

# Detection of Synergistic Interaction on an Additive Scale Between Two Drugs on Abnormal Elevation of Serum Alanine Aminotransferase Using Machine-Learning Algorithms

Hayato Akimoto<sup>1</sup>, Takuya Nagashima<sup>1</sup>, Kimino Minagawa<sup>2</sup>, Takashi Hayakawa<sup>1</sup>, Yasuo Takahashi<sup>2</sup>\* and Satoshi Asai<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Pharmacology, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Nihon University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan, <sup>2</sup>Division of Genomic Epidemiology and Clinical Trials, Clinical Trials Research Center, Nihon University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Elena García-Martín, University of Extremadura, Spain

#### Reviewed by:

Jeff Woodhead, Simulations Plus, United States Augusto Garcia-Agundez, Brown University, United States

#### \*Correspondence:

Yasuo Takahashi takahashi.yasuo@nihon-u.ac.jp

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Pharmacoepidemiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

Received: 01 April 2022 Accepted: 21 June 2022 Published: 06 July 2022

#### Citation:

Akimoto H, Nagashima T, Minagawa K, Hayakawa T, Takahashi Y and Asai S (2022) Detection of Synergistic Interaction on an Additive Scale Between Two Drugs on Abnormal Elevation of Serum Alanine Aminotransferase Using Machine-Learning Algorithms. Front. Pharmacol. 13:910205. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.910205 Drug-induced liver injury (DILI) is a common adverse drug reaction, with abnormal elevation of serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT). Several clinical studies have investigated whether a combination of two drugs alters the reporting frequency of DILI using traditional statistical methods such as multiple logistic regression (MLR), but this model may over-fit the data. This study aimed to detect a synergistic interaction between two drugs on the risk of abnormal elevation of serum ALT in Japanese adult patients using three machine-learning algorithms: MLR, logistic least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression, and extreme gradient boosting (XGBoost) algorithms. A total of 58,413 patients were extracted from Nihon University School of Medicine's Clinical Data Warehouse and assigned to case (N = 4,152) and control (N = 54,261) groups. The MLR model over-fitted a training set. In the logistic LASSO regression model, three combinations showed relative excess risk due to interaction (RERI) for abnormal elevation of serum ALT: diclofenac and famotidine (RERI 2.427, 95% bootstrap confidence interval 1.226-11.003), acetaminophen and ambroxol (0.540, 0.087-4.625), and aspirin and cilostazol (0.188, 0.135-3.010). Moreover, diclofenac (adjusted odds ratio 1.319, 95% bootstrap confidence interval 1.189-2.821) and famotidine (1.643, 1.332-2.071) individually affected the risk of abnormal elevation of serum ALT. In the XGBoost model, not only the individual effects of diclofenac (feature importance 0.004) and famotidine (0.016), but also the interaction term (0.004) was included in important predictors. Although further study is needed, the combination of diclofenac and famotidine appears to increase the risk of abnormal elevation of serum ALT in the real world.

Keywords: machine-learning (ML) algorithms, liver function, drug induced liver injury (DILI), alanine aminotransferase, drug interaction, relative excess risk due to interaction (RERI), synergistic effect

# INTRODUCTION

Drug-induced liver injury (DILI) is a common adverse drug reaction and a cause of acute liver failure (Senior, 2007; Lee, 2013). Various clinical studies have been conducted worldwide to assess the effect of individual drugs and therapeutic drug classes on the risk of DILI (Sgro et al., 2002; Björnsson and Olsson, 2005; Takikawa et al., 2009; Chalasani et al., 2015; Akimoto et al., 2021). In particular, several retrospective studies regarded patients who met Hy's law as cases of hepatocellular DILI when assessing acute liver injury caused by potential hepatotoxic drugs (Robles-Diaz et al., 2014; Uetake et al., 2018; Shen et al., 2019). Hy's law cases are generally defined as patients who experienced increased serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) >3 × the upper limit of normal (ULN) and total bilirubin (TBL) >2 × ULN. However, Hy's law cases are very rare events, and all drugs that can cause Hy's law cases more frequently cause elevation of serum ALT >3 × ULN without appreciable elevation of TBL (Church and Watkins, 2018). For this reason, abnormal elevation of serum TBL is less likely to detect modest DILI. It is known that aspartate aminotransferase (AST) is less liver-specific than ALT, and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) is an indicator of cholestasis (Pratt and Kaplan, 2000). An isolated increase in serum AST or ALP is considered only as a biochemical abnormality rather than a sign of liver injury (Teschke et al., 2013). Thus, it is important to test for abnormal elevation of ALT when evaluating potential risk factors for modest-to-severe DILI.

In 2004, it was reported that using two or more potential hepatotoxic drugs increases the risk of acute liver failure by a factor of 6 compared to using one in the UK population (de Abajo et al., 2004). Following this study, several studies have investigated whether there are combinations of two drugs that alter the reporting frequency of DILI using a multiple logistic regression (MLR) model, which is one of the traditional statistical models (Suzuki et al., 2009; Suzuki et al., 2015; Yazici et al., 2015). Although a MLR model can evaluate associations between drugs and the risk of DILI with adjustment for covariates, the generated regression model may over-fit the data (Kim et al., 2018). Machine learning is an alternative analytical approach that can handle complex relationships between variables in very large data sets. Machine-learning algorithms have been used to predict DILI and are superior to traditional statistical models because they handle non-linearity and complex feature interaction (Cruz-Monteagudo et al., 2008; Ekins et al., 2010; Rodgers et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2011; Low et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2014). However, few studies have investigated the associations between a combination of two drugs and the risk of DILI. Hence, the aim of this study was to investigate whether there is a combination of two drugs that has combined effects on the risk of abnormal elevation of serum ALT using machine-learning algorithms.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Data Source

The present study was a population-based case-control study utilizing electronic medical records from the Nihon University School of Medicine's Clinical Data Warehouse (NUSM's CDW) between April 1, 2004 and July 1, 2021. NUSM's CDW is a centralized data repository that integrates separate databases, including patient demographics, diagnoses, and laboratory data, from the hospital information systems at three hospitals affiliated with the NUSM; Nihon University Itabashi Hospital, Nerima Hikarigaoka Hospital, and Surugadai Nihon University Hospital. To protect patient privacy, patient identifiers are replaced by anonymous identifiers in all databases of the CDW.

