Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal of Veterinary Science and Medicine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijvsm

Full Length Article

Genotyping of *Streptococcus uberis* isolates in healing process of bovine clinical mastitis



Veterinary

Christina Werner^{a,b}, Claudia Sauerwald^c, Albert Sundrum^a, Amr El-Sayed^{c,d}, Michael Zschöck^{c,*}

^a Department of Animal Nutrition and Animal Health, Faculty of Organic Agricultural Sciences, University of Kassel, D-37213 Witzenhausen, Germany

^b Food and Veterinary Office, District of Waldeck – Frankenberg, D-35066 Frankenberg, Germany

^c Landesbetrieb Hessisches Landeslabor, Department of Veterinary Medicine, D-35392 Gießen, Germany

^d Department of Internal Medicine and Animal Infectious Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, Egypt

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Bovine mastitis Milk Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis Streptococcus uberis

ABSTRACT

In the present work, macrorestriction analysis was applied to characterize 44 *S. uberis* field strains isolated from lactating cows suffering from mastitis in three dairy herds in Hesse State, Germany. Analysis of the obtained data by Pulse-Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE) showed that most of the isolates originating from different herds and cows were not related to each other. However, identical macrorestriction patterns were noted in 12 of 13 mastitic quarters in healing process, in three quarters even over the whole sampling period indicating persistent infection. In the present work, PFGE could detect variable levels of similarity ranging from 76 to 100%. The macrorestriction analyses revealed the presence of 10 *S. uberis* PFGE pattern with more than four bands difference. PFGE profiles with minor differences (only one to three bands) were considered to be subtypes. The use of sensitive genotyping methods like macrorestriction analyses by PFGE enables the differentiation among new and persistent infections. Nevertheless minor changes in macrorestriction profiles could occur which are clearly distinguishable from totally unrelated strains.

1. Introduction

Mastitis is one of the most costly problems in animal industry. The disease can be induced by more than 150 different bacterial species and subspecies. One of the most frequent environmental pathogens inducing bovine mastitis in dairy herds with an increasing tendency worldwide is the *Streptococcus (S.) uberis* [1]. Control programs concerning contagious mastitis pathogens were successfully performed in well-managed dairy herds [2]. In contrast *S. uberis* held responsible for a large proportion of both clinical and subclinical mastitis in lactating and non-lactating dairy cows [3,4]. While it is considered mainly as an environmental pathogen isolated in large numbers from bedding material, faeces and water [5], a recent study indicated that a limited number of *S. uberis* clones are capable of contagious transmission among cows via milking machines [6,7].

Ineffective treatment of acute udder infections caused by *S. uberis* may lead to chronic infections that can persist throughout and even for subsequent lactations [8,9]. To improve infection control measures and to recognize persistent infections in dairy herds, the knowledge about the genetic diversity of *S. uberis* is an essential precondition. Field

isolates of *S. uberis* were genotyped in previous studies using isolates from subclinical mastitis or environmental sources. For this purpose, various molecular tools were used such as Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE) or Multilocus-Sequence Typing (MLST) [2,10–12]. In the present study, PFGE assay was applied in order to characterize *S. uberis* isolates from mild to moderate clinical mastitis at different time points post infection in German herds. The aim of the present work is to investigate genetic diversity among field *S. uberis* strains isolated from mastitic cows under treatment in order to differentiate between a persistent infection from re- or new infections. The study aimed also to explore the presence of dominant *S. uberis* clones in infected herds.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Samples

In the present work, quarter milk samples were collected aseptically from 147 clinical mastitis cases at five times pre and post treatment (day 0, 7, 14, 28 and 56 after infection) to evaluate the bacteriological cure rate. The samples were obtained from three moderate size dairy

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: Peter-Michael.Zschoeck@lhl.hessen.de (M. Zschöck).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijvsm.2018.09.005

Received 31 August 2018; Received in revised form 30 September 2018; Accepted 30 September 2018 Available online 16 October 2018

2314-4599/ © 2018 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY-NC-ND/4.0/).

Peer review under responsibility of Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University.

herds (between 75 and 300 dairy cows) in Hesse State, Germany. The mean distance between each farm was about 60 km. Dairy cows in both herds (A and B) were kept in cubicle yards while those in herd (C) were kept in a deep litter house.

