

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

# Antiviral combinations for severe influenza

Jake Dunning, J Kenneth Baillie, Bin Cao, Frederick G Hayden, on behalf of the International Severe Acute Respiratory and Emerging Infection Consortium (ISARIC)

Observational data suggest that the treatment of influenza infection with neuraminidase inhibitors decreases progression to more severe illness, especially when treatment is started soon after symptom onset. However, even early treatment might fail to prevent complications in some patients, particularly those infected with novel viruses such as the 2009 pandemic influenza A H1N1, avian influenza A H5N1 virus subtype, or the avian influenza A H7N9 virus subtype. Furthermore, treatment with one antiviral drug might promote the development of antiviral resistance, especially in immunocompromised hosts and critically ill patients. An obvious strategy to optimise antiviral therapy is to combine drugs with different modes of action. Because host immune responses to infection might also contribute to illness pathogenesis, improved outcomes might be gained from the combination of antiviral therapy with drugs that modulate the immune response in an infected individual. We review available data from preclinical and clinical studies of combination antiviral therapy and of combined antiviral-immunomodulator therapy for influenza. Early-stage data draw attention to several promising antiviral combinations with therapeutic potential in severe infections, but there remains a need to substantiate clinical benefit. Combination therapies with favourable experimental data need to be tested in carefully designed aclinical trials to assess their efficacy.

#### Introduction

Influenza virus infection causes substantial morbidity and mortality, despite the availability of antiviral drugs and vaccines. WHO estimates that annual epidemics cause 3 million to 5 million cases of severe illness and 250000–500000 deaths worldwide.<sup>1</sup> After the 2009 pandemic, outbreaks of that strain have continued to cause serious illness and increased mortality, particularly in young adults and children.<sup>2,3</sup> The increase of zoonotic infections with avian influenza viruses is also of concern.

A second wave of avian influenza H7N9 occurred in China in late 2013 to early 2014, with high rates of severe illness and death in patients with confirmed infection, and the virus continues to circulate in poultry.<sup>4</sup> For the first time,<sup>5</sup> severe avian influenza A H10N8 infection in human beings has been described, and cases of avian influenza A H5N1 continue to be reported, including the first case of imported infection in North America.<sup>6</sup>

Various observational studies in seasonal, pandemic or avian influenza H5N1 infections show that timely oseltamivir monotherapy can reduce the risk of severe influenza outcomes such as pneumonia and admission to hospital and lower mortality in hospital inpatients, including risk groups such as pregnant women and immunocompromised hosts.<sup>7–15</sup> However, monotherapy has not prevented death in many patients with severe pandemic H1N1,<sup>16</sup> H5N1,<sup>15</sup> or H7N9 illness.<sup>17</sup> Although various factors might account for these deaths, oseltamivir treatment is associated with incomplete antiviral responses in severely ill patients, in whom viral detection can persist in the upper and, more often, the lower respiratory tract for days to sometimes weeks during otreatment.<sup>17–20</sup>

Furthermore, emergence of resistance during monotherapy has been a drawback in severe influenza, particularly in immunocompromised hosts<sup>21</sup> and in avian H5N1<sup>22</sup> and H7N9 infections.<sup>17,23</sup> Variants with highly reduced inhibition by oseltamivir in vitro have sometimes emerged within several days of initiation of therapy in severe influenza caused by by pandemic H1N1<sup>21</sup> or avian viruses.<sup>17,23</sup> Modelling studies based on human viral kinetics show that all possible single nucleotide mutations and a sizeable proportion of double ones are generated during an uncomplicated influenza infection.<sup>24</sup> Whereas most of these mutations sustain a fitness cost, some variants show reduced inhibition and be selected during drug therapy. Therapeutic use of influenza antiviral combinations could increase antiviral potency and reduce resistance emergence; both of these effects could increase clinical effectiveness, especially in seriously ill or immunocompromised hosts. Additionally, combinations might allow dose-sparing in the event of drug shortages and possibly reduce risks of adverse drug effects.

The broad range of responses to infection, as seen by the high rates of clinically inapparent infections reported by seroepidemiological studies,<sup>25</sup> shows that the severity of influenza is at least, in part, determined by host factors. Death in many cases is a result of irreversible lung injury related to both host inflammatory responses and direct cellular effects of viral infection (cytopathology and apoptosis). Hence, modulation of the host proinflammatory response might be a tractable complementary therapeutic strategy and offers an advantage compared with antivirals in avoiding emergence of drug-resistant variants. Furthermore, some host-cell pathways are essential for viral replication, so that some host-directed inhibitors have the potential to diminish both viral replication and harmful inflammatory responses.<sup>26</sup>

The well established therapeutic approach of antiviral combinations has received only limited clinical testing in influenza infections so far, and very little information is available from studies in hospitalised or severely ill patients with influenza. Consequently, no combinations of proven value for treatment of severe human influenza are now available. In this Review, we summarise published information regarding influenza antiviral combinations



#### Lancet Infect Dis 2014; 14: 1259–70

Published Online September 9, 2014 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S1473-3099(14)70821-7

This online publication has been corrected. The corrected version first appeared at thelancet.com/ infection on Nov 17, 2014

Centre for Respiratory Infection, National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, London, UK (J Dunning MRCP); Division of Genetics and Genomics. The Roslin Institute, Easter Bush, Midlothian, UK (J K Baillie MRCP); Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, Beijing Institute of Respiratory Medicine Capital Medical University, Beijing, China (B Cao MD); and Division of Infectious Diseases and International Health. University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, VA, USA (Prof F G Havden MD)

Correspondence to: Prof Frederick G Hayden, PO Box 801342, University of Virginia Health System, Charlottesville, VA 22908, USA fgh@virginia.edu See Online for appendix

and comment on antiviral and immunomodulator combinations that have received preclinical and, in some instances, clinical investigation. The details of representative studies of antiviral combinations and of antiviral and immunomodulator combinations are listed in the appendix. Several of these regimens would be candidates for controlled studies in hospital inpatients with serious influenza infections, including patients infected with avian influenza A H7N9 virus, or in patients who are immunocompromised with an increase in the risk of severe disease and resistance emergence.

## Historical perspectives

As previously reviewed,<sup>27,28</sup> in-vitro studies showing enhanced antiviral activity against influenza A virus with dual drug regimens of amantadine and interferon date to 1968<sup>29</sup> and ofrimantadine and ribavirin date to 1977.<sup>30</sup> Combinations of amantadine and ribavirin were reported to increase survival in murine models of influenza A virus infection,30 although not of influenza B.31 Reduction in adamantane resistance emergence in vitro by combination of rimantadine and ribavirin was reported in 1980,32 a principle subsequently supported with amantadine and oseltamivir for many influenza A subtypes, including avian H5N1.33 The first triple influenza drug regimen (interferon alfa, rimantadine, and ribavirin) showing enhanced in-vitro activity against influenza A was described in 1984;34 the investigators also noted enhanced activity with combinations of ribavirin and interferon alfa for an influenza B virus. Once neuraminidase inhibitors became available, preclinical studies showed additive or synergistic in-vitro activity and increased survival in murine models with combinations of an adamantane with a neuraminidase inhibitor.35-40 The first randomised controlled trial of combination therapy in hospital inpatients, done by the Collaborative Antiviral Study Group in the late 1990s, showed that a regimen of oral rimantadine and nebulised

zanamivir seemed to exert a slightly greater antiviral effect and prevent emergence of adamantane resistance compared with one of rimantadine and nebulised saline.<sup>41</sup> A subsequent randomised controlled trial in outpatients reported evidence for possible antagonism with a combination of oral oseltamivir and inhaled zanamivir,<sup>42</sup> an observation that emphasised the importance of detailed preclinical studies before embarking on clinical trials. Subsequent studies have led to several randomised controlled trials testing the safety and efficacy of various combinations of influenza antivirals (table 1).

## Antiviral combinations Neuraminidase inhibitors

At present, circulating influenza viruses including avian influenzas H7N9 and H5N1 are susceptible to neuraminidase inhibitors, and ovesrvational clinical data show reduced mortality with timely oseltamivir therapy in H5N1 disease.<sup>15</sup> Most preclinical studies show at least additive and often synergistic interactions between neuraminidase inhibitors and antiviral drugs with different mechanisms of action. Consequently, oseltamivir or, if available, intravenous zanamivir or peramivir would be a logical choice for use as a foundation drug in testing of an antiviral combination.

