# Peer

# The mycorrhizal fungi of *Cymbidium* promote the growth of *Dendrobium officinale* by increasing environmental stress tolerance

Yulong Li<sup>1</sup>, Zhihua Kang<sup>2</sup>, Xia Zhang<sup>3</sup>, Ping Sun<sup>4</sup>, Xiaohui Jiang<sup>5</sup> and Zhengmin Han<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> College of Forestry, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing, China

<sup>2</sup> Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanjing, China

<sup>3</sup> Suqian Forest Pest Quarantine Control Station, Suqian, China

<sup>4</sup> Jiangsu Aosaikang Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd, Suzhou, China

<sup>5</sup> Garden Bureau, Management Committee of Huangshan Scenic Area, Huangshan, China

#### ABSTRACT

Dendrobium officinale is a medicinal herbal plant with important health care value and high demand. Due to its slow growth and scarcity in nature, its yield depends on intensified cultivation while biotic and abiotic stresses were important factors that causes production loss. Orchidaceae can form association with rhizoctonias collectively, and studies have found that some orchids showed a high level of strain-species specificity to orchid mycorrhizal fungi (OMF), yet the specificity of OMF on *D. officinale* needs to explored. In this study, the effects on D. officinale of four OMF isolated from Cymbidium were tested. The obviously higher mass yield of the treated plants in medium and pots indicated the growth promotion effect of the fungi. Furthermore, an abiotic stress test indicated stronger drought tolerance among the treated plants. For the biotic stress test, two root rot pathogens, Fusarium solani and Fusarium graminearum, were isolated and identified from root rot of *D. officinale*. In an *in vitro* inhibition test, the four OMF could resist the growth of these pathogens. In vivo studies showed that these four OMF could improve the survival rate and fresh weight and decrease the root rot rate of pathogen-inoculated seedlings. The four OMF namely; *Hyphomycete* sp., *Umbelopsis* sp., Ceratorhiza sp. and Ceratorhiza sp. are compatible strains for improving the growth rate of D. officinale by increasing its environmental stress tolerance, providing an effective way to supply resources through artificial reproduction.

**Subjects** Agricultural Science, Ecology, Molecular Biology, Mycology, Plant Science **Keywords** Mycorrhizal fungus, Root rot, *Dendrobium officinale*, Drought tolerance, Biocontrol

#### INTRODUCTION

*Dendrobium officinale* Kimura et Migo belongs to *Orchidaceae* and has high medicinal value. It has been widely used in Asian countries for hundreds of years to enhance immunity, provide antithrombotic and antineoplastic effects, and delay ageing (*Zhao, Xu & Hua, 2017; Liu et al., 2011; Xiang et al., 2013; Ng et al., 2012*). Due to the rising demand for this herb, wild *D. officinale* is severely exploited and will become extinct. Currently, massive

Submitted 30 March 2021 Accepted 5 November 2021 Published 6 December 2021

Corresponding author Zhengmin Han, zmhan\_njfu@163.com

Academic editor Hironori Kaminaka

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 13

DOI 10.7717/peerj.12555

Copyright 2021 Li et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

amounts of *D. officinale* are rapidly propagated under intensified cultivation to overcome this problem (*Tang et al., 2017*). However, issues in artificial cultivation have also emerged, such as low growth rate, poor adaptability and plant disease. Notably, susceptibility to withering and root and stem rot mainly caused by fungal disease has resulted in serious economic losses in the cultivation of this plant (*Lin et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2017*).

Mycorrhizal fungi are a group of fungi that live in healthy plants at a certain or all life stages (Otero, Ackerman & Bayman, 2002; Tejesvi et al., 2007). Mycorrhizal fungi can form a mutually beneficial relationship with host plants. Orchid mycorrhizal fungi (OMF) are present in all orchid species and play an important role in the growth of orchid species by establishing obligate relationships conducive to gain carbon, nutrients and water, especially during early life stages when orchids lack sufficient nutrient reserves in seeds (*Dearnaley*, Martos & Selosse, 2012; Dressler & Rasmussen, 1996). While orchid acquires nutrients by mycoheterotrophy, the root function of the host can be strengthened by the OMF to increase the absorbability of mineral elements, especially phosphorus (*Bidartondo*, 2005). OMF can also stimulate the growth and development of host plants, improving the host's resistance to stress (Alv, Debbab & Proksch, 2011; Aly et al., 2010). Applying suitable OMF could be advantageous for orchid conservation and reproduction, e.g., propagation, ex situ seeding or germination. However, the acceptability of and preference for OMF by the host is an important factor to consider before application (McCormick et al., 2006; Girlanda et al., 2011; Xing et al., 2013). Mycorrhizal fungi may have different effects on different hosts, which may depend on the process of recognition between the host and mycorrhizal fungus as well as compliance with symbiosis (Klironomos, 2003; Raju et al., 1990; Kosuta et al., 2003). Suitable mycorrhizal fungi are needed for seed germination and seedling growth of D. officinale under natural conditions (Jacquemyn, Duffy & Selosse, 2017; Hossain et al., 2013). Even though adequate nutrition is provided by artificial cultivation, this plant is slow-growing and sensitive to biological and abiotic stressors. This is probably because appropriate mycorrhizal fungi are deficient in artificial cultivation conditions.

Root rot is a major disease of *D. officinale* that is mainly caused by fungal pathogens. It can weaken the root function of plants until the whole plant dies (*Bodah*, 2017). This disease can cause massive infection and death in intensive cultivation of *D. officinale* (*Lin et al.*, 2011; *Zhou et al.*, 2017). Fungal pathogens in *Dendrobium* have been identified, such as *Fusarium* sp., *Pythium* sp. and *Ceratobasidium* sp. (*Lin et al.*, 2011; *Zhou et al.*, 2017; *Zhang et al.*, 2017). Chemical agents *s* uch as metalaxyl-M, propamocarb, and Bordeaux mixtures have been widely used to prevent and treat this disease (*Sardrood & Goltapeh*, 2018). However, chemical residues on the products inhibit the growth of probiotic fungi and lead to low-quality products that are harmful to sustainable agricultural development (*Mahanty et al.*, 2017; *Singh*, *Pandey & Singh*, 2011).

In view of the above problems in intensified cultivation, four OMF (namely GDB162, GDB254, GS222, MLX102) isolated from other *Cymbidium* were used to promote the growth and to increased abiotic and biotic stress tolerance of *D. officinale* because these strains reportedly showed growth promotion effect on *Cymbidum* such as *C. hybrid*, *C. goeringii*, *C. mastersii* in former studies (*Guo et al.*, 2012; *Wu et al.*, 2013; *Dong*, 2008). On the other side, the pathogenic fungi isolated and identified due to the root disease is

still a problem in intensified cultivation of *D. officinale* (*Lin et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2017*). Further, these OMF were used to prevent and control root rot *D. officinale*. Our findings have great significance in increasing and reducing the morbidity of *D. officinale* under intensive cultivation.

