

Anemia and RBC Transfusion

Anemia is common in critically ill patients. More than 90% of patients have subnormal hemoglobin by the third day of ICU admission. Despite the fact that blood transfusions have not been shown to improve the outcome of ICU patients (see below) and that the current guidelines recommend blood transfusion only when the hemoglobin falls below 7.0 g/dl, almost half of all patients admitted to an ICU receive a blood transfusion.^{1,2} The etiology of anemia of critical illness is multi-factorial and complex. Repeated phlebotomy, gastrointestinal blood loss, and other surgical procedures contribute significantly to the development of anemia. Red cell production in critically ill patients is often abnormal and is involved in the development and maintenance of anemia. The pathophysiology of this anemia includes decreased production of erythropoietin (EPO), impaired bone marrow response to erythropoietin, and reduced red cell survival.

For much of the last century, RBC transfusion has been viewed as having obvious clinical benefit. Blood transfusion was considered as a life-saving strategy and an arbitrary threshold of 10 gm/dl was used as a transfusion trigger. However, over the last 20 years, RBC transfusion practice has come under increased scrutiny. Initially this was driven by concerns over transfusion-related infections, HIV in particular. While the risk of transfusion-transmitted infections has received considerable attention, the risks of this complication with modern blood-banking techniques are now exceedingly remote.³ On the other hand, it is now becoming clear that there are other important, less-recognized risks of RBC transfusion related to RBC storage effects and to immunomodulating effects of RBC transfusions which occur in almost all recipients.⁴

■ COMPLICATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH BLOOD TRANSFUSION

Infectious

- Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)
- Hepatitis B, C, D
- Cytomegalovirus
- Parvovirus B19
- Epstein–Barr virus
- Human T-cell leukemia/lymphoma virus
- Human herpes virus 6, 7, and 8
- Toxoplasmosis
- Malaria
- West Nile virus
- TT virus
- Prion disease

Non-infectious

- Immune activation
 - Non-hemolytic febrile reactions
 - Anaphylactoid allergic reactions
 - Acute hemolytic reaction
 - Delayed hemolytic reactions
 - Transfusion-related acute lung injury (TRALI)
 - Delayed TRALI syndrome
 - Transfusion-associated graft vs. host disease
- Immune tolerance
 - Nosocomial/postoperative infections
 - Multi-organ failure
 - Transplant tolerance
 - Cancer recurrence
 - Autoimmune disease

ALERT

Transfused blood contains progenitor stem cells; blood transfusion should be considered to be a mini bone marrow transplant; the immunomodulating effects persist for years; maybe lifelong.⁵

The “toxicity” of blood is related to a number of factors including the following:

- Leukodepleted vs. non-leukodepleted blood
- The length of storage (age of the blood)
- The number of units transfused
- The immune status of the recipient

Furthermore, although blood transfusions increase systemic oxygen delivery, the immediate effectiveness of stored red cell transfusions to augment tissue oxygen consumption in critically ill patients has been questioned in several studies.⁶

We performed a systemic review of the literature to determine the benefits and harm associated with blood transfusion. We included 45 observational studies in our review.⁷ In 42 of the 45 studies, the risks of RBC transfusion outweighed the benefits and the risk was neutral in two studies, with the benefits outweighing the risks in a subgroup of a single study (elderly patients with an acute myocardial infarction and a HCT <30%). Seventeen of the eighteen studies demonstrated that RBC transfusions were an independent predictor of death; the pooled OR (12 studies) was 1.7 (95% CI 1.4–1.9). Twenty-two studies examined the association between RBC transfusion and nosocomial infection; in all these studies, blood transfusion was an independent risk factor for infection. The pooled OR (nine studies) for developing an infectious complications was 1.8 (95% CI 1.5–2.2). RBC transfusions similarly increased the risk of developing MODS (three studies) and ARDS (six studies). The pooled OR for developing ARDS was 2.5 (95% CI 1.6–3.3). These data suggest that blood can no longer be regarded as “being safe,” that blood transfusions are associated with increased morbidity and mortality, and that the risks and possible benefits of blood transfusion should be evaluated carefully in each patient prior to a transfusion.

