REASONED OPINION



ADOPTED: 3 June 2021 doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2021.6677

Modification of the existing maximum residue levels for isofetamid in raspberries, blackberries and dewberries

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), Giulia Bellisai, Giovanni Bernasconi, Alba Brancato, Luis Carrasco Cabrera, Lucien Ferreira, German Giner, Luna Greco, Samira Jarrah, Aija Kazocina, Renata Leuschner, Jose Oriol Magrans, Ileana Miron, Stefanie Nave, Ragnor Pedersen, Hermine Reich, Silvia Ruocco, Miguel Santos, Alessia Pia Scarlato, Anne Theobald, Benedicte Vagenende and Alessia Verani

Abstract

In accordance with Article 6 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005, the applicant ISK Biosciences Europe N.V. submitted a request to the competent national authority in Belgium to modify the existing maximum residue levels (MRLs) for the active substance isofetamid in raspberries, blackberries and dewberries. The data submitted in support of the request were found to be sufficient to derive MRL proposals for blackberries, dewberries and raspberries. Adequate analytical methods for enforcement are available to control the residues of isofetamid in the commodities under consideration at the validated limit of quantification (LOQ) of 0.01 mg/kg. Based on the risk assessment results, EFSA concluded that the short-term and long-term intake of residues resulting from the use of isofetamid according to the reported agricultural practices is unlikely to present a risk to consumer health.

© 2021 European Food Safety Authority. *EFSA Journal* published by John Wiley and Sons Ltd on behalf of European Food Safety Authority.

Keywords: isofetamid, raspberries, blackberries, dewberries, pesticide, MRL, consumer risk assessment

Requestor: European Commission

Question number: EFSA-Q-2021-00172

Correspondence: pesticides.mrl@efsa.europa.eu



Declarations of interest: The declarations of interest of all scientific experts active in EFSA's work are available at https://ess.efsa.europa.eu/doi/doiweb/doisearch.

Acknowledgements: EFSA wishes to thank: Stathis Anagnos, Laszlo Bura, Andrea Mioč, Marta Szot, Aikaterini Vlachou for the support provided to this scientific output.

Suggested citation: EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), Bellisai G, Bernasconi G, Brancato A, Carrasco Cabrera L, Ferreira L, Giner G, Greco L, Jarrah S, Kazocina A, Leuschner R, Magrans JO, Miron I, Nave S, Pedersen R, Reich H, Ruocco S, Santos M, Scarlato AP, Theobald A, Vagenende B and Verani A, 2021. Reasoned Opinion on the modification of the existing maximum residue levels for isofetamid in raspberries, blackberries and dewberries. EFSA Journal 2021;19(6):6677, 24 pp. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2021.6677

ISSN: 1831-4732

© 2021 European Food Safety Authority. *EFSA Journal* published by John Wiley and Sons Ltd on behalf of European Food Safety Authority.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and no modifications or adaptations are made.



The EFSA Journal is a publication of the European Food Safety Authority, a European agency funded by the European Union.



Summary

In accordance with Article 6 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005, ISK Biosciences Europe N.V. submitted an application to the competent national authority in Belgium (evaluating Member State, EMS) to modify the existing maximum residue levels (MRLs) for the active substance isofetamid in raspberries, blackberries and dewberries. The EMS drafted an evaluation report in accordance with Article 8 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005, which was submitted to the European Commission and forwarded to the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) on 16 March 2021. To accommodate for the intended NEU uses of isofetamid, the EMS proposed to raise the existing MRLs for raspberries, blackberries and dewberries from 3 to 7 mg/kg.

EFSA assessed the application and the evaluation report as required by Article 10 of the MRL regulation.

Based on the conclusions derived by EFSA in the framework of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, the data evaluated under previous MRL assessments and the additional data provided by the EMS in the framework of this application, the following conclusions are derived.

The metabolism of isofetamid following foliar application was investigated in crops belonging to the groups of fruit crops (grape), leafy crops (lettuce) and pulses/oilseeds (bean). Residues were mainly composed of the parent and metabolite GPTC.

As the proposed uses of isofetamid are on permanent crops, investigations of residues in rotational crops are not required.

Studies investigating the effect of processing on the nature of isofetamid (hydrolysis studies) demonstrated that the active substance is stable. Hydrolysis studies on metabolite GPTC have not been provided, but, since it is a glucoside conjugate of isofetamid, the possible hydrolytic decomposition of GPTC to the aglycone is covered by the available hydrolysis studies on isofetamid.

Based on the metabolic pattern identified in metabolism studies, hydrolysis studies, the toxicological significance of metabolites and degradation products and the capabilities of the analytical methods for enforcement, the residue definitions for plant products were proposed by the EU pesticides peer review as 'isofetamid' for enforcement and 'sum of isofetamid and metabolite GPTC, expressed as isofetamid', for risk assessment. These residue definitions are applicable to primary crops, rotational crops and processed products.

EFSA concluded that for the crops assessed in this application, metabolism of isofetamid in primary crops, and the possible degradation in processed products has been sufficiently addressed and that the previously derived residue definitions are applicable.

Sufficiently validated analytical methods based on LC-MS/MS are available to quantify residues in the crops assessed in this application according to the enforcement residue definition. The methods enable quantification of residues at or above the limit of quantification (LOQ) of 0.01 mg/kg in the crops assessed.

The available residue trials are sufficient to derive MRL proposals of 7 mg/kg for blackberries, dewberries and raspberries in support of intended NEU uses of isofetamid.

Specific studies investigating the magnitude of isofetamid residues following processing of the commodities under assessment are not available. However, processing factors (PF) derived from processing studies on grapes in the framework of the EU pesticides peer review are available and could eventually be extrapolated to blackberries, dewberries and raspberries. Further processing studies with berries under consideration are not required and were not requested.

Residues of isofetamid in commodities of animal origin were not assessed since the crops under consideration in this MRL application are normally not fed to livestock.

The toxicological profile of isofetamid was assessed in the framework of the EU pesticides peer review under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and the data were sufficient to derive an acceptable daily intake (ADI) of 0.02 mg/kg body weight (bw) per day and an acute reference dose (ARfD) of 1 mg/kg bw. The metabolite included in the residue definition is of similar toxicity as the parent active substance.

The consumer risk assessment was performed with revision 3.1 of the EFSA Pesticide Residues Intake Model (PRIMo). The estimated short-term exposure did not exceed the ARfD for any of the crops assessed in this application. The acute consumer exposure was calculated to be 4% of ARfD for blackberries, 3.5% of ARfD for raspberries, 0.7% of ARfD for dewberries and 0.4% of ARfD for raspberry juice.

The highest estimated long-term dietary intake accounted for 28% of the ADI (NL toddler diet). The contributions of residues expected in the commodities assessed in the present MRL application to

the overall long-term exposure were 2.3% of ADI (FI (3 year) diet) for raspberries (red and yellow), 1.4% of ADI (IE adult diet) for blackberries and 0.3% of ADI (SE general diet) for dewberries.

EFSA concluded that the proposed use of isofetamid on the crops under consideration will not result in a consumer exposure exceeding the toxicological reference values and, therefore, is unlikely to pose a risk to consumers' health. It is also noted that the consumer exposure is considered tentative for several commodities for which the existing EU MRL is based on the CXL, as the residue data according to the EU risk assessment residue definition are not available, and therefore, the exposure to the plant metabolite GPTC might be underestimated.

EFSA proposes to amend the existing MRLs as reported in the summary table below.

Full details of all end points and the consumer risk assessment can be found in Appendices B–D.

Code ^(a)	Commodity	Existing EU MRL (mg/kg)	Proposed EU MRL (mg/kg)	Comment/justification				
Enforcem	Enforcement residue definition: Isofetamid							
0153010	Blackberries	3	7	The submitted data are sufficient to				
0153020	Dewberries			derive an MRL proposal for the NEU use. Risk for consumers unlikely.				
0153030	Raspberries (red and yellow)							

MRL: maximum residue level; NEU: northern Europe.

(a): Commodity code number according to Annex I of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005.



