ORIGINAL STUDY

Factors related to age at natural menopause in China: results from the China Kadoorie Biobank

Meng Wang, MD,¹ Christiana Kartsonaki, PhD,² Yu Guo, MD,³ Jun Lv, PhD,⁴ Wei Gan, PhD,^{2,5} Zheng-Ming Chen, PhD,² Li-Ming Li, PhD,⁴ Chong-Gao Hu, MD,¹ Ling Yang, PhD,² and Min Yu, MD¹

Abstract

Objectives: The aim of this study was to investigate the potentially modifiable factors affecting age at natural menopause (ANM) in Chinese women.

Methods: We used cross-sectional data from the China Kadoorie Biobank study which that recruited 0.5 million (0.3 million women) Chinese adults aged 30 to 79 from 2004 to 2008. Multinomial logistic regression models were used to examine the relationships between ANM and various factors recorded at baseline.

Results: Among 87,349 postmenopausal women, the mean ANM (SD) was 48.7 (4.3) years. Older age, being a housewife, earlier menarche, and passive smoking were associated with both premature menopause (PM, ie, ANM <40 years) and early menopause (EM, ie, ANM between 40 and 44 years). A higher odds for EM was observed in women who were widowed (odds ratio: 1.10, 95% confidence interval: 1.04-1.16), had spontaneous abortions (1.33 [1.05-1.69]), current regular smoking (1.19 [1.07-1.37]), and frequent spicy food intake (1.11 [1.05-1.08]). Higher socioeconomic status; later first birth; more live births and induced abortions; longer breastfeeding; tea drinking, as well as intakes of meat, fruits, dairy, and soybean products; and increased body mass index gain were inversely associated with PM and/or EM. In contrast, women who had more pregnancies, occasional alcohol drinking, higher levels of physical activity or body mass index, vitamin intake, and hypertension were more likely to have a later age at menopause (LM, ie, ANM \geq 53 years).

Conclusions: This large epidemiological study found a wide range of sociodemographic, lifestyle, dietary, and reproductive factors related to PM, EM, and LM in Chinese women.

properly cited.

Key Words: Age at menopause - Chinese - Risk factors - Women.

enopause is an important event in a woman's reproductive history and the age at natural menopause (ANM) has held great public health interest due to its implications for numerous health outcomes. Several studies found that premature/early menopause (EM) is associated with higher risk of type 2 diabetes,¹ cardiovascular disease,² all-cause mortality,³ worse cognitive function,⁴ and osteoporosis and fracture.^{5,6} In contrast, late menopause is

Received February 19, 2021; revised and accepted June 2, 2021.

From the ¹Department of Non-Communicable Disease Control and Prevention, Zhejiang Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Hangzhou, China; ²Clinical Trial Service Unit and Epidemiological Studies Unit (CTSU), Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ³Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Dong Cheng District, Beijing, China; ⁴Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Peking University Health Science Center, Haidian District, Beijing, China; and ⁵School of Biotechnology and Health Sciences, Wuyi University, Jiangmen, China.

Funding/support: The China Kadoorie Biobank (CKB) baseline survey was supported by the Kadoorie Charitable Foundation, Hong Kong. CKB long-term follow-up was supported by grants from the UK Wellcome Trust (212946/Z/18/Z, 202922/Z/16/Z, 104085/Z/14/Z, 088158/Z/09/Z), National Natural Science Foundation of China (81390540, 81390541, 81390544), and National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFC 0900500, 0900501, 0900502, 0900504, 1303904).

Financial disclosure/conflicts of interest: None reported. Ethics approval: Ethical approval was obtained from the ethical review

Ethics approval: Ethical approval was obtained from the ethical review committee of the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, China and the Oxford Tropical Research Ethics Committee, University of Oxford, UK. The China Kadoorie Biobank (CKB) study abided by the Declaration of Helsinki, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Data Availability Statements: The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text and are provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's Website (www.menopause.org).

Address correspondence to: Chong-Gao Hu, MD, Zhejiang Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 3399 Binsheng Road, Hangzhou 310051, China. E-mail: chghu@cdc.zj.cn, Ling Yang, PhD, Clinical Trial Service Unit and Epidemiological Studies Unit (CTSU), Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. E-mail: ling.yang@ndph.ox.ac.uk, Min Yu, MD, Zhejiang Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 3399 Binsheng Road, Hang-

zhou 310051, China. E-mail: mycdc1234@163.com This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is associated with higher risk of cancers in the breast⁷ and endometrium.⁸ Therefore, identifying the related factors to the ANM may shed light on the etiology, early monitoring, and prevention of these relevant diseases in later life.

Menopausal age varies greatly between and within populations.⁹ Previous research has shown that menopause is triggered by a low threshold number of predetermined follicles in the ovary¹⁰; however, factors that can modify the rate of follicle decline and thus affect the onset of menopause are not fully understood. Except for the genetic contributions to the variation in ANM,^{11,12} there are potential effects of other reproductive and lifestyle factors, such as parity and smoking.¹³

However, most existing evidence came from Western studies, and little is known in China, where women's lifestyle and reproductive characteristics are significantly different from those in Western women. Based on the nationwide China Kadoorie Biobank (CKB) study, we aimed to examine the relationships between ANM and a wide range of sociodemographic, lifestyle, dietary, and reproductive factors in Chinese women.

METHODS

Study design and population

Details regarding the CKB study design and population have been published elsewhere.¹⁴ Briefly, the baseline survey was conducted from 2004 to 2008 and recruited 512,715 Chinese adults (302,522 women) aged 30 to 79 from 10 diverse regions in China. Data on participants' sociodemographic characteristics, dietary and lifestyle behaviors, medical history, and for women only, the history of reproductive characteristics, relevant surgery treatment, and oral contraceptive (OC) use were collected using an intervieweradministered laptop-based questionnaire. Physical measurements including various anthropometry measurements (eg, bodyweight, standing height, waist circumference, and blood pressure) were undertaken by trained health workers.

Self-reported ANM was the outcome of interest. On the baseline questionnaire, women were asked whether they had their menopause with the following response options: (1) no; (2) yes, currently; (3) yes, had menopause. Women who had menopause were then asked the age of completion of menopause (open response). In this study, women without menstruation for 12 months or longer were defined as postmenopausal. In categorical analysis, ANM was grouped as age less than 40 ("premature menopause", PM), 40 to 44 (EM), 45 to 47, 48 to 50 (reference category), 51 to 52, and 53 years or older ("later age at menopause", LM).¹⁵

Exposure factors considered in the cross-sectional study included: (i) sociodemographic characteristics included age, area, marriage status, education, occupation, and household income; (ii) lifestyle behavior factors included tea and alcohol drinking, active and passive smoking, physical activity, and the duration of pesticide storage at home; (iii) dietary intake variables included frequency of consuming coarse cereals, meat, poultry, seafood, fresh eggs, soybean and dairy products, preserved vegetables, fresh fruits and vegetables, spicy food, vitamins and minerals, and experienced severe food shortage over 1 year preceding the survey; (iv) physical measurements included body mass index (BMI), BMI change per year from age 25 years, waist circumference, and blood pressure; and (v) reproductive characteristics included age at menarche and first birth, parous status, OC use, number of pregnancies, live births, spontaneous and induced abortions, and breastfeeding duration for each live birth. The details of these exposure factors could be found in the supplementary baseline questionnaire (Supplemental Digital Content, http:// links.lww.com/SLA/D201).

Statistical analysis

Among 302,522 women recruited in the CKB study, 146,160 women were excluded because they were premenopausal (n = 128,721) or perimenopausal (n = 14,828), had missing data on menopause (n = 47), or had a history of surgical menopause (n = 1,240) or cancer (n = 1,324) at baseline. To avoid any potential distortion of the distribution of ANM among the younger age group which included pre-, peri-, and postmenopausal women, the main analysis was confined to women aged 57 years or older of whom 99% reported being postmenopausal (ie, 67,865 women <57 years old at baseline were further excluded). The extreme top and bottom 0.5% of menopausal age values were also excluded (n = 1,148). After these exclusions, 87,349 postmenopausal women remained in the main analysis.

The age-adjusted mean ANM for each category of each exposure variable was calculated. Multivariable linear regression models were used to estimate the adjusted mean differences and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for ANM between different categories of each exposure variable. To further estimate adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs for PM, EM, and LM according to each category of exposure variables, we used the multinomial (polytomous) logistic regression models. Based on prior knowledge, the statistical models were adjusted for age (57-60, 61-64, 65-69, >70 years), area (rural, urban), education (no formal school, primary school, middle school, high school, college/university), household income (<10k, 10-20k [10,000-19,999], 20-35k [20,000-34,999], $\geq 35k$ yuan), smoking (never, occasional, current regular), BMI (underweight, normal weight, overweight, obesity),¹⁶ age at menarche (<12, 13-14, 15-16, 17-18, >19 years) and number of live births (1, 2, 3, >4). Tests for linear trend were conducted by modeling each exposure as a continuous variable. The Bonferroni correction was used to adjust for multiple comparisons. Finally, to evaluate the robustness of our estimates, we conducted the sensitivity analysis with different categories of ANM: <40 (PM), 40-44 (EM), 45-52 (reference category), and >53 years (LM). All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC) and R version 4.0.2 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing). All statistical tests were based on the two-sided 5% level of significance.