Several issues emerge when the possibility of dual neuraminidase inhibitor therapy is considered. The use of dual neuraminidase inhibitors combinations might offer the possibility of reduced resistance emergence because of differing antiviral resistance profiles among these drugs.<sup>47</sup> However, data have not substantiated this potential advantage. Combinations of oseltamivir and zanamivir or peramivir show concentration-dependent additive to antagonistic antiviral effects for H1N1 viruses in vitro,<sup>48</sup> whereas another study reported that combinations of oseltamivir and peramivir show mainly additive activities in vitro and in mice.<sup>49</sup> These findings are consistent with

	Drugs tested	Target population
Pharmacokinetic interactions	Oral oseltamivir + oral amantadine (NCT00416962) Oral oseltamivir + oral favipiravir (unpublished) Intravenous peramivir + oral rimantadine <sup>43</sup> Intravenous peramivir + oral oseltamivir <sup>43</sup> Intravenous zanamivir + oral oseltamivir <sup>44</sup> Oral amantadine + oral ribavirin + oral oseltamivir (NCT00867139)	Healthy volunteers Healthy volunteers Healthy volunteers Healthy volunteers Healthy volunteers Healthy volunteers
Completed controlled trails of clinical efficacy	Oral rimantadine + nebulised zanamivir <sup>4</sup> Oral oseltamivir + inhaled zanamivir <sup>42</sup> Oral oseltamivir + pH1N1 convalescent plasma <sup>45</sup> Oral oseltamivir + pH1N1 hyperimmune globulin (NCT01617317) Oral oseltamivir + maxingshigan/yinqiaosan (NCT00935194) Oral oseltamivir + sirolimus + corticosteroids <sup>46</sup> Oral amantadine + ribavirin + oseltamivir (TCAD; NCT01617317)	Hospitalised adults Ambulatory adults Critically ill patients Critically ill patients Ambulatory adults Critically ill patients Critically ill patients
Continuing randomised controlled trials of clinical efficacy	Oral oseltamivir + convalescent plasma or hyperimmune globulin (NCT01052480) Oral amantadine + ribavirin + oseltamivir (TCAD; NCT01227967) Oseltamivir + nitazoxanide (NCT01610245)	Hospitalised adults High-risk outpatients Ambulatory adults
CAD= triple combination antiviral drug.		

the similarities in chemical structure of oseltamivir and peramivir. In either instance, no greater antiviral effects than the more potent drug of the two would be expected.

Influenza A neuraminidases form two groups on phylogenetic analysis: group 1 (N1, N4, N5, and N8) and group 2 (N2, N3, N6, N7, and N9). Each group has distinctive structural features, including a protein loop, the 150-loop, that has an open active-site conformation in group 1 neuraminidases and a closed active-site conformation in group 2 neuraminidases.<sup>50</sup> Although both oseltamivir and zanamivir inhibit both groups to a similar degree, some oseltamivir-resistant viruses show neuraminidase group specificity that is mediated by specific aminoacid changes.<sup>47,51</sup>

N9 aminoacid substitutions selected in vitro by oseltamivir include several also seen in H3N2 viruses in patients given oseltamivir (eg, Arg292Lys and Glu119Val in N2 numbering).<sup>52,53</sup> Although the catalytic site Arg292Lys substitution causes a marked fitness loss in H3N2, it also confers highly reduced inhibition by oseltamivir (>1000-fold reduction) in enzyme inhibition assays and reduced inhibition by zanamivir and to greater extent by peramivir.52 This substitution (Arg294Lys in N9 numbering) also causes highly reduced inhibition by oseltamivir and peramivir and reduced inhibition by zanamivir in avian influenza H7N9 in vitro,54 and absence of inhibition in mice given neuraminidase inhibitors.55 Of note, emergence of the Arg292Lys substitution has been reported as early as 2 days after initiation of oseltamivir in patients infected with iH7N9 and has been associated with poor clinical outcomes.<sup>17,56</sup> H7N9 variants with Arg292Lys replicate at least as well in Madin-Darby canine kidney-SIAT1 cells and primary human respiratory cells as susceptible virus<sup>54</sup> and show similar virulence in mice and transmissibility in guineapigs.57 Such findings show that the fitness effects of Arg292Lys in the N9 background are much less than those reported for H3N2 viruses and that this substitution might render all available neuraminidase inhibitors clinically ineffective.

In healthy volunteers, the combined administration of intravenous zanamivir and oral oseltamivir44 or of intravenous peramivir and oral oseltamivir<sup>43</sup> shows no important pharmacokinetic interactions. One randomised double-blind, placebo-controlled trial identified slower virological and clinical responses in patients given combined therapy with oseltamivir and inhaled zanamivir compared with oseltamivir alone in treatment of uncomplicated, mostly H3N2 influenza in adults,42 although the combination might have been more effective in reducing secondary transmission.58 A small randomised controlled trial in outpatients infected with 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus did not show obvious differences in antiviral effects with the combination compared with oseltamivir alone.<sup>59</sup> However, an observational study in oseltamivir-pretreated, severely ill patients with pandemic H1N1 virus showed that late switch to intravenous zanamivir was associated with sustained viral-load reductions in three of three patients given zanamivir monotherapy but in only three of ten given combined therapy with oseltamivir.<sup>60</sup> Another open-label trial in critically ill patients with pandemic H1N1 virus reported persistent viral detection, with 75% still RNA positive for influenza at 7 days after the start of treatment, despite administration of a combination of higher dose oral oseltamivir and inhaled zanamivir.<sup>61</sup> Consequently, these results raise concerns about adverse interactions for combinations of zanamivir and oseltamivir; further preclinical assessment, including enzyme inhibition studies, is warranted before use in clinical practice.

#### Adamantanes

Most circulating or threatening influenza viruses at present are adamantane-resistant, including avian A H7N9, pandemic H1N1, avian H10N8, and seasonal H3N2 viruses. However, adamantane resistance is variable in avian H5N1 viruses, and many isolates have been susceptible.62 In preclinical studies with adamantanesusceptible influenza A virus, the combined use of an adamantane with a neuraminidase inhibitor or ribavirin generally shows additive or synergistic interactions in vitro30,37,63,64 and increased survival in murine models of influenza, including avian H5N1 virus.30,33,35,65 However, if an influenza virus is adamantane-resistant, no additional survival benefit or antiviral effect has been shown when adamantane has also been given compared with oseltamivir or ribavirin monotherapy, although one study reported increased survival with dual combinations of amantadine and oseltamivir or ribavirin for an adamantane-resistant 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus in mice.66

Human studies of combinations of oral oseltamivir and amantadine<sup>67</sup> and of oral rimantadine and intravenous peramivir<sup>43</sup> have shown no important pharmacokinetic interactions. One placebo-controlled but underpowered trial of nebulised zanamivir in patients with influenza A who had been admitted to hospital, all of whom were given rimantadine, showed trends towards faster cough resolution and lesser risk of adamantane resistance emergence.<sup>41</sup> Consequently, a dual regimen of an adamantane and neuraminidase inhibitor would be a reasonable initial treatment regimen for serious influenza when the infecting strain is probably susceptible to both drug classes.

#### Ribavirin

Combinations of ribavirin with neuraminidase inhibitors have shown variable interactions. Peramivir and ribavirin showed synergistic activity for an H1N1 virus in vitro and enhanced survival effects when given orally in combination compared with suboptimum doses of either agent.<sup>68</sup> Several oseltamivir and ribavirin dose combinations increased survival, reduced lung consolidation, and reduced lung viral titres in influenza B compared with suboptimum doses of single agents, whereas one dosing regimen of oseltamivir and ribavirin showed no greater effects than ribavirin alone for mice injected with A/New Caledonia/20/99 H1N1.<sup>69</sup> Ribavirin and oseltamivir have shown mainly additive interactions in preclinical assays with H5N1.<sup>40,65,70,71</sup> In mice infected with avian H5N1 virus, oseltamivir and ribavirin also showed exceptions of marginal synergy or slight antagonism at some dose combinations.<sup>6</sup>

In uncomplicated seasonal influenza, randomised controlled trials show that ribavirin monotherapy is ineffective at doses of 1 g per day<sup>72</sup> and only marginally benefits clinical manifestations at higher doses of 8.4 g given over 2 days.73 Aerosolised and intravenous ribavirin preparations have been used with possible benefit in severely ill patients with influenza,74,75 and a combination of oral ribavirin and amantadine was used in the treatment of influenza pneumonia in a pregnant patient who survived.76 However, an assessment by US Food and Drug Administration experts concluded that the data from compassionate use reports of intravenous ribavirin in influenza were inconclusive in terms of clinical benefits and also pointed out the potential safety issues associated with ribavirin, such as haemolytic anaemia and teratogeniocity.77

## Triple-combination antiviral drug (TCAD) treatment

A TCAD regimen (Adamas, Emeryville, CA, USA) of three available agents (amantadine, ribavirin, and oseltamivir) shows synergistic activity in vitro against not only influenza A viruses that are susceptible,78 but also those resistant to the adamantanes or oseltamivir at baseline, including adamantane-resistant 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus.48 TCAD was more inhibitory than any of the dual combinations and was also more effective at preventing resistance emergence during in-vitro passage.79 Murine model studies reported greater survival than with dual combinations for an adamantanesusceptible H5N1 virus and also for an adamantaneresistant pandemic H1N1 virus.66 However, virological data were not provided to establish whether the improved survival was associated with greater antiviral effects or possibly reduced resistance emergence in vivo.

In a small cohort of highly immunocompromised patients infected with influenza, TCAD recipients did not have emergence of resistance-associated substitutions; the regimen was reasonably well tolerated over 10 days and provided the target blood concentrations of the individual drugs.<sup>80</sup> A retrospective observational study of critically ill adults infected with pandemic H1N1 virus suggest non-significant trends towards reduced 14 day (17% *vs* 35%; p=0.08) and 90 day (46% *vs* 59%; p=0.23) mortality in TCAD recipients compared with those receiving oseltamivir monotherapy.<sup>81</sup> A randomised controlled trial trial sponsored by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease comparing TCAD with

oseltamivir monotherapy for ambulatory high-risk patients is in progress (NCT01227967), and controlled studies in hospital inpatients seem to be warranted.