## **MATERIALS & METHODS**

#### Plant materials, fungi and medium

The four mycorrhizal fungi are GDB162 (*Hyphomycete* sp.) (*Dong, 2008*), GDB254 (*Umbelopsis* sp.) (*Dong, 2008*), GS222 (*Ceratorhiza* sp.) (*Dong, 2008*; *Jin et al., 2007*) originating from *Cymbidium faberi* Rolfe in Anhui Province, China, and MLX102 (*Ceratorhiza* sp.) (*Guo et al., 2012*; *Wu et al., 2013*; *Dong, 2008*), which was isolated from *Cymbidium sinense* (Jackson ex Andrews) Willd in Yunnan Province, China. *D. officinale* with root disease was collected from a nursery in Wujiang city, Jiangsu Province, China. Fungi were incubated on PSA medium containing 20 g sucrose, 20 g agar, 200 g potato and 1,000 mL water. Mycorrhizal fungal infection of *D. officinale* was facilitated by DE symbiotic medium (*Dijk & Eck, 1995*) containing K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 1.0 mg/L, H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>4</sub> 25 mg/L, ZnSO<sub>4</sub> 2.8 mg/L, yeast extract 10 g/L, 9 g/L soluble starch and 4.5 g/L agar powder (pH 6.0). MurashigeSkoog1962 (MS) medium was used as a medium for the growth of *D. officinale* seedlings (*Murashige & Skoog, 1962*). The rooting medium was MS medium plus 1 mg/L NAA (1-naphthylacetic acid).

#### The plant effects of mycorrhizal fungi on D. officinale

*D. officinale* PLBs were cultured in rooting medium. After that, three seedlings with similar growth conditions (approximately 1.5 g of each) were transferred to solid DE symbiotic medium and cultured at 25 °C for two days to verify the absence of contamination. Three five mm diameter blocks of agar containing fungi were evenly inoculated around *D. officinale* seedlings. For the testing of tissue culture seedlings, the seedlings were cultured at 25 °C and 12 h daily light for 60 days. Then, fresh weight, chlorophyll content, stem polysaccharide levels, and root acid phosphatase activity (ACP) were measured and analyzed. For the testing of pot seedlings, the seedlings were transplanted to the pots after 15 days of OMF inoculation and were grown in a closed green house with natural light at 25 °C for 5 months. Then, the survival rate, stem length, stem diameter and leaf number were measured and analyzed. The experiment was performed in thirty replicates.

### The effect of mycorrhizal fungi on plant drought resistance

*D. officinale* seedlings in pot with similar growth condition and weight were selected for testing of drought resistant. The four leaves (about five mm around wide) of *D. officinale* infected by mycorrhizal fungi were placed around the root of the seedling for mycorrhizal fungi inoculation and the CK group consist of leaves without mycorrhizal fungi incubation (*Gilbertson, 1988; Munro et al., 1999*). The mycorrhizal fungi infected the root of the plant and adequate water was supplied. Then, three experimental groups were set up. The group I (CK) was adequately water was supplied every week for the

whole time. The group II was subjected to limited water supply every week, and the group III was subjected to adequate water was supplied only once at the beginning. After that, the survival rate of the plant of group III was recorded at 15, 30, 60 days and the MDA content of group I and II were measured and analyzed at 60 days. Each group had 10 replicate. Malonaldehyde (MDA) content was determined with the method of thibabituric acid (TBA) by using an MDA assay kit (cat: A003-1-2; Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions and determined at 532 nm. The experiments were performed in triplicate.

#### Determination of chlorophyll, and phosphatase activity

Chlorophyll a and b were determined as described previously (*Sükran, Güneş & Sivaci, 1998*). Fragmented fresh leaves (0.25 g) were transferred into a mortar, and then 2.5 ml of acetone was added. The mixture was ground to a homogenate, and 2.5 ml of 80% acetone was added. The samples were centrifuged at  $12,000 \times$  g for 10 min. The volume of the supernatant was adjusted to 10 ml with 80% acetone. Then, 0.5 ml chlorophyll extract was diluted with 2 ml of 80% acetone, and the solution was transferred to a cuvette. The 80% acetone solution was used as a control. The absorbance was measured by a spectrophotometer at 645 and 663 nm to determine the chlorophyll a and b contents, respectively.

Acid phosphatases activity was assayed using  $\rho$ -nitrophenol. Fresh root (0.5 g) was transferred to a mortar and pestle at 0–4 °C in 50 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.5). The sample were centrifuged at 12,000 g for 15 min and the supernatant collected. ACP was determined using  $\rho$ -nitrophenol phosphate as substrate and measuring the amount of  $\rho$ -nitrophenol produced. Activity was quantified by comparing the absorption at 410 nm to a standard curve of diluted  $\rho$ -nitrophenol solutions and NaOH. One unit of phosphatase is equivalent to the amount of enzyme producing 1  $\mu$ M of product per min under assay conditions.

#### Pathogen isolation and identification

The pathogens were isolated from rotted roots of *D. officinale*. The rotted roots were soaked in 70% ethanol for 5-10 s, then in 0.1% mercury bichloride for 90 s and washed with sterilized water three times. The treated roots were incubated on PSA medium at 26 °C for 3-5 days. All suspected mycelium tips of pathogens were transferred to new PDA medium. The following three primer pairs were used to amplify the fungal rRNA internal transcribed spacer (ITS), the second largest RNA polymerase subunit (RPB2) and large fragment of ribosomal (LSU) fragments: ITSF (5'- TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG-3')/ITSR (5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3') (*White et al.*, 1990), RPB2-5F2(5'- GGGGWGAYCA-GAAGAAGGC)/7cR (5'- CCCATRGCTTGYTTRCCCAT) (*Anonymous*, 2007) and LSUF LSUF (5'- ATCCTGAGGGAAACTTC-3')/LSUR (5'- GTACCCGCTGAACTTAAGC-3') (*Sung et al.*, 2007).

