

Journal of Experimental Botany, Vol. 75, No. 11 pp. 3206–3208, 2024 https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erae175



eXtra Botany

Insight

Take your sunscreen: plant photoreceptor systems in Serritaenia testaceovaginata

Wolfgang R. Hess^{1,*,} and Andreas Hiltbrunner^{2,3,*,}

¹ University of Freiburg, Institute of Biology III, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany

² University of Freiburg, Institute of Biology II, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany

³ Signalling Research Centres BIOSS and CIBSS, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany

* Correspondence: wolfgang.hess@biologie.uni-freiburg.de or andreas.hiltbrunner@biologie.uni-freiburg.de

This article comments on:

Busch A, Gerbracht JV, Davies K, Hoecker U, Hess S. 2024. Comparative transcriptomics elucidates the cellular responses of an aeroterrestrial zygnematophyte to UV radiation. Journal of Experimental Botany **75**, 3624–3642.

Light is undoubtedly the most important physical resource for plant life. As light in the environment always comes in the form of sunlight, its spectrum also includes a certain amount of potentially harmful UV light. Based on a comparative transcriptome analysis, Busch et al. (2024) investigated how gene expression in the green alga Serritaenia testaceovaginata responds to moderate UV radiation. The results revealed the presence of a conserved, plant-like system for UV perception and signal transduction, and components of all major plant photoreceptor systems. This suggests that embryophyte adaptations to light conditions on land may not so much rely on fundamentally new components but rather on the adaptation of the core system that was present in the last common ancestor of today's land plants and streptophyte algae.

Plants have evolved sophisticated systems for sensing the intensity and spectral composition of light (Fig. 1). Plant light signalling systems include the red/far-red light sensing phytochromes, the blue-light sensing phototropins, cryptochromes, and ZEITLUPE family proteins, and the UV-sensing UV RESISTANCE LOCUS 8 (UVR8) (Galvão and Fankhauser, 2015).

The work by Busch et al. (2024) relates to the question of which evolutionary innovations enabled the progenitors of

land plants to survive and then to thrive on land, a process called terrestrialization. There is substantial evidence suggesting that the first representatives of the land plants, the *Embryophyta*, appeared approximately half a billion years ago (Morris *et al.*, 2018; Strother and Foster, 2021). This step definitely has shaped the surface of our planet, but which genetic, developmental, and physiological changes needed to occur, and in which order, to make this accomplishment possible is still not clear.

Sensing the quality and quantity of ambient light and the physiological responses to it are clearly important elements of the water-to-land transition. A type of phytochrome containing the photosensory core module known from plant phytochromes already exists in cyanobacteria, the bacteria that are ancestral to plant chloroplasts and contributed considerably to the plant gene pool (Wang *et al.*, 2020). Networks for light sensing and signalling, and for integration of light and other environmental cues are widespread in plants. Yet, it is a matter of debate in which taxonomic groups these networks have evolved. Furthermore, did these systems only evolve in land plants—after terrestrialization—or had they evolved beforehand in an aquatic environment? Also if they evolved before, probably in green algae, in which groups, and did this happen in multicellular or in unicellular species and why?

While most insight into plant light signalling has essentially been obtained in a very limited number of species, in particular seed plants, the research highlighted here was performed on *Serritaenia testaceovaginata*, a species most readers might not be familiar with.

What is Serritaenia testaceovaginata?

There is widespread consensus now that the first land plants emerged from progenitors within the streptophyte green

[©] The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (https://creativecommons. org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact reprints@oup.com for reprints and translation rights for reprints. All other permissions can be obtained through our RightsLink service via the Permissions link on the article page on our site—for further information please contact journals.permissions@oup.com.



Fig. 1. Photoreceptors in plants. The approximate spectral range in which different plant photoreceptors absorb are indicated. For phytochromes (PHYs), absorption of the Pr and Pfr state is shown. UV, UV light; IR, infrared light; CRY, cryptochrome; PHOT, phototropin; ZTL, ZEITLUPE.

algae, and that the Zygnematophyceae represent the closest extant algal relatives of land plants (Wodniok *et al.*, 2011; Wickett *et al.*, 2014; de Vries and Archibald, 2018; Hess *et al.*, 2022). Zygnematophyceae are a class of freshwater and, interestingly, semi-terrestrial algae. These algae have adaptations to cope with stress factors typically associated with the life on land, such as desiccation or UV (Busch and Hess, 2022). There is evidence that the evolution of important stress responses predated plant terrestrialization (Dadras *et al.*, 2023). For all these reasons, the molecular mechanisms underlying stress responses in Zygnematophyceae deserve attention.