#### Favipiravir

Favipiravir or T-705 (Toyama Chemical Co, Tokyo, Japan) is a novel influenza polymerase inhibitor that is active against influenza A, B, and C viruses including adamantane-resistant or oseltamivir-resistant variants.82 Favipiravir and oseltamivir show concentration-related additive or synergistic effects for influenza A viruses in vitro and, depending on dose and timing, on survival in mice infected with various influenza viruses.83 Combination of suboptimum doses of favipiravir and oseltamivir afforded 60-80% protection and improved bodyweights during infection in a lethal H5N1 model (one designed to give an infectious dose that is predictably associated with 100% mortality, and combinations of favipiravir and peramivir also showed synergy in survival and enhanced antiviral effects compared with suboptimum doses of each compound alone for the treatment of 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus in mice.84 Limited testing has recorded no pharmacokinetic interactions of oral favipiravir and oseltamivir in healthy participants. However, dose adjustments in the setting of renal insufficiency are still to be identified, and the recommended dose regimen varies with the target population (Asian and white). In Japan, where favipiravir has been approved for treatment of novel or re-emerging influenza virus infections (limited to cases in which other anti-influenza virus drugs are ineffective or not sufficiently effective),<sup>85</sup> a phase 3 study in uncomplicated influenza showed similar antiviral effects as oseltamivir.86 A phase 2 treatment study completed in adults reported evidence for symptom alleviation,87 and a large phase 3 randomised controlled trial in uncomplicated disease was initiated during the 2013-14 season (NCT02008344). In view of the available preclinical data, oral favipiravir would be an especially interesting candidate for study of combination therapy with a neuraminidase inhibitor in serious influenza viral infection.

#### **Neutralising antibodies**

containing Convalescent plasma virus-specific neutralising antibodies has been used with apparent benefit in neuraminidase inhibitor-treated patients with severe H5N1 infection.<sup>88</sup> In a cohort study in neuraminidase inhibitor-treated, critically ill patients infected with 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus, crude mortality was reduced from 55% in non-treated patients to 20% in 20 patients receiving convalescent plasma (p=0.011), and substantial reductions in nasopharyngeal viral load (quantity of viral RNA copy number in volume of sample) on treatment days 3-7 and in plasma cytokines and chemokines were recorded compared with controls.45 In a similar critically ill group of patients receiving neuraminidase inhibitor therapy, a small double-blind

randomised controlled trial of hyperimmune globulin containing high neutralising antibody titres to influenza pandemic H1N1 virus was associated with no mortality when treatment was given within 5 days of illness onset compared with 40% in those receiving pre-2009 intravenous immunoglobulin (p=0.04),<sup>89</sup> although overall mortality did not differ between the groups when those with delayed administration were included (five of 17 treated and four of 17 untreated). These findings suggest that the combination of neuraminidase inhibitor therapy with neutralising antibodies in the form of convalescent plasma or hyperimmune globin would be an appropriate choice for study in patients with severe H7N9 illness once available.

Broad-spectrum neutralising monoclonal antibodies that target conserved epitopes on the stem of viral haemagglutinin and inhibit fusion have therapeutic activity in animal models of influenza for group 1 haemagglutinins (including H1, H2, H5, and H9),90 group 2 haemagglutinins (including H3 and H7),91 or both group 1 and 2 haemagglutinins.<sup>92</sup> One pan-influenza antihaemagglutinin stem monoclonal antibody (designated 39.29) increased survival in mice infected with A/PR/8/34 H1N1] virus when combined with oseltamivir compared with either single agent.93 These antibodies are just entering clinical trials at present but might offer broad-spectrum activity, especially if they possess sufficient avidity and can be delivered in sufficient quantity.

## Other investigational antiviral drugs

Several antivirals that possess novel mechanisms of action and show anti-influenza activity in initial clinical studies would also be options for potential combination regimens with neuraminidase inhibitors or other approved drugs.<sup>28,94</sup>

Nitazoxanide is an approved oral antiparasitic agent with a well defined safety profile and human pharmacology. In vitro, nitazoxanide and its active metabolite tizoxanide inhibit influenza virus replication at submicromolar concentrations.95,96 Nitazoxanide is reportedly both an interferon inducer and an inhibitor of influenza antihaemagglutinin maturation through a novel mechanism of action.<sup>97</sup> Scarce in-vitro testing shows evidence for synergy with combinations of tizoxanide and neuraminidase inhibitors in preclinical testing.96 A placebo-controlled phase 2 randomised controlled trial in uncomplicated influenza showed great antiviral effects and clinical benefit (roughly a 21 h reduction in illness duration) at a dose of 600 mg twice a day compared with placebo.98 Under the sponsorship of the Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority (BARDA), a large phase 3 randomised placebo-controlled trial has been initiated to compare nitazoxanide monotherapy, oseltamivir monotherapy, and the combination of oseltamivir and nitazoxanide for the treatment of uncomplicated influenza (NCT01610245).

Inhaled DAS181 (Ansun Biopharma, San Diego, CA, USA) is a conjugated sialidase that destroys the sialic acidbearing receptors on host cells that are used by influenza A and B and parainfluenza viruses, to initiate infection. Topically applied DAS181 is active in murine and ferret models of influenza including H5N1, H7N9, and other influenza viruses.55,99,100 Because of its novel host-directed mechanism of action, DAS181 is active against viruses resistant to existing agents99,101 and has a low risk of resistance emergence. Longlasting in-vitro passage of influenza viruses in the presence of DAS181 leads to emergence of unstable variants with three to 18-fold reductions in susceptibility that contain substitutions in antihaemagglutinin and neuroaminidase.<sup>102</sup> Inhaled DAS181 showed great antiviral effects in a phase 2 randomised controlled trial in uncomplicated influenza, although no demonstrable effects on illness resolution.103 The inhalation route might prove difficult in severe illness, but several case reports have shown apparent clinical benefit and no serious adverse events when used for treatment of serious parainfluenza virus in immunocompromised hosts.<sup>104,105</sup> Further studies in uncomplicated influenza are in progress (NCT01740063).

Arbidol is an oral antiviral available used for influenza treatment in Russia (where it has been available over-thecounter since 1990) and some other countries.<sup>106</sup> It has broad spectrum inhibitory effects for many enveloped RNA viruses, but also specifically targets influenza antihaemagglutinin-mediated membrane fusion. Arbidol-resistant variants selected in vitro have substitutions in the antihaemagglutinin 2 subunit.107 Arbidol shows dose-related antiviral effects and survival in murine model studies,<sup>108</sup> and experiments in cell culture have shown synergistic effects when combined with adamantanes, ribavirin, or neuraminidase inhibitors.106,109 Few randomised controlled trial data on its clinical and antiviral efficacy are available, but it seems to be generally well tolerated when used for influenza prophylaxis or treatment in Russian studies, and further studies are in progress. Arbidol would be an interesting candidate for combination studies, particularly in countries where it is already being used as monotherapy.

Oral VX-787 (Vertex Pharmaceuticals, Boston, MA, USA) has a novel mechanism of action selective for influenza A viruses and is active against neuraminidaseinhibitor variants and adamantane-resistant variants and would probably show enhanced activity in combination with neuroaminidase inhibitors. Oral VX-787 is reported to have positive antiviral and clinical effects in a phase 2 experimental infection study in human beings at highest dose tested of 1200 mg once, followed by 600 mg daily for 4 days.<sup>110</sup>

AVI-7100 (Serepta, Cambridge, MA) is a smallinterfering RNA (siRNA) construct designed to inhibit the translation of both the matrix protein and the M2 ion channel by targeting their shared translation initiation start site.<sup>111,112</sup> This modified phosphorodiamidate morpholino oligomer has enhanced resistance to enzymatic degradation, improves pharmacological properties, and limits the potential for non-specific immunomodulatory effects. Ferret studies with an oseltamivir-resistant H1N1 virus have shown disease moderation and reduced viral titres after topical or intraperitoneal administration, including reduced transmission in ferrets after intranasal administration.111,112 Although oseltamivir was not inhibitory in this model, the combination of intranasal or intraperitoneal AVI-7100 with oral oseltamivir tended to reduce nasal viral titres to greater extent than AVI-7100 alone.111 The National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease is conducting a phase 1, randomised placebo-controlled trial to assess the safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of single and multiple doses of intravenous AVI-7100 in healthy participants (NCT01747148).

#### Antiviral and immunomodulator combinations

Several potential immunomodulatory agents have been proposed for adjunctive influenza treatment, mainly those directed against excessive proinflammatory host responses to infection.27,28,113,114 Many of these have shown activity in animal models and new candidates, such as the agonist of human complement component 5a (C5a) termed EP67,115 the retinoic acidinducible gene 1 (RIG-I) agonist 5'triphosphate RNA,116 and the Toll-like receptor 4 antagonist Eritoran (EisaiCo, Tokyo, Japan)<sup>117</sup> continue to be reported. For example, studies suggest that the endogenous lipid mediator protectin D1 is downregulated during severe influenza and that exogenous administration exerts antiviral effects and improves outcome from severe influenza in a mouse model.<sup>118</sup> Agents with dual mechanisms of action have also been described: the cyclo-oxygenase 2 (COX-2) inhibitor naproxen was shown to inhibit influenza nucleoprotein and exert antiviral effects in a murine model.119

Depending on the particular model, drugs with either proinflammatory or anti-inflammatory effects have shown benefits in animal models. However, few immunomodulators have been studied in combination with influenza antivirals in preclinical studies, and none have been studied in adequately powered randomised controlled trials in serious human influenza (appendix). Furthermore, the unclear relation of disease pathogenesis in animal models, especially murine ones, with human influenza, and the heterogeneity of factors contributing to severe human influenza,120 means that the predictive value of immunomodulator activity in animal models of influenza studies is unclear. One obvious concern is that downregulation of important innate immune responses could contribute to inadequate control of viral replication and be associated with worsened clinical outcomes.