#### Pathogenicity test

A pathogenicity test was performed on *D. officinale* by following Koch's postulates with tissue culture seedlings and plants in pots. The seedlings were incubated on DE medium for

2 weeks at 26 °C with a 12-h dark/light cycle. The pathogenic fungi were evenly inoculated around seedlings for 30 days of co-incubation. The plants in pots containing dried bark and peat were grown at a ratio of 2:5 at 26 °C for 15 days. The fungi were incubated in Czapek medium with 120 rpm shaking and 25 °C for 4 days. Then, the conidia suspensions (10<sup>6</sup> CFU/ml) were created and inoculated into the rhizosphere of plants in pots. The plants were incubated at 26 °C and 65% relative humidity for 30 days, and the root rot rate and death rate were recorded. The root rot severity was rated on a scale of 1 (root no wilting, white and plump) to 5 (plant death, root complete yellow and shrinking) (*Meyer & Hausbeck, 2013*). The experiments were performed in triplicate.

#### Antagonism studies in vitro

The mycorrhizal fungi and pathogens were inoculated on PSA medium (2 cm away from the edge in a nine cm petri dish) at an interval of 5 cm (*Dubey et al., 2013; Dubey, Dan & Karlsson, 2014*). The inoculated plate was incubated at 20 °C for 1 week, and the colony diameters of both fungi were measured every 24 h. The experiments were performed in triplicate.

#### The effect of mycorrhizal fungi on pathogens

Three 5 mm diameter blocks of agar containing OMF were evenly inoculated around *D. officinale* seedlings respectively. Then, the seedlings were incubated at 25 °C under 12 h daily light for 15 days. After that, the co-incubated seedlings were transferred to pots in a greenhouse, and the seedlings were inoculated with 1 mL of the conidia suspensions (10<sup>6</sup> CFU/ml) of the pathogenic fungi. The survival rate, total root rot and flesh weight were recorded after 30 days. Ten replicates of the experiment were performed.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 17.0. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's test were used to detect the differences in seedling weight, polysaccharide rate, chlorophyll content, acid phosphatase activity, MDA content, colony diameter and root rot rate, survival rate.

### **RESULTS**

# The promotion of growth of tissue-cultured *D. officinale* by mycorrhizal fungi

Four mycorrhizal fungi were inoculated to test their growth-promoting effect on tissuecultured *D. officinale*. The results showed that these four strains promoted the growth of *D. officinale* for 60 days. The seedlings demonstrated better growth, with bright green shoots (Fig. 1), higher fresh seeding weight (Fig. 2A), higher chlorophyll contents (Fig. 2B), and higher levels of polysaccharide in the stems (Fig. 2C) compared with those in the control group (CK). The differences between the stimulatory effects of GS222, GDB254, and MLX102 on the pigment contents were not significant. MLX102 had the strongest promoting effect on chlorophyll a levels, which demonstrated a 1.85-fold increase compared to that in the CK. GDB254 was the most effective stimulator of chlorophyll b (2.72-fold) and total chlorophyll (1.94-fold) contents compared to those in the CK. GDB254-treated





plants had a greater increase in weight. The acid phosphatase activity (Fig. 2D) indicated that the phosphorus absorption of inoculated *D. officinale* roots was weaker than that in the CK roots.

**The promotion of growth of** *D. officinale* in pots by mycorrhizal fungi To study the promoting effect of these mycorrhizal fungi on *D. officinale*, four fungi were inoculated into cultivated seedlings in pots. The results showed that all the mycorrhizal fungi had a growth-promoting effect on the seedlings after for 5 months. The seedling survival rate after transplantation from the medium to the substrate in the GDB254, MLX102, GS222, and GDB162 groups was increased by approximately 16%, 13%, 10%, and 13%, respectively, compared with that in the CK group (Fig. 3A). The stem diameter, stem height, and leaf number of the seedlings inoculated with mycorrhizal fungi (Figs. 3B, 3C, 3D) were significantly increased. GDB162 had the most efficient growth-promoting effect of all mycorrhizal fungi and induced an increase in seedling thickness, height, and leaf number by approximately 118%, 54%, and 58%, respectively.

#### Drought stress resistance of D. officinale due to mycorrhizal fungi

To evaluate the effect of these fungi on the drought resistance of *D. officinale*, the survival rate and MDA (malondialdehyde) content were measured under various drought conditions. The results showed that the survival rate of *D. officinale* was significantly improved in the 15, 30, 60 days of drought conditions in the presence of mycorrhizal fungi (Fig. 4A). In the GDB162, GS222, and GDB254 tested groups, the survival rate of *D. officinale* at various drought periods was improved by more than 40% compared with



**Figure 2** Mycorrhizal fungi promote the growth of tissue culture seedlings of *Dendrobium officinale*. The growth-promoting effects of GDB254, MLX102, GS222, and GDB162 on *D. officinale* tissue culture seedlings after 60 days. (A), (B), (C), and (D) show the fresh weight, chlorophyll content, stem polysac-charide levels, and root acid phosphatase activity of *D. officinale*, respectively; the values of the parameters increased after symbiotic growth with different mycorrhizal fungi. Letters over the bars indicate significant differences at the 5% level.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.12555/fig-2

the CK group. On the other hand, the MDA content in mycorrhizal fungi inoculation groups was steadier and maintained at a low level in the drought period, while the MDA content of the CK group during drought is increased to twice times that of the normal growth environment. This phenomenon was more obvious in the MLX102 and GDB254 groups than in the other groups (Fig. 4B). In addition, most of seedlings in group III were dead, hence, MDA content analysis was not conducted for this group. The results indicated that the four mycorrhizal fungi could promote drought resistance in *D. officinale*.

#### Isolation and identification of pathogenic fungi

Two fungi, TS1 and TS2, were isolated from *D. officinale* with root rot and showed pathogenicity (Fig. 5). The sequence data of TS1 showed 100% homology to *Fusarium solani* (GenBank accessions ITS-MT638068.1 (449/449 bp), RPB2-MK606410.1 (861/861 bp), LSU-MT533257.1 (726/726 bp), and the pathogen was identified as *Fusarium solani*. Sequence data of TS2 showed homology to *Fusarium graminearum* (GenBank accessions ITS-KU254606.1 (789/789 bp), RPB2-LT222053.1 (970/976 bp), LSU-MH877271.1 (857/857 bp), and the pathogen was identified as *Fusarium graminearum*.



