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#### Abstract

Glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK3) is a genetically validated drug target for human African trypanosomiasis (HAT), also called African sleeping sickness. We report the synthesis and biological evaluation of aminopyrazole derivatives as Trypanosoma brucei GSK3 short inhibitors. Low nanomolar inhibitors, which had high selectivity over the offtarget human CDK2 and good selectivity over human GSK3 $\beta$ enzyme, have been prepared. These potent kinase inhibitors demonstrated low micromolar levels of inhibition of the Trypanosoma brucei brucei parasite grown in culture.




## INTRODUCTION

Human African trypanosomiasis (HAT) or African sleeping sickness is a serious life threatening disease. ${ }^{1}$ Around 60 million people in 36 African countries are currently in constant threat of infection. Although the reported number of cases has dropped over recent years, the actual number of unreported cases is estimated to be around $70000-80000 .{ }^{2}$ HAT is caused by infection with Trypanosoma brucei, a vector-borne parasite, which is transmitted by the bite of tsetse flies. The symptoms of the disease occur in two main stages. In the first stage, known as the hemolymphatic phase, the parasites multiply in blood, subcutaneous tissues, and lymph, causing headaches, fever, itching, joint pains, and swelling of lymph nodes. In the second stage, or neurological phase, the trypanosomes cross the blood-brain barrier and invade the central nervous system. This phase entails confusion, change of behavior, reduced coordination, sensory disturbances, disturbance of sleep cycle, and finally death. Most available drugs for HAT display severe toxic side effects, require long periods of administration, and/or are expensive due to the logistics to reach rural African areas. ${ }^{3}$ Further, resistance to all in use drugs has been observed in the laboratory and/or in the field, ${ }^{4}$ resulting in an urgent requirement for better, safer, and inexpensive therapeutic alternatives to the current treatments.

Genetic knockdown studies have identified several proteins that are essential for the survival of the parasite, including members of the protein kinase (PK) family. ${ }^{5-8}$ In Trypanosoma brucei PKs are essential in many fundamental cellular processes, e.g., proliferation, differentiation, and cell cycle control, and can
therefore be considered as potential drug targets for the treatment of HAT. ${ }^{7,9-12}$

In the T. brucei genome there are two kinases that are highly homologous to human glycogen synthase kinase 3 (HsGSK3): TbGSK3 short and TbGSK3 long. ${ }^{13}$ RNA interference (RNAi) knockdown of TbGSK3 has shown that TbGSK3 short is critical for cell growth, with a role in the control of mitosis and/ or cytokinesis. ${ }^{7} 13$

The ability to selectively inhibit TbGSK3 over the off-target HsGSK3 is highly desirable because mouse knockout studies revealed that the disruption of the murine GSK3 $\beta$ gene causes embryonic lethality; consequently, nonselective inhibitors are not applicable for use in infants and women of child bearing age. ${ }^{14,15}$
From a homology perspective, TbGSK3 is not only very closely related to $H s G S K 3 \beta$ but also to other human PKs such as cyclin dependent kinase 1 (HsCDK1) and cyclin dependent kinase 2 (HsCDK2). ${ }^{16} \mathrm{HsCDK} 2$ and HsCDK 1 are essential for G1/G2 progression and S/M-phase entry of the cell cycle. Offtarget inhibition of these human kinases will therefore result in cell cycle arrest and reduction of cellular proliferation and as such potentially lead to severe side effects.

Over the past decade, various groups and pharmaceutical companies have identified multiple series of HsGSK3 $\beta$ inhibitors. ${ }^{16,17}$ Recently, Astex Therapeutics and researchers at the University of Osaka have developed a series of aminopyrazoles that are potent inhibitors of HsGSK $3 \beta{ }^{18-20}$

[^0]Co-crystal structures of this series with $H s G S K 3 \beta$ are not available to date; however, complex structures with the closely related $H s C D K 2$ have been determined. ${ }^{19}$ In all structures, the pyrazole scaffold forms two hydrogen bonds to the hinge region of HsCDK 2 (Figure 1). Further, the NH group of the 3-


Figure 1. Co-crystal structure (2vu3) of AT7519 ${ }^{19}$ (carbon atoms in gray) bound to CDK2. The binding pocket of CDK2 is shown in lightblue surface representation. Key: red sphere, water molecule; black dashed lines, protein-ligand and water-ligand hydrogen bonds; yellow stick, hydrogen atom..
position amide forms an additional hydrogen-bond interaction to the backbone of Leu83. A water-mediated hydrogen bond from the amide carbonyl oxygen atom to the backbone NH of Asp145 is also observed. The $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ residues (Figure 2) access the


Figure 2. Generic binding mode of the $R^{1}$ and $R^{2}$ substituted aminopyrazole scaffold (carbon atoms in gray).
gatekeeper region between the gatekeeper residue Phe80 and the catalytic Asp145 (Figure 1). The R ${ }^{2}$ substituents occupy the hydrophobic pocket II, formed by the backbone of the linker region, Leu83, Phe82, and side chains of Ile10, Asp86, and Leu134. Finally, an intramolecular hydrogen bond between the $\mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{NH}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{2}$-carbonyl group is present. The similarity of $H s C D K 2, H s G S K 3 \beta$, and TbGSK3 indicates that aminopyrazoles will also bind into the ATP-binding site of the latter enzyme. ${ }^{13,16}$

Herein, we describe the design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of aminopyrazole inhibitors which bind to TbGSK3 short. The inhibitors were also tested against the closely related off-targets $H s G S K 3 \beta$ and $H s C D K 2$ and evaluated against a panel of mammalian protein kinases. The most potent compound has nanomolar affinity for TbGSK3 short, is selective over $H s G S K 3 \beta$ and $H s$ CDK2, and clean in the kinase panel. By using computer-aided molecular modeling, we were able to rationalize the observed selectivity profile. Enzyme affinity correlated with inhibition of T. b. brucei proliferation, albeit a 100 -fold offset in potency, was found. In light of these results, we discuss the value of TbGSK3 short as a drug target for HAT.

## RESULTS

Starting Point. The aminopyrazole derivatives developed by Astex Therapeutics and Yumiko Uno et al. for inhibition of $H s C D K 2$ and $H s G S K 3 \beta$ enzymes were chosen as a starting point for the investigation of TbGSK3 short inhibitors. ${ }^{18-28}$ Aminopyrazoles analogues were generated by substituting at either $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ or $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ position (Figure 2) using two synthetic routes (Scheme 1A,B). ${ }^{19}$

Differences in the ATP Binding Pockets of TbGSK3, HsGSK3 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, and HsCDK2. A structural model of TbGSK3 was built to assess the differences in the binding sites of TbGSK3 short, $H s G S K 3 \beta$, and $H s C D K 2$ and to guide ligand design. An overlay of 42 HsGSK 3 crystal structures showed that there is low flexibility in the ATP binding site. Only the regions including Phe67 and Arg 141 showed some mobility. Phe67 either points toward or away from the hinge region. Arg 141 also spans a number of distinct conformations, including examples where it occupies space in the binding site ( 1 J 1 B , $1 \mathrm{~J} 1 \mathrm{C}, 109 \mathrm{U}, 2 \mathrm{O} 5 \mathrm{~K}$ ) and therefore could influence docking results. However, to allow for ligands of a significant size, we have used examples with Arg141 pointing out of the binding site. Therefore, two homology models for TbGSK3 were generated representing both states of Phe67. As we were mainly interested in aminopyrazoles with less extended $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ groups, the crystal structure with Phe67 pointing toward the hinge (with structure 1 r 0 e as a representative) was more suited as model system. The selection of 1r0e instead of other members of this group (with Phe67 pointing toward the hinge) was arbitrary. For this analysis, all residues that are located within $6 \AA$ of the ligand bound to the template structure (1r0e) were considered.

The binding pockets of TbGSK3 and HsGSK $3 \beta$ differ by nine amino acid residues (Table 1, Figure 3A). Of the amino acid side chains that point toward the ligand, the most significant differences are the replacement of Tyr134 in HsGSK3 $\beta$ with Phe103, Leul32 with Met101, Gln72 with Leu36, and Tyr 140 with His109. The binding pockets of TbGSK 3 and HsCDK 2 are more diverse. Here, in total 16 out of 26 amino acids were found to be different (Table 1, Figure 3B), the most important of these differences being the replacement of Lys 20 in CDK2 with Leu36, Phe80 with Met101, His84 with Pro105, Lys89 with Arg110, and Ala144 with Cys170. Interestingly, most of the amino acid differences occurred in the hydrophobic pocket II and the gatekeeper region. Therefore, we decided to direct the optimization of the lead scaffold toward suitable interactions with amino acids which are located in these subpockets of the ATP binding site.