However, some immunomodulatory agents such as the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitors<sup>121</sup> or inhibitors of the Raf–MEK–ERK pathway<sup>122</sup> seem to target host cell pathways essential for viral replication. The mTOR inhibitor everolimus shows antiviral effects and disease mitigation in a lethal murine model of influenza.<sup>121</sup> A small, open-label randomised controlled trial in critically ill adults infected with 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus pjp who were all given oral oseltamivir and systemic corticosteroids showed both more rapid improvements in respiratory function (as shown in parameters of gas exchange and need for mechanical ventilation) and reduced viral detection on day 7 in recipients of the mTOR inhibitor sirolimus compared with no treatment, although there was no overall difference in mortality.46 The potential value of mTOR inhibitors in severe influenza warrants further study. Immunomodulatory agents that have increased survival in combination with influenza antivirals in murine models include N-acetylcysteine,<sup>123,124</sup> a topical sphingosine analogue designated AAL-R that inhibits various proinflammatory cytokine and chemokine responses,125 the COX-2 inhibitor celecoxib with mesalazine,<sup>126</sup> thymosin,<sup>127</sup> topical surfactant,<sup>128</sup> and probenecid.<sup>129</sup> In addition to the enhanced antiviral activity reported with combined probenecid and oseltamivir treatment in a mouse model of influenza,129 this combination is particularly interesting since probenecid inhibits oseltamivir carboxylate excretion and potentially enables either boosting of blood concentrations or dosing-sparing.130

#### **Macrolides and statins**

The use of widely available, low cost drugs with immunomodulatory activity has been promoted as a possible treatment strategy,<sup>114</sup> but so far no prospective randomised controlled trials of such agents have been completed in patients with severe influenza illness. In mice infected with avian H5N1 virus, simvastatin given with oseltamivir did not improve the efficacy of oseltamivir monotherapy,131 whereas a preliminary study reported some disease-modifying effects with a triple regimen of osetltamivir, simvastatin, and fenofibrate compared with oseltamivir alone.132 Observational studies from the 2009 pandemic did not find improved outcomes in severely ill patients given neuraminidase inhibitors and various immunomodulatory therapies including macrolides and statins.133 One retrospective analysis in uncomplicated influenza did not find that the addition of clarithromycin to oseltamivir improved clinical outcomes.<sup>134</sup> In a prospective observational study of critically ill patients with influenza without evidence of bacterial co-infection, treatment with macrolides was not associated with improved survival.135 However, several retrospective studies have reported substantial mortality benefits in patients taking statins who were subsequently admitted to hospital for seasonal influenza<sup>136</sup> or pneumonia.<sup>137,138</sup> Other studies have not shown such results, and the possible benefit of starting statins at the time of influenza onset or treatment in hospital has not been reported. One randomised controlled trial in an intensive care unit suggested reduced risks of ventilator-associated pneumonia and mortality with addition of pravastatin therapy in patients needing mechanical ventilation;<sup>139</sup> further studies are warranted.

#### Interferons

Interferon alfa shows enhanced anti-influenza activity in vitro with other antivirals,<sup>29,34</sup> in addition to its immunomodulatory properties. Some evidence shows that severely ill patients with influenza have deficient endogenous interferon responses.<sup>140,141</sup> Systemic interferon is active in a murine model of H5N1 virus infection<sup>142</sup> and in a macaque model of H1N1 virus infection.<sup>143</sup> Systemic interferon-alfacon-1 in combination with systemic glucocorticoids showed possible benefit and adequate tolerance in treatment of patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus, (SARS) infection<sup>144</sup> but systemic interferon has not been studied in serious influenza until now.

# Glucocorticoids

Systemic glucocorticoids have been frequently used for treatment of influenza-associated pneumonia and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), including up to 60% of hospital inpatients with avian influenza A H7N9 illness.23 Almost all of these patients have been given concurrent antiviral therapy. However, extension of viral replication has been identified in patients with seasonal influenza given systemic glucocorticoids for management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or asthma,<sup>145</sup> and large observational studies from the 2009 pandemic reported that systemic glucocorticoid administration for pneumonia or ARDS was associated with increases in secondary bacterial and fungal infections, prolongation of intensive care unit stay, and sometimes higher mortality in intensive care unit patients.<sup>146-149</sup> Reports of patients infected with H7N9 virus suggest that glucocorticoid use might also be a risk factor for antiviral resistance emergence.<sup>17,56</sup> Consequently, their use for influenzaassociated pneumonia or ARDS should best be limited to controlled clinical studies.

#### Chinese traditional medicine (CTM)

The use of CTMs in the treatment of seasonal influenza has a history of several thousands of years.<sup>150</sup> The mechanisms of CTMs in the treatment of influenza are complex and incompletely understood. Maxingshigan, one formulation of CTM, directly inactivates influenza A virus, idisrupting adsorption, and protecting cells from becoming infected. The administration of Chinese herbs might also have beneficial immunomodulatory effects, but few clinical trials have been reported about the effects of combination therapy in influenza. One multicentre, prospective nonblinded randomised controlled trial compared the efficacy and safety of oseltamivir, maxingshigan-yinqiaosan, and

	Specific considerations	
Availability of drug	Regulatory approval status, generic availability, cost, importation restrictions	
Route of administration		
Inhaled/topical	Delivery device features; nebulisation potential in infants Effective delivery in severe and pneumonic disease; bronchospasm risk	
Oral	Ease of administration, widest applicability, appropriate formulation for children	
Injected	Reliable delivery in seriously ill patients, need for injection equipment and higher resourced setting	
Complexity of dosing regimen	Compatibility of co-formulation (eg, potential single-dose form) Applicability across age spectrum (infants, elderly people) Applicability in low resource setting Compliance	
Adverse event profile	Risk-benefit profile in outpatients and specific target populations Safety in infants and pregnancy	
Antiviral potency and spectrum	Results from preclinical models Ability to rapidly control replication	
Drug interactions	Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic interactions with other influenza antivirals Pharmacokinetic interactions with other therapies (eg, immunosuppressive drugs)	
Antiviral resistance emergence	Frequency, rapidity, cross-resistance patterns, viral fitness consequences	
Immunomodulatory effects	Timing of initiation and cessation of intervention Target population based on disease pathogenesis	
Cost	Effect on breadth of use Cost-benefit in outpatients Availability in low-resource settings	
able 2: Considerations in selecting antiviral combinations for study		

#### Search strategies and selection criteria

The primary searches for relevant studies for inclusion were done through PubMed between Jan 1, 1994 and May 1, 2014, in English with the following disease terms: "influenza" or "influenza, human". The results of these searches were crossed with the drug terms "interferons" or "oseltamivir" or "zanamivir" or "neuraminidase inhibitor" or "amantadine" or "peramivir" or "rimantadine" or "ribavirin" or "vaccines, combined" or "drug therapy, combination" or "combined drug therapy" or "combined antiviral therapy" or "antiviral therapy", and the identified abstracts were screened by one or more researchers. Earlier studies were also identified from the files of the senior author. We focused on studies of approved drugs and those in active clinical development that might be considered for near-term clinical study or use in combinations. Articles that studied investigational drugs that had not progressed in development (eq, in-vitro studies of plant extracts) or were inaccessible because of language barriers were not included. In addition, we searched three Chinese databases: Sinomed (former Chinese Biomedical Literature Database); China Knowledge Resource Integrated Database; and the WANFANG Database. Observational clinical studies with no control groups or those without laboratoryconfirmed influenza virus detection were not included.

the combination of both in treatment of outpatients with 2009 pandemic influenza  $A^{.151}$  Both individual agents accelerated fever resolution compared with placebo, and the combination showed a borderline statistically significant reduction in time to fever resolution compared with oseltamivir alone (median hours to alleviation of fever, 20.0 [95% CI 17.0 to 24.0] *vs* 15.0 [12.0 to 18.0]). However, no difference in the reduction of symptom scores and duration of viral shedding between combination therapy and oseltamivir monotherapy were found. Other clinical studies

involving CTMs (appendix) have had limitations with respect to clarity on study methods (ie, randomisation procedures, blinding, and placebo controls) and adequacy of adverse-event reporting.

## **Future directions**

Because of the many potential combinations that might be considered for study, various criteria need to be thought about to select the most appropriate interventions to take forward into clinical testing (table 2). For example, based on the availability in China at present, antiviral combinations of oseltamivir or intravenous peramivir with ribavirin, convalescent plasma, or some other form of neutralising antibody, or perhaps with nitazoxanide would be candidates for testing in serious influenza illness including that caused by avian H7N9.56 Likewise, the strategies for clinical testing will need careful consideration. Since doing randomised controlled trials in seriously ill hospital inpatients is particularly difficult and resource intensive,120 initial proof-of-concept studies might be done in alternative populations such experimentally infected volunteers<sup>152</sup> or outpatients with influenza. For antiviral combinations, the initial goal is to show tolerability and greater antiviral effects than with monotherapy. Consequently, smaller clinical studies that examine virological endpoints (quantitative virology and resistance emergence) might suffice to find out which combinations to take forward into large studies in risk groups or hospital inpatients.