Table of contents

Assessment	Abstract Summary							
1. Residues in plants 7 1.1. Nature of residues and methods of analysis in plants 7 1.1.1. Nature of residues in primary crops 7 1.1.2. Nature of residues in rotational crops 7 1.1.3. Nature of residues in processed commodities 7 1.1.4. Methods of analysis in plants. 7 1.1.5. Storage stability of residues in plants 8 1.1.6. Proposed residue definitions. 8 1.2.1. Magnitude of residues in plants 8 1.2.1. Magnitude of residues in primary crops 8 1.2.2. Magnitude of residues in primary crops 8 1.2.1. Magnitude of residues in processed commodities 8 1.2.2. Magnitude of residues in processed commodities 8 1.2.1. Magnitude of residues in processed commodities 8 1.2.2. Magnitude of residues in processed commodities 9 2.2. Magnitude of residues in processed commodities 9 2.3. Magnitude of residues in processed commodities 10 2.4. Proposed MRLs 9 2.	Summary							
1.1. Nature of residues and methods of analysis in plants 7 1.1.1. Nature of residues in primary crops 7 1.1.2. Nature of residues in rotational crops 7 1.1.3. Nature of residues in processed commodities 7 1.1.4. Methods of analysis in plants. 7 1.1.5. Storage stability of residues in plants 8 1.1.6. Proposed residue definitions 8 1.2. Magnitude of residues in plants 8 1.2. Magnitude of residues in plants 8 1.2. Magnitude of residues in primary crops 8 1.2. Magnitude of residues in primary crops 8 1.2. Magnitude of residues in processed commodities 8 1.2.1. Magnitude of residues in processed commodities 8 1.2.2. Magnitude of residues in processed commodities 8 1.2.1. Proposed MRLs 9 2. Residues in livestock 9 3. Consumer risk assessment 9 4. Conclusion and Recommendations 10 References 10 Abbreviations 11 Appendix A – Summary of intended GAP triggering the amendment of existing EU MRLs 11 Appendix B – List of end points 14	Assessment	6						
1.1.1. Nature of residues in primary crops 7 1.1.2. Nature of residues in rotational crops 7 1.1.3. Nature of residues in processed commodities 7 1.1.4. Methods of analysis in plants. 7 1.1.5. Storage stability of residues in plants. 8 1.1.6. Proposed residue definitions. 8 1.2.1. Magnitude of residues in plants 8 1.2.2. Magnitude of residues in primary crops 8 1.2.2. Magnitude of residues in rotational crops 8 1.2.3. Magnitude of residues in primary crops 8 1.2.4. Proposed MRLs 9 2.7. Residues in livestock. 9 3. Consumer risk assessment 9 4. Conclusion and Recommendations 10 References 10 Abbreviations 11 Appendix A – Summary of intended GAP triggering the amendment of existing EU MRLs 13 Appendix B – List of end points 14 Appendix C – Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMo) 20 Appendix D – Input values for the exposure calculations 22	1. Residues in plants	7						
1.1.2. Nature of residues in rotational crops 7 1.1.3. Nature of residues in processed commodities 7 1.1.4. Methods of analysis in plants. 7 1.1.5. Storage stability of residues in plants. 8 1.1.6. Proposed residue definitions. 8 1.2.1. Magnitude of residues in plants. 8 1.2.2. Magnitude of residues in primary crops. 8 1.2.3. Magnitude of residues in processed commodities 8 1.2.4. Proposed MRLs 9 2. Residues in livestock. 9 3. Consumer risk assessment 9 4. Conclusion and Recommendations 10 References. 10 Abbreviations 11 Appendix A – Summary of intended GAP triggering the amendment of existing EU MRLs 13 Appendix B – List of end points 14 Appendix C – Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMO) 20 Appendix D – Input values for the exposure calculations 22	1.1. Nature of residues and methods of analysis in plants	7						
1.1.3. Nature of residues in processed commodities71.1.4. Methods of analysis in plants.71.1.5. Storage stability of residues in plants81.1.6. Proposed residue definitions81.2. Magnitude of residues in plants81.2.1. Magnitude of residues in primary crops81.2.2. Magnitude of residues in rotational crops81.2.3. Magnitude of residues in processed commodities81.2.4. Proposed MRLs92. Residues in livestock93. Consumer risk assessment94. Conclusion and Recommendations10References10Abbreviations11Appendix A – Summary of intended GAP triggering the amendment of existing EU MRLs13Appendix B – List of end points14Appendix C – Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMo)20Appendix D – Input values for the exposure calculations22	1.1.1. Nature of residues in primary crops	7						
1.1.4. Methods of analysis in plants.71.1.5. Storage stability of residues in plants.81.1.6. Proposed residue definitions.81.2. Magnitude of residues in plants81.2.1. Magnitude of residues in primary crops.81.2.2. Magnitude of residues in rotational crops81.2.3. Magnitude of residues in processed commodities81.2.4. Proposed MRLs92. Residues in livestock.93. Consumer risk assessment94. Conclusion and Recommendations10References.10Abbreviations11Appendix A – Summary of intended GAP triggering the amendment of existing EU MRLs13Appendix B – List of end points14Appendix C – Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMo)20Appendix D – Input values for the exposure calculations22	1.1.2. Nature of residues in rotational crops	7						
1.1.5. Storage stability of residues in plants. 8 1.1.6. Proposed residue definitions. 8 1.2. Magnitude of residues in plants. 8 1.2.1. Magnitude of residues in primary crops. 8 1.2.2. Magnitude of residues in rotational crops. 8 1.2.3. Magnitude of residues in processed commodities. 8 1.2.4. Proposed MRLs. 9 2. Residues in livestock. 9 3. Consumer risk assessment. 9 4. Conclusion and Recommendations. 10 References. 10 Abbreviations 11 Appendix A – Summary of intended GAP triggering the amendment of existing EU MRLs. 13 Appendix B – List of end points 14 Appendix C – Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMo) 20 Appendix D – Input values for the exposure calculations 22	1.1.3. Nature of residues in processed commodities	7						
1.1.6. Proposed residue definitions	1.1.4. Methods of analysis in plants	7						
1.2. Magnitude of residues in plants 8 1.2.1. Magnitude of residues in primary crops 8 1.2.2. Magnitude of residues in rotational crops 8 1.2.3. Magnitude of residues in processed commodities 8 1.2.4. Proposed MRLs 9 2. Residues in livestock 9 3. Consumer risk assessment 9 4. Conclusion and Recommendations 10 References 10 Abbreviations 11 Appendix A – Summary of intended GAP triggering the amendment of existing EU MRLs 13 Appendix B – List of end points 14 Appendix C – Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMo) 20 Appendix D – Input values for the exposure calculations 22	1.1.5. Storage stability of residues in plants	8						
1.2.1. Magnitude of residues in primary crops 8 1.2.2. Magnitude of residues in rotational crops 8 1.2.3. Magnitude of residues in processed commodities 8 1.2.4. Proposed MRLs 9 2. Residues in livestock. 9 3. Consumer risk assessment 9 4. Conclusion and Recommendations 10 References 10 Abbreviations 11 Appendix A – Summary of intended GAP triggering the amendment of existing EU MRLs 13 Appendix B – List of end points 14 Appendix C – Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMo) 20 Appendix D – Input values for the exposure calculations 22	1.1.6. Proposed residue definitions	8						
1.2.2. Magnitude of residues in rotational crops 8 1.2.3. Magnitude of residues in processed commodities 8 1.2.4. Proposed MRLs 9 2. Residues in livestock. 9 3. Consumer risk assessment 9 4. Conclusion and Recommendations 10 References 10 Abbreviations 11 Appendix A – Summary of intended GAP triggering the amendment of existing EU MRLs 13 Appendix B – List of end points 14 Appendix C – Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMo) 20 Appendix D – Input values for the exposure calculations 22	1.2. Magnitude of residues in plants	8						
1.2.3. Magnitude of residues in processed commodities 8 1.2.4. Proposed MRLs 9 2. Residues in livestock. 9 3. Consumer risk assessment 9 4. Conclusion and Recommendations 10 References 10 Abbreviations 11 Appendix A – Summary of intended GAP triggering the amendment of existing EU MRLs 13 Appendix B – List of end points 14 Appendix C – Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMo) 20 Appendix D – Input values for the exposure calculations 22	1.2.1. Magnitude of residues in primary crops	8						
1.2.3. Magnitude of residues in processed commodities 8 1.2.4. Proposed MRLs 9 2. Residues in livestock. 9 3. Consumer risk assessment 9 4. Conclusion and Recommendations 10 References 10 Abbreviations 11 Appendix A – Summary of intended GAP triggering the amendment of existing EU MRLs 13 Appendix B – List of end points 14 Appendix C – Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMo) 20 Appendix D – Input values for the exposure calculations 22	1.2.2. Magnitude of residues in rotational crops	8						
2. Residues in livestock								
3. Consumer risk assessment 9 4. Conclusion and Recommendations 10 References 10 Abbreviations 11 Appendix A – Summary of intended GAP triggering the amendment of existing EU MRLs 13 Appendix B – List of end points 14 Appendix C – Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMo) 20 Appendix D – Input values for the exposure calculations 22	1.2.4. Proposed MRLs	9						
4. Conclusion and Recommendations 10 References 10 Abbreviations 11 Appendix A – Summary of intended GAP triggering the amendment of existing EU MRLs 13 Appendix B – List of end points 14 Appendix C – Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMo) 20 Appendix D – Input values for the exposure calculations 22	2. Residues in livestock	9						
References. 10 Abbreviations 11 Appendix A – Summary of intended GAP triggering the amendment of existing EU MRLs 13 Appendix B – List of end points 14 Appendix C – Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMo) 20 Appendix D – Input values for the exposure calculations 22	3. Consumer risk assessment	9						
Abbreviations 11 Appendix A – Summary of intended GAP triggering the amendment of existing EU MRLs 13 Appendix B – List of end points 14 Appendix C – Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMo) 20 Appendix D – Input values for the exposure calculations 22	4. Conclusion and Recommendations	10						
Appendix A – Summary of intended GAP triggering the amendment of existing EU MRLs 13 Appendix B – List of end points 14 Appendix C – Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMo) 20 Appendix D – Input values for the exposure calculations 22	References	10						
Appendix B – List of end points 14 Appendix C – Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMo) 20 Appendix D – Input values for the exposure calculations 22	Abbreviations	11						
Appendix C – Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMo) 20 Appendix D – Input values for the exposure calculations 22	Appendix A – Summary of intended GAP triggering the amendment of existing EU MRLs	13						
Appendix D – Input values for the exposure calculations	Appendix B – List of end points	14						
	Appendix C – Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMo) 20							
	Appendix D – Input values for the exposure calculations 22							
	Appendix E – Used compound codes							



Assessment

The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) received an application to modify the existing maximum residue levels (MRL) for isofetamid in raspberries, blackberries and dewberries. The detailed description of the intended NEU uses of isofetamid, which are the basis for the current MRL application, is reported in Appendix A.

Isofetamid is the ISO common name for N-[1,1-dimethyl-2-(4-isopropoxy-o-tolyl)-2-oxoethyl]-3methylthiophene-2-carboxamide (IUPAC). The chemical structures of the active substance and its main metabolites are reported in Appendix E.

Isofetamid was evaluated in the framework of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009¹ with Belgium designated as rapporteur Member State (RMS) for the representative field uses on peaches, plums, apricots, cherries, grapes, strawberries, lettuces and oilseed rapes and glasshouse uses on strawberries and lettuces. The draft assessment report (DAR) prepared by the RMS has been peer reviewed by EFSA (EFSA, 2015). Isofetamid was approved² for the use as fungicide on 15 September 2016. The process of renewal of the first approval has not yet been initiated.

The EU MRLs for isofetamid are established in Annex II of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005³. Proposals for setting MRLs covering the representative uses according to good agricultural practices (GAP) in the EU were assessed during the approval of isofetamid under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and implemented in Regulation in accordance with Article 11(2) of the Regulation (EC) 1107/2009. Since the EU pesticides peer review (EFSA, 2015), EFSA has issued one reasoned opinion on the modification of MRLs for isofetamid in several fruiting vegetables (EFSA, 2018b) and the MRL proposals assessed therein have been considered in recent MRL regulations.⁴ EFSA also has issued two scientific reports in support of preparing the EU position in the Sessions of the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues (CCPR) (EFSA, 2017, 2019b). Codex maximum residue limits (CXLs) have also been implemented, including a CXL of 3 mg/kg for berries under consideration.^{5,6}

In accordance with Article 6 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005, ISK Biosciences Europe N.V. submitted an application to the competent national authority in Belgium (evaluating Member State, EMS) to modify the existing maximum residue levels (MRLs) for the active substance isofetamid in raspberries, blackberries and dewberries. The EMS drafted an evaluation report in accordance with Article 8 of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005, which was submitted to the European Commission and forwarded to the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) on 16 March 2021. To accommodate for the intended NEU uses of isofetamid, the EMS proposed to raise the existing MRLs for raspberries, blackberries and dewberries from 3 to 7 mg/kg.

EFSA based its assessment on the evaluation report submitted by the EMS (Belgium, 2021), the draft assessment report (DAR) and its addendum (Belgium, 2014, 2015) prepared under Regulation (EC) 1107/2009, the Commission review report on isofetamid (European Commission, 2020b), the conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance isofetamid (EFSA, 2015), as well as the conclusions from previous EFSA outputs on isofetamid (EFSA, 2017, 2018b, 2019b).

¹ Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC. OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1–50.

² Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/1425 of 25 August 2016 approving the active substance isofetamid in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market, and amending the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011. OJ L 231, 26.8.2016, p. 30–33.

³ Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 of the Parliament and of the Council of 23 February 2005 on maximum residue levels of pesticides in or on food and feed of plant and animal origin and amending Council Directive 91/414/EEC. OJ L 70, 16.3.2005, p. 1–16.

⁴ For an overview of all MRL Regulations on this active substance, please consult: https://ec.europa.eu/food/plant/pesticides/ eu-pesticides-database/active-substances/?event=search.as

⁵ Commission Regulation (EU) 2018/687 of 4 May 2018 amending Annexes II and III to Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards maximum residue levels for acibenzolar-S-methyl, benzovindiflupyr, bifenthrin, bixafen, chlorantraniliprole, deltamethrin, flonicamid, fluazifop-P, isofetamid, metrafenone, pendimethalin and teflubenzuron in or on certain products. C/2018/2627.OJ L 121, 16.5.2018, p. 63–104.

⁶ Commission Regulation (EU) 2020/856 of 9 June 2020 amending Annexes II and III to Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards maximum residue levels for cyantraniliprole, cyazofamid, cyprodinil, fenpyroximate, fludioxonil, fluxapyroxad, imazalil, isofetamid, kresoxim-methyl, lufenuron, mandipropamid, propamocarb, pyraclostrobin, pyriofenone, pyriproxyfen and spinetoram in or on certain products. C/2020/3608. OJ L 195, 19.6.2020, p. 9–51.

