





# **Review** Dietary Fat Intake and Risk of Colorectal Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Prospective Studies

# Minkyeong Kim and Kyong Park \*D

Department of Food and Nutrition, Yeungnam University, Gyeongsan, Gyeongbuk 38541, Korea; minkyeong@ynu.ac.kr

\* Correspondence: kypark@ynu.ac.kr; Tel.: +82-53-810-2879

Received: 20 November 2018; Accepted: 10 December 2018; Published: 12 December 2018



**Abstract:** Dietary fat intake is associated with the risk of colorectal cancer (CRC); however, the results of epidemiological studies on this are controversial. Therefore, this study aimed to summarize the available scientific evidence regarding the association between dietary fat and the risk of CRC. We conducted a systematic search of PubMed, Web of Science, and the Cochrane library for articles related to dietary fat and the risk of CRC. The summary relative risks with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated via a random effect model. Begg's test was used to detect publication bias. A total of 18 articles were identified. The pooled relative risk with 95% CI for the risk of CRC were 1.00 (95% CI: 0.90–1.12), 0.97 (95% CI: 0.86–1.10), 1.08 (95% CI: 0.92–1.26), and 0.99 (95% CI: 0.93–1.04) for total fat, saturated fatty acid, monounsaturated fatty acid, and polyunsaturated fatty acid, respectively. No significant associations were found in subgroup analyses. Begg's test for all exposures revealed no publication bias (total fat, *p* = 0.3; saturated fatty acid, *p* = 0.1; monounsaturated fatty acid, *p* = 0.08; polyunsaturated fatty acid, *p* = 0.2). The studies included in this review and meta-analysis revealed that dietary fats and fatty acids had no effects on the risk of CRC.

Keywords: dietary fats; fatty acids; colorectal neoplasms; systematic review; meta-analysis

# 1. Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common cancers in both men and women worldwide [1–3]. The National Cancer Institute in the United States reported that mortality caused by CRC is the fourth highest among all cancers, accounting for 8.3% of all cancer-related deaths [4]. Moreover, the prevalence of CRC, which is common in Western countries, has been increasing rapidly in Asian countries, including South Korea, in recent decades [5,6]. In 1996, the national cancer screening program led by the National Health Insurance Corporation began to screen the five most prevalent cancers, including CRC, in South Korea [7]. Typically, colonoscopy is used as an initial screening tool for colorectal cancer, and a 10-year screening interval is recommended after initial negative colonoscopy [8]. However, recent studies have suggested the occurrence of "interval cancers" that involve polyps and cancers found some years after an initial negative colonoscopy; in a recent pooled analysis, the median time interval between the initial negative colonoscopy and colorectal cancer diagnosis among interval cancer cases was approximately 2.0–3.5 years [9].

Among factors associated with the development of CRC, modifiable risk factors, such as physical activity, smoking, alcohol drinking, and diet, have drawn attention [10,11]. In particular, fat intake is associated with the incidence of CRC [12–15]. Previous studies showed that CRC risk is higher in high fat-intake groups than low fat-intake groups [13,15,16]. Moreover, investigations into the risk of CRC and its association with different fat types revealed a higher CRC risk among those with high intake of

both saturated fat and cholesterol (highest vs. lowest) [13]. A study involving Korean patients also reported that high intakes of saturated fatty acids (SFA) and monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) could increase the risk of CRC [15]. However, some studies found no associations of total fat or fatty acids with the risk of CRC [17–23]. Despite the controversy regarding the association between fat intake and CRC, no pooled analyses [24] have been performed since 2011; only individual studies have been conducted [17,25–29].

Accordingly, the need for meta-analyses that qualitatively assess individual studies to integrate recent literature on the association between total fat and individual fatty acid intake and the risk of CRC is evident. Therefore, in this study, we reviewed the available literature and summarized the results by conducting a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies.

## 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Search Strategy

A systematic literature review and meta-analysis of studies on the association between dietary fat intake and the risk of CRC were conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guideline [30]. PubMed, Web of Science, and the Cochrane library electronic databases were searched to retrieve articles related to dietary fats/fatty acids and the risk of CRC published from January 1990 to June 2018. The following keywords were used: (colorectal OR colon OR rectal OR rectum OR large bowel) and (cancer OR carcinoma OR neoplasm) and (diet OR dietary OR fat OR fatty acid). The articles retrieved from the three databases were imported into the reference manager program, ENDNOTE X7 (Thomson Reuters, San Francisco, CA, USA). No language restrictions were imposed during the search. Additionally, the reference lists of included studies were manually retrieved to identify those that did not appear in the electronic databases but could be included in this meta-analysis.

### 2.2. Study Selection

Duplicates were removed using the ENDNOTE program. Titles and abstracts were reviewed to check whether the selected articles satisfied the inclusion criteria. If the eligibility for inclusion could not be determined based on the title and abstract only, the full text was reviewed for the final inclusion. Two investigators performed the selection process independently, and differences in opinion between investigators regarding study selection were resolved by discussion.

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Original research, (2) prospective cohort study, (3) dietary fat as the major exposure factor (total fat, SFA, MUFA, and polyunsaturated fat or fatty acid (PUFA)), (4) CRC as the major outcome (colorectal cancer, colon cancer, rectal cancer, or large bowel cancer), and (5) relative risk (RR) or hazard ratio (HR) and the 95% confidence interval (CI) were reported.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Papers other than an original research (reviews, letters, and comments, among others) and (2) not conducted in humans (animal, cell, in vivo, and in vitro, among others). For studies overlapping in studied populations, the most recently published article was included.