2.2. Bacteriological and biochemical identification of the field isolates

Colonies suspected to be *S. uberis* were subcultured for further identification and characterization where one colony per sample was randomly selected for this purpose. From three cows (C177, C408 and B357) two colonies were subcultured at the same point of investigation to detect a coinfection with different strains of *S. uberis*. The isolates were collected according to the procedure recommended by the International Dairy Federation as described by Werner et al. [13,14]. In Brief, the collected isolates were re-cultured on Columbia esculin blood agar (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) to examine their culturing ability and haemolytic characteristics, followed by biochemical characterization to species level using the API 20 STREP[®] system (BioMérieux, Nürtingen, Germany). The results were interpreted according to the manufacturers' instructions. Additional *S. uberis* control strains were included in the study; the strains were obtained from the strain collection of the LHL, Germany.

2.3. Molecular identification and macrorestriction analysis of the isolates

In order to confirm the data obtained from the phenotypic identification, all cultured *S. uberis* isolates were genotypically characterized using tDNA-ILP-PCR as described by Zschöck et al. [15]. Confirmed isolates to be *S. uberis* field strains (n = 44, isolated from 13 lactating cows) were then subjected to fingerprinting based on macrorestriction analysis of the bacterial chromosomal DNA for genotyping of the field isolates according to Soedarmanto et al. [16].

The preparation of whole bacterial DNA was carried out in agarose gel plugs followed by the digestion of the bacterial genome with the restriction enzyme *Sma*I. This step was then followed by fragment separation by PFGE using the pulse time described by Baseggio et al. [17]. The interpretation of the restriction patterns was performed as described by Tenover et al. [18]. Briefly, bacterial isolates yielding the same PFGE pattern were considered identical. Isolates differing by one up to three bands were defined as closely related. Bacterial isolates containing more than four bands difference were considered unrelated. A dendrogram analysis of the restriction patterns was additionally performed using Bionumerics software 5.1 (Applied Maths, Belgium) with the DICE coefficient and the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic means (UPGMA) with a band position tolerance of 5%.

3. Results

In the present work 147 mastitic guarter milk samples were involved. Bacteriological examination of the milk samples could identify S. uberis as the causative agent in 42% (n = 38) of the samples on day (0) of the investigation. Repeated sampling during the course of the treatment showed that 25 (65.8%) of these cases cured and became bacteriologically negative. However, in the rest (13 out of the 38 infected quarters), S. uberis could be re-isolated from at least one additional sample post treatment. The 13 infected quarters belonged to 13 different cows (2 from herd A, 2 from herd B, and 9 from herd C). Follow up bacteriological examination of the 13 cows at the 7th day could detect S. uberis in 11 samples. The number of positive reactors decreased to 8 cows at the 14th day, and only 7 cows after 21 days. Meanwhile, the infection persisted in 5 cows till the 56th day of infection. The grown 44 S. uberis colonies (13 + 11 + 8 + 7 + 5 isolates)and the three colonies of the double investigations at one sampling-date were subjected to fingerprinting.

Monitoring of the persistence of *S. uberis* in dairy cattle under treatment showed that in one cow the *S. uberis* could be isolated in the

Distribution and recovery of	PFGE-patterns of Streptococcus uberis isolates									
Distribution and recovery of PFGE-patterns of <i>Streptococcus uberis</i> isolates $(n = 47)$ from bovine clinical mastitis cases with regard to herd, cow, gland and infantional dama										
infections' days.										

			PFGE patterns recovered									
Herd	Cow	Gland	Primarily isolated pattern	Post ¹ 7	Post 14	Post 28	Post 56					
А	30	LF	AI	AI	-	-	-					
Α	42	LF	AII	AII	AII	AII	-					
В	357	LF	BI/BI	BII	BIIa	BIII	BIIIa					
В	344	LR	BIV	BIV	x	-	x					
С	105	RF	CI	x	-	-	_					
С	177	RR	CII	CII	CII	CII	CII/CII					
С	251	RR	CIII	-	-	CIV	-					
С	254	RR	CIIIa	CIVa	CIVa	-	-					
С	264	LF	CV	CV	CV	CV	CV					
С	293	LR	CVI	-	-	CVI	-					
С	298	LR	CVII	CVII	CVII	CVIII	CVIII					
С	321	LF	CIX	CIX	x	-	-					
С	408	LR	CX/CX	CXa	-	-	-					

Abbreviation: LF, left front; LR, left rear; RF, right front; RR, right rear; - = no growth; x = *S*. *uberis* isolate (not possible to evaluate macrorestriction profile; A, B, C = designation of herds; AI-CX = Designation of different PFGE patterns of herds A to C (e.g. BI = first pattern detected in herd B; BIa = subtype of B with a 5% difference; BI/BI = two identical pattern from samples taken at the same time).