# **Study Subjects and Binary Outcome**

First, 122,285 Japanese patients who underwent liver function tests at least three times within 90 days and had serum ALT values within the normal range [4.0-44.0 units per liter (U/L)] on the first and second measurement days were extracted from NUSM's CDW. The latest measurement day was regarded as the index date. Among these patients, those whose ALT value reached  $>3 \times$ ULN [>132.0 U/L] on the index date were regarded as patients with abnormal elevation of serum ALT and were assigned to the case group (outcome = 1; N = 14,634). On the other hand, those whose ALT value was within the normal range on all measurement days including the index date were assigned to the control group (outcome = 0; N = 80,484). Patients who had an ALT value between >44.0 and ≤132.0 U/L on the index date were excluded because mild-to-moderate elevation of serum ALT also occurs in patients with other diseases including dyslipidemia, diabetes and metabolic syndrome (Clark et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2014). Next, among the patients in the case and control groups, patients who met the following exclusion criteria were excluded. The remaining 58,413 patients (the case group N = 4,152; the control group N = 54,261) were included in the development and testing of a prediction model derived from machine-learning algorithms (Supplementary Figure S1).

## **Excluded Patients**

- 1) Under 18 years old
- 2) Missing any of four liver function test values on both the first measurement day and index date: serum AST, TBL, ALP and ALT
- Pre-existing liver disease (International classification of disease 10 [ICD-10] codes are shown in Supplementary Table S1): infectious hepatitis such as viral hepatitis, alcoholic liver disease, nonalcoholic fatty acid disease, malignant neoplasm of liver, and other causes
- 4) Taken any hepatoprotectants: glycyrrhizic acid (Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical [ATC] fifth level: A05BA08), glutathione (V03AB32), lactulose (A06AD11), L-arginine glutamate (A05BA01), tiopronin (G04BX16), lactitol (A06AD12), rifaximin (A07AA11), ursodeoxycholic acid (A05AA02), liver hydrolysate (not applicable), or branched chain amino acid preparations (not applicable)

## Features

We obtained 1,375 features in the eligible patients: six demographic characteristics, medical history which included five diagnoses, use or non-use of 180 different drugs, 1,050 product terms of these drugs, and presence or absence of 134

different therapeutic classes. The demographic information was composed of age, sex, three hospitals (Itabashi, Hikarigaoka, and Surugadai, dummy variables), and the number of concomitant drugs. The number of concomitant drugs was the sum of drugs that were continued to be used at least until the index date irrespective of the start date. The medical history was composed of hypertension (ICD-10 codes; I10), diabetes (E10.X, E11.X, and E14.X), dyslipidemia (E78.0-E78.5), heart failure (I50.0, I50.1, and I50.9), and sepsis (A40.X and A41.X), which are known as risk factors for acute liver injury.

To detect departure from additivity in the association between a combination of two drugs and the risk of abnormal elevation of ALT, it is necessary to include a product term of the two drugs as well as the effects of the individual drugs in a dataset for machinelearning. In the eligible patients, 1,089 different drugs were newly started within 90 days before the index date and continued to be used at least until the index date. Continuation of drug use until the index date means that there is a prescription date before and after the index date (drugs A and B in Supplementary Figure S2), or date that added days of supply to the latest prescription date exceeds the index date (drugs C and D in Supplementary Figure S2). Among these drugs, 180 different drugs were used in combination with each other in ≥100 patients until the index date, and the number of combinations was 1,050. Use and nonuse of the 180 different drugs were treated as features. Regarding the combinations, 1,050 product terms (i.e., 1,050 two-way interaction terms) between the 180 drugs were calculated and treated as features. When the included product term is 1, it means that the two drugs were used in combination on the index date, whereas when it is 0, it means that one of the two drugs was used or neither of them was used on the index date. That is, the present study evaluated whether the 1,050 combinations of the 180 drugs had a synergistic interaction on the risk of abnormal elevation of ALT.

Of the 1,089 newly started drugs, the remaining 909 drugs were used until the index date, but were not frequently used in combination with each other (<100 patients). Since approximately 1 in 100 patients develops DILI during hospitalization (Meier et al., 2005), it is difficult to evaluate the association between these infrequent drugs and DILI. Hence, we classified these drugs into chemical subgroups using the fourth levels of the ATC classification published by WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology, and then the chemical subgroups were assigned to 134 therapeutic classes (**Supplementary Table S2**). The presence and absence of these 134 therapeutic classes were treated as features. Finally, a twodimensional dataset ( $58,413 \times 1,375$ ) for machine-learning was generated. Data imputation was not performed because all the observations in the dataset had no missing values.

# Construction of Machine-Learning Models and Model Evaluations

The dataset for machine-learning was randomly split into a training set for the development of algorithms (70%; N = 40,889) and a testing set for evaluation (30%; N = 17,524). To evaluate the effects of individual drugs and their product terms on

the risk of abnormal elevation of serum ALT, three machinelearning approaches were utilized in this study: MLR model and logistic least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression model, which are linear algorithms, and extreme gradient boosting (XGBoost) tree model, which is a tree-based algorithm. All machine-learning approaches were performed using R software (version 4.0.4; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

MLR was performed with the log odds for abnormal elevation of ALT as a binary dependent variable. The independent variables consisted of the 1,375 features; that is, the 1,372 features excluding the individual effects of the two drugs of interest and their product terms were regarded as covariates. The same dataset was also used in the logistic LASSO regression and XGBoost models. When constructing the logistic LASSO regression model, we ran 10-fold cross-validation to determine a lambda ( $\lambda$ ) minimizing the misclassification error rate for the training set and to avoid over-fitting to the training set using R "glmnet" package. The LASSO regularized regression equation was obtained using the optimized  $\lambda$  value (Supplementary Figure S3). The XGBoost model was constructed using R "xgboost" package. The hyperparameters of the XGBoost model are roughly divided into the following four parameters: general, booster, learning task, and command line parameters. Of these parameters, booster parameters were optimized by grid search. Finally, the XGBoost model with the optimized hyperparameters was constructed (Supplementary Table S3).

