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#### Abstract

Background: Associations among body composition measures have been limited to crosssectional analyses of different subjects. We identified cross-sectional relationships between body mass index (BMI) and other body composition measures and predicted body composition measures from BMI throughout childhood and adolescence.

Methods: BMI was calculated and \% body fat ( $\% \mathrm{BF}$ ), fat mass index ( FMI ), and fat-free mass index (FFMI) were measured using dual energy x-ray absorptiometry at ages $5,9,11,13,15$, and 17 years in a birth cohort ( $\mathrm{n}=629$ ). Sex-specific body composition measures were calculated for BMI-for-age percentiles, associations between BMI and body composition measures were characterized; and body composition measures were predicted from BMI.

Results: \%BF, FMI, and FFMI generally increased with BMI-for-age percentiles at each age. Correlations between BMI and $\%$ BF or FMI were generally higher at BMI-for-age percentiles $\geq$ $95 \%$ than for lower BMI-for-age percentiles. Correlations between BMI and FFMI were generally higher for participants at very low and very high BMI-for-age percentiles than at moderate BMI-for-age percentiles. Age- and sex-specific predictions from BMI are provided for \%BF, FM, and FFMI.


[^0]Conclusions: Sex-specific body composition measures throughout childhood and adolescence are presented. BMI is a better indicator of adiposity at higher than at lower BMI values.

## Introduction

Obesity is a disease characterized by 'abnormal or excessive fat accumulation that presents a risk to health' according to the World Health Organization (WHO)(1), and is defined as 'having a body mass index (BMI) at or above the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile' according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (2). Childhood obesity is a significant risk factor for adult obesity with approximately $60 \%$ of obese children becoming obese adults, although most obese adults were not obese children (3). Both childhood and adult obesity are associated with significant social and economic disadvantages in addition to premature morbidity and mortality (4-6).

Childhood obesity is typically identified and monitored using either the WHO's or CDC's growth standards for weight-for-age or BMI-for-age (7,8). BMI (weight/height ${ }^{2}$ ) is an indirect measure of body fat previously associated with direct measures of body fat assessed by skinfold thicknesses, bioelectrical impedance, and dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA). Direct measures of body fat include \% body fat (\%BF) and the fat mass index (FMI). Neither weight nor BMI can distinguish between fat and fat-free mass, leading to misclassification of individuals having a high proportion of either fat or fat-free mass. While the $\% \mathrm{BF}$ enables identification of relative body fat, it does not account for variation in lean mass or adjust for body height. Van Itallie et al (9) proposed normalizing both fat mass and fat-free mass for height ${ }^{2}$ (FMI and FFMI, respectively) to better identify body composition and classify obesity $(9,10)$. Freedman et al. identified FMI and FFMI values, and investigated relationships among FMI and FFMI according to BMI-for-age percentiles in youth aged 5-18 years, clearly indicating that BMI is a more sensitive indicator of obesity at higher BMI levels (11). Subsequently, sex-specific reference percentiles for \%BF, FMI, and FFMI for children and adolescents using data from the 1999-2004 National Health and Nutrition Examination Study were developed for all children and specific racial and ethnic groups (12-14).

Regardless of its limitations, BMI is used in clinic and research due to its relative simplicity, low cost, and feasibility. Understanding associations between BMI and other body composition measures of adiposity throughout childhood and adolescence is necessary to improve clinical identification of childhood obesity and facilitate research investigating the development of obesity. Previous investigation of associations between BMI and other body composition measures of adiposity have been cross-sectional, with different subjects investigated at different ages. Our objectives were to describe sex-specific relationships between BMI and \%BF, FMI, and FFMI at approximate ages $5,9,11,13,15$, and 17 years in a birth cohort (i.e., repeated measures on the same participants, instead of measures on different groups of participants at different ages), and to fit models to predict sex-specific $\% \mathrm{BF}, \mathrm{FMI}$, and FFMI from BMI at each age.

## Methods

## Design:

Cross-sectional analyses were conducted on data collected as part of the Iowa Fluoride Study (IFS) and Iowa Bone Development Study (IBDS), a sub-study of the IFS (15-19). The IFS and IBDS investigated relationships among fluoride exposures, dietary intakes, oral health, and bone health. The IFS/IBDS is registered at Clinical Trials.gov: NCT03547128; https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03547128. Data obtained from anthropometric measures and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scans completed at clinic visits when children were approximately age $5,9,11,13,15$, and 17 years were used in the current analyses. Subjects were invited to participate in clinic exams shortly before the target birthday; actual exams were scheduled at the subject's convenience considering parent availability for travel, school conflicts, vacations, extracurricular activities, etc.; DXA machine availability; and staffing availability. The mean $\left(25^{\text {th }}, 75^{\text {th }}\right)$ ages at scan are presented in Table 1 . Family demographic information was obtained at birth (1992-1995) by questionnaire. Household income and mother's education at baseline were used to define three tiers of socioeconomic status (SES). Low SES was defined by a baseline household income < $\$ 30,000$ and maternal education below a 4-year college degree. Moderate SES was defined by a household income $<\$ 30,000$ and a maternal education equivalent to a 4 -year college degree or higher, or a household income of \$30,000 - $\$ 49,999$ and a maternal education below a graduate or professional degree. High SES was defined by a household income of $\$ 30,000-\$ 49,999$ and a maternal education equivalent to a graduate or professional degree or a household income of $\$ 50,000$ or more (18).