#### 2.3. Data Extraction and Quality Assessment

The following information was extracted by reviewing the full texts of the selected articles: Name of authors, year of publication, country, study population, sex, age at baseline, total number of participants, follow-up period, method of diet assessment, diagnostic criteria of CRC, intake levels of fat or fatty acids, RR/HR and 95% CI, and confounders. Quality evaluation was performed according to the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale-cohort studies [31], which comprises eight categories. A study earned 1 point for every item presents that was marked by a star sign, and 0 points if not. For the comparability category, 2 points were given if an item marked by 2 stars was mentioned in the

article. Scores can range from 0 to 9 points, and only articles with scores of 6–9 points were included in the analysis.

#### 2.4. Statistical Analysis

To combine the effect size between dietary fat intake and the risk of CRC from each study, the RR or HR and 95% CI of the group with the highest fat intake relative to those of the group with the lowest fat intake were used. The weighted values needed to combine the effect sizes were calculated by identifying the standard deviation of a log-transformed RR, and the summary RR was determined using a random effect model. Cochrane's Q and Higgin's I<sup>2</sup> tests were used to evaluate the heterogeneity of studies included in this analysis. Studies were deemed heterogeneous if I<sup>2</sup> was >50% or the *p* value in the Cochrane's Q test was <0.1 [32]. Subgroup analysis was conducted to identify the cause of heterogeneity and minimize it, and sensitivity analysis using the leave-one-out method was conducted. Begg's and Mazumdar's rank correlation test and a funnel plot test were used to detect publication bias that could affect the results of the meta-analysis. This meta-analysis was performed using Stata 14.0 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA), and statistical significance was set at *p* <0.05.

### 3. Results

## 3.1. Literature Search

A total of 28,407 articles were identified in PubMed, Web of Science, and the Cochrane library. Duplicates (n = 8156), articles lacking information (n = 44), articles which were not original studies (n = 4065), articles not involving human participants (n = 8787), and articles unrelated to the topic of this study (n = 7288) were excluded. Among the 66 remaining studies, those with overlapping datasets (n = 6), those without RR or HR and 95% CI (n = 3), and those that were not prospective cohort studies (n = 39) were excluded. Overall, 18 articles were included in this meta-analysis (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) diagram for study selection.

#### 3.2. Study Characteristics and Quality Assessment

Table S1 summarizes the characteristics of the 18 studies included in this study. Of these, 11, 9, 7, and 14 studies analyzed the total fat [17–19,25,33–39], SFA [18,19,29,33,35–39], MUFA [18,19,33,34,36,37,39], and PUFA (total PUFA and omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids [17–19,26–29,33,36,37,39–42]) as exposure factors, respectively. Ten studies were conducted in the United States, four in Europe, and four in Asia.

Table S2 summarizes the results of the quality assessment using the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale-cohort studies. The 18 studies had a mean quality score of 7.4 points.

#### 3.3. Dietary Fat Intake and Incidence of Colorectal Cancer

Figure 2 shows the results integrating the analytical studies on the association between total fat and fatty acids and the risk of CRC. When the results of 11 articles (18 studies reporting the investigated association between total fat intake and CRC) were summarized, no significant association was observed (RR: 1.00, 95% CI: 0.90–1.12). In addition, no heterogeneity was observed in the nine articles reporting the association between SFA and the risk of CRC when the results were combined ( $I^2 = 0.0\%$ , p = 0.5), and no significant association was also observed (RR: 0.97, 95% CI: 0.86–1.10). Similarly, the pooled results of the seven articles reporting the MUFA-CRC association were not significant (RR: 1.08,

95% CI: 0.92–1.26). The results of the 14 articles on PUFA, including omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids, were pooled, and no significant association between PUFA intake and risk of CRC was found (RR: 0.99, 95% CI: 0.93–1.04).

Authors (reference)	Country	Sex	Outcome	Relative risk (95% Cl)	% Weight
Jarvinen [37]	Finland	Both	Colorectal cancer	1.47 (0.52, 4.18)	1.03
Flood [38]	USA	Women	Colorectal cancer	1.14 (0.85, 1.52)	7.90
Lin [19]	USA	Women	Colorectal cancer	1.00 (0.63, 1.58)	4.27
Oba [18]	Japan	Men	Colon cancer	1.36 (0.83, 2.23)	3.79
Oba [18]	Japan	Women	Colon cancer	0.77 (0.47, 1.27)	3.78
Ruder [25]	USA	Both	Colon cancer	1.15 (1.01, 1.30)	14.24
Ruder [25]	USA	Both	Rectal cancer	1.00 (0.80, 1.24)	10.38
Butler [39]	Singapore	Men	Colorectal cancer_localized	0.90 (0.59, 1.38)	4.80
Butler [39]	Singapore	Women	Colorectal cancer_localized	1.86 (1.18, 2.93)	4.36
Butler [39]	Singapore	Men	Colorectal cancer_advenced	0.70 (0.49, 1.00)	6.11
Butler [39]	Singapore	Women	Colorectal cancer_advenced	0.88 (0.60, 1.30)	5.48
Navarro [17]	USA	Women	Colorectal cancer	0.98 (0.76, 1.27)	8.91
Goldbohm [33]	Netherlands	Men	Colorectal cancer	1.10 (0.59, 2.06)	2.58
Goldbohm [33]	Netherlands	Women	Colorectal cancer	1.13 (0.64, 2.00)	3.04
Chyou [34]	USA	Men	Colon cancer -	0.67 (0.50, 0.90)	7.57
Chyou [34]	USA	Men	Rectal cancer	1.07 (0.61, 1.87)	3.15
Kato [35]	USA	Women	Colorectal cancer	1.05 (0.60, 1.84)	3.12
Pietinen [36]	Finland	Men	Colorectal cancer	0.90 (0.61, 1.32)	5.48
Overall (I-squared	= 37.6%, p = 0.055	i)	$\diamond$	1.00 (0.90, 1.12)	100.00
NOTE: Weights an	e from random effec	cts analysis			
			.1 .2 .5 1 2	5	
			(a)		

