

## Correspondence

### **Introducing pentavalent vaccine in EPI in India: A counsel for prudence in interpreting scientific literature**

Sir,

Lone *et al*<sup>1</sup>, have cautioned about the introduction of pentavalent vaccine, that also includes vaccine against *Haemophilus influenzae* type b (Hib), citing several studies in support of the lower Hib disease burden in India, which stems from errors in interpretation of scientific literature. Authors have chosen to quote the result (obtained through RTI) of an ICMR study<sup>2</sup> as “incidence of all-cause pneumonia was 30 per 1000 children under-five and mortality was 0.3 per 1000 children under-five in Anaicut block, Vellore’ and compared it with UNICEF’s estimations. However, there are several anomalies in their interpretations.

Firstly, ICMR study<sup>2</sup> estimate is about ‘incidence of severe clinical pneumonia in 2 months to less than two-year-old children’ rather than ‘incidence of all cause pneumonia in children less than 5 years’ as is mentioned in their editorial. Incidence of all-cause pneumonia is estimated to be ten times the incidence of severe clinical pneumonia<sup>3</sup>. Hence the incidence of all cause pneumonia in the stated study would be at least around 300 per 1000 children in the age group of 2 month to 2 years in the study area. ICMR study had information on mortality of children in 2 months to less than 2 years of age at the time of discharge from the study hospitals and it did not include those who may have died at home after discharge or those who had pneumonia but were not admitted in the study hospitals. Moreover, if a child was admitted to a study hospital with severe pneumonia, he/she was treated with appropriate antibiotics and hence his/her chances of survival increased manifold as compared to children who may not have received treatment with appropriate antibiotics at home or at other health facilities. Further, enhanced surveillance was set up in the study blocks by especially recruited community volunteers for the study to create awareness among the parents about the

symptoms and signs of pneumonia so that they can avail appropriate treatment at the earliest. For ethical reason special efforts were also made in the study area to facilitate referral transport and treatment to reduce mortality due to pneumonia among children enrolled in the study, which is usually not the case in the general population. However, parents continued to exercise their choice of either not taking any treatment or choosing treatment from other sources than the study hospitals. The objective of the ICMR study was to ascertain the feasibility of doing a Hib probe study to estimate the Hib vaccine preventable disease burden. It was not specifically set up to estimate mortality due to pneumonia among the under-five-children. Hence, comparison of ICMR study data with UNICEF’s estimates of childhood mortality due to pneumonia is not justified. UNICEF’s estimates of childhood mortality due to pneumonia (14/1000 under-five-children) is comparable to the results of two other studies<sup>4</sup>. Child Health Epidemiology Reference Group (CHERG) had estimated around 43 million cases and 4,08,000 deaths due to pneumonia in India based upon categories of risk factors for childhood clinical pneumonia in community<sup>5</sup>. Based on these statistics, we estimate the incidence and under-5 mortality due to pneumonia to be 275 per 1000 under-five children and 16 per 1000 live births respectively. The Million Death Study (MDS), using verbal autopsy method to ascertain cause of death for 12,260 child (1-59 months) deaths, has also estimated 3,69,000 deaths due to pneumonia in India in 2005<sup>6</sup>. A lung puncture study using counter-current immunoelectrophoresis technique (CIE), done among children admitted with severe acute lower respiratory infection (SALRI) at Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education & Research (PGIMER) Chandigarh, found *Haemophilus influenzae* in 15.8 per cent cases<sup>7</sup>. It is estimated that Hib is responsible for 13-19 per cent of pneumonia and lower lung disease<sup>8-11</sup>. Hence, incidence

of Hib pneumonia will be about 44/1000 children in less than 5 yr olds, leading to about 6,88,000 cases of Hib pneumonia in India every year.

Lone *et al*<sup>1</sup> have quoted Vellore study<sup>12</sup> in support of their contention that there is very low incidence of Hib meningitis in India. However, the authors of this study conclude that the incidence of Hib meningitis found in Vellore (7.1, 95% CI: 3.1-14) is the minimal estimate for the region due to passive hospital based nature of surveillance in this study. This reason is also substantiated by findings from Lombok study where only about 28 per cent of the Hib meningitis cases could be detected through hospital-based passive surveillance<sup>13</sup>. Black *et al*<sup>14</sup> have estimated 31,607 deaths attributable to meningitis in India after taking into account cases currently prevented by the Hib vaccination (Hib vaccine is available and used in India). Assuming 16.7 per cent meningitis deaths due to Hib<sup>13</sup>, and 25 per cent case fatality rate for Hib meningitis<sup>15-16</sup>, the annual number of cases and deaths due to Hib meningitis among under-5 yr old children in the Indian birth cohort of 27 million will be 21,113 and 5,278 respectively.

Secondly, authors have pointed out flaws in the Bangladesh study which in fact was not a Hib probe study<sup>17</sup>. They argue that ‘3-doses of vaccine were ineffective in Bangladesh setting and hence the study investigators resorted to data dredging by presenting results for effectiveness of 2-doses of Hib vaccine’. It needs to be highlighted that rather than a controlled experiment, the Bangladesh study was done in a routine programme setting and had a drop out of 65 per cent from 1<sup>st</sup> to 3<sup>rd</sup> dose of Hib vaccine, which has been cited as a limitation of the study. Hence, presentation of effectiveness of 2 doses of Hib is to our understanding appropriate.

Thirdly, a Cochrane review<sup>18</sup> cited in the editorial<sup>1</sup> has been mis-interpreted as they say ‘review has shown that the combination of Hib with DPT and HBV is less effective than vaccines given separately’ whereas the Cochrane review concedes that “none of the 18 studies had data on clinical outcomes for primary outcome” hence, it was based on immunogenicity trials. Overall, the Cochrane review concludes that “we could not conclude that the immune response elicited by the combined vaccine was different from or equivalent to the separate vaccines”. It goes on to state that “the differences rely mostly on one study each” and “no

study uses an intention-to-treat analysis and we are uncertain in risk of bias in many of the studies” .