TABLE 1.	Baseline	characteristics	of C	China	Kadoorie	Biobank	women	according	to	age	at	natural	menopause
----------	----------	-----------------	------	-------	----------	---------	-------	-----------	----	-----	----	---------	-----------

				Age at natura	ll menopause (y)		
Characteristics	Overall	<40	40-44	45-47	48-50	51-52	≥53
No. of women	87,349	2,794	9,514	16,660	30,581	13,225	14,575
Mean age at baseline (y)	64.5	66.2	65.4	64.8	64.6	64.0	63.6
Birth cohorts (%)							
1920s-1930s	34.7	48.3	41.4	37.0	35.6	30.1	27.1
1940s	62.6	49.8	56.6	60.3	61.8	66.9	69.6
1950s	2.7	1.9	2.0	2.7	2.6	3.0	3.3
Urban resident (%)	49.8	47.5	40.8	49.2	50.4	51.2	54.0
No formal school (%)	40.9	52.2	46.7	41.6	40.5	40.0	36.0
Lifestyle factors and physical measurem	ents, % or mean						
Current regular smoker	4.7	6.3	6.2	5.3	4.7	4.0	3.6
Current regular drinker	3.4	3.9	3.9	3.6	3.2	3.4	3.1
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.9	23.5	23.5	23.8	23.8	24.2	24.5
Overweight (24.0-27.9 kg/m ²)	33.8	31.4	31.3	33.0	32.9	35.3	37.2
Obesity ($\geq 28.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$)	13.9	11.5	11.9	13.4	13.2	15.1	16.6
Waist circumference (cm)	81.1	80.0	80.3	80.8	80.9	81.5	82.3
Physical activity, MET (h/d)	14.5	13.9	14.3	14.5	14.3	15.1	14.7
Reproductive factors, % or mean							
Âge at menarche (y)	16.1	16.1	16.0	16.1	16.2	16.1	16.2
Nulliparous	1.2	2.9	1.7	1.5	1.2	0.9	0.8
Oral contraceptive pill used	8.5	5.48	6.37	8.22	8.44	9.48	9.89
No. of live births ^{<i>a</i>}	3.4	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.3
Age at first birth $(y)^a$	22.4	21.9	22.0	22.3	22.4	22.4	22.7
Never breastfed ^a	1.7	2.4	2.1	1.8	1.7	1.5	1.7
Breastfeeding per child $(mo)^a$	14.8	15.0	15.0	14.9	14.5	14.8	14.8

BMI, body mass index; MET, metabolic equivalents of task.

^aAmong parous women only.

RESULTS

Among 87,349 postmenopausal women, the mean (SD) age at baseline was 64.5 (5.2) years. The range of reported ANM was 32.0 to 58.0 years, with a mean of 48.7 (4.3) years, and a median of 49.0 years. Approximately 3.2% of women had PM, 10.9% EM, and 16.7% LM. 49.8% of the postmenopausal women were from urban areas and 40.9% had no formal education. Few women were current regular smokers (4.7%) or alcohol drinkers (3.4%) and 8.5% women had ever used OC. Very few women were nulliparous (1.2%) or, among parous women, never breastfed their children (1.7%) during their reproductive period. Compared with women with a later ANM, women with earlier menopause were, on average, slightly older and leaner at baseline, more likely to be less educated, smoked more, and had a higher proportion of nulliparity, less use of OC, younger age at first birth, and lack of breastfeeding (Table 1).

Specifically, compared with the reference category of ANM (48-50 years), higher odds were present in women who were housewives (vs unemployed/retired/other), with OR of 1.18 (95% CI: 1.04-1.34) for PM and 1.23 (1.14-1.34) for EM; in older age groups (vs 57-60 years) for both PM (with OR between 1.32-1.94, $P_{\text{trend}} < 0.001$) and EM (1.16-1.37, $P_{\text{trend}} < 0.001$); with earlier menarche (≤ 12 and 13-14 vs 15-16 years): 1.18-2.18 for PM and 1.42-2.00 for EM; experienced passive smoking (occasional and current regular vs never): 1.16-1.20 ($P_{\text{trend}} = 0.006$) for PM and 1.13-1.16 ($P_{\text{trend}} < 0.001$) for EM, respectively. A higher odds for EM was also observed in women who were widowed (vs married, OR = 1.10 [1.04-1.16]), had three or more spontaneous

abortions (vs none, 1.33 [1.05-1.69]), current regular smoking (vs never, 1.19 [1.07-1.37]), and frequent spicy food intake (6-7 days/week vs never, 1.11 [1.05-1.08]) (Tables 2-5, Fig. 1A and Fig. 2A).

When comparing the ANM reference category, lower odds of both PM and EM were found in women with higher household income (vs <10k yuan, with ORs range between 0.72 and 0.81, $P_{\text{trend}} < 0.001$ for PM and 0.72-0.90, $P_{\text{trend}} < 0.001$ for EM); or experienced more induced abortions (vs none): 0.77-0.86 $(P_{\text{trend}} < 0.001)$ and 0.88-0.94 $(P_{\text{trend}} < 0.001)$. Low odds for only PM were observed in women with higher education levels (vs no formal school, 0.61-0.74, $P_{\text{trend}} < 0.001$), more live births (vs 1, 0.65-0.78, $P_{trend} = 0.002$), and later ages at first birth (vs <20 years, 0.68-0.86, $P_{\text{trend}} < 0.001$). Other factors such as urban residence. OC ever use, breastfeeding duration, tea drinking, intakes of meat, poultry, seafood, fresh eggs, soybean products, fresh fruits, and dairy products and higher BMI gain per year from age 25 years were also inversely, to some extent, associated with PM and/or EM (Tables 2-6, Fig. 1B-C, Fig. 2B-D).

Regarding factors associated with LM, compared with the reference category of ANM, higher odds were found in women who had higher BMI (overweight/obesity vs normal weight, OR between 1.24 and 1.39), who were parous, had more pregnancies, occasionally drank alcohol (vs never), more active, intake of vitamin supplement, or had hypertension (Tables 3-6, Fig. 1D).

The sensitivity analyses showed that the ORs for PM, EM, and LM were largely unchanged when the ANM of 48 to 52 years was taken as the reference group.

