

# High Burden of *Staphylococcus aureus* Among Native American Individuals on the White Mountain Apache Tribal Lands

Catherine G. Sutcliffe,<sup>1,©</sup> Lindsay R. Grant,<sup>2</sup> Angelina Reid,<sup>3</sup> Grace Douglass,<sup>4</sup> Laura B. Brown,<sup>5</sup> Kamellia Kellywood,<sup>6</sup> Robert C. Weatherholtz,<sup>7</sup> Robin Hubler,<sup>8</sup> Alvaro Quintana,<sup>9</sup> Ryan Close,<sup>10,11</sup> James B. McAuley,<sup>12,13</sup> Mathuram Santosham,<sup>14</sup> Katherine L. O'Brien,<sup>15</sup> and Laura L. Hammitt<sup>16</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for American Indian Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, <sup>2</sup>Center for American Indian Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, <sup>3</sup>Center for American Indian Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, <sup>4</sup>Center for American Indian Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, <sup>4</sup>Center for American Indian Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, <sup>4</sup>Center for American Indian Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, <sup>5</sup>Center for American Indian Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, <sup>7</sup>Center for American Indian Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, <sup>7</sup>Center for American Indian Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, <sup>8</sup>Pfizer Vaccine Medical Development, Scientific and Clinical Affairs, Collegeville, Pennsylvania, USA, <sup>10</sup>Whiteriver Service Unit, Indian Health Service, Whiteriver, Arizona, USA, <sup>11</sup>Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA, <sup>12</sup>Whiteriver Service Unit, Indian Health, Sci<sup>16</sup>Center for American Indian Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, <sup>16</sup>Center for American Indian Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, <sup>16</sup>Center for American Indian Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, <sup>16</sup>Center for American Indian Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, <sup>16</sup>Center for American Indian Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, <sup>16</sup>Center for American Indian Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, <sup>16</sup>Center for Americ

*Background.* This study was done to determine the burden of invasive *Staphylococcus aureus* on the White Mountain Apache Tribal lands.

*Methods.* Active population and laboratory-based surveillance for invasive *S aureus* infections was conducted from May 2016 to April 2018. A case was defined as a Native American individual living on or around the White Mountain Apache Tribal lands with *S aureus* isolated from a normally sterile body site.

**Results.** Fifty-three cases were identified. Most cases were adults (90.6%) and had  $\geq 1$  underlying medical condition (86.8%), the most common of which were diabetes (49.1%) and obesity (41.5%). A total of 26.4% cases were categorized as community acquired. Most infections were methicillin-resistant (75.5%). A total of 7.5% of cases required amputation, and 7.7% of cases died within 30 days of initial culture. The incidence of invasive *S aureus* was 156.3 per 100 000 persons. The age-adjusted incidence of invasive methicillin-resistant *S aureus* was 138.2 per 100 000 persons.

*Conclusions.* This community has a disproportionately high burden of invasive methicillin-resistant *S aureus* compared with the general US population. Interventions are urgently needed to reduce the morbidity and mortality associated with these infections. **Keywords.** invasive bacterial infections; MRSA; Native Americans; surveillance.

In the United States, *Staphylococcus aureus* is a cause of significant morbidity due to both mild infections, such as skin and soft tissue infections, and severe infections, such as pneumonia and necrotizing fasciitis. Infections caused by methicillinresistant *S aureus* (MRSA) are of particular concern because they are harder to treat and they are no longer limited to healthcare settings [1]. In 2017, there were an estimated 119 247 cases and 19 832 deaths due to *S aureus* bloodstream infections in the United States [2]. *Staphylococcus aureus* and MRSA infections

Open Forum Infectious Diseases®

are also associated with significant costs to the healthcare system and to patients and their families [3].

Native American populations experience higher levels of morbidity and mortality from infectious diseases compared with the general population [4, 5], including from invasive bacterial infections [6, 7]. Native Americans may be at higher risk for S aureus infections due to the high prevalence of risk factors for S aureus [8], such as diabetes and other comorbid health conditions [9]. Although recent studies have evaluated racial disparities in invasive MRSA infections by comparing rates between black and white populations [10, 11], less is known about the burden of disease and potential disparities among Native Americans. From 1996 to 2005, rates of MRSA hospitalization at Indian Health Service (IHS) facilities were increasing across all regions of the United States, with particularly large increases observed in Alaska, the Southern Plains, and the Southwest [12]. The age-adjusted rates of MRSA hospitalization in 2003-2005 were below or comparable to the general US population for most IHS regions [12], but it is unknown whether hospitalization rates continued to increase after 2005. Recent data from

Received 25 November 2019; editorial decision 13 February 2020; accepted 21 February 2020. Correspondence: Catherine Sutcliffe, PhD, ScM, 415 N. Washington Street, 4th Floor, Baltimore, MD 21231 (csutcli1@jhu.edu).