To assess the prediction performance of each algorithm, area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC; C statistic) was calculated and compared between the three algorithms using the DeLong Test. However, the dataset was strongly imbalanced since the ratio of patients in the control and case groups was approximately 93:7. When doing machinelearning with such an imbalanced dataset, it is important to evaluate the proportion of true positive cases among positive predictions (Saito and Rehmsmeier, 2015). Thus, sensitivity (recall), positive predictive value (PPV, precision), specificity, negative predictive value (NPV), F1-score, and area under the precision-recall curve (AUPR) were also calculated. R "pROC" and "PRROC" packages were used to calculate these metrics.

# Detection of Synergistic Interaction on an Additive Scale Between Two Drugs for Risk of Abnormal Elevation of ALT

The adjusted odds ratio (aOR) and corresponding 95% confidence interval (95%CI), which represent the association of a feature with the risk of an outcome, can be calculated from regression coefficients and their standard errors in the MLR model. However, as the MLR model over-fitted the training set, detection of synergistic interaction was not performed in the MLR model. In the logistic LASSO regression model, a point estimate for each feature is calculated, but its SE is not. Thus, 95%CI for aOR was estimated with a bootstrap percentile method (Jung et al., 2019). To obtain 95% bootstrap percentile CI (95%BootCI), 2,000 bootstrap samples, each of which was the same size as the training set, were generated by resampling with replacement from the training set. After a

#### TABLE 1 | Patient characteristics in case and control groups.

Characteristics	Case	Control	p value
	group ( <i>N</i> = 4,152)	group ( <i>N</i> = 54,261)	
Age (years), mean (SD)	63.8 (16.6)	57.0 (19.6)	<0.001
Male, n (%)	2,639 (63.6)	21,941 (40.4)	< 0.001
Number of concomitant drugs, median (IQR)	7.0 (4.0–10.0)	4.0 (2.0-6.0)	< 0.001
Hospital, n (%)			< 0.001
Itabashi	3,425 (82.5)	42,311 (78.0)	
Hikarigaoka	370 (8.9)	6,107 (11.3)	
Surugadai	357 (8.6)	5,843 (10.8)	
Medical history, n (%)			
Hypertension	1,203 (29.0)	9,399 (17.3)	< 0.001
Diabetes	1,412 (34.0)	15,035 (27.7)	< 0.001
Dyslipidemia	737 (17.8)	6,930 (12.8)	< 0.001
Heart failure	871 (21.0)	5,623 (10.4)	< 0.001
Sepsis	319 (7.7)	616 (1.1)	< 0.001
Liver function tests, median (IQR)			
ALT (U/L)	182.0 (150.0–276.2)	14.0 (11.0–20.0)	< 0.001
AST (U/L)	171.0 (105.0–342.0)	19.0 (15.0–23.0)	< 0.001
TBL (mg/dl)	0.8 (0.5–1.5)	0.5 (0.4–0.7)	< 0.001
ALP (U/L)	361.0 (239.0–618.0)	209.0 (165.0–264.0)	<0.001

Unpaired two-tailed Welch's t-test was performed for differences in age. Wilcoxon rank-sum test was performed for differences in number of concomitant drugs and liver function test values. Chi-squared test was performed for differences in categorical variables such as sex, hospital, and medical history. ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation; TBL, total bilirubin; U/L, units per liter.

parameter estimate was calculated from each bootstrap sample, 2,000 parameter estimates in all the bootstrap samples were sorted in ascending order. The interval between the 50th and 1950th quantile values of the 2,000 parameter estimates was regarded as the 95%BootCI.

Relative excess risk due to interaction (RERI) has been used to detect whether there are combined effects of two exposures on an outcome. RERI can be calculated by substituting the regression coefficients from the logistic LASSO regression model into the following formulae (1) and (2) (Knol et al., 2007). A RERI of 0 indicates no interaction on an additive scale.  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ , and  $\beta_3$ represent regression coefficients for drug 1, drug 2, and a product term of drugs 1 and 2, respectively. 95%CI for RERI was estimated as BootCI by substituting parameter estimates in all the bootstrap samples into these formulae.

 $\left(e^{\widehat{\beta}_1+\widehat{\beta}_2+\widehat{\beta}_3}-1\right)\neq \left(e^{\widehat{\beta}_1}-1\right)+\left(e^{\widehat{\beta}_2}-1\right)$ 

and

$$\mathbf{RERI} = \mathbf{e}^{\widehat{\beta}_1 + \widehat{\beta}_2 + \widehat{\beta}_3} - \mathbf{e}^{\widehat{\beta}_1} - \mathbf{e}^{\widehat{\beta}_2} + 1 \tag{2}$$

In the present study, combinations that had a product term with a lower limit of aOR 95%BootCI >1 and a lower limit of RERI 95%BootCI >0 were considered to have a positive synergy for the risk of abnormal elevation of ALT. Furthermore, feature importance was obtained to confirm whether these combinations that have a synergistic interaction on an additive scale could also be important predictors in the XGBoost model.

### Statistical Analysis

To compare the patient characteristics between the case and control groups and between the training and testing sets, unpaired 2-tailed Welch's t-test or Wilcoxon rank-sum test for continuous data and chi-squared test for categorical data were performed. The level of statistical significance was set at 5.0% for all statistical analyses. All statistical analyses were performed using R software.

## RESULTS

## **Patient Characteristics**

A total of 58,413 patients were extracted from NUSM's CDW and assigned to the case (N = 4,152) and control (N = 54,261) groups. Differences in patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. Mean age (standard deviation) in the case and control groups was 63.8 (16.6) and 57.0 (19.6) years, respectively. The male percentage and the number of concomitant drugs in the case group were higher than those in the control group. There were significant differences between the two groups in all patient characteristics (p < 0.001, respectively). On the other hand, patients in the case and control groups had similar characteristics between the training and testing sets (Supplementary Tables S4, S5).