		interior mount alo an	in nun acandououi in minu		croacings aprile on	ou lo concionation	110111	
Characteristics	No. of women (%)	Age at menopause, (y), mean (SD) ^a	Mean difference ß (95% CI)	<40 y OR (95% CI)	40-44 y OR (95% CI)	45-47 y OR (95% CI)	51-52 y OR (95% CI)	≥53 y OR (95% CI)
Age (y) 57-60 61-64 65-69 ≥ 70 $P_{\rm trend}$	28,372 (32.5) 20,898 (23.9) 21,912 (25.1) 16,167 (18.5)	49.2 (4.1) 48.8 (4.3) 48.3 (4.3) 47.9 (4.4)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ -0.33\ (-0.41\ \mathrm{to}\ -0.26)\\ -0.75\ (-0.83\ \mathrm{to}\ -0.67)\\ -1.07\ (-1.16\ \mathrm{to}\ -0.98)\\ <0.001\end{array}$	1.00 1.32 (1.17-1.49) 1.62 (1.44-1.83) 1.94 (1.71-2.21) <0.001	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.16 \ (1.09-1.25)\\ 1.23 \ (1.15-1.32)\\ 1.37 \ (1.27-1.48)\\ < 0.001 \end{array}$	1.00 1.04 (0.99-1.10) 1.09 (1.03-1.15) 1.11 (1.04-1.18) <0.001	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.94\ (0.89-0.99)\\ 0.82\ (0.77-0.87)\\ 0.78\ (0.72-0.83)\\ <0.001 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.87\ (0.82\ 0.92)\\ 0.69\ (0.65\ 0.73)\\ 0.60\ (0.56\ 0.64)\\ <0.001\end{array}$
Area Rural Urban P _{rend}	43,882 (50.2) 43,467 (49.8)	48.3 (4.4) 49.0 (4.2)	0.00 0.30 (0.23-0.36) 0.001	1.00 0.95 (0.87-1.03) 0.218	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.72 \ (0.68-0.76)\\ < 0.001 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.94\ (0.90\text{-}0.98)\\ 0.005\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.94\ (0.89-0.98)\\ 0.006\end{array}$	1.00 1.06 (1.01-1.11) 0.022
Marriage status Married Widowed Separated/divorced/	66,011 (75.6) 20,797 (23.8) 541 (0.6)	48.7 (4.2) 48.5 (4.4) 48.8 (4.5)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \\ -0.12 \ (-0.19 \ to \ -0.05) \\ 0.11 \ (-0.26 \ to \ 0.49) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.97\ (0.88{-}1.06)\\ 1.40\ (0.86{-}2.30)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.10 \ (1.04\text{-}1.16)\\ 1.21 \ (0.88\text{-}1.67)\end{array}$	1.00 1.02 (0.97-1.07) 1.00 (0.76-1.31)	1.00 0.96 (0.91-1.01) 1.07 (0.81-1.42)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.97\ (0.93\text{-}1.03)\\ 1.34\ (1.04\text{-}1.73)\end{array}$
$P_{\rm trend}$			0.002	0.483	< 0.001	0.242	0.122	0.703
Highest education No formal school Primary school Middle school High school College/university	35,706 (40.9) 32,298 (37.0) 11,155 (12.7) 5,766 (6.6) 2,424 (2.8)	48.4 (4.4) 48.6 (4.2) 48.9 (4.1) 49.2 (4.0) 49.6 (4.0)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.15 \ (0.08 \ to \ 0.21)\\ 0.27 \ (0.17 \ to \ 0.37)\\ 0.47 \ (0.34 \ to \ 0.60)\\ 0.80 \ (0.61 \ to \ 0.99)\\ <0.001\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.74\ (0.68-0.82)\\ 0.73\ (0.65-0.84)\\ 0.61\ (0.56-0.84)\\ 0.61\ (0.50-0.76)\\ 0.64\ (0.47-0.88)\\ <0.001 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.90\ (0.85-0.95)\\ 0.87\ (0.79-0.94)\\ 0.91\ (0.81-1.02)\\ 1.02\ (0.86-1.22)\\ 0.003\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.96\ (0.92-1.01)\\ 1.01\ (0.94-1.07)\\ 0.97\ (0.89-1.06)\\ 1.03\ (0.90-1.18)\\ 0.314\end{array}$	1.00 0.88 (0.84-0.93) 0.96 (0.89-1.03) 1.04 (0.95-1.14) 1.22 (1.07-1.39) 0.112	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.98\ (0.93\text{-}1.02)\\ 1.06\ (0.99\text{-}1.14)\\ 1.23\ (1.12\text{-}1.34)\\ 1.74\ (1.54\text{-}1.97)\\ <0.001\end{array}$
Occupation Unemployed/retired/other Manager/technologist/	31,899 (36.5) 1,764 (2.0)	49.1 (4.1) 48.9 (4.2)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.01 \ (-0.19 \ \text{to} \ 0.22) \end{array}$	1.00 1.32 (0.98-1.79)	1.00 1.13 (0.93-1.36)	1.00 1.05 (0.91-1.21)	1.00 1.17 (1.02-1.36)	1.00 1.10 (0.95-1.26)
business Housewives Agriculture related/	25,343 (29.0) 28,343 (32.5)	48.5 (4.4) 48.3 (4.3)	-0.14 (-0.24 to -0.05) -0.09 (-0.20 to 0.02)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.18 \ (1.04\text{-}1.34) \\ 1.21 \ (1.04\text{-}1.40) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.23 & (1.14 - 1.34) \\ 1.24 & (1.13 - 1.36) \end{array}$	0.98 (0.92-1.04) 1.07 (1.00-1.16)	0.98 (0.91-1.05) 1.10 (1.02-1.19)	1.07 (1.00-1.14) 1.12 (1.04-1.21)
P_{trend}			0.022	0.004	< 0.001	0.037	0.014	0.001
Annual household income (yu <10 k 10.20k $20-35$ k ≥ 35 k ≥ 35 k P_{trend}	an) 31,816 (36.5) 24,572 (28.1) 18,361 (21.0) 12,600 (14.4)	48.3 (4.5) 48.7 (4.2) 49.0 (4.1) 49.1 (4.1)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.29 \ (0.21 - 0.36)\\ 0.49 \ (0.41 - 0.57)\\ 0.54 \ (0.45 - 0.64)\\ < 0.001 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.81\ (0.74\text{-}0.90)\\ 0.72\ (0.64\text{-}0.81)\\ 0.76\ (0.66\text{-}0.87)\\ <0.001\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.90\ (0.85-0.95)\\ 0.74\ (0.69-0.80)\\ 0.72\ (0.67-0.79)\\ <0.001\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.98\ (0.94\text{-}1.03)\\ 0.92\ (0.87\text{-}0.97)\\ 0.94\ (0.88\text{-}1.00)\\ 0.002\end{array}$	1.00 1.05 (1.00-1.11) 1.14 (1.08-1.21) 1.18 (1.10-1.26) <0.001	1.00 1.06 (1.00-1.12) 1.02 (0.97-1.09) 1.08 (1.01-1.16) 0.021
ß and OR were adjusted for ag	ge, area, education,	annual household inco	me, smoking, body mass inde	x (BMI), age at mena	arche, and number of	live births, except for	r the same variable.	When calculating

TABLE 2. Adjusted mean age at natural menopause and associations with sociodemographic characteristics of women

 $\frac{1}{2}$ urbin the adjusted for age, area, education, annual household income, smoking, body mass index (EM11), age at increasion, and OR were adjusted for age, area, education, annual household income, smoking, body mass index (EM11), age at increasion. If eOR, menopausal age of 48 to 50 years was used as the reference group. P < 0.001 did not change after Bonferroni corrections. CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