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com DOI: 10.1093/ofid/ofaa061

the Navajo Nation and the state of Arizona suggest that Native Americans in the Southwestern United States are currently disproportionately affected by invasive MRSA infections [13, 14].

This study was undertaken to provide current, robust estimates of the incidence of invasive *S aureus* and MRSA infections among Native Americans on the White Mountain Apache (WMA) Tribal lands in the Southwest United States, with the goal of informing strategies to reduce the burden of disease.

# **METHODS**

# **Ethics Statement**

This study was approved by the White Mountain Apache Tribe and by the Phoenix Area IHS, National IHS, and Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health Institutional Review Boards. A Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) waiver was obtained to conduct medical chart reviews.

# Setting

This study was conducted on the WMA Tribal lands, which cover an area of 2600 square miles in eastern Arizona, United States, with a population of approximately 17 000 Tribal members. The population is served by one main IHS facility that provides inpatient and outpatient care and a smaller IHS outpatient clinic. A private health facility, with inpatient and outpatient services, also serves Tribal members and is located approximately 15 miles from the border of the WMA Tribal lands.

# **Active Bacterial Surveillance**

Active, laboratory and population-based surveillance for invasive S aureus began on May 1, 2016. The Center for American Indian Health at the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health has been conducting active surveillance for Streptococcus pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae, and Neisseria meningitidis on the WMA Tribal lands and Navajo Nation for more than 20 years [15]. The protocol and procedures for the surveillance system are modeled on the Active Bacterial Core Surveillance system operated by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Clinical microbiology laboratories at the IHS hospital and private facility serving WMA residents were contacted at least weekly to identify cases of invasive S aureus. Monthly (IHS facility) and quarterly (private facility) audits were also conducted to identify cases that may have been missed. Isolates were sent to the study laboratory in Whiteriver, Arizona where they were confirmed using BBL CHROMagar Staph aureus (Franklin Lakes, NJ). For each case, a chart review was conducted to collect information on case demographics, underlying medical conditions, clinical syndrome, antimicrobial resistance test results, and health outcomes.

# **Case Definition**

A case of invasive *S aureus* infection was defined as a Native American individual living in a community on or around the

WMA Tribal lands with *S aureus* isolated from a normally sterile body site (eg, blood, cerebrospinal fluid, pleural fluid, synovial fluid, deep tissue). Individuals may have contributed isolates from different body sources on the same day (counted as a single case) or isolates collected on different days. Individuals with isolates collected <7 days after the initial culture were considered part of the same case. Individuals with isolates collected 7–29 days after the initial date of culture were considered persistent cases, and all isolates were considered part of the same case event. For individuals with isolates from a specimen collected at least 30 days after the initial date of culture, the subsequent isolate defined a new case event and was defined as a recurrent case.

#### **Other Definitions**

Years 1 and 2 of surveillance were defined as isolates collected between May 1, 2016 and April 30, 2017 and between May 1, 2017 and April 30, 2018, respectively. Infections were defined as (1) hospital-onset (HO) when isolates were obtained from specimens collected >3 days after admission (with day of admission being day 1), (2) healthcare-associated community-onset (HACO) if cases had a healthcare risk factor (dialysis, surgery, hospitalization, or long-term care in the past year, or vascular catheter in the past 2 days) and isolates were obtained from specimens collected before or  $\leq$ 3 days after hospital admission, or (3) community-associated (CA) for all other cases.

Disease syndromes associated with the invasive *S aureus* infection were defined based on the physician-reported syndrome in the medical record and the source of the isolate. Cases could have multiple syndromes reported.

Underlying medical conditions were documented based on medical record review (obesity additionally defined as present if body mass index  $\geq$ 30). The Charlson Index, a measure of comorbidity, was calculated based on underlying conditions and age [16].

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Characteristics of cases were described overall and by year of collection, infection type, and antimicrobial resistance. Comparisons were made using Fisher's exact tests for categorical variables and Wilcoxon rank-sum tests for continuous variables.

Outcomes evaluated included hospitalization during the case event, amputation at or within 30 days after the initial culture, and death within 30 days after the initial culture. Outcomes were compared by year of collection, infection type, and antimicrobial resistance using Fisher's exact tests.