Authors (reference)	Country	Sex	Outcome	Relative risk (95% CI)	% Weigł
Jarvinen [37]	Finland	Both	Colorectal cancer	1.47 (0.56, 3.84)	1.52
Flood [38]	USA	Women	Colorectal cancer	0.87 (0.60, 1.27)	9.98
Lin [19]	USA	Women	Colorectal cancer	0.92 (0.61, 1.40)	8.00
Oba [18]	Japan	Men	Colon cancer	1.04 (0.65, 1.66)	6.39
Oba [18]	Japan	Women	Colon cancer	0.85 (0.53, 1.36)	6.32
Butler [39]	Singapore	Men	Colorectal cancer_localized	0.85 (0.56, 1.30)	7.92
Butler [39]	Singapore	Women	Colorectal cancer_localized	1.69 (1.08, 2.64)	7.09
Butler [39]	Singapore	Men	Colorectal cancer_advenced	0.76 (0.54, 1.07)	12.01
Butler [39]	Singapore	Women	Colorectal cancer_advenced	0.88 (0.61, 1.27)	10.22
Kraja [29]	Netherlands	Both	Colorectal cancer	1.13 (0.79, 1.62)	10.89
Goldbohm [33]	Netherlands	Men	Colorectal cancer	0.90 (0.47, 1.71)	3.40
Goldbohm [33]	Netherlands	Women	Colorectal cancer	1.36 (0.77, 2.41)	4.28
Kato [35]	USA	Women	Colorectal cancer	1.05 (0.59, 1.87)	4.18
Pietinen [36]	Finland	Men	Colorectal cancer	0.90 (0.59, 1.37)	7.82
Overall (I-squared	= 0.0%, p = 0.500)		$\diamond$	0.97 (0.86, 1.10)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are	e from random effe	cts analysis			
			.1 .2 .5 1 2	1 5	

(b)

Figure 2. Cont.

Authors (reference)	Country	Sex	Outcome	Relative risk (95% Cl)	% Weight
Jarvinen [37]	Finland	Both	Colorectal cancer	2.37 (0.86, 6.52)	2.21
Butler [39]	Singapore	Men	Colorectal cancer_localized	1.07 (0.72, 1.60)	9.28
Butler [39]	Singapore	Women	Colorectal cancer_localized	1.72 (1.09, 2.71)	7.92
Butler [39]	Singapore	Men	Colorectal cancer_advenced	0.78 (0.55, 1.11)	10.71
Butler [39]	Singapore	Women	Colorectal cancer_advenced	1.07 (0.74, 1.54)	10.23
Lin [19]	USA	Women	Colorectal cancer	1.09 (0.68, 1.74)	7.63
Oba [18]	Japan	Men	Colon cancer	1.25 (0.78, 2.00)	7.60
Oba [18]	Japan	Women	Colon cancer	0.87 (0.53, 1.43)	6.96
Goldbohm [33]	Netherlands	Men	Colon cancer	1.26 (0.69, 2.31)	5.27
Goldbohm [33]	Netherlands	Women	Colon cancer	0.88 (0.45, 1.71)	4.58
Chyou [34]	USA	Men	Colon cancer	0.73 (0.53, 1.00)	11.84
Chyou [34]	USA	Men	Rectal cancer	1.47 (0.88, 2.46)	6.65
Pietinen [36]	Finland	Men	Colorectal cancer	1.20 (0.80, 1.80)	9.11
Overall (I-squared	i = 35.5%, p = 0.09	98)	$\diamond$	1.08 (0.92, 1.26)	100.00
NOTE: Weights ar	e from random eff	ects analysis			
			.1 .2 .5 1 2	5	

(c)