## Participants:

Mothers ( $\mathrm{n}=1882$ ) were recruited at the time of their child's birth for participation in the IFS, and IFS participants active at age 5 (approximately 800 participants) were invited to participate in the IBDS. Inclusion in the current analyses ( $\mathrm{n}=629$ ) required participation in at least one of six possible DXA scans. All components of the IFS and IBDS were approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Iowa. Written informed consent was obtained from mothers at the time of their child's birth and from parents at clinic visits. Written assent was obtained from children beginning at age 13 years.

## Anthropometric measures:

Weight (Continental scale, Bridgeview, IL, USA) and height (Harpenden stadiometer, Holtain, UK) were measured by trained and calibrated research nurses during clinic visits $(18,19)$. BMI, an indirect measure of body fat, was calculated as weight/height ${ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ (20).

## DXA Scans:

DXA, which directly measures body fat, was used to estimate fat mass. Scans were completed by one of three experienced research technicians to minimize operator-related variability in the General Clinical Research Center at the University of Iowa using standard positioning $(16,17)$. Whole body scans at ages 5 and 9 were completed using a Hologic

QDR 2000 model with software version 7.20B and fan-beam mode. Whole body scans at ages $11,13,15$, and 17 years were completed using Hologic QDR 4500 with software version 12.3 or 12.4 and fan beam mode (Hologic, Watham, MA). Because the Hologic QDR 4500 underestimates fat mass and overestimates lean mass, age 11, 13, 15, and 17 scans were re-analyzed using the NHANES assessment algorithms (21).

Percent body fat (\%BF) was calculated as total fat mass/body mass*100. FMI was calculated as total fat mass $/$ height ${ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. FFMI was calculated as (total mass - fat mass) $/ \mathrm{height}^{2}$ $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$.

## Statistical Analyses:

Descriptive statistics were calculated for sex-specific anthropometric measures (weight and height) and body composition measures (BMI, \%BF, FMI, and FFMI) at each scan age.

Sex-specific means for other body composition measures were calculated for participants grouped by BMI-for-age percentiles at each scan age using standards published by the CDC (22). Spearman correlation coefficients were used to characterize associations between BMI and other body composition measures for participants grouped by BMI-for-age percentiles at each scan age.

Sex-specific, generalized additive models were fit using BMI to predict \%BF, FMI, or FFMI at each target scan age. Instead of using linear regression models which describe the association between predictor variables (BMI) and an outcome variable (\%BF, FMI, or FFMI) assuming a linear relationship, generalized additive models allow the mean of the outcome variable to depend on a smooth, curvilinear function of the predictor variable. This modeling framework was necessary to accommodate the non-linear associations between BMI and each of the other body composition measures.

A spline-based smoothed function with a basis dimension of 3 was used to characterize the relationship between the mean outcome and BMI in each generalized additive model. Adjusted for the mean, each outcome variable was assumed to be approximately normally distributed. These models were fit using the mgcv package in R version 4.0.0 (23, 24). After fitting the generalized additive models, the expected value of the outcome variable over the range of observed BMI values was plotted and the raw data were overlaid on this curve.

Additionally, $95 \%$ prediction intervals at select BMI values of interest were plotted. Prediction intervals provide an uncertainty range for future observations, unlike confidence intervals which provide an uncertainty range for the expected value of the outcome variable. These prediction intervals were calculated using a bootstrap procedure (25). The bootstrap is a flexible resampling technique that can be used to produce prediction and confidence intervals with minimal distributional or sample-size assumptions.

## Results

The majority of participants were female (51\%) and non-Hispanic white (94\%). Considering household income and maternal education at birth, $26 \%, 36 \%$, and $38 \%$ of participants belonged to low, middle, and high SES categories, respectively. Anthropometric and body
composition measures are summarized in Table 1. As expected, weight, height, and BMI increased with age. Both \%BF and FMI generally increased with age in females, while they increased during childhood and then decreased during adolescence in males. FFMI generally increased with age for both males and females, although the increase was more pronounced in males than females.

Body composition measures according to BMI-for-age percentiles are provided in Table 2. Mean \%BF, FMI, and FFMI generally increased with BMI-for-age percentiles for both males and females at each scan age, and for a given BMI-for-age-percentile, both \%BF and FMI were notably higher in females than males, especially at older ages. Associations between BMI and other body composition measures after grouping participants according to BMI-for-age percentiles are provided in Table 3. Correlation coefficients between BMI and $\% \mathrm{BF}$ or FMI were generally higher at BMI -for-age percentiles $\geq 95 \%$ for males (\%BF r=0.52-0.87 and FMI $\mathrm{r}=0.80-0.95$ ) and $\geq 75 \%$ for females ( $\% \mathrm{BF} \mathrm{r}=0.53-0.76$ and FMI r $=0.70-0.93$ ) compared to lower BMI-for-age percentiles. BMI generally had higher correlation coefficients with FFMI for participants at very low and very high BMI-for-age percentiles ( $<25 \%$ and $\geq 95 \%$ ) relative to participants with more moderate BMI-for-age percentiles.