For combinations involving immunomodulatory interventions, the challenges are great because the goal is disease amelioration through modulation of host responses. Unfortunately, our understanding of the heterogeneity and dynamics of immune responses in serious human influenza and their relation to disease pathogenesis is limited. The possibility of using immunomodulatory interventions will need to consider the particular target population and disease stage, the goal of either suppressing adverse host responses or supplementing deficient ones, and the timing of initiating and ceasing the intervention in relation to the course of illness. Adaptive clinical trial designs offer greater flexibility and efficiency through planned modifications, such as changes to sample size and treatment arms based on statistical analysis of data generated in the early stages of a trial.153 By incorporating objective endpoints, such designs would enable the study of several different combinations simultaneously.

#### Contributors

FGH conceived the Review and wrote the first draft. JD reviewed the scientific literature on combination antiviral therapy, developed the associated appendix, and provided key revisions to the text. JKB reviewed the scientific literature on combination antiviral and immunomodulatory therapy, developed the associated appendix, and provided key revisions to the text. BC reviewed the scientific literature on combinations involving traditional Chinese medicine and provided associated updates to the appendices and text. All authors contributed to editing the final draft of the Review and all authors approved the final version.

#### **Declaration of interests**

JD, JKB and BC declare no competing interests. FGH has served as an unpaid consultant to many companies engaged in development and marketing of influenza antivirals since 2008 to present. He was a member of the Neuraminidase Inhibitor Susceptibility Network (NISN) from 2008–11 with honoraria paid to the University of Virginia; NISN received funding from Roche and GSK. Both FGH and the University of Virginia have received fees for his testimony in legal cases involving neuraminidase inhibitors. FGH served on an independent data safety and monitoring board for an influenza vaccine trial sponsored by Sanofi Pasteur, as consultant to GSK on respiratory virus vaccines, and as a consultant to Hologic on respiratory virus diagnostics with honoraria paid to the University of Virginia.

#### Acknowledgments

This Review was written by members of the International Severe Acute Respiratory and Emerging Infection Consortium (ISARIC) to assist colleagues in WHO and in China with clinical research responses to the outbreak of avian influenza A H7N9 virus infections in 2013–14. We thank Mike Wilson (Research and Data Services, Claude Moore Health Sciences Library, University of Virginia Health System) and Min Wang (Institute of Medical Information [IMI] & Library, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College [CAMS & PUMC]) for assistance with the scientific literature search, and Lisa Cook (University of Virginia School of Medicine) for her help with manuscript preparation.

#### References

- WHO. Influenza (seasonal). Fact sheet; March, 2014. http://www. who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs211/en/index.html (accessed June 23, 2014).
- 2 Health Protection Agency. Surveillance of influenza and other respiratory viruses in the UK: 2010–2011. May, 2011 report. http:// www.hpa.org.uk/Publications/InfectiousDiseases/ Influenza/1105influenzareport/(accessed June 23, 2014).
- 3 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Seasonal influenza (flu). 2013–2014 influenza season week 18 ending May 3, 2014. http://www.cdc.gov/flu/weekly/ (accessed June 23, 2014).
- European Centre For Disease Prevention and Control. Human infection with a novel avian influenza A(H7N9) virus, China. Third update; Jan 27, 2014. http://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications/ Publications/influenza-AH7N9-China-rapid-risk-assessment-27-January-2014.pdf (accessed June 23, 2014).
- 5 Chen H, Yuan H, Gao R, et al. Clinical and epidemiological characteristics of a fatal case of avian influenza A H10N8 virus infection: a descriptive study. *Lancet* 2014; 383: 714–21.
- 6 WHO. Human infection with avian influenza A (H5N1) virus-update. Global Alert and Response (GAR); Jan 9, 2014. http://www.who.int/ csr/don/2014\_01\_09\_h5n1/en/index.html (accessed June 23, 2014).
- 7 Kumar D, Michaels MG, Morris MI, et al. Outcomes from pandemic influenza A H1N1 infection in recipients of solid-organ transplants: a multicentre cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2010; 10: 521–26.
- 8 Yu H, Liao Q, Yuan Y, et al. Effectiveness of oseltamivir on disease progression and viral RNA shedding in patients with mild pandemic 2009 influenza A H1N1: opportunistic retrospective study of medical charts in China. *BMJ* 2010; 341: c4779.
- 9 Louie JK, Yang S, Acosta M, et al. Treatment with neuraminidase inhibitors for critically ill patients with influenza A(H1N1)pdm09. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012; 55: 1198–204.
- 10 Muthuri SG, Myles PR, Venkatesan S, Leonardi-Bee J, Nguyen-Van-Tam JS. Impact of neuraminidase inhibitor treatment on outcomes of public health importance during the 2009–2010 influenza A(H1N1) pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis in hospitalized patients. *J Infect Dis* 2013; 207: 553–63.
- 11 Hsu J, Santesso N, Mustafa R, et al. Antivirals for treatment of influenza: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Ann Intern Med 2012; 156: 512–24.
- 12 Siston AM, Rasmussen SA, Honein MA, et al. Pandemic 2009 influenza A(H1N1) virus illness among pregnant women in the United States. JAMA 2010; 303: 1517–25.
- Dubar G, Azria E, Tesniere A, et al. French experience of 2009 A/H1N1v influenza in pregnant women. *PLoS One* 2010; 5: e13112.

- 14 Muthuri SG, Venkatesan S, Myles PR, et al. Effectiveness of neuraminidase inhibitors in reducing mortality in patients admitted to hospital with influenza A H1N1pdm09 virus infection: a metaanalysis of individual participant data. *Lancet Respir Med* 2014; 2: 395–404.
- 15 Chan PK, Lee N, Zaman M, et al. Determinants of antiviral effectiveness in influenza virus A subtype H5N1. J Infect Dis 2012; 206: 1359–66.
- 16 Nukiwa N, Kamigaki T, Oshitani H. Fatal cases of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 influenza despite their early antiviral treatment in Japan. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010; **51**: 993–94.
- 17 Hu Y, Lu S, Song Z, et al. Association between adverse clinical outcome in human disease caused by novel influenza A H7N9 virus and sustained viral shedding and emergence of antiviral resistance. *Lancet* 2013; 381: 2273–79.
- 18 To KK, Hung IF, Li IW, et al. Delayed clearance of viral load and marked cytokine activation in severe cases of pandemic H1N1 2009 influenza virus infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010; **50**: 850–59.
- 19 Lee N, Ison MG. Diagnosis, management and outcomes of adults hospitalized with influenza. *Antivir Ther* 2012; 17: 143–57.
- 20 Malato L, Llavador V, Marmier E, et al. Pandemic influenza A(H1N1) 2009: molecular characterisation and duration of viral shedding in intensive care patients in Bordeaux, south-west France, May 2009 to January 2010. *Euro Surveill* 2011; 16: 19776.
- 21 Hurt AC, Chotpitayasunondh T, Cox NJ, et al. Antiviral resistance during the 2009 influenza A H1N1 pandemic: public health, laboratory, and clinical perspectives. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2011; 12: 240–48.
- 22 de Jong MD, Tran TT, Truong HK, et al. Oseltamivir resistance during treatment of influenza A (H5N1) infection. *N Engl J Med* 2005; **353**: 2667–72.
- 23 Gao HN, Lu HZ, Cao B, et al. Clinical findings in 111 cases of influenza A (H7N9) virus infection. N Engl J Med 2013; 368: 2277–85.
- 24 Perelson AS, Rong L, Hayden FG. Combination antiviral therapy for influenza: predictions from modeling of human infections. J Infect Dis 2012; 205: 1642–45.
- 25 Hsieh YH, Tsai CA, Lin CY, et al. Asymptomatic ratio for seasonal H1N1 influenza infection among schoolchildren in Taiwan. BMC Infect Dis 2014; 14: 80.
- 26 Ludwig S. Disruption of virus-host cell interactions and cell signaling pathways as an anti-viral approach against influenza virus infections. *Biol Chem* 2011; 392: 837–47.
- Hayden FG. Combinations of antiviral agents for treatment of influenza virus infections. J Antimicrob Chemother 1986; 18 (suppl B): 177–83.
- 28 Hayden FG. Newer influenza antivirals, biotherapeutics and combinations. Influenza Other Respir Viruses 2013; 7 (suppl 1): 63–75.
- 29 Lavrov SV, Eremkina EI, Orlova TG, Galegov GA, Soloviev VD, Zhdanov VM. Combined inhibition of influenza virus reproduction in cell culture using interferon and amantadine. *Nature* 1968; 217: 856–57.
- 30 Galegov GA, Pushkarskaya NL, Obrosova-Serova NP, Zhdanov VM. Combined action of ribovirin and rimantadine in experimental myxovirus infection. *Experientia* 1977; 33: 905–06.
- 31 Wilson SZ, Knight V, Wyde PR, Drake S, Couch RB. Amantadine and ribavirin aerosol treatment of influenza A and B infection in mice. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1980; 17: 642–48.
- 32 Pushkarskaia NL, L'vov ND, Galegov GA. Prevention of the formation of a mutant influenza A virus resistant to rimantadine (alpha-methyl-1-adamantanemethylamine hydrochloride) by using ribavirin (1-beta-D-ribofuranosyl-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxamide). Vopr Virusol 1980; 3:303–06.
- 33 Ilyushina NA, Bovin NV, Webster RG, Govorkova EA. Combination chemotherapy, a potential strategy for reducing the emergence of drug-resistant influenza A variants. *Antiviral Res* 2006; 70: 121–31.
- 34 Hayden FG, Schlepushkin AN, Pushkarskaya NL. Combined interferon-alpha 2, rimantadine hydrochloride, and ribavirin inhibition of influenza virus replication in vitro. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1984; 25: 53–57.
- 35 Ilyushina NA, Hoffmann E, Salomon R, Webster RG, Govorkova EA. Amantadine-oseltamivir combination therapy for H5N1 influenza virus infection in mice. *Antivir Ther* 2007; 12: 363–70.