Authors (reference)	Country	Sex	Exposure	Outcome	Relative risk (95% Cl)	% Weigl
Navarro [17]	USA	Women	n-3	Colorectal cancer	0.90 (0.74, 1.09)	5.85
Navarro [17]	USA	Women	n-6	Colorectal cancer	0.84 (0.68, 1.04)	4.96
Kraja [29]	Netherlands	Both	PUFA	Colorectal cancer	1.00 (0.98, 1.03)	18.69
Kantor [27]	USA	Both	n-3	Colorectal cancer	0.92 (0.68, 1.24)	2.95
Song [28]	USA	Men	n-3	Colorectal cancer	1.05 (0.85, 1.30)	5.12
Song [28]	USA	Women	n-3	Colorectal cancer	1.03 (0.89, 1.20)	8.16
Song [28]	USA	Men	n-6	Colorectal cancer	1.17 (0.95, 1.44)	5.28
Song [28]	USA	Women	n-6	Colorectal cancer	0.89 (0.70, 1.13)	4.40
Sasazuki [26]	Japan	Men	n-3	Colon cancer	0.76 (0.48, 1.19)	1.44
Sasazuki [26]	Japan	Women	n-3	Colon cancer	0.68 (0.41, 1.12)	1.17
Sasazuki [26]	Japan	Men	n-3	Rectal cancer	1.33 (0.70, 2.52)	0.74
Sasazuki [26]	Japan	Women	n-3	Rectal cancer	1.13 (0.51, 2.50)	0.49
Sasazuki [26]	Japan	Men	n-6	Colon cancer	0.85 (0.57, 1.26)	1.84
Sasazuki [26]	Japan	Women	n-6	Colon cancer	0.87 (0.57, 1.32)	1.66
Sasazuki [26]	Japan	Men	n-6	Rectal cancer	0.86 (0.50, 1.49)	0.99
Sasazuki [26]	Japan	Women	n-6	Rectal cancer	0.81 (0.42, 1.56)	0.70
Butler [39]	Singapore	Men	PUFA	Colorectal cancer localized	0.92 (0.62, 1.37)	1.81
Butler [39]	Singapore	Women	PUFA	Colorectal cancer localized	0.91 (0.58, 1.42)	1.45
Butler [39]	Singapore	Men	PUFA	Colorectal cancer advenced	0.85 (0.61, 1.19)	2.46
Butler [39]	Singapore	Women	PUFA	Colorectal cancer_advenced	1.01 (0.69, 1.47)	1.97
Daniel [42]	USA	Men	n-3	Colorectal cancer	0.86 (0.66, 1.13)	3.55
Daniel [42]	USA	Women	n-3	Colorectal cancer	1.38 (1.02, 1.86)	3.00
Daniel [42]	USA	Men	n-6	Colorectal cancer	0.81 (0.61, 1.07)	3.30
Daniel [42]	USA	Women	n-6	Colorectal cancer	1.17 (0.88, 1.55)	3.26
Murff [41]	China	Women	n-3	Colorectal cancer	1.41 (0.77, 2.58)	0.83
Murff [41]	China	Women	n-6	Colorectal cancer	1.01 (0.59, 1.73)	1.03
Hall [40]	USA	Men	n-3	Colorectal cancer	0.76 (0.59, 0.98)	3.90
Oba [18]	Japan	Men	PUFA	Colon cancer	1.65 (1.00, 2.73)	1.16
Oba [18]	Japan	Women	PUFA	Colon cancer	0.72 (0.44, 1.18)	1.21
Lin [19]	USA	Women	n-3	Colorectal cancer	1.11 (0.73, 1.69)	1.63
Lin [19]	USA	Women	n-6	Colorectal cancer	1.60 (0.98, 2.61)	1.24
Jarvinen [37]	Finland	Both	PUFA	Colorectal cancer	1.13 (0.56, 2.27)	0.62
Pietinen [36]	Finland	Men	PUFA	Colorectal cancer	1.40 (0.92, 2.14)	1.60
Goldbohm [33]	Netherlands	Men	PUFA	Colon cancer	1.49 (0.77, 2.87)	0.70
Goldbohm [33]	Netherlands	Women	PUFA	Colon cancer	1.29 (0.71, 2.35)	0.84
Overall (I-squared	= 22.1%, p = 0.124	)		<u>م</u>	0.99 (0.93, 1.04)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are	from random effec	ts analysis				

(d)

**Figure 2.** Forest plot of pooled estimates of the association between dietary fat and fatty acid intake and risk of colorectal cancer. (**a**) Total fat intake and risk of colorectal cancer; (**b**) saturated fat or fatty acid and colorectal cancer; (**c**) monounsaturated fat or fatty acid and colorectal cancer; and (**d**) polyunsaturated fat or fatty acid and colorectal cancer. CI, confidence interval; n-3, omega-3 fatty acids; n-6, omega-6 fatty acids.

In the subgroup analysis of the association between total fat intake and CRC according to the continent in which the study was conducted, heterogeneity was reduced in the United States and Europe, but the association was not significant (Table 1). Similarly, no significant associations were observed for other types of fat, including SFA, MUFA, and PUFA, in the subgroup analysis by continent. Furthermore, no significant differences were observed for all exposure factors in the subgroup analyses either by sex or the follow-up period, and no association was observed between total fat intake and CRC in the subgroup analysis according to the type of CRC.