Transfusion-related acute lung injury (TRALI) syndrome is an “uncommon” condition characterized by the abrupt onset of respiratory failure within hours of the transfusion of a blood product. It is usually caused by anti-leukocyte antibodies, resolves rapidly, and has a low mortality. A single unit of packed cells or blood component products (FFP and platelets) is usually implicated in initiating this syndrome. It has, however, recently been recognized that the transfusion of blood products in critically ill or injured patients increases the risk for the development of the ALI/acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) 6–72 h after the transfusion. This “delayed TRALI syndrome” is common, occurring in up to 25% of critically ill patients receiving a blood transfusion, and is associated with a mortality rate of up to 40%.⁸ While the delayed TRALI syndrome can develop after the transfusion of a single unit, the risk increases as the number of transfused blood products increases. The management of both the classic and delayed TRALI syndromes is essentially supportive.

■ TOLERANCE TO ANEMIA

In health, the amount of oxygen delivered to the whole body exceeds resting oxygen requirements almost fourfold. An isolated decrease in hemoglobin concentration to 10 g/dl with all other parameters remaining constant will result in an oxygen delivery that remains approximately twice that of the resting oxygen consumption. Humans have a remarkable ability to adapt to anemia by increasing cardiac output (in the absence of volume depletion), increasing microcirculatory density, increasing red cell synthesis of 2,3-DPG with a resultant rightward shift of the oxyhemoglobin dissociation curve (aids oxygen unloading), and by increasing oxygen extraction. Healthy volunteers can tolerate isovolemic hemodilution down to a hemoglobin content of 4.5 without apparent harmful effects.⁹ However, due to the high extraction ratio of oxygen in the coronary circulation, coronary blood flow appears to be the major factor which limits the tolerance of low hemoglobin concentrations. In experimental animal models of coronary stenosis, depressed cardiac function occurs at hemoglobin concentrations between 7 and 10 g/l.^{10,11}

■ WHEN SHOULD PATIENTS BE TRANSFUSED?

Patients without cardiovascular disease may tolerate hemoglobin levels as low as 7 g/dl and possibly lower with minimal sequela. Although physiological reserve decreases with aging, it appears that elderly patients (>65 years) without cardiovascular disease may similarly tolerate hemoglobin concentrations as low as 8 g/dl without untoward effects. The current data clearly demonstrate that blood transfusions increase the risk of infection, ARDS, and death. Evidence-based guidelines would therefore suggest that patients without cardiovascular disease and who are not actively bleeding should be transfused only when the hemoglobin concentration falls below 7 g/dl. Furthermore, it could be argued that even in these patients, a transfusion is indicated only if the patient has symptomatic anemia (i.e., signs of myocardial or tissue ischemia). These recommendations are supported by the landmark Canadian Critical Care Trials Group Study (TRICC) which demonstrated the safety of using a “transfusion trigger” of 7.0 g/dl in critically ill ICU patients.¹² Furthermore, in the subgroup of patients with cardiovascular disease in the TRICC study (none with acute coronary syndromes), the restrictive transfusion strategy was not associated with an increased risk of complications or mortality as compared to the liberal transfusion group.¹³

The traditional 10/30 transfusion trigger can no longer be supported. In the absence of acute bleeding, hemoglobin levels consistent with the TRICC trial (7.0–9.0 g/dl) are well tolerated.¹² The American Association of Blood Banking has recommended titrating transfusion

requirements to parameters of severity of illness rather than arbitrarily defined hemoglobin levels.¹⁴ This recommendation is in agreement with the more recent recommendations of the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force,¹⁵ as well as the Canadian Guidelines which suggest “there is no single value of hemoglobin concentration that justifies or requires transfusion; an evaluation of the patient’s clinical situation should also be a factor in the decision.”¹⁶