Incidence rates of invasive *S aureus* were calculated using the IHS User Population from 2016 and 2017 as the denominator for Years 1 and 2, respectively. "Users" are defined as Native Americans receiving services at the IHS facility in the preceding 3 years [17]. For each year, incidence was calculated overall, by

age, and by antimicrobial resistance pattern using Poisson regression with robust variance estimation to account for recurrent infections. For comparison with the general US population [18], age-standardized incidence was calculated for each year using direct standardization methods using US Census data from 2015 as the reference [19]. Analyses were performed using SAS statistical software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) and Stata, version 14.2 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX).

# RESULTS

From May 2016 to April 2018, 53 cases of invasive *S aureus* were documented, including 24 in Year 1 and 29 in Year 2. The 53 cases occurred among 48 individuals; 3 individuals had 1 recurrent infection and 1 individual had 2 recurrent infections during the 2-year study period.

#### **Characteristics of Cases and Isolates**

Fifty-five isolates were collected from the 53 cases. Isolates were collected from blood (85.5%, 47 of 55), deep tissue (5.5%, 3 of 55), cerebrospinal fluid (3.6%, 2 of 55), bone (3.6%, 2 of 55), and synovial fluid (1.8%, 1 of 55).

The characteristics of cases are presented in Table 1. Most cases were adults (90.6%, 48 of 53; median age, 47.4 years; age range, 5.8-74.2) and had at least 1 underlying condition (86.8%, 46 of 53), with the most common being diabetes (49.1%, 26 of 53), alcoholism (43.4%, 23 of 53), and obesity (41.5%, 22 of 53). The median Charlson index was 2 (range, 0-9). Most cases were categorized as HACO (71.7%, 38 of 53). For HACO cases hospitalized in the year before the case event (n = 36), the median time between the last discharge and the case event was 11.7 weeks (interquartile range [IQR], 2.5–25.3; minimum, maximum: 0.1–47.1). For the HO case (1.9%, 1 of 53), the time between hospital admission and the date of invasive S aureus was 7 days. The most common disease syndromes associated with the invasive S aureus infection were bloodstream infections with other focus (73.6%, 39 of 53), osteomyelitis (37.7%, 20 of 53), and cellulitis or abscess (30.2%, 16 of 53). Most S aureus infections were MRSA (75.5%, 40 of 53). More than half of cases had had prior S aureus infections (58.5%, 31 of 53), and most of these prior infections were MRSA (80.6%, 25 of 31). All cases were living in a private residence at the time of the infection. There were no significant differences in the characteristics of cases by year of surveillance.

By type of infection, the HO case differed significantly from the HACO and CA cases: the case was significantly younger, had a lower Charlson Index, and was a surgical site infection (Supplemental Table 1). There were no significant differences in cases by antibiotic susceptibility (Supplemental Table 1).

# **Outcomes Among Cases**

Most cases were hospitalized (88.7%, 47 of 53), and the median duration of hospitalization was 9 days (IQR, 7–20; range, 2–53).

For 7.5% (4 of 53) of cases, the *S aureus* infection was associated with or resulted in amputation of toes or feet. Cases requiring amputation (n = 4) were significantly more likely to have diabetes as an underlying condition and osteomyelitis as a disease syndrome (Supplementary Table 2). A total of 7.7% of cases (4 of 52) died a median of 9 days (IQR, 5–15; range, 2–20) after the initial date of culture. Cases who died (n = 4) were significantly more likely to have myocardial infarction as an underlying condition and a bloodstream infection with an implant involved (Supplementary Table 2). No significant differences in outcomes were found by type of infection or antibiotic susceptibility (Supplemental Table 1).

#### **Annual Incidence**

The overall incidence of invasive *S aureus* was 156.3 (95% confidence interval [CI], 119.4–204.5) with no significant difference in the incidence by year (Year 1: 141.5 per 100 000 persons; Year 2: 171.1 per 100 000 persons; incidence rate ratio: 1.21; 95% CI, 0.70–2.08). The overall incidence of invasive MRSA was 118.0 per 100 000 persons (95% CI, 86.5–160.8) with no significant difference by year (Year 1: 106.1 per 100 000 persons; Year 2: 129.8 per 100 000 persons; incidence rate ratio: 1.22; 95% CI, 0.66–2.28). The incidence of invasive *S aureus* and MRSA increased with age and was highest among individuals 50–64 years of age (Table 2). By type of infection, the incidence of invasive *S aureus* and MRSA was highest for HACO and lowest for HO. The overall age-adjusted incidence of invasive MRSA was 138.2 per 100 000 persons (Year 1: 125.2; Year 2: 150.9 per 100 000).