## **Predictive Performance of** Machine-Learning Algorithms

Confusion matrices for three machine-learning models were shown in **Table 2**. Among the patients (N = 17,524) in the testing set, 1,236 patients who experienced abnormal elevation of serum ALT ("Actual: Cases" in Table 2). The XGBoost model had the highest number of these patients and the 966 patients were correctly classified into the case group. The XGBoost model (C statistic, 0.962; 95%CI, 0.958-0.965) had the highest AUROC in the training set (Figure 1A), followed by the MLR (0.902;

(1)

(2)

Actual: Cases	Actual: Controls
906	3,790
330	12,498
Actual: Cases	Actual: Controls
924	3,537
312	12,751
Actual: Cases	Actual: Controls
966	3,590
270	12,698
	Actual: Cases 906 330 Actual: Cases 924 312 Actual: Cases 966 270

(A) Multiple logistic regression model, (B) logistic least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression model, and (C) extreme gradient boosting (XGBoost) model. FN, false negative; FP, false positive; TN, true negative; TP, true positive.

0.896-0.908) and logistic LASSO regression models (0.871; 0.864-0.878), and AUROC was significantly different among the three algorithms (p < 0.001, respectively). However, in the testing set, AUROC in the logistic LASSO regression model (0.843; 0.830-0.854) exceeded that in the MLR model (0.814; (p < 0.001). Regarding AUPR, the XGBoost model (AUPR, 0.826) was also the highest among the three algorithms in the training set, followed by MLR (0.590) and logistic LASSO regression (0.508). However, similar to AUROC, the logistic LASSO regression model (0.424) had higher AUPR than the MLR model (0.395) in the testing set. Other predictive performance metrics for the testing set are shown in Table 3. The XGBoost model had the best performance among the three algorithms, with sensitivity of 78.2%, PPV of 21.2%, specificity of 78.0%, NPV of 97.9%, and F1-score of 0.334. After this model, the logistic LASSO regression model had sensitivity of 74.8%, PPV of 20.7%, specificity of 78.3%, NPV of 97.6%, and F1-score of 0.324.

The magnitude of regression coefficients in each linear algorithm is shown in **Supplementary Table S6**. The estimated coefficients in the MLR model were very large compared with those in the logistic LASSO regression model. In addition, the MLR model showed poorly-calibrated prediction because the calibration curve was far from the diagonal plot, when compared to the other algorithms (**Supplementary Figure S4**). Hence, the MLR model over-fitted the training set due to the large regression coefficients, poorly-calibrated prediction, and lowest evaluation metrics for the testing set.

# Detection of Synergistic Interaction on Risk of Abnormal Elevation of ALT in Logistic LASSO Regression

Among the 1,050 combinations, product terms in three combinations of six drugs were significantly associated with increased risk of abnormal elevation of ALT in the logistic LASSO regression equation: diclofenac\*famotidine (aOR, 2.026; 95%BootCI, 1.160-4.317), acetaminophen\*ambroxol (1.540;1.075-4.829), and aspirin\*cilostazol (1.232;1.143-8.138). The associations of these three product terms and six individual drugs with abnormal elevation of ALT are shown in Figure 2. For the combination of diclofenac and famotidine, a significantly increased risk of abnormal elevation of ALT was observed for each of diclofenac (aOR, 1.319; 95% BootCI, 1.189-2.821) and famotidine (1.643; 1.332-2.071) as well as the product term. On the other hand, for the remaining two combinations, there was no significant association between the risk of abnormal elevation of ALT and each of the four individual drugs: acetaminophen (aOR, 1.000; 95%BootCI, 1.000-1.518), ambroxol (1.000; 0.702-1.258), aspirin (0.825; 0.483-1.000), and cilostazol (0.815; 0.379-1.000).

The combined effect between these two drugs on the risk of abnormal elevation of ALT is shown in **Figure 3**. The synergistic interaction on an additive scale between diclofenac and famotidine was the greatest among the three combinations and was statistically significant (RERI, 2.427; 95%BootCI, 1.226–11.003). RERI on an additive scale of 2.427 means that the combined effect of diclofenac and famotidine is 2.427 more than the sum of the individual effects (**Figure 3B**). Although there was no association of the individual effect of acetaminophen and ambroxol with the risk of abnormal elevation of ALT, the synergistic interaction was statistically significant (RERI, 0.540; 95%BootCI, 0.087–4.625). The synergistic interaction between aspirin and cilostazol was also significant (RERI, 0.188; 95%BootCI, 0.135–3.010). However, aOR for abnormal elevation of ALT in each of

TABLE 3   Prediction performance of each machine learning algorithm.				
	Machine-learning models			
Evaluation metrics	MLR	Logistic LASSO regression	XGBoost	
Sensitivity (recall), %	73.3	74.8	78.2	
PPV (precision), %	19.3	20.7	21.2	
Specificity, %	76.7	78.3	78.0	
NPV, %	97.4	97.6	97.9	
F1-score	0.305	0.324	0.334	
AUPR	0.395	0.424	0.448	
AUROC [95%CI]	0.814 [0.798–0.828]	0.843 [0.830–0.854]	0.858 [0.846–0.868]	

AUPR, area under the precision-recall curve; AUROC, area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; Cl, confidence interval; LASSO, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator; MLR, multiple logistic regression; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value; XGBoost, extreme gradient boosting.



FIGURE 1 | Comparison of predictive performance of each machine-learning algorithm between training and testing sets. (A) Area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC, C statistic) in training set and (B) testing set. (C) Area under precision-recall curve (AUPR) in training set and (D) testing set. AUC, area under the curve; LASSO, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator; MLR, multiple logistic regression; ROC, receiver operating characteristic curve; XGBoost, extreme gradient boosting.

aspirin and cilostazol tended to be lower than 1.000, and aOR when these two drugs were concomitantly used  $(e^{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3}$ =0.828) was similar to the individual effect of these drugs such as  $e^{\beta_1}$  and  $e^{\beta_2}$  (**Figure 3A**). Therefore, the synergistic interaction between the two drugs did not affect the increased risk of abnormal elevation of ALT. RERI and the corresponding 95%BootCI in all 1,050 product terms is shown in **Supplementary Table S7**.