¹ Days after infection.

days 0,7,14 and 56 but not in the days 28. In another two cows the pathogen could be detected in the 0 and 28 days but not in the 7, 14 or 56 day (Table 1).

Further PFGE typing could be successfully performed from 43 out of the 47 isolates as four isolates were not possible to evaluate. A total of 16 *S. uberis* PFGE pattern with more than four bands difference could be distinguished. Five of the PFGE patterns showed minor differences (only one up to three bands) and were classified as subtypes.

For the description of the degree of the relationship among the isolates, different terms were used. The cluster (PFGE-type with a 100% match in their pattern as well as PFGE subtypes with a minimum 95% match rate were grouped into a cluster amount). The PFGE-type (a special pattern of a field-isolate, if the restriction pattern is indistinguishable it might be the same PFGE-type. A field isolate with a maximum difference of 5% (95% accordance of bands of a pattern) to a pattern of another field isolate was assumed to be the same PFGE-type. This is usually the case when the PFGE-pattern differs max. in two to three bands. It might be caused by a single genetic event. A field isolate might be closely related to the outbreak strain). The PFGE-Subtypes are those PFGE patterns with a relationship up to 80% between the field-strain pattern. The obtained results of PFGE-typing are summarized in (Table 1 and Fig. 1).

Evaluation of the dendrogram analysis showed levels of similarity between 74 and 100% (Table 2). The similarity between strains from different herds was in some cases higher than the in-herd similarity. PFGE-type BI (Herd B) had 94% relationship to PFGE-type CVI (Herd C). Other strains with a high genetic accordance from different herds were AI:CII (85%), AII:BIII (89%), BI:CIII (87%). The relationship (Dice coefficient) between the obtained PFGE-types (n = 16) of *S. uberis* isolates (n = 43) are illustrated in Table 2.

4. Discussion

In the present work, all involved field isolates (n = 47) were identified phenotypically as well as genotypically as *S. uberis*. For the typing of isolates at their strain level, PFGE was used due to its high discriminatory index in distinguishing field strains of *S. uberis* as recommended previously [1,19] This was confirmed by the delivered



Fig. 1. Pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) patterns of 44 *Streptococcus uberis* isolated from clinical milk samples of three dairy farms (designed as A, B, and C), digested with the *Smal* restriction enzyme. The dendrogram was constructed with the BioNumerics software 5.1 (Applied Maths NV, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium) choosing the Dice coefficient setting with a band position tolerance of 5%. The horizontal scale on the left side (100 to 74) indicates the level of similarity in per cent among fingerprints. The 95% of similarities indicates the minimal levels for defining clusters and subtypes, respectively.

Table 2 Relationship (Dice coefficient) between the identified PFGE-types (n = 16) of the investigated S. uberis isolates (n = 43).

		PFGE-pattern															
		AI	AII	BI	BII	BIII	BIV	CI	CII	CIII	CIV	CV	CVI	CVII	CVIII	CIX	CX
PFGE-pattern	AI	100*	78	81,5	81,5	78	81,5	74	85	81,5	78	78	81,5	81,5	81,5	74	84,5
	AII	78	100	78	78	89	78	74	78	83	83	83	78	78	78	74	78
	BI	81,5	78	100	90,5	78	90,5	74	81,5	87	78	78	94	87	87	74	81,5
	BII	81,5	78	90,5	100	78	94	74	81,5	78	78	78	90	87	87	74	81,5
	BIII	78	89	78	78	100	78	74	78	83	83	83	78	78	78	74	78
	BIV	81,5	78	90,5	94	78	100	74	87	78	78	78	90	87	87	74	81,5
	CI	74	74	74	74	74	74	100	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	83,5	74
	CII	85	78	81,5	81,5	78	87	74	100	78	78	78	81,5	81,5	81,5	74	90,5
	CIII	81,5	83	87	78	83	78	74	78	100	84	90,5	78	78	78	74	78
	CIV	78	83	78	78	83	78	74	78	86,5	100	86,5	78	78	78	74	78
	CV	78	83	78	78	83	78	74	78	90,5	86,5	100	78	78	78	74	78
	CVI	81,5	78	94	90	78	90	74	81,5	78	78	78	100	87	87	74	81,5
	CVII	81,5	78	87	87	78	87	74	81,5	78	78	78	87	100	88,5	74	81,5
	CVIII	81,5	78	87	87	78	87	74	81,5	78	78	78	87	88,5	100	74	81,5
	CIX	74	74	74	74	74	74	83,5	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	100	74
	CX	84,5	78	81,5	81,5	78	81,5	74	90,5	78	78	78	81,5	81,5	81,5	74	100

