard mean difference (SMD) were used as effect indicators. Each effect size was expressed as a 95% confidence interval (95% CI). The chi-square (χ^2) test was used to test for heterogeneity in the results of studies included in the literature. A criterion of $p > 0.10$ $p > 0.10$ and $I^2 \leq 50\%$ indicated that there was no statistical heterogeneity among studies, and the data were combined for analysis using a fixed effects model. However,

a criterion of $p < 0.10$ and $I^2 > 50\%$ indicated statistical heterogeneity among studies and required analysis using a random effects model or, if necessary, a subgroup or sensitivity analysis. Funnel plots were used to assess potential publication bias for the included studies. For all analyses, $p < 0.05$ was considered to indicate a significant difference.

3. Results

3.1. Basic Characteristics of the Included RCTs.
The literature was screened according to the PRISMA statement, and a total of 393 studies were obtained after the preliminary search. Based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 67 studies were selected. Eventually, 30 well-designed RCTs [12, 18–26, 28–37, 39–48] were included for meta-analysis based on full-text reading and quality assessment. The literature search process and results are shown in Figure 1.

All 30 RCTs included were conducted in China. A total of 2562 patients with pathologically confirmed NPC, aged 18–78 years, were enrolled. All patients received initial radiotherapy at a dose of 60–78 Gy and concurrent chemotherapy. The basic characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 1.

Interventions in both the experimental and control groups were performed using conventional WM, as shown in Table 1. The patients in the experimental group received the TCM decoction orally (NYCH therapy) based on the control group. Although the formulas of the decoction varied among the experimental groups, the main prescription was to nourish Yin and clear heat.

Baseline comparability between the experimental and control groups was confirmed by comparing baseline information on the age, sex, and condition of patients using the Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing the risk of bias. The complete data on outcome indicators were available for the included RCTs, and no selective reporting of study outcomes was identified. No other sources of bias were identified in the remaining literature, except for 12 RCTs [12, 23, 28, 30, 33, 34, 36, 37, 40–42, 46] with partially missing data on baseline characteristics and 1 RCT [47] (Zhou, 2015) with a small sample size (Figure 2).

FIGURE 1: The flow diagram of the study selection process.

FIGURE 2: Risk of bias graph and summary. Each methodological quality item presented as percentages across all included studies and each risk of bias domain for each included study.

3.2. Overall Effective Rate of Oral Mucositis.

Seven RCTs [12,18,23,28,33,44,47] (n = 490) reported the overall effective rate of oral mucositis, which was determined 2 weeks after radiotherapy. A healing area >1/3 of the total ulcer area or a reduction in the number of ulcers by more than 1/3 was considered effective. The meta-analysis revealed that the overall effective rate of oral mucositis was significantly better in the experimental group than in the control group

(RR = 1.31, 95% CI [1.19–1.45], $p < 0.05$), with $I^2 = 23\%$ (<50%) for the heterogeneity test and $p = 0.26$ (>0.1) for the Q-test, suggesting slight and acceptable heterogeneity (Figure 3).

3.3. Incidence of Grade III–IV Oral Mucositis. The meta-analysis of 21 RCTs [20–22, 24–26, 29–35, 37, 39, 42–46, 48] ($n = 1840$) revealed that the incidence of Grade III–IV oral mucositis was lower in the experimental group than in the control group (RR = 0.27, 95% CI [0.23–0.33], $p < 0.001$), with $I^2 = 38\%$ (<50%) for the heterogeneity test but $p = 0.04$ (<0.1) for the Q-test, suggesting that heterogeneity among the selected RCTs was significant. Therefore, to investigate the sources of heterogeneity, a sensitivity analysis was conducted on the 21 RCTs. Meng (2014) [29] was found to have a large effect on heterogeneity. After excluding this study, a meta-analysis was conducted using a fixed effects model (RR = 0.25, 95% CI [0.21–0.31], $p < 0.001$) with $I^2 = 18\%$ (<50%) for the heterogeneity test and $p = 0.23$ (>0.1) for the Q-test, suggesting slight and acceptable heterogeneity. Moreover, the experimental group still showed a better effect (Figure 4(a)). On the other hand, the incidence of Grade IV oral mucositis was lower in the experimental group than in the control group (RR = 0.19, 95% CI [0.12–0.31], $p < 0.001$), with $I^2 = 0\%$ (<50%) for the heterogeneity test and $P = 1.0 p = 1.0$ (>0.1) for the Q-test, suggesting no heterogeneity (Figure 4(b)). After pooling the findings from the 20 RCTs, the new combined effect sizes did not change significantly when compared with the combined effect sizes before pooling, indicating low sensitivity and robust results.

Furthermore, 5 RCTs [25, 26, 35, 37, 48] ($n = 397$) that reported the incidence of Grade III–IV oral mucositis at cumulative radiotherapy doses of 40 Gy and 70 Gy were divided into two subgroups according to the cumulative radiotherapy dose for meta-analysis. The results revealed that the incidence of Grade III–IV oral mucositis in the experimental group was lower than that in the control group at a cumulative radiotherapy dose of 40 Gy (RR = 0.26, 95% CI [0.17–0.40], $p < 0.001$), with $I^2 = 0\%$ (<50%) for the heterogeneity test and $p = 1.0$ (>0.1) for the Q-test, suggesting no heterogeneity. Similarly, the incidence of Grade III–IV oral mucositis was lower in the experimental group than in the control group at the cumulative radiotherapy dose of 70 Gy (RR = 0.10, 95% CI [0.05–0.18], $p < 0.001$), with $I^2 = 16\%$ (<50%) for the heterogeneity test and $p = 0.31$ (>0.1) for the Q-test, suggesting slight and acceptable heterogeneity (Figure 4(c)).