- 36 Leneva IA, Roberts N, Govorkova EA, Goloubeva OG, Webster RG. The neuraminidase inhibitor GS4104 (oseltamivir phosphate) is efficacious against A/Hong Kong/156/97 (H5N1) and A/Hong Kong/1074/99 (H9N2) influenza viruses. Antiviral Res 2000; 48: 101–15.
- 37 Govorkova EA, Fang HB, Tan M, Webster RG. Neuraminidase inhibitor-rimantadine combinations exert additive and synergistic anti-influenza virus effects in MDCK cells. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004: 48: 4855–63.
- 38 Galabov AS, Simeonova L, Gegova G. Rimantadine and oseltamivir demonstrate synergistic combination effect in an experimental infection with type A (H3N2) influenza virus in mice. *Antivir Chem Chemother* 2006; 17: 251–58.
- 39 Bantia S, Kellogg D, Parker CD, Babu YS. Combination of peramivir and rimantadine demonstrate synergistic antiviral effects in sub-lethal influenza A (H3N2) virus mouse model. *Antiviral Res* 2010; 88: 276–80.
- 40 Madren LK, Shipman Jr C, Hayden FG. In vitro inhibitory effects of combinations of anti-influenza agents. *Antivir Chem & Chemother* 1995; 2: 109–13.
- 41 Ison MG, Gnann JW, Jr., Nagy-Agren S, et al. Safety and efficacy of nebulized zanamivir in hospitalized patients with serious influenza. *Antivir Ther* 2003; 8: 183–90.
- 42 Duval X, van der WS, Blanchon T, et al. Efficacy of oseltamivirzanamivir combination compared to each monotherapy for seasonal influenza: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *PLoS Med* 2010; 7: e1000362.
- 43 Atiee G, Lasseter K, Baughman S, et al. Absence of pharmacokinetic interaction between intravenous peramivir and oral oseltamivir or rimantadine in humans. J Clin Pharmacol 2012; 52: 1410–19.
- 44 Pukrittayakamee S, Jittamala P, Stepniewska K, et al. An open-label crossover study to evaluate potential pharmacokinetic interactions between oral oseltamivir and intravenous zanamivir in healthy Thai adults. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2011; 55: 4050–57.
- 5 Hung IF, To KK, Lee CK, et al. Convalescent plasma treatment reduced mortality in patients with severe pandemic influenza A (H1N1) 2009 virus infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011; 52: 447–56.
- 46 122 Wang CH, Chung FT, Lin SM, et al. Adjuvant treatment with a mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitor, sirolimus, and steroids improves outcomes in patients with severe H1N1 pneumonia and acute respiratory failure. *Crit Care Med* 2014; 42: 313–21.
- 47 Boivin G. Detection and management of antiviral resistance for influenza viruses. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses* 2013; 7 (suppl 3): 18–23.
- 48 Nguyen JT, Hoopes JD, Le MH, et al. Triple combination of amantadine, ribavirin, and oseltamivir is highly active and synergistic against drug resistant influenza virus strains in vitro. *PLoS One* 2010; 5: e9332.
- 49 Smee DF, Hurst BL, Wong MH, et al. Combinations of oseltamivir and peramivir for the treatment of influenza A (H1N1) virus infections in cell culture and in mice. *Antiviral Res* 2010; 88: 38–44.
- 50 Russell RJ, Haire LF, Stevens DJ, et al. The structure of H5N1 avian influenza neuraminidase suggests new opportunities for drug design. *Nature* 2006; 443: 45–49.
- 51 Collins PJ, Haire LF, Lin YP, et al. Crystal structures of oseltamivirresistant influenza virus neuraminidase mutants. *Nature* 2008; 453: 1258–61.
- 52 Smith BJ, McKimm-Breshkin JL, McDonald M, Fernley RT, Varghese JN, Colman PM. Structural studies of the resistance of influenza virus neuramindase to inhibitors. *J Med Chem* 2002; 45: 2207–12.
- 53 Molla A, Kati W, Carrick R, et al. In vitro selection and characterization of influenza A (A/N9) virus variants resistant to a novel neuraminidase inhibitor, A-315675. *J Virol* 2002; 76: 5380–86.
- 54 Yen HL, McKimm-Breschkin JL, Choy KT, et al. Resistance to neuraminidase inhibitors conferred by an R292K mutation in a human influenza virus H7N9 isolate can be masked by a mixed R/K viral population. *MBio* 2013; 4: e00396–413.
- 55 Watanabe T, Kiso M, Fukuyama S, et al. Characterization of H7N9 influenza A viruses isolated from humans. *Nature* 2013; 501: 551–55.
- 56 Cao B, Hayden FG. Therapy of H7N9 pneumonia: current perspectives. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* 2013; **11**: 1123–26.
- 57 Hai R, Schmolke M, Leyva-Grado VH, et al. Influenza A(H7N9) virus gains neuraminidase inhibitor resistance without loss of in vivo virulence or transmissibility. *Nat Commun* 2013; 4: 2854.

- 58 Carrat F, Duval X, Tubach F, et al. Effect of oseltamivir, zanamivir or oseltamivir-zanamivir combination treatments on transmission of influenza in households. *Antivir Ther* 2012; 17: 1085–90.
- 59 Escuret V, Cornu C, Boutitie F, et al. Oseltamivir-zanamivir bitherapy compared to oseltamivir monotherapy in the treatment of pandemic 2009 influenza A(H1N1) virus infections. *Antiviral Res* 2012; 96: 130–37.
- 60 Fraaij PL, van der Vries E, Beersma MF, et al. Evaluation of the antiviral response to zanamivir administered intravenously for treatment of critically ill patients with pandemic influenza A (H1N1) infection. J Infect Dis 2011; 204: 777–82.
- 61 Petersen E, Keld DB, Ellermann-Eriksen S, et al. Failure of combination oral oseltamivir and inhaled zanamivir antiviral treatment in ventilator- and ECMO-treated critically ill patients with pandemic influenza A (H1N1)v. Scand J Infect Dis 2011; 43: 495–503.
- 62 Govorkova EA, Baranovich T, Seiler P, et al. Antiviral resistance among highly pathogenic influenza A (H5N1) viruses isolated worldwide in 2002–2012 shows need for continued monitoring. *Antiviral Res* 2013; **98**: 297–304.
- 63 Hayden FG, Douglas RG Jr, Simons R. Enhancement of activity against influenza viruses by combinations of antiviral agents. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1980; 18: 536–41.
- 64 Burlington DB, Meiklejohn G, Mostow SR. Anti-influenza A activity of combinations of amantadine and ribavirin in ferret tracheal ciliated epithelium. J Antimicrob Chemother 1983; 11: 7–14.
- 65 Smee DF, Hurst BL, Wong MH, Bailey KW, Morrey JD. Effects of double combinations of amantadine, oseltamivir, and ribavirin on influenza A (H5N1) virus infections in cell culture and in mice. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009; 53: 2120–28.
- 66 Nguyen JT, Smee DF, Barnard DL, et al. Efficacy of combined therapy with amantadine, oseltamivir, and ribavirin in vivo against susceptible and amantadine-resistant influenza A viruses. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e31006.
- 67 Morrison D, Roy S, Rayner C, et al. A randomized, crossover study to evaluate the pharmacokinetics of amantadine and oseltamivir administered alone and in combination. *PLoS One* 2007; 2: e1305.
- 68 Smee DF, Bailey KW, Morrison AC, Sidwell RW. Combination treatment of influenza A virus infections in cell culture and in mice with the cyclopentane neuraminidase inhibitor RWJ-270201 and ribavirin. *Chemotherapy* 2002; 48: 88–93.
- 69 Smee DF, Wong MH, Bailey KW, Sidwell RW. Activities of oseltamivir and ribavirin used alone and in combination against infections in mice with recent isolates of influenza A (H1N1) and B viruses. Antivir Chem Chemother 2006; 17: 185–92.
- 70 Ilyushina NA, Hay A, Yilmaz N, Boon AC, Webster RG, Govorkova EA. Oseltamivir-ribavirin combination therapy for highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza virus infection in mice. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008; **52**: 3889–97.
- 71 Smee DF, Wong MH, Bailey KW, Sidwell RW. Activities of oseltamivir and ribavirin used alone and in combination against infections in mice with recent isolates of influenza A (H1N1) and B viruses. Antivir Chem Chemother 2006; 17: 185–92.
- 72 Smith CB, Charette RP, Fox JP, Cooney MK, Hall CE. Lack of effect of oral ribavirin in naturally occurring influenza A virus (H1N1) infection. J Infect Dis 1980; 141: 548–54.
- 73 Stein DS, Creticos CM, Jackson GG, et al. Oral ribavirin treatment of influenza A and B. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1987 Aug; 31: 1285–87.
- 74 Ray CG, Icenogle TB, Minnich LL, Copeland JG, Grogan TM. The use of intravenous ribavirin to treat influenza virus-associated acute myocarditis. J Infect Dis 1989; 159: 829–36.
- 75 Hayden FG, Sable CA, Connor JD, Lane J. Intravenous ribavirin by constant infusion for serious influenza and parainfluenzavirus infection. *Antivir Ther* 1996; 1: 51–56.
- 76 Kirshon B, Faro S, Zurawin RK, Samo TC, Carpenter RJ. Favorable outcome after treatment with amantadine and ribavirin in a pregnancy complicated by influenza pneumonia. A case report. *J Reprod Med* 1988; 33: 399–401.
- 77 Chan-Tack KM, Murray JS, Birnkrant DB. Use of ribavirin to treat influenza. N Engl J Med 2009; 361: 1713–14.
- 78 Nguyen JT, Hoopes JD, Smee DF, et al. Triple combination of oseltamivir, amantadine, and ribavirin displays synergistic activity against multiple influenza virus strains in vitro. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009; 53: 4115–26.