FACTORS RELATED TO AGE AT NATURAL MENOPAUSE

Characteristics	No. of women (%)	Age at menopause (y), mean (SD) ^a	Mean difference ß (95% CI)	<40 y OR (95% CI)	40-44 y OR (95% CI)	45-47 y OR (95% CI)	51-52 y OR (95% CI)	≥53 y OR (95% CI)
Age at menarche (y) ≤ 12 13-14 15-16 17-18 ≥ 19 ≥ 19 P_{rend}	2,563 (2.9) 15,607 (17.9) 31,506 (36.1) 28,390 (32.5) 9,283 (10.6)	47.9 (4.7) 48.5 (4.3) 48.7 (4.2) 48.7 (4.2) 48.7 (4.4)	$\begin{array}{c} -0.86 \ (-1.03 \ \text{to} \ -0.69) \\ -0.28 \ (-0.36 \ \text{to} \ -0.19) \\ 0.00 \\ 0.03 \ -0.10 \ (0.001 \ -0.20) \\ -0.001 \ -0.20) \end{array}$	2.18 (1.79-2.66) 1.18 (1.05-1.32) 1.00 1.07 (0.97-1.18) 1.18 (1.03-1.35) 0.002	$\begin{array}{c} 2.00 & (1.76-2.26) \\ 1.42 & (1.33-1.52) \\ 1.00 & 0.97 & (0.91-1.03) \\ 1.03 & (0.95-1.12) \\ < 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.03 & (0.91-1.16) \\ 0.93 & (0.88-0.98) \\ 1.00 \\ 0.93 & (0.88-0.97) \\ 0.77 & (0.72-0.83) \\ <0.001 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.07 \ (0.94 + 1.22) \\ 0.95 \ (0.89 + 1.00) \\ 1.00 \\ 0.94 \ (0.90 - 0.99) \\ 0.84 \ (0.79 - 0.91) \\ -0.001 \end{array}$	1.00 (0.88-1.14) 1.01 (0.95-1.07) 1.00 1.05 (1.00-1.11) 1.08 (1.01-1.16) 0.004
Parous status Nulliparous Parous P _{rend}	$\begin{array}{c} 1,077\ (1.2)\\ 86,272\ (98.8)\end{array}$	47.3 (4.8) 48.7 (4.3)	0.00 1.37 (1.11-1.62) <0.001	1.00 0.40 (0.31-0.51) <0.001	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.69 \ (0.58\text{-}0.84)\\ <0.001 \end{array}$	1.00 0.79 (0.67-0.94) 0.003	1.25 (1.02-1.55) 0.018	1.00 1.38 (1.12-1.70) 0.002
Oral contraceptives use Never Ever Prend	79,944 (91.5) 7,405 (8.5)	48.6 (4.3) 49.1 (4.0)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.24\ (0.13\text{-}0.34)\\ <0.001\end{array}$	1.00 0.78 (0.66-0.92) 0.004	1.00 0.88 (0.80-0.96) 0.006	1.00 0.99 (0.93-1.07) 0.871	1.00 1.05 (0.98-1.13) 0.191	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.07\ (0.99\text{-}1.14)\\ 0.071\end{array}$
Number of pregnancies ⁶ 1 2 ≥ 4 P_{rend}	1,593 (1.9) 8,058 (9.3) 18,377 (21.2) 58,497 (67.6)	48.3 (4.5) 48.6 (4.2) 48.8 (4.1) 48.6 (4.3)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.23\ (-0.05\ to\ 0.52)\\ 0.51\ (0.22-0.79)\\ 0.56\ (0.27-0.85)\\ -0.001\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.94\ (0.65-1.36)\\ 0.72\ (0.49-1.06)\\ 0.67\ (0.45-0.98)\\ <0.001 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.13 \ (0.89-1.44)\\ 1.06 \ (0.83-1.36)\\ 1.02 \ (0.79-1.30)\\ 0.040\end{array}$	1.00 1.04 (0.85-1.26) 1.03 (0.84-1.25) 1.03 (0.84-1.25) 0.636	1.00 1.25 (1.02-1.54) 1.40 (1.14-1.73) 1.36 (1.11-1.68) 0.018	1.00 1.24 (1.02-1.52) 1.33 (1.09-1.63) 1.34 (1.10-1.64) 0.009
Number of live births ⁴ 1 2 $2 \approx 3$ ≥ 4 P_{rend}	3,755 (4.4) 20,352 (23.6) 26,605 (30.8) 35,560 (41.2)	$\begin{array}{c} 48.7 \ (4.3) \\ 48.9 \ (4.1) \\ 48.8 \ (4.1) \\ 48.4 \ (4.4) \end{array}$	0.00 0.25 (0.11-0.40) 0.30 (0.15-0.44) 0.17 (0.02-0.32) 0.438	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.78 & (0.64-0.96)\\ 0.65 & (0.53-0.80)\\ 0.70 & (0.58-0.86)\\ 0.002 \end{array}$	1.00 0.91 (0.80-1.04) 0.88 (0.78-1.00) 0.97 (0.86-1.11) 0.063	1.00 1.11 (1.00-1.23) 1.05 (0.95-1.16) 1.05 (0.94-1.16) 0.132	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.11 \ (1.00-1.23)\\ 1.02 \ (0.92-1.14)\\ 0.95 \ (0.85-1.06)\\ -0.001 \end{array}$	1.00 1.11 (1.00-1.22) 1.07 (0.97-1.19) 1.10 (0.99-1.22) 0.218
Age at first birth $(y)^e$ ~ 20 $\sim 20-24$ $\geq 55-29$ ≥ 30 P^{rend}	17,027 (19.8)48,518 (56.2)117,725 (20.5)3,002 (3.5)	$\begin{array}{c} 48.4 \\ 48.6 \\ 4.3 \\ 49.0 \\ (4.1) \\ 49.0 \\ (4.1) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.06\ (-0.02\ to\ 0.14)\\ 0.19\ (0.08{-}0.29)\\ 0.19\ (0.01{-}0.38)\\ <0.001\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.86\ (0.77-0.95)\\ 0.74\ (0.64-0.85)\\ 0.68\ (0.52-0.88)\\ <0.001 \end{array}$	1.00 1.02 (0.96-1.08) 0.95 (0.87-1.04) 0.99 (0.84-1.15) 0.139	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.01 & (0.96-1.06)\\ 0.90 & (0.84-0.96)\\ 0.87 & (0.77-0.99)\\ <0.001 \end{array}$	1.00 0.93 (0.87-0.98) 0.86 (0.79-0.92) 0.89 (0.78-1.02) <0.001	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.03 \ (0.97\text{-}1.09)\\ 1.05 \ (0.97\text{-}1.13)\\ 0.99 \ (0.87\text{-}1.13)\\ 0.183\end{array}$
Number of spontaneous at 0 1 ≥ 3 P_{rend}	ortions ⁶ 75,884 (87.7) 8,163 (9.4) 1,798 (2.1) 680 (0.8)	48.7 (4.3) 48.8 (4.3) 48.5 (4.4) 48.1 (4.4)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.19 \ (0.10\text{-}0.29)\\ 0.04 \ (-0.16 \ to \ 0.24)\\ -0.37 \ (-0.69 \ to \ -0.05)\\ 0.072\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.80\ (0.70-0.93)\\ 1.02\ (0.79-1.33)\\ 0.96\ (0.62-1.49)\\ 0.032\end{array}$	1.00 0.97 (0.90-1.05) 1.08 (0.92-1.26) 1.33 (1.05-1.69) 0.058	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.95\ (0.89-1.01)\\ 1.00\ (0.87-1.14)\\ 1.17\ (0.95-1.45)\\ 0.480\end{array}$	1.00 0.98 (0.92-1.06) 1.04 (0.90-1.21) 1.13 (0.88-1.44) 0.259	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.08 \ (1.01-1.16)\\ 1.12 \ (0.97-1.29)\\ 0.99 \ (0.77-1.27)\\ 0.010\end{array}$
Number of induced abortic 0 1 ≥ 3 P_{rend}	$^{ans}{}^{a}$ 48,410 (56.0) 48,410 (25.3) 21,990 (12.7) 5,215 (6.0)	48.5 (4.4) 48.9 (4.1) 49.0 (4.1) 48.9 (4.1)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.22\ (0.15{-}0.29)\\ 0.22\ (0.13{-}0.31)\\ 0.17\ (0.05{-}0.29)\\ < 0.001\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.86\ (0.78-0.95)\\ 0.77\ (0.67-0.89)\\ 0.83\ (0.69-1.01)\\ <0.001\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.90 \ (0.85-0.95)\\ 0.88 \ (0.81-0.95)\\ 0.94 \ (0.84-1.05)\\ <0.01\end{array}$	1.00 1.01 (0.96-1.06) 1.05 (0.99-1.12) 1.10 (1.01-1.19) 0.007	1.00 1.08 (1.03-1.13) 1.08 (1.01-1.15) 1.04 (0.95-1.14) 0.009	1.00 1.05 (1.00-1.10) 1.02 (0.96-1.09) 1.11 (1.02-1.20) 0.010
Duration of breastfeeding Never breastfeeding 1-6 7-12 13-18 19-24 9-24 ≥ 25 P_{rend}	per child (mo) ^c 1,504 (1.7) 4,987 (5.8) 41,763 (48,4) 18,917 (21.9) 12,721 (14,8) 6,380 (7.4)	48.4 (4.5) 48.5 (4.4) 48.8 (4.2) 48.7 (4.3) 48.5 (4.4) 48.5 (4.4)	0.00 0.37 (0.13-0.61) 0.63 (0.41-0.84) 0.66 (0.44-0.88) 0.51 (0.28-0.74) 0.41 (0.19-0.67) 0.172 0.172	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.76 \ (0.56-1.03)\\ 0.61 \ (0.46-0.80)\\ 0.65 \ (0.49-0.87)\\ 0.77 \ (0.58-1.02)\\ 0.708 \ (0.59-1.08)\\ 0.008 \end{array}$	1.00 0.78 (0.65-0.95) 0.69 (0.58-0.83) 0.74 (0.62-0.89) 0.77 (0.64-0.93) 0.77 (0.64-0.93) 0.88 (0.73-1.06) 0.004	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.94 \ (0.80-1.11)\\ 0.85 \ (0.73-0.98)\\ 0.94 \ (0.80-1.09)\\ 0.97 \ (0.83-1.13)\\ 1.07 \ (0.91-1.26)\\ <0.001 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.14\ (0.94-1.37)\\ 1.11\ (0.94-1.31)\\ 1.23\ (1.04-1.46)\\ 1.23\ (1.01-1.44)\\ 1.26\ (1.05-1.51)\\ 1.26\ (1.05-1.51)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 1.01 \ (0.85-1.21)\\ 1.02 \ (0.87-1.20)\\ 1.02 \ (0.97-1.35)\\ 1.15 \ (0.97-1.35)\\ 1.08 \ (0.91-1.27)\\ 1.17 \ (0.98-1.39)\\ <0.001\end{array}$
ß and OR were adjust the OR, menopausal a CI, confidence interva ^a Adjusted for age at b: ^b Among ever pregnant ^c Among parous womet	ed for age, area, edu ge of 48 to 50 years I; OR: odds ratio. aseline (continuous), t women. n only.	cation, annual household was used as the referenc except for the age varial	income, smoking, body mass æ group. <i>P</i> < 0.001 did not ci ble.	s index (BMI), age at 1 hange after Bonferroni	nenarche, and number corrections.	of live births, except 1	for the same variable. V	Vhen calculating

TABLE 3. Adjusted mean age at natural menopause and associations with reproductive characteristics of women