Lastly, we agree with Lone *et al*<sup>1</sup> that Hib disease with its associated risk factors affect the poorest of the poor. Data from India show highly inequitable distribution of infectious diseases among children as well as to access of curative health care<sup>19</sup>. Hence, it is implied that the redistributive effect of Hib vaccine will largely accrue to the poorest sections of society. We think the decision about introduction of pentavalent vaccine in India should be based on a careful review of all available evidence including the cost-effectiveness analysis.

**Madhu Gupta\*, Shankar Prinja,  
Dinesh Kumar & Rajesh Kumar**

PGIMER School of Public Health  
Chandigarh 160 012, India

*\*For correspondence:*

Dr Madhu Gupta

Assistant Professor of Community Medicine  
PGIMER School of Public Health

Chandigarh 160 012, India

madhugupta21@gmail.com

## References

1. Lone Z, Puliyeel JM. Introducing pentavalent vaccine in the EPI in India: a counsel for caution. *Indian J Med Res* 2010; 132 : 1-3.
2. Gupta M, Kumar R, Deb AK, Bhattacharya SK, Bose A, John J, *et al*. Multi-center surveillance for pneumonia & meningitis among children (<2 yr) for Hib vaccine probe trial preparation in India. *Indian J Med Res* 2010; 131 : 649-58.
3. Acharya D, Prasanna KS, Nair S, Rao RS. Acute respiratory infections in children: a community based longitudinal study in south India. *Indian J Public Health* 2003; 47 : 7-13.
4. UNICEF/World Health Organization. Pneumonia: the forgotten killer of children. Available from: [http://www.unicef.org/publications/files/Pneumonia\\_The\\_Forgotten\\_Killer\\_of\\_Children.pdf](http://www.unicef.org/publications/files/Pneumonia_The_Forgotten_Killer_of_Children.pdf); accessed on September 3, 2010.
5. Rudan I, Boschi-Pinto C, Biloglav Z, Mulholland K, Campbell H. Epidemiology and etiology of childhood pneumonia. *Bull World Health Organ* 2008; 86 : 408-16.
6. Million Death Study Collaborators, Bassani DS, Kumar R, Awasthi S, Morris SK, Paul VK, Shet A, *et al*. Causes of neonatal and child mortality in India: a nationally representative mortality survey. *Lancet* 2010; 376 : 1853-60.
7. Kumar L, Ayyagari A. The etiology of lobar pneumonia and empyema thoracis in children. *Indian Pediatr* 1984; 21 : 133-8.
8. Bahl R, Mishra S, Sharma D, Singhal A, Kumari S. A bacteriological study in hospitalized children with pneumonia. *Ann Trop Paediatr* 1995; 15 : 173-7.

9. Kumar L. Severe acute lower respiratory tract infection: etiology and management. *Indian J Pediatr* 1987; 54 : 189-98.
10. Patwari AK, Bisht S, Srinivasan A, Deb M, Chattopadhy D. Aetiology of pneumonia in hospitalized children. *J Trop Pediatr* 1996; 42 : 15-20.
11. Invasive Bacterial Infections Surveillance (IBIS) Group of the International Clinical Epidemiology Network. Are *Haemophilus influenzae* infections a significant problem in India? A prospective study and review. *Clin Infect Dis* 2002; 34 : 949-57.
12. Minz S, Balraj V, Lalitha MK, Murali N, Cherian T, Manoharan G, *et al.* Incidence of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b meningitis in India. *Indian J Med Res* 2008; 128 : 57-64.
13. Gessner BD, Sutanto A, Linehan M, Djelantik IG, Gerudug K, *et al.* Incidences of vaccine preventable *Haemophilus influenzae* type b pneumonia and meningitis in Indonesian children: hamlet-randomised vaccine-probe trial. *Lancet* 2005; 365 : 43-52.
14. Black RE, Cousens S, Johnson HL, Lawn JE, Rudan I, Bassani DG, *et al.* Child Health Epidemiology Reference Group of WHO and UNICEF. Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality in 2008: a systematic analysis. *Lancet* 2010; 375 : 1969-87.
15. Kabra SK, Kumar P, Verma IC, Mukherjee D, Chowdhary BH, Sengupta S, *et al.* Bacterial meningitis in India: an IJP survey. *Indian Pediatr* 1991; 58 : 505-11.
16. Chinchankar N, Mane M, Bhav S, Bapat S, Bavdekar A, Pandit A, *et al.* Diagnosis and outcome of acute bacterial meningitis in early childhood. *Indian J Pediatr* 2002; 39 : 914-21.
17. Baqui AH, El Arifeen S, Saha SK, Persson L, Zaman K, Gessner BD, *et al.* Effectiveness of *Haemophilus influenzae* type B conjugate vaccine on prevention of pneumonia and meningitis in Bangladeshi children: a case-control study. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2007; 26 : 565-71.
18. Bar-On ES, Goldberg E, Fraser A, Vidal L, Hellmann S, Leibovici L. Combined DTP-HBV-HIB vaccine versus separately administered DTP-HBV and HIB vaccines for primary prevention of diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, hepatitis B and *Haemophilus influenzae* B (Hib). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009, (3) CD005530.
19. Gwatkin D, Rutstein S, Johnson K, Pande R, Wagstaff A. *Socioeconomic differences in health, nutrition and population: health, nutrition and population discussion paper*. Washington: World Bank; 2000.