Indexes	No. of Studies	RR (95% CI) H		Heterogeneity	
muckes	ite. of studies		$\mathbf{I}^2$	<i>p</i> value	
Total Fat	18				
Continent					
American and European	12	1.02 (0.93-1.13)	12.3	0.3	
Asian	6	1.00 (0.74-1.34)	64.6	0.02	
Sex					
Men	7	0.87 (0.72-1.05)	29.1	0.2	
Women	8	1.06 (0.90-1.25)	23.2	0.2	
Follow-up Period					
<9 years	8	1.05 (0.89-1.22)	0.0	0.8	
$\geq 9$ years	10	0.98 (0.83-1.15)	61.8	0.005	
Cancer Type					
Colorectal Cancer	12	1.01 (0.88–1.16)	19.6	0.3	
Colon Cancer	4	0.95 (0.68-1.32)	77.2	0.004	
Rectal Cancer	2	1.01 (0.82–1.23)	0.0	0.8	
Saturated Fat/Fatty Acid Continent	14				
American and European	8	1.00 (0.85-1.19)	0.0	0.9	
Asian	6	0.96 (0.76–1.20)	43.5	0.1	
Sex	0	0190 (0110 1120)	1010	011	
Men	5	0.86 (0.71-1.05)	0.0	0.9	
Women	7	1.03 (0.84–1.25)	25.3	0.2	
Follow-up Period			20.0	0.2	
<9 years	8	0.95 (0.81-1.12)	0.0	0.9	
$\geq 9$ years	6	1.02 (0.80–1.31)	49.5	0.1	
Monounsaturated Fat/Fatty Acid	13				
Continent					
American and European	22	1.09 (0.85–1.41)	41.1	0.1	
Asian	6	1.07 (0.86-1.34)	40.4	0.1	
Sex					
Men	7	0.99 (0.85–1.15)	40.8	0.1	
Women	5	1.12 (0.88–1.42)	20.0	0.3	
Follow-up Period					
<9 years	6	1.10 (0.90–1.35)	0.0	0.9	
$\geq$ 9 years	7	0.10 (0.84–1.44)	63.2	0.01	
Polyunsaturated Fat/Fatty Acid <sup>1</sup>	35				
Continent	10	1.00 (0.04, 1.07)	20.0	0.01	
American and European	19	1.00 (0.94–1.07)	38.0	0.04	
Asian	16	0.93 (0.82–1.04)	0.0	0.6	
Sex	20		10.1	0.07	
Men	20	0.97 (0.86–1.10)	40.1	0.06	
Women	23	0.99 (0.91–1.09)	19.5	0.2	
Follow-up Period	10		40.4	0.00	
<9 years	12	1.11 (0.95–1.30)	48.4	0.03	
$\geq 9$ years	23	0.99 (0.97–1.02)	0.0	0.6	

**Table 1.** Pooled estimates for the association between dietary fat/fatty acids and colorectal cancer risk by subgroups.

Abbreviation: RR, relative risk; CI, confidence interval. <sup>1</sup> Polyunsaturated fat or fatty acid including omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids.

To verify the reliability of these results, we conducted the leave-one-out analysis to assess the influence of each study on the summary RR. When the study by Chyou et al., (including men) was excluded [34], the association between total fat intake and CRC showed a positive direction, but the statistical significance did not change. When the study by Butler et al., (including women) was removed [39], the RR of CRC in association with SFA intake showed a negative direction, but the statistical significance did not change. Excluding any of the other studies showed results similar to those of the integrated results. The results for MUFA and PUFA were also similar to the summary RR when the leave-one-out analysis was performed.

#### 3.4. Publication Bias

Publication bias was visually analyzed using the funnel plot, and the test statistics were determined using Begg's and Mazumdar's rank correlation test. The funnel plot for total fat intake showed an asymmetrical trend, but Begg's test showed no publication bias (p = 0.3). Moreover, SFA showed an asymmetrical trend at the lower right side of the funnel plot; however, Begg's test also showed no publication bias (p = 0.1). Similarly, no publication bias was observed on the funnel plots, and Begg's test results for MUFA and PUFA also showed no publication bias (Begg's test = 0.08 and 0.2, respectively).

#### 4. Discussion

This study evaluated the influence of dietary fat and fatty acids on the incidence of CRC using a systematic review and meta-analysis. The results showed that intakes of total fat, SFA, MUFA, and PUFA were not associated with the risk of CRC, and no differences were observed in the subgroup analyses by sex, continent, follow-up period, or CRC type.

Animal model studies conducted on dietary fat intake (approximately 35%–45% of the total energy intake) and risk of CRC showed a positive association [16,43]. The mechanism used to explain this association was the increased production of secondary bile acids by gut microbes by a high-fat diet, which subsequently increases pro-inflammatory effectors, and promotes the development of CRC by inducing oxidative stress [44]. A cohort study published in 1990 reported that high intakes of total fat, animal fat, and MUFA increased the risk of colon cancer [45]. Nevertheless, most recent epidemiological studies showed no significant association between fat intake and the risk of CRC [17–19,33,38], with inconsistent health effects of fats and fatty acids based on the results of animal model studies. Independent health effects of dietary fats on CRC were controversial; instead, the high intake of red and processed meat (which are animal sources of fat), has been constantly reported to be associated with the risk of CRC [46–48].

In our study, we found that PUFA intake was not associated with CRC. Some studies have reported a positive association between levels of PUFA intake and CRC [49,50], whereas numerous epidemiologic studies have reported no association [18,29,33,36,37,39]. Unlike other types of fat, higher levels of omega-3 fatty acids, which are known to reduce inflammatory reactions [44], showed a significant association with the decreased risk of CRC in some studies [40,42], but not in most previous studies [26–29,39]. Biological mechanisms have been used to explain the effect of dietary fat intake on the development of colon cancer through previous animal model studies; however, significant associations were not shown in most human studies. Until now, epidemiological studies have not shown clear evidence of an association between dietary fat intake and the risk of CRC.