For this application, the data requirements established in Regulation (EU) No 544/2011⁷ and the guidance documents applicable at the date of submission of the application to the EMS are applicable (European Commission, 1997a–g, 2000, 2010a,b, 2020a; OECD, 2011). The assessment is performed in accordance with the legal provisions of the Uniform Principles for the Evaluation and the Authorisation of Plant Protection Products adopted by Commission Regulation (EU) No 546/2011⁸.

A selected list of end points of the studies assessed by EFSA in the framework of this MRL application including the end points of relevant studies assessed previously is presented in Appendix B.

The evaluation report submitted by the EMS (Belgium, 2021) and the exposure calculations using the EFSA Pesticide Residues Intake Model (PRIMo) are considered as supporting documents to this reasoned opinion and, thus, are made publicly available as background documents to this reasoned opinion.

1. Residues in plants

1.1. Nature of residues and methods of analysis in plants

1.1.1. Nature of residues in primary crops

The metabolism of isofetamid in primary crops belonging to the group of fruit crops (grape), leafy crops (lettuce) and pulses/oilseeds (bean) has been investigated in the framework of the EU pesticides peer review (EFSA, 2015). In the crops tested, parent compound was the main residue, accounting for 18–73% of the total radioactive residues (TRRs), except in bean seeds at harvest, where isofetamid was only 1% of the TRR and the residues mainly composed of polar fractions representing all 22–51% TRR. In addition, metabolite GPTC was observed up to 10% TRR in grape and lettuce, all other identified metabolites being below 7% TRR. The metabolic pathway was seen to be similar in the three plant groups (EFSA, 2015).

For the intended uses on berries under consideration, the metabolic behaviour in primary crops is sufficiently addressed.

1.1.2. Nature of residues in rotational crops

As the proposed uses of isofetamid are on permanent crops, investigations of residues in rotational crops are not required.

1.1.3. Nature of residues in processed commodities

The effect of processing on the nature of isofetamid was investigated in the framework of the EU pesticides peer review (EFSA, 2015). The available hydrolysis studies showed that isofetamid is hydrolytically stable under standard processing conditions representative of pasteurisation, boiling and sterilisation. The metabolite GPTC, included together with isofetamid in the plant residue definition for risk assessment (see Section 1.1.6), is a glucoside conjugate of isofetamid, and therefore, possible hydrolytic decomposition of GPTC to the aglycone is covered by the available hydrolysis studies on isofetamid.

1.1.4. Methods of analysis in plants

Analytical methods for the determination of residues of isofetamid and the metabolite GPTC in food/feed of plant origin were assessed during the EU pesticides peer review (EFSA, 2015). The LC-MS/MS methods allow for the quantification of residues at or above the limit of quantification (LOQ) of 0.01 mg/kg for each analyte in crops belonging to the high acid, high water content and to dry commodities (EFSA, 2015, 2018b).

The methods are sufficiently validated for the determination of residues of isofetamid and the metabolite GPTC in the crops under consideration in the present MRL application.

⁷ Commission Regulation (EU) No 544/2011 of 10 June 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the data requirements for active substances. OJ L 155, 11.6.2011, p. 1–66.

⁸ Commission Regulation (EU) No 546/2011 of 10 June 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards uniform principles for evaluation and authorisation of plant protection products. OJ L 155, 11.6.2011, p. 127–175.

1.1.5. Storage stability of residues in plants

The storage stability of isofetamid and the metabolite GPTC in commodities stored under frozen conditions were investigated in the framework of the EU pesticides peer review (EFSA, 2015). It was demonstrated that for the crops assessed in the framework of this application, residues were stable for at least 12 months when stored at -20° C.

1.1.6. Proposed residue definitions

Based on the metabolic pattern identified in metabolism studies, the results of hydrolysis studies, the toxicological significance of metabolites and the capabilities of enforcement analytical methods, the following residue definitions were proposed by the EU pesticides peer review (EFSA, 2015):

- residue definition for risk assessment: sum of isofetamid and metabolite GPTC, expressed as isofetamid;
- residue definition for enforcement: isofetamid.

The same residue definitions are applicable to rotational crops and processed products.

The residue definition for enforcement set in Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 is identical with the above-mentioned residue definition.

Taking into account the proposed use assessed in this application, EFSA concluded that no further information is required and that the previously derived residue definitions are applicable.

1.2. Magnitude of residues in plants

1.2.1. Magnitude of residues in primary crops

In support of the MRL application, the applicant submitted four residue decline trials on raspberries (samples taken immediately after treatment and at the preharvest intervals (PHIs) of 1, 3 days (all trials) and 7 days (2 trials)). The trials were conducted under field conditions in Poland, over the 2018 and 2019 growing seasons, and were performed with two foliar spray applications after formation of the edible part of the plants, with an application interval of 7–8 days and a PHI of 1 day. As performed in two different locations per growing season, the trials were considered sufficiently independent.

Two residue studies from 2018 were performed at a nominal application rate of 2×480 g a.s./ha, in accordance with the intended critical good agricultural practice (cGAP) (\pm 25%). The trials from 2019 were performed at a higher application rate (2×600 g a.s./ha) compared to the cGAP (2×480 g a.s./ha). The EMS proposed to scale all available residue trial endpoints to the nominal application rate of the intended cGAP (480 g a.s./ha), according to the principle of proportionality (EFSA, 2018c; Belgium, 2021). Scaling factors derived from the seasonal application rate per trial were then applied to scale the residue data.

The samples of these residue trials were stored under conditions for which integrity of the samples has been demonstrated. The samples were analysed for the parent compound and the metabolite GPTC, in accordance with the requirements of the residue definitions for enforcement (isofetamid) and risk assessment (sum of isofetamid and GPTC, expressed as isofetamid). According to the assessment of the EMS, the methods used were sufficiently validated and fit for purpose (Belgium, 2021). The residue data from the supervised residue trials in primary crops are summarised in Appendix B.1.2.1.

The applicant proposed to extrapolate residue data from the trials performed on raspberries to blackberries and dewberries. In accordance with the EU technical guideline on extrapolation (European Commission, 2020a), such an extrapolation is acceptable and an MRL proposal of 7 mg/kg is derived for all berries under consideration.

1.2.2. Magnitude of residues in rotational crops

As the proposed uses of isofetamid are on permanent crops, investigations of residues in rotational crops are not required.

1.2.3. Magnitude of residues in processed commodities

Specific processing studies with the crops under assessment are not available. However, processing studies in grapes were assessed in the EU pesticides peer review and processing factors were derived

for wine, juice and raisin (EFSA, 2015). These studies demonstrated that juicing and drying processes lead to reduction and concentration of residues in the processed products, respectively (EFSA, 2015).

Results from studies on the processing of grapes into grape juice can be extrapolated to small berries and the derived processing factor (see Appendix B.1.2.3) could be applied in case of a need to refine consumer exposure assessment (OECD, 2008; Scholz et al., 2018). Further processing studies with berries under consideration are not required, since, considering the low individual contribution of residues in the commodities under assessment to the total consumer exposure, such results would not be expected to affect the outcome of the risk assessment (see Section 3).

1.2.4. Proposed MRLs

The available data are considered sufficient to derive MRL proposals as well as risk assessment values for the commodities under evaluation. In Section 3, EFSA assessed whether residues on these crops resulting from the intended uses are likely to pose a consumer health risk.

2. Residues in livestock

Not relevant as blackberries, dewberries and raspberries are not used for feed purposes.

3. Consumer risk assessment

EFSA performed a dietary risk assessment using revision 3.1 of the EFSA PRIMo (EFSA, 2018a, 2019a). This exposure assessment model contains food consumption data for different subgroups of the EU population and allows the acute and chronic exposure assessment to be performed in accordance with the internationally agreed methodology for pesticide residues (FAO, 2016a).

The toxicological reference values for isofetamid used in the risk assessment (i.e. acceptable daily intake (ADI) of 0.02 mg/kg bw per day and acute reference dose (ARfD) of 1 mg/kg bw) were derived in the framework of the EU pesticides peer review (European Commission, 2020b). The toxicological reference values for isofetamid are considered to be applicable to the metabolite GPTC included in the risk assessment residue definition (EFSA, 2015).

Short-term (acute) dietary risk assessment

The short-term exposure assessment was performed for the commodities assessed in this application in accordance with the internationally agreed methodology (FAO, 2016a). The calculations were based on the highest residue (HR) values derived from supervised field trials; to account for residues in raspberry juice, a processing factor of 0.13 was applied (EFSA, 2015). The complete list of input values can be found in Appendix D.2. The estimated short-term exposure did not exceed the ARfD for any of the crops assessed in this application. The acute consumer exposure was calculated to be 4% of ARfD for blackberries, 3.5% of ARfD for raspberries (red and yellow), 0.7% of ARfD for dewberries and 0.4% of ARfD for raspberry juice (see Appendix B.3).

Long-term (chronic) dietary risk assessment

The long-term exposure assessment was performed taking into account the median residue values (STMR) derived from supervised trials for the commodities assessed in this application. For the remaining commodities covered by the MRL regulation, the STMR values derived in the EU pesticides peer review (EFSA, 2015), previous MRL application (EFSA, 2018b) and, where relevant, in the evaluations by the Joint FAO/WHO Meetings on Pesticide Residues (JMPR) were selected as input values (FAO, 2016b, 2018). Since for some plant commodities that could be fed to livestock the MRLs for isofetamid are established, the commodities of animal origin were also considered in the exposure calculation despite the fact that MRLs are set at the LOQ.

EFSA notes, that for those commodities for which the existing EU MRL is set on a basis of the CXL, the residue data according to the EU risk assessment residue definition are not available. Thus, in order to estimate the contribution of the plant metabolite GPTC, included in the EU risk assessment residue definition, EFSA applied the previously derived conversion factor (CF) for risk assessment for peaches (also used for apricots) and plums (CF 1.1) (EFSA, 2015). For other commodities – almonds, pome fruit, cherries, strawberries, cranberries, azarole, kaki, beans (with pods), peas (with pods) – a conversion factor was not available which may lead to an underestimation of residue levels. For these commodities, the risk assessment is considered tentative.

The complete list of input values is presented in Appendix D.2.

The highest estimated long-term dietary intake accounted for a maximum of 28% of the ADI (NL toddler diet). The contributions of residues expected in the commodities assessed in the present MRL application to the overall long-term exposure were 2.3% of ADI (FI (3 year) diet) for raspberries (red and yellow), 1.4% of ADI (IE adult diet) for blackberries and 0.3% of ADI (SE general diet) for dewberries (see Appendix B.3).

EFSA concluded that the long-term intake of residues of isofetamid resulting from the existing and the intended uses is unlikely to present a risk to consumer health. It is also noted that the consumer exposure is considered tentative for several commodities for which the existing EU MRL is based on the CXL, as residue data according to the EU risk assessment residue definition are not available, and therefore, the exposure to the plant metabolite GPTC might be underestimated.

For further details on the exposure calculations, a screenshot of the Report sheet of the PRIMo is presented in Appendix C.

4. Conclusion and Recommendations

The data submitted in support of this MRL application were found to be sufficient to derive MRL proposals for blackberries, dewberries and raspberries.