Sex-specific body composition measures estimated for select BMIs at each scan age with accompanying 95\% prediction intervals are presented in Table 4. Online Figures 1, 2, 3, $4,5,6$ present sex-specific plots visually displaying the results from the fitted generalized additive regression models (i.e., the expected value of the outcome variable across the range of observed BMI values) and corresponding prediction intervals at relevant ages for BMI predicting \%BF, FMI, and FFMI. These figures allow for visual inspection of how the expected value of each body composition measure changes with BMI. Prediction intervals demonstrate the predicted range of values for new observations relative to the regression curve. While the models adequately track the mean \%BF, FMI, or FFMI values over the BMI values, the predictions are characterized by considerable uncertainty, as reflected in the wide prediction intervals presented in Table 4 and the online figures.

## Discussion

Herein, we report age 5- to 17-year body composition measures and associations between BMI and \%BF, FMI, and FFMI in a cohort followed longitudinally from birth. The results complement previous cross-sectional investigations of body composition measures in similar aged populations $(11,12)$.

The mean BMI, FMI, and FFMI of IFS/IBDS participants were similar to those reported for white participants of the Pediatric Rosetta Body Composition Project aged 5-11 and 12-18 years (11). However, the median FMI of IFS/IBDS participants was slightly lower and the FFMI slightly higher than the age- and sex-specific FMI and lean (i.e., fat-free tissue excluding bone) mass index reference percentiles developed by Weber et al. using 1999-2004 NHANES data (12).

Sex-specific overall associations between BMI and FMI ( $\mathrm{r}=0.93-0.97$ ) reported by Freedman et al. are similar to those observed in IFS/IBDS participants; however, associations between BMI and FFMI ( $\mathrm{r}=0.64-0.76$ ) in both male and female subjects are lower than those observed in IFS/IBDS subjects (11). Consistent with results reported herein, associations between BMI and FMI were higher at $\geq 85 \%$ BMI-for-age than at $<85 \%$ BMI for age (11). Weber et al. reported a stronger positive predictive value using BMI to identify obese ( $98 \%$ ) than to identify overweight ( $62 \%$ ) children as defined by FMI in non-Hispanic whites (12). Furthermore, the positive predictive value of BMI to identify either overweight or obesity was higher when using FMI to define definitive obesity compared to \%BF (12). These reports are consistent with the results reported herein; associations between BMI and FMI had a higher Spearman correlation coefficient both overall and for participants whose BMI exceeded the $\geqslant 95 \%$ for-age than associations between BMI and $\% \mathrm{BF}$.

Weight and height measurements are relatively noninvasive and simplistic, and often are used to track growth throughout childhood (26). Weight includes both fat and fat-free mass. However, weight-for-age and BMI-for-age will always have limited abilities to distinguish between excessive adipose tissue and excessive lean mass in overweight and obese individuals. Understanding associations between BMI and other body composition measures of adiposity is relevant for clinical practice. Estimating patient's potential risk of obesity based on BMI combined with knowledge of the patient's behavioral risk factors will enable clinicians to offer more individualized behavioral recommendations and anticipatory guidance to reduce the risk of obesity for the patient.

The longitudinal IFS and IBDS offer a unique opportunity to develop sex- and age-specific models to predict body composition from BMI using a single cohort, thus enabling a better understanding of adipose (\%BF or FMI) and lean (FFMI) tissue distributions at a given BMI. Regardless of apparent limitations, the fitted models appear to provide reasonable estimates of mean \%BF, FMI, and FFMI from BMI during childhood and adolescence, but are probably not sufficient to predict individual values due to the inherent variability in the outcomes. Incorporating additional information about participant lifestyle or other factors into the generalized additive models might help narrow the prediction intervals and reduce prediction uncertainty in our models.

Participants in the IFS and IBDS are primarily Caucasian, which limits the generalizability of the results to other racial and ethnic populations. Furthermore, the subjects are from reasonably wealthy and well-educated households that likely provided adequate nutrition and housing throughout childhood. Thus, the results are not generalizable to subjects raised in food insecure households with limited or inconsistent access to adequate nutrition. Strengths of the study include repeated measures of participants from early childhood throughout adolescence. Although our study benefits from having a long period of followup, this long follow-up was accompanied by changes in technology. The changes in DXA machines between ages 9 and 11 is another limitation of our study.

## Conclusions:

Sex-specific body composition measures throughout childhood and adolescence are described. Evaluation of associations between BMI and body composition measures confirm that BMI is a better indicator of adiposity at higher BMI levels than at lower BMI values. Age- and sex-specific estimates and corresponding prediction intervals are provided for prediction of \%BF, FM, and FFMI from BMI.
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## Impact:

- Sex-specific body composition measures throughout childhood and adolescence are described.
- Percent body fat, fat mass index, and fat free mass index generally increased with body mass index-for-age percentiles for both sexes throughout childhood and adolescence.
- Body mass index is a better indicator of adiposity at higher BMI levels than at lower BMI values throughout childhood and adolescence.