# DISCUSSION

This study provides the first population- and laboratory-based estimate of invasive *S aureus* disease among Native Americans on the WMA Tribal lands. The observed age-adjusted incidence of MRSA (138.2 per 100 000 persons) was over 7 times higher than that reported for the general US population in 2015 (18.8 per 100 000 persons) [18]. The incidence was also much higher than that observed among Native Americans on the Navajo Nation (21.2 per 100 000 persons) using the same laboratory-based surveillance system and reported by health facilities to the Arizona Department of Health Services (15.2 per 100 000) over the same time period [13, 14]. Invasive *S aureus* infections led to significant morbidity, including hospitalization, amputation, and death. This represents an important health disparity, the magnitude of which was heretofore unrecognized.

Similar to other communities in the United States, most cases of invasive *S aureus* occurred among adults with underlying health conditions, with diabetes being most common [13, 20]. However, the pattern by age group was different in this community, with the proportion of cases and incidence rates highest among adults 18–64 as opposed to adults 65 years of age and older [13, 18, 20, 21], potentially due to a higher prevalence of

Table 1.	Characteristics	of Cases	of	Invasive	Staphylococcus	aureus
Disease, I	May 2016–April 2	018				

#### Year 1 Year 2 Total (05/16-04/17) (05/17-04/18) (05/16-04/18) Characteristic N (%) N (%) N (%) Number of cases 24 29 53 Demographic Characteristics Male 15 (62.5) 20 (69.0) 35 (66.0) Age 0 0 0 <1 year 1-17 years 2 (8.3) 3 (10.3) 5 (9.4) 18–39 years 6 (25.0) 9 (31.0) 15 (28.3) 40-49 years 5 (20.8) 6 (20.7) 11 (20.8) 50–64 years 10 (41.7) 10 (34.5) 20 (37.7) ≥65 years 1 (4.2) 1 (3.4) 2 (3.8) Body mass index<sup>a</sup> <25 3 (13.6) 6 (25.0) 9 (19.6) 25-29 7 (31.8) 8 (33.3) 15 (32.6) ≥30 12 (54.5) 10 (41.7) 22 (47.8) Type of infection HO 0 (0.0) 1 (3.4) 1(1.9)HACO 15 (62.5) 23 (79.3) 38 (71.7) CA 9 (37.5) 5 (17.2) 14 (26.4) Healthcare and Clinical Characteristics Healthcare Exposures 1 (3.4) Dialysis in past year 2 (8.3) 3 (5.7) 6 (25.0) 13 (44.8) 19 (35.8) Surgery in past year 22 (75.9) Hospitalized in 14 (58.3) 36 (67.9) past vear 3 (12.5) 4 (13.8) 7 (13.2) Long-term care in past year Vascular catheter in 1 (4.2) 2 (6.9) 3 (5.7) past 2 days Underlying Conditions<sup>b</sup> Diabetes 12 (50.0) 14 (48.3) 26 (49.1) Alcoholism 11 (45.8) 12 (41.4) 23 (43.4) Obesity 12 (50.0) 10 (34.5) 22 (41.5) Hypertension 5 (20.8) 10 (34.5) 15 (28.3) Abscess/boil 4 (16.7) 3 (10.3) 7 (13.2) Chronic skin break-1 (4.2) 6 (20.7) 7 (13.2) down 6 (11.3) Chronic renal insuffi-2 (8.3) 4 (13.8) ciency 5 (9.4) Malignancy 2 (8.3) 3 (10.3) 5 (9.4) Asthma 3 (12.5) 2 (6.9) Chronic liver disease 3 (10.3) 4 (7.5) 1 (4.2) Decubitis/pressure 0 4 (13.8) 4 (7.5) ulcer Chronic pulmonary 1 (4.2) 2 (6.9) 3 (5.7) disease 2 (8.3) 1 (3.4) 3 (5.7) Current smoker Myocardial infarction 1 (4.2) 1 (3.4) 2 (3.9) Atherosclerosis/vas-1 (4.2) 1 (3.4) 2 (3.8) cular disease Stroke 1 (4.2) 1 (3.4) 2 (3.8) Congestive heart 0 1 (3.4) 1 (1.9) failure 0 1 (1.9) Dementia 1 (4.2) HIV/AIDS 0 0 0