WANG ET AL

		H noren - Uninger	ieun uze ui numu ui menopo	unde una association	is which his sight child	acteristics of women		
Characteristics	No. of women (%)	Age at menopause (y) , mean $(SD)^a$	Mean difference ß (95% CI)	<40 y OR (95% CI)	40-44 y OR (95% CI)	45-47 y OR (95% CI)	51-52 y OR (95% CI)	≥53 y OR (95% CI)
Tea drinking Never	46.793 (53.6)	48.6 (4.3)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1_00	1.00	1.00
Occasional	20,311 (23.2)	48.7 (4.2)	0.07 (0.004-0.15)	0.84 (0.76-0.93)	0.99 (0.93-1.05)	0.98 (0.93-1.02)	0.93 (0.89-0.98)	1.04 (0.99-1.09)
Current regular	20,245 (23.2)	48.7 (4.3)	0.11 (0.04-0.18)	0.84(0.76-0.93)	0.98 (0.92-1.04)	0.86(0.82 - 0.90)	0.88(0.84-0.93)	1.03(0.98-1.08)
P _{trend} Alcohol intake			0.001	<0.001	0.261	<0.001	<0.001	0.117
Never	62,226 (71.2)	48.6 (4.3)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Occasional	22,164 (25.4)	48.8 (4.2)	0.10 (0.03-0.16)	1.04(0.94-1.14)	0.99(0.93-1.04)	1.08 (1.03-1.13)	1.04(0.99-1.09)	1.11 (1.06-1.16)
Current regular	2,959 (3.4)	48.4 (4.4)	-0.06 (-0.22 to 0.10)	1.17 (0.95-1.45)	1.09 (0.96-1.24)	1.09 (0.98-1.22)	1.08 (0.96-1.21)	1.02 (0.90-1.14)
$P_{ m trend}$ Smoking			0.054	0.083	0.418	0.001	0.031	0.001
Never	79,275 (90.8)	48.7 (4.26)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Occasional	3,956(4.5)	48.5 (4.38)	-0.07 (-0.20 to 0.07)	1.00 (0.83-1.21)	1.09 (0.98-1.22)	1.13 (1.04-1.24)	1.15 (1.04-1.27)	1.02 (0.92-1.13)
Current regular	4,118 (4.7)	48.1 (4.39)	-0.40 (-0.53 to -0.26)	1.19(1.00-1.40)	1.19 (1.07-1.31)	1.13 (1.03-1.23)	0.95 (0.86-1.05)	0.88 (0.79-0.97)
Prend molting			< 0.001	0.034	<0.001	0.001	0.542	0.019
rassive sillokilig Never	23.854 (27.3)	48.9 (4.16)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Occasional	21,626 (24.8)	48.6 (4.31)	-0.13 (-0.21 to -0.05)	1.20 (1.08-1.35)	1.13 (1.06-1.21)	1.05 (1.00-1.11)	1.02 (0.96-1.08)	1.03 (0.98-1.09)
Current regular	41,869 (47.9)	48.5 (4.31)	-0.20(-0.27 to -0.13)	1.16 (1.05-1.28)	1.16 (1.09-1.23)	1.05(1.00-1.10)	(0.90-0.94)	1.00(0.96-1.06)
Ptrend			< 0.001	0.006	<0.001	0.047	0.007	0.786
Physical activity	10 001 100 20			1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00
LOW	20,901 (30.8)	48.0 (4.3)		1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00
Middle	38,609 (44.2)	48.7 (4.2)	0.05 (-0.02 to 0.16)	0.95(0.86-1.04)	1.01 (0.95-1.07)	1.05(1.00-1.10)	1.04(0.99-1.09)	1.06(1.01-1.11)
High	21,839 (25.0)	48.5 (4.3)	0.07 (-0.01 to 0.15)	1.00(0.89-1.11)	0.99(0.93-1.06)	1.08 (1.02-1.14)	1.12 (1.06-1.19)	1.07 (1.01-1.13)
Ptrend	•	,	0.035	0.511	0.576	0.004	< 0.001	0.009
Duration of pesticide	e storage at home (m	0) 40.074.77		1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00
0	00,241 (09.0)	(6.5) 8.64	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
1-6	3,127(3.6)	48.0(4.4)	-0.21 (-0.37 to -0.05)	0.98 (0.79-1.22)	1.03(0.91-1.16)	1.05 (0.95-1.17)	0.94(0.83-1.06)	0.90(0.80-1.01)
7-12	23,981 (27.4)	48.4 (4.3)	0.05 (-0.03 to 0.12)	1.06 (0.96-1.18)	0.96(0.90-1.02)	1.05(0.99-1.10)	1.16 (1.09-1.22)	0.98 (0.92-1.03)
P_{trend}			0.146	0.137	0.085	0.048	< 0.001	0.229
ß and OR were adju the OR, menopausal CI, confidence interv	sted for age, area, ed age of 48 to 50 yean /al; OR, odds ratio.	ucation, annual househol s was used as the referer	d income, smoking, body mas nee group. $P < 0.001$ did not c	s index (BMI), age at hange after Bonferroni	menarche, and number corrections.	of live births, except	for the same variable.	When calculating
^a Adjusted for age at	baseline (continuous), except for the age vari	able.					

FACTORS RELATED TO AGE AT NATURAL MENOPAUSE

sociations with lifestyle characteristics of women 201 pub идш natural at100 TARLE 4. Adjusted mean

Menopause, Vol. 28, No. 10, 2021 1135

TABLE 5. Adjusted mean age at natural menopause and associations with dietary characteristics of women