EFSA concluded that the proposed use of isofetamid on the crops under consideration will not result in a consumer exposure exceeding the toxicological reference values and, therefore, is unlikely to pose a risk to consumers' health. It is also noted that the consumer exposure is considered tentative for several commodities for which the existing EU MRL is based on the CXL, as the residue data according to the EU risk assessment residue definition are not available, and therefore, the exposure to the plant metabolite GPTC might be underestimated.

The MRL recommendations are summarised in Appendix B.4.

References

- Belgium, 2014. Draft Assessment Report (DAR) on the active substance isofetamid prepared by the rapporteur Member State Belgium in the framework of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, October 2014. Available online: www.efsa.europa.eu
- Belgium, 2015. Revised Draft Assessment Report (DAR) on isofetamid, August 2015. Available online: www.efsa. europa.eu
- Belgium, 2021. Evaluation report on the modification of MRLs for isofetamid in raspberries, blackberries and dewberries. February 2021, 32 pp. Available online: www.efsa.europa.eu
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2015. Conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance isofetamid. EFSA Journal 2015;13(10):4265, 130 pp. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2015. 4265
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2017. Scientific Report of EFSA on scientific support for preparing an EU position in the 49th Session of the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues (CCPR). EFSA Journal 2017;15 (7):4929, 162 pp. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.4929
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), Brancato A, Brocca D, Ferreira L, Greco L, Jarrah S, Leuschner R, Medina P, Miron I, Nougadere A, Pedersen R, Reich H, Santos M, Stanek A, Tarazona J, Theobald A and Villamar-Bouza L, 2018a. Guidance on use of EFSA Pesticide Residue Intake Model (EFSA PRIMo revision 3). EFSA Journal 2018;16(1):5147, 43 pp. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5147
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), Brancato A, Brocca D, Carrasco Cabrera L, De Lentdecker C, Ferreira L, Greco L, Jarrah S, Kardassi D, Leuschner R, Lythgo C, Medina P, Miron I, Molnar T, Nougadere A, Pedersen R, Reich H, Sacchi A, Santos M, Stanek A, Sturma J, Tarazona J, Theobald A, Vagenende B and Villamar-Bouza L, 2018b. Reasoned Opinion on the modification of the existing maximum residue levels for isofetamid in tomatoes, peppers, aubergines, okra and cucurbits with edible peel. EFSA Journal 2018;16(5):5264, 24 pp. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5264
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2018c. Recommendations on the use of the proportionality approach in the framework of risk assessment for pesticide residues. EFSA supporting publication 2017;EN-1503, 18 pp. https://doi.org/10.2903/sp.efsa.2017.EN-1503
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), Anastassiadou M, Brancato A, Carrasco Cabrera L, Ferreira L, Greco L, Jarrah S, Kazocina A, Leuschner R, Magrans JO, Miron I, Pedersen R, Raczyk M, Reich H, Ruocco S, Sacchi A, Santos M, Stanek A, Tarazona J, Theobald A and Verani A, 2019a. Pesticide Residue Intake Model- EFSA PRIMo revision 3.1 (update of EFSA PRIMo revision 3). EFSA supporting publication 2019;EN-1605, 15 pp. https://doi. org/10.2903/sp.efsa.2019.en-1605
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2019b. Scientific Report on scientific support for preparing an EU position in the 51st Session of the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues (CCPR). EFSA Journal 2019;17(7):5797, 243 pp. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5797

European Commission, 1997a. Appendix A. Metabolism and distribution in plants. 7028/VI/95-rev.3, 22 July 1997.



- European Commission, 1997b. Appendix B. General recommendations for the design, preparation and realization of residue trials. Annex 2. Classification of (minor) crops not listed in the Appendix of Council Directive 90/642/ EEC. 7029/VI/95-rev. 6, 22 July 1997.
- European Commission, 1997c. Appendix C. Testing of plant protection products in rotational crops. 7524/VI/95-rev. 2, 22 July 1997.
- European Commission, 1997d. Appendix E. Processing studies. 7035/VI/95-rev. 5, 22 July 1997.
- European Commission, 1997e. Appendix F. Metabolism and distribution in domestic animals. 7030/VI/95-rev. 3, 22 July 1997.

European Commission, 1997f. Appendix H. Storage stability of residue samples. 7032/VI/95-rev. 5, 22 July 1997.

- European Commission, 1997g. Appendix I. Calculation of maximum residue level and safety intervals.7039/VI/95 22 July 1997. As amended by the document: classes to be used for the setting of EU pesticide maximum residue levels (MRLs). SANCO 10634/2010, finalised in the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health at its meeting of 23–24 March 2010.
- European Commission, 2000. Residue analytical methods. For pre-registration data requirements for Annex II (part A, section 4) and Annex III (part A, section 5) of Directive 91/414. SANCO/3029/99-rev. 4. 11 July 2000.
- European Commission, 2010a. Classes to be used for the setting of EU pesticide Maximum Residue Levels (MRLs). SANCO 10634/2010-rev. 0, Finalised in the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health at its meeting of 23–24 March 2010.
- European Commission, 2010b. Residue analytical methods. For post-registration control. SANCO/825/00-rev. 8.1, 16 November 2010.
- European Commission, 2020a. Technical guidelines on data requirements for setting maximum residue levels, comparability of residue trials and extrapolation on residue data on products from plant and animal origin. SANTE/2019/12752, 23 November 2020.
- European Commission, 2020b. Final Review report for the active substance isofetamid. Finalised in the Standing Committee on the Plants, Animals, Food and Feed at its meeting on 12 July 2016 in view of the approval of the active substance isofetamid in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and updated at its meeting of 4 December 2020. SANCO/10401/2016 rev.3, 4 December 2020.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations), 2016a. Submission and evaluation of pesticide residues data for the estimation of Maximum Residue Levels in food and feed. Pesticide Residues. 3rd Edition. FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper 225, 298 pp.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations), 2016b. Isofetamid. In: Pesticide residues in food 2016. Report of the Joint Meeting of the FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Residues in Food and the Environment and the WHO Core Assessment Group on Pesticide Residues. FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper 229.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations), 2018. Isofetamid. In: Pesticide residues in food 2018. Report of the Joint Meeting of the FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Residues in Food and the Environment and the WHO Core Assessment Group on Pesticide Residues. FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper 234.
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development), 2008. Guidance document on the magnitude of pesticide residues in processed commodities. In: Series of Testing and Assessment No 96. ENV/JM/MONO (2008)23, 29 July 2008.
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development), 2011. OECD MRL calculator: spreadsheet for single data set and spreadsheet for multiple data set, 2 March 2011. In: Pesticide Publications/Publications on Pesticide Residues. Available online: http://www.oecd.org
- Scholz R, Herrmann M, Kittelmann A, von Schledorn M, van Donkersgoed G, Graven C, van der Velde-Koerts T, Anagnostopoulos C, Bempelou E and Michalski B, 2018. Database of processing techniques and processing factors compatible with the EFSA food classification and description system FoodEx 2. Objective 1: compendium of Representative Processing Techniques investigated in regulatory studies for pesticides. EFSA supporting publication 2018;15(11):EN-1508, 204 pp. https://doi.org/10.2903/sp.efsa.2018.EN-1508

Abbreviations

a.s.	active substance
ADI	acceptable daily intake

- ARfD acute reference dose
- BBCH growth stages of mono- and dicotyledonous plants
- bw body weight
- CAS Chemical Abstract Service
- CCPR Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues
- CF conversion factor for enforcement to risk assessment residue definition
- cGAP critical GAP
- CIRCA (EU) Communication & Information Resource Centre Administrator



CS CV CXL DALA DAR DAT DM DS EDI EMS FAO FID GAP GC GC-FID GC-MS GC-MS/MS GS HR IEDI IESTI ILV ISO IUPAC IMPR	capsule suspension coefficient of variation (relative standard deviation) Codex maximum residue limit days after last application draft assessment report days after treatment dry matter powder for dry seed treatment estimated daily intake evaluating Member State Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations flame ionisation detector Good Agricultural Practice gas chromatography gas chromatography with flame ionisation detector gas chromatography with mass spectrometry growth stage highest residue international estimated daily intake international estimated short-term intake independent laboratory validation International Organisation for Standardisation International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry loint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues
JMPR LC	Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues liquid chromatography
LOQ	limit of quantification
MRL MS	maximum residue level Member States
MS	mass spectrometry detector
MS/MS	tandem mass spectrometry detector
MW	molecular weight
NEU OECD	northern Europe Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
PBI	plant back interval
PF	processing factor
PHI	preharvest interval
PRIMo	(EFSA) Pesticide Residues Intake Model
RA	risk assessment
RAC	raw agricultural commodity
RD	residue definition
RMS	rapporteur Member State
SANCO	Directorate-General for Health and Consumers
SC SEU	suspension concentrate
SL	southern Europe soluble concentrate
SP	water-soluble powder
STMR	supervised trials median residue
TAR	total applied radioactivity
TRR	total radioactive residue
UV	ultraviolet (detector)
WHO	World Health Organization



		_	Desta	Prepa	ration	n Application			Application rate per treatment						
Crop and/ or situation	NEU, SEU, MS or country	F G or y I ^(a)	Pests or group of pests controlled	Type ^(b)	Conc. a.s.	Method kind	Range of growth stages & season ^(c)	Number min– max	Interval between application (days) min–max	kg A.S./HL Min– Max	Water (L/ha) min–max	Rate min– max	Unit	PHI (days) ^(d)	Remarks
Blackberries	NEU	F	Grey mould (<i>Botrytis</i> <i>cinerea</i> or <i>Botryotinia</i> <i>fuckeliana</i>); BOTRCI	SC	400 g/L	Foliar treatment – broadcast spraying	BBCH 50–89 Spring–late summer (Jun–Oct)	1–2	7–12	0.035– 0.240	200–1,200	400– 480	g a.i./ ha	1–3	The maximal number of applications (2) is limited for reasons of specific aspect related to the
Dewberries	NEU	F	Grey mould (<i>Botrytis</i> <i>cinerea</i> or <i>Botryotinia</i> <i>fuckeliana</i>); BOTRCI	SC	400 g/L	Foliar treatment – broadcast spraying	BBCH 50–89 Spring–late summer (Jun–Oct)	1–2	7–12	0.035– 0.240	200–1,200	400– 480	g a.i./ ha	1–3	active ingredient (resistance management). A full control of the pathogen is therefore not expected in all cases. In the event of multiple treatments are expected, use the product in strict alternation with different mode of action fungicides (carrying a different FRAC code).
Raspberries (red and yellow)	NEU	F	Grey mould (<i>Botrytis</i> <i>cinerea</i> or <i>Botryotinia</i> <i>fuckeliana</i>); BOTRCI	SC	400 g/L	Foliar treatment – broadcast spraying	BBCH 50–89 Spring–late summer (Jun–Oct)	1–2	7–12	0.035– 0.240	200–1,200	400– 480	g a.i./ ha	1–3	

Appendix A – Summary of intended GAP triggering the amendment of existing EU MRLs

MRL: maximum residue level; GAP: Good Agricultural Practice; NEU: northern European Union; SEU: southern European Union; MS: Member State; a.s.: active substance; SC: suspension concentrate.

(a): Outdoor or field use (F), greenhouse application (G) or indoor application (I).

(b): CropLife International Technical Monograph no 2, 7th Edition. Revised March 2017. Catalogue of pesticide formulation types and international coding system.

(c): Growth stage range from first to last treatment (BBCH Monograph, Growth Stages of Plants, 1997, Blackwell, ISBN 3-8263-3152-4), including, where relevant, information on season at time of application.