Funnel plots were constructed to evaluate the publication bias among the included RCTs. No publication bias was found for Grade IV oral mucositis; however, the possibility of publication bias was found for Grade III–IV oral mucositis (Figures 4(d) and 4(e)).

3.4. Time to the Onset of Oral Mucositis and Cumulative Radiotherapy Dose at That Time. Regarding the time (days) from the start of radiotherapy to the onset of Grade I oral mucositis, 3 RCTs [29, 35, 41] ($n = 358$) reported the cumulative radiotherapy dose at that moment. The meta-analysis revealed a delayed onset of Grade I oral mucositis in the experimental group compared with the control group (MD = 10.80, 95% CI [9.32–12.28], $p < 0.001$), with $I^2 = 8\%$ (<50%) for the heterogeneity test and $p = 0.34$ (>0.1) for the Q-test, suggesting slight and acceptable heterogeneity. The cumulative radiotherapy dose (Gy) at the onset of Grade I oral mucositis was higher in the experimental group than in the control group (MD = 5.72, 95% CI [4.90–6.53], $p < 0.001$), with $I^2 = 0\%$ (<50%) for the heterogeneity test and $p = 0.57$ (>0.1) for the Q-test, suggesting no heterogeneity (Figure 5).

3.5. Xerostomia Score. The meta-analysis of 4 RCTs [19, 23, 40, 47] ($n = 255$) showed that compared with the patients in the control group, patients in the experimental group had less severe xerostomia symptoms and lower xerostomia scores (MD = -1.07, 95% CI [-1.14–1.00], $p < 0.001$), with $I^2 = 41\%$ (<50%) for the heterogeneity test and $p = 0.17$ (>0.1) for the Q-test, suggesting acceptable heterogeneity (Figure 6).

3.6. Stimulated Total Saliva Flow Rate (mL/min). The meta-analysis of 4 RCTs [19, 23, 36, 40] ($n = 289$) revealed that patients in the experimental group produced more saliva than patients in the control group (MD = 0.36, 95% CI [0.33–0.40], $p < 0.001$), with $I^2 = 5\%$ (<50%) for the heterogeneity test and $p = 0.37$ (>0.1) for the Q-test, suggesting slight and acceptable heterogeneity (Figure 6).

3.7. Herbal Monomers Used at High Frequencies. A total of 95 herbal monomers were used in the 30 RCTs. We found that 36 herbal monomers were used at a frequency of 3 times or more, of which 13 herbal monomers, including *Radix rehmanniae recen*, *Ophiopogon japonicus*, *Lonicera japonica*, *Radix scrophulariae*, *Adenophora stricta*, *Salvia miltiorrhiza*, Moutan bark, *Pardanthus*, *Radix Paeoniae Alba*, *Radix pseudostellariae*, *Dendrobium nobile*, *Oldenlandia diffusa*, and *Glycyrrhiza*, were used at a high frequency of 10 times or more (Table 2).

4. Discussion

At present, studies on the combined treatment of TCM and WM have focused on the evaluation of antitumor efficacy, whereas less attention has been devoted to adverse reactions associated with treatment. The incidence of NPC in China is the highest in the world, and oral mucositis has been the main treatment-related adverse effect affecting the survival quality of patients. In recent years, clinical studies on TCM for the treatment of RTOM in NPC have been increasingly reported in China and have shown good efficacy in patients. However, these studies had unconvincing conclusions with sample limitations and some inconsistent results. A meta-analysis was conducted in the present study by screening for clinical RCTs related to the NYCH therapy of RTOM in NPC and evaluating the efficacy of relevant outcome indicators.

Study or Subgroup	Experimental (TCM combined with WM) Events	Total	Control (WM) Events	Total	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Cao 2018	45	47	38	47	23.5%	1.18 [1.02, 1.38]	
Feng 2021	24	25	15	25	9.3%	1.60 [1.15, 2.23]	
Guo 2018	45	51	34	51	21.0%	1.32 [1.06, 1.65]	
Liu 2019	36	41	29	41	17.9%	1.24 [0.99, 1.56]	
Luo 2011	25	30	23	30	14.2%	1.09 [0.84, 1.40]	
Xu 2019	26	37	17	37	10.5%	1.53 [1.02, 2.30]	
Zhou 2015	12	14	6	14	3.7%	2.00 [1.05, 3.80]	
Total (95% CI)		245		245	100.0%	1.31 [1.19, 1.45]	
Total events	213		162				

Heterogeneity: Chi² = 7.76, df = 6 (P = 0.26); I² = 23%
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.36 (P < 0.00001)

FIGURE 3: Forest plot of total effective rate, traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) combined with Western medicine (WM) showed better effect than Western medicine (WM) alone with statistical significance (RR = 1.31, $p > 0.001$).