**Figure 3** Growth-promoting effects of mycorrhizal fungi on *Dendrobium officinale* cultivated in pots. (A) Effects of mycorrhizal fungi on the survival rate after transplantation from the culture bottles to flower pots. (B, C, and D) Growth-promoting effects of various mycorrhizal fungi on (B) the stem diameter, (C) stem length, and (D) leaf number of the plants grown in pots. Different letters over the bars indicate significant differences at the 5% level.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.12555/fig-3





Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.12555/fig-4



**Figure 5** Morphology and microstructure of pathogenic fungi. Morphology of TS1 (A) and TS2 (D) strains grown on PSA. (B, C) Microscopic morphology of (E, F) Microscopic morphology of TS2. Colonies of TS1 were formed after 3 days of growth on PSA medium at 28 °C.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.12555/fig-5



**Figure 6 TS1 and TS2 strains all showed pathogenicity to** *Dendrobium officinale.* (A) The control group, which was not inoculated with pathogenic fungus. TS1 (B) and TS2 (C) were incubated with *D. of-ficinale* seedlings. The solid arrows point to the rot root and hollow arrow point to healthy root. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.12555/fig-6

#### Pathogenicity test of the pathogens

TS1 and TS2 show pathogenic effects on *D. officinale* in medium (Fig. S1) and pot conditions. The pathogen-incubated groups showed root rot symptoms after two weeks (Fig. 6), while the roots of the control group were healthy and strong. TS1 was strongly pathogenic; most seedling's root turned yellow and withered while the survival rate of the TS2 group was 55%, and the roots turned yellow and black (Figs. 6B, 6C).





#### Competitiveness of the four mycorrhizal fungi with pathogenic fungi

To test the interaction of four mycorrhizal fungi and pathogens, these strains were used to confront these pathogens *in vitro*. All the pathogenic fungi grew with mycorrhizal fungi on the medium, but the pathogens had a faster growth rate. The growth of TS1 was significantly delayed under the effect of mycorrhizal fungi (Figs. 7A–7D). The growth curve indicated that the growth rate of TS1 was obviously slowed down in 3–5 days when it came in close proximity to the mycorrhizal fungus. The inhibition of mycorrhizal fungi on TS2 was not as obvious as that on TS1, in which colonies touched each other (Figs. 7E–7H).

#### Mycorrhizal fungi improve root rot resistance of D. officinale

The competitive effect between the mycorrhizal fungi and pathogens in vitro did not completely support the biocontrol potential of the mycorrhizal fungi in the hosts. Thus, we evaluated the potential of mycorrhizal fungi as biocontrol agents against pathogens in *D. officinale* on DE medium and in pots in greenhouses *via* interactions among hosts, mycorrhizal fungi, and pathogens together. The survival rate of D. officinale indicated that four mycorrhizal fungi played roles in improving the survival ability of tissue culture seedlings (Fig. 8). The highest survival rate ( $\sim$ 50% in TS1 and  $\sim$ 80% in TS2) indicated that MLX102 supplied stronger disease resistance for D. officinale. The mycorrhizal fungus increased the survival rate to a limited extent ( $0 \sim 20\%$ ) due to the weaker lethality of TS2 (Fig. 8A). The root rot rate and fresh weight of *D. officinale* in the greenhouse both indicated improved disease resistance to pathogenic fungal infection. The root rot rate of the CK groups (>90%, Fig. 8B) indicated that both pathogenic fungi were highly virulent. MLX102 inhibited the root rot rate caused by TS1 and TS2 with an efficiency of approximately 40%~50% (Fig. 8). GS222 seemed unable to inhibit root rot caused by TS1, but it had a certain effect on TS2, with an inhibition rate of approximately 25%. The other two mycorrhizal fungi had more moderate inhibition effects, with inhibition efficiencies between those of MLX102 and GS222. All the mycorrhizal fungi led to an increase in fresh weight to some extent. Although the reduction in root rot rate did not correspond to an increase in fresh weight, all the different mycorrhizal fungi have the effect of reducing the root rot rate and increasing the fresh weight (Fig. 8C).

Peer





# DISCUSSION

Mycorrhizal fungi are important resources for *D. officinale* growth promotion and intensify their tolerance to the environment (*Chen, Wang & Guo, 2012*), with promising agricultural applications (*Vega, 2018*; *Shahzad et al., 2018*). But the degree of specificity of most orchids to their mycorrhizal associates remains unknown. In this study, we have shown that mycorrhizal fungi of *Cymbidium* could promote the growth of *D. officinale* tissue culture seedlings and pot cultivation seedlings. Interestingly, mycorrhizal fungi improved the adaptation of *D. officinale* to biotic stress and abiotic stress resistance. It is important to note that the mycorrhizal fungi can promote the growth of orchids as well as stimulation of germination (*Brundrett, 2007; Jacquemyn et al., 2015; Zi et al., 2014*). However, few studies employed orchid-mycorrhizal fungi to promote the growth of *D. officinale*. Moreover, we also found and identified the root rot pathogen (soil-borne) of *D. officinales* and mycorrhizal fungi could increase such biotic stress tolerance. This finding will improve our understanding of the promotion effects of OMF on *D. officinale*.

According to reports, OMF have contributed to the growth, development, nutrient uptake, and resistance to pathogenic infection of different plant species. These four fungi have been proven that OMF could promote growth of orchids such as *C. hybrid*, *C. goeringii*, *C. mastersii* in former studies (*Guo et al., 2012*; *Wu et al., 2013*; *Dong, 2008*). In this study, we were able to prove that OMF significantly contributed to both physiological and morphological parameters of *D. officinales* expressing different growth effect on *D. officinale.* The stem length, diameter and polysaccharide levels all increased, indicating that the quality of the seedlings was improved.

The biochemical index quantificationally also demonstrated the promotion effect of these fungi. Our results show that all four mycorrhizal fungi could increase the weight as well as improve the content of photopigments (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and total chlorophyll), on average. The increase of chlorophyll in the symbiotic plant probably resulted in higher photosynthetic rates and thus improved plant biomass. The chlorophyll b content of *D. officinale* in the medium was especially obvious. As an index of blue and violet light absorption, the high chlorophyll b content indicated that mycorrhizal fungi could promote low light capacity utilization (*Ohashi-Kaneko et al., 2010*). This increase of

chlorophyll content by mycorrhizal fungi inoculation may be due to an increase in stomatal conductance, photosynthesis, transpiration (*Kirschner, 2013; Rajasekaran et al., 2006*).

AcPase activity describes the phosphorus usage efficiency of plants. The decreasing phosphatase efficiency in the mycorrhizal fungi coculture groups indicated that adequate phosphorus was supplied. The OMF supplied the orchid with phosphorus (P) demonstrating that the OMF was involved in P uptake and transfer in former studies (*Cameron et al., 2007; Alexander & Hadley, 1984*), which could provide additional phosphorus to P acquired by the root of host plant. Similar studies of OMF proves that P absorption and transfer abilities could provide phosphorus for host plant usage (*Plassard, Becquer & Garcia, 2019; Siti et al., 2013*). Furthermore, difference in ACPase activity could be attributed to the metabolism of plant host during interaction with OMF where the cell of OMF is digested in cavity and the activity occurs with some level of variation (*Jin et al., 2007; Liu, 1982*). In addition, the AcPase activity of root could be different in root after different mycorrhizal fungi species invade the host plant (*Conn & Dighton, 2000*).

