Commentary: Usefulness of corneal epithelial thickness measurement

Corneal epithelium is the anteriormost layer of the cornea, which contributes to refractive power of the eye, minimizes stromal irregularity, as well as provides a smooth corneal surface. Measurement of corneal epithelial thickness may provide answers to some unresolved mysteries as to what causes regression after uneventful refractive surgery. A concrete diagnostic tool to diagnose subclinical keratoconus also may help in better and predictable outcomes after phototherapeutic keratectomy (PTK) for corneal irregularities. A complete knowledge of the normal epithelial thickness is the key in understanding the pathologies related to changes in corneal epithelium.

Various imaging modalities can be used to measure the epithelial thickness, although none of them have been considered as the gold standard. These include the confocal microscopy, high-frequency ultrasound optical coherence tomography (OCT), and Scheimpflug imaging.^[1] Average corneal epithelium of normal eyes as measured by OCT (spectral domain) is 53.4 \pm 3.2 μm in most of the studies, while it is 54.1 \pm 2.96 μ m as measured by very high-frequency ultrasound (VHFU).^[2] Anterior Segment Optical Coherence Tomography (AS-OCT) gives a high-resolution two-dimensional image of a three-dimensional structure. Since it is a non-contact method, it is comfortable for the patient and also avoids the risk of corneal abrasion and infection. An important point to remember is that it is unable to differentiate the tear film from the epithelium, so this must be taken into account when evaluating OCT images, whereas VHFU disrupts the tear film with the coupling fluid, and therefore does not incorporate the tear film thickness. The earliest AS-OCT was described in 1994, which was based on time domain and had a very low resolution of 30 µm and could not delineate the epithelium. Then came the spectral domain OCT (SD-OCT) with a better resolution, but it could image only 6 mm diameter of the cornea. The latest SD-OCT machine scans at an axial resolution of 5 μ m and covers 9 mm diameter.^[3] Reproducibility of this technology has been confirmed by another study.^[4] A study carried out by Hashmani et al.^[5] on 220 normal eyes found that the center of the corneal epithelium is thicker than the periphery in all zones, except the nasal zone. The superior quadrant was found to be thinnest, while the inferior quadrant was found to be thickest. Similar observation was published in an Indian study of 263 patients.^[6] In another study of 67 normal eyes, the epithelial thickness was non-uniform and independent of the underlying corneal thickness.^[7] It was thinner in the superior and superotemporal sectors of the 2–5 mm zone (4.95 ± 9.96) and $4.1 \pm 6.88 \,\mu\text{m}$ thinner than the radially opposite inferior and inferonasal sectors, respectively). The reason for such an asymmetrical pattern of epithelial thickness has been explained by many theories. One is that blinking abrades the superior corneal epithelium, causing desquamation and thinning of the superior cornea. The upper eyelid also applies a greater force on the cornea due to gravity. Lastly, a theory proposed by King-Smith et al.^[8] suggests that pooling of the tear film in the inferior meridian causes a falsely more reading inferiorly.

Thus, the measurement of corneal epithelial thickness due to development of imaging technologies has paved a way to understand the epithelial response to various corneal insults. The essential role of the epithelium is to minimize stromal irregularity. This manifests in the form of epithelial thinning in focally steep regions of the cornea and thickening in regions with stromal tissue loss. The change in epithelial thickness is essentially independent of underlying stromal thickness changes, but is dependent on the change of the surface curvature.^[9] This is seen in patients with high myopia undergoing refractive surgery. With more extensive central tissue ablation, there is significantly more thickening of the epithelium postoperatively. The most important role of measuring epithelial thickness lies in the diagnosis of subclinical keratoconus. Rocha *et al.*,^[10] in their study, reported the apical thickness of keratoconic patients to be significantly thinner at 41.18 ± 6.47 µm, whereas Li *et al.*^[11] reported it as $51.9 \pm 5.3 \mu m.^{[10,11]}$ It has been established that the keratoconus patient had significantly higher epithelial thickness variability.^[11] The morphology of the epithelium thinning over the cone and thickening around it in patients with keratoconus has been classically described as "doughnut" shaped.

Although not yet established as a diagnostic modality for keratoconus suspects, epithelial thickness with the pattern variables and Scheimpflug variables may have a role in future for the determination of keratoconus suspects. Like how visual fields are essential for following the progression of glaucoma patients, epithelial thickness profile and pattern change over a period of time may play a decisive role in the field of keratoconus diagnosis and management.

Anchal Thakur, Arun K Jain

Advanced Eye Centre, Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India

Correspondence to: Prof. Arun K Jain, Professor of Ophthalmology, Advanced Eye Center, Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (PGIMER), Sector 12, Chandigarh - 160 012, India. E-mail: aronkjain@yahoo.com

References

- Hwang ES, Schallhorn JM, Randleman JB. Utility of regional epithelial thickness measurements in corneal evaluation. Surv Ophthalmol 2020;65:187-204.
- Reinstein DZ, Yap TE, Archer TJ, Gobbe M, Silverman RH. Comparison of corneal epithelial thickness measurement between Fourier-domain OCT and very high frequency digital ultrasound. J Refract Surg 2015;31:438-45.
- Han SB, Liu YC, Noriega KM, Mehta JS. Applications of anterior segment optical coherence tomography in cornea and ocular surface diseases. J Ophthalmol 2016;2016:4971572.
- Li Y, Gokul A, McGhee C, Ziael M. Repeatability of corneal and epithelial thickness measurements with anterior segment optical coherence tomography in keratoconus. PLoS One 2021;16:e0248350.

- Hashmani N, Hashmani S, Saad CM. Wide corneal epithelial mapping using an optical coherence tomography. Investig Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2018;59:1652-8.
- Hoshing AA, Bhosale S, Samant MP, Bamne A, Kalyankar H. A cross-sectional study to determine the normal corneal epithelial thickness in Indian population using 9-mm wide optical coherence tomography scans. Indian J Ophthalmol 2021;69:2425-9.
- Malhotra C, Gupta B, Dhiman R, Jain AK, Gupta A, Ram J. Corneal and corneal epithelial thickness distribution characteristics in healthy North Indian eyes using spectral domain optical coherence tomography. Indian J Ophthalmol 2022;70:1171-8.
- King-Smith P, Fink B, Fogt N, Nichols KK, Hill RM, Wilson GS. The thickness of the human precorneal tera film: Evidence from reflection spectra. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2000;41:3348-59.
- 9. Huang D, Swanson EA, Lin CP, Schuman JS, Stinson WG, Chang W, *et al.* Optical coherence tomography. Science 1991;254:1178-81.
- Rocha KM, Perez-Straziota E, Stulting RD, Randleman JB. SD-OCT analysis of regional epithelial thickness profiles in keratoconus, postoperative corneal ectasia, and normal eyes. J Refract Surg 2013;29:173-9.
- Li Y, Tan O, Brass R, Weiss JL, Huang D. Corneal epithelial thickness mapping by Fourier-domain optical coherence tomography in normal and keratoconus eyes. Ophthalmology 2012;119:2425-33.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website:
	www.ijo.in
	DOI: 10.4103/ijo.IJO_3062_21

Cite this article as: Thakur A, Jain AK. Commentary: Usefulness of corneal epithelial thickness measurement. Indian J Ophthalmol 2022;70:1178-9.