## Online Figure 1:

Plot of \%BF expected value versus BMI from generalized additive model for males, at each of the target ages. The $95 \%$ prediction intervals were overlaid on the plots at BMI values of 15,18 , and 22 for target ages $5-11$ and prediction intervals were plotted at 18,24 , and 30 for target ages $13-17$. (BMI: body mass index; \%BF: \% body fat)


Online Figure 2:
Plot of \%BF expected value versus BMI from generalized additive model for females, at each of the target ages. The $95 \%$ prediction intervals were overlaid on the plots at BMI values of 15,18 , and 22 for target ages $5-11$, and prediction intervals were plotted at 18 , 24 , and 30 for target ages $13-17$. (BMI: body mass index; \%BF: \% body fat)


## Online Figure 3:

Plot of FMI expected value versus BMI from generalized additive model for males, at each of the target ages. The $95 \%$ prediction intervals were overlaid on the plots at BMI values of 15,18 , and 22 for target ages $5-11$, and prediction intervals were plotted at 18,24 , and 30 for target ages 13 - 17. (BMI: body mass index; FMI: fat mass index)


## Online Figure 4:

Plot of FMI expected value versus BMI from generalized additive model for females, at each of the target ages. The $95 \%$ prediction intervals were overlaid on the plots at BMI values of 15,18 , and 22 for target ages $5-11$, and prediction intervals were plotted at 18,24 , and 30 for target ages 13 - 17. (BMI: body mass index; FMI: fat mass index)


## Online Figure 5:

Plot of FFMI expected value versus BMI from generalized additive model for males, at each of the target ages. The $95 \%$ prediction intervals were overlaid on the plots at BMI values of 15,18 , and 22 for target ages $5-11$, and prediction intervals were plotted at 18,24 , and 30 for target ages $13-17$. (BMI: body mass index; FFMI: fat-free mass index)




## Online Figure 6:

Plot of FFMI expected value versus BMI from generalized additive model for females, at each of the target ages. The $95 \%$ prediction intervals were overlaid on the plots at BMI values of 15,18 , and 22 for target ages $5-11$, and prediction intervals were plotted at 18 , 24, and 30 for target ages $13-17$. (BMI: body mass index; FFMI: fat-free mass index)

| Characteristic | Scan Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 |  | 9 |  | 11 |  | 13 |  | 15 |  | 17 |  |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| n | 218 | 251 | 258 | 271 | 231 | 248 | 242 | 246 | 201 | 213 | 174 | 201 |
| Actual age (years) | 5.1 (5.0,5.5) | 5.2 (5.0,5.6) | 8.7 (8.3,9.3) | 8.7 (8.3,9.2) | $\begin{gathered} 11.1 \\ (11.0,11.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.2 \\ (11.0,11.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.2 \\ (13.0,13.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.1 \\ (13.0,13.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.3 \\ (15.1,15.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ (15.1,15.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.6 \\ (17.2,17.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.5 \\ (17.2,17.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Weigh (kg) | $\begin{gathered} 19.9 \\ (18.3,21.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.3 \\ (17.7,21.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.7 \\ (26.9,37.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.2 \\ (26.1,36.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.6 \\ (36.1,51.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41.3 \\ (34.9,50.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.2 \\ (47.2,66.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.0 \\ (45.7,63.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67.9 \\ (60.2,76.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58.0 \\ (51.5,67.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.2 \\ (67.0,87.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63.0 \\ (55.6,74.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| He ight (cm) | $\begin{gathered} 111.8 \\ (108.2,115.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 110.2 \\ (107.4,114.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 134.6 \\ (130.1,140.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 132.9 \\ (128.1,137.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 148.6 \\ (144.0,154.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 148.9 \\ (143.4,153.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 163.4 \\ (156.4,170.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 161.1 \\ (156.8,164.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 174.9 \\ (170.6,181.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 164.7 \\ (159.7,169.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 178.5 \\ (173.7,184.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 165.7 \\ (161.6,170.5) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 15.9 \\ (15.1,16.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.8 \\ (14.9,16.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.2 \\ (15.8,19.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.0 \\ (15.4,19.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.3 \\ (16.9,22.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.7 \\ (16.6,22.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.8 \\ (18.3,24.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.4 \\ (18.1,24.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.8 \\ (19.9,25.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.5 \\ (19.5,24.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.9 \\ (21.2,27.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.5 \\ (20.6,26.7) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 17.7 \\ (15.7,20.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.4 \\ (19.0,25.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.1 \\ (16.5,28.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.7 \\ (20.7,33.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.4 \\ (22.3,33.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.5 \\ (25.6,35.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.8 \\ (18.8,33.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.7 \\ (24.3,34.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.2 \\ (16.8,25.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.8 \\ (26.0,35.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.8 \\ (16.6,26.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.6 \\ (27.8,37.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \vec{F} \\ F M i_{i}^{c} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2.8 (2.3,3.3) | 3.3 (2.8,4.0) | 3.5 (2.6,5.3) | 4.2 (3.1,6.4) | 5.3 (3.8,7.5) | 5.5 (4.2,7.8) | 4.8 (3.6,8.0) | 5.9 (4.4,8.2) | 4.3 (3.4,6.4) | $6.5(5.1,8.8)$ | 4.5 (3.6,6.9) | 7.1 (5.7,10.0) |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 12.6 \\ (12.1,13.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.9 \\ (11.4,12.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.2 \\ (12.5,14.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.3 \\ (11.6,13.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.8 \\ (12.9,15.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.3 \\ (12.2,14.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.6 \\ (14.4,17.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.7 \\ (13.4,16.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.5 \\ (16.0,19.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.3 \\ (14.2,16.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.6 \\ (17.3,20.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.7 \\ (14.4,17.1) \end{gathered}$ |