Chemistry. The synthesis of $R^{1}$ and $R^{2}$ substituted aminopyrazole derivatives started from 4-nitro-pyrazole-3carboxylic acid 1 and is described by two different routes

Scheme 1. Synthetic Routes for $50 R^{1}$ and $R^{2}$ Substituted Aminopyrazoles ( $4 a-z$ and $9 a-x$ ) ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions used in routes A and B: (a) trans-4-methoxycyclohexylamine, EDC, HOBt, DMF, rt; (b) 10\% Pd/C, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, DMF, rt; (c) $\mathrm{R}^{1} \mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{EDC}, \mathrm{HOBt}$, DIPEA, rt ; (d) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, rt; (e) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{rt}$; (f) 2,6-dimethoxybenzoylchloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, dioxane, rt; (g) NaOH , dioxane, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt; (h) $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, polystyrene-bound carbodiimide, HOBt , acetonitrile, MW, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
(Scheme 1) based on previous work from Wyatt et al. ${ }^{19}$ In route A, 1 was coupled with trans-4-methoxycyclohexylamine using EDC as the activating agent. Reduction of the subsequent intermediate 2 by hydrogenation in the presence of palladium on carbon generated amino pyrazole 3. The conversion to compounds $\mathbf{4 a - z}$ was accomplished by coupling of 3 with a suitable selection of carboxylic acids. In route B, after esterification of the carboxyl group of $\mathbf{1}$, the nitro group of intermediate 5 was reduced to afford amine 6 . Treatment of 6 with 2,6-dimethoxybenzoyl chloride under standard conditions, followed by base hydrolysis of the ester, provided acid 8. In the final step, 8 was coupled with appropriate amines in a microwave reaction using polystyrene-bound carbodiimide to yield final compounds $9 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{x}$.

Activity and Selectivity of $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ Substituted Compounds. Twenty-six $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ substituted aminopyrazole analogues (Table 2) were made according to the synthetic route shown in Scheme 1 A . A range of $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ groups varying in size and polarity was chosen to probe whether the differences in the gate keeper region between $\mathrm{TbGSK} 3, H s G S K 3$, and HsCDK 2 could be exploited to derive selective and potent TbGSK3 inhibitors (Figure 3).

Enzyme Activity. All compounds showed good potency against TbGSK3 $(<1 \mu \mathrm{M})$. An unsubstituted phenyl ring (4f) provided on average a 20 -fold improvement of inhibition potency relative to saturated six-membered ring systems (4a and $\mathbf{4 b}$ ) and benzyl groups ( $\mathbf{4 c}, \mathbf{4 d}$, and $\mathbf{4 e}$ ). In general, a variety of different aryl and heteroaryl rings ( $\mathbf{4 g}-4 \mathrm{y}$ compared to $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$ ) in the $R^{1}$ position led to significantly improved potency against TbGSK3. Additionally, a wide variety of
substituents were tolerated on the phenyl ring. In general, ortho-substituted phenyl rings gave the best improvement in activity compared to the unsubstituted phenyl group ( $4 \mathbf{j}, 4 \mathbf{k}$, 4 m , and $\mathbf{4 n}$ ). The methoxyphenyl moieties in $\mathbf{4 j}, 4 \mathrm{~m}$, and $\mathbf{4 n}$, which had $\mathrm{TbGSK} 3 \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 4,2 , and 3 nM , respectively, were the most favorable substituents. These derivatives were approximately 10 -fold more potent than the unsubstituted phenyl compound 4 f. Only the $2,4,6$-trimethoxyl derivative $4 n$ showed $>10$-fold selectivity over HsGSK 3 . Interestingly, this was also the most selective compound for HsCDK2 (>1000fold).

To rationalize the observed selectivity, all analogues were docked into the binding sites of TbGSK3, HsGSK $3 \beta$, and $H s C D K 2$ and their poses were visually analyzed. For most compounds, a binding mode similar to that observed for AT7519 ${ }^{19}$ in HsCDK2 (Figure 1) was predicted in TbGSK3, $H s G S K 3 \beta$, and $H s C D K 2$. One important difference between $H s C D K 2, T b G S K 3$, and $H s G S K 3 \beta$ is the gatekeeper residue (Table 1, Figure 3A,B). While HsGSK3 and TbGSK3 enzymes have Leu or Met, respectively, in this position, in HsCDK 2 Phe is present. As a consequence, the gatekeeper region of HsCDK 2 (located between Phe80 and Asp145) is more restricted compared to the other two enzymes. This resulted in a higher energy, out of plane conformation of the amide group of $\mathbf{4 f}$ when binding into this pocket (Figure 4), while a low energy conformation was found when binding into T. brucei and human GSK3 (not shown). Further, without induced fit adaptations, the bulky $R^{1}$-substituents such as the 2,6 dimethoxybenzamide group of $\mathbf{4 m}$, the 2,4,6-trimethoxybenzamide groups $\mathbf{4 n}$, and the phenylaminobenzamide groups of $\mathbf{4 k}$

Table 1. Differences in the Binding Pockets of TbGSK3, $H s G S K 3 \beta$, and $H s C D K 2{ }^{a}$

| TbGSK3 | HsGSK3 $\beta$ | HsCDK2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V25 | V61 | K9 |
| A26 | 162 | I10 |
| G27 | G63 | G11 |
| Q28 | N64 | E12 |
| G29 | G65 | G13 |
| T30 | S66 | T14 |
| F31 | F67 | Y15 |
| V34 | V70 | V18 |
| L36 | Q72 | K20 |
| A47 | A83 | A31 |
| K49 | K85 | K33 |
| E61 | E97 | I52 |
| M65 | M101 | L55 |
| V77 | V110 | V64 |
| M101 | L132 | F80 |
| E102 | D133 | E81 |
| F103 | Y134 | F82 |
| 104 | V135 | L83 |
| P105 | P136 | H84 |
| E106 | E137 | Q85 |
| T107 | T138 | D86 |
| H109 | Y140 | K88 |
| R110 | R141 | K89 |
| K154 | K183 | K129 |
| H156 | Q185 | Q131 |
| N157 | N186 | N132 |
| L159 | L188 | L134 |
| C170 | C199 | A144 |
| D171 | D200 | D145 |

and $\mathbf{4 1}$ can only be accommodated by the gatekeeper region of TbGSK3 and HsGSK3 $\beta$ but not the narrower HsCDK2 gatekeeper region. These observations might explain the reduced binding affinity of $\mathbf{4 f}$ for $H s C D K 2$ compared to HsGSK3. Of note, this explanation is further supported by the report by Wyatt et al., ${ }^{19}$ which found that the aryl groups which are located in the same position need to twist in order to provide potent CDK2 activity.

Antiparasitic Activity. All $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ substituted compounds were tested for their ability to inhibit the proliferation of bloodstream form (BSF) T. b. brucei in culture. As an initial indication of potential toxicity, compounds $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{4 z}$ were additionally tested against proliferating human fetal lung fibroblast cells (MRC5 cell line). Four compounds ( $\mathbf{4 g}, \mathbf{4 j}, \mathbf{4 m}$, and $\mathbf{4 y}$ ) had $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values $<1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and a further 11 compounds had $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values $<3$ $\mu \mathrm{M}$ against BSF T. b. brucei (Table 2). The $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values correlated well with enzyme activity ( $R^{2}=0.73$, Figure 5). However, a 100 -fold drop from enzyme to cellular activity was observed. Selectivity over the MRC5 cells was achieved with compounds 4 m ( 60 -fold), 4 s ( $>19$-fold), $\mathbf{4 x}$ ( 12 -fold), and 4 n ( $>9$-fold), however, the majority of compounds showed a poor selectivity over MRC5 cells.

Activity and Selectivity of R $^{2}$ Substituted Compounds. As 4 m was the most potent inhibitor of TbGSK3 and proliferation of $T . \quad$. brucei cells, we retained the $2,6-$ dimethoxybenzamide group at position $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ for optimization of the $R^{2}$ substituent. $R^{2}$ substituted aminopyrazole analogues $(9 a-9 x)$ were made according to the synthetic route shown in Scheme 1B to explore the structural requirements for improvement of antiparasitic activity and selectivity over the closely related human kinases.

Enzyme Activity. The majority of variations led to potent TbGSK3 inhibitors, indicating that chemical diversity at this position was well tolerated (Table 3). One of the SAR trends observed was that six-membered saturated rings (9c) and seven-membered saturated rings ( $\mathbf{9 d}$ ) were favored over their three- and four-membered equivalents ( $\mathbf{9 a}$ and $9 \mathbf{b}$ ). Further, it


Figure 3. Superposition of the binding sites of the homology model of TbGSK3 short (blue carbon atoms) with (A) the HsGSK3 $\beta$ crystal structure (PDB code 1r0e) and (B) the HsCDK2 crystal structure (PDB code 2vu3). The solvent accessible surface of TbGSK3 short is shown in light blue. Only residues that differ between the binding pockets are shown. For orientation, the ligands bound to crystal structures are also displayed. Amino acid residue labels are for TbGSK3.