* Dice coefficient.

data in the present work where a weak relationship among the detected genotypic profiles could be noticed. The data showed that *S. uberis* isolated from different herds belonged to different genotypic groups with various sets of PFGE patterns. These results are in agreement with the results of previously published reports [8,12]. On the other hand strains with a high genetic similarity could be found in different herds (e.g.: PFGE-type BI and CVI: dice coefficient 94%, Table 2). This underlines that *S. uberis* is a causative agent for environmental mastitis predominantly.

Differences among genetic profiles of *S. uberis* field strains were not only seen among isolates originating from different herds. The present work, and in contrast to the data presented by Phuektes et al. [2], reports the presence of many genetic profiles of *S. uberis* within the same herd. The analysis of the obtained PFGE profiles showed great genetic variability among the isolates as reported previously by Reinoso et al. [19]. Some of the detected PFGE patterns were unique and sporadic; others from the farm C were closely related and even identical. In farm C, two closely related strains (with the PFGE pattern CIII/CIIIa) could be isolated from two different cows. However, the overall analysis of the pattern indicates the absence of predominant endemic/epidemic clones of *S. uberis*. This conclusion contradicts that of Phuektes et al. and Khan et al. [2,10] who suggested the dominance of certain contagious clones of *S. uberis* in infected herds.

Indeed, the heterogeneity of PFGE patterns in one hand and the close relationship among other strains on the other hand (Fig. 1) confirm the epidemiological role of *S. uberis* in mastitis induction via cowto-cow transmission in agreement with previous reports [1,6]. However, this suggestion counteracts the widespread believe that *S. uberis* infections usually result from environmental infections [2,8,12,17].

In two out of the 13 sampled quarters (cows 177, 264), the same *S. uberis* strain was isolated in all milk samples collected up to 8 weeks after infection suggesting the persistence of this *S. uberis* clone in the udder. The cows were treated with cloxacillin, but a treatment failure has to be stated in these cases. Conversely, in another mastitic udder quarter (cows no. 251, 254), an obvious pathogen-change could be stated. The *S. uberis* isolate showed another PFGE-profile on day 28 after infection as that recovered at the first sampling and followed by two samplings where no bacteria could be isolated indicating a new or super infection. However, McDougall et al. [8] considered the possibility that strains of various macrorestriction types of *S. uberis* were present in one milk sample on day of infection, but only one of them could be identified depending on the laboratory technique used. Another explanation was provided by Pryor et al. [20] who experimentally induced intramammary mixed infection with multiple strains of *S.*

uberis with the result that in 70% of the udder quarters, a single strain seemed to be responsible for the development of infection because it predominated in strain typing using repetitive extragenic palindromic (REP)-PCR. A coinfection with different strains of *S. uberis* in samples of three cows (B357, C177, C408) was not observed.

The macrorestriction profile of that isolate changed at least four times within the sampling period. However, these differences were relatively minor as these isolates were closely related to each other. These findings lead to the assumption that genetic changes had also occurred in persisting isolates and that these *S. uberis* types were rather udder-associated than from environmental sources.

5. Conclusions

In summary, the findings of the present study confirmed mainly the epidemiological knowledge of *S. uberis*. Using sensitive methods for investigating genetic relationships between different bacterial isolates like marcrorestriction analysis, a persistent infection is clearly distinguishable from a new infection. Cow to cow infections with *S. uberis* could occur in dairy herds.