# Feature Importance for Prediction in Gradient Boosted Decision Tree Algorithm

Among all the 1,375 features, the top 50 important features in the trained XGBoost model are shown in **Figure 4**. The number of concomitant drugs, age, use of fentanyl, sex (male), and carbapenems as a therapeutic class were detected as the most important predictors, with importance scores of 0.129, 0.118, 0.055, 0.035, and 0.020. In the combination of diclofenac and famotidine, the importance scores for the individual effects and their product term were all included in the top 50 features:

diclofenac, 0.004; famotidine, 0.016; the product term, 0.004. Meanwhile the product terms of the other two combinations were not included in the top 50 important predictors.

# DISCUSSION

In the present study, machine-learning models were developed to detect the combined effect of two drugs on the risk of abnormal elevation of serum ALT using electronic medical records. While the MLR model had high C statistics (>0.90) in the training data, this model showed all the lowest evaluation metrics in the testing data. The logistic LASSO regression and XGBoost models showed good prediction performance for the true positive patients among the positive predictions in the testing data.

In the logistic LASSO regression model, three combinations had significant synergistic interactions on the increased risk of abnormal elevation of ALT. Especially, the combined effect of diclofenac and famotidine was most strongly associated with the risk. In the XGBoost model, although individual effects and the

126 131 188 3967		Estimate Median [95%BootCl 2.026 2.306 [1.160, 4.317] 1.540 2.258 [1.075, 4.829] 1.232 3.046 [1.143, 8.138] 1.643 1.669 [1.332, 2.071]
126 131 188 3967		2.026 2.306 [1.160, 4.317] 1.540 2.258 [1.075, 4.829] 1.232 3.046 [1.143, 8.138] 1.643 1.669 [1.332, 2.071]
131 188 3967	•	1.540 2.258 [1.075, 4.829] 1.232 3.046 [1.143, 8.138] 1.643 1.669 [1.332, 2.071]
188 3967	•	1.232 3.046 [1.143, 8.138] 1.643 1.669 [1.332, 2.071]
3967	•	1.643 1.669 [1.332, 2.071]
3967	•	1.643 1.669 [1.332, 2.071]
2361	•	1.319 1.883 [1.189, 2.821]
5711	•	1.000 1.142 [1.000, 1.518]
1458	_	1.000 1.000 [0.702, 1.258]
3926	<b>.</b>	0.825 0.754 [0.483, 1.000]
668	-	0.815 0.756 [0.379, 1.000]
	1458 3926 668	

FIGURE 2 | Effects of individual drugs and their product terms on risk of abnormal elevation of ALT in logistic least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression model. Three product terms had a lower limit of adjusted odds ratio 95%BootCl >1. Blue and red circles represent estimated adjusted odds ratio in original training set and median of adjusted odds ratio in 2,000 bootstrap replicates, respectively. Red horizon indicates adjusted odds ratio 95%BootCl. 95%BootCl, 95% bootstrap percentile confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

product term of these drugs were relatively important predictors compared to the other drugs and product terms, these effects were not very significant. According to the Drug Induced Liver Injury Rank (DILIrank) dataset published by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, diclofenac and famotidine are regarded as DILI concern drugs, and diclofenac is particularly included in the verified Most-DILI-Concern drug category. Drugs in this category have high DILI risk and have been verified as causal drugs for DILI using a standardized clinical causality assessment system such as the Roussel Uclaf Causality Assessment Method (Chen et al., 2016; U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2020). In Japan, diclofenac is contraindicated in patients with severe hepatic impairment, and was significantly associated with increased risk of abnormal elevation of ALT in the present study. According to the National Database of Health Insurance Claims and Specific Health Checkups of Japan between April 2018 and March 2020, among non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, diclofenac is commonly prescribed to outpatients, following loxoprofen, celecoxib, and acetaminophen (Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare in Japan, 2021). Famotidine is classified in the verified Less-DILI-Concern drug category. Drugs in this category have been verified as causal drugs

for DILI, and DILI is described in warnings and precautions on the package insert. In fact, famotidine was significantly associated with the risk of abnormal elevation of ALT in this study. As mentioned above, the association of diclofenac and famotidine with the risk of abnormal elevation of ALT supports the information from regulatory agencies in the U.S. and Japan, and a synergistic interaction between these drugs was detected in this study. Simultaneous administration of two drugs that are associated with an increased risk of an adverse event further increases the risk of that adverse event (i.e., synergistic interaction) (Cascorbi, 2012). In the present study, diclofenac and famotidine individually increased the risk of abnormal elevation of ALT, and a synergistic interaction between these drugs was detected. Thus, although further study is needed, it may be necessary to pay attention to liver function when diclofenac and famotidine are used together.

Acetaminophen is one of the verified Most-DILI-Concern drugs in the DILIrank, and acetaminophen-induced liver injury is described in the warning section on the package insert in Japan; that is, acetaminophen is a causal drug for DILI. However, there was no association between the individual effect of this drug and the risk of abnormal



and famotione ( $e^{\beta_2} - 1$ ), respectively. Orange bar indicates relative excess risk due to interaction. **(C)** Relative excess risk due to a combination of acetaminophen and ambroxol. The aOR for the combination of aspirin and cilostazol ( $e^{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3} = 0.828$ ) was similar to the individual effects of aspirin (aOR, 0.825) and cilostazol (aOR, 0.815). 95%BootCl indicates 95% bootstrap percentile confidence interval.

elevation of ALT in the logistic LASSO regression model. Acetaminophen is a known hepatotoxin causing intrinsic DILI, which is related to increasing dose (Ezhilarasan and Raghunandhakumar, 2021). It is proposed that the safe dose of acetaminophen to avoid liver injury is  $\leq 4 \text{ g/day}$  for an adult (Jaeschke, 2015), and the maximum approved dose of acetaminophen is 4 g/day in Japan. In addition, it is suggested that the dose of acetaminophen is not a risk factor for acetaminophen-induced liver injury in Japanese patients (Kumagai et al., 2016; Hidaka et al., 2020). For these reasons, administration of acetaminophen within the approved dose range is unlikely to affect the risk of intrinsic DILI in the real world. Ambroxol, an expectorant drug, was not associated with the risk of abnormal elevation of ALT in this study. Many in vivo studies have shown that ambroxol decreases serum inflammatory cytokines and liver transaminases in rodent models of liver injury due to its antioxidative and anti-inflammatory properties (Piotrowski et al., 1996; Jiang et al., 2013; Khoury et al., 2020). Meanwhile, few clinical studies have investigated the effect of ambroxol on the risk of DILI. According to the results of clinical trials and postmarketing surveillance described in the package insert, none of the patients experienced abnormal elevation of liver enzymes due to ambroxol. In the present

study, although these two drugs did not individually affect the risk of abnormal elevation of ALT (aOR = 1.000, respectively), the combined effect increased the risk due to the existence of a synergistic interaction (aOR = 1.540). Thus, it is probably necessary to monitor patients who start taking acetaminophen and ambroxol, because aOR for the combined use of these drugs was larger than that for the individual drugs.