Table 2. Kinase Inhibitory Activity and Antiproliferative Efficacy of $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ Substituted Aminopyrazoles

|  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  |  | $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| compd | TbGSK3 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | HsGSK3 $\beta^{a}$ | ratio $\mathrm{HsGSK} 3 \beta / \mathrm{TbGSK} 3$ | $\mathrm{HsCDK}^{\text {a }}$ | ratio HsCDK2/TbGSK3 | T. b. brucei ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | MRC5 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 4a | 0.50 | 0.008 | 0.16 | 1.0 | 2 | 16 | >50 |
| 4b | 0.69 | 0.008 | 0.0012 | 0.38 | 0.55 | 32 | >50 |
| 4 c | 0.39 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.82 | 2.1 | 23 | >50 |
| 4 d | 0.50 | 0.21 | 0.42 | 0.85 | 1.7 | 22 | 40 |
| 4 e | 0.23 | 0.2 | 0.87 | 0.29 | 1.3 | 7.3 | 3.1 |
| 4f | 0.024 | <0.005 | >0.21 | 0.038 | <1.6 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| 4 g | 0.020 | <0.005 | 0.25 | 0.014 | $<0.7$ | 0.4 | 1.0 |
| 4h | 0.018 | $<0.013$ | <0.72 | 0.1 | 5.6 | 2.3 | 3.3 |
| 4 i | 0.053 | 0.02 | 0.38 | 0.07 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 3.4 |
| 4j | 0.004 | <0.013 | <3.3 | 0.1 | 25 | 0.9 | 1.5 |
| 4k | 0.011 | 0.02 | 1.8 | >10 | >910 | 4.4 | 35 |
| 41 | 0.066 | 0.03 | 0.45 | >10 | >150 | 5.8 | 16 |
| 4 m | 0.002 | <0.005 | <2.5 | 0.19 | 95 | 0.5 | 31 |
| 4 n | 0.003 | 0.09 | 30 | 3.1 | 1000 | 5.9 | >50 |
| 40 | 0.053 | <0.005 | <0.09 | 0.22 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 11 |
| 4p | 0.024 | <0.005 | <0.21 | 0.083 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 0.6 |
| 4 q | 0.057 | <0.005 | <0.08 | 0.63 | 11 | 9.6 | 13 |
| 4 r | 0.016 | <0.005 | <0.31 | 0.27 | 17 | 1.1 | 2.5 |
| 4 s | 0.019 | <0.005 | <0.26 | 0.13 | 6.8 | 2.7 | >50 |
| 4t | 0.070 | <0.005 | <0.071 | 1.0 | 14 | 2.0 | 20 |
| 4 u | 0.013 | <0.005 | <0.38 | nd | nd | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| 4v | 0.063 | <0.005 | <0.080 | 0.042 | 0.67 | 1.9 | 1.0 |
| 4w | 0.094 | <0.005 | <0.053 | 0.15 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 5.9 |
| 4 x | 0.10 | 0.042 | 0.42 | 2.2 | 22 | 2.9 | 34 |
| 4 y | 0.007 | <0.005 | <0.72 | 0.01 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| 4 z | 0.92 | 0.005 | 0.0058 | 22 | 24 | >50 | 28 |

${ }^{a}$ Data represents the average of two or more experiments. ${ }^{b}$ Concentration required to inhibit the growth of $T$. b. brucei in culture by $50 \%$ over 72 h .
${ }^{c}$ Concentration required to inhibit the growth of MRC5 cells in culture by $50 \%$ over 72 h .


Figure 4. Predicted binding mode of $\mathbf{4 f}$ in HsCDK2. Putative hydrogen bonds are shown as black dotted lines. Docking results suggested that the phenyl ring of compound $4 f$ needs to be significantly twisted out of plane by approximately $60^{\circ}$ compared to the amide in order to fit into the gatekeeper region..
was noted that the replacement of the cyclohexane of 9 c with a phenyl ring or 4 -pyridine, to give $9 \mathbf{k}$ or 9 l , gave a 6 -fold decrease in potency against TbGSK 3 . The 2-pyridine analogue $(9 \mathrm{~m})$, on the other hand, was much less active ( 50 -fold) against TbGSK3. Homologation of aromatic ( $\mathbf{9 g}$ ) and saturated sixmembered ( $\mathbf{9 j}$ ) rings by one carbon atom produced inhibitors with 1 nM activity for TbGSK 3 . For aliphatic side chain derivatives $9 \mathbf{r}-9 \mathbf{w}$, the pentanyl and 1 -isopropoxypropanyl analogues had $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 1 nM . The impact of replacing the


Figure 5. Correlation between the inhibition of recombinant TbGSK3 and bloodstream form T. b. brucei proliferation by $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ substituted aminopyrazole derivatives ( $\mathbf{4 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{z}$ ).
amide group (3-position) with carboxylic acid and ester groups was investigated with compounds 8 and 7. Compound 8 containing a carboxylate group in the 4-position showed a dramatic loss in activity ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The ester group of compound 7 on the other hand was better tolerated ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.5$ $\mu \mathrm{M})$. Interestingly, compared with $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{4 z}$, a majority of $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ substituted analogues ( $\mathbf{9 a} \mathbf{- 9 x}$ ) showed selectivity over

Table 3. Kinase Inhibitory Activity and Antiproliferative Efficacy of $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ Substituted Aminopyrazoles

|  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  |  | $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| compd | TbGSK3 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | HsGSK3 $\beta^{a}$ | ratio $\mathrm{HsGSK} 3 \beta / \mathrm{TbGSK} 3$ | $\mathrm{HsCDK}^{\text {a }}$ | ratio HsCDK2/TbGSK3 | T. b. brucei ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | MRC5 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 9a | 0.012 | 0.22 | 18 | >10 | >830 | 19 | >50 |
| 9 b | 0.008 | 0.08 | 10 | 2.4 | 300 | 12 | $>50$ |
| 9c | 0.001 | 0.05 | 50 | 1.2 | 1200 | 4.1 | 35 |
| 9d | 0.001 | 0.02 | 20 | 2.0 | 2000 | 4.5 | 42 |
| 9 e | 0.018 | nd | nd | >10 | 560 | 16 | >50 |
| 9 f | 0.081 | 0.45 | 5.6 | $>10$ | 120 | 50 | >50 |
| 9 g | 0.001 | 0.33 | 330 | $>10$ | 10000 | 5.9 | 50 |
| 9 h | 0.015 | 0.32 | 21 | $>10$ | 670 | 20 | >50 |
| 9 i | 0.14 | 0.87 | 6.2 | >10 | 71 | >50 | >50 |
| 9j | 0.001 | nd | nd | nd | nd | 7.7 | >50 |
| 9k | 0.006 | 0.07 | 12 | 4.3 | 720 | 11.5 | $>50$ |
| 91 | 0.004 | 0.12 | 30 | 1.3 | 330 | 8.2 | >50 |
| 9 m | 0.32 | 0.94 | 2.9 | >10 | 31 | >50 | >50 |
| 9 n | 0.002 | 0.07 | 35 | 1.6 | 800 | 6.4 | 34 |
| 90 | 0.001 | nd | nd | >4.8 | 4800 | 6.7 | 45 |
| 9 p | 0.006 | 0.14 | 23 | 4.7 | 780 | 12 | $>50$ |
| 9 q | 0.008 | 0.08 | 10 | >10 | 1300 | 8.9 | 38 |
| 9 r | 0.034 | 0.3 | 8.8 | $>10$ | 290 | 43 | >50 |
| 9 s | 0.001 | 0.1 | 100 | 4.8 | 4800 | 7.3 | $>50$ |
| 9 t | 0.001 | nd | nd | $>10$ | 10000 | 6.6 | $>50$ |
| 9 u | 0.33 | 0.47 | 1.4 | $>10$ | 30 | $>50$ | >50 |
| 9 v | 0.32 | 0.66 | 2.1 | $>10$ | 31 | $>50$ | $>50$ |
| 9 w | 0.054 | 0.63 | 12 | >10 | 190 | $>50$ | $>50$ |
| 9 x | 0.002 | <0.005 | $<2.5$ | 0.19 | 95 | 0.5 | 31 |
| 8 | >50 | >10 |  | >10 |  | $>50$ | $>50$ |
| 7 | 0.52 | 4.5 | 8.7 | $>10$ | 19 | $>50$ | >50 |

${ }^{a}$ Data represents the average of two or more experiments. ${ }^{b}$ Concentration required to inhibit the growth of T. b. brucei in culture by $50 \%$ over 72 h .
${ }^{c}$ Concentration required to inhibit the growth of MRC5 cells in culture by $50 \%$ over 72 h .
$H s C D K 2$ and $H s G S K 3 \beta$. These are the most selective TbGSK3 inhibitors described to date.

The predicted binding mode of $9 \mathbf{g}$ in TbGSK3 offers an explanation for the observed selectivity (Figure 6). In the highest scoring docking pose, the core scaffold adopts a similar binding mode as observed for AT7519 in HsCDK2 (Figure 1). ${ }^{19}$ In addition, the docking results suggested that the hydrophobic pocket II of TbGSK3 was occupied by the $N$ benzylamide group of $9 \mathbf{g}$ in such a way that its phenyl moiety formed T-shaped edge-to-face interactions with the side chain of Phe103 and hydrophobic interactions with Leu36 and Ala26. In hGSK3 $\beta$, Phe103 is replaced with a Tyr (Table 1, Figure $3 A)$, resulting in steric clash and electrostatic repulsion toward the benzyl moiety of 9 g . Further, Leu36 is substituted with Gln 72 in $h \mathrm{GSK} 3 \beta$ and Lys20 in HsCDK2, diminishing hydrophobic interactions between the benzyl moiety of $9 \mathbf{g}$ and these residues. Overall, these changes together with differences in the gatekeeper region of HsCDK 2 (see above) are likely to be responsible for the high selectivity of 9 g for TbGSK3 over hGSK3 and HsCDK2. Similar observations regarding the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ group placement and selectivity were also made for compound 9 h supporting this model.