Table 2：
Sex－specific mean body composition measures according to $\mathrm{BMI}^{2}$－for－age percentiles at each scan age for Iowa Fluoride Study participants（ $\mathrm{n}=629$ ）．

| 关 |  | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\text { ® }}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{m}{2}}{ }$ | $\vec{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | フ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{*}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{i} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & m \end{aligned}$ | N | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\dot{G}}}{ }$ | － | べ | F | $\underset{\sim}{\text { H}}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{7}}{\underset{子}{2}}$ | 츤 | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\text { d }}{\text { d }}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7}}{\square}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 9 $\vdots$ 1 $i$ | N | $\stackrel{-}{=}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{j}}$ | $\vec{子}$ | $\stackrel{\text { İ }}{\text { I }}$ | 8 | $\stackrel{n}{2}$ | $\underset{\text { di }}{\substack{\text { in }}}$ | กั | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\text { U }}{ } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{1}{\mathrm{~N}}}$ | লे | $\stackrel{+}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{ \pm}{ \pm}}{ }$ | ® | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in } \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\bullet}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | i | $\underset{\sim}{\text { ® }}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\text {＋}}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{+} \\ & \underset{i}{1} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | 下 | $\underset{\sim}{i}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\lambda}}{ }$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{=}$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\vdots}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ \text { Ǹ } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\text { }}{+}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\text { İ }}{+}}{ }$ | ช | $\stackrel{\ominus}{\infty}$ | ヘ̀ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | i | O. | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{i}$ | $\stackrel{ \pm}{ \pm}$ | $\mathfrak{6}$ | $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$ | त̇ |
|  |  |  | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{ \pm}$ | $\underset{O}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\text { i }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{?}{=}$ | in | $\stackrel{0}{n}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{m}{n}$ | $9$ | $\bar{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\bullet}$ | n | $\stackrel{n}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { İ }}{\text { I }}$ | $\bar{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | $$ | $\stackrel{n}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{m}$ | c） | $\underset{2}{\mathrm{O}}$ |  |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\text { İ }}{\text { V }}$ | 入̀ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{5} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\varrho}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{?}{ \pm}$ | $\stackrel{\cong}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\stackrel{?}{=}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{j}}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}$ | $\underset{=}{ \pm}$ | \％ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ® } \\ & \text { Ǹ } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\text { I }}{\text { I }}$ | ते | $\underset{\vdots}{ \pm}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
| 皆 | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{0}}{\sum_{\sum}^{\pi}}$ | ה | へ | $\stackrel{\text { ǹ }}{n}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\varrho}{\grave{m}}$ | 寸 | $\underset{\sim}{\dot{\sim}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \pm \end{aligned}$ | ๆ | $\stackrel{\uparrow}{\lambda}$ | $\begin{gathered} \circ \\ \stackrel{\sim}{0} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{=}$ | $\hat{\theta}$ | i | $\stackrel{+}{2}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{?}{=}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | ¢ | $\frac{0}{\mathrm{~m}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\text { c }}$ |
|  |  | 3 $\vdots$ 1 $i$ | in | $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{-}$ | $\widehat{\partial}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | N | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | ત્ત | $\stackrel{\infty}{+}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{m}}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | no | $\stackrel{n}{\unlhd}$ | さ | $\underset{\text { ત̀ }}{\text { I }}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\text { A }}$ | N゙ | $\stackrel{\varrho}{0}$ | 岕 | $\underset{\text { J }}{\underset{\sim}{\prime}}$ | ® |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{+} \\ & \underset{i}{1} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\bar{\square}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{5}$ | $\stackrel{\text { i}}{\text { N}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{I}} \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{6}$ | $\hat{o}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\underset{\underset{y}{2}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{~}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\dot{+}}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{m}}{ }$ | ¢ | $\hat{\partial}$ | $\stackrel{?}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { Y }}{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & n \\ & n \end{aligned}$ | 岕 | $\stackrel{-}{\lambda}$ | $\bar{\square}$ |
|  |  | 9 <br>  <br> 1 <br> ה | そ | $\stackrel{0}{i}$ | Ņ | $\stackrel{\text { i }}{\substack{\text { i }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { I } \end{aligned}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\beth}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\mathrm{I}}$ | \％ | $\hat{\underline{0}}$ | ત̀ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\grave{I}}{\mathbf{I}}$ | 子 | $\underset{\sim}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\square}$ | m | $\underset{2}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ |
|  |  | N | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\underset{ \pm}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underline{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{n}{=}$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{m}{ \pm}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\dot{J}}$ | 亏 | $\stackrel{\infty}{=}$ | ¢ | $\vec{n}$ | ® | $\vec{m}$ | $9$ | ¢ | $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{b}}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\varrho}{\mathrm{I}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\underset{~}{-}}$ | $\stackrel{+}{ \pm}$ |
|  |  | 䓌 | ＝ | $\sum_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0_{1}^{1} \\ & \text { on } \\ & 0_{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\sum_{i=1}^{u}$ | $\sum_{\mid=1}^{0}$ | $=$ | $\sum_{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0_{1}^{1} \\ & \frac{1}{0} \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\sum_{i}$ | $\sum_{i \mid}$ | ＝ | $\sum_{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { w } \\ & \stackrel{y}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\sum_{i=1}^{1}$ | $\sum_{i=1}$ | $=$ | $\sum_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | $\frac{1}{9}$ | $\sum_{i=1}$ | $\sum_{\|=\|}^{\|c\|}$ | $=$ | $\sum_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | \％ |
|  |  |  | in |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | $=$ |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  | $\because$ |  |  |