Although aspirin is one of the verified Less-DILI-Concern drugs, aspirin-induced liver injury in adults is not as well documented as Reve's syndrome in children. Administration of aspirin to infants with viral infections such as influenza, cold, or chicken pox rarely causes Reye's syndrome, which is defined as acute noninflammatory encephalopathy with fatty liver failure. Aspirin is an intrinsic hepatotoxin and this hepatotoxicity is supported by pre-clinical studies (Tolman, 1998). However, few clinical studies have evaluated the risk of liver injury due to aspirin in the adult population. Furthermore, in a case report, it was reported that aspirin rechallenge in an adult patient who had experienced Reye's syndrome during his childhood did not change liver function test values (Magrum and Pickworth, 2020). For these reasons, aspirin is unlikely to increase the risk of DILI in the adult population. Cilostazol is classified into the verified

Feature	
Number of concomitant drugs	0.129
Age	0.118
Fentanyl	0.055
Sex (male)	0.035
Carbapenems [TC]	0.020
Famotidine	0.016
Phenytoin	0.013
Sepsis [MH]	0.013
Heparin sodium	0.013
Insulin (human)	0.011
Hypertension [MH]	0.010
Heart failure [MH]	0.010
Diabetes [MH]	0.010
Itopride	0.009
Tranexamic acid	0.008
Aspirin	0.008
Amlodipine	0.007
Dyslipidemia [MH]	0.007
Tazobactam/piperacillin	0.007
Acetaminophen	0.007
Loxoprofen	0.007
Celecoxib	0.007
Heparin sodium * Furosemide	0.006
Itabashi [HOS]	0.006
Magnesium oxide	0.006
Lansoprazole	0.006
Sulbactam/ampicillin	0.005
Cefmetazole	0.005
Teprenone	0.005
Adrenergic and dopaminergic agents [TC]	0.005
Dexamethasone sodium phosphate	0.005
Furosemide	0.005
Ambroxol	0.005
Nifedipine	0.005
Rebamipide	0.004
Diclofenac	0.004
Glycopeptides [TC]	0.004
L-carbocisteine	0.004
Alfacalcidol	0.004
Benzodiazepines [TC]	0.004
Diclofenac * Famotidine	0.004
Sennoside	0.004
Hikarigaoka [HOS]	0.003
Surugadai [HOS]	0.003
Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP-4) inhibitors [TC]	0.003
Ranitidine	0.003
Picosultate	
Cetazolin	
Esomeprazole	
	0 0.02 0.04 0.06 0.08 0.1 0.12 0.14 0.16 0.18 0.2 0.22
	Feature importance (%)

FIGURE 4] Top 50 important features for prediction of abnormal elevation of alanine aminotransferase in extreme gradient boosting (XGBoost) tree model. The first column includes the top 50 important features of all the features that were actually used in the XGBoost tree model. Feature importance (Gain) score indicates how important each feature was in the construction of boosted decision trees within the XGBoost model. A higher value of this metric when compared to another feature suggests that it is relatively more important for construction of a predictive model. The sum of all importance scores included in a trained XGBoost tree model is 1. The symbol \* indicates a product term of two drugs. HOS, hospital; MH, medical history; TC, therapeutic class.

Ambiguous-DILI-Concern drug category. Drugs in this category have a description of DILI in a boxed warning or warnings and precautions on the package insert, but have not been verified as the causal drug for DILI (Chen et al., 2016). Additionally, cilostazol has a protective effect on liver injury induced by ischemia-reperfusion or tioacetamide, which is a hepatotoxin (Joe et al., 2015; Fujii et al., 2017). Therefore, these drugs do not individually affect the risk of abnormal elevation of ALT. A synergistic interaction between these drugs on the risk of abnormal elevation of ALT was detected. However, as mentioned in the Results section, the risk of abnormal elevation of ALT due to concomitant use of these drugs was similar to the individual effect. Thus, concomitant use of aspirin and cilostazol is unlikely to increase the risk of abnormal elevation of ALT.

In conclusion, we developed machine-learning models that predicted an abnormal increase of serum ALT more accurately than did traditional statistical models such as MLR. Furthermore, not only do diclofenac and famotidine individually affect the risk of abnormal elevation of serum ALT, but the combination of these drugs can further increase that risk.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# REFERENCES