Antiparasitic Activity. The $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ substituted compounds were tested against BSF T. b. brucei and MRC5 cells. As for the $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ substituted analogues, a good correlation between the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values and a 100 -fold drop in activity between the biochemical and cell assay was observed (Figure 7). Compound 9c had an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ for T. b. brucei of $4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Table 3). Limited selectivity ( $>7$-fold) over MRC5 cells was achieved with compounds $9 \mathrm{c}, 9 \mathrm{~d}, 9 \mathrm{~g}, 9 \mathrm{~s}$, and 9 t . It was found that the
compounds showed selective inhibition of TbGSK3 over HsGSK3 ( $>20$-fold) and HsCDK2 ( $>1200$-fold).

Human Kinase Selectivity Profile. PK inhibitors frequently inhibit multiple kinases, often leading to off-target toxic effects. To assess the selectivity of the aminopyrazole inhibitors, remaining activity at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration was measured for compounds $\mathbf{4 f}, 4 \mathrm{~m}$, and 4 y against a panel of 80 human PKs and for compound 9 g against 124 human PKs. Compounds 4 m and 9 g were found to be highly specific (Table 4). Compound 4 m inhibited only two PKs, namely GSK $3 \beta$ and CDK2, at more than $80 \%$. 9 g showed activity against three PKs: GSK3 $\beta$, MAPKAP-K2, and MINK1 at more than $80 \%$. Compound 4 f was found to inhibit seven PKs and compound $4 y 15$ PKs by greater than $80 \%$ at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

## DISCUSSION

In this work, we exploited the knowledge of the previously described aminopyrazoles inhibitors of HsCDK 2 and $H s G S K 3 \beta^{20}$ to identify selective inhibitors of the TbGSK3 short isoform. This kinase has been shown using genetic manipulation studies to be essential for the survival of the T. b. brucei parasite. ${ }^{13}$ However, we wanted to confirm if antiparasitic activity could be gained using selective, small molecule inhibitors of TbGSK3. The ability to selectively inhibit TbGSK3 over $H s G S K 3$ and $H s C D K 2$ is essential to avoid potential side effects. Therefore, more than 50 aminopyrazole derivatives were synthesized and screened against TbGSK3, $H s G S K 3 \beta$, HsCDK2, and proliferating T. b. brucei and human cells in culture.


Figure 6. Proposed binding mode of $9 \mathbf{g}$ in the homology model of TbGSK3 (blue carbon atoms) overlaid on the HsGSK3 $\beta$ crystal structure (pink carbon atoms). Both ligand and protein are represented as sticks and color coded by atom types. Ligand carbon atoms are shown in gray, protein carbon atoms of TbGSK3 are shown in blue, and HsGSK3 $\beta$ carbon atoms in salmon. Amino acid residue labels are for TbGSK3. Hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions are shown as black dotted lines, with interaction distances in angstroms. TbGSK3 amino acids which are involved in hydrophobic interactions with the benzyl group are marked in bold. The gold sphere represents the center of the phenyl ring..


Figure 7. Correlation between the inhibition of recombinant TbGSK3 and bloodstream from T. b. brucei proliferation using $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ substituted aminopyrazole derivatives $(\mathbf{9 a - x})$.

The results (Table 2 and Table 3) showed that almost all compounds were highly potent TbGSK3 inhibitors. The activity could be rationalized using the homology models and subsequent molecular docking studies (Figure 4 and Figure 6). The aminopyrazole derivatives make three H -bond interactions with the kinase hinge region, driving much of the

Table 4. Kinase Profiling against a Panel of Mammalian Kinases ${ }^{a}$

| PKs | $\mathbf{4 f}$ | $\mathbf{4 m}$ | $\mathbf{4 y}$ | $\mathbf{9 g}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MKK1 | 54 | 83 | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 76 |
| ERK2 | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 51 | $\mathbf{2}$ | 92 |
| JNK1 | 31 | 77 | $\mathbf{8}$ | 71 |
| JNK2 | 51 | 82 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 63 |
| ERK8 | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 27 | $\mathbf{7}$ | 47 |
| MAPKAP-K2 | 92 | 33 | 90 | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |
| GSK3b | 33 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| CDK2 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 38 |
| MELK | 32 | 100 | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 96 |
| DYRK1A | $\mathbf{6}$ | 84 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 79 |
| DYRK2 | $\mathbf{3}$ | 63 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 28 |
| DYRK3 | 24 | 100 | $\mathbf{2}$ | 80 |
| PIM1 | 46 | 100 | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 92 |
| PIM3 | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 94 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 96 |
| HIPK2 | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 100 | $\mathbf{4}$ | 93 |
| IGF-1R | 96 | 100 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 79 |
| MINK1 | nd | nd | nd | $\mathbf{1 8}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Numbers represent average percentage of activity compared to the control at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. In this table, only kinases with activity values $<20 \%$ are shown (for full table see Supporting Information). PK activity values $<20 \%$ are marked in bold.
potency of the compounds against the three kinases studied. Selectivity could be derived from substitution at both $R^{1}$ and $R^{2}$ positions.

From a homology perspective, HsCDK 2 is the most closely related kinase to $H s G S K 3 \beta .{ }^{16}$ Although, the enzymes only share approximately $33 \%$ amino acid identity, their ATP binding pockets are highly conserved, ${ }^{16}$ resulting in the majority of known $H s$ CDK 2 inhibitors also potently inhibiting HsGSK3 $\beta$. The results demonstrated that the required profile could be achieved, with several compounds with high affinity ( $<18 \mathrm{nM}$ ) for TbGSK3 showing high selectivity ( $>500$-fold) over HsCDK2. Docking studies provided a number of important insights into the binding modes and the selectivity profile of aminopyrazole derivatives. First, the docking results suggested that if the phenyl ring of compound $\mathbf{4 f}$ is planar with the amide group at $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ position it cannot bind into the truncated gate keeper region of HsCDK 2 , defined by Phe 80 in HsCDK 2 , compared to Met101 in TbGSK3. To fit into this region of HsCDK 2 , the phenyl ring needs to significantly twist out of plane of the amide, with a torsion angle of approximately $60^{\circ}$, resulting in reduced binding affinity (Figure 4). To stabilize this twist, di-ortho-substituents on the $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ phenyl group are required to cause a steric/electronic clash with the carbonyl of the amide bond. However, this region of the pocket in HsCDK 2 is narrow (in a plane perpendicular to the hinge backbone and the pyrazole core), only allowing small ortho-substituents (such as in compound 9 g ) on the phenyl group. In contrast, the wider gatekeeper regions of $h \mathrm{GSK} 3$ and TbGSK 3 can tolerate large substituents such as the ortho-dimethoxy groups of compound $4 \mathbf{m}$ or $\mathbf{4 n}$ and the ortho-phenylaminobenzamide groups of $4 \mathbf{k}$ or 41. Second, the highest increase in selectivity ( $>10000$-fold) over $H s C D K 2$ was achieved by accessing the hydrophobic pocket II. Exploitation of hydrophobic interactions in these two pockets not only reliably increased ligand-binding affinity but also impacted on the selectivity profile of these compounds. On the basis of the biological results of compound 9 g and structural modeling studies, we have shown that selectivity over

HsGSK3 can be achieved by exploiting the Phe 103Tyr, Leu36Gln, and Ala26Ile active site differences in the hydrophobic pocket II of TbGSK3 enzyme (Figure 6). Taken together, the region between the gate keeper residue and the catalytic aspartate of the DFG loop, together with the hydrophobic pocket II, are the key areas to exploit to achieve high selectivity over HsCDK2.

The TbGSK3 short enzyme $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values correlated well with the T. b. brucei antiproliferative $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ activities of the described substituted aminopyrazole inhibitors (Figures 5 and 7), indicating that the compounds act on target. However, a 100fold drop in cell activity was observed, compared to that in the TbGSK3 assay ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The calculated physical properties ( $\mathrm{MW}<473 ; \log P-0.4-3.6$; PSA $<130 \AA$ ) of the series of compounds suggest this loss of activity was not driven by lack of cellular penetration. In addition, the compound series was observed to be highly chemically stable under the range of synthetic conditions used during the chemistry campaign, suggesting that chemical degradation was not responsible for the loss of activity in the proliferation assay. Although metabolism by the parasite cannot be ruled out, the high degree of correlation between enzyme inhibition and antiparasitic activity suggests this is not the case, as it would be not expected that all compounds be metabolized to a constant extent. Therefore, the drop of activity was probably due to the high ATP concentration (millimolar range) in the cell compared to the kinase assay conditions. ${ }^{21}$ Furthermore, chemical proteomic profiling conducted in parasite cell extracts confirmed that compound $\mathbf{4 m}$ binds the endogenous TbGSK3 short with nanomolar affinity and very few other kinase targets with much lower affinity in the micromolar range. ${ }^{22}$