OMF could enhance the absorption ability of the root system as well as the hydraulic conductivity of plants, which is associated with drought tolerance (*Zaidi et al., 2009*; *Smith et al., 2010*). The increased survival rate and decreased MDA content under drought conditions indicated that OMF increased the drought tolerance of *D. officinale*. The potential of the mycorrhizal fungi to confer drought resistance to host plants is important for plant adaptation to the environment (*Singh, Gill & Tuteja, 2011*; *Rapparini & Peñuelas, 2014*).

Root rot caused by pathogenic fungi has led to great economic losses in the production of *D. officinal* e and orchids (*Bodah*, 2017; *Wang et al.*, 2014; *Latiffah et al.*, 2010). Two pathogenic fungi were confirmed: TS1 and TS2 were *Fusarium solani* and *Fusarium* graminearum, respectively, while the severe root rot in crops and fruits could be caused by these fungi (*Bodah*, 2017; *Wang et al.*, 2014; *Mesterhazy*, *Lemmens & Reid*, 2012; *Nemec* & Zablotowicz, 1981; Bohra & Mathur, 2004; Lee, Zhang & Waalwijk, 2015; Kikot, Hours & Alconada, 2009). Fusarium species are one of the most common soil-borne pathogens for plants and are causal agents of orchid root rot (*Swett & Uchida*, 2015; *Latiffah et al.*, 2008). These pathogens are major problems for commercial orchid production (*Swett & Uchida*, 2015; *Srivastava*, 2014).

These pathogens also caused root rot in *D. officinale* in this study. TS1 is a highly pathogenic fungus that causes a high lethal ratio under sterile medium conditions. However, the seedling roots tended to rot in the pot after being inoculated with this pathogen. This pathogen is relatively more likely to cause a high rate of root rot in greenhouses. This difference may be because the DE medium is also suitable for fungal growth. It provides fungi with adequate nutrients, which means that it helps pathogen growth and exerts virulence (*Lu et al., 2013; Fernandez, Marroquin-Guzman & Wilson, 2014*). The four mycorrhizal fungi in this study exhibited resistance to pathogens on plates and pots, which was mainly manifested both in the increased survival rate of seedlings and in reduced root rot of the plants. They exhibited different inhibition zone diameters in the plate competition test, which may be caused by antibiotics and lytic enzymes produced by the fungi that has reported in other studies of mycorrhizal fungi (*Wanderley et al., 2017*;

*Krywolap*, *Grand & Jr*, 2011; *Santoro & Casida*, 1962). These effects of the mycorrhizal fungi of *D. officinale* are essential to the resistance of root rot pathogenic fungi in production.

Biological control has advantages, such as relatively few side effects, less pollution and longer effective times, and is a major trend in the development of agriculture and forestry today (*Vega, 2018*; *O'Brien, 2017*). This is an effective biocontrol method that is widely used in farming (*Toghueo et al., 2016*; *Egamberdieva et al., 2017*; *Jaber & Ownley, 2018*), and it is also suitable for use in the production of *D. officinale* today. Mycorrhizal fungi could be important biocontrol resources for competing with and inhibiting the reproduction of the pathogens by producing antibiotic or antifungal compounds and stimulating plant defence responses (*Dell, 2002; Gao, Dai & Liu, 2010*). Abiotic stress could weaken plant defences and enhance pathogen infection probability (*Mittler & Blumwald, 2010*). It has been suggested that the combination of abiotic and biotic stress could cause increased serious disease (*MacDonald, 1982; Pandey, Sinha & Senthil-Kumar, 2015*). Mycorrhizal fungi could enhance resistance to both stresses, indicating that these fungi are useful resources for the growth promotion of *D. officinale*.

# CONCLUSIONS

Together, the mycorrhizal fungi in the culture medium or the cultivated substrate could promote the production of *D. officinale* by increasing resistance to biological and abiotic stress. Furthermore, we isolated and characterized two fungal root pathogens of *D. officinale*. Inhibition of fungal plant pathogens by mycorrhizal fungi isolated from different *Cymbidium* was a suitable means to control the disease caused by *Fusarium*. This finding is important for growth promotion, root rot disease prevention and control in *D. officinale* production.

# **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS**

#### Funding

This work was supported by Science and Technology Project of Huangshan City, Grant number: 2017KN-06. Nanjing Forestry University Research and Development project (JZ20190011). Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### **Grant Disclosures**

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: Science and Technology Project of Huangshan City: 2017KN-06. Nanjing Forestry University Research and Development project: JZ20190011. Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD).

#### **Competing Interests**

Ping Sun is employed by Jiangsu Aosaikang Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.

#### **Author Contributions**

- Yulong Li conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Zhihua Kang and Xia Zhang performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Ping Sun analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Xiaohui Jiang analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Zhengmin Han conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.

#### **DNA Deposition**

The following information was supplied regarding the deposition of DNA sequences:

The TS1 sequences are available at GenBank: ITS-MW800179, RPB2-MW816630, LSU-MW811461.

The TS2 sequences are available at GenBank: ITS- MW800198, RPB2- MW816631, LSU- MW800199.

#### **Data Availability**

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw data are available in the Supplemental File.

#### **Supplemental Information**

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.12555#supplemental-information.

# REFERENCES

- Alexander C, Hadley I. 1984. Phosphate uptake by in relation to mycorrhizal infection. *New Phytologist* 97(3):401–411 DOI 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1984.tb03606.x.
- Aly AH, Debbab A, Kjer J, Proksch P. 2010. Fungal endophytes from higher plants: a prolific source of phytochemicals and other bioactive natural products. *Fungal Diversity* **41(1)**:1–16 DOI 10.1007/s13225-010-0034-4.
- Aly AH, Debbab A, Proksch P. 2011. Fungal endophytes: unique plant inhabitants with great promises. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* **90(6)**:1829–1845 DOI 10.1007/s00253-011-3270-y.
- Anonymous. 2007. Phylogenetic diversity and microsphere array-based genotyping of human pathogenic fusaria, including isolates from the multistate contact lensassociated U.S. keratitis outbreaks of 2005 and 2006. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 45(7):2235–2248 DOI 10.1128/JCM.00533-07.
- Bidartondo MI. 2005. The evolutionary ecology of myco-heterotrophy. *New Phytologist* 167(2):335–352 DOI 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01429.x.