The Cardiac Patient

Anemia is well recognized to be a poor prognostic factor in patients with congestive cardiac failure as well as acute coronary syndromes (ACS’s).^{17,18} Contrary to conventional wisdom, this does not mean that blood transfusion improves outcome. Rao and colleagues¹⁹ examined the potential impact of red blood cell transfusion in 24,111 patients with ACS. Blood transfusion was an independent predictor of myocardial infarction and 30-day all-cause mortality (adjusted hazard ratio 3.94). Furthermore, the 30-day mortality was significantly increased when transfusions were given to patients with hematocrits of 25% or above (compared to those with a hematocrit below 25%). Similarly, Aronson and colleagues²⁰ demonstrated an increase in mortality and the composite end point of death/recurrent MI/heart failure in patients with acute myocardial infarction who had a hemoglobin >8 g/dl and received a blood transfusion. Similar observations have been made by other authors.^{21–23} The increased risk of infarction and death is probably related to the pro-thrombotic and pro-inflammatory properties of stored blood.²⁴

The Elective Surgical Patient

Carson and colleagues^{25,26} studied patients who underwent surgery and declined blood transfusions for religious reasons. In those patients without cardiovascular disease and who had a baseline hemoglobin of between 6 and 6.9 g/dl, there was no significant increase in perioperative mortality (OR 1.4; 95% CI 0.5–4.2) if the blood loss was less than 2.0 g/dl. However, in patients with cardiovascular disease, preoperative anemia was associated with a significant increase in perioperative mortality. These data confirm that humans can adapt to very low hemoglobin levels, with cardiovascular disease being the major limiting factor. These data suggest that in patients undergoing surgery who have significant coronary artery disease, the hemoglobin should be increased to about 10 g/dl prior to surgery. This is best achieved with the use of EPO and iron, thereby avoiding a blood transfusion. Blood transfusions are independent risk factors for perioperative infections, ARDS, and death (and perhaps tumor recurrence).^{7,27}

ALERT

Except for patients with severe acute blood loss, there is no disease state that benefits from *blood transfusion*.

Furthermore, there is no convincing data that blood transfusions acutely increase oxygen uptake in critically ill patients.

Summary of When to Transfuse

- Individualize for each patient
 - Weigh risks vs. benefits
- ACS <8 g/dl
- Significant CAD and surgery <10 g/dl
- All other <6–7 g/dl
 - Tachycardia
 - Lactate
 - MvO₂
 - Mentation

■ CLINICAL PEARLS

- Transfused blood is not the same as the blood in our veins.
- Blood transfusions are associated with significant complications which frequently outweigh any potential benefits.

■ REFERENCES

1. Corwin HL, Gettinger A, Pearl RG, et al. The CRIT Study: Anemia and blood transfusion in the critically ill – current clinical practice in the United States. *Crit Care Med.* 2004;32:39–52.
2. Vincent JL, Baron JF, Reinhart K, et al. Anemia and blood transfusion in critically ill patients. *JAMA.* 2002;288:1499–1507.
3. Busch MP, Kleinman SH, Nemo GJ. Current and emerging infectious risks of blood transfusions. *JAMA.* 2003;289:959–962.
4. Raghavan M, Marik PE. Anemia, allogenic blood transfusion, and immunomodulation in the critically ill. *Chest.* 2005;127:295–307.
5. Beck I, Scott JS, Pepper M, et al. The effect of neonatal exchange and later blood transfusion on lymphocyte cultures. *Am J Reproduct Immunol.* 1981;1:224–225.