## CONCLUSION

In this study, we have developed a series of substituted aminopyrazole amides as TbGSK3 short inhibitors starting from a compound series initially designed by Astex Therapeutics to inhibit $H s C D K 2$ and $H s G S K 3 \beta$. SAR investigation and optimization successfully provided 18 low nanomolar ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}<10 \mathrm{nM}$ ) inhibitors of TbGSK3 with high selectivity ( $>10000$-fold) over HsCDK2. With compound 9 g , we have shown that good ( 330 -fold) selectivity over HsGSK3 can be achieved by targeting the hydrophobic pocket II. Compound 9 g is the most selective TbGSK3 inhibitor described to date. ${ }^{13,23-25}$ In addition, 9 g proved to be highly selective against a panel of 124 human PKs, showing $>90 \%$ inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against only one $\mathrm{PK}, \mathrm{HsGSK} 3 \beta$. Molecular modeling has also shown that despite overall conservation in sequence and conformation between the three PKs (HsGSK3 $\beta$, HsCDK2, and TbGSK3), the binding pockets have distinct features that determine their specificity for particular compounds. Further, we have shown that enzymatic inhibition correlates well with cell efficacy over a wide range of concentrations and a representative member of this series binds the endogenous TbGSK3 with nanomolar potency, indicating that compounds definitely act on target. ${ }^{22}$ However, a general 100 -fold drop in activity between target and cellular activities resulted at best in compounds with low micromolar antiparasitic activity. Taken together, this data suggests that specific ATP competitive hinge binders of TbGSK3 short require low picomolar potency to obtain nanomolar antiproliferative activity against $T$. brucei. This leads us to the conclusion that alternative strategies are required. First, nonATP competitive approaches to inhibition of TbGSK 3 , through
irreversible hinge binders or allosteric inhibitors, could be pursued. However, these approaches have potential downsides, through the introduction of a reactive functionality or an increased chance of resistance causing mutations, respectively. Second, a polypharmacology approach through the inhibition of a number of essential T. brucei kinases in addition to TbGSK3 could be investigated, although obtaining selectivity over human kinases would be more problematical. However, the aminopyrazole compounds ( $\mathbf{4 a}-\mathbf{4 z}$ and $\mathbf{9 a}-\mathbf{9 x}$ ) reported here represent an excellent start for chemistry optimization of selective TbGSK3 short inhibitors and an outstanding probe for studying the physiological functions of TbGSK3 short in $T$. brucei parasites.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Molecular Modeling. Homology Modeling. Sequence alignments between T. brucei and HsGSK3 $\beta$ were generated using ClustalW. ${ }^{26}$ Subsequently, Modeler $9.2^{27}$ was used to build homology models of TbGSK3 short, whereas the HsGSK3 $\beta$ crystal structure (PDB code 1r0e) served as template. Modeler was run with default settings, and only the highest-scoring structure was used for further analysis and modeling.

Ligand Docking. FlexX 2.0.1 (BioSolveIT GmbH) was used to dock ligands flexible into protein binding sites. ${ }^{28}$ The active sites were defined as the areas within $7 \AA$ of the co-crystallized ligands of $\mathrm{HsCDK} 2\left(\mathrm{PDB}\right.$ code 2 vu 3 ) ${ }^{19}$ and $\mathrm{HsGSK} 3 \beta$ (PDB code 1 rOe ) ${ }^{29}$ or the equivalent residues in the homology model of TbGSK3. In all three structures, protonation states of amino acids and the orientations of the protons of hydroxyl and amine groups of active-site residues were manually assigned using the FlexX GUI. A highly conserved water molecule ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 82$ in 1r0e or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 2134$ in 2 vu 3 ) was kept in all three protein structures used for docking. Docking was carried out using default settings, and only the highest scoring binding modes were visually analyzed.

All figures of protein binding sites were prepared using PyMol. ${ }^{30}$
Potency Screen Assays. For compound potency determinations, a radiometric 96 -well Flashplate assay (PerkinElmer) was adopted. Compounds were solubilized in DMSO at a top concentration of 3 mM and serially diluted to achieve 10 -point titration of final assay concentrations from $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to 0.3 nM with a final DMSO concentration of $1 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$. The reaction mixtures contained $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ biotinylated GSP2 substrate, $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP, $3.7 \mathrm{KBq} /$ well $[\gamma-33 \mathrm{P}]$-ATP and 2.5 nM TbGSK3 in the TbGSK3 kinase assay buffer. GSK3 inhibitors were screened for selectivity assessment also against $H s G S K 3 \beta$. For HsGSK3 assay, the reaction mixes contained $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ biotinylated GSP2 substrate, $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP, $7.4 \mathrm{KBq} /$ well $[\gamma-33 \mathrm{P}]$-ATP and $15 \mathrm{nM} \operatorname{HsGSK} 3 \beta$ in the TbGSK3 kinase assay buffer ( 25 mM Tris-HCl, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, $0.02 \%$ CHAPS, $2 \mathrm{U} /$ mL heparin). For HsCDK2/cyclin A assay, the reaction mixtures contained 1 mM CDK 5 biotinylated peptide substrate (Biotin- $\mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{-}$ PKTPKKAKKL), $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP, $7.4 \mathrm{KBq} /$ well $\left[\gamma-{ }^{33} \mathrm{P}\right]$-ATP and 2 nM $\mathrm{HsCDK} 2 / \mathrm{cyclin}$ A in the kinase assay buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH}$ $7.5,10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}, 2 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.2 \mathrm{mM}$ EGTA, $0.02 \%$ (v/v) Brij35).

Statistical Evaluation of Assay Reproducibility. The statistical significance of the compound potency ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) was based on the performance of standard molecules which have been tested to a high replication. In the case of TbGSK3 short assay, the standard compound GW8510 was tested 93 times across 9 independent runs. The average $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ value was 8.26 with a SD (standard deviation) of 0.23 . The minimum significant ratio (MSR) of 0.4 was evaluated considering the following formula:

$$
\mathrm{MSR}=2.3 \times \mathrm{SD} / \sqrt{N}
$$

where SD is the standard deviation and $N$ the number of replicate values routinely used for the assay ( 2 in our case). ${ }^{31}$ This implies that a difference of $>0.4$ in $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ can be considered statistically significant for this assay.

In the case of HsGSK 3 assay, the standard compound GW8510 was tested 47 times across 5 independent runs, with an average $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ value of 8.10 and a SD of 0.21 . This implies that a difference of $>0.3$ in $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ can be considered statistically significant for this assay.

For the HsCDK2 assay, the analysis was performed using two different standards (GW8510 and staurosporine) tested respectively five times in a single run and 19 times in 2 independent runs. This implies that a difference of $>0.3$ in $\mathrm{pIC}_{50}$ can be considered statistically significant for this assay.

Mammalian Kinase Profiling. Selected compounds were screened against a panel of mammalian kinases routinely run by the Division of Signal Transduction Therapy (DSTT) at the University of Dundee in duplicate at $10 \mu \mathrm{M} .{ }^{32}$ Enzymes included in the panel and assay conditions are reported in the literature. All biochemical assays are run below the $K_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\text {app }}$ for the ATP for each enzyme, allowing comparison of inhibition across the panel.

Trypanosome and MRC5 Proliferation Assay. Measurement of inhibition of the proliferation of MRC5 (human lung fibroblast) cells and T. b. brucei bloodstream stage cells was performed using a modification of the cell viability assay previously described. ${ }^{33}$ Compounds ( $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to 0.5 nM ) were incubated with $2 \times 10^{3}$ cells/ well in 0.2 mL of the appropriate culture medium (MEM with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum for MRC5 cells) in clear 96-well plates. Plates were incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for 69 h . Resazurin was then added to a final concentration of $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and plates were incubated as above for a further 4 h before being read on a BioTek flx800 fluorescent plate reader.

Chemistry. General Experimental Details. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on either a Bruker Avance DPX 300 or 500 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are expressed in parts per million (ppm) and coupling constants $(J)$ are in hertz $(\mathrm{Hz})$. Signal splitting patterns are described as singlet (s), broad singlet (br s), doublet ( d ), triplet ( t ), quartet ( q ), quintuplet (quin), sextuplet (sex), septet (sept), multiplet (m), or combinations thereof. LCMS (liquid chromatography mass spectrometry) analyses were performed with either an Agilent HPLC 1100 series connected to a Bruker Daltonics MicrOTOF or an Agilent Technologies 1200 series HPLC connected to an Agilent Technologies 6130 quadrupole LCMS, and both instruments were connected to an Agilent diode array detector. LCMS chromatographic separations were conducted with a Phenomenex Gemini C18 column, $50 \mathrm{~mm} \times 3.0 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle size; mobile phase/acetonitrile $+0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH} 80: 20$ to $5: 95$ over 3.5 min , and then held for 1.5 min ; flow rate $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$. High resolution electrospray measurements (HRMS) were performed with a Bruker Daltonics MicrOTOF mass spectrometer. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on Merck silica gel 60 F254 plates using UV light and/or $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ for visualization. Column chromatography was performed using RediSep 4 or 12 g silica prepacked columns. When applicable, all glassware was oven-dried overnight and all reactions were carried out under dry and inert conditions (Argon atmosphere).

All in this work synthesized compounds had a measured purity of greater than $95 \%$ (measured on analytical HPLC-MS system). $\mathrm{M}^{+}$data are given below to substantiate the purity and integrity of the compounds. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and HRMS experiments were also used to confirm compound identity and purity.