Competing interests

All authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

The endurance of the farmers in permitting repeated sampling of cows suffering from mastitis within their herds is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] Loures R, de Pádua Pereira U, de Carvalho Castro G, Mian G, da Costa Custódio D, da Silva J, et al. Genetic diversity and virulence genes in *Streptococcus uberis* strains isolated from bovine mastitis. Semina: Ciênc Agrár 2017;38:2595–606.
- [2] Phuektes P, Mansell PD, Dyson RS, Hooper ND, Dick JS, Browning GF. Molecular epidemiology of *Streptococcus uberis* isolates from dairy cows with mastitis. J Clin Microbiol 2001;39:1460–6.
- [3] McDougall S. Efficacy of two antibiotic treatments in curing clinical and subclinical mastitis in lactating dairy cows. N Z Vet J 1998;46:226–32.
- [4] Patel D, Almeida RA, Dunlap JR, Oliver SP. Bovine lactoferrin serves as a molecular bridge for internalization of *Streptococcus uberis* into bovine mammary epithelial cells. Vet Microbiol 2009;137:297–301.
- [5] Lopez-Benavides MG, Williamson JH, Pullinger GD, Lacy-Hulbert SJ, Cursons RT, Leigh JA. Field observations on the variation of *Streptococcus uberis* populations in a pasture-based dairy farm. J Dairy Sci 2007;90:5558–66.

- [6] Zadoks RN, Gillespie BE, Barkema HW, Sampimon OC, Oliver SP, Schukken YH. Clinical, epidemiological and molecular characteristics of *Streptococcus uberis* infections in dairy herds. Epidemiol Infect 2003;130:335–49.
- [7] El-Sayed A, Awad W, Abdou N, Vázquez H. Molecular biological tools applied for identification of mastitis causing pathogens. Int J Vet Sci Med 2017;5:89–97.
- [8] McDougall S, Parkinson TJ, Leyland M, Anniss FM, Fenwick SG. Duration of Infection and Strain Variation in *Streptococcus uberis* Isolated from Cows' Milk. J Dairy Sci 2004;87:2062–72.
- [9] Oliver SP, Almeida RA, Calvinho RF. Virulence factors of Streptococcus uberis isolated from cows with mastitis. Zentralbl Veterinärmed B 1998;45:461–71.
- [10] Khan U, Hassan AA, Abdulmawjood A, Lämmler C, Wolter W, Zschöck M. Identification and epidemiological characterization of *Streptococcus uberis* isolated from bovine mastitis using conventional and molecular methods. J Vet Sci 2003;4:213–23.
- [11] Rato MG, Bexiga R, Florindo C, Cavaco LM, Vilela CL. Antimicrobial resistance and molecular epidemiology of streptococci from bovine mastitis. Vet Microbiol 2013;161:286–94.
- [12] Wang L, Chen W, Zhang L, Zhu Y. Genetic diversity of *Streptococcus uberis* isolates from dairy cows with subclinical mastitis in Southern Xinjiang Province, China. J Gen Appl Microbiol 2013;59:287–93.
- [13] Werner C, Sobiraj A, Sundrum A. Efficacy of homeopathic and antibiotic treatment strategies in cases of mild and moderate clinical mastitis. J Dairy Res

2010;77:460-7.

- [14] International Dairy Federation. Laboratory methods for use in mastitis work 1981. Document No 132 IDF, Brussels, Belgium.
- [15] Zschöck M, Manhold-Maurer S, Wescher A, Merl K, Khan I, Lämmler C. Evaluation of tRNA intergenic spacer length polymorphism analysis as a molecular method for species identification of streptococcal isolates from bovine mastitis. J Dairy Res 2005;72:333–7.
- [16] Soedarmanto I, Pasaribu FH, Wibawan IW, Lämmler C. Identification and molecular characterization of serological group C streptococci isolated from diseased pigs and monkeys in Indonesia. J Clin Microbiol 1996;34:2201–4.
- [17] Baseggio NP, Mansell P, Browning WJ, Browning GF. Strain differentiation of isolates of streptococci from bovine mastitis by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. Mol Cell Probes 1997;11:349–54.
- [18] Tenover FC, Arbeit RD, Goering RV, Mickelsen PA, Murray BE, Persing DH, et al. Interpreting chromosomal DNA restriction patterns produced by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis: criteria for bacterial strain typing. J Clin Microbiol 1995;33:2233–9.
- [19] Reinoso E, Lasagno M, Odierno L. Genetic patterns of *Streptococcus uberis* isolated from bovine mastitis. Rev Arg de Microbiol 2015;47:108–11.
- [20] Pryor SM, Cursons RT, Williamson JH, Lacy-Hulbert SJ. Experimentally induced intramammary infection with multiple strains of *Streptococcus uberis*. J Dairy Sci 2009;92:5467–75.