Study or Subgroup	Experimental (TCM combined with WM) Events	Total	Control (WM) Events	Total	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Bai 2008	10	140	28	140	7.1%	0.36 [0.18, 0.71]	
Deng 2015	3	30	9	30	2.3%	0.33 [0.10, 1.11]	
Feng 2020	4	32	10	32	2.5%	0.40 [0.14, 1.14]	
Gao 2020	4	40	26	40	6.6%	0.15 [0.06, 0.40]	
Huang 2003	5	52	30	49	7.8%	0.16 [0.07, 0.37]	
Huang 2019	3	40	23	40	5.8%	0.13 [0.04, 0.40]	
Li 2008	9	67	37	67	9.3%	0.24 [0.13, 0.46]	
Li 2018	3	40	13	40	3.3%	0.23 [0.07, 0.75]	
Liang 2014	0	30	11	30	2.9%	0.04 [0.00, 0.71]	
Liu 2019	6	40	17	41	4.2%	0.36 [0.16, 0.82]	
Meng 2014	13	31	22	31		Not estimable	
Shen 2012	11	40	26	40	6.6%	0.42 [0.24, 0.73]	
Tang 2005	2	40	6	40	1.5%	0.33 [0.07, 1.55]	
Wang 2010	6	30	20	30	5.1%	0.30 [0.14, 0.64]	
Wang 2016	11	45	30	40	8.0%	0.33 [0.19, 0.56]	
Xu 2019	9	37	18	37	4.5%	0.50 [0.26, 0.97]	
Yang 2019	4	34	12	34	3.0%	0.33 [0.12, 0.93]	
Yuan 2006	5	28	13	26	3.4%	0.36 [0.15, 0.86]	
Zhang 2007	1	48	20	48	5.1%	0.05 [0.01, 0.36]	
Zhao 2003	4	21	18	20	4.7%	0.21 [0.09, 0.52]	
Zou 2005	1	60	25	60	6.3%	0.04 [0.01, 0.29]	
Total (95% CI)		894		884	100.0%	0.25 [0.21, 0.31]	
Total events	101		392				

Heterogeneity: Chi² = 23.27, df = 19 (P = 0.23); I² = 18%
Test for overall effect: Z = 13.85 (P < 0.00001)

(a)

Study or Subgroup	Experimental (TCM combined with WM) Events	Total	Control (WM) Events	Total	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Bai 2008	0	140	1	140	1.5%	0.33 [0.01, 8.11]	
Deng 2015	0	30	7	30	7.4%	0.07 [0.00, 1.12]	
Feng 2020	0	32	2	32	2.5%	0.20 [0.01, 4.01]	
Gao 2020	1	40	8	40	7.9%	0.13 [0.02, 0.95]	
Huang 2003	0	52	8	49	8.6%	0.06 [0.00, 0.94]	
Huang 2019	0	40	1	40	1.5%	0.33 [0.01, 7.95]	
Li 2008	3	67	9	67	8.8%	0.33 [0.09, 1.18]	
Li 2018	1	40	5	40	4.9%	0.20 [0.02, 1.64]	
Liang 2014	0	30	2	30	2.5%	0.20 [0.01, 4.00]	
Liu 2019	1	41	6	41	5.9%	0.17 [0.02, 1.32]	
Meng 2014	0	31	2	31	2.5%	0.20 [0.01, 4.00]	
Shen 2012	2	40	7	40	6.9%	0.29 [0.06, 1.29]	
Tang 2005	0	40	2	40	2.5%	0.20 [0.01, 4.04]	
Wang 2010	1	30	5	30	4.9%	0.20 [0.02, 1.61]	
Wang 2016	1	45	2	40	2.1%	0.44 [0.04, 4.72]	
Xu 2019	1	37	8	37	7.9%	0.13 [0.02, 0.95]	
Yang 2019	1	34	4	34	3.9%	0.25 [0.03, 2.12]	
Yuan 2006	2	28	7	26	7.1%	0.27 [0.06, 1.16]	
Zhang 2007	0	48	2	48	2.5%	0.20 [0.01, 4.06]	
Zhao 2003	1	21	5	20	5.0%	0.19 [0.02, 1.49]	
Zou 2005	0	60	3	60	3.4%	0.14 [0.01, 2.71]	
Total (95% CI)		926		915	100.0%	0.19 [0.12, 0.31]	
Total events	15		96				

Heterogeneity: Chi² = 3.60, df = 20 (P = 1.00); I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 6.85 (P < 0.00001)

(b)

FIGURE 4: Continued.

Study or Subgroup	Experimental (TCM combined with WM) Events	Total	Control (WM) Events	Total	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
1.5.1 Radiotherapy dose (40Gy)							
Huang 2019	0	40	1	40	0.9%	0.33 [0.01, 7.95]	
Liang 2014	4	30	14	30	8.1%	0.29 [0.11, 0.77]	
Zhang 2007	6	48	25	48	14.4%	0.24 [0.11, 0.53]	
Zhao 2003	1	21	3	20	1.8%	0.32 [0.04, 2.80]	
Zou 2005	8	60	32	60	18.4%	0.25 [0.13, 0.50]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		199		198	43.6%	0.26 [0.17, 0.40]	
Total events	19		75				
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 0.14, df = 4 (P = 1.00); I² = 0%							
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.96 (P < 0.00001)							
1.5.2 Radiotherapy dose (70Gy)							
Huang 2019	3	40	23	40	13.3%	0.13 [0.04, 0.40]	
Liang 2014	0	30	11	30	6.6%	0.04 [0.00, 0.71]	
Zhang 2007	1	48	20	48	11.5%	0.05 [0.01, 0.36]	
Zhao 2003	4	21	18	20	10.6%	0.21 [0.09, 0.52]	
Zou 2005	1	60	25	60	14.4%	0.04 [0.01, 0.29]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		199		198	56.4%	0.10 [0.05, 0.18]	
Total events	9		97				
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 4.79, df = 4 (P = 0.31); I² = 16%							
Test for overall effect: Z = 7.32 (P < 0.00001)							
Total (95% CI)		398		396	100.0%	0.17 [0.12, 0.24]	
Total events	28		172				
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 8.63, df = 9 (P = 0.47); I² = 0%							
Test for overall effect: Z = 9.72 (P < 0.00001)							
Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 6.32, df = 1 (P = 0.01), I² = 84.2%							