- 79 Hoopes JD, Driebe EM, Kelley E, et al. Triple combination antiviral drug (TCAD) composed of amantadine, oseltamivir, and ribavirin impedes the selection of drug-resistant influenza A virus. *PLoS One* 2011; 6: e29778.
- 80 Seo S, Englund JA, Nguyen JT, et al. Combination therapy with amantadine, oseltamivir and ribavirin for influenza A infection: safety and pharmacokinetics. *Antivir Ther* 2012; 18: 377–86.
- 81 Kim WY, Young SG, Huh JW, et al. Triple-combination antiviral drug for pandemic H1N1 influenza virus infection in critically ill patients on mechanical ventilation. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2011; 55: 5703–09.
- 82 Furuta Y, Gowen BB, Takahashi K, Shiraki K, Smee DF, Barnard DL. Favipiravir (T-705), a novel viral RNA polymerase inhibitor. *Antiviral Res* 2013; 100: 446–54.
- 83 Smee DF, Hurst BL, Wong MH, et al. Effects of the combination of favipiravir (T-705) and oseltamivir on influenza A virus infections in mice. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2010; 54: 126–33.
- 84 Tarbet EB, Maekawa M, Furuta Y, Babu YS, Morrey JD, Smee DF. Combinations of favipiravir and peramivir for the treatment of pandemic influenza A/California/04/2009 (H1N1) virus infections in mice. Antiviral Res 2012; 94: 103–10.
- 85 Toyama Chemical Co., The new drug application approval of "AVIGAN® tablet 200mg" in Japan for the anti-influenza virus drug. March 24, 2014. http://www.toyama-chemical.co.jp/eng/ news/news140324e.html (accessed June 23, 2014).
- 86 Kobayashi O, Kashiwagi S, Iwamoto A. Clinical effectiveness and safety of favipiravir, a novel anti-influenza drug with a selective inhibition activity against viral RNA polymerase. 51st Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy (ICAAC) Chicago, IL, USA; Sept 9–17, 2011. V-405.
- 87 Epstein C. A phase 2, randomized, double blind, placebocontrolled, multicenter study evaluating the safety and pharmacokinetics of different dosing regimens of favipiravir (T-705) in adult subjects with uncomplicated influenza. Abstact O-905. Options for Control of Influenza VII; Cape Town, South Africa; Sept 5–10, 2013.
- 28 Zhou B, Zhong N, Guan Y. Treatment with convalescent plasma for influenza A (H5N1) infection. N Engl J Med 2007; 357: 1450–51.
- 39 Hung IF, To KK, Lee CK, et al. Hyperimmune IV immunoglobulin treatment: a multicenter double-blind randomized controlled trial for patients with severe 2009 influenza A(H1N1) infection. *Chest* 2013; 144: 464–73.
- 90 Ekiert DC, Wilson IA. Broadly neutralizing antibodies against influenza virus and prospects for universal therapies. *Curr Opin Virol* 2012; 2: 134–41.
- 91 Ekiert DC, Friesen RH, Bhabha G, et al. A highly conserved neutralizing epitope on group 2 influenza A viruses. *Science* 2011; 333: 843–50.
- Ocrti D, Voss J, Gamblin SJ, et al. A neutralizing antibody selected from plasma cells that binds to group 1 and group 2 influenza A hemagglutinins. *Science* 2011; 333: 850–56.
- 93 Nakamura G, Chai N, Park S, et al. An in vivo humanplasmablast enrichment technique allows rapid identification of therapeutic influenza a antibodies. *Cell Host Microbe* 2013; 14: 93–103.
- 94 Wathen MW, Barro M, Bright RA. Antivirals in seasonal and pandemic influenza–future perspectives. Influenza Other Respir Viruses 2013; 7 (suppl 1): 76–80.
- 95 Ashton LV, Callan RL, Rao S, Landolt GA. In vitro susceptibility of canine influenza A (H3N8) virus to nitazoxanide and tizoxanide. *Vet Med Int* 2010; 2010: 1–5.
- 96 Belardo G, La Frazia S, Cenciareli O, Carta S, Rossignol JF, Santoro MG. A novel potential anti-influenza drug, acting in synergism with neuraminidase inhibitors [Internet]. Paper presented at: 49th Infectious Disease Society of America Annual Meeting: New Approaches to Anti-Viral Therapy; Boston, MA; Oct 20–23, 2011. https://idsa.confex.com/idsa/2011/webprogram/ Paper31075.html (accessed April 29, 2014).
- 97 Rossignol JF, La Frazia S, Chiappa L, Ciucci A, Santoro MG. Thiazolides, a new class of anti-influenza molecules targeting viral hemagglutinin at the post-translational level. J Biol Chem 2009; 284: 29798–808.

- 98 Rossignol JF, Samudrals S, Hoppers M, Haffizulla J. A randomized, double-blind, placebo (PCB) controlled clinical trial of nitazoxanide (NTZ) in adults and adolescents with acute uncomplicated influenza [Internet]. Paper presented at the 49th Infectious Disease Society of America Annual Meeting; Boston, MA; Oct 20–23, 2011 (cited Apr 29, 2013); DSA Annual Meeting, Boston. LB-35. Oct 22, 2011. https://idsa.confex.com/idsa/2011/ webprogram/Paper33028.html (accessed June 23, 2014).
- 99 Malakhov MP, Aschenbrenner LM, Smee DF, et al. Sialidase fusion protein as a novel broad-spectrum inhibitor of influenza virus infection. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2006; 50: 1470–79.
- 100 Belser JA, Lu X, Szretter KJ, et al. DAS181, a novel sialidase fusion protein, protects mice from lethal avian influenza H5N1 virus infection. J Infect Dis 2007; 196: 1493–99.
- 101 Triana-Baltzer GB, Gubareva LV, Nicholls JM, et al. Novel pandemic influenza A(H1N1) viruses are potently inhibited by DAS181, a sialidase fusion protein. *PLoS One* 2009; 4: e7788.
- 102 Triana-Baltzer GB, Sanders RL, Hedlund M, et al. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of influenza virus mutants selected with the sialidase fusion protein DAS181. J Antimicrob Chemother 2011; 66: 15–28.
- 103 Moss RB, Hansen C, Sanders RL, Hawley S, Li T, Steigbigel RT. A phase II study of DAS181, a novel host directed antiviral for the treatment of influenza infection. *J Infect Dis* 2012; 206: 1844–51.
- 104 Chen YB, Driscoll JP, McAfee SL, et al. Treatment of parainfluenza 3 infection with DAS181 in a patient after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011; **53**: e77–80.
- 105 Drozd DR, Limaye AP, Moss RB, et al. DAS181 treatment of severe parainfluenza type 3 pneumonia in a lung transplant recipient. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2013; 15: E28–32.
- 106 Boriskin YS, Leneva IA, Pecheur EI, Polyak SJ. Arbidol: a broad-spectrum antiviral compound that blocks viral fusion. *Curr Med Chem* 2008; 15: 997–1005.
- 107 Leneva IA, Russell RJ, Boriskin YS, Hay AJ. Characteristics of arbidol-resistant mutants of influenza virus: implications for the mechanism of anti-influenza action of arbidol. *Antiviral Res* 2009; 81: 132–40.
- 108 Shi L, Xiong H, He J, et al. Antiviral activity of arbidol against influenza A virus, respiratory syncytial virus, rhinovirus, coxsackie virus and adenovirus in vitro and in vivo. Arch Virol 2007; 152: 1447–55.
- 109 Leneva IA, Fediakina IT, Gus'kova TA, Glushkov RG. Sensitivity of various influenza virus strains to arbidol. Influence of arbidol combination with different antiviral drugs on reproduction of influenza virus A. *Ter Arkh* 2005; **77**: 84–88 (in Russian).
- 110 Vertex Press Release. VX-787 showed significant antiviral activity and reduced the severity and duration of influenza symptoms in phase 2 challenge study. http://investors.vrtx.com/releasedetail. cfm?releaseid=744857 (accessed June 23, 2014).
- 111 Iversen PL, Mourich DV, Voss T. AVI-7100 is effective in oseltamivir resistant H1N1 infected ferrets. Abstract F1-13725a. Presented at the 51st Interscience conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemoterapy (ICAAC). Chicago, IL, USA; Sept 17–20, 2011.
- 112 Iverson PL, Mourich DV, Voss T, Bothwell WA. AVI-7100 prevents transmission from oseltamivir resistant H1N1 viral infected to naïve ferrets. Presented at the 51st Interscience conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy (ICAAC). Chicago, IL, USA; Sept 17–20, 2011.
- 113 Hui DS, Lee N, Chan PK. Adjunctive therapies and immunomodulatory agents in the management of severe influenza. *Antiviral Res* 2013; 98: 410–16.
- 114 Fedson DS. Treating influenza with statins and other immunomodulatory agents. *Antiviral Res* 2013; **99**: 417–35.
- 115 Sanderson SD, Thoman ML, Kis K, et al. Innate immune induction and influenza protection elicited by a response-selective agonist of human C5a. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e40303.
- 116 Goulet ML, Olagnier D, Xu Z, et al. Systems analysis of a RIG-I agonist inducing broad spectrum inhibition of virus infectivity. *PLoS Pathog* 2013; 9: e1003298.
- 117 Shirey KA, Lai W, Scott AJ, et al. The TLR4 antagonist Eritoran protects mice from lethal influenza infection. *Nature* 2013; 497: 498–502.