(d): PHI – minimum preharvest interval.



Appendix B – List of end points

B.1. Residues in plants

- **B.1.1.** Nature of residues and methods of analysis in plants
- **B.1.1.1.** Metabolism studies, methods of analysis and residue definitions in plants

Primary crops (available studies)	Crop groups	Crop(s)	Application(s)	Sampling (DAT)	Comment/Source
	Fruit crops	Grapes	3×750 g/ha foliar spray, 13–14 days interval, BBCH 67–69, 71–75 and 77–79	43 DALA	Radiolabelled active substance: [¹⁴ C-phenyl]-isofetamid or [¹⁴ C-(C2)-thiophene]-isofetamid (EFSA, 2015)
	Leafy crops	Lettuce	3×750 g/ha foliar spray, 14-day interval	18 DALA	Radiolabelled active substance: [¹⁴ C-phenyl]-isofetamid or [¹⁴ C-(C2)-thiophene]-isofetamid (EFSA, 2015)
	Pulses/ oilseeds	French bean	3×750 g/ha foliar spray, 8-day interval, first application at BBCH 60-61	0, 14, 68 DALA	Radiolabelled active substance: [¹⁴ C-phenyl]-isofetamid or [¹⁴ C-(C2)-thiophene]-isofetamid (EFSA, 2015)
Rotational crops (available studies)	Crop groups	Crop(s)	Application(s)	PBI (DAT)	Comment/Source
	Root/tuber crops	Carrot	$1 \times \text{ca}$ 2150 g/ha, bare soil application	30, 120 and 365	Radiolabelled active substance: [¹⁴ C-phenyl]-isofetamid
	Leafy crops	Lettuce			(EFSA, 2015)
	Cereal (small grain)	Wheat			
Processed commodities (hydrolysis study)	Conditions		Stable?	Comment/Source	
		• •	90°C, pH 4)	Yes	EFSA (2015)
	Baking, bre pH 5)	wing and bo	biling (60 min, 100°C,	Yes	EFSA (2015)
	Sterilisation	i (20 min, 12	20°C, pH 6)	Yes	EFSA (2015)

Can a general residue definition be proposed for primary crops?	Yes	EFSA (2015)
Rotational crop and primary crop metabolism similar?	Yes	Rotational crop metabolism similar but more extensive with further conjugation than in primary crops (EFSA, 2015).
Residue pattern in processed commodities similar to residue pattern in raw commodities?	Yes	Isofetamid stable under standard hydrolysis conditions (EFSA, 2015).



		The metabolite GPTC is a glucoside conjugate of isofetamid, and therefore, possible hydrolytic decomposition of GPTC to the aglycone is covered by the available hydrolysis studies on isofetamid (EFSA, 2018b).			
Plant residue definition for monitoring (RD-Mo)	Isofetamid				
Plant residue definition for risk assessment (RD-RA)	Sum of isofetamid and metabolite GPTC, expressed as isofetamid				
Methods of analysis for monitoring of residues (analytical technique, crop groups, LOQs)	Isofetamid and metabolite GPTC: Matrices with high water content, high acid content and dry matrices: $LC-MS/MS$, $LOQ = 0.01 \text{ mg/kg}$ (individually), ILV available (EFSA, 2015).				
DAT: days after treatment: BBCH: growth stages of mono- and dicotyledonous plants; DALA: days after last treatment; LOO:					

DAT: days after treatment; BBCH: growth stages of mono- and dicotyledonous plants; DALA: days after last treatment; LOQ: limit of quantification; LC–MS/MS: liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry; ILV: independent laboratory validation.

B.1.1.2. Storage stability of residues in plants	B.1.1.2 .	Storage	stability	of	residues	in	plants
--	------------------	---------	-----------	----	----------	----	--------

Plant				Stability	y period			
products (available studies)	Category	Commodity	T (°C)	Value	Unit	Compounds covered	Comment/ Source	
	High water content	Lettuces	-20	12	Month	Isofetamid, GPTC	EFSA (2015)	
	High water content/ high starch content	Potatoes	-20	12	Month	Isofetamid, GPTC	EFSA (2015)	
	High oil content	Almonds	-20	12	Month	Isofetamid, GPTC	EFSA (2015)	
	High oil content	Oilseed rape	-20	12	Month	Isofetamid, GPTC	EFSA (2015)	
	High protein content	Beans	zz–20	12	Month	Isofetamid, GPTC	EFSA (2015)	
	High acid content	Grapes	-20	12	Month	Isofetamid, GPTC	EFSA (2015)	



B.1.2. Magnitude of residues in plants

B.1.2.1. Summary of residues data from the supervised residue trials

Commodity	Region ^(a)	Residue levels observed in the supervised residue trials (mg/kg)	Comments/Source	Calculated MRL (mg/kg)	HR ^(b) (mg/kg)	STMR ^(c) (mg/kg)	CF ^(d)
Raspberries	NEU	Measured (unscaled) residues: Mo: 1.17 ^(e) ; 2.19; 3.45 ^(e) ; 3.78 RA: 1.22 ^(e) ; 2.25; 3.46 ^(e) ; 3.88	Residue trials on raspberries. All residue trials were scaled to match the intended cGAP application rate (2×480 g a.s./ha) to avoid bias. Extrapolation to blackberries and dewberries is	7	Mo: 3.65 RA: 3.75	-	1.03
		Scaled residues: Mo: 0.93; 2.14; 2.69; 3.65 RA: 0.97; 2.20; 2.70; 3.75	possible.				

MRL: maximum residue level; cGAP: critical Good Agricultural Practice; Mo: monitoring; RA: risk assessment; a.s.: active substance.

(a): NEU: Outdoor trials conducted in northern Europe, SEU: Outdoor trials conducted in southern Europe, EU: indoor EU trials or Country code: if non-EU trials.

(b): Highest residue. The highest residue for risk assessment refers to the whole commodity and not to the edible portion.

(c): Supervised trials median residue. The median residue for risk assessment refers to the whole commodity and not to the edible portion.

(d): Conversion factor to recalculate residues according to the residue definition for monitoring to the residue definition for risk assessment.

(e): Overdosed residue trials performed with ca. 2 \times 600 g/ha.

B.1.2.2. Residues in rotational crops

Residues in rotational and succeeding crops expected based on confined rotational crop study?	Yes	Following soil application of isofetamid at ca 2,150 g/ha, parent isofetamid in succeeding crops was detected in lower amounts and proportions than in primary crops and the residues mainly composed of the GPTC and GPTC-malonyl metabolites accounting together up to ca 40% TRR in carrot roots (0.02 mg/kg) and up to ca 60% TRR in lettuce (0.06 mg/kg) at the 120-day plant-back interval (EFSA, 2015).
Residues in rotational and succeeding crops expected based on field rotational crop study?	No	Field rotational crop studies demonstrated that no significant residues (residues of isofetamid and GPTC below 0.01 mg/kg and residues of GPTC-malonyl at or below 0.02 mg/kg) are expected in the edible parts of succeeding crops (spinach, radish and winter barley) planted in soil following foliar applications to primary crop (lettuce) treated at 2 × 400 g a.s./ha (EFSA, 2015).

TRR: total radioactive residue; a.s.: active substance.

B.1.2.3. Processing factors

Processing studies with the berries under consideration were not submitted in the framework of the present MRL application.

Processing studies in grapes were assessed in the EU pesticide peer review (EFSA, 2015) and results can be extrapolated to blackberries, dewberries and raspberries (Scholz et al., 2018).

Processed	Number of valid	Processing Factor	or (b)			
commodity	studies ^(a)	Individual values	Median PF	CF _P ^(b)	Comment/Source	
Grapes/juice	5	0.60; 0.17; 0.12; 0.11; 0.13	0.13	1.12	EFSA (2015)	
Grapes/raisins	5	4.64; 1.48; 2.31; 1.13; 2.28	2.28	1.04	EFSA (2015)	

PF: processing factor.

(a): Studies with residues in the raw agricultural commodity (RAC) at or close to the limit of quantification (LOQ) were disregarded (unless concentration may occur).

(b): CFp = [Residue level in processed fraction (expressed according to RD-RA)]/[Residue level in processed fracti

B.2. Residues in livestock

Not relevant.

B.3. Consumer risk assessment

```
ARfD
```

Highest IESTI, according to EFSA PRIMo

1 mg/kg bw (European Commission, 2020b)

Blackberries: 4% of ARfD Dewberries: 0.7% of ARfD Raspberries (red and yellow): 3.5% of ARfD Raspberries/juice: 0.4% of ARfD

Assumptions made for the calculations

Calculations performed with PRIMo revision 3.1. The calculation is based on the highest residue levels expected in raw agricultural commodities under assessment (HR values). In order to estimate consumer



exposure from the intake of raspberry juice, the processing factor of 0.13 was applied to the input value (STMR).

ADI	0.02 mg/kg bw per day (EC, 2020b)
Highest IEDI, according to EFSA PRIMo	28% ADI (NL toddler diet)
	Contribution of crops assessed: Blackberries: 1.43% of ADI (IE adult diet) Dewberries: 0.33% of ADI (SE general diet) Raspberries (red and yellow): 2.27% of ADI (FI (3 year) diet)
Assumptions made for the calculations	Calculations performed with PRIMo revision 3.1. The calculation is based on the median residue levels derived for raw agricultural commodities (STMR values) according to the risk assessment residue definition.
	For the remaining commodities covered by the MRL regulation, the STMR values derived in the EU pesticides peer review, in previous MRL application and, where relevant, in the evaluations by the JMPR were selected as input values. Since for some plant commodities that could be fed to livestock MRLs for isofetamid are established, the commodities of animal origin were also considered in the exposure calculation despite the fact that MRLs are set at the LOQ.
	For those commodities, for which the existing EU MRLs is set on the basis of CXLs, the residue data according to the EU risk assessment residue definition are not available. Thus, in order to estimate the contribution of the plant metabolite GPTC, EFSA applied the previously derived conversion factor (CF) for risk assessment for peaches (also used for apricots) and plums (CF 1.1) (EFSA, 2015). For other commodities - almonds, pome fruit, cherries, strawberries, cranberries, azarole, kaki, beans (with pods), peas (with pods) - a conversion factor was not available which may lead to an underestimation of residue levels. For these commodities the risk assessment is considered tentative.
	The crops on which no uses have been reported in the EU pesticides peer review or in subsequent EFSA outputs, were not included in the exposure calculation.

ARfD: acute reference dose; bw: body weight; IESTI: international estimated short-term intake; PRIMo: (EFSA) Pesticide Residues Intake Model; STMR: supervised trials median residue; ADI: acceptable daily intake; IEDI: international estimated daily intake; MRL: maximum residue level; JMPR: Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues; CXL: codex maximum residue limit.



B.4. Recommended MRLs

Code ^(a)	Commodity	Existing EU MRL (mg/kg)	Proposed EU MRL (mg/kg)	Comment/ justification				
Enforcement	Enforcement residue definition: Isofetamid							
0153010	Blackberries	3	7	The submitted data				
0153020	Dewberries			are sufficient to derive				
0153030	Raspberries (red and yellow)			an MRL proposal for the NEU use. Risk for consumers unlikely.				

MRL: maximum residue level; NEU: northern Europe.

(a): Commodity code number according to Annex I of Regulation (EC) No 396/2005.