N-((1r,4r)-4-Methoxycyclohexyl)-4-nitro-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (2). A mixture of 4-nitro-3-pyrazolecarboxylic acid (1) (2.33 g, 14.8 mmol ), trans-4-methoxy-cyclohexylamine ( $2.39 \mathrm{~g}, 18.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), EDC ( $3.55 \mathrm{~g}, 18.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{HOBt}(2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 18.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at ambient temperature for 16 h . The mixture was reduced in vacuo and partitioned between saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate and EtOAc. The organic layer was washed (water, brine), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and reduced in vacuo to give a yellow oil, which was purified by column chromatography, eluting $0-100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in petroleum ether to give 2. Yield: 3.12 g (solid), $62 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 14.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.81 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=55.8,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 159.04, 141.49, 132.17, 131.44, 77.40, 55.01, 47.58, 29.67, 29.30. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$: $m / z 269[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

4-Amino-N-(4-methoxycyclohexyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (3). A solution of $2(1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $10 \%$ palladium on carbon then shaken under hydrogen at room temperature and atmospheric pressure for 5 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc, filtered through Celite, washing with further EtOAc, and the filtrate reduced in vacuo to give crude 3 as brown oil. Yield: $982 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=45.8,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{MeOD}-d_{4}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 165.58,134.15,133.13$, 118.22, 79.72, 56.15, 48.58, 31.37. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): m/z $239[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

General Method for Variation of Substituent $R^{1}$ : Example 4-Benzamido-N-(4-methoxycyclohexyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (4f). A mixture of benzoic acid ( $0.051 \mathrm{~g}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $3(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.42$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{EDC}(0.096 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{HOBt}(0.068 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 10 mL ) was stirred at ambient temperature for 16 h . The mixture was reduced in vacuo and partitioned between saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate and EtOAc. The organic layer was washed (water, brine), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and reduced in vacuo to give a creamy solid $4 f$, which was purified by column chromatography. Evaporation of the appropriate fraction yielded the desired compound as an amorphous solid. Yield: $39 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $10.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 164.36,163.21,133.54,133.28,132.03,128.83$, 127.24, 123.74, 120.82, 78.13, 55.91, 47.48, 30.69, 30.11. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): $m / z 343[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right):$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 343.1765, found 343.1751 .

4-(4,4-Difluorocyclohexanecarboxamido)-N-(4-methoxycyclo-hexyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (4a). Yield: 90 mg (solid) $56 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 171.61,163.21,133.28,123.22,122.55(\mathrm{t}$, $J=239.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 120.71,78.07,55.91,47.50,42.74,32.85(\mathrm{t}, J=23.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 30.35$, 25.73. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right): m / z 385[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 385.2046$, found 385.2036.

N-(4-Methoxycyclohexyl)-4-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-carboxami-do)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (4b). Yield: 94 mg (solid), $64 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.20$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.40$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 171.97,163.30,133.22,123.23$, 120.71, 78.12, 67.26, 55.92, 47.50, 42.20, 30.64, 30.12, 29.10. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right): m / z 351[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right):$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$351.2027, found 351.2011.

4-(2-(2-Fluorophenyl)acetamido)-N-(4-methoxycyclohexyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (4c). Yield: 56 mg (solid), $71 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, 7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 169.57,164.14(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=159.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 134.23,132.98,130.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.75,123.77$, $123.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.96,116.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 79.69,56.14$, 48.68, 37.61, 31.41, 31.27. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right): m / z 375[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$375.1827, found 375.1817.

N-(4-Methoxycyclohexyl)-4-(2-(2-methoxyphenyl)acetamido)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (4d). Yield: 43 mg (solid), $53 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 167.30, 162.43, 157.02, 132.38, 130.90, 128.59, 123.21, 122.30, 120.44, 119.70, 110.88, 77.70, 55.41, 55.04, 46.70, 38.17, 30.26, 29.73. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right): m / z 387[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right):$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$387.2027, found 387.2028.

4-(2-(2,6-Dichlorophenyl)acetamido)-N-(4-methoxycyclohexyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (4e). Yield: 63 mg (solid), $81 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.18(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14$ (s,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\right.$ DMSO- $\left._{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 170.16,162.31,135.49,131.62,129.68,128.30$, 128.10, 122.23, 122.09, 77.68, 55.04, 46.77, 38.23, 30.25, 29.74. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right): m / z 425[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 425.1142$, found 425.1147 .

4-(2-Fluorobenzamido)-N-(4-methoxycyclohexyl)-1H-pyrazole-3carboxamide (4g). Yield: 97 mg (solid), 64\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $(\mathrm{ppm}) 10.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 162.80,160.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=246.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 160.53$, 133.81 (d, $J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 131.77, 124.79, 123.19, 121.42, 120.65 (d, $J=$ $10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 116.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 78.19,55.88,47.27,30.75,30.14$. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right): m / z 361[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$361.1670, found 361.1645.

N-(4-Methoxycyclohexyl)-4-(2-(trifluoromethyl)benzamido)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (4h). Yield: 67 mg (solid), $78 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-$ $7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{brd}, J=$ $12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 163.34,162.73,135.06,133.01$, $132.78,130.73,128.39,126.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) 126.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=29.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 123.57 (d, $J=276.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 122.06, 120.30, 77.66, 55.06, 46.97, 30.28, 29.67. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right): m / z 411[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$411.1639, found 411.1621.

4-(2-Ethylbenzamido)- N -(4-methoxycyclohexyl)-1H-pyrazole-3carboxamide (4i). Yield: 63 mg (solid), $81 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $(\mathrm{ppm}) 10.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, 7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 167.60,163.29,142.86$, 134.89, 133.19, 130.65, 129.69, 127.15, 126.11, 123.25, 120.94, 78.18, 55.86, 74.45, 30.58, 30.12, 26.44, 15.84. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): $m / z 371[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 371.2078$, found 371.2078.

4-(2-Methoxybenzamido)-N-(4-methoxycyclohexyl)-1H-pyrazole3 -carboxamide (4j). Yield: 35 mg (solid), $45 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 162.43$, 160.94, 157.47, 133.49, 131.33, 122.29, 120.83, 120.59, 120.28, 112.32, 77.73, 56.05, 55.05, 46.72, 30.28, 29.83. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): m/z $373[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 373.1870$, found 373.1873.

N-(4-Methoxycyclohexyl)-4-(2-(phenylamino)benzamido)-1 H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (4k). Yield: 41 mg (solid), $45 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.32(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.96(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{br}$ d, $J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 164.84,162.97,144.57$, 141.62, 132.81, 129.33, 128.04, 122.32, 122.00, 120.01, 119.63, 119.26, 118.30, 116.49, 77.74, 55.06, 46.95, 30.33, 29.73. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): $m / z$ $434[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 434.2187, found 434.2165.

4-(2-((2,3-Dimethylphenyl)amino)benzamido)- N -(4-methoxycy-clohexyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (4I). Yield: 53 mg (solid), $55 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.35(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.4,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{br}$ d, $J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 165.36,163.08,147.05,138.87,137.74,132.95,132.72$,
130.25, 127.52, 125.96, 125.74, 122.41, 120.79, 119.98, 117.44, 115.36, 114.63, 77.74, 55.06, 46.95, 30.33, 29.75, 20.25, 13.64. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): $m / z 462[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ES $)$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 462.2500, found 462.2503.

4-(2,6-Dimethoxybenzamido)- N -(4-methoxycyclohexyl)-1H-pyra-zole-3-carboxamide (4m). Yield: $73 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ (solid). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$ (ppm) 162.79, 161.21, 156.88, 132.21, 131.08, 122.52, 119.81, 115.03, 104.28, 77.66, 55.81, 55.08, 46.93, 30.29, 29.66. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): m/z 403 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$403.1976, found 403.1960.

N -(4-Methoxycyclohexyl)-4-(2,4,6-trimethoxybenzamido)-1 H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (4n). Yield: 76 mg (solid), 84\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 164.90,164.72,164.35,160.74,134.07$, 124.36, 122.58, 108.39, 92.09, 79.74, 57.05, 56.89, 56.62, 48.71, 31.61, 31.40. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right): m / z 433[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$433.2082, found 433.2065 .

4-(2,4-Difluorobenzamido)-N-(4-methoxycyclohexyl)-1H-pyra-zole-3-carboxamide (4o). Yield: 114 mg (solid), $72 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{q}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{q}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.22(\mathrm{q}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 164.3(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=250.6,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 162.71,160.3(\mathrm{q}, J=250.8,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 158.03$, 133.26, 133.18, 132.82, 122.12, 120.50, 117.39, 117.31, 112.59, 112.42, 104.96, 104.75, 104.52, 79.12, 78.84, 78.58, 77.71, 55.07, 46.89, 30.09, 29.76;. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): m/z $379[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 379.1576$, found 379.1567.

4-(3,5-Difluorobenzamido)-N-(4-methoxycyclohexyl)-1H-pyra-zole-3-carboxamide (4p). Yield: 157 mg (solid), $99 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{q}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{q}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 162.8,162.5(\mathrm{q}, J=250.7,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 160.3$, $137.16127 .75,126.59,124.12,122.13,118.94,110.2(\mathrm{q}, J=22.0,14.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 107.5(\mathrm{t}, J=27.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 77.71,55.06,46.99,30.29$, 29.76. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right): m / z 379[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right):$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$379.1576, found 379.1568.