#### Table 1. Continued

Characteristic	Year 1 (05/16–04/17) N (%)	Year 2 (05/17–04/18) N (%)	Total (05/16–04/18) N (%)
Intravenous drug use	0	0	0
Other	5 (20.8)	6 (20.7)	11 (20.8)
Any underlying condition	23 (95.8)	23 (79.3)	46 (86.8)
Charlson index, median (range)	2 (0–5)	2 (0–9)	2 (0–9)
Disease Syndrome(s) <sup>b</sup>			
Bloodstream infection (BSI) <sup>c</sup>	23 (95.8)	23 (79.3)	46 (86.8)
Implant involved (vascular cath- eter in past 2 days or other implant involved)	2 (9.7)	2 (8.7)	4 (8.7)
BSI with other focus <sup>d</sup>	20 (87.0)	19 (82.6)	39 (84.8)
BSI without other focus	1 (4.3)	2 (8.7)	3 (6.5)
Pneumonia <sup>e</sup>	4 (16.7)	4 (13.8)	8 (15.1)
Cellulitis or abscess <sup>f</sup>	9 (37.5)	7 (24.1)	16 (30.2)
Osteomyelitis <sup>g</sup>	9 (37.5)	11 (37.9)	20 (37.7)
Arthritis, joint infec- tion, bursitis <sup>h</sup>	0	2 (6.9)	2 (3.8)
Pericarditis or endocarditis <sup>i</sup>	0	1 (3.4)	1 (1.9)
Urinary tract infection <sup>f</sup>	0	0	0
Necrotizing fasciitis <sup>f</sup>	0	1 (3.4)	1 (1.9)
Surgical site infection <sup>j,d</sup>	2 (8.3)	4 (13.8)	6 (11.3)
Other	4 (16.7)	16 (55.2)	20 (37.7)
Prior infections: any SA	15 (62.5)	16 (55.2)	31 (58.5)
Prior infections: any MRSA	10 (41.7)	15 (51.7)	25 (47.2)
Current infection: MRSA	18 (75.0)	22 (75.9)	40 (75.5)
Outcomes			
Hospitalization during case event	23 (95.8)	24 (82.8)	47 (88.7)
Amputation	2 (8.3)	2 (6.9)	4 (7.5)
Death <sup>k</sup>	1 (4.2)	3 (10.7)	4 (7.7)

Abbreviations: AIDS, acquired immune deficiency syndrome; CA, community-associated; HACO, healthcare-associated community-onset; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; HO, hospital-onset; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; SA, *Staphylococcus aureus*.

<sup>a</sup>Among cases ≥18 years of age.

<sup>b</sup>Multiple categories per case are possible.

<sup>c</sup>Defined as SA isolated from blood.

<sup>d</sup>See Supplemental Table 1 for more details.

<sup>e</sup>Defined based on reported diagnosis in medical record or SA isolated from pleural fluid. <sup>f</sup>Defined based on reported diagnosis in medical record.

<sup>g</sup>Defined based on reported diagnosis in medical record or SA isolated from bone.

<sup>h</sup>Defined based on reported diagnosis in medical record or SA isolated from synovial fluid. <sup>i</sup>Defined based on reported diagnosis in medical record or SA isolated from pericardial fluid. <sup>i</sup>Defined by review of medical record if SA was isolated from the site of a prior surgical procedure occurring at any time before the date of culture.

 $^k{\rm Two}$  additional cases died at 33 and 34 days after the date of culture; for one case, the vital status after 30 days was unknown.

risk factors at younger ages, as has been observed among Native American populations in the United States [22, 23].

The proportion of cases that were MRSA in this study (75.0%) was much higher than in other settings, although direct