Although multivariable-adjusted RRs were reported in individual studies, the range of adjustment varied across studies, with the possibility of residual confounding. However, the possibility of reverse causality or information bias was minimized by integrating results of the prospective cohort study. Moreover, unlike previous studies, the current study assessed the quality of articles included in the meta-analysis to prevent the distortion of research results by poorly designed studies or from small study effects. Finally, because no meta-analyses integrated the research results on this topic since

2011, this study included studies published after 2011 to enhance the evidence level on the unclear association between dietary fat intake and CRC.

## 5. Conclusions

This study systematically reviewed research articles published since the 1990s and integrated the results to assess the influence of dietary fat or fatty acids on the risk of CRC. No significant association was observed between total fat, SFA, MUFA, and PUFA intakes and the risk of CRC. Moreover, subgroup analyses by sex, continent, and follow-up period revealed no significant differences. Because numerous studies have been published in the United States and Europe, large-scale prospective studies and clinical trials involving a greater diversity of countries and races should be conducted.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2072-6643/10/12/1963/s1, Table S1: Characteristics of studies included on dietary intakes of fat and fatty acids and the risk of colorectal cancer, Table S2: Assessment of quality using the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale-cohort studies.

**Author Contributions:** M.K. designed the research, performed the literature search and statistical analysis, interpreted the data, and drafted the manuscript. K.P. designed the research, performed the literature search, handled funding, and made critical revision of the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT & Future Planning (grant number: NRF-2017R1A1A3A04069759).

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- Ferlay, J.; Ervik, M.; Lam, F.; Colombet, M.; Mery, L.; Piñeros, M.; Znaor, A.; Soerjomataram, I.; Bray, F. Global Cancer Observatory: Cancer Today. Available online: https://gco.iarc.fr/today (accessed on 23 October 2018).
- Siegel, R.L.; Miller, K.D.; Jemal, A. Cancer statistics, 2017. CA Cancer J. Clin. 2017, 67, 7–30. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Office for National Statistics. Cancer Registration Statistics, England: First Release, 2016. Available online: https://www.ons.gov.uk/releases/cancerregistrationstatisticsenglandfirstrelease2016 (accessed on 21 May 2018).
- 4. National Cancer Institute. Cancer Stat Facts: Colorectal Cancer. Available online: https://seer.cancer.gov/ statfacts/html/colorect.html (accessed on 25 May 2018).
- 5. Arnold, M.; Sierra, M.S.; Laversanne, M.; Soerjomataram, I.; Jemal, A.; Bray, F. Global patterns and trends in colorectal cancer incidence and mortality. *Gut* 2017, *66*, 683–691. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Sung, J.J.; Lau, J.Y.; Goh, K.L.; Leung, W.K. Increasing incidence of colorectal cancer in Asia: Implications for screening. *Lancet Oncol.* **2005**, *6*, 871–876. [CrossRef]
- Song, S.O.; Jung, C.H.; Song, Y.D.; Park, C.-Y.; Kwon, H.-S.; Cha, B.S.; Park, J.-Y.; Lee, K.-U.; Ko, K.S.; Lee, B.-W. Background and data configuration process of a nationwide population-based study using the Korean national health insurance system. *Diabetes Metab. J.* 2014, *38*, 395–403. [CrossRef]
- 8. Triantafillidis, J.K.; Vagianos, C.; Malgarinos, G. Colonoscopy in colorectal cancer screening: Current aspects. *Indian J. Surg. Oncol.* **2015**, *6*, 237–250. [CrossRef]
- 9. Robertson, D.J.; Lieberman, D.A.; Winawer, S.J.; Ahnen, D.J.; Baron, J.A.; Schatzkin, A.; Cross, A.J.; Zauber, A.G.; Church, T.R.; Lance, P.; et al. Colorectal cancers soon after colonoscopy: A pooled multicohort analysis. *Gut* **2014**, *63*, 949–956. [CrossRef]
- 10. Haggar, F.A.; Boushey, R.P. Colorectal cancer epidemiology: Incidence, mortality, survival, and risk factors. *Clin. Colon Rectal Surg.* **2009**, *22*, 191–197. [CrossRef]
- 11. American Cancer Society. Colorectal Cancer Risk Factors. Available online: https://www.cancer.org/cancer/colon-rectal-cancer/causes-risks-prevention/risk-factors.html (accessed on 23 May 2018).
- 12. Boyle, P.; Leon, M.E. Epidemiology of colorectal cancer. Br. Med. Bull. 2002, 64, 1–25. [CrossRef]