4-(3,5-Dichlorobenzamido)- N -(4-methoxycyclohexyl)-1H-pyra-zole-3-carboxamide (4q). Yield: 147 mg (solid), $85 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 10.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 163.12,161.74,136.46,135.73,131.89$, 128.34, 126.03, 125.79, 121.09, 78.10, 55.91, 47.48, 30.69, 30.08. LRMS (ES $): m / z 411[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 411.0985$, found 411.0966 .

4-(4-(Difluoromethoxy)benzamido)-N-(4-methoxycyclohexyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (4r). Yield: 96 mg (solid), $56 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 10.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $73.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 163.28,163.20,154.0(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 133.56, 130.28, 129.24, 123.64, 120.74, 119.22, 118.94, 115.47, 112.01, 78.11, 55.94, 47.53, 30.67, 30.12. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{m} / z$ $409[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right):$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 409.1682, found 409.1649.

N-(4-Methoxycyclohexyl)-4-(4-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)benzamido)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (4s). Yield: 73 mg (solid), $42 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 10.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 164.74,163.48,150.26,133.26$,
128.99, 124.26, 120.52, 119.42, 111.20, 78.20, 55.92, 47.66, 47.39, 30.73, 30.18, 25.46. LRMS (ES $): m / z 412[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$412.2343, found 412.2338.

N-(4-Methoxycyclohexyl)-4-(4-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-benzamido)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (4t). Yield: 37 mg (solid), $20 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 10.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{t}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{t}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ (ppm) 164.17, 163.44, 153.54, 133.33, 128.81, 124.05, 122.92, 120.61, 114.27, 78.17, 55.92, 54.79, 47.62, 47.41, 46.11, 30.72, 30.17. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right): m / z 441[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right):$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$441.2609, found 441.2597.

4-(Benzofuran-2-carboxamido)-N-(4-methoxycyclohexyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (4u). Yield: 134 mg (solid), $83 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 10.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 163.03,156.21,155.22,148.18,133.76$, 129.23, 127.56, 127.24, 123.77, 122.59, 121.20, 112.41, 111.23, 78.15, 55.91, 47.41, 30.74, 30.14. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): $m / z 383[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$383.1714, found 383.1703.

N-(3-((4-Methoxycyclohexyl)carbamoyl)-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyridine-2-carboxamide (4v). Yield: 115 mg (solid), $72 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 162.70,158.15,146.85,141.15,132.80,128.99$, 124.60, 122.00, 120.08, 119.14, 114.53, 97.79, 77.74, 55.06, 46.95, 30.33, 29.79. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): $m / z 383[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$383.1826, found 383.1812.

N-(3-((4-Methoxycyclohexyl)carbamoyl)-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxamide (4w). Yield: 11 mg (solid), $7 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{MeOD}-d_{4}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 9.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{td}, J=6.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.19(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta$ (ppm) 162.89, 156.52, 147.37, 136.35, 127.61, 127.41, 122.12, 119.89, 117.57, 117.46, 114.43, 77.71, 55.07, 46.90, 30.30, 29.76. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): $m / z 383[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right):$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 383.1826, found 383.1811.

N-(3-((4-Methoxycyclohexyl)carbamoyl)-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-2-methylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxamide ( $4 x$ ). Yield: 47 mg (solid), 28\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 10.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.41$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 162.73,157.27,146.18$, 145.49, 127.61, 127.42, 122.35, 120.04, 116.31, 114.46, 113.62, 77.74, 55.06, 46.77, 30.24, 29.81, 16.52. LRMS (ES $\left.{ }^{+}\right): m / z 397[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$397.1983, found 397.1972.

N -(4-Methoxycyclohexyl)-4-(5-phenylfuran-2-carboxamido)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (4y). Yield: 107 mg (solid), $62 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 10.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ (ppm) 163.03, 156.39, 155.72, 146.33, 133.74, 129.49, 128.94, 128.80, 124.72, 122.85, 120.91, 117.13, 107.30, 78.18, 55.88, 47.25, 30.78, 30.14. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right): m / z 409[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$409.1870, found 409.1832 .

N-(3-((4-Methoxycyclohexyl)carbamoyl)-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-5-phe-nyloxazole-4-carboxamide (4z). Yield: 71 mg (solid), $41 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$1.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{q}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{q}, J=11.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 162.64, 157.34, 151.99, $150.43,132.90,130.23,128.50,128.07,127.92,126.62,121.89,120.02$, 77.74, 55.06, 47.00, 30.33, 29.76. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): $m / z 410[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 410.1823$, found 410.1807.

Methyl 4-Nitro-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylate (5). A 100 mL threenecked round-bottomed flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and fitted with a dropping funnel was charged with 4-nitro- 1 H -pyrazole-3-carboxylic acid $(4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 25.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and methanol $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The flask was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and thionyl chloride ( $2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 28.9$ mmol ) was added to the vigorously stirred solution over a period of 10 min . The mixture was stirred for an additional 12 h at room temperature, after which time TLC indicated complete consumption of the starting acid. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the residue treated with toluene and reconcentrated $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ under reduced pressure at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give methyl ester 5 as an off-white solid. Yield: $4.42 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 14.39(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 161.15,138.13,133.20,130.90,52.84$. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$: $m / z 172[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Methyl-4-amino-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylate (6). A 100 mL roundbottomed flask equipped with digital thermometer and stirrer was charged with $10 \%$ palladium on carbon $(0.621 \mathrm{~g})$ under argon. In a separate vessel, a slurry of methyl ester $5(4.42 \mathrm{~g}, 25.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 45 mL ) was warmed to $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to effect dissolution and the solution added to the catalyst under argon. Following a nitrogenhydrogen purge sequence, an atmosphere of hydrogen was introduced and the reaction mixture maintained at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the reaction completion ( 6 h ) was noted by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. Following a purge cycle, the reaction mixture under argon was filtered and the liquors concentrated under reduced pressure to give amine 6 as a solid. Yield: $3.57 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 12.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H), 4.83 (br s, 2H), $3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6} \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 160.39, 136.94, 128.43, 115.59, 50.88. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): m/z $142[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Methyl-4-(2,6-dimethoxybenzamido)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylate (7). A solution of amine $6(3.57 \mathrm{~g}, 25.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,4-dioxane ( 50 mL ) under argon was treated with triethylamine ( $4.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by 2,6-dimethoxybenzoyl chloride ( $6.13 \mathrm{~g}, 30.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) such that the internal temperature was maintained in the range $20-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the reaction was complete ( 12 h ) by TLC analysis. The reaction mixture was filtered, the filter-cake washed with 1,4-dioxane, and the combined filtrates progressed to next stage without further isolation.

To obtain analytical data for compound 7 and also to determine the yield of this reaction, a 2 g sample was taken out of the homogeneous filtrate solution (total weight of this solution is 91 g ). The 2 g sample was then concentrated under reduced pressure until dryness. The crude product ( $\sim 192 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ). Evaporation of the appropriate fractions yielded finally the desired compound 7 as an amorphous solid ( 161 mg ). Therefore, in the whole filtrate contained 7.33 g of compound 7. A 5 mg sample was used for to obtain analytical data; the rest was redissolved for use in the next reaction. Yield: $7.33 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $13.68(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 163.86,161.55,157.07,131.27,129.97$, 123.61, 120.41, 114.66, 104.35, 55.84, 51.63. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): m/z 306 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$306.1084, found 306.1081.

4-(2,6-Dimethoxybenzamido)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxylic Acid (8). To a solution of sodium hydroxide ( $3.32 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water (20 mL ) was charged a solution of ester 7 in one portion ( $7.33 \mathrm{~g}, 24.0$ mmol; the solution of crude 7 from the previous reaction, plus 156 mg of redissolved pure 7). The reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until completion as determined by TLC analysis. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the oily residue diluted with water and acidified to pH 1 with concentrated hydrochloric acid, such that the temperature was maintained below $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting
precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with water, pulled dry on the filter, and subsequently washed with heptanes. The filter cake was charged to a 200 mL rotary evaporator flask and drying completed azeotropically with toluene. Yield: $6.22 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) 13.44 (br s, 2H), 9.17 (br s, 1H), $8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right): m / z 292[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 292.0928$, found 292.0920.

General Method for Variation of Substituent $R^{2}$ : Example $N$ -Cyclohexyl-4-(2,6-dimethoxybenzamido)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (9c). A mixture of carboxylic acid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), amine ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), hydroxybenzotriazole ( 19 mg , $0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), polymer supported-carbodiimide ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), and acetonitrile was heated by microwave irradiation for 10 min at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The final product (9c) was isolated from the reaction mixture by filtering through a short column of Si-carbonate under gravity, which scavenged the excess carboxylic acid and hydroxybenzotriazole. No further purification was required. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure yielded the required compounds as amorphous solids. Yield: 49 mg (solid), $67 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta$ (ppm) $8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 165.02$, 164.71, 159.24, 134.17, 132.97, 123.89, 121.82, 115.64, 105.24, 56.50, 49.36, 33.77, 26.58, 26.19. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$: $m / z 373[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$373.1870, found 373.1850.

N-Cyclopropyl-4-(2,6-dimethoxybenzamido)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (9a). Yield: 42 mg (solid), $64 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta$ $(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\right.$ DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 161.32,161.20,131.10,122.44,122.30,115.01$, 104.28, 55.82, 22.13, and 22.02 (d, rotamers), 5.55. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): $m / z$ $331[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 331.1401, found 331.1385 .