Category	No. SA Cases	No. MRSA Cases	Population <sup>a</sup>	Incidence of SA <sup>b</sup> (95% CI)	Incidence of MRSA <sup>b</sup> (95% CI)	No. SA Cases	No. MRSA Cases	Population <sup>a</sup>	Incidence of SA <sup>b</sup> (95% CI)	Incidence of MRSA <sup>b</sup> (95% CI)
Overall	24	18	16 965	141.5 (94.8–211.1)	106.1 (66.9–168.4)	29	22	16 948	171.1 (118.9–246.2)	129.8 (85.5–197.1)
Age (Years)										
, V	0	0	323	0	0	0	0	339	0	0
1-17	2	2	5709	35.0 (8.8–140.1)	35.0 (8.8–140.1)	ო	2	5720	52.5 (16.9–162.6)	35.0 (8.8–139.8)
18–39	9	Ð	5597	107.2 (48.2–238.6)	89.3 (37.2–214.6)	6	7	5465	164.7 (85.7–316.3)	128.1 (61.1–268.6)
40-49	Ð	ო	1651	302.8 (126.1–727.6)	181.7 (58.6–563.4)	9	Ð	1795	334.3 (150.4–743.1)	278.6 (116.1–668.4)
50-64	10	7	2477	403.7 (217.2-750.3)	282.6 (592.8)	10	7	2383	419.6 (226.1–778.9)	293.8 (140.2–615.5)
≥65	-	-	1208	82.8 (11.7–587.7)	82.8 (11.7–587.7)	-	-	1246	80.3 (11.3–569.3)	80.3 (11.3–569.3)
Type of Infection										
CA	ი	9	16 965	53.1 (27.6-102.0)	35.4 (15.9–78.7)	Ð	ო	16 948	29.5 (12.3–70.9)	17.7 (5.7–54.9)
HACO	15	15	16 965	88.4 (53.3-146.7)	88.4 (53.3–146.7)	23	18	16 948	135.7 (9.0–204.2)	106.2 (66.9–168.5)
ОН	0	0	16 965	0		-	-	16 948	5.9 (0.8–41.9)	5.9 (0.8-41.9)
Antibiotic Resist- ance										
MSSA	9	n/a	16 965	35.4 (15.9–78.7)	n/a	7	n/a	16 948	41.3 (19.7–86.6)	n/a
MRSA	18	n/a	16 965	106.1 (66.9–168.4)	n/a	22	n/a	16 948	129.8 (85.5–197.1)	n/a
Abbreviations: CA, community-associa applicable, SA, <i>Staphylococcus aureus.</i> <sup>a</sup> Indian Health Service User Population <sup>b</sup> Per 100 000 person.	community-ass phylococcus aur /ice User Popula .n.	ociated; Cl, confid <i>eus.</i> tion from 2016 (Ye:	Abbreviations: CA, community-associated; Cl, confidence interval; HACO, applicable; SA, <i>Staphylococcus aureus.</i> "Indian Health Service User Population from 2016 (Year 1) and 2017 (Year 2). "Per 100 000 person.	:O, healthcare-associated co · 2).	mmunity-onset; HO, hospital-or	nset; MRSA, mel	thicillin-resistant <i>Sta</i>	aphylococcus aureu.	s; MSSA, methicillin-susceptil	Abbreviations: CA, community-associated; CI, confidence interval; HACO, healthcare-associated community-onset; HO, hospital-onset; MRSA, methicillin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> ; MSSA, methicillin-susceptible <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> ; n/a, not applicable; SA, <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> ; MSSA, methicillin-susceptible <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> ; n/a, not "Indian Health Service User Population from 2016 (Year 1) and 2017 (Year 2).

Table 2. Incidence of Invasive Staphylococcus aureus Disease by Age, Type of Infection, and Antibiotic Resistance, May 2016–April 2018

comparisons are limited by differing case definitions. In 5 large US hospitals, 42.9% of *S aureus* bacteremia isolates from 2008 to 2011 were MRSA [24]. Among Native Americans on Navajo Nation in 2016–2017, 32.7% of invasive cases were MRSA [13]. In a Native American community in the Midwestern United States in 1997, 55.4% of *S aureus* infections, including noninvasive infections, were MRSA [25], and at all IHS facilities in 2005, 52% of *S aureus*-associated hospitalizations were characterized as MRSA [12]. Reasons for the high proportion of MRSA in this population are unknown but might reflect different patterns of strain circulation or antibiotic prescription practices in the community.

Reasons for the high burden of disease observed in this community are unknown, but they are likely due to both host and environmental factors. High rates of S aureus infections have been found in indigenous communities around the world, including those in Australia [26], New Zealand [26], and Canada [27]. In Australia, rates of S aureus bacteremia as high as 172 per 100 000 persons were observed in some indigenous communities compared with 65 per 100 000 in the general population [26]. Other socially disadvantaged populations, including African American populations in the United States [10], are also at increased risk for S aureus infections. In the United States in 2013, rates of invasive MRSA were 39.93 per 100 000 persons among African American individuals compared with 19.78 per 100 000 persons among white individuals. A recent study comparing rates of CA invasive MRSA by ethnicity found that most of the increased burden among African American populations was due to differences in socioeconomic status [11]. The proportion of the population living at 100% below the federal poverty level is similarly high for reservation-based communities in the Southwest United States [28], so although low socioeconomic status may be a contributor, it does not explain the large difference in rates between Native American communities in this region [13, 14]. Given the prevalence of prior documented S aureus and MRSA infections among cases, it is clear that exposure to S aureus and MRSA is common in this community. Staphylococcus aureus carriage is the greatest single risk factor for infection [29], and data are needed to determine the prevalence of S aureus and MRSA colonization across age groups in this population. Additional studies are also needed to characterize the strains circulating in this community and how they differ from those in other regions across the United States. This information would help to understand whether these factors are contributing to the burden of S aureus and MRSA disease observed in this study.