Author Manuscript

${ }^{a_{\text {Body mass index }}}{ }^{b_{\%} \text { Body fat }}$
${ }^{c}$ Fat mass index
${ }^{d}$ Fat-free mass index

Author Manuscript

Age stratified ${ }^{a}$ Spearman correlation coefficients between BMI ${ }^{b}$ and other body composition measures according to BMI-for-age percentiles at each scan age for Iowa Fluoride Study participants ( $\mathrm{n}=629$ ).

|  |  | BMI-for-age percentile, Males |  |  |  |  |  |  | BMI-for-age percentile, Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \pm \\ \text { s.d. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Overa } \\ \text { II } \\ \text { Corr. } \end{array}$ | <25 | 25-49.9 | 50-74.9 | 75-94.9 | $\geq 95$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \pm \\ & \text { s.d. } \end{aligned}$ | Overall Corr. | <25 | 25-49.9 | 50-74.9 | 75-94.9 | $\geq 95$ |
| 5 | n | 218 | - | 28 | 45 | 61 | 57 | 27 | 251 | - | 29 | 53 | 71 | 72 | 26 |
|  | BMI | $16.3 \pm 2.1$ | - | $14.0 \pm 0.5$ | $15.0 \pm 0.2$ | $15.8 \pm 0.2$ | $17.0 \pm 0.5$ | $20.3 \pm 3.1$ | $16.2 \pm 2.2$ | - | $13.7 \pm 0.5$ | $14.8 \pm 0.2$ | $15.7 \pm 0.3$ | $17.1 \pm 0.7$ | $20.8 \pm 3.5$ |
|  | \% $\mathrm{BF}^{\text {c }}$ | $19.0 \pm 5.6$ | 0.61 | 0.16 | 0.26 | 0.19 | 0 | 0.82 | $22.9 \pm 6.1$ | 0.76 | 0.34 | 0.11 | 0.29 | 0.62 | 0.65 |
|  | $\mathrm{FMI}^{d}$ | $3.1 \pm 1.6$ | 0.78 | 0.22 | 0.36 | 0.29 | 0.16 | 0.86 | $3.7 \pm 1.8$ | 0.87 | 0.52 | 0.21 | 0.39 | 0.7 | 0.72 |
|  | FFMI ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $12.7 \pm 0.9$ | 0.82 | 0.4 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.62 | 0.1 | $11.9 \pm 0.8$ | 0.78 | 0.72 | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.59 |
| 9 | n | 258 | - | 30 | 46 | 61 | 77 | 44 | 271 | - | 46 | 59 | 64 | 60 | 42 |
|  | BMI | $18.3 \pm 3.8$ | - | $14.3 \pm 0.5$ | $15.6 \pm 0.4$ | $16.7 \pm 0.6$ | $19.0 \pm 1.0$ | $24.9 \pm 4.0$ | $17.9 \pm 3.6$ | - | $14.3 \pm 0.7$ | $15.6 \pm 0.4$ | $17.0 \pm 0.6$ | $19.5 \pm 1.2$ | $24.4 \pm 3.5$ |
|  | \%BF | $23.4 \pm 9.2$ | 0.84 | 0.09 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.43 | 0.87 | $27.7 \pm 8.9$ | 0.88 | 0.22 | 0.3 | 0.32 | 0.64 | 0.59 |
|  | FMI | $4.5 \pm 3.0$ | 0.92 | 0.26 | 0.38 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 0.95 | $5.1 \pm 2.9$ | 0.94 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.47 | 0.8 | 0.81 |
|  | FFMI | $13.4 \pm 1.2$ | 0.77 | 0.7 | 0.21 | -0.02 | 0.21 | 0.41 | $12.4 \pm 1.0$ | 0.74 | 0.63 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.26 | 0.5 |
| 11 | n | 231 | - | 30 | 44 | 38 | 76 | 43 | 248 | - | 46 | 51 | 49 | 70 | 32 |
|  | BMI | $20.2 \pm 4.6$ | - | $15.1 \pm 0.7$ | $16.7 \pm 0.4$ | $18.2 \pm 0.5$ | $21.0 \pm 1.1$ | $27.9 \pm 4.0$ | $19.7 \pm 4.3$ | - | $15.0 \pm 0.8$ | $16.8 \pm 0.4$ | $18.7 \pm 0.6$ | $21.8 \pm 1.4$ | $28.2 \pm 3.4$ |
|  | \%BF | $28.5 \pm 7.7$ | 0.85 | 0.16 | -0.1 | 0.28 | 0.42 | 0.71 | $30.7 \pm 6.9$ | 0.82 | 0.02 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.59 | 0.57 |
|  | FMI | $6.1 \pm 3.1$ | 0.94 | 0.48 | 0.01 | 0.43 | 0.64 | 0.91 | $6.3 \pm 2.9$ | 0.94 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.79 | 0.86 |
|  | FFMI | $14.2 \pm 1.8$ | 0.88 | 0.81 | 0.5 | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.75 | $13.5 \pm 1.7$ | 0.9 | 0.73 | 0.23 | 0.49 | 0.4 | 0.82 |
| 13 | n | 242 | - | 30 | 41 | 47 | 74 | 50 | 246 | - | 36 | 51 | 52 | 66 | 41 |
|  | BMI | $21.8 \pm 4.8$ | - | $16.1 \pm 0.8$ | $18.0 \pm 0.4$ | $19.7 \pm 0.7$ | $22.4 \pm 1.2$ | $29.4 \pm 3.7$ | $21.6 \pm 4.9$ | - | $16.0 \pm 0.8$ | $18.1 \pm 0.5$ | $20.0 \pm 0.8$ | $23.3 \pm 1.5$ | $30.4 \pm 3.5$ |
|  | \%BF | $26.2 \pm 8.6$ | 0.75 | 0.11 | -0.06 | 0.29 | 0.46 | 0.52 | $30.0 \pm 7.0$ | 0.87 | -0.19 | 0.27 | 0.18 | 0.55 | 0.68 |
|  | FMI | $6.1 \pm 3.3$ | 0.89 | 0.32 | 0.08 | 0.4 | 0.59 | 0.8 | $6.8 \pm 3.2$ | 0.95 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.79 | 0.92 |
|  | FFMI | $15.8 \pm 2.1$ | 0.82 | 0.64 | 0.47 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.71 | $14.9 \pm 2.0$ | 0.92 | 0.9 | 0.21 | 0.41 | 0.44 | 0.69 |
| 15 | n | 201 | - | 26 | 31 | 54 | 54 | 36 | 213 | - | 29 | 39 | 65 | 50 | 30 |
|  | BMI | $23.0 \pm 4.7$ | - | $17.6 \pm 0.8$ | $19.5 \pm 0.5$ | $21.1 \pm 0.7$ | $24.1 \pm 1.4$ | $31.0 \pm 3.9$ | $22.8 \pm 4.9$ | - | $17.4 \pm 0.8$ | $19.2 \pm 0.6$ | $21.3 \pm 0.7$ | $24.9 \pm 1.6$ | $32.4 \pm 3.8$ |