Appendix C – Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMo)

	K * * *	-			1	sofetamid				Inpu	t values		
		1		LOQs (mg/kg) range	-	0.01	to:	0.05	Details – ch	ronic risk	Supplementary res	sults –	
	** 6	ADI (mg/kg bw per day): 0.02 ARID (mg/kg bw): 1							assessment		chronic risk assessment		
-	-			ADI (mg/kg bw per da	ay):	0.02	ARfD (mg/kg bw):	1	Details – a	cuto rick	Details – acute r	ick	
E	uropean Food	Safety Authority		Source of ADI:		EC	Source of ARfD:	EC	assessment				
	EFSA PRIMo rev	vision 3.1; 2021/01/06		Year of evaluation:		2020	Year of evaluation:	2020	assessmen	t/children	assessment/adu	iits	
ment													
						Refined calculatio	n mode						
					Chronie	risk assessment: JMPR							
						TISK assessment. SMP	methodology (it						
- 1				No of diets exceeding	the ADI :	-	-				1	Exposure MRLs set at	commodities
			Expsoure	Highest contributor to			2nd contributor to MS			3rd contributor to MS		the LOQ	under assessm
	Calculated exposure	1	(µg/kg bw per	MS diet	Commodity/		diet	Commodity/		diet	Commodity/	(in % of ADI)	(in % of AD
	(% of ADI)	MS Diet	day)	(in % of ADI)	group of commodities		(in % of ADI)	group of commodities		(in % of ADI)	group of commodities		
	28% 26%	NL toddler DE child	5.58 5.15	7% 8%	Apples		5%	Table grapes		3% 2%	Milk: Cattle Tomatoes	3%	28%
	26% 19%	GEMS/Food G06	3.79	8% 9%	Apples Tomatoes		5% 4%	Table grapes Table grapes		2%	Sweet peppers/bell peppers	1% 0.2%	26% 19%
	17%	RO general	3.34	9% 6%	Wine grapes		4% 5%	Tomatoes		1%	Sweet peppers/bell peppers	0.2%	19%
	17%	NL child	3.30	4%	Apples		4%	Table grapes		2%	Raspberries (red and yellow)	1%	17%
	15%	PT general	3.08	9%	Wine grapes		2%	Tomatoes		1%	Peaches		15%
	13%	GEMS/Food G15	2.65	4%	Wine grapes		3%	Tomatoes		2%	Sweet peppers/bell peppers	0.5%	13%
	13% 13%	IE adult GEMS/Food G07	2.58 2.50	4%	Wine grapes		1% 3%	Blackberries		1% 1%	Peaches	0.3%	13% 13%
	13%	FR adult	2.50	5% 8%	Wine grapes Wine grapes		3%	Tomatoes Tomatoes		0.5%	Table grapes Peaches	0.5%	13%
	12%	GEMS/Food G08	2.46	4%	Wine grapes		3%	Tomatoes		1%	Table grapes	0.5%	12%
	11%	DE women 14-50 yr	2.30	3%	Wine grapes		2%	Tomatoes		2%	Apples	0.7%	11%
	11%	GEMS/Food G11	2.14	4%	Wine grapes		2%	Tomatoes		1%	Table grapes	0.6%	11%
	11%	DE general	2.13	3%	Wine grapes		2%	Apples		2%	Tomatoes	0.7%	11%
	10%	FR child 3 15 yr	1.99	2%	Tomatoes		1%	Wine grapes		1%	Table grapes	1%	10%
	10% 8%	GEMS/Food G10 IT toddler	1.96 1.62	3% 3%	Tomatoes Tomatoes		1% 1%	Wine grapes Peaches		1% 0.6%	Table grapes Apples	0.5%	10% 8%
5	8%	FI3 yr	1.62	2%	Raspberries (red and yellow)		1%	Tomatoes		0.9%	Strawberries		8%
3	8%	DK adult	1.53	3%	Wine grapes		1%	Tomatoes		0.6%	Apples	0.4%	8%
5	8%	FR toddler 2 3 yr	1.52	2%	Apples		1%	Milk: Cattle		1%	Tomatoes	2%	8%
í	8%	DK child	1.52	2%	Apples		1%	Tomatoes		1%	Cucumbers	0.9%	8%
	8%	UK toddler	1.51	1%	Tomatoes		1%	Raspberries (red and yellow)		1%	Apples	1%	8%
	7% 7%	NL general IT adult	1.48 1.47	2% 3%	Wine grapes Tomatoes		1% 2%	Tomatoes Peaches		1.0%	Apples Apples	0.5%	7% 7%
	7%	ES adult	1.47	3%	Tomatoes		2%	Peaches Wine grapes		0.5%	Apples Peaches	0.4%	7% 7%
	7%	SE general	1.39	2%	Tomatoes		0.7%	Apples		0.6%	Milk: Cattle	0.9%	7%
	7%	ES child	1.36	2%	Tomatoes		0.8%	Peaches		0.8%	Apples	0.9%	7%
	6%	UK vegetarian	1.28	3%	Wine grapes		1%	Tomatoes		0.4%	Apples	0.2%	6%
	6%	UK infant	1.26	2%	Milk: Cattle		1%	Apples		0.9%	Tomatoes	2%	6%
	6% 6%	UK adult	1.26 1.24	4% 2%	Wine grapes Tomatoes		1% 1%	Tomatoes		0.3% 1%	Apples	0.2%	6% 6%
	6%	PL general FI 6 yr	1.24	2%	Tomatoes Raspberries (red and yellow)		1% 1%	Apples Tomatoes		1%	Table grapes Strawberries	1	6% 6%
	5%	Fladult	0.91	2%	Tomatoes		1%	Wine grapes		0.7%	Raspberries (red and yellow)	1	5%
	4%	LT adult	0.80	1%	Tomatoes		1%	Apples		0.3%	Cucumbers	0.3%	4%
	4%	FR infant	0.77	1%	Apples		0.8%	Milk: Cattle		0.4%	Strawberries	0.9%	4%
	1%	IE child	0.20	0.2%	Apples		0.2%	Table grapes		0.2%	Milk: Cattle	0.2%	1%
-	Conclusion:		1		1		1	1			1	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	L
	The estimated long-t	erm dietary intake (TMDI/NEDI/IEDI) w											
	The length mainteline	of residues of Isofetamid is unlikely to	second and the second Process and										