Characteristics	No. of women (%)	Age at menopause (y) , mean $(SD)^a$	Mean difference ß (95% CI)	<40 y OR (95% CI)	40-44 y OR (95% CI)	45-47 y OR (95% CI)	51-52 y OR (95%CI)	≥53 y OR (95%CI)
Coarse cereals								
Never	22,193 (25.4)	48.5 (4.3)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
< 1 d/wk	39,/30(45.5) 13.868(15.9)	48.7 (4.3)	-0.02 (-0.10 to 0.05) 0.01 (-0.09 to 0.11)	0.96 (0.87 - 1.06) 0.94 (0.82 - 1.08)	1.01 (0.95 - 1.07) 1.04 (0.96 - 1.13)	0.95 (0.91 - 1.00) 0.96 (0.90 - 1.03)	0.97(0.92-1.03) 0.97(0.90-1.04)	0.9/(0.92-1.02) 1.04 (0.98-1.12)
>4 d/wk	13,808(13.9) 11,558(13.2)	48.5 (4.3)	-0.08(-0.18 to 0.02)	1.35(1.18-1.54)	1.04(0.90-1.13) 1.17(1.08-1.27)	1.20(1.13-1.29)	1.12(1.04-1.21)	1.04(0.98-1.12) 1 14 (1 06-1 23)
P_{trend}	11,000 (1012)	1010 (110)	0.089	< 0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	0.006	< 0.001
Meat								
Never	5,537 (6.3)	48.1 (4.6)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
<1 d/wk	12,602 (14.4)	48.3 (4.4)	0.12 (-0.01 to 0.26)	0.83 (0.70-0.98)	0.93 (0.84-1.03)	0.94 (0.86-1.03)	1.08 (0.97 - 1.20)	0.90 (0.81-0.99)
1-3 d/WK	34,050 (39.0)	48.0 (4.3)	0.29(0.17-0.41) 0.37(0.24.0.40)	0.72(0.62-0.84) 0.63(0.54, 0.74)	0.85(0.78-0.94)	0.89(0.82-0.96)	1.03 (0.93 - 1.13)	0.96(0.88-1.05)
$\geq 4 \text{ WK}$	35,100 (40.5)	46.9 (4.2)	<0.001	< 0.03 (0.34-0.74)	<0.01 (0.74-0.90)	<0.001	0.95 (0.87-1.05)	0.94 (0.85-1.05)
Poultry			<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.001	0.507
Never	34,940 (40.0)	48.3 (4.4)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
<1 d/wk	31,899 (36.5)	48.8 (4.2)	0.23 (0.16-0.30)	0.87 (0.79-0.95)	0.88 (0.83-0.93)	0.92 (0.88-0.97)	1.07 (1.02-1.13)	1.00 (0.96-1.05)
1-3 d/wk	19,821 (22.7)	49.0 (4.1)	0.23 (0.14-0.31)	0.83 (0.73-0.93)	0.82 (0.76-0.88)	0.91 (0.86-0.97)	0.93 (0.88-0.99)	0.98 (0.93-1.04)
$\geq 4 \text{ d/wk}$	689 (0.8)	49.0 (4.0)	0.27 (-0.05 to 0.60)	0.57 (0.32-1.00)	0.71 (0.53-0.95)	0.87 (0.70-1.08)	0.81 (0.64-1.02)	0.86 (0.69-1.07)
P _{trend}			< 0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.010	0.145
Never	27 448 (31 4)	48 2 (4 5)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
<1 d/wk	20.636 (23.6)	48.7 (4.2)	0.33 (0.25-0.42)	0.80 (0.72-0.90)	0.78 (0.73-0.84)	0.88 (0.83-0.93)	1.07 (1.01-1.14)	0.94 (0.89-1.00)
1-3 d/wk	32,321 (37.0)	48.9 (4.2)	0.39 (0.30-0.47)	0.77 (0.68-0.87)	0.78 (0.73-0.84)	0.82 (0.77-0.87)	1.01 (0.94-1.07)	0.99 (0.93-1.05)
$\geq 4 \text{ d/wk}$	6,944 (8.0)	49.0 (4.2)	0.37 (0.24-0.50)	0.77 (0.64-0.92)	0.74 (0.66-0.82)	0.69 (0.63-0.75)	0.89 (0.81-0.98)	0.91 (0.83-1.00)
P _{trend}			< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.015	0.108
Fresh eggs	10,400,(10,0)	40.0 (4.5)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Never	10,488 (12.0)	48.3 (4.5)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
< 1 d/wk	16,029 (21.3) 37 805 (43.3)	48.3(4.3)	0.14 (0.04-0.24) 0.17 (0.08-0.26)	0.83 (0.73 - 0.93) 0.88 (0.78 - 0.99)	0.94(0.87-1.02) 0.90(0.83-0.97)	1.02(0.96-1.04)	1.07 (0.99 - 1.10) 1.09 (1.01 - 1.17)	1.00(0.83-1.02)
>4 d/wk	20427(234)	48.9 (4.2)	0.17 (0.03-0.20) 0.23 (0.13-0.33)	0.88 (0.78-0.99)	0.90(0.83-0.97) 0.91(0.84-0.99)	0.99(0.93-1.03)	1.09(1.01-1.17) 1.08(1.00-1.17)	1.00(0.93-1.07) 1.04(0.97-1.12)
$\overline{P}_{\text{trend}}$	20,127 (25.1)	10.5 (1.2)	< 0.001	0.006	0.006	0.360	0.036	0.019
Fresh vegetable	es							
$\leq 3 \text{ d/wk}$	1,490 (1.7)	48.3 (4.3)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
4-6 d/wk	3,321 (3.8)	48.5 (4.3)	0.08 (-0.18 to 0.34)	0.93 (0.64-1.37)	1.03 (0.84-1.25)	1.04 (0.87-1.24)	1.10 (0.90-1.35)	1.03 (0.85-1.25)
Daily	82,538 (94.5)	48.7 (4.3)	0.01 (-0.21 to 0.23)	1.49 (1.09-2.04)	1.06 (0.90-1.25)	1.15 (1.00-1.34)	1.24 (1.05-1.47)	1.14 (0.97-1.35)
P _{trend}	ote		0.384	< 0.001	0.248	0.004	0.001	0.009
Never	10,208 (11.7)	48.4 (4.4)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
<1 d/wk	24,742 (28.3)	48.4 (4.4)	0.02 (-0.08 to 0.11)	0.95 (0.83-1.08)	0.95 (0.88-1.03)	0.96 (0.90-1.03)	1.01 (0.94-1.09)	0.93 (0.87-1.00)
1-3 d/wk	43,371 (49.7)	48.8 (4.2)	0.13 (0.04-0.22)	0.95 (0.83-1.08)	0.87 (0.81-0.94)	0.96 (0.90-1.03)	1.08 (1.00-1.16)	0.95 (0.89-1.02)
\geq 4 d/wk	9,028 (10.3)	49.0 (4.1)	0.28 (0.16-0.41)	0.97 (0.82-1.16)	0.80 (0.72-0.89)	0.93 (0.86-1.02)	1.11 (1.01-1.21)	1.02 (0.94-1.11)
P _{trend}			< 0.001	0.327	< 0.001	0.061	0.001	0.238
Preserved vege	tables $17.847(20.5)$	48.7(4.4)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
< 1 d/wk	25 885 (29 6)	48.7 (4.4)	0.00 = 0.00 = 0.00 = 0.00	0.85 (0.75-0.95)	0.91 (0.85-0.97)	0.96 (0.91-1.02)	1.00	0.91 (0.86-0.97)
1-3 d/wk	22,637 (25.9)	48.6 (4.3)	0.03 (-0.05 to 0.13) 0.03 (-0.05 to 0.11)	0.79 (0.70-0.89)	0.91 (0.85-0.98)	0.96 (0.91-1.02)	0.98 (0.92-1.04)	0.90 (0.85-0.96)
$\geq 4 \text{ d/wk}$	20,980 (24.0)	48.7 (4.3)	-0.06 (-0.15 to 0.02)	0.98 (0.88-1.11)	0.98 (0.91-1.06)	1.07 (1.00-1.13)	1.11 (1.04-1.19)	0.93 (0.87-0.98)
$\overline{P}_{\text{trend}}$			0.034	0.258	0.352	0.012	0.001	0.005
Fresh fruits			0.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Never	6,726 (7.7)	48.2 (4.5)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
< 1 d/wk	30,493 (34.9) 25,000 (28.6)	48.4 (4.4)	0.11(0.001-0.23) 0.24(0.13, 0.26)	0.95(0.85-1.10) 0.77(0.66(0.00)	0.95(0.87-1.04)	0.99(0.92 - 1.07)	1.07(0.98-1.17) 1.12(1.02,1.22)	1.02(0.94-1.11) 1.01(0.03,1,11)
>4 d/wk	25,009 (28.0)	49.0 (4.1)	$0.24 (0.13 - 0.30) \\ 0.31 (0.19 - 0.43)$	0.80 (0.68-0.94)	0.90 (0.81-0.99)	1.02(0.94-1.11)	1.12(1.02-1.22) 1.11(1.01-1.21)	1.01(0.95-1.11) 1.15(1.05-1.25)
P_{trend}	20,121 (2010)	1910 (111)	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.002	0.197	0.016	<0.001
Dairy products								
Never	57,208 (65.5)	48.5 (4.3)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
<1 d/wk	9,605 (11.0)	48.7 (4.2)	0.03 (-0.07 to 0.12)	0.89 (0.77-1.01)	0.98 (0.91-1.06)	0.99 (0.93-1.05)	0.92 (0.86-0.98)	1.00 (0.94-1.07)
1-3 d/wk	6,996 (8.0)	49.0 (4.1)	0.18 (0.06-0.29)	0.77 (0.65-0.91)	0.86 (0.78-0.95)	0.92 (0.85-0.99)	0.93 (0.86-1.01)	0.99 (0.91-1.07)
$\geq 4 \text{ d/WK}$	13,540 (15.5)	49.2 (4.1)	0.24 (0.15- 0.33)	0.79 (0.69-0.91)	0.90 (0.83-0.97)	0.97 (0.92-1.03)	0.98 (0.92-1.05)	1.10 (1.04-1.17)
Vitamins intake	x		< 0.001	< 0.001	<0.001	0.038	0.120	0.005
No	82,774 (94.8)	48.6 (4.3)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Yes	4,575 (5.2)	49.2 (4.1)	0.32 (0.19- 0.45)	0.90 (0.73-1.10)	0.91 (0.81-1.02)	1.00 (0.92-1.09)	1.19 (1.08-1.30)	1.17 (1.07-1.27)
P _{trend}			< 0.001	0.310	0.096	0.985	< 0.001	0.001
Minerals intake	77 155 (00.2)	40 ((4.2)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
No	//,155 (88.3)	48.6 (4.3)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
P	10,194 (11./)	40.9 (4.2)	-0.01 (-0.10 to 0.08)	0.97 (0.85-1.11)	0.215	0 100	0.420	0.213
Experienced set	vere food short:	age	0.701	0.011	0.210	0.109	0.120	0.210
No	54,471 (62.4)	48.7 (4.2)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Yes	32,878 (37.6)	48.6 (4.3)	0.07 (0.01-0.13)	1.04 (0.96-1.14)	0.99 (0.94-1.04)	1.04 (0.99-1.08)	1.18 (1.13-1.24)	1.03 (0.98-1.07)
P _{trend}			0.029	0.328	0.550	0.105	< 0.001	0.235

(Continued on next page)

TABLE 5	(Continued)
ITIDEE 0	continuction)

Characteristics	No. of women (%)	Age at menopause (y) , mean $(SD)^a$	Mean difference ß (95% CI)	<40 y OR (95% CI)	40-44 y OR (95% CI)	45-47 y OR (95% CI)	51-52 y OR (95%CI)	≥53 y OR (95%CI)
Spicy food								
Never	39,456 (45.2)	48.8 (4.2)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
<1 d/wk	20,095 (23.0)	48.7 (4.2)	-0.06 (-0.13 to 0.02)	0.90 (0.81-1.00)	1.02 (0.96-1.08)	1.04 (0.99-1.09)	0.94 (0.89-0.99)	0.98 (0.93-1.04)
1-2 d/wk	3,802 (4.3)	48.7 (4.2)	-0.05 (-0.19 to 0.09)	0.84 (0.68-1.04)	1.02 (0.91-1.15)	0.96 (0.88-1.06)	0.87 (0.78-0.97)	0.96 (0.87-1.06)
3-5 d/wk	3,390 (3.9)	48.6 (4.3)	-0.16(-0.31 to -0.01)	0.98 (0.79-1.21)	0.99 (0.87-1.13)	1.05 (0.95-1.16)	0.87 (0.78-0.97)	0.93 (0.84-1.04)
6-7 d/wk	20,606 (23.6)	48.3 (4.4)	-0.20 (-0.28 to -0.12)	0.92 (0.82-1.02)	1.11 (1.05-1.18)	0.93 (0.88-0.98)	0.78 (0.74-0.83)	0.96 (0.90-1.01)
P _{trend}	/	. ,	<0.001	0.037	0.001	0.002	<0.001	0.017

 β and OR were adjusted for age, area, education, annual household income, smoking, body mass index (BMI), age at menarche, and number of live births, except for the same variable. When calculating the OR, menopausal age of 48 to 50 years was used as the reference group. P < 0.001 did not change after Bonferroni corrections.