- Tayyem, R.F.; Bawadi, H.A.; Shehadah, I.N.; Abu-Mweis, S.S.; Agraib, L.M.; Bani-Hani, K.E.; Al-Jaberi, T.; Al-Nusairr, M.; Heath, D.D. Macro- and micronutrients consumption and the risk for colorectal cancer among jordanians. *Nutrients* 2015, 7, 1769–1786. [CrossRef]
- 14. Reddy, B.S. Types and amount of dietary fat and colon cancer risk: Prevention by omega-3 fatty acid-rich diets. *Environ. Health Prev. Med.* **2002**, *7*, 95–102. [CrossRef]
- Chun, Y.J.; Sohn, S.K.; Song, H.K.; Lee, S.M.; Youn, Y.H.; Lee, S.; Park, H. Associations of colorectal cancer incidence with nutrient and food group intakes in Korean adults: A case-control study. *Clin. Nutr. Res.* 2015, 4, 110–123. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Reddy, B.S. Dietary fat and colon cancer: Animal model studies. *Lipids* **1992**, 27, 807–813. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Navarro, S.L.; Neuhouser, M.L.; Cheng, T.D.; Tinker, L.F.; Shikany, J.M.; Snetselaar, L.; Martinez, J.A.; Kato, I.; Beresford, S.A.; Chapkin, R.S.; et al. The interaction between dietary fiber and fat and risk of colorectal cancer in the women's health initiative. *Nutrients* **2016**, *8*, 779. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Oba, S.; Shimizu, N.; Nagata, C.; Shimizu, H.; Kametani, M.; Takeyama, N.; Ohnuma, T.; Matsushita, S. The relationship between the consumption of meat, fat, and coffee and the risk of colon cancer: A prospective study in Japan. *Cancer Letters* 2006, 244, 260–267. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Lin, J.; Zhang, S.M.; Cook, N.R.; Lee, I.M.; Buring, J.E. Dietary fat and fatty acids and risk of colorectal cancer in women. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **2004**, *160*, 1011–1022. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Beresford, S.A.; Johnson, K.C.; Ritenbaugh, C.; Lasser, N.L.; Snetselaar, L.G.; Black, H.R.; Anderson, G.L.; Assaf, A.R.; Bassford, T.; Bowen, D.; et al. Low-fat dietary pattern and risk of colorectal cancer: The women's health initiative randomized controlled dietary modification trial. *JAMA* **2006**, *295*, 643–654. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 21. Zhong, X.; Fang, Y.J.; Pan, Z.Z.; Li, B.; Wang, L.; Zheng, M.C.; Chen, Y.M.; Zhang, C.X. Dietary fat, fatty acid intakes and colorectal cancer risk in Chinese adults: A case-control study. *Eur. J. Cancer Prev.* **2013**, *22*, 438–447. [CrossRef]
- 22. Sun, Z.; Liu, L.; Wang, P.P.; Roebothan, B.; Zhao, J.; Dicks, E.; Cotterchio, M.; Buehler, S.; Campbell, P.T.; McLaughlin, J.R.; et al. Association of total energy intake and macronutrient consumption with colorectal cancer risk: Results from a large population-based case-control study in Newfoundland and Labrador and Ontario, Canada. *Nutr. J.* **2012**, *11*, 18. [CrossRef]
- 23. Williams, C.D.; Satia, J.A.; Adair, L.S.; Stevens, J.; Galanko, J.; Keku, T.O.; Sandler, R.S. Associations of red meat, fat, and protein intake with distal colorectal cancer risk. *Nutr. Cancer* **2010**, *62*, 701–709. [CrossRef]
- 24. Liu, L.; Zhuang, W.; Wang, R.Q.; Mukherjee, R.; Xiao, S.M.; Chen, Z.; Wu, X.T.; Zhou, Y.; Zhang, H.Y. Is dietary fat associated with the risk of colorectal cancer? A meta-analysis of 13 prospective cohort studies. *Eur. J. Nutr.* **2011**, *50*, 173–184. [CrossRef]
- 25. Ruder, E.H.; Thiebaut, A.C.; Thompson, F.E.; Potischman, N.; Subar, A.F.; Park, Y.; Graubard, B.I.; Hollenbeck, A.R.; Cross, A.J. Adolescent and mid-life diet: Risk of colorectal cancer in the NIH-AARP diet and health study. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2011**, *94*, 1607–1619. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Sasazuki, S.; Inoue, M.; Iwasaki, M.; Sawada, N.; Shimazu, T.; Yamaji, T.; Takachi, R.; Tsugane, S. Intake of n-3 and n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids and development of colorectal cancer by subsite: Japan public health center-based prospective study. *Int. J. Cancer* **2011**, *129*, 1718–1729. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Kantor, E.D.; Lampe, J.W.; Peters, U.; Vaughan, T.L.; White, E. Long-chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid intake and risk of colorectal cancer. *Nutr. Cancer* **2014**, *66*, 716–727. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Song, M.; Chan, A.T.; Fuchs, C.S.; Ogino, S.; Hu, F.B.; Mozaffarian, D.; Ma, J.; Willett, W.C.; Giovannucci, E.L.; Wu, K. Dietary intake of fish, omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids and risk of colorectal cancer: A prospective study in US men and women. *Int. J. Cancer* 2014, *135*, 2413–2423. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Kraja, B.; Muka, T.; Ruiter, R.; de Keyser, C.E.; Hofman, A.; Franco, O.H.; Stricker, B.H.; Kiefte-de Jong, J.C. Dietary fiber intake modifies the positive association between n-3 pufa intake and colorectal cancer risk in a Caucasian population. *J. Nutr.* **2015**, *145*, 1709–1716. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 30. Moher, D.; Liberati, A.; Tetzlaff, J.; Altman, D.G. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **2009**, *151*, 264–269. [CrossRef]
- 31. Wells, G.A.; Shea, B.; O'Connell, D.; Peterson, J.; Welch, V.; Losos, M.; Tugwell, P. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for Assessing the Quality of Nonrandomised Studies in Meta-Analyses. Available online: http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical\_epidemiology/oxford.asp (accessed on 2 May 2018).

