LETTER Physician Burnout: Designing Strategies Based on Agency and Subgroup Needs [Letter]

Ihuoma O Njoku¹, Eliza L Chin², Meredith CB Adams³

¹Department of Psychiatry, Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, 19104, USA; ²American Medical Women's Association, Schaumburg, IL, USA; ³Departments of Anesthesiology, Artificial Intelligence, Translational Neuroscience, and Public Health Sciences, Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC, USA

Correspondence: Ihuoma O Njoku, Department of Psychiatry, Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, 3535 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA, 19104, USA, Email Drihuomanjoku@gmail.com

Dear editor

Demographic Differences

The article by Underdahl, Ditri, and Duthely¹ conceptualizes the issue of physician burnout across some physician identities. Understanding how gender, age, and specialty impact the development and perpetuation of burnout is fundamental to prevention. As we focus on subgroup differences, evaluating the role of gender in the factors leading to the development of burnout may provide a framework for understanding some of the larger themes. Gender-based differences exist in the workload stress, satisfaction, and usability of electronic health records (EHRs), potentially contributing to escalating burnout among physicians.²

EHR Impact

When discussing physician agency and autonomy, evaluating the ability to leverage tools to improve these components is a needed solution to decrease task load.³ For most physicians, EHR environments are workspaces where one size fits no one. As healthcare becomes increasingly metric-driven, patient portals and EHR messaging have created a new layer of patient expectations and uncompensated workloads.⁴ New regulations mandating patient access to medical records carry an additional workload and ethical concerns.

Agency, Autonomy, Belonging, and the Medical Humanities

The goal of technology must be to decrease the burden of administrative tasks. This increases opportunities for restoring work fulfillment. Agency, a key protector for burnout, is the ability to enact change. In addition to time constraints, EHR inflexibility and design factors cognitively burden and interfere with opportunities for physicians to use their creative problem-solving skills. Further, these expanding digital expectations interfere with scheduling flexibility and opportunities to build connections and a sense of belonging within their chosen community. Emerging work on belonging signals its protection against the development of burnout, a difficulty in the cultures and subcultures of physicians where conforming to group identity can be critical to professional success.⁵ A developing area of research highlights the incorporation of the medical humanities as a preventive factor for burnout as a method for physicians to reconnect with their "why" and rekindle the joy of medicine.⁶

We agree with the authors that there are a myriad of factors that contribute to burnout. Using technology and innovation as prevention strategies, we must ensure that we are intentional about implementing changes for specific groups while growing our understanding of potentially differing needs. Our hope is this letter brings attention to the need for more research to support a foundation of belonging and focus on burnout prevention rather than identification and treatment. Preventing burnout and increasing belonging will require a comprehensive understanding of the drivers, perpetuators, and mitigators of burnout within medical groups.

CC 0 S C 2024 Njoku et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dov epress.com/terms work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

Funding

No funding was received for this communication.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

- 1. Underdahl L, Ditri M, Duthely LM. Physician burnout: evidence-based roadmaps to prioritizing and supporting personal wellbeing. J Healthc Leadersh. 2024;16:15–27. doi:10.2147/JHL.S389245
- Khairat S, Coleman C, Ottmar P, Bice T, Koppel R, Carson SS. Physicians' gender and their use of electronic health records: findings from a mixedmethods usability study. J Am Med Inf Assoc. 2019;26(12):1505. doi:10.1093/jamia/ocz126
- 3. Melnick ER, Harry E, Sinsky CA, et al. Perceived electronic health record usability as a predictor of task load and burnout among US physicians: mediation analysis. *J Med Internet Res.* 2020;22(12):e23382. doi:10.2196/23382
- 4. Budd J. Burnout related to electronic health record use in primary care. J Prim Care Community Health. 2023;14. doi:10.1177/21501319231166921
- 5. Adjo J, Maybank A, Prakash V. Building inclusive work environments. Pediatrics. 2021;148(Supplement 2). doi:10.1542/peds.2021-051440E
- 6. Mangione S, Chakraborti C, Staltari G, et al. Medical students' exposure to the humanities correlates with positive personal qualities and reduced burnout: a multi-institutional US survey. J Gen Intern Med. 2018;33(5):628–634. doi:10.1007/s11606-017-4275-8

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The contentTxt of the Journal of Healthcare Leadership 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Journal of Healthcare Leadership editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the contentTxt of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the contentTxt of any letter, nor is it responsible for the contentTxt and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Journal of Healthcare Leadership

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Healthcare Leadership is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on leadership for the health profession. The journal is committed to the rapid publication of research focusing on but not limited to: Healthcare policy and law; Theoretical and practical aspects healthcare delivery; Interactions between healthcare and society and evidence-based practices; Interdisciplinary decision-making; Philosophical and ethical issues; Hazard management; Research and opinion for health leadership; Leadership assessment. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-healthcare-leadership-journal

https://doi.org/10.2147/JHL.S464957

210 f 🏏 in 🕨 DovePress