- Bodah ET. 2017. Root rot diseases in plants: a review of common causal agents and management strategies. *Agricultural Research & Technology Open Access Journal* 5(3):555661.
- Bohra B, Mathur K. 2004. Biocontrol agents and neem formulations for suppression of *Fusarium solani* root rot in Soybean. *Journal of Mycology & Plant Pathology* 34(2):408–409.
- Brundrett MC. 2007. Scientific approaches to Australian temperate terrestrial orchid conservation. *Australian Journal of Botany* 55(3):293–307 DOI 10.1071/BT06131.
- Cameron D, Irene J, Leake JR, Read DJ. 2007. Mycorrhizal acquisition of inorganic phosphorus by the green-leaved terrestrial orchid *Goodyera repens*. *Annals of Botany* 5:831–834.
- Chen J, Wang H, Guo SX. 2012. Isolation and identification of endophytic and mycorrhizal fungi from seeds and roots of *Dendrobium* (Orchidaceae). *Mycorrhiza* 22(4):297–307 DOI 10.1007/s00572-011-0404-0.
- Conn C, Dighton J. 2000. Litter quality influences on decomposition, ectomycorrhizal community structure and mycorrhizal root surface acid phosphatase activity. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 32(4):489–496 DOI 10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00178-9.
- **Dearnaley JDW, Martos F, Selosse M-A. 2012.** Orchid mycorrhizas: molecular ecology, physiology, evolution and conservation aspects. In: Hock B, ed. *Fungal associations*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 207–230.
- Dell B. 2002. Role of mycorrhizal fungi in ecosystems. CMU. Journal 1(1):47-60.
- Dijk E, Eck ND. 1995. Effects of mycorrhizal fungi on in vitro nitrogen response of some Dutch indigenous orchid species. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 73(8):1203–1211 DOI 10.1139/b95-130.
- **Dong F. 2008.** *Screen several orchid mycorrhizal fungi and preliminary test on the seed germination condition. M. Sc. Thesis.* Beijing, China: Beijing Forestry University.
- **Dressler R, Rasmussen H. 1996.** Terrestrial orchids: from seed to mycotrophic plant. *Systematic Botany* **21**:625.
- **Dubey MK, Broberg A, Dan FJ, Karlsson M. 2013.** Role of the methylcitrate cycle in growth, antagonism and induction of systemic defence responses in the fungal biocontrol agent *Trichoderma atroviride*. *Microbiology-Sgm* **159(Pt\_12)**:2492–2500 DOI 10.1099/mic.0.070466-0.
- **Dubey MK, Dan FJ, Karlsson M. 2014.** An ATP-binding cassette pleiotropic drug transporter protein is required for xenobiotic tolerance and antagonism in the fungal biocontrol agent *Clonostachys rosea*. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* **27(7)**:725–732 DOI 10.1094/MPMI-12-13-0365-R.
- Egamberdieva D, Wirth SJ, Shurigin VV, Hashem A, Abd\_Allah EF. 2017. Endophytic bacteria improve plant growth, symbiotic performance of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) and induce suppression of root rot caused by *Fusarium solani* under salt stress. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **8**:1887 DOI 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01887.
- Fernandez J, Marroquin-Guzman M, Wilson RA. 2014. Mechanisms of nutrient acquisition and utilization during fungal infections of leaves. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 52:155–174 DOI 10.1146/annurev-phyto-102313-050135.

- Gao F, Dai C, Liu X. 2010. Mechanisms of fungal endophytes in plant protection against pathogens. *African Journal of Microbiology Research* **4**(13):1346–1351.
- Gilbertson RL. 1988. The use of dry-leaf inoculum for establishment of common bacterial blight of beans. *Plant Disease* 72(5):385–389 DOI 10.1094/PD-72-0385.
- Girlanda M, Segreto R, Cafasso D, Liebel HT, Rodda M, Ercole E, Cozzolino S, Gebauer G, Perotto S. 2011. Mediterranean meadow photosynthetic orchids feature partial myco-eterotrophy and specific mycorrhizal associations. *American Journal of Botany* 98:1148–1163 DOI 10.3732/ajb.1000486.
- Guo ST, Jian-Rong WU, Jun HU, Yang HG, Lu LU, Liu F. 2012. Symbiotic seed germination of *Cymbidium mastersii* Griff.ex Lindl. *Journal of Yunnan University (Natural Sciences Edition)* 34(3):348–355.
- Hossain MM, Kant R, Van PT, Winarto B, Zeng S, Teixeira da Silva JA. 2013. The application of biotechnology to orchids. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences* 32:69–139 DOI 10.1080/07352689.2012.715984.
- Jaber LR, Ownley BH. 2018. Can we use entomopathogenic fungi as endophytes for dual biological control of insect pests and plant pathogens? *Biological Control* 116:36–45 DOI 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2017.01.018.
- Jacquemyn H, Duffy KJ, Selosse MA. 2017. Biogeography of orchid mycorrhizas. In: Tedersoo L, ed. *Biogeography of mycorrhizal symbiosis.Ecological Studies (Analysis and Synthesis)*. Vol. 230. Cham: Springer, 159–177 DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-56363-3\_8.
- Jacquemyn H, Waud M, Merckx V, Lievens B, Brys R. 2015. Mycorrhizal diversity, seed germination and long-term changes in population size across nine populations of the terrestrial orchid *Neottia ovata*. *Molecular Ecology* 24(13):3269–3280 DOI 10.1111/mec.13236.
- Jin H, Xu ZX, Chen H, Han SF. 2007. Localization and changes of activity of acid phosphatase in mycorhiza of *Cymbidium hookerianum*. *Journal of Beijing Forestry University* 29(4):156–160.
- Kikot GE, Hours RA, Alconada TM. 2009. Contribution of cell wall degrading enzymes to pathogenesis of *Fusarium graminearum*: a review. *Journal of Basic Microbiology* 49(3):231–241 DOI 10.1002/jobm.200800231.
- Kirschner R. 2013. Response of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on growth and chlorophyll content of three varieties of *Gossypium herbaceum* L. *Plant Pathology & Quarantine* 3:54–57 DOI 10.5943/ppq/3/1/8.
- Klironomos JN. 2003. Variation in plant response to native and exotic arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Ecology* 84(9):2292–2301 DOI 10.1890/02-0413.
- Kosuta S, Chabaud M, Lougnon G, Gough C, Dénarié J, Barker DG, Bécard G.
  2003. A diffusible factor from arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi induces symbiosisspecific MtENOD11 expression in roots of *Medicago truncatula*. *Plant Physiology* 131(3):952–962 DOI 10.1104/pp.011882.
- Krywolap GN, Grand LF, Jr L. 2011. The natural occurrence of an antibiotic in the mycorrhizal fungus *Cenococcum graniforme*. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 10(3):323–328.