- 32. Higgins, J.P.; Thompson, S.G.; Deeks, J.J.; Altman, D.G. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* **2003**, 327, 557–560. [CrossRef]
- Goldbohm, R.A.; van den Brandt, P.A.; van't Veer, P.; Brants, H.A.; Dorant, E.; Sturmans, F.; Hermus, R.J. A prospective cohort study on the relation between meat consumption and the risk of colon cancer. *Cancer Res.* 1994, 54, 718–723.
- 34. Chyou, P.H.; Nomura, A.M.; Stemmermann, G.N. A prospective study of colon and rectal cancer among Hawaii Japanese men. *Ann. Epidemiol.* **1996**, *6*, 276–282. [CrossRef]
- Kato, I.; Akhmedkhanov, A.; Koenig, K.; Toniolo, P.G.; Shore, R.E.; Riboli, E. Prospective study of diet and female colorectal cancer: The New York university women's health study. *Nutr. Cancer* 1997, 28, 276–281. [CrossRef]
- 36. Pietinen, P.; Malila, N.; Virtanen, M.; Hartman, T.J.; Tangrea, J.A.; Albanes, D.; Virtamo, J. Diet and risk of colorectal cancer in a cohort of Finnish men. *Cancer Causes Control* **1999**, *10*, 387–396. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Jarvinen, R.; Knekt, P.; Hakulinen, T.; Rissanen, H.; Heliovaara, M. Dietary fat, cholesterol and colorectal cancer in a prospective study. *Br. J. Cancer* **2001**, *85*, 357–361. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Flood, A.; Velie, E.M.; Sinha, R.; Chaterjee, N.; Lacey, J.V., Jr.; Schairer, C.; Schatzkin, A. Meat, fat, and their subtypes as risk factors for colorectal cancer in a prospective cohort of women. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 2003, 158, 59–68. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Butler, L.M.; Wang, R.; Koh, W.P.; Stern, M.C.; Yuan, J.M.; Yu, M.C. Marine n-3 and saturated fatty acids in relation to risk of colorectal cancer in Singapore Chinese: A prospective study. *Int. J. Cancer* 2009, 124, 678–686. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hall, M.N.; Chavarro, J.E.; Lee, I.M.; Willett, W.C.; Ma, J. A 22-year prospective study of fish, n-3 fatty acid intake, and colorectal cancer risk in men. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 2008, 17, 1136–1143. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Murff, H.J.; Shu, X.O.; Li, H.; Dai, Q.; Kallianpur, A.; Yang, G.; Cai, H.; Wen, W.; Gao, Y.T.; Zheng, W. A prospective study of dietary polyunsaturated fatty acids and colorectal cancer risk in Chinese women. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* **2009**, *18*, 2283–2291. [CrossRef]
- 42. Daniel, C.R.; McCullough, M.L.; Patel, R.C.; Jacobs, E.J.; Flanders, W.D.; Thun, M.J.; Calle, E.E. Dietary intake of omega-6 and omega-3 fatty acids and risk of colorectal cancer in a prospective cohort of u.S. Men and women. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* **2009**, *18*, 516–525. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tang, F.Y.; Pai, M.H.; Chiang, E.P. Consumption of high-fat diet induces tumor progression and epithelial-mesenchymal transition of colorectal cancer in a mouse xenograft model. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* 2012, 23, 1302–1313. [CrossRef]
- 44. Song, M.; Garrett, W.S.; Chan, A.T. Nutrients, foods, and colorectal cancer prevention. *Gastroenterology* **2015**, *148*, 1244–1260. [CrossRef]
- 45. Willett, W.C.; Stampfer, M.J.; Colditz, G.A.; Rosner, B.A.; Speizer, F.E. Relation of meat, fat, and fiber intake to the risk of colon cancer in a prospective study among women. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **1990**, *323*, 1664–1672. [CrossRef]
- 46. Aykan, N.F. Red meat and colorectal cancer. Oncol. Rev. 2015, 9, 288. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Norat, T.; Bingham, S.; Ferrari, P.; Slimani, N.; Jenab, M.; Mazuir, M.; Overvad, K.; Olsen, A.; Tjonneland, A.; Clavel, F.; et al. Meat, fish, and colorectal cancer risk: The European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **2005**, *97*, 906–916. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. English, D.R.; MacInnis, R.J.; Hodge, A.M.; Hopper, J.L.; Haydon, A.M.; Giles, G.G. Red meat, chicken, and fish consumption and risk of colorectal cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 2004, *13*, 1509–1514.
- 49. Hu, J.; La Vecchia, C.; Negri, E.; Mery, L. Nutrients and risk of colon cancer. *Cancers* **2010**, *2*, 51–67. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Hodge, A.M.; Williamson, E.J.; Bassett, J.K.; MacInnis, R.J.; Giles, G.G.; English, D.R. Dietary and biomarker estimates of fatty acids and risk of colorectal cancer. *Int. J. Cancer* **2015**, *137*, 1224–1234. [CrossRef] [PubMed]



© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).