- Akimoto, H., Nagashima, T., Minagawa, K., Hayakawa, T., Takahashi, Y., and Asai, S. (2021). Signal Detection of Potential Hepatotoxic Drugs: Case-Control Study Using Both a Spontaneous Reporting System and Electronic Medical Records. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 44, 1514–1523. doi:10.1248/bpb.b21-00407
- Björnsson, E., and Olsson, R. (2005). Outcome and Prognostic Markers in Severe Drug-Induced Liver Disease. *Hepatology* 42, 481–489. doi:10.1002/hep.20800
- Cascorbi, I. (2012). Drug Interactions-Pprinciples, Examples and Clinical Consequences. Dtsch. Arztebl. Int. 109, 546–556. doi:10.3238/arztebl.2012.0546
- Chalasani, N., Bonkovsky, H. L., Fontana, R., Lee, W., Stolz, A., Talwalkar, J., et al. (2015). Features and Outcomes of 899 Patients with Drug-Induced Liver Injury: The DILIN Prospective Study. *Gastroenterology* 148, 1340–e7. doi:10.1053/j. gastro.2015.03.006
- Chen, M., Suzuki, A., Thakkar, S., Yu, K., Hu, C., and Tong, W. (2016). DILIrank: the Largest Reference Drug List Ranked by the Risk for Developing Drug-Induced Liver Injury in Humans. *Drug Discov. Today* 21, 648–653. doi:10.1016/ j.drudis.2016.02.015
- Church, R. J., and Watkins, P. B. (2018). In Silico modeling to Optimize Interpretation of Liver Safety Biomarkers in Clinical Trials. *Exp. Biol. Med.* (*Maywood*) 243, 300–307. doi:10.1177/1535370217740853
- Clark, J. M., Brancati, F. L., and Diehl, A. M. (2003). The Prevalence and Etiology of Elevated Aminotransferase Levels in the United States. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 98, 960–967. doi:10.1111/j.1572-0241.2003.07486.x
- Cruz-Monteagudo, M., Cordeiro, M. N., and Borges, F. (2008). Computational Chemistry Approach for the Early Detection of Drug-Induced Idiosyncratic Liver Toxicity. J. Comput. Chem. 29, 533–549. doi:10.1002/jcc.20812
- de Abajo, F. J., Montero, D., Madurga, M., and García Rodríguez, L. A. (2004). Acute and Clinically Relevant Drug-Induced Liver Injury: A Population Based Case-Control Study. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 58, 71–80. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2125.2004.02133.x
- Ekins, S., Williams, A. J., and Xu, J. J. (2010). A Predictive Ligand-Based Bayesian Model for Human Drug-Induced Liver Injury. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 38, 2302–2308. doi:10.1124/dmd.110.035113

# **ETHICS STATEMENT**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by The Ethics Committee of the Nihon University School of Medicine (approval number: P20-20-0). Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

HA, YT, and SA wrote the manuscript; HA, TN, and KM designed and performed the research; and HA, TN, TH, and YT analysed the data.

# FUNDING

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP22K15347.

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2022.910205/full#supplementary-material

- Ezhilarasan, D., and Raghunandhakumar, S. (2021). Boldine Treatment Protects Acetaminophen-induced Liver Inflammation and Acute Hepatic Necrosis in Mice. J. Biochem. Mol. Toxicol. 35, e22697. doi:10.1002/jbt.22697
- Fujii, T., Obara, H., Matsubara, K., Fujimura, N., Yagi, H., Hibi, T., et al. (2017). Oral Administration of Cilostazol Improves Survival Rate after Rat Liver Ischemia/reperfusion Injury. J. Surg. Res. 213, 207–214. doi:10.1016/j.jss. 2017.02.020
- Hidaka, N., Kaji, Y., Takatori, S., Tanaka, A., Matsuoka, I., and Tanaka, M. (2020). Risk Factors for Acetaminophen-Induced Liver Injury: A Single-Center Study from Japan. *Clin. Ther.* 42, 704–710. doi:10.1016/j.clinthera.2020.02.003
- Jaeschke, H. (2015). Acetaminophen: Dose-dependent Drug Hepatotoxicity and Acute Liver Failure in Patients. *Dig. Dis.* 33, 464–471. doi:10.1159/000374090
- Jiang, K., Wang, X., Mao, X., Lao, H., Zhang, J., Wang, G., et al. (2013). Ambroxol Alleviates Hepatic Ischemia Reperfusion Injury by Antioxidant and Antiapoptotic Pathways. *Transpl. Proc.* 45, 2439–2445. doi:10.1016/j. transproceed.2013.04.007
- Joe, Y., Zheng, M., Kim, H. J., Uddin, M. J., Kim, S. K., Chen, Y., et al. (2015). Cilostazol Attenuates Murine Hepatic Ischemia and Reperfusion Injury via Heme Oxygenase-dependent Activation of Mitochondrial Biogenesis. Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol. 309, G21–G29. doi:10.1152/ajpgi. 00307.2014
- Jung, K., Lee, J., Gupta, V., and Cho, G. (2019). Comparison of Bootstrap Confidence Interval Methods for GSCA Using a Monte Carlo Simulation. *Front. Psychol.* 10, 2215. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02215
- Khoury, T., Ishay, Y., Rotnemer-Golinkin, D., Zolotarovya, L., Arkadir, D., Zimran, A., et al. (2020). A Synergistic Effect of Ambroxol and Beta-Glucosylceramide in Alleviating Immune-Mediated Hepatitis: A Novel Immunomodulatory Nonimmunosuppressive Formulation for Treatment of Immune-Mediated Disorders. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 132, 110890. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2020. 110890
- Kim, S. M., Kim, Y., Jeong, K., Jeong, H., and Kim, J. (2018). Logistic LASSO Regression for the Diagnosis of Breast Cancer Using Clinical Demographic Data and the BI-RADS Lexicon for Ultrasonography. *Ultrasonography* 37, 36–42. doi:10.14366/usg.16045