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

^aAdjusted for age at baseline (continuous), except for the age variable.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first large epidemiological study to comprehensively and simultaneously identify factors related to ANM in China. Based on nearly 90,000 postmenopausal women from ten diverse regions in China, we found various sociodemographic, lifestyle, dietary, and reproductive factors were associated with women's ANM.

Recent evidence has indicated that there is an upward secular trend of ANM in the past decades, with the older generation more likely to experience earlier menopause.^{17,18}

Similarly, the present study showed that older women were at higher odds of both PM and EM than their younger counterparts. The mechanism underlying the generation effect on ANM remains unclear, but may be largely due to the economic growth and health status improvement.¹⁸ Menopausal age has been shown to vary by socioeconomic status (SES) across studies. A recent meta-analysis of 46 studies from 24 countries found that higher education and occupation levels were associated with later ANM.¹⁹ Similarly, in a national, cross-sectional study of 31,508 Korean women, the authors



FIG. 1. Associations of ANM with factors of age, education level, household income, and BMI. Specifically, (A) with age; (B) with education level; (C) with household income; (D) with BMI. Dots represent the ORs compared with the reference group of menopausal age (48-50 years). Vertical lines indicate the corresponding 95% CIs. ANM, age at natural menopause; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; EM, early menopause (ANM between 40 and 44 years); LM, later age at menopause (ANM \geq 53 years); OR, odds ratio; PM, premature menopause (ANM < 40 years).



FIG. 2. Associations of ANM with age at menarche, number of live births, age at first birth, and number of induced abortions. Specifically, (A) with age at menarche; (B) with number of live births; (C) with age at first birth; (D) with number of induced abortions. Dots represent the OR compared with the reference group of menopausal age (48-50 years). Vertical lines indicate the corresponding 95% CIs. ANM, age at natural menopause; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; EM, early menopause (ANM between 40 and 44 years); LM, later age at menopause (ANM \geq 53 years); OR, odds ratio; PM, premature menopause (ANM <40 years).

reported that rural residence, as well as lower household income and education levels were associated with increased risk of PM and/or EM.²⁰ The direction of our results supported these studies with findings that women characterized by urban residence, higher education, and household income levels were less likely to experience PM and/or EM. A study of 4,056 women aged 60 to 79 years selected from Latin America and Caribbean showed that manual occupation/being a housewife were associated with earlier menopause.²¹ In our study, housewives consistently showed higher odds of both PM and EM. The relationship of unmarried status with earlier ANM is a relatively consistent observation in the literature. In line with a previous report from Lay et al,²² this study also observed that widowed women had a higher odds of EM than those currently married.

To date, the relationship between age at menarche and ANM remains unclear.^{23,24} In line with findings from several large population studies,^{25,26} the present study observed that women with earlier menarche were more likely to have earlier menopause, which may be explained by the fixed follicle pool and therefore possibly fixed number of ovulatory cycles. This mechanism may also explain the relationship between LM and OC use, being parous, increased feeding duration, which all disrupt the ovulation cycle to some extent.^{27,28} It was suggested that later age at first birth may be associated with earlier ANM, due to a decline in follicle count and/or sex hormone levels.²⁹ In contrast, the present study found that later age at first birth was positively associated with LM, which was also reported from a study in India,³⁰ and the possible reasons are unknown. The influence of abortion on menopausal age has been rarely studied with conflicting findings presented. Although no relationship was reported in an Iranian study,³¹ the positive associations of spontaneous and induced abortion with EM and PM odds were reported in a Korean study (137 PM and 281 EM women).²⁸ The present study also found that women who reported having three or more spontaneous abortions were at higher odds of EM. Potential explanation was that more spontaneous abortions may accelerate the rate of follicle loss in the decade preceding menopause.³² Interestingly, we found that the ANM tended to be later with increasing number of induced abortions, which may be due to the birth cohort effect (ie, induced abortion occurred more often in the younger generations following the One-Child Policy that was introduced in the 1970s).

number of pregnancies and live births, and longer breast-

	0	0	1	<i>,</i> 1		0		
Characteristics	No. of women (%)	Age at menopause (y), mean (SD) ^a	Mean difference B (95% CI)	<40 y OR (95% CI)	40-44 y OR (95% CI)	45-47 y OR (95% CI)	51-52 y OR (95%CI)	≥53 years OR (95%CI)
Central adiposity No (WC <80 cm) Yes (WC >80 cm)	39,480 (45.2) 47,869 (54.8)	48.42 (4.30) 48.83 (4.24)	0.00 0.06 (-0.02 to 0.14)	1.00 0.91 (0.82-1.01)	1.00 0.99 (0.93-1.06)	1.00 0.94 (0.90-1.00)	1.00 0.98 (0.93-1.04)	1.00 (0.95-1.06)
P_{trend}			0.131	0.076	0.795	0.030	0.440	0.949
body mass index (BMI, Kg/m) Underweight (<18.5)	5,497 (6.3)	48.0(4.4)	-0.41 (-0.53 to -0.29)	1.00 (0.86-1.17)	1.11 (1.01-1.21)	0.97 (0.89-1.05)	0.77 (0.70-0.85)	0.77 (0.70-0.85)
Normal weight (18.5-23.9)	40,205 (46.0)	48.5(4.3)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Overweight (24.0-27.9)	29,513 (33.8)	48.8 (4.2)	0.29 (0.23 - 0.36)	0.97 (0.89-1.07)	0.99(0.93-1.04)	1.01(0.97-1.06)	1.11 (1.06-1.17)	1.24 (1.19-1.30)
Obesity (≥ 28.0)	12,134 (13.9)	49.1 (4.2)	0.49(0.40-0.57)	0.88 (0.78-1.01)	0.95 (0.88-1.02)	1.03 (0.97-1.10)	1.20 (1.12-1.28)	1.39 (1.31-1.48)
$P_{ m trend}$			< 0.001	0.032	0.004	0.048	< 0.001	< 0.001
BMI change per year from age 25 ye Lowest quartile (<-0.030)	ars (kg/m ²) 16.063 (25.0)	48.6 (4.28)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Lowest quartile to 0	6,111 (9.5)	48.6(4.19)	0.01 (-0.11 to 0.13)	0.94 (0.79-1.11)	0.93 (0.83-1.03)	0.92 (0.85-1.00)	1.00 (0.91-1.10)	0.92 (0.84-1.01)
0 to second quartile (0.046)	9,959 (15.5)	48.9(4.16)	0.20 (0.09-0.31)	0.88 (0.75-1.02)	0.89 (0.81-0.98)	0.94(0.87-1.01)	1.05 (0.97-1.13)	1.01 (0.93-1.09)
Third quartile (0.046-0.122)	16,096 (25.1)	49.0(4.10)	0.21 (0.11-0.31)	0.86(0.74-1.00)	0.87 (0.80-0.95)	0.91 (0.84-0.97)	1.07 (0.99-1.15)	0.99 (0.92-1.06)
Highest quartile (>0.122)	16,002 (24.9)	49.1 (4.17)	0.20 (0.08-0.32)	0.98 (0.82-1.17)	0.94 (0.85-1.05)	0.95 (0.87-1.03)	1.08 (0.99-1.18)	1.06 (0.98-1.15)
$P_{ m trend}$			< 0.001	0.011	0.017	0.014	0.059	0.084
Hypertension			6	•		4		
No (SBP/DBP<140/90 mm Hg)	40,636 (46.5)	48.5 (4.2)	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Yes (SBP/DBP $\geq 140/90 \text{ mm Hg}$	46,713 (53.5)	48.8 (4.3)	0.17 (0.11-0.23)	1.03 (0.95-1.12)	0.95(0.91-1.00)	0.96(0.92 - 0.99)	1.06(1.01 - 1.10)	1.09 (1.04-1.13)
or prior diagnosis)								
$P_{ m trend}$			< 0.001	0.505	0.041	0.022	0.013	< 0.001
ß and OR were adjusted for age, are menopausal age of 48 to 50 years w BMI, body mass index; CI, confiden ^a Adjusted for age at baseline (contin	a, education, annua is used as the refe ce interval; DBP, uous), except for t	al household income, si rence group. $P < 0.001$ diastolic blood pressure he age variable.	moking, BMI, age at menarc did not change after Bonfer ;; OR, odds ratio; SBP, syste	the, and number of l roni corrections. olic blood pressure; ¹	ive births, except foi WC, waist circumsta	r the same variable. 	When calculating th	, OR,