Experimental (TCM combined with WM)   Control (WM)

(c)

(d)

(e)

FIGURE 4: Forest plots of oral mucositis, traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) combined with Western medicine (WM) showed a better effect than Western medicine (WM) alone with statistical significance. (a) Grade III–IV oral mucositis (RR = 0.25, $p < 0.001$), (b) Grade IV oral mucositis (RR = 0.19, $p < 0.001$), and (c) Grade III–IV oral mucositis. When radiation doses reached 40 Gy (RR = 0.26, $p < 0.001$) and 70 Gy (RR = 0.10, $p < 0.001$). Funnel plots of oral mucositis, (d) Grade III–IV oral mucositis were not bilaterally symmetric, suggesting the possibility of publication bias, (e) Grade IV oral mucositis was bilaterally symmetric, suggesting no publication bias.

Study or Subgroup	Experimental (TCM combined with WM)			Control (WM)			Weight	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI
	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total			
1.6.1 Occurrence time (Days)									
He 2017	41.4	10.5	100	28.5	12.3	100	5.1%	12.90 [9.73, 16.07]	
Meng 2014	30.26	3.27	31	20.1	3.89	31	15.9%	10.16 [8.37, 11.95]	
Zhang 2007	40.252	11.758	48	29.625	11.632	48	2.3%	10.63 [5.95, 15.31]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			179			179	23.3%	10.80 [9.32, 12.28]	
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 2.18, df = 2 (P = 0.34); I² = 8%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 14.32 (P < 0.00001)									
1.6.2 Accumulated dose (Gy)									
He 2017	23	5.5	100	17	4.8	100	24.8%	6.00 [4.57, 7.43]	
Meng 2014	20.06	3	31	14.09	2.04	31	31.2%	5.97 [4.69, 7.25]	
Zhang 2007	21	4.2	48	16	3.6	48	20.8%	5.00 [3.44, 6.56]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			179			179	76.7%	5.72 [4.90, 6.53]	
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 1.11, df = 2 (P = 0.57); I² = 0%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 13.77 (P < 0.00001)									
Total (95% CI)			358			358	100.0%	6.90 [6.19, 7.61]	
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 38.18, df = 5 (P < 0.00001); I² = 87%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 18.97 (P < 0.00001)									
Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 34.89, df = 1 (P < 0.00001), I² = 97.1%									

FIGURE 5: Forest plot of occurrence time (days) (MD = 10.80, $p < 0.001$) and accumulated dose (Gy) (MD = 5.72, $p < 0.001$). Traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) combined with Western medicine (WM) showed better effect than Western medicine (WM) alone with statistical significance.


Study or Subgroup	Experimental (TCM combined with WM)			Control (WM)			Weight	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI
	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total			
2.1.1 Xerostomia score									
Cao 2009	2.27	0.67	23	3.67	0.49	18	0.9%	−1.40 [−1.76, −1.04]	
Chen 2020	2.23	0.14	42	3.31	0.25	42	14.4%	−1.08 [−1.17, −0.99]	
Guo 2018	2.31	0.32	51	3.32	0.43	51	5.0%	−1.01 [−1.16, −0.86]	
Zhou 2015	2.27	0.56	14	3.12	0.56	14	0.6%	−0.85 [−1.26, −0.44]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			130			125	20.8%	−1.07 [−1.14, −1.00]	
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 5.08, df = 3 (P = 0.17); I² = 41%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 29.13 (P < 0.00001)									
2.1.2 Stimulated total saliva flow rate (ml/min)									
Cao 2009	0.57	0.18	23	0.23	0.09	18	15.1%	0.34 [0.26, 0.42]	
Chen 2020	0.69	0.18	42	0.35	0.14	42	22.7%	0.34 [0.27, 0.41]	
Guo 2018	0.68	0.19	51	0.31	0.09	51	32.4%	0.37 [0.31, 0.43]	
Zhang 2015	0.69	0.25	32	0.24	0.19	30	8.9%	0.45 [0.34, 0.56]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			148			141	79.2%	0.36 [0.33, 0.40]	
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 3.16, df = 3 (P = 0.37); I² = 5%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 19.35 (P < 0.00001)									
Total (95% CI)			278			266	100.0%	0.07 [0.03, 0.10]	
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 1215.90, df = 7 (P < 0.00001); I² = 99%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.92 (P < 0.0001)									
Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 1207.65, df = 1 (P < 0.00001), I² = 99.9%									

FIGURE 6: Forest plot of xerostomia score (MD = -1.07, $p < 0.001$) and stimulated total saliva flow rate (MD = 0.36, $p < 0.001$). Traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) combined with Western medicine (WM) showed better effect than Western medicine (WM) alone with statistical significance.

TABLE 2: High frequency monomer of TCM used 10 times or more.

Herbs	RCT
Radix rehmanniae recen	29
Ophiopogon japonicus	27
Glycyrrhiza	24
Lonicera japonica	16
Radix scrophulariae	16
Adenophora stricta	14
Moutan bark	11
Radix pseudostellariae	11
Salvia miltiorrhiza	11
Pardanthus	10
Dendrobium nobile	10
Radix Paeoniae Alba	10
Oldenlandia diffusa	10

Our study aimed to provide higher-quality clinical evidence for TCM treatment in cases of unsatisfactory WM therapy. To date, meta-analyses related to NYCH therapy of RTOM in NPC have not been reported.