6. Marik PE, Sibbald WJ. Effect of stored-blood transfusion on oxygen delivery in patients with sepsis. *JAMA*. 1993;269:3024–3029.
7. Marik PE, Corwin HL. Efficacy of RBC transfusion in the critically ill: a systematic review of the literature. *Crit Care Med*. 2008; 36:2667–2674.
8. Marik PE, Corwin HL. Acute lung injury following blood transfusion: expanding the definition. *Crit Care Med*. 2008;36:3080–3084.
9. Weiskopf RB, Viele MK, Feiner J, et al. Human cardiovascular and metabolic response to acute, severe isovolemic anemia. *JAMA*. 1998;279:217–221.
10. Leung JM, Weiskopf RB, Feiner J, et al. Electrocardiographic ST-segment changes during acute, severe isovolemic hemodilution in humans. *Anesthesiol*. 2000;93:1004–1010.
11. Levy PS, Kim SJ, Eckel PK, et al. Limit to cardiac compensation during acute isovolemic hemodilution: influence of coronary stenosis. *Am J Physiol*. 1993;265:H340–H349.
12. Hebert PC, Wells G, Blajchman MA, et al. A multicenter, randomized, controlled clinical trial of transfusion requirements in critical care. Transfusion Requirements in Critical Care Investigators, Canadian Critical Care Trials Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;340:409–417.
13. Hebert PC, Yetisir E, Martin C, et al. Is a low transfusion threshold safe in critically ill patients with cardiovascular diseases? *Crit Care Med*. 2001;29:227–234.
14. Consensus conference. Perioperative red blood cell transfusion. *JAMA*. 1988;260:2700–2703.
15. Practice guidelines for perioperative blood transfusion and adjuvant therapies. An Updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Perioperative Blood Transfusion and Adjuvant Therapies. *Anesthesiol*. 2008;105:198–208.
16. Expert Working Group. Guidelines for red blood cell and plasma transfusion for adults and children. *Can Med Assoc J*. 2008;156(11 (suppl.):S1–S24.
17. Nikolsky E, Aymong ED, Halkin A, et al. Impact of anemia in patients with acute myocardial infarction undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention: analysis from the Controlled Abciximab and Device Investigation to Lower Late Angioplasty Complications (CADILLAC) Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004;44:547–553.
18. Mozaffarian D, Nye R, Levy WC. Anemia predicts mortality in severe heart failure: the prospective randomized amlodipine survival evaluation (PRAISE). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2003;41:1933–1939.
19. Rao SV, Jollis JG, Harrington RA, et al. Relationship of blood transfusion and clinical outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *JAMA*. 2004;292:1555–1562.
20. Aronson D, Dann EJ, Bonstein L, et al. Impact of red blood cell transfusion on clinical outcomes in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol*. 2008;102:115–119.

21. Jani SM, Smith DE, Share D, et al. Blood transfusion and in-hospital outcomes in anemic patients with myocardial infarction undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. *Clin Cardiol.* 2007;30:II49–II56.
22. Singla I, Zahid M, Good CB, et al. Impact of blood transfusions in patients presenting with anemia and suspected acute coronary syndrome. *Am J Cardiol.* 2007;99:1119–1121.
23. Alexander KP, Chen AY, Wang TY, et al. Transfusion practice and outcomes in non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes. *Am Heart J.* 2008;155:1047–1053.
24. Twomley KM, Rao SV, Becker RC. Proinflammatory, immunomodulating, and prothrombotic properties of anemia and red blood cell transfusions. *J Thromb Thrombolysis.* 2006;21:167–174.
25. Carson JL, Duff A, Poses RM, et al. Effect of anaemia and cardiovascular disease on surgical mortality and morbidity. *Lancet.* 1996;348:1055–1060.
26. Spence RK, Carson JA, Poses R, et al. Elective surgery without transfusion: influence of preoperative hemoglobin level and blood loss on mortality. *Am J Surg.* 1990;159:320–324.
27. Marik PE. The hazards of blood transfusion. *Br J Hosp Med.* 2009;70:12–15.