This study had several limitations. First, due to the large proportion of cases that were HACO and MRSA, our ability to evaluate differences in the characteristics of cases by infection type and antibiotic resistance was limited. Second, it is possible that cases were missed and that the incidence reported was underestimated. However, efforts were made to minimize missed cases, including audits of the participating laboratories; therefore, this is unlikely to have significantly impacted the study results. Third, a definitive link between the *S aureus* infection and death could not be established, because cause of death was not available for any case.

# CONCLUSIONS

These findings have important implications for the public health and medical communities in this region. Reservationbased Native American populations are not adequately represented in national laboratory-based surveillance systems, leading to an underrecognition of disease burden in these settings. Robust, population-based, laboratory-based surveillance for invasive disease in high-risk populations should be prioritized. Interventions are urgently needed to reduce the morbidity and mortality due to S aureus. Addressing this health disparity will require a multipronged approach; additional research priorities should include establishing community S aureus and MRSA colonization prevalence, exploring the potential role for targeted decolonization as a strategy to prevent infection in this community [30], developing culturally determined outreach initiatives to promote prevention, early recognition, and appropriate treatment of S aureus infections, and developing effective vaccines or other interventions to modify the immune response and prevent invasive disease.

#### **Supplementary Data**

Supplementary materials are available at *Open Forum Infectious Diseases* online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank the Center for American Indian Health staff involved in conducting the study, the White Mountain Apache Tribe, and Indian Health Service (IHS) clinical and laboratory partners. The study could not have taken place without the guidance from the Institutional Review Boards of the Phoenix Area IHS, the National IHS, and the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health.

**Disclaimer.** The opinions expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Indian Health Service or Pfizer Inc.

*Financial support.* This work was funded through a Clinical Research Collaboration award from Pfizer Inc. (protocol number 1605050000).

**Potential conflicts of interest.** C. G. S., L. R. G., A. R., G. D., L. B. B., K. K., R. C. W., K. L. O., and L. L. H. received an institutional grant from Pfizer Inc. to conduct this work. M. S. received grants from Pfizer Inc. to conduct this and other work. R. H. and A. Q. are employees of Pfizer Inc. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

#### References

- David MZ, Daum RS. Community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: epidemiology and clinical consequences of an emerging epidemic. Clin Microbiol Rev 2010; 23:616–87.
- 2. Kourtis AP, Hatfield K, Baggs J, et al. Epidemiology and recent trends in methicillin-resistant and in methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus*

bloodstream infections — United States. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep **2019**; 68:214–9.