| $85^{\circ} 0$ | ゅ！ 0 | 6ヶ゙0 | 90 | $88^{\circ}$ | 0そ76 ${ }^{\text {SI }}$ | LS＇0 | てと＇0 | です 0 | てL＇0 | $88^{\circ} 0$ | 680 | S＇z70＇61 | IWHA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢80 | s．0 | LI＇0 | tS＇0 | E60 | 9＇\＆戸で8 | 260 | くが0 | Lで0 | 210－ | S．0 | 880 |  | IWH |  |
| $65^{\circ} 0$ | てど0 | 0 | LIO | 80 | 8．9戸6＇で | IL＇0 | IE．0 | \＆10 | 6で0－ | ャで0 | 690 | L＇9戸0＇で | ня\％ |  |
| $6 \cdot 1 \mp \varepsilon 9 \%$ | 80ヶでで | ¢＇0Fz＇0z | 8．0干0．81 | － | と＇¢戸I＇tて | I＇$¢ \mp \mathrm{I}^{\prime} \varepsilon \varepsilon$ | ガ1F6¢¢ | L＇0干L＇zz | 9．0¢8．02 | I＇IF9＇81 | － | 8＇け戸く＇ャて | ING |  |
| $\varepsilon$ ¢ | LS | $0 t$ | $\varepsilon \tau$ | － | 102 | $0 \varepsilon$ | 8 S | $\varsigma \varepsilon$ | 82 | $\varepsilon \tau$ | － | tLI | u | LI |
| $\varepsilon{ }^{\circ}$ | $80^{\circ} 0$ | で0 | 6L＇0 | 980 | 6．IFS＇SI | $85^{\circ} 0$ | İ＇0 | Et＇0 | てL＇0 | $\varepsilon L^{\circ} 0$ | 880 | と＇そヶL＇LI | IWH |  |
| ¢80 | LSO | $8 \mathrm{t}^{\circ} 0$ | $61^{\circ} 0$ | E60 | ¢＇£戸ャ＇L | E80 | tS＇0 | Iで0 | $80^{\circ}$ | 95．0 | ャ8：0 |  | IWH |  |
| 690 | でっ | ャ®0 | $\mathrm{I}^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ | 180 | 8＇9干0＇te | $89^{\circ} 0$ | It＇0 | LOO | 2で0－ | てと0 | 59 0 | でしょでで |  |  |
| 6t6－s $L$ | $6 \forall \angle-0 S$ | $66 \mathrm{t}-\mathrm{sz}$ | sz＞ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { prs } \\ \mp \text { чueəd } \end{gathered}$ | 562 | $6^{6+6}$－$¢ L$ | $6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{L}-0 \mathrm{~S}$ | $66 t-s z$ | sz＞ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Author Manuscript |  |  |  | Author Manuscript |  |  |  | Author Manuscript |  |  |  |  | Author Manu |  |

[^1]Sex-specific estimates ( $95 \%$ prediction intervals) of body composition measures for selected $\mathrm{BMI}^{a}$ values at each scan age based on participants of the Iowa Fluoride Study ( $\mathrm{n}=629$ ).