- Latiffah Z, Hayati N, Baharuddin ZM, Maziah Z. 2008. Vegetative compatibility group of *Fusarium* species associated with root and stem rot of orchid. *Malaysian Journal of Microbiology* 4(1):49–52.
- Latiffah Z, Hayati MZN, Baharuddin S, Maziah Z. 2010. Identification and pathogenicity of *Fusarium* species associated with root rot and stem rot of *Dendrobium*. *Asian Journal of Plant Pathology* 3(1):14–21.
- Lee TVD, Zhang A.V. Diepeningen, H, Waalwijk C. 2015. Biogeography of *Fusarium* graminearum species complex and chemotypes: a review. *Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A* 32(4):453–460 DOI 10.1080/19440049.2014.984244.
- Lin Y, Li J, Li B, He T, Chun Z. 2011. Effects of light quality on growth and development of protocorm-like bodies of *Dendrobium officinale* in vitro. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture (PCTOC)* 105(3):329–335 DOI 10.1007/s11240-010-9871-9.
- Liu CY. 1982. The changes of the vitality of *Armillaria mellea* and the histochemical localization of some enzymes in the hyphae digested period of *gastrodia elata*. *Acta Botanica Sinica* 24(4):307–311.
- Liu X-F, Zhu J, Ge S-Y, Xia L-J, Yang H-Y, Qian Y-T, Ren F-Z. 2011. Orally administered *Dendrobium officinale* and its polysaccharides enhance immune functions in BALB/c mice. *Natural Product Communications* 6(6):867–870.
- Lu Y, Su C, Solis NV, Filler SG, Liu H. 2013. Synergistic regulation of hyphal elongation by hypoxia, CO2, and nutrient conditions controls the virulence of *Candida albicans*. *Cell Host & Microbe* 14(5):499–509 DOI 10.1016/j.chom.2013.10.008.
- MacDonald JD. 1982. Effect of salinity stress on the development of phytophthora root rot of *Chrysanthemum*. *Phytopathology* 72(2):214–214 DOI 10.1094/Phyto-72-214.
- Mahanty T, Bhattacharjee S, Goswami M, Bhattacharyya P, Das B, Ghosh A, Tribedi P. 2017. Biofertilizers: a potential approach for sustainable agriculture development. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 24(4):3315–3335 DOI 10.1007/s11356-016-8104-0.
- McCormick M, Whigham D, Sloan D, O'Malley K. 2006. Orchid-fungus fidelity: a marriage meant to last? *Ecology* **87**:903–911 DOI 10.1890/0012-9658(2006)87[903:OFAMMT]2.0.CO;2.
- Mesterhazy A, Lemmens M, Reid LM. 2012. Breeding for resistance to ear rots caused by *Fusarium* spp. in maize–a review. *Plant Breeding* 131:1–19 DOI 10.1111/j.1439-0523.2011.01936.x.
- Meyer M, Hausbeck MK. 2013. Using soil-applied fungicides to manage phytophthora crown and root rot on summer squash. *Plant Disease* 97(1):107–112 DOI 10.1094/PDIS-12-11-1071-RE.
- Mittler R, Blumwald E. 2010. Genetic engineering for modern agriculture: challenges and perspectives. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **63**(1):443–462.
- Munro RC, Wilson J, Jefwa J, Mbuthia KW. 1999. A low-cost method of mycorrhizal inoculation improves growth of *Acacia tortilis* seedlings in the nursery. *Forest Ecology and Management* 113(1):51–56 DOI 10.1016/S0378-1127(98)00414-9.

- Murashige T, Skoog F. 1962. A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassay with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiologia Plantarum* 15(3):473–497 DOI 10.1111/j.1399-3054.1962.tb08052.x.
- Nemec S, Zablotowicz RM. 1981. Effect of soil temperature on root rot of rough lemon caused by *Fusarium solani*. *Mycopathologia* 76(3):185–190 DOI 10.1007/BF00437199.
- Ng TB, Liu J, Wong JH, Ye X, Sze SCW, Tong Y, Zhang KY. 2012. Review of research on *Dendrobium*, a prized folk medicine. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 93(5):5–1803.
- O'Brien PA. 2017. Biological control of plant diseases. *Australasian Plant Pathology* 46:293–304 DOI 10.1007/s13313-017-0481-4.
- **Ohashi-Kaneko K, Fujiwara K, Kimura Y, Kurata K. 2010.** Effect of blue-light PPFD percentage in red and blue LED low-light irradiation during storage on the contents of chlorophyll and rubisco in grafted tomato plug seedlings. *Environment Control in Biology* **44**:309–314.
- Otero JT, Ackerman JD, Bayman P. 2002. Diversity and host specificity of endophytic Rhizoctonia-like fungi from tropical orchids. *American Journal of Botany* 89(11):1852–1858 DOI 10.3732/ajb.89.11.1852.
- Pandey P, Sinha K.S. Mysore, R, Senthil-Kumar M. 2015. Impact of concurrent drought stress and pathogen infection on plants. In: Mahalingam R, ed. *Combined stresses in plants: physiological, molecular, and biochemical aspects*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 203–222.
- Plassard C, Becquer A, Garcia K. 2019. Phosphorus transport in mycorrhiza: how far are we? *Trends in Plant Science* 24(9):794–801 DOI 10.1016/j.tplants.2019.06.004.
- Rajasekaran S, Nagarajan SM, Arumugam K, Saravanamuthu R, Balamurugan S. 2006. Effect of dual inoculation (Rhizobium and AM fungi) on chlorophyll content of *Arachis hypogaea* L. CV. TMV-2. *Plant Archives* **6**(2):671–672.
- Raju P, Clark R, Ellis J, Maranville J. 1990. Effects of species of VA-mycorrhizal fungi on growth and mineral uptake of sorghum at different temperatures. *Plant and Soil* 121(2):165–170 DOI 10.1007/BF00012308.
- **Rapparini F, Peñuelas J. 2014.** Mycorrhizal fungi to alleviate drought stress on plant growth. In: Miransari M Miransari M, eds. *Use of microbes for the alleviation of soil stresses.* vol. 1. New York: Springer, 21–42 DOI 10.1007/978-1-4614-9466-9\_2.
- Santoro T, Casida LE. 1962. Elaboration of antibiotics by Boletus luteus and certain other mycorrhizal fungi. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* **8**(1):43–48 DOI 10.1139/m62-006.
- Sardrood BP., Goltapeh EM 2018. Effect of agricultural chemicals and organic amendments on biological control fungi. In: Lichtfouse E, ed. *Sustainable agriculture reviews*. Vol. 31. Cham: Springer, 217–359 DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-94232-2\_5.
- **Shahzad R, Khan AL, Bilal S, Asaf S, Lee I-J. 2018.** What is there in seeds? Vertically transmitted endophytic resources for sustainable improvement in plant growth. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **9**:24 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2018.00024.