- Knol, M. J., van der Tweel, I., Grobbee, D. E., Numans, M. E., and Geerlings, M. I. (2007). Estimating Interaction on an Additive Scale between Continuous Determinants in a Logistic Regression Model. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 36, 1111–1118. doi:10.1093/ije/dym157
- Kumagai, Y., Tanaka, R., Song, I., and Sakamoto, Y. (2016). Analysis of Data from Special Drug Use Surveillance on Elevation of Liver Function Tests in Japanese Patients Administered High Dose Acetaminophen. *Jpn. J. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 47, 31–37. doi:10.3999/jscpt.47.31
- Lee, W. M. (2013). Drug-induced Acute Liver Failure. *Clin. Liver Dis.* 17, 575–viii. doi:10.1016/j.cld.2013.07.001
- Liu, Z., Que, S., Xu, J., and Peng, T. (2014). Alanine Aminotransferase-Old Biomarker and New Concept: a Review. Int. J. Med. Sci. 11, 925–935. doi:10.7150/ijms.8951
- Liu, Z., Shi, Q., Ding, D., Kelly, R., Fang, H., and Tong, W. (2011). Translating Clinical Findings into Knowledge in Drug Safety Evaluation-Ddrug Induced Liver Injury Prediction System (DILIps). *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 7, e1002310. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002310
- Low, Y., Uehara, T., Minowa, Y., Yamada, H., Ohno, Y., Urushidani, T., et al. (2011). Predicting Drug-Induced Hepatotoxicity Using QSAR and Toxicogenomics Approaches. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 24, 1251–1262. doi:10. 1021/tx200148a
- Magrum, B. G., and Pickworth, K. K. (2020). Aspirin Rechallenge in an Adult Patient Previously Diagnosed with Reye Syndrome. *Am. J. Health Syst. Pharm.* 77, 123–127. doi:10.1093/ajhp/zxz276
- Meier, Y., Cavallaro, M., Roos, M., Pauli-Magnus, C., Folkers, G., Meier, P. J., et al. (2005). Incidence of Drug-Induced Liver Injury in Medical Inpatients. *Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 61, 135–143. doi:10.1007/s00228-004-0888-z
- Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare in Japan (2021). The National Database of Health Insurance Claims and Specific Health Checkups of Japan. https://www. mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/0000177182.html (Accessed January 14, 2022).
- Piotrowski, W. J., Pietras, T., Kurmanowska, Z., Nowak, D., Marczak, J., Marks-Kończalik, J., et al. (1996). Effect of Paraquat Intoxication and Ambroxol Treatment on Hydrogen Peroxide Production and Lipid Peroxidation in Selected Organs of Rat. J. Appl. Toxicol. 16, 501–507. doi:10.1002/(SICI) 1099-1263(199611)16:6<501::AID-JAT379>3.0.CO;2-Z
- Pratt, D. S., and Kaplan, M. M. (2000). Evaluation of Abnormal Liver-Enzyme Results in Asymptomatic Patients. N. Engl. J. Med. 342, 1266–1271. doi:10. 1056/NEJM200004273421707
- Robles-Diaz, M., Lucena, M. I., Kaplowitz, N., Stephens, C., Medina-Cáliz, I., González-Jimenez, A., et al. (2014). Use of Hy's Law and a New Composite Algorithm to Predict Acute Liver Failure in Patients with Drug-Induced Liver Injury. *Gastroenterology* 147, 109–e5. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2014.03.050
- Rodgers, A. D., Zhu, H., Fourches, D., Rusyn, I., and Tropsha, A. (2010). Modeling Liver-Related Adverse Effects of Drugs Using Knearest Neighbor Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship Method. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 23, 724–732. doi:10.1021/tx900451r
- Saito, T., and Rehmsmeier, M. (2015). The Precision-Recall Plot Is More Informative Than the ROC Plot when Evaluating Binary Classifiers on Imbalanced Datasets. *PLoS One* 10, e0118432. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118432
- Senior, J. R. (2007). Drug Hepatotoxicity from a Regulatory Perspective. Clin. Liver Dis. 11, 507–vi. doi:10.1016/j.cld.2007.06.002

- Sgro, C., Clinard, F., Ouazir, K., Chanay, H., Allard, C., Guilleminet, C., et al. (2002). Incidence of Drug-Induced Hepatic Injuries: a French Population-Based Study. *Hepatology* 36, 451–455. doi:10.1053/jhep.2002.34857
- Shen, T., Liu, Y., Shang, J., Xie, Q., Li, J., Yan, M., et al. (2019). Incidence and Etiology of Drug-Induced Liver Injury in Mainland China. *Gastroenterology* 156, 2230–e11. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2019.02.002
- Suzuki, A., Yuen, N., Walsh, J., Papay, J., Hunt, C. M., and Diehl, A. M. (2009). Comedications that Modulate Liver Injury and Repair Influence Clinical Outcome of Acetaminophen-Associated Liver Injury. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 7, 882–888. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2009.03.034
- Suzuki, A., Yuen, N. A., Ilic, K., Miller, R. T., Reese, M. J., Brown, H. R., et al. (2015). Comedications Alter Drug-Induced Liver Injury Reporting Frequency: Data Mining in the WHO VigiBase<sup>TM</sup>. *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 72, 481–490. doi:10.1016/j.yrtph.2015.05.004
- Takikawa, H., Murata, Y., Horiike, N., Fukui, H., and Onji, M. (2009). Druginduced Liver Injury in Japan: An Analysis of 1676 Cases between 1997 and 2006. *Hepatol. Res.* 39, 427–431. doi:10.1111/j.1872-034X.2008.00486.x
- Teschke, R., Eickhoff, A., and Schulze, J. (2013). Drug- and Herb-Induced Liver Injury in Clinical and Translational Hepatology: Causality Assessment Methods, Quo Vadis? J. Clin. Transl. Hepatol. 1, 59–74. doi:10.14218/JCTH.2013.D002X
- Tolman, K. G. (1998). Hepatotoxicity of Non-narcotic Analgesics. Am. J. Med. 105, 13S–19S. doi:10.1016/s0002-9343(98)00070-9
- Uetake, H., Sugihara, K., Muro, K., Sunaya, T., Horiuchi-Yamamoto, Y., and Takikawa, H. (2018). Clinical Features of Regorafenib-Induced Liver Injury in Japanese Patients from Postmarketing Experience. *Clin. Colorectal Cancer* 17, e49–e58. doi:10.1016/j.clcc.2017.09.004
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration (2020). Drug Induced Liver Injury Rank (DILJrank) Dataset. https://www.fda.gov/science-research/liver-toxicity-knowledge-base-ltkb/ drug-induced-liver-injury-rank-dilirank-dataset (Accessed January 11, 2022).
- Yazici, C., Mutlu, E., Bonkovsky, H. L., and Russo, M. W. (2015). Risk Factors for Severe or Fatal Drug-Induced Liver Injury from Amoxicillin-Clavulanic Acid. *Hepatol. Res.* 45, 676–682. doi:10.1111/hepr.12410
- Zhu, X. W., Sedykh, A., and Liu, S. S. (2014). Hybrid In Silico Models for Drug-Induced Liver Injury Using Chemical Descriptors and In Vitro Cell-Imaging Information. J. Appl. Toxicol. 34, 281–288. doi:10.1002/jat.2879

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Akimoto, Nagashima, Minagawa, Hayakawa, Takahashi and Asai. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.