Serritaenia testaceovaginata is a unicellular species, belongs to the Zygnematophyceae, and can be cultivated in the laboratory. Further advantageous for research related to light signalling, and especially UV-related signalling, is that Serritaenia produces an easily observable extracellular pigmentation in response to illumination by UV light (Busch and Hess, 2022). It is, however, less helpful that there is no reference genome sequence for this alga.

How do we study responses to UV at the molecular level if a reference genome sequence is lacking?

Because there was no reference genome sequence available, the authors decided on a *de novo* transcriptome analysis. Following exposure to UV on three consecutive days for 4 h each, RNA was extracted and, following cDNA library construction, it was sequenced. The experiment was performed in triplicate; the controls were cultivated under identical conditions except that UV was filtered out. Processed reads from all conditions were pooled (267 756 958 reads in total) and assembled into contigs which were used for annotation and differential gene expression analysis.

The annotated *de novo* transcriptome led to 39 988 complete, 20 701 partial, and 4671 likely internal gene fragments. At first glance, the number of possibly $>60\ 000$ predicted different coding sequences might seem high. For instance, 11 080 and 27 137 genes were modelled with high confidence for two other species of Zygnematophyceae (Mesotaenium endlicherianum and Spirotaenia muscicola) (Cheng et al., 2019). However, between 27 822 and 52 529 annotated genes together with substantial genome size variation were reported for four unicellular Zygnematophyceae in the Closterium peracerosumstrigosum-littorale complex (Kawaguchi et al., 2023). Therefore, this number of genes is not as out of range as it may seem. Moreover, the number of individual coding sequences from a de novo assembled transcriptome cannot be directly compared with the gene content determined by total genome analysis. Even though some genes will not have been transcribed at all under the conditions studied, the de novo transcriptome established here still provided a fairly sound basis for differential gene expression analysis of S. testaceovaginata.

Light signalling systems in Serritaenia testaceovaginata

Differential expression analysis of *S. testaceovaginata* revealed that genes encoding enzymes involved in the production of aromatic amino acids, for phenylpropanoid biosynthesis, and suitable transport systems were up-regulated under UV irradiance, suggesting a polyphenolic origin of the *Serritaenia* sunscreen pigment.

The authors identified components of the phytochrome, phototropin, cryptochrome, and ZEITLUPE systems, as well as the UV-sensing UVR8 system in *Serritaenia* (i.e. components of all major plant photoreceptor systems; Galvão and Fankhauser, 2015). With the exception of the phototropins, expressional changes upon UVB treatment were found for components of all photoreceptor systems.

The authors looked more closely into the UV-related signalling system. In addition to UVR8, Serritaenia also contains potential homologues of key components required for UVR8 downstream signalling in seed plants, including CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENIC 1 (COP1), REPRESSOR OF UV-B PHOTOMORPHOGENESIS (RUP), and ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL 5 (HY5) (Podolec et al., 2021). UVR8 contains three tryptophan residues that serve as a chromophore for UV light; regions containing these residues are also conserved in UVR8 from Serritaenia. In Arabidopsis, monomerization of the UVR8 dimer in response to UV radiation and binding to the substrate-binding site of the E3 ubiquitin ligase COP1 prevents targeting for degradation of the transcription factor HY5 and thereby controls gene expression. RUP acts as a negative regulator of UV radiation signalling in Arabidopsis by promoting redimerization of UVR8 (Podolec et al., 2021). The chlorophyte alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii is more distantly related to land plants than Serritaenia. However, even Chlamydomonas contains homologues of key components of UV sensing and signalling, and a previous study has shown that basic principles of UVR8 action are functionally conserved between Chlamydomonas and