In our study, a total of 30 RCTs ($n = 2562$) were included for meta-analysis. The results revealed that NYCH therapy was effective in the prevention and treatment of RTOM in NPC. First, the overall effective rate of the experimental group was higher than that of the control group. Notably, the incidence of severe oral mucositis (Grade III–IV) was lower in the experimental group than in the control group, especially the incidence of Grade IV oral mucositis, indicating superior efficacy of the experimental group compared with the control group. Moreover, treatment efficacy was evaluated at two different points in time of a cumulative dose of 40 Gy and 70 Gy, and the results revealed that the incidence of Grade III–IV oral mucositis was significantly lower in the experimental group than in the control group at both doses. These findings suggest that NYCH therapy not only reduces the incidence of RTOM in NPC but also prevents the progression of mild or moderate-to-severe oral mucositis. Second, compared with the control group, the experimental group had a significantly delayed onset of acute oral

mucositis, and acute oral mucositis only began to appear at a higher cumulative radiotherapy dose. Third, the experimental group had a significantly lower xerostomia score and a higher stimulated total saliva flow rate than the control group at the end of radiotherapy, suggesting that salivary gland secretion function was better protected during radiotherapy in the experimental group, with a lower incidence and severity of xerostomia.

According to TCM, radiation has pathogenic characteristics and is a heat-promoting and toxin-inducing procedure. Heat can be turned into fire, which can burn body fluid and exhaust Qi, resulting in Qi-Yin deficiency, thus producing symptoms such as xerostomia, sore throat, oral ulcers, and dysphagia. Therefore, TCM practitioners usually use Chinese herbal medicines that benefit Qi, nourish Yin, clear heat, and detoxify toxins to treat radiation injuries, such as RTOM.

Screening for active ingredients and assessing the underlying pharmacological mechanisms of TCM were also performed in the current research. Our study found 13 Chinese herbal medicines with a high frequency of application in NYCH therapy (Table 2). It was revealed that the main active ingredients in all 13 medicines [17, 27, 49–61] included flavonoids, terpenoids, steroids, sterols, coumarins, and emodin, which have anti-inflammatory, analgesic, and wound healing effects. The anti-inflammatory mechanism may be related to the inhibition of signaling pathways such as nuclear factor kappa-B (NF-κB), mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-Akt (PI3K-Akt), Janus kinase-signal transducers, and activators of transcription (JAK-STAT) [62–69]. In vitro experiments showed that acetylated iridoid glycosides obtained from *Radix scrophulariae* had a stimulating effect on the growth of human epidermal fibroblasts, which may be a potential mechanism to promote wound healing [17, 55, 56]. Future research should investigate the potential mechanisms of modern pharmacology for NYCH therapy, especially the 13 Chinese herbal medicines that were reported herein to have a high frequency of application.

The present meta-analysis had some limitations. First, most studies included had unclear methods of randomization, unclear allocation concealment, unblinded designs, no placebo controls, no loss to follow-up or withdrawals, and no intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis. Second, the experimental groups varied in drug composition, administered dose, method of administration, frequency of administration, and duration of administration. In addition, conventional WM treatments were not uniform and could not be systematically summarized.

The results of this research revealed that NYCH therapy was effective in preventing and treating RTOM in NPC, providing a basis for future multicenter and high-quality RCTs to develop guidance for clinical treatment. Modern pharmacological studies have reported herbal formulas used for NYCH therapy with a large number of anti-inflammatory and analgesic herbal monomers. These herbal medicines are viable alternatives to unsatisfactory WM therapy and may accelerate Chinese medicine pharmacology development.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, a systematic review and meta-analysis in the present study demonstrated that NYCH therapy has higher efficacy in treating RTOM in NPC, with a higher overall effective rate, lower incidence of RTOM, and delayed onset, preventing the progression of mild or moderate-to-severe oral mucositis and relieving serious xerostomia.

Data Availability

All the data generated or analyzed during this study are included within the article.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

All studies were carried out by the authors. J. S. Huang and G. F. Guo were involved in the conception and design; J. S. Huang, J. Kan, T. Fan, and Q. Quan were involved in the analysis and interpretation of the data; J. S. Huang, B. Zhang, and G. F. Guo were involved in the drafting of the paper or revising it critically for intellectual content. All authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Acknowledgments

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary material is available at ResearchGate online. (*Supplementary Materials*)

References

[1] Y.-P. Chen, A. T. C. Chan, Q.-T. Le, P. Blanchard, Y. Sun, and J. Ma, "Nasopharyngeal carcinoma," *The Lancet*, vol. 394, no. 10192, pp. 64–80, 2019.

[2] E. T. Chang, W. Ye, Y.-X. Zeng, and H.-O. Adami, "The evolving epidemiology of nasopharyngeal carcinoma," *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 1035–1047, 2021.

[3] S. H. Hutajulu, D. Howdon, K. W. Taroeno-Hariadi et al., "Survival outcome and prognostic factors of patients with nasopharyngeal cancer in Yogyakarta, Indonesia: a hospital-based retrospective study," *PLoS One*, vol. 16, no. 2, p. e0246638, 2021.

[4] G. Daugelaite et al., "Prevention and treatment of chemotherapy and radiotherapy induced oral mucositis," *Medicina (Kaunas)*, vol. 55, no. 2, 2019.

[5] S. Liu, Q. Zhao, Z. Zheng et al., "Status of treatment and prophylaxis for radiation-induced oral mucositis in patients

with head and neck cancer," *Frontiers in Oncology*, vol. 11, p. 642575, 2021.

[6] P. Gugnacki and E. Sierko, "Is there an interplay between oral microbiome, head and neck carcinoma and radiation-induced oral mucositis?" *Cancers (Basel)*, vol. 13, no. 23, 2021.