- Gould IM, Reilly J, Bunyan D, Walker A. Costs of healthcare-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and its control. Clin Microbiol Infect 2010; 16:1721–8.
- Cheek JE, Holman RC, Redd JT, Haberling D, Hennessy TW. Infectious disease mortality among American Indians and Alaska Natives, 1999–2009. Am J Public Health 2014; 104(Suppl 3):S446–52.
- Holman RC, Folkema AM, Singleton RJ, et al. Disparities in infectious disease hospitalizations for American Indian/Alaska Native people. Public Health Rep 2011; 126:508–21.
- Millar EV, O'Brien KL, Watt JP, et al. Epidemiology of invasive *Haemophilus* influenzae type A disease among Navajo and White Mountain Apache children, 1988–2003. Clin Infect Dis 2005; 40:823–30.
- Scott JR, Millar EV, Lipsitch M, et al. Impact of more than a decade of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine use on carriage and invasive potential in Native American communities. J Infect Dis 2012; 205:280–8.
- Barnes PM, Adams PF, Powell-Griner E. Health characteristics of the American Indian or Alaska Native adult population: United States, 2004–2008. National Health Statistics Reports, Number 20, 9 March 2010. Available at: https://www. cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhsr/nhsr020.pdf
- Laupland KB, Church DL, Mucenski M, et al. Population-based study of the epidemiology of and the risk factors for invasive *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. J Infect Dis 2003; 187:1452–9.
- Gualandi N, Mu Y, Bamberg WM, et al. Racial disparities in invasive methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections, 2005–2014. Clin Infect Dis 2018; 67:1175–81.
- See I, Wesson P, Gualandi N, et al. Socioeconomic factors explain racial disparities in invasive community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* disease rates. Clin Infect Dis 2017; 64:597–604.
- Byrd KK, Holman RC, Bruce MG, et al. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*-associated hospitalizations among the American Indian and Alaska native population. Clin Infect Dis **2009**; 49:1009–15.
- Sutcliffe CG, Grant LR, Reid A, et al. The burden of *Staphylococcus aureus* among Native Americans on the Navajo Nation. PLoS One 2019; 14:e0213207.
- 14. Office of Infectious Disease Services. Rates of reported confirmed and probable cases of selected notifiable diseases by race/ethnicity, per 100 000 population, Arizona, 2017: Arizona Department of Health Services. 2018. Available at: https://www.azdhs.gov/documents/preparedness/epidemiology-disease-control/ disease-data-statistics-reports/data-statistics-archive/2017/raceethnicity2017. pdf. Accessed 20 July 2018.
- Weatherholtz R, Millar EV, Moulton LH, et al. Invasive pneumococcal disease a decade after pneumococcal conjugate vaccine use in an American Indian population at high risk for disease. Clin Infect Dis 2010; 50:1238–46.

- Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. J Chronic Dis 1987; 40:373–83.
- Indian Health Service. National Data Warehouse (NDW), User Population/ Workload Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs). Available at: https://www.ihs.gov/ NDW/index.cfm?module=dsp\_dqw\_mq5-1&Answer=2. Accessed 8 January 2019.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Active Bacterial Core Surveillance Report, Emerging Infections Program Network, Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus, 2015. 2017. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/hai/eip/ pdf/2015-MRSA-Report-P.pdf. Accessed 8 October 2017.
- US Census Bureau. American Community Survey. Available at: https://www. census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/. Accessed 25 July 2018.
- Dantes R, Mu Y, Belflower R, et al. National burden of invasive methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections, United States, 2011. JAMA Intern Med 2013; 173:1970–8.
- Office of Infectious Disease Services. Infectious Disease Epidemiology 2010– 2015 Report: Bureau of Epidemiology and Disease Control, Arizona Department of Health Services. 2018. Available at: https://www.azdhs.gov/documents/preparedness/epidemiology-disease-control/disease-data-statistics-reports/infectiousdisease-epidemiology-report-2010-2015.pdf. Accessed 11 January 2019.
- Poudel A, Zhou JY, Story D, Li L. Diabetes and associated cardiovascular complications in American Indians/Alaskan Natives: a review of risks and prevention strategies. J Diabetes Res 2018; 2018:2742565.
- Hutchinson RN, Shin S. Systematic review of health disparities for cardiovascular diseases and associated factors among American Indian and Alaska Native populations. PLoS One 2014; 9:e80973.
- David MZ, Daum RS, Bayer AS, et al. *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia at 5 US academic medical centers, 2008–2011: significant geographic variation in community-onset infections. Clin Infect Dis 2014; 59:798–807.
- Groom AV, Wolsey DH, Naimi TS, et al. Community-acquired methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a rural American Indian community. JAMA 2001; 286:1201–5.
- Williamson DA, Coombs GW, Nimmo GR. Staphylococcus aureus 'Down Under': contemporary epidemiology of S. aureus in Australia, New Zealand, and the South West Pacific. Clin Microbiol Infect 2014; 20:597–604.
- Loewen K, Schreiber Y, Kirlew M, et al. Community-associated methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection: literature review and clinical update. Can Fam Physician **2017**; 63:512–20.
- US Census Bureau. 2011–2015 American Community Survey American Indian and Alaska Native Tables. Available at: https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/ acs/. Accessed 16 April 2019.
- Wertheim HF, Melles DC, Vos MC, et al. The role of nasal carriage in Staphylococcus aureus infections. Lancet Infect Dis 2005; 5:751–62.
- Huang SS, Singh R, McKinnell JA, et al.; Project CLEAR Trial. Decolonization to reduce postdischarge infection risk among MRSA carriers. N Engl J Med 2019; 380:638–50.