3208

Arabidopsis (Tilbrook et al., 2016). Sensing non-damaging levels of UV radiation to anticipate and prepare for potentially damaging levels may therefore be a strategy shared by land plants, streptophyte algae, and the more distantly related chlorophyte algae that at least partially also relies on a common mechanism. However, lessons learned from evo-devo studies, such as on hormone signalling pathways in plants, should remind us that homologues identified on the basis of sequence similarity are not necessarily functional homologues and that molecular mechanisms predicted based on the presence of a set of components need to be confirmed experimentally (Blázquez et al., 2020). The lack of established and easy-to-use standard protocols for the generation of transgenic lines and targeted mutants for streptophyte algae is an important limitation in this regard. Another important limitation is that the search for photoreceptors and downstream signalling components in non-seed plants has so far been mainly based on testing the role of sequence homologues of components identified in seed plants. This is certainly a valid approach, but we should be aware that non-seed plants may well have components that are not present in seed plants and that can only be identified in unbiased approaches such as mutant screens or proteome-wide interaction studies.

The study by Busch *et al.* provides important insights into the conservation of key components of light signalling and highlights the urgent need for studies in non-seed plant species.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding

Research in our teams is supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) priority program 2237 'MAdLand' grants HE 2544/18-2 to WRH and HI 1369/8-2 to AH.

Keywords: Light signalling, lignin, phytochrome, streptophyte algae, transcriptome analysis, ultraviolet radiation, UVR8.

References

Blázquez MA, Nelson DC, Weijers D. 2020. Evolution of plant hormone response pathways. Annual Review of Plant Biology **71**, 327–353.

Busch A, Hess S. 2022. Sunscreen mucilage: a photoprotective adaptation found in terrestrial green algae (Zygnematophyceae). European Journal of Phycology **57**, 107–124.

Busch A, Gerbracht JV, Davies K, Hoecker U, Hess S. 2024. Comparative transcriptomics elucidates the cellular responses of an aero-terrestrial zygnematophyte to UV radiation. Journal of Experimental Botany **75**, 3624–3642.

Cheng S, Xian W, Fu Y, et al. 2019. Genomes of subaerial Zygnematophyceae provide insights into land plant evolution. Cell **179**, 1057-1067.e14.

Dadras A, Fürst-Jansen JMR, Darienko T, et al. 2023. Environmental gradients reveal stress hubs pre-dating plant terrestrialization. Nature Plants **9**, 1419–1438.

de Vries J, Archibald JM. 2018. Plant evolution: landmarks on the path to terrestrial life. New Phytologist **217**, 1428–1434.

Galvão VC, Fankhauser C. 2015. Sensing the light environment in plants: photoreceptors and early signaling steps. Current Opinion in Neurobiology **34**, 46–53.

Hess S, Williams SK, Busch A, et al. 2022. A phylogenomically informed five-order system for the closest relatives of land plants. Current Biology **32**, 4473–4482.e7.

Kawaguchi YW, Tsuchikane Y, Tanaka K, et al. 2023. Extensive copy number variation explains genome size variation in the unicellular zygnematophycean alga, *Closterium peracerosum–strigosum–littorale* complex. Genome Biology and Evolution **15**, evad115.

Morris JL, Puttick MN, Clark JW, Edwards D, Kenrick P, Pressel S, Wellman CH, Yang Z, Schneider H, Donoghue PCJ. 2018. The timescale of early land plant evolution. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 115, E2274–E2283.

Podolec R, Demarsy E, Ulm R. 2021. Perception and signaling of ultraviolet-B radiation in plants. Annual Review of Plant Biology **72**, 793–822.

Strother PK, Foster C. 2021. A fossil record of land plant origins from charophyte algae. Science **373**, 792–796.

Tilbrook K, Dubois M, Crocco CD, Yin R, Chappuis R, Allorent G, Schmid-Siegert E, Goldschmidt-Clermont M, Ulm R. 2016. UV-B perception and acclimation in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. The Plant Cell **28**, 966–983.

Wang S, Li L, Li H, *et al.* 2020. Genomes of early-diverging streptophyte algae shed light on plant terrestrialization. Nature Plants **6**, 95–106.

Wickett NJ, Mirarab S, Nguyen N, *et al*. 2014. Phylotranscriptomic analysis of the origin and early diversification of land plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **111**, E4859–E4868.

Wodniok S, Brinkmann H, Glöckner G, Heidel AJ, Philippe H, Melkonian M, Becker B. 2011. Origin of land plants: do conjugating green algae hold the key? BMC Evolutionary Biology **11**, 104.