[7] D. Rao, F. Behzadi, R. T. Le, R. Dagan, and P. Fiester, "Radiation induced mucositis: what the radiologist needs to know," *Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology*, vol. 50, no. 6, pp. 899–904, 2021.

[8] O. M. Maria, N. Eliopoulos, and T. Muanza, "Radiation-induced oral mucositis," *Frontiers in Oncology*, vol. 7, p. 89, 2017.

[9] L. F. Judge, M. K. Farrugia, and A. K. Singh, "Narrative review of the management of oral mucositis during chemoradiation for head and neck cancer," *Annals of Translational Medicine*, vol. 9, no. 10, p. 916, 2021.

[10] V. Singh and A. Singh, "Oral mucositis," *National Journal of Maxillofacial Surgery*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 159–168, 2020.

[11] Z. Zheng, X Zhao, Q Zhao et al., "The effects of early nutritional intervention on oral mucositis and nutritional status of patients with head and neck cancer treated with radiotherapy," *Frontiers in oncology*, vol. 10, p. 595632, 2020.

[12] S. B. Feng, Z. B. Ke, and X. C. Lun, "Effect of Chinese medicine on xerostomia after radiotherapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma," *Chinese Journal of Clinical Rational Drug Use*, vol. 14, no. 08, pp. 141-142, 2021.

[13] X. H. He, L. Ma, Y. Li et al., "Clinical study of Chinese medicine atomization in the treatment of nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients with radioactive oral mucositis," *ShanDong Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 38, no. 11, pp. 1046–1050, 2019.

[14] L. R. Lu, S. Pan, and R. Yang, "Clinical observation on treatment of xerostomia after radiotherapy of nasopharyngeal carcinoma with Wumei Decoction gargle," *Journal of Oncology in Chinese Medicine*, vol. 3, no. 04, pp. 114–116, 2021.

[15] S. Jiang and T. Wang, "Effects of acupuncture combined with radiotherapy and chemotherapy on VEGF, TGF-β1 and immune function of nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients," *Clinical Education of General Practice*, vol. 18, no. 01, pp. 24–27+31, 2020.

[16] E. H. Zhang, Y. J. Dai, and R. J. Ji, "Effect of shuangliao Houfeng Powder combined with vitamin B tablet on oral mucosa reaction induced by radiotherapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma," *Journal of Preventive Medicine of Chinese People's Liberation Army*, vol. 35, no. 07, pp. 777–779+801, 2017.

[17] J. H. Kim, Y. J. Ban, A. Baiseitova et al., "Iridal-type triterpenoids displaying human neutrophil elastase inhibition and anti-inflammatory effects from belamcanda chinensis," *Molecules*, vol. 26, no. 21, 2021.

[18] Q. Q. Cao, Y. Q. Zhang, and F. Zeng, "Clinical study on nourishing yin clearing heat and detoxification method in treatment of radioactive stomatitis," *China Journal of Chinese Medicine*, vol. 33, no. 05, pp. 709–712, 2018.

[19] H. Chen, W. Wang, P. F. Li, and F. F. Li, "Effect of nourishing yin and removing pathogenic factors in the treatment of xerostomia after radiotherapy of nasopharyngeal carcinoma," *China Medical Herald*, vol. 17, no. 10, pp. 130–133, 2020.

[20] F. Deng, Y. J. Li, Z. B. Cai, and W. K. Huang, "Curative observation of applying ziyin qingre method to treat radiation stomatitis of nasopharyngeal carcinoma," *Journal of Sichuan Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 33, no. 02, pp. 148–151, 2015.

[21] Z. Y. Feng and Y. P. Li, "Clinical study on treatment of radioactive stomatitis in nasopharyngeal carcinoma with Yangyin qingrejiedu prescription," *Bao Jian Wen Hui*, no. 17, pp. 96-97, 2020.

[22] P. Gao, "Effects of radiotherapy and chemotherapy combined with TCM method of cooling blood and generating fluid on patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma," *Practical Clinical Journal of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine*, vol. 20, no. 05, pp. 45-46, 2020.

[23] H. Guo, X. X. You, M. M. Wei, T. Si, and Y. Shi, "Efficacy observation of obtaining yin from yang method in the treatment of xerostomia after radiotherapy in patients with nasaopharyngeal carcinoma," *Journal of Nanjing University of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 34, no. 01, pp. 63–65, 2018.

[24] G. X. Huang, C. Zhao, F. Han et al., "Clinical study in prophylactic use of Chinese medicine to prevent chemoradiotherapy induced mucositis in nasopharyngeal carcinoma," *Chinese Journal of Cancer*, vol. 22, no. 10, pp. 1804–1807, 2003.

[25] X. Q. Huang, L. Wang, and X. H. Meng, "Effect of traditional Chinese medicine prescription combined with riboflavin on the prevention and treatment of nasopharyngeal carcinoma radioactive oral mucosa reaction," *Chinese General Practice Nursing*, vol. 17, no. 21, pp. 2586–2588, 2019.

[26] S. Q. Liang, Y. Zou, and Y. Y. Wang, "Treatment of nasopharyngeal carcinoma acute radioactive stomatitis and xerostomia with Chinese medicine: a clinical study of 30 cases," *Nei Mongol Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 33, no. 15, pp. 3-4, 2014.

[27] J. Liu, T. Zhu, Q. Niu, X. Yang, H. Suo, and H. Zhang, "Dendrobium nobile alkaloids protects against H2O2-induced neuronal injury by suppressing JAK-STATs pathway activation in N2A cells," *Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 716–724, 2020.