- 118 Morita M, Kuba K, Ichikawa A, et al. The lipid mediator protectin D1 inhibits influenza virus replication and improves severe influenza. *Cell* 2013; **153**: 112–25.
- 119 Lejal N, Tarus B, Bouguyon E, et al. Structure-based discovery of the novel antiviral properties of naproxen against the nucleoprotein of influenza A virus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2013; 57: 2231–42.
- 120 Ison MG, de Jong MD, Gilligan KJ, et al. End points for testing influenza antiviral treatments for patients at high risk of severe and life-threatening disease. J Infect Dis 2010; 201: 1654–62.
- 121 Murray JL, McDonald NJ, Sheng J, et al. Inhibition of influenza A virus replication by antagonism of a PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway member identified by gene-trap insertional mutagenesis. *Antivir Chem Chemother* 2012; 22: 205–15.
- 122 Haasbach E, Hartmayer C, Planz O. Combination of MEK inhibitors and oseltamivir leads to synergistic antiviral effects after influenza A virus infection in vitro. *Antiviral Res* 2013; 98: 319–24.
- 123 Ghezzi P, Ungheri D. Synergistic combination of N-acetylcysteine and ribavirin to protect from lethal influenza viral infection in a mouse model. Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol 2004; 17: 99–102.
- 124 Garozzo A, Tempera G, Ungheri D, Timpanaro R, Castro A. N-acetylcysteine synergizes with oseltamivir in protecting mice from lethal influenza infection. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol* 2007; 20: 349–54.
- 125 Walsh KB, Teijaro JR, Wilker PR, et al. Suppression of cytokine storm with a sphingosine analog provides protection against pathogenic influenza virus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2011; 108: 12018–23.
- 126 Zheng BJ, Chan KW, Lin YP, et al. Delayed antiviral plus immunomodulator treatment still reduces mortality in mice infected by high inoculum of influenza A/H5N1 virus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008; **105**: 8091–96.
- 127 D'Agostini C, Palamara AT, Favalli C, et al. Efficacy of combination therapy with amantadine, thymosin alpha 1 and alpha/beta interferon in mice infected with influenza A virus. *Int J Immunopharmacol* 1996; **18**: 95–102.
- 128 Fukushi M, Yamashita M, Miyoshi-Akiyama T, Kubo S, Yamamoto K, Kudo K. Laninamivir octanoate and artificial surfactant combination therapy significantly increases survival of mice infected with lethal influenza H1N1 virus. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e42419.
- 129 Perwitasari O, Yan X, Johnson S, et al. Targeting organic anion transporter 3 with probenecid as a novel anti-influenza a virus strategy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2013; **57**: 475–83.
- 130 Wattanagoon Y, Stepniewska K, Lindegardh N, et al. Pharmacokinetics of high-dose oseltamivir in healthy volunteers. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2009; 53: 945–52.
- 131 Belser JA, Szretter KJ, Katz JM, Tumpey TM. Simvastatin and oseltamivir combination therapy does not improve the effectiveness of oseltamivir alone following highly pathogenic avian H5N1 influenza virus infection in mice. *Virology* 2013;439: 42–46.
- 132 An SC, Xu LL, Li FD, Bao LL, Qin C, Gao ZC. Triple combinations of neuraminidase inhibitors, statins and fibrates benefit the survival of patients with lethal avian influenza pandemic. *Med Hypotheses* 2011; 77: 1054–57.
- 133 Viasus D, Pano-Pardo JR, Cordero E, et al. Effect of immunomodulatory therapies in patients with pandemic influenza A (H1N1) 2009 complicated by pneumonia. J Infect 2011; 62: 193–99.
- 134 Ishii H, Komiya K, Yamagata E, et al. Clarithromycin has limited effects in non-elderly, non-severe patients with seasonal influenza virus A infection. J Infect 2012; 64: 343–45.
- 135 Martin-Loeches I, Bermejo-Martin JF, Valles J, et al. Macrolidebased regimens in absence of bacterial co-infection in critically ill H1N1 patients with primary viral pneumonia. *Intensive Care Med* 2013; **39**: 693–702.
- 136 Vandermeer ML, Thomas AR, Kamimoto L, et al. Association between use of statins and mortality among patients hospitalized with laboratory-confirmed influenza virus infections: a multistate study. J Infect Dis 2012; 205: 13–19.
- 137 Mortensen EM, Nakashima B, Cornell J, et al. Population-based study of statins, angiotensin II receptor blockers, and angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitors on pneumonia-related outcomes. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012; 55: 1466–73.
- 138 Chopra V, Rogers MA, Buist M, et al. Is statin use associated with reduced mortality after pneumonia? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Med* 2012; **125**: 1111–23.

- 139 Makris D, Manoulakas E, Komnos A, et al. Effect of pravastatin on the frequency of ventilator-associated pneumonia and on intensive care unit mortality: open-label, randomized study. *Crit Care Med* 2011; 39: 2440–46.
- 140 Baron S, Isaacs A. Absence of interferon in lungs fom fatal cases of influenza. BMJ 1962; 1: 18–20.
- 141 Agrati C, Gioia C, Lalle E, et al. Association of profoundly impaired immune competence in H1N1v-infected patients with a severe or fatal clinical course. J Infect Dis 2010; 202: 681–89.
- 142 Szretter KJ, Gangappa S, Belser JA, et al. Early control of H5N1 influenza virus replication by the type I interferon response in mice. J Virol 2009; 83: 5825–34.
- 143 Matzinger SR, Carroll TD, Fritts L, McChesney MB, Miller CJ. Exogenous IFN-alpha administration reduces influenza A virus replication in the lower respiratory tract of rhesus macaques. *PLoS One* 2011; 6: e29255.
- 144 Loutfy MR, Blatt LM, Siminovitch KA, et al. Interferon alfacon-1 plus corticosteroids in severe acute respiratory syndrome: a preliminary study. JAMA 2003; 290: 3222–28.
- 145 Lee SM, Cheung CY, Nicholls JM, et al. Hyperinduction of cyclooxygenase-2-mediated proinflammatory cascade: a mechanism for the pathogenesis of avian influenza H5N1 infection. J Infect Dis 2008; 198: 525–35.
- 146 Brun-Buisson C, Richard JC, Mercat A, Thiebaut AC, Brochard L. Early corticosteroids in severe influenza A/H1N1 pneumonia and acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2011; 183: 1200–06.

- 147 Martin-Loeches I, Lisboa T, Rhodes A, et al. Use of early corticosteroid therapy on ICU admission in patients affected by severe pandemic (H1N1)v influenza A infection. *Intensive Care Med* 2011; **37**: 272–83.
- 148 Xi X, Xu Y, Jiang L, Li A, Duan J, Du B. Hospitalized adult patients with 2009 influenza A(H1N1) in Beijing, China: risk factors for hospital mortality. *BMC Infect Dis* 2010; **10**: 256.
- 149 Kim SH, Hong SB, Yun SC, et al. Corticosteroid treatment in critically ill patients with pandemic influenza A/H1N1 2009 infection: analytic strategy using propensity scores. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011; 183: 1207–14.
- 150 Chan K. Chinese medicinal materials and their interface with western medical concepts. J Ethnopharmacol 2005; 96: 1–18.
- 151 Wang C, Cao B, Liu QQ, et al. Oseltamivir compared with the Chinese traditional therapy maxingshigan-yinqiaosan in the treatment of H1N1 influenza: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2011; **155**: 217–25.
- 152 Hayden FG. Experimental human influenza: observations from studies of influenza antivirals. Antivir Ther 2012; 17: 133–41.
- 153 Kairalla JA, Coffey CS, Thomann MA, Muller KE. Adaptive trial designs: a review of barriers and opportunities. *Trials* 2012; **13**: 145.