Details –		/children		Acute risk assessment/adults/general population Details – acute risk assessment/adults				
	acute risk assessme	ent/child	ren					
The acute risk asse	essment is based on the ARfD. D	ISCLAIMER: D	ietary data fror	n the UK were include	ed in PRIMO when the UK was a	member of the Euro	opean Union.	
The calculation is b	ased on the large portion of the r	nost critical co	nsumer group.					
	Show results o	FIESTLO	alculatio	n only for cr	ops with GAPs und	or accoccm	nt	
	Show results t		alculatio		ops with GAPS und	ei assessine	ant.	
Results for childre	n			Results for adults				
	for which ARfD/ADI is				for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded	l		
exceeded (IESTI):				(IESTI):				
IESTI				IESTI				
		MRL/input	_			MRL/input	_	
Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Commodities	for RA (mg/kg)	Exposure (µg/kg bw)	Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Commodities	for RA (mg/kg)	Exposure (µg/kg bw)	
4%	Blackberries	(mg/kg) 7/3.75	(µg/kg bw) 40	2%	Apricots	3/1.87	(µg/kg bw) 20	
3%	Raspberries (red and	7/3.75	35	2%	Cucumbers	1/0.56	16	
0.7%	Dewberries	7/3.75	6.6	0.3%	Medlar	0.6/0.42	2.9	
Expand/collapse list	t							
Total number of c	ommodities exceeding the AR	fD/ADI in						
children and adult								
(IESTI calculation)								
Results for childre								
				Results for adults				
	ommodities for which ARfD/ADI			No of processed con	mmodities for which ARfD/ADI is	5		
No of processed co is exceeded (IESTI)	ommodities for which ARfD/ADI				mmodities for which ARfD/ADI is	ŝ		
	ommodities for which ARfD/ADI			No of processed con	mmodities for which ARfD/ADI is			
is exceeded (IESTI)	ommodities for which ARfD/ADI	MRL/input		No of processed con exceeded (IESTI): IESTI	mmodities for which ARfD/ADI is	MRL/input		
is exceeded (IESTI) IESTI Highest % of	ommodities for which ARfD/ADI):	for RA	Exposure	No of processed col exceeded (IESTI): IESTI Highest % of		MRL/input for RA	Exposure	
is exceeded (IESTI) IESTI Highest % of ARfD/ADI	promodities for which ARfD/ADI	for RA (mg/kg)	(µg/kg bw)	No of processed co exceeded (IESTI): IESTI Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Processed commodities	MRL/input for RA (mg/kg)	(µg/kg bw)	
is exceeded (IESTI) IESTI Highest % of	ommodities for which ARfD/ADI):	for RA		No of processed col exceeded (IESTI): IESTI Highest % of		MRL/input for RA		
is exceeded (IESTI) IESTI Highest % of ARfD/ADI 35%	Processed commodities Chards/beet leaves/boiled	for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38	(µg/kg bw) 354	No of processed co exceeded (IESTI): IESTI Highest % of ARfD/ADI 14%	Processed commodities Chards/beet leaves/boiled	MRL/input for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38	(µg/kg bw) 142	
is exceeded (IESTI IESTI Highest % of ARfD/ADI 35% 16% 5% 3%	mmodities for which ARfD/ADI): Processed commodities Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spinaches/frozen; boiled Peaches/canned Wine grapes/juice	for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 3/1.87 4/0.71	(µg/kg bw) 354 158 49 31	No of processed cor exceeded (IESTI): IESTI Highest % of ARfD/ADI 14% 9% 5% 3%	Processed commodities Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spinaches/frozen; boiled Purstanes/boiled Wine grapes/wine	MRL/input for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 20/11.38 4/3.13	(µg/kg bw) 142 94 47 30	
is exceeded (IESTI IESTI Highest % of ARtD/ADI 35% 16% 5% 3% 2%	mmodities for which ARID/ADI Processed commodities Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spiraches/tozen; boiled Peaches/canned Wine grapes/juice Courgettes/boiled	for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56	(µg/kg bw) 354 158 49 31 20	No of processed coi exceeded (IESTI): IESTI Highest % of ARTD/ADI 14% 9% 5% 3% 2%	Processed commodities Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spinaches/frozen; boiled Purslanes/boiled Wine grapes/wine Table grapes/mins	MRL/input for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 20/11.38 4/3.13 4/14.71	<u>(µg/kg bw)</u> 142 94 47 30 18	
is exceeded (IEST) IESTI Highest % of ARfD/ADI 35% 16% 5% 3% 2% 1%	mmodilies for which ARID/ADI): Processed commodilies Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spinaches/frozen; boiled Peaches/Laned Wine grapes/Lice Courgettes/Joiled Peaches/Lice	for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56 3/0.84	(μg/kg bw) 354 158 49 31 20 14	No of processed co exceeded (IESTI): IESTI Highest % of ARTD/ADI 14% 9% 5% 3% 2% 2%	Processed commodities Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spinaches/frozen, boiled Purslanes/boiled Wine grapes/wine Table grapes/wine Paaches/canned	MRL/input for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 4/3.13 4/14.71 3/1.87	(μg/kg bw) 142 94 47 30 18 15	
is exceeded (IESTI IESTI Highest % of ARID/ADI 35% 16% 5% 3% 2% 1%	mmodities for which ARID/ADI): Processed commodities Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spinaches/Tozen, boiled Peaches/canned Wine grapes/juice Courgettes/boiled Peaches/juice Gherkins/jickled	for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56 3/0.84 1/0.56	(µg/kg bw) 354 158 49 31 20 14 13	No of processed col exceeded (IESTI): IESTI Highest % of ARf0/ADI 14% 9% 5% 3% 2% 2% 2% 1%	Processed commodities Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spinace/set/Tozen; boiled Purslanes/boiled Wine grapes/when Table grapes/raisins Peaches/canned Wine grapes/juice	MRL/input for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 20/11.38 4/3.13 4/3.13 4/14.71 3/1.87 4/0.71	(µg/kg bw) 142 94 47 30 18 15 15	
is exceeded (IESTI) IESTI Highest % of ARtD/ADI 35% 5% 3% 2% 1% 1% 0.9%	mmodilies for which ARID/ADI : Processed commodilies Chards/beet leaves/boiled Peaches/cozen; boiled Peaches/canned Wine grapes/juice Courgettes/boiled Peaches/juice Gherkins/pickled Tomatoes/juice	for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56 3/0.84 1/0.56 1.5/0.48	(µg/kg bw) 354 158 49 31 20 14 13 9.1	No of processed col exceeded (IESTI): IESTI Highest % of ARTD/ADI 14% 5% 3% 2% 2% 2% 1%	Processed commodities Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spinaches/frozen; boiled Purslanes/boiled Wine grapes/wine Table grapes/raisins Peaches/carined Wine grapes/juice Courgettes/boiled	MRL/input for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 4/3.13 4/14.71 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56	<u>(µg/kg bw)</u> 142 94 47 30 18 15 15 15	
is exceeded (IESTI) IESTI Highest % of ARTD/ADI 35% 16% 3% 2% 1% 0.3% 0.3% 0.7%	mmodities for which ARID/ADI); Processed commodities Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spinaches/fucen; boiled Peaches/canned Wine grapes/luce Courgetes/boiled Peaches/juice Gherkins/pickled Tomatoes/juice	for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56 3/0.84 1/0.56 1.5/0.48 0.6/0.14	(µg/kg bw) 354 158 49 31 20 14 13 9.1 7.3	No of processed co. exceeded (IESTI): IESTI Highest % of ARID/ADI 14% 9% 5% 3% 2% 2% 2% 1% 1% 1% 0.4%	Processed commodities Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spinaches/fuzoen; boiled Purslanes/boiled Wine grapes/ruisins Peaches/canned Wine grapes/luice Courgettes/boiled Apples/juice	MRL/input for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 20/11.38 4/3.13 4/14.71 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56 0.6/0.14	(µg/kg bw) 142 94 47 30 18 15 15 15 13 4.5	
is exceeded (IEST) IESTI Highest % of ARTD/ADI 35% 16% 5% 3% 2% 1% 0.9% 0.7% 0.5%	mmodilies for which ARID/ADI Processed commodilies Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spiraches/frozen; boiled Peaches/juice Gurgettes/boiled Peaches/juice Gherkins/pickled Tomatoes/juice Apples/juice	for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56 3/0.84 1/0.56 1.5/0.48 0.6/0.14 1.5/0.48	(µg/kg bw) 354 158 49 31 20 14 13 9.1 7.3 4.6	No of processed co exceeded (IESTI): IESTI Highest % of ARID/ADI 14% 9% 5% 3% 3% 2% 2% 2% 2% 1% 1% 1% 1% 0.4%	Processed commodities Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spinaches/frozen; boiled Purslanes/boiled Wine grapes/wine Table grapes/insins Peaches/canned Wine grapes/juice Courgettes/boiled Apples/juice Tomatoes/sauce/puree	MRL/input for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 20/11.38 4/3.13 4/14.71 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56 0.6/0.14 1.5/0.48	<u>(µg/kg bw)</u> 142 94 47 30 18 15 15 15	
is exceeded (IEST) IESTI Highest % of ARID/ADI 35% 16% 5% 3% 2% 1% 0.9% 0.7%	mmodities for which ARID/ADI); Processed commodities Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spinaches/fucen; boiled Peaches/canned Wine grapes/luce Courgetes/boiled Peaches/juice Gherkins/pickled Tomatoes/juice	for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56 3/0.84 1/0.56 1.5/0.48 0.6/0.14	(µg/kg bw) 354 158 49 31 20 14 13 9.1 7.3	No of processed co. exceeded (IESTI): IESTI Highest % of ARID/ADI 14% 9% 5% 3% 2% 2% 2% 1% 1% 1% 0.4%	Processed commodities Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spinaches/fuzoen; boiled Purslanes/boiled Wine grapes/ruisins Peaches/canned Wine grapes/luice Courgettes/boiled Apples/juice	MRL/input for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 20/11.38 4/3.13 4/14.71 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56 0.6/0.14	(µg/kg bw) 142 94 47 30 18 15 15 15 13 4.5 3.9	
is exceeded (IEST) IESTI Highest % of ARtD/ADI 16% 5% 3% 2% 1% 1% 1% 0,5% 0.5%	mmodities for which ARID/ADI): Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spinaches/fucer, boiled Peaches/canned Wine grapes/juice Courgettes/boiled Peaches/juice Gherkins/pickled Tomatoes/juice Tomatoes/juice Tomatoes/juice	for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56 3/0.84 1/0.56 1.5/0.48 0.6/0.14 1.5/0.48	(µg/kg bw) 354 158 49 31 20 14 13 9.1 7.3 4.6 4.5	No of processed co exceeded (IEST): IESTI Highest % of ARID/ADI 14% 9% 5% 3% 2% 2% 2% 1% 1% 0.4% 0.4% 0.3%	Processed commodities Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spinaches/fuczen; boiled Purslanes/boiled Wine grapes/vaine Table grapes/vaine Peaches/carmed Wine grapes/juce Courgettes/boiled Apples/juce Tomatoes/sauce/puree Okra, lady's fingers/boiled	MRL/input for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 20/11.38 20/11.38 4/3.13 4/14.71 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56 0.6/0.14 1.5/0.48 3/1.66	(µg/kg bw) 142 94 47 30 18 15 15 13 4.5 3.9 2.7	
is exceeded (IEST) IESTI Highest % of ARD/ADI 35% 16% 5% 3% 2% 1% 0.9% 0.9% 0.5% 0.5% 0.4% 0.4% 0.3%	mmodities for which ARID/ADI): Processed commodities Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spinaches/fuccen, boiled Peaches/anned Wine grapes/fuice Courgettes/boiled Peaches/fuice Gherkins/juice Tomatoes/fuice Tomatoes/fuice Beans (with pods)/boiled Pears/fuice Raspberrites/fuice Cranberries/fuice	for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56 3/0.84 1/0.56 1.5/0.48 0.6/0.36 0.6/0.36 0.6/0.32 4/0.49	(µg/kg bw) 354 158 49 31 20 14 13 9.1 7.3 4.6 4.5 4.4 3.7 2.8	No of processed co exceeded (IEST): Highest % of ARID/ADI 14% 9% 5% 3% 2% 2% 2% 2% 1% 1% 1% 0.4% 0.3% 0.3% 0.04% 0.04%	Processed commodities Chards/breet leaves/boiled Spinaches/frozen; boiled Purslanes/boiled Wine grapes/wine Table grapes/iviains Peaches/canned Wine grapes/ivice Courgettes/boiled Apples/juice/ Tomatoes/sauce/puree Okra, lady's fingers/boiled Peas (with posi}/boiled Cranberries/dried Quinces/jam	MRL/input for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 20/11.38 20/11.38 4/3.13 4/14.71 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56 0.6/0.14 1.5/0.48 3/1.66 0.6/0.36 4/0.49 0.6/0.14	(µg/kg bw) 142 94 47 30 18 15 15 13 4.5 3.9 2.7 1.2 0.37 0.17	
is exceeded (IEST) IESTI Highest % of ARPLOIADI 35% 16% 5% 3% 2% 1% 1% 1% 0,9% 0,5% 0,5% 0,4% 0,3% 0,3% 0,2%	mmodilies for which ARID/ADI : Processed commodilies Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spinaches/frozen; boiled Peaches/danned Wine grapes/juice Courgetes/boiled Peaches/juice Apples/juice Tomatoes/sauce/puree Beans (with pods)/boiled Pearts/juice Raspberries/juice Yums/juice	for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56 3/0.84 1/0.56 1.5/0.48 0.6/0.14 1.5/0.48 0.6/0.14 1.5/0.48	(µg/kg bw) 354 158 49 31 20 14 13 9.1 7.3 4.6 4.5 4.4 3.7	No of processed co exceeded (IEST): IEST1 Highest % of ARID(AD) 14% 9% 5% 3% 2% 2% 1% 0.4% 0.4% 0.4% 0.1% 0.0%	Processed commodilies Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spinaches/fuzoen; boiled Purslanes/boiled Wine grapes/wine Table grapes/usice Paeches/canned Wine grapes/juice Courgetes/boiled Apples/juice Tomatoes/sauce/puree Okra, lady's fingers/boiled Peas (with pods)/boiled Cranberries/dried	MRL/input for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 20/11.38 20/11.38 4/3.13 4/14.71 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56 0.6/0.14 1.5/0.48 3/1.66 0.6/0.36	(µg/kg bw) 142 94 47 30 18 15 15 13 4.5 3.9 2.7 1.2 0.37	
s exceeded (IEST) IEST I Highest % of ARD/ADI 35% 16% 5% 3% 2% 1% 0.3% 0.5% 0.5% 0.4% 0.4% 0.4% 0.3%	mmodilies for which ARID/ADI : Processed commodilies Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spinaches/frozen; boiled Peaches/danned Wine grapes/juice Courgetes/boiled Peaches/juice Apples/juice Tomatoes/sauce/puree Beans (with pods)/boiled Pearts/juice Raspberries/juice Yums/juice	for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56 3/0.84 1/0.56 1.5/0.48 0.6/0.36 0.6/0.36 0.6/0.32 4/0.49	(µg/kg bw) 354 158 49 31 20 14 13 9.1 7.3 4.6 4.5 4.4 3.7 2.8	No of processed co exceeded (IEST): Highest % of ARID/ADI 14% 9% 5% 3% 2% 2% 2% 2% 1% 1% 1% 0.4% 0.3% 0.3% 0.04% 0.04%	Processed commodities Chards/breet leaves/boiled Spinaches/frozen; boiled Purslanes/boiled Wine grapes/wine Table grapes/iviains Peaches/canned Wine grapes/ivice Courgettes/boiled Apples/juice/ Tomatoes/sauce/puree Okra, lady's fingers/boiled Peas (with posi}/boiled Cranberries/dried Quinces/jam	MRL/input for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 20/11.38 20/11.38 4/3.13 4/14.71 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56 0.6/0.14 1.5/0.48 3/1.66 0.6/0.36 4/0.49 0.6/0.14	(µg/kg bw) 142 94 47 30 18 15 15 13 4.5 3.9 2.7 1.2 0.37 0.17	
s exceeded (IEST) iESTI Highest % of ARPOADI 35% 16% 5% 3% 2% 0,9% 0,9% 0,5% 0,5% 0,4% 0,3% 0,4% 0,3% 0,2%	mmodilies for which ARID/ADI : Processed commodilies Chards/beet leaves/boiled Spinaches/frozen; boiled Peaches/danned Wine grapes/juice Courgetes/boiled Peaches/juice Apples/juice Tomatoes/sauce/puree Beans (with pods)/boiled Pearts/juice Raspberries/juice Yums/juice	for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56 3/0.84 1/0.56 1.5/0.48 0.6/0.36 0.6/0.36 0.6/0.32 4/0.49	(µg/kg bw) 354 158 49 31 20 14 13 9.1 7.3 4.6 4.5 4.4 3.7 2.8	No of processed co exceeded (IEST): Highest % of ARID/ADI 14% 9% 5% 3% 2% 2% 2% 2% 1% 1% 1% 0.4% 0.3% 0.3% 0.04% 0.04%	Processed commodities Chards/breet leaves/boiled Spinaches/frozen; boiled Purslanes/boiled Wine grapes/wine Table grapes/iviains Peaches/canned Wine grapes/ivice Courgettes/boiled Apples/juice/ Tomatoes/sauce/puree Okra, lady's fingers/boiled Peas (with posi}/boiled Cranberries/dried Quinces/jam	MRL/input for RA (mg/kg) 20/11.38 20/11.38 20/11.38 20/11.38 4/3.13 4/14.71 3/1.87 4/0.71 1/0.56 0.6/0.14 1.5/0.48 3/1.66 0.6/0.36 4/0.49 0.6/0.14	(µg/kg bw) 142 94 47 30 18 15 15 13 4.5 3.9 2.7 1.2 0.37 0.17	



Appendix D – Input values for the exposure calculations

D.1. Livestock dietary burden calculations

Not relevant to the present MRL application.