N-Cyclobutyl-4-(2,6-dimethoxybenzamido)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (9b). Yield: 56 mg (solid), $94 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{sex}, J=$ 8.3, 1 H$)$, $3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\right.$ DMSO-d $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 162.53,161.23,156.93,132.14,131.07,122.61$, 119.84, 115.07, 104.32, 55.82, 54.86, 43.39, 29.81, 14.62. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 345[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 345.1557, found 345.1548 .

N-Cycloheptyl-4-(2,6-dimethoxybenzamido)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (9d). Yield: 60 mg (solid), $90 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $(\mathrm{ppm}) 12.19(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 161.75,160.98,155.95,131.18,129.57,121.16$, $119.75,112.84,102.24,54.19,48.30,33.28,26.12,22.39$. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 387[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 387.2027, found 387.2043.

N -(Bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)-4-(2,6-dimethoxybenzamido)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (9e). Yield: 49 mg (solid), $52 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 11.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00$ (br d, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.63-1.37 (m, 5H), 1.16-0.99 (m, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta$ (ppm) 165.11, 164.82, 159.28, 133.97, 133.00, 124.01, 122.33, 115.79, 105.34, 56.59, 53.91, 43.80, 40.38, 37.06, 36.28, 29.28, 27.54. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right): m / z 385[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$385.1870, found 385.1857.

4-(2,6-Dimethoxybenzamido)-N-morpholino-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (9f). Yield: 34 mg (solid), $53 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm) $13.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 161.26,161.18,156.93$, 131.41, 131.10, 122.90, 119.80, 115.01, 104.32, 65.98, 55.82, 54.31. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right): m / z 376[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 376.1615$, found 376.1620 .

4-(2,6-Dimethoxybenzamido)-N-(3-(dimethylamino)propyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (9g). Yield: 41 mg (solid), $63 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\right.$ DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.15($ brs, 1 H$), 9.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.99(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.22$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 163.46,161.29,156.93,139.45$, 131.95, 131.10, 128.21, 127.26, 126.71, 122.58, 120.18, 115.01, 104.32, 55.82, 41.66. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): $m / z 381[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$381.1557, found 381.1543.

4-(2,6-Dimethoxybenzamido)-N-(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-1H-pyra-zole-3-carboxamide (9h). Yield: 28 mg (solid), 42\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\right.$ DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.33(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.96(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 163.65,161.32,158.14,156.93,148.75,136.68$, 131.89, 131.10, 122.61, 122.06, 120.84, 120.18, 114.98, 104.32, 55.82, 43.54. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}: m / z 382[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

4-(2,6-Dimethoxybenzamido)-N-((3-methylpyridin-2-yl)methyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (9i). Yield: 30 mg (solid), $44 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.30(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 163.28,161.29,156.96,153.98,145.81$, 137.64, 132.10, 131.13, 130.64, 122.47, 122.24, 120.04, 114.98, 104.32, 55.84, 40.96, 17, 13. LRMS (ES $): m / z 396[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$396.1666, found 396.1656.

N -(Cyclohexylmethyl)-4-(2,6-dimethoxybenzamido)-1 H-pyra-zole-3-carboxamide (9j). Yield: 56 mg (solid), 84\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.35(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.64(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 165.64,165.00,159.22,134.15,132.99$, 123.89, 122.10, 115.69, 105.31, 56.58, 46.09, 39.42, 32.00, 27.57, 27.03. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right): m / z 386[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$387.2027, found 387.2008.

4-(2,6-Dimethoxybenzamido)-N-phenyl-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (9k). Yield: 48 mg (solid), $76 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm) $13.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 162.29,161.40,157.02,138.10,132.32,131.19$, 128.49, 123.81, 123.02, 120.69, 120.37, 114.98, 104.40, 55.88. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right): m / z 367[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$367.1401, found 367.1402.

4-(2,6-Dimethoxybenzamido)-N-(pyridin-4-yl)-1H-pyrazole-3carboxamide (91). Yield: 10 mg (solid), $16 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.78 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ). LRMS ( $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$): m/z $368[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(E S^{+}\right)$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$368.1353, found 368.1347.

4-(2,6-Dimethoxybenzamido)-N-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-pyrazole-3carboxamide (9m). Yield: 10 mg (solid), $16 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38$ (br d, $J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 161.87, 161.55, 157.01, 150.45, 148.29, 138.41, 131.62, 131.30, 123.04, 120.90, 120.15, 114.66, 113.86, 104.35, 55.84. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right): m / z 368[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$368.1353, found 368.1335 .

4-(2,6-Dimethoxybenzamido)-N-((1r,4r)-4-hydroxycyclohexyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (9n). Yield: 51 mg (solid), $77 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $d_{6} \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 13.21$ (br s, 1H), 9.75 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.28 ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.40-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.19$ (m, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) 162.67, 161.25, 156.91, 131.07, 122.56, 115.10, 104.32, 68.11, 55.82, 47.00, 34.19, 30.00. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): $m / z 389[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 389.1819, found 389.1800.
cis-/trans-(4-(2,6-Dimethoxybenzamido)-N-(4-methylcyclohexyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide) (90). Yield: 49 mg (solid), $74 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1.5 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 165.06, 165.02, 164.76, 164.64, 159.25, 134.07, 134.01, 132.97, 123.99, $123.91,122.30,122.12,115.70,115.66,105.39,105.29,56.54,49.52$, 46.86, 35.15, 33.67, 33.12, 31.53, 31.01, 30.09, 22.63, 21.25. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right): m / z 387[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$387.2027, found 387.2007.
tert-Butyl 4-(4-(2,6-Dimethoxybenzamido)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamido)piperidine-1-carboxylate (9p). Yield: 50 mg (solid), $41 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-3.99(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.90$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 165.00,164.89,159.22,156.39,134.00,132.99$, 124.03, 122.16, 115.72, 105.35, 81.17, 56.61, 47.67, 44.39, and 43.61 (br d, rotamers), 32.69, 28.79. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right): m / z 474[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 474.2347$, found 474.2324.

N-(1-Benzylpyrrolidin-3-yl)-4-(2,6-dimethoxybenzamido)-1H-pyr-azole-3-carboxamide (9q). Yield: 69 mg (solid), $89 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.22$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,4.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta$ (ppm) 165.06, 165.00, 159.22, 139.26, 133.92, 132.99, 130.33, 129.50, 128.49, 124.01, 122.16, 115.67, 105.32, 61.26, 61.17, 56.58, 53.83, 49.19, 32.54. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): $m / z 450[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 450.2136$, found 450.2113 .

4-(2,6-Dimethoxybenzamido)-N-(2-methoxyethyl)-1H-pyrazole3 -carboxamide (9r). Yield: 39 mg (solid), $65 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta$ $(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.35(\mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, 8.4 J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta$ (ppm) 165.76, 165.05, 159.26, 134.10, 132.99, 115.71, 105.32, 72.07, 59.03, 56.56, 39.52. LRMS (ES $\left.{ }^{+}\right): m / z 349[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$349.1506, found 349.1504.

4-(2,6-Dimethoxybenzamido)-N-pentyl-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (9s). Yield: 52 mg (solid), $84 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm) $13.23(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.49 (quint, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 163.39,161.20,156.93,132.25$, 131.07, 122.35, 119.79, 115.05, 104.32, 55.82, 37.95, 28.77, 28.57, 21.78, 13.87. LRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right): m / z 361[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$361.1870, found 361.1868.

4-(2,6-Dimethoxybenzamido)-N-(3-isopropoxypropyl)-1H-pyra-zole-3-carboxamide (9t). Yield: 64 mg (solid), $95 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{sept}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83$ (quin, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 165.58,165.00,159.22$, 134.17, 132.99, 123.82, 121.99, 115.69, 105.32, 73.08, 67.50, 56.61, 38.06, 30.72, 22.49. LRMS (ES $): m / z 391[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$391.1976, found 391.1961.

4-(2,6-Dimethoxybenzamido)-N-(3-(dimethylamino)propyl)-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (9u). Yield: 49 mg (solid), $76 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta$ (ppm) 165.70, 164.94, 159.22, 134.15, 132.99, 123.92, 122.05, 115.75, 105.35, 58.25, 56.61, 45.52, 38.23, 28.32. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): m/z $376[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 376.1979$, found 376.1965.

4-(2,6-Dimethoxybenzamido)-N-(2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl)-1H-pyr-azole-3-carboxamide (9v). Yield: 57 mg (solid), $86 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $165.69,164.97,159.22,134.09,132.99,123.89,122.01,115.72,105.32$,
56.58, 56.32, 55.08, 38.64, 24.31. LRMS (ES $\left.{ }^{+}\right): m / z 388[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$388.1979, found 388.1965 .

4-(2,6-Dimethoxybenzamido)-N-(2-morpholinoethyl)-1H-pyra-zole-3-carboxamide (9w). Yield: 37 mg (solid), $53 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{t}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.5(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6,2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.57(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}{ }^{\mathrm{NMR}}$ $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 165.67,165.00,159.23,134.06,132.96,123.86$, 122.02, 115.72, 105.32, 67.80, 58.60, 56.55, 54.67, 36.50. LRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$): $m / z 404[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 404.1928, found 404.1929.
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