| Outcome Variable | Sex | BMI Value Ages 5, 9 and 11 | Age 5 <br> (Males $\mathbf{n}=218$, <br> Females $\mathbf{n}=251$ ) | Age 9 <br> (Males $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 5 8}$, <br> Females $\mathbf{n}=271$ ) | Age 11 (Males $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{2 3 1}$, Females $\mathbf{n}=248$ ) | BMI Value Ages 13, 15 and 17 | Age 13 <br> (Males $\mathbf{n}=242$, Females $\mathrm{n}=246 \text { ) }$ | Age 15 <br> (Males $\mathbf{n}=201$, <br> Females $\mathbf{n}=213$ ) | Age 17 <br> (Males $\mathbf{n}=174$, Females $\mathbf{n}=$ 201) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\% \mathrm{BF}{ }^{b}$ | Males | 15 | 16.5 (11.2, 22.5) | 15.3 (7.5, 22.7) | 19.8 (12.0, 27.3) | 18 | 20.6 (10.4, 30.8) | 16.3 (8.6, 26.3) | 15.5 (8.3, 25.2) |
|  |  | 18 | 21.7 (16.4, 27.4) | 23.3 (15.7, 30.6) | 25.4 (17.7, 33.0) | 24 | 29.6 (19.8, 40.2) | 23.3 (15.5, 33.5) | 20.8 (13.5, 30.0) |
|  |  | 22 | 31.7 (26.2, 37.6) | 32.7 (25.0, 40.2) | $32.2(24.5,39.8)$ | 30 | 37.9 (27.4, 48.1) | 30.6 (22.6, 40.6) | 27.5 (20.2, 36.7) |
|  | Females | 15 | 20.0 (14.6, 25.8) | 20.3 (13.6, 27.0) | 23.7 (16.9, 30.6) | 18 | 25.2 (19.1, 31.8) | 24.8 (18.1, 31.8) | 25.6 (18.5, 32.1) |
|  |  | 18 | 27.4 (22.0, 33.4) | 28.6 (21.8, 35.3) | 28.4 (21.7, 35.4) | 24 | 33.6 (27.7, 40.4) | 33.1 (26.7, 40.2) | 33.2 (26.4, 39.9) |
|  |  | 22 | 36.7 (31.2, 42.7) | 38.1 (31.2, 44.9) | 34.3 (27.7, 41.4) | 30 | 40.5 (34.4, 47.3) | 39.9 (33.5, 47.2) | 39.8 (32.9, 46.6) |
| $\mathrm{FMI}^{\text {c }}$ | Males | 15 | 2.4 (1.5, 3.4) | $2.2(0.5,3.6)$ | $2.8(1.1,4.4)$ | 18 | 3.7 (1.2, 5.9) | $2.9(0.8,5.3)$ | 2.7 (0.7, 5.3) |
|  |  | 18 | 3.8 (2.9, 4.8) | $4.2(2.5,5.6)$ | 4.6 (3.0, 6.3) | 24 | 7.3 (4.8, 9.4) | 5.6 (3.5, 8.2) | 5.0 (3.0, 7.4) |
|  |  | 22 | 7.0 (6.1, 8.0) | 7.0 (5.4, 8.5) | 7.1 (5.5, 8.7) | 30 | $11.4(8.9,13.6)$ | 9.3 (7.1, 11.7) | 8.3 (6.3, 10.8) |
|  | Females | 15 | 2.9 (2.0, 3.9) | 2.9 (1.8, 4.3) | 3.5 (2.1, 4.9) | 18 | $4.6(3.2,6.1)$ | $4.4(2.9,6.1)$ | 4.4 (2.7, 6.1) |
|  |  | 18 | 4.8 (3.9, 5.8) | $5.1(3.9,6.5)$ | $5.2(3.8,6.6)$ | 24 | 8.1 (6.7, 9.7) | $8.0(6.5,9.7)$ | $8.1(6.3,9.7)$ |
|  |  | 22 | 7.9 (7.0, 8.9) | 8.1 (6.9, 9.5) | 7.6 (6.2, 9.0) | 30 | 12.2 (10.8, 13.7) | 12.0 (10.5, 13.7) | 12.0 (10.1, 13.6) |
| $\mathrm{FFMI}^{\text {d }}$ | Males | 15 | 12.1 (11.2, 13.2) | $12.4(11.0,13.9)$ | 12.2 (10.6, 13.8) | 18 | 14.3 (12.2, 16.8) | 15.1 (12.6, 17.1) | 15.2 (12.7, 17.2) |
|  |  | 18 | 13.6 (12.7, 14.7) | $13.4(11.9,14.8)$ | 13.4 (11.8, 15.0) | 24 | 16.8 (14.8, 19.3) | 18.5 (15.8, 20.5) | 18.9 (16.6, 21.0) |
|  |  | 22 | $14.4(13.5,15.6)$ | $14.5(13.0,16.0)$ | $14.9(13.4,16.5)$ | 30 | 18.7 (16.6, 21.2) | 20.8 (18.4, 22.9) | 21.7 (19.3, 23.7) |
|  | Females | 15 | $11.5(10.6,12.4)$ | $11.7(10.4,12.9)$ | 11.6 (10.1, 13.0) | 18 | 13.5 (12.1, 14.8) | 13.7 (12.0, 15.2) | 13.5 (11.8, 15.3) |
|  |  | 18 | 12.7 (11.7, 13.5) | 12.4 (11.1, 13.6) | 12.9 (11.4, 14.3) | 24 | 16.0 (14.5, 17.4) | 16.1 (14.5, 17.7) | 16.0 (14.3, 17.8) |
|  |  | 22 | 13.6 (12.7, 14.5) | 13.3 (12.0, 14.6) | 14.5 (13.0, 15.9) | 30 | 18.0 (16.5, 19.3) | $18.1(16.5,19.8)$ | 18.1 (16.4, 19.9) |

[^2]
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