D.2. Consumer risk assessment

	Existing/		Chronic	risk assessment	Acute risk assessment		
Commodity	proposed MRL (mg/kg)	Source	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment ^(a)	
Risk assessment	t residue def	inition: Sum o	f isofetamid	and metabolite GPTC	C, expressed	as isofetamid	
Blackberries	7	Proposed MRL	2.450	STMR-RAC	3.750	HR-RAC	
Dewberries	7	Proposed MRL	2.450	STMR-RAC	3.750	HR-RAC	
Raspberries (red and yellow)	7	Proposed MRL	2.450	STMR-RAC	3.750	HR-RAC	
Raspberries/juice	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.319	STMR-RAC (2.450) \times PF (0.13) (grape juice; EFSA, 2015)	
Almonds	0.01*	FAO (2016b)	0.01	STMR-RAC ^(b)	0.01	HR-RAC ^(b)	
Apples	0.6	FAO (2018)	0.135	STMR-RAC ^(b)	0.420	HR-RAC ^(b)	
Pears	0.6	FAO (2018)	0.135	STMR-RAC ^(b)	0.420	HR-RAC ^(b)	
Quinces	0.6	FAO (2018)	0.135	STMR-RAC ^(b)	0.420	HR-RAC ^(b)	
Medlar	0.6	FAO (2018)	0.135	STMR-RAC ^(b)	0.420	HR-RAC ^(b)	
Loquats/Japanese medlars	0.6	FAO (2018)	0.135	STMR-RAC ^(b)	0.420	HR-RAC ^(b)	
Other pome fruit	0.6	FAO (2018)	0.135	STMR-RAC ^(b)	0.420	HR-RAC ^(b)	
Apricots	3	FAO (2018)	0.836	STMR-RAC (0.760) × CF (1.1) (EFSA, 2015)	1.870	HR-RAC (1.700) × CF (1.1) (EFSA, 2015)	
Cherries (sweet)	4	FAO (2018)	1.100	STMR-RAC ^(b)	3.400	HR-RAC ^(b)	
Peaches	3	FAO (2018)	0.836	STMR-RAC (0.760) × CF (1.1) (EFSA, 2015)	1.870	HR-RAC (1.700) × CF (1.1) (EFSA, 2015)	
Plums	0.8	FAO (2018)	0.193	STMR-RAC (0.175) × CF (1.1) (EFSA, 2015)	0.429	$\begin{array}{l} \text{STMR-RAC} \ (0.390) \\ \times \ \text{CF} \ (1.1) \ (\text{EFSA}, \\ 2015) \end{array}$	
Table grapes	4	EFSA (2015)	0.710	STMR-RAC	3.130	HR-RAC	
Wine grapes	4	EFSA (2015)	0.710	STMR-RAC	3.130	HR-RAC	
Strawberries	4	FAO (2016b)	0.490	STMR-RAC ^(b)	3.100	HR-RAC ^(b)	
Other cane fruit	3	FAO (2018)	0.680	STMR-RAC ^(b)	1.200	HR-RAC ^(b)	
Cranberries	4	FAO (2016b)	0.490	STMR-RAC ^(b)	3.100	HR-RAC ^(b)	
Azarole/ Mediterranean medlar	0.6	FAO (2018)	0.135	STMR-RAC ^(b)	0.420	HR-RAC ^(b)	
Kaki/Japanese persimmons	0.6	FAO (2018)	0.135	STMR-RAC ^(b)	0.420	HR-RAC ^(b)	
Tomatoes	1.5	EFSA (2018)	0.480	STMR-RAC	0.940	HR-RAC	
Sweet peppers/ bell peppers	3	EFSA (2018)	0.570	STMR-RAC	1.660	HR-RAC	



	Existing/		Chronic	risk assessment	Acute risk assessment		
Commodity	proposed MRL (mg/kg)	Source	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment ^(a)	
Aubergines/egg plants	1.5	EFSA (2018)	0.480	STMR-RAC	0.940	HR-RAC	
Okra/lady's fingers	3	EFSA (2018)	0.570	STMR-RAC	1.660	HR-RAC	
Cucumbers	1	EFSA (2018)	0.130	STMR-RAC	0.560	HR-RAC	
Gherkins	1	EFSA (2018)	0.130	STMR-RAC	0.560	HR-RAC	
Courgettes	1	EFSA (2018)	0.130	STMR-RAC	0.560	HR-RAC	
Other cucurbits – edible peel	1	EFSA (2018)	0.130	STMR-RAC	0.560	HR-RAC	
Lettuces	20	EFSA (2015)	0.047	STMR-RAC	11.380	HR-RAC	
Spinaches	20	EFSA (2015)	0.047	STMR-RAC	11.380	HR-RAC	
Purslanes	20	EFSA (2015)	0.047	STMR-RAC	11.380	HR-RAC	
Chards/beet leaves	20	EFSA (2015)	0.047	STMR-RAC	11.380	HR-RAC	
Other spinach and similar	20	EFSA (2015)	0.047	STMR-RAC	11.380	HR-RAC	
Chervil	20	EFSA (2015)	0.047	STMR-RAC	11.380	HR-RAC	
Chives	20	EFSA (2015)	0.047	STMR-RAC	11.380	HR-RAC	
Celery leaves	20	EFSA (2015)	0.047	STMR-RAC	11.380	HR-RAC	
Parsley	20	EFSA (2015)	0.047	STMR-RAC	11.380	HR-RAC	
Sage	20	EFSA (2015)	0.047	STMR-RAC	11.380	HR-RAC	
Rosemary	20	EFSA (2015)	0.047	STMR-RAC	11.380	HR-RAC	
Thyme	20	EFSA (2015)	0.047	STMR-RAC	11.380	HR-RAC	
Basil and edible flowers	20	EFSA (2015)	0.047	STMR-RAC	11.380	HR-RAC	
Laurel/bay leaves	20	EFSA (2015)	0.047	STMR-RAC	11.380	HR-RAC	
Tarragon	20	EFSA (2015)	0.047	STMR-RAC	11.380	HR-RAC	
Other herbs	20	EFSA (2015)	0.047	STMR-RAC	11.380	HR-RAC	
Beans (with pods)	0.6	FAO (2018)	0.096	STMR-RAC ^(b)	0.360	HR-RAC ^(b)	
Peas (with pods)	0.6	FAO (2018)	0.096	STMR-RAC ^(b)	0.360	HR-RAC ^(b)	
Linseeds	0.01*	EFSA (2015)	0.017	STMR-RAC	0.017	HR-RAC	
Poppy seeds	0.01*	EFSA (2015)	0.017	STMR-RAC	0.017	HR-RAC	
Rapeseeds/canola seeds	0.015	FAO (2016b)	0.017	STMR-RAC	0.017	HR-RAC	
Mustard seeds	0.01*	EFSA (2015)	0.017	STMR-RAC	0.017	HR-RAC	
Gold of pleasure seeds	0.01*	EFSA (2015)	0.017	STMR-RAC	0.017	HR-RAC	
Risk assessment	t residue def	inition: Sum o	f isofetamid	and PPA, expressed	as isofetami	id	
Commodities of animal origin	0.01*	MRL (Reg. (EU) 2017/	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

STMR-RAC: supervised trials median residue in raw agricultural commodity; HR-RAC: highest residue in raw agricultural commodity; PF: processing factor; CF: conversion factor for enforcement to risk assessment residue definition; n/a: not available. *: Indicates that the MRL is set at the limit of analytical quantification (LOQ).

(a): Input values for the commodities which are not under consideration for the acute risk assessment are reported in grey.

(b): Input values derived according to the JMPR risk assessment residue definition. A conversion factor to estimate the

171)

contribution of the plant metabolite GPTC, included in the EU risk assessment residue definition, is not available. This may lead to an underestimation of residue levels.



Code/trivial name	IUPAC name/SMILES notation/InChIKey ^(a)	Structural formula ^(b)
Isofetamid	<i>N</i> -[1,1-dimethyl-2-(4-isopropoxy- <i>o</i> -tolyl)-2- oxoethyl]-3-methylthiophene-2-carboxamide	O, NH CH ₃ CH ₃ CH ₃
10 - 5 + 11	O=C(NC(C)(C)C(=O)c1ccc(OC(C)C)cc1C)c2sccc2C WMKZDPFZIZQROT-UHFFFAOYSA-N	H ₃ C H ₃ C CH ₃
GPTC	 <i>N</i>-{1-[4-(D-glucopyranosyloxy)-2-methylphenyl]-2-methyl-1-oxopropan-2-yl}-3-methylthiophene-2-carboxamide O=C(NC(C)(C)C(=O)c2ccc(O[C@@H]10[C@H] (CO)[C@@H](O)[C@H](O)[C@H]10)cc2C) c3sccc3C 	H ₃ C CH ₃ O CH ₃ OH
GPTC-malonyl	AJMFCWXRIDCDDN-XHCNLMPISA-N 3-methyl-4-[2-methyl- <i>N</i> -(3-methylthiophene-2- carbonyl)alanyl]phenyl 6- <i>O</i> -(carboxyacetyl)-D- glucopyranoside O=C(NC(C)(C)C(=O)c2ccc(OC10[C@H](COC(=O) CC(=O)O)[C@@H](O)[C@H](O)[C@H]10)cc2C) c3sccc3C HAKKTWCXWDYAPF-VVHFXJRLSA-N	$H_{3}C$
PPA	(2 <i>RS</i>)-2-{3-methyl-4-[2-methyl- <i>N</i> -(3- methylthiophene-2-carbonyl)alanyl]phenoxy} propanoic acid O=C(NC(C)(C)C(=O)c1ccc(OC(C)C(=O)O)cc1C) c2sccc2C CFZWEHRXSMYLPD-UHFFFAOYSA-N	$H_{3}C$

Appendix E – Used compound codes

IUPAC: International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry; SMILES: simplified molecular-input line-entry system; InChiKey: International Chemical Identifier Key. (a): ACD/Name 2019.1.3 ACD/Labs 2019 Release (File version N05E41, Build 111418, 3 September 2019).

(b): ACD/ChemSketch 2019.1.3 ACD/Labs 2019 Release (File version C05H41, Build 111302, 27 August 2019).