[28] H. C. Luo, *The Clinical Study of Treatment in Syndrome Qi and Yin Deficiency of Nasopharyngeal with the Method of Yi Qi Yang Yin Prescription*, , p. 39, FuJian University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 2011.

[29] C. Q. Meng, *Clinical Study on Radiotherapy Jiedu Decoction in Treating Oral Mucosa Reaction that Caused by Radiotherapy of NPC*, Chengdu University of Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China, 2014.

[30] Z. Y. Tang and Q. S. Tu, "Clinical observation on effect of supplemented shengmai san (SMS) to acute radioactive reaction of nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC)," *China Medical Engineering*, no. 04, pp. 398–400, 2005.

[31] Y. Z. Wang, W. Feng, Z. Wang, X. Zhou, and X. Zeng, "Clinical observation on yangyin shengxue mixture in prevention and treatment of radiation injury of oral mucosa in nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients: a report of 30 cases," *Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 51, no. 01, pp. 44–46, 2010.

[32] C. Y. Wang, "Clinical observation on the treatment of nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients with acute radioactive stomatitis by Chinese medicine combined with Western medicine," *Journal of Emergency in Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 25, no. 08, pp. 1617–1619, 2016.

[33] M. Xu, "Clinical observation on the effect of treating nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients with yangyin qingre jiedu decoction," *Clinical Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 31, no. 03, pp. 538–540, 2019.

[34] Y. P. Yang, H. T. Liu, X. F. Song, S. J. Yang, G. N. Dong, and J. Q. Gu, "Application of Sanshendiyu Decoction combined with Kangfuxin solution in radiotherapy of nasopharyngeal carcinoma and its effect on oral mucosa reaction cellular immune function and quality of life," *Journal of Sichuan*

Traditional Chinese Medicine, vol. 37, no. 01, pp. 180–183, 2019.

[35] H. Zhang, Y. Y. Wang, X. He, B. R. Zeng, and Z. F. Zhang, "Clinical study of liyanjiedu decoction for the prophylaxis and treatment of acute radiotherapy- induced oral mucositis of nasopharyngeal carcinoma," *Chinese Journal of Information on Traditional Chinese Medicine*, no. 09, pp. 15–17, 2007.

[36] Y. F. Zhang and M. Zhang, "Effect of Jiawei Zengye Decoction on xerostomia after radiotherapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma," *Modern Journal of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine*, vol. 24, no. 03, pp. 308-309, 2015.

[37] T. Zhao, B. H. Wei, and X. Li, "Observation on preventing and controlling mouth mucositis of 41 cases with therapy of nourishing yin to produce body fluid after radiotherapy of nasopharyngeal cancer," *Journal of BeiJing University of Traditional Chinese Medicine(Clinical Medicine)*, no. 03, pp. 16–18, 2003.

[38] M. Cumpston, T Li, M. J Page et al., "Updated guidance for trusted systematic reviews: a new edition of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions," *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*, vol. 10, p. ED000142, 2019.

[39] H. F. Bai, J. Zhang, Q. H. Jiang, L. Li, S. P. Wei, and X. Y. Huang, "Observation and nursing care of traditional Chinese medicine to intervene nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients undergoing radiotherapy and chemotherapy with acute adverse reaction," *Chinese Nursing Research*, no. 19, pp. 1735-1736, 2008.

[40] Y. J. Cao, C. S. Cai, B. Wei, and L. Chen, "Observation on the curative effect of treating xerostomia after radiotherapy of nasopharyngeal carcinoma by acid-sweet - transforming Yin method," *China Medical Herald*, vol. 6, no. 14, pp. 50-51, 2009.

[41] S. Z. He, Q. Y. Liang, L. Q. Dong et al., "Clinical study of Jinju Xiandihuang Decoction on oral mucosa reaction caused by radiotherapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma," *Traditional Chinese Medicinal Research*, vol. 30, no. 01, pp. 21-22, 2017.

[42] R. L. Li and S. R. Lin, "Clinical study on prophylactic use of Chinese medicine to prevent radiation induced oral mucositis in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma," *Chinese Journal of Stomatological Research (Electronic Version)*, no. 03, pp. 260–263, 2008.

[43] Q. Li, *Clinical Observation of Shengmai and Zengye Decoction Combined with Radiotherapy for Treatment of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma with Deficiency of Both Qi and Yin*, Heilongjiang University of Chinese Medicine, Heilongjiang, 2018.

[44] J. Liu, Y. Z. Xing, J. Li, J. Huang, and T. Xia, "Study on the efficacy of qingre liangxue powder assisting western medicine on acute radiation mucosi-tis secondary to treatment of nasopharyngeal carcinoma," *Journal of Emergency in Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 28, no. 11, pp. 1946–1949, 2019.

[45] H. M. Shen, J. Wang, L. Q. Jia, Y. J. Zhou, and J. Huang, "Effect on cytokines and clinical outcomes of treatment of acute radiation-induced stomatitis by Modified Yangyin Qingfei decoction," *ChongQing Medicine*, vol. 41, no. 24, pp. 2469–2471, 2012.

[46] G. R. Yuan, L. Q. Lu, Z. Q. Qing, Q. Xue, L. Gao, and T. W. Zhao, "Clinical study on effect enhancement and toxicity reduction of Jiawei Qingying Decoction on nasopharyngeal carcinoma radiotherapy," *Chinese Archives of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, no. 04, pp. 670-671, 2006.

[47] Y. P. Zhou, "Treatment of xerostomia after radiotherapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma with Modified Shengmai Powder: observation of 28 cases," *Journal of Practical Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 31, no. 10, pp. 901-902, 2015.