- Singh LP, Gill SS, Tuteja N. 2011. Unraveling the role of fungal symbionts in plant abiotic stress tolerance. *Plant Signaling & Behavior* 6(2):175–191 DOI 10.4161/psb.6.2.14146.
- Singh JS, Pandey VC, Singh D. 2011. Efficient soil microorganisms: a new dimension for sustainable agriculture and environmental development. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 140(3-4):339–353 DOI 10.1016/j.agee.2011.01.017.
- Siti N, Swarts ND, Dixon KW, Hans L, Merritt DJ. 2013. Variation in nutrientacquisition patterns by mycorrhizal fungi of rare and common orchids explains diversification in a global biodiversity hotspot. *Annals of Botany* **6**:1233–1241.
- Smith SE, Facelli E, Pope S, Smith FA. 2010. Plant performance in stressful environments: interpreting new and established knowledge of the roles of arbuscular mycorrhizas. *Plant and Soil* 326(1-2):3–20 DOI 10.1007/s11104-009-9981-5.
- Srivastava S. 2014. Characterization and management of different *Fusarium* species associated with orchids cultivated in Hawai'i. *Dissertations & Theses Gradworks* 76(01):131.
- Şükran D, Güneş T, Sivaci R. 1998. Spectrophotometric determination of chlorophyll-A, B and total carotenoid contents of some algae species using different solvents. *Turkish Journal of Botany* 22:13–18.
- Sung G-H, Sung J-M, Hywel-Jones NL, Spatafora JW. 2007. A multi-gene phylogeny of *Clavicipitaceae (Ascomycota*, Fungi): identification of localized incongruence using a combinational bootstrap approach. *Molecular Phylogenetics & Evolution* 44(3):1204–1223 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2007.03.011.
- **Swett CS, Uchida JY. 2015.** Characterization of Fusarium diseases on commercially grown orchids in Hawaii. *Plant Pathology* **64**(**3**):648–654 DOI 10.1111/ppa.12290.
- Tang H, Zhao T, Sheng Y, Zheng T, Fu L, Zhang Y. 2017. Dendrobium officinale kimura et migo: a review on its ethnopharmacology, phytochemistry, pharmacology, and industrialization. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine 2017:7436259 DOI 10.1155/2017/7436259.
- **Tejesvi M, Nalini M, Mahesh B, Prakash H, Kini H, Shetty H, Subbiah V. 2007.** New hopes from endophytic fungal secondary metabolites. *Bol Soc Quím Méx* 1(1):19–26.
- **Toghueo RMK, Eke P, Zabalgogeazcoa Í, De Aldana BRV, Nana LW, Boyom FF. 2016.** Biocontrol and growth enhancement potential of two endophytic *Trichoderma* spp. from *Terminalia catappa* against the causative agent of Common Bean Root Rot (*Fusarium solani*). *Biological Control* **96**:8–20 DOI 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2016.01.008.
- **Vega FE. 2018.** The use of fungal entomopathogens as endophytes in biological control: a review. *Mycologia* **110**(**1**):4–30 DOI 10.1080/00275514.2017.1418578.
- Wanderley M, Neto JMWD, Filho J, Lima C, Porto A. 2017. Collagenolytic enzymes produced by fungi: a systematic review. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology* **48**(1):13–24 DOI 10.1016/j.bjm.2016.08.001.
- Wang RY, Gao B, Li XH, Ma J, Chen SL. 2014. First report of *Fusarium solani* causing *Fusarium* root rot and stem canker on storage roots of sweet potato in China. *Plant Disease* **98**(1):160–160.

- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J, White T, Lee SH, Taylor L, Shawetaylor J. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: *PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications*. New York: Academic Press, 315–322 DOI 10.0000/PMID1793.
- Wu J, Ma H, Xu X, Qiao N, Guo S, Liu F, Zhang D, Zhou L. 2013. Mycorrhizas alter nitrogen acquisition by the terrestrial orchid *Cymbidium goeringii*. *Annals of Botany* 6:1181–1187.
- Xiang L, Sze CS, Ng T, Tong Y, Shaw P, Tang CS, Zhang YK. 2013. Polysaccharides of *Dendrobium officinale* inhibit TNF-α-induced apoptosis in A-253 cell line. *Inflammation Research* 62(3):313–324 DOI 10.1007/s00011-012-0584-x.
- Xing X, Ma X, Deng Z, Chen J, Wu F, Guo S. 2013. Specificity and preference of mycorrhizal associations in two species of the genus *Dendrobium* (Orchidaceae). *Mycorrhiza* 23(4):317–324 DOI 10.1007/s00572-012-0473-8.
- Zaidi A, Khan MS, Ahemad M, Oves M, Wani P. 2009. Recent advances in plant growth promotion by phosphate-solubilizing microbes. In: Khan M, Zaidi A, Musarrat J, eds. *Microbial Strategies for Crop Improvement*. Berlin: Springer Verlag, 23–50 DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-01979-1\_2.
- Zhang Y, Lin B, Zou M, Liang J, Hu H. 2017. First report of *Fusarium* wilt of *Dendrobium officinale* caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* in China. *Plant Disease* 101(6):1039–1039.
- **Zhao Y, Xu L, Hua Y. 2017.** Effects of *Dendrobium officinale* polysaccharide on adipogenic differentiation of rat bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. *Food Science and Technology* **37**(**2**):303–307 DOI 10.1590/1678-457x.22716.
- Zhou H, Gao Q, Gong Q, Qiu S, He J, Huang J, He Y. 2017. First report of stem and root rot of the medicinal herb *Dendrobium officinale* (Orchidaceae) caused by *Ceratobasidium* sp. AG-R in Guangxi, China. *Plant Disease* 101(9):1679.
- Zi XM, Sheng CL, Goodale UM, Shao SC, Gao JY. 2014. In situ seed baiting to isolate germination-enhancing fungi for an epiphytic orchid, *Dendrobium aphyllum* (Orchidaceae). *Mycorrhiza* 24(7):487–499 DOI 10.1007/s00572-014-0565-8.