[48] Y. H. Zou, X. M. Liu, and L. R. Tan, "Clinical study on Chinese herbal medicine for prevention and treatment of 60 cases of acute radiation oropharyngeal inflammation of nasopharyngeal carcinoma," *Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, no. 07, pp. 520–522, 2005.

[49] M. Li, H. Jiang, Y. Hao et al., "A systematic review on botany, processing, application, phytochemistry and pharmacological action of Radix Rehmnnniae," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 285, Article ID 114820, 2022.

[50] C. Chen, J.-L. Shen, T. Wang et al., "Ophiopogon japonicus inhibits white spot syndrome virus proliferation in vivo and enhances immune response in Chinese mitten crab Eriocheir sinensis," *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, vol. 119, pp. 432–441, 2021.

[51] X. Tang, X. Liu, J. Zhong, and R. Fang, "Potential application of *Lonicera japonica* extracts in animal production: from the perspective of intestinal Health," *Frontiers in Microbiology*, vol. 12, Article ID 719877, 2021.

[52] R. Yang, L. U. Yuan, H. A. O. Hao, Z. Man-da, X. U. A. N. Jing, and Z. Yong-Qing, "[Research progress on chemical constituents and pharmacological activities of iridoid glycosides in *Lonicera japonica*]," *Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi*, vol. 46, no. 11, pp. 2746–2752, 2021.

[53] H. J. Lee, H. L. Kim, D. R. Lee, B. K. Choi, and S. H. Yang, "Scrophulariae Radix: an overview of its biological activities and nutraceutical and pharmaceutical applications," *Molecules*, vol. 26, no. 17, 2021.

[54] C. Wang, N. Zhang, Z. Wang et al., "Rapid characterization of chemical constituents of Platycodon grandiflorum and its adulterant Adenophora stricta by UPLC-QTOF-MS/MS," *Journal of Mass Spectrometry*, vol. 52, no. 10, pp. 643–656, 2017.

[55] X. Wang, Y. Yang, X. Liu, and X. Gao, "Pharmacological properties of tanshinones, the natural products from Salvia miltiorrhiza," *Pharmacological Advances in Natural Product Drug Discovery*, vol. 87, pp. 43–70, 2020.

[56] S. Yang, X. Liu, J. He, and M. Liu, "Insight into seasonal change of phytochemicals, antioxidant, and anti-aging activities of root bark of paeonia suffruticosa (cortex moutan) combined with multivariate statistical analysis," *Molecules*, vol. 26, no. 20, 2021.

[57] Y.-Q. Tan, H.-W. Chen, J. Li, and Q.-J. Wu, "Efficacy, chemical constituents, and pharmacological actions of Radix Paeoniae rubra and Radix Paeoniae Alba," *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, vol. 11, p. 1054, 2020.

[58] Z. Fang, X. Hu, Z. Chen et al., "Radix pseudostellariae of Danzhi Jiangtang capsule relieves oxidative stress of vascular endothelium in diabetic macroangiopathy," *Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 683–691, 2020.

[59] W.-H. Hsu, C.-P. Chung, Y.-Y. Wang et al., "Dendrobium nobile protects retinal cells from UV-induced oxidative stress damage via Nrf2/HO-1 and MAPK pathways," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 288, Article ID 114886, 2022.

[60] Y. Lv and Y. Wang, "Chemical constituents from Oldenlandia diffusa and their cytotoxic effects on human cancer cell lines," *Natural Product Research*, vol. 22, pp. 1–7, 2021.

[61] M. Wang, W. Yang, X. Liu et al., "Two new compounds with Nrf2 inducing activity from Glycyrrhiza uralensis," *Natural Product Research*, vol. 35, no. 22, pp. 4357–4364, 2021.

[62] Y. S. Ku, M. S Ng, S. S Cheng et al., "Understanding the composition, biosynthesis, accumulation and transport of flavonoids in crops for the promotion of crops as healthy sources of flavonoids for human consumption," *Nutrients*, vol. 12, no. 6, 2020.

[63] K. Wen, X. Fang, J. Yang et al., "Recent research on flavonoids and their biomedical applications," *Current Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 1042–1066, 2021.

[64] E. C. D. Gonçalves, G. M Baldasso, M. A Bicca, R. S Paes, R Capasso, and R. C Dutra, "Terpenoids, cannabimimetic ligands, beyond the cannabis plant," *Molecules (Basel, Switzerland)*, vol. 25, no. 7, 2020.

[65] N. Crispino and F. Ciccia, "JAK/STAT pathway and nociceptive cytokine signalling in rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis," *Clin Exp Rheumatol*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 668–675, 2021.

[66] J.-F. Xue, Z.-M. Shi, J. Zou, and X.-L. Li, "Inhibition of PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway promotes autophagy of articular chondrocytes and attenuates inflammatory response in rats with osteoarthritis," *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*, vol. 89, pp. 1252–1261, 2017.

[67] Y. Cao, J Chen, G Ren, Y Zhang, X Tan, and L Yang, "Punicalagin prevents inflammation in LPS-induced RAW264.7 macrophages by inhibiting FoxO3a/autophagy signaling pathway," *Nutrients*, vol. 11, no. 11, 2019.

[68] R. A. Burgos, P Alarcón, J Quiroga, C Manosalva, and J Hancke, "Andrographolide, an anti-inflammatory multitarget drug: all roads lead to cellular metabolism," *Molecules (Basel, Switzerland)*, vol. 26, no. 1, 2020.

[69] H. Yu, L. Lin, Z. Zhang, H. Zhang, and H. Hu, "Targeting NF-κB pathway for the therapy of diseases: mechanism and clinical study," *Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy*, vol. 5, no. 1, p. 209, 2020.