TABLE 6. Adjusted mean age at natural menopause and associations with physical measurement characteristics of women

FACTORS RELATED TO AGE AT NATURAL MENOPAUSE

Prospective evidence has confirmed the role of current regular smoking in accelerating menopause,³³ consistent with observations in our study. Few studies have explored the potential effect of passive smoking on ANM and the results are inconsistent.^{34,35} The present study found that passive smoking exposure, similarly to active smoking, was also related to earlier menopause. The most important explanation for the accelerating effect of smoking on menopause was that the yielded polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons would increase the rate of oocyte apoptosis.³⁶ Only the Shanghai Women's Health Study of 33,054 Chinese postmenopausal women has investigated the link between tea consumption and menopausal age.²⁹ and unlike the null relation reported in their study, our study showed that tea drinking was inversely associated with PM, which was supported by the previously published biological evidence on the antioxidant effects of tea and nonsteroidal estrogenic effects of tea flavonoids.^{37,38} The slightly higher odds of LM in occasional alcohol drinkers that we found is also reported by studies in other countries,³⁹ which may be partly due to the increased estrogen levels from alcohol consumption noted in premenopausal women.⁴⁰ Prior evidence for the relationship of physical activity with ANM has been generally mixed. Some studies reported increased physical activity was associated with older age at menopause,²⁹ whereas others showed null or inverse associations.^{18,41} In this study, we consistently found that higher physical activity was positively associated with LM. Increased physical activity may delay menopause by causing irregular menstrual cycles, a potential factor associated with later ANM.42

The present study found that women with higher consumption of meat, poultry, seafood, eggs, dairy and soybean products had lower odds of having PM and/or EM, which to some extent, confirms the possible delaying effect of protein intake on ANM.²⁹ Specifically, the consistently observed positive association between meat and ANM in our cohort and previous studies supported the hypothesis that meat protein may increase episodic releases of luteinizing hormone, follicle stimulating hormone and the length of the menstrual cycle.⁴³ In addition, concurring with prior evidence,⁴⁴ the relationship of higher dairy product intake with later ANM observed here may also support the association of dairy product intake with reduction of decline of anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH) level,45 a direct marker of ovarian reserve and menopausal age. A similar mechanism may also play a role in our finding that women with higher intake of fresh fruits were less likely to have PM and EM.45 In addition, fruits are rich in antioxidants and thought to ameliorate oxidative stress on ovarian follicles and thus affect menopausal age.46 The lower odds of EM associated with soybean product intake found in the present study differed from previous studies. An association of soy product intake with earlier menopause was found in a cross-sectional Japanese study⁴⁷ but not in the subsequent follow-up study.⁴⁸ In the Nurses' Health Study II cohort study of 85,682 premenopausal US women, neither soy nor tofu intake was related to EM over twenty years of follow-up.⁴⁹ The present study also indicated a positive relationship of vitamin intake with LM and women with daily spicy food intake were more likely to have EM. Further studies on observational associations and potential mechanisms are warranted.

In line with prior evidence,⁵⁰ the present study showed that compared to women with normal weight, overweight and obese women tended to have LM. A possible explanation for these findings is that women with higher BMI are expected to have more estrogen supplied by adipose tissue in the later reproductive years.⁵¹ Many studies have also investigated the associations between dynamic changes of weight or BMI over time and menopausal age, however findings were inconsistent.^{52,53} In this study, we found that BMI gain since young adulthood was inversely associated with EM. In contrast to previous studies showing that premenopausal hypertension was associated with earlier ANM, ^{53,54} interestingly, we found that women with clinically identified and screen-detected hypertension were more likely to have LM, which did not materially changed when further confined to premenopausal clinically identified hypertension in women.

The present study has several strengths. This is the first study to comprehensively examine the related factors of ANM in China with the largest sample of postmenopausal women from ten diverse geographic regions in China. In addition, the high quality and completeness of data collection, and the wide adjustment for co-variables simultaneously limit the possible confounding bias in the analyses. However, some limitations exist. The greatest weakness of the study is that the mean age at baseline and menopause among women was 64.5 and 48.7 years, respectively, which means that some baseline exposure factors used for analysis were collected on average 15 years after menopause. Under this circumstance, some of the identified associations may be the result of EM or PM, rather than the cause. For example, a woman who started smoking at age 60 would be considered a smoker for this analysis and contribute to findings that smoking is associated with an earlier ANM, even if she started smoking many years after menopause. Thus, these factors we examined and their associations with ANM need to be interpreted with great caution and identified in longitudinal studies. In addition, the data of menopause and most exposure variables collected relied on subjective self-reports thus recall bias may exist. Although evidence has shown that recalled and actual menopausal age is reasonably well correlated,⁵⁵ considering an average of 15 years have passed since menopause, the recall bias of ANM is inevitable. Furthermore, generally high or moderate agreements on the self-reported exposure factors between the baseline survey and resurvey suggested recall bias may be relatively small in CKB. Taking age at menarche that was recalled decades later as an example, the intraclass correlation coefficient was 0.84 between the baseline survey and the resurvey.56

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, this study indicates that a wide range of sociodemographic, lifestyle, dietary, and reproductive factors

are found to be related to PM, EM, and LM in Chinese women. As the ANM has implications for several health outcomes, the findings in this study also provide support for early monitoring of women who are at high risk for chronic diseases occurring in later life.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Chinese National Health Commission, and provincial/regional Health Administrative Departments. The most important acknowledgement is to the participants in the study and the members of the survey teams in the 10 regions of China, as well as to the project development and management teams based in Beijing and Oxford.

REFERENCES

- Anagnostis P, Christou K, Artzouchaltzi AM, et al. Early menopause and premature ovarian insufficiency are associated with increased risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Endocrinol* 2019;180:41-50.
- Zhu D, Chung HF, Dobson AJ, et al. Age at natural menopause and risk of incident cardiovascular disease: a pooled analysis of individual patient data. *Lancet Public Health* 2019;4:e553-e564.
- Muka T, Oliver-Williams C, Kunutsor S, et al. Association of age at onset of menopause and time since onset of menopause with cardiovascular outcomes, intermediate vascular traits, and all-cause mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Cardiol 2016;1:767-776.
- 4. Ryan J, Scali J, Carrière I, et al. Impact of a premature menopause on cognitive function in later life. *BJOG* 2014;121:1729-1739.
- Svejme O, Ahlborg HG, Nilsson JÅ, Karlsson MK. Early menopause and risk of osteoporosis, fracture and mortality: a 34-year prospective observational study in 390 women. *BJOG* 2012;119:810-816.
- Anagnostis P, Siolos P, Gkekas NK, et al. Association between age at menopause and fracture risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Endocrine* 2019;63:213-224.
- Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer. Menarche, menopause, and breast cancer risk: individual participant meta-analysis, including 118 964 women with breast cancer from 117 epidemiological studies. *Lancet Oncol* 2012;13:1141-1151.
- Ali AT. Reproductive factors and the risk of endometrial cancer. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2014;24:384-393.
- Mishra GD, Chung HF, Pandeya N, et al. The InterLACE study: Design, data harmonization and characteristics across 20 studies on women's health. *Maturitas* 2016;92:176-185.
- 10. Te Velde ER, Pearson PL. The variability of female reproductive ageing. *Hum Reprod Update* 2002;8:141-154.
- Treloar SA, Do KA, Martin NG. Genetic influences on the age at menopause. *Lancet* 1998;352:1084-1085.
- De Bruin JP, Bovenhuis H, Van Noord PA, et al. The role of genetic factors in age at natural menopause. *Hum Reprod* 2001;16:2014-2018.
- Van Noord PA, Dubas JS, Dorland M, Boersma H, Te Velde E. Age at natural menopause in a population-based screening cohort: the role of menarche, fecundity, and lifestyle factors. *Fertil Steril* 1997;68:95-102.
- Chen Z, Chen J, Collins R, et al. China Kadoorie Biobank of 0.5 million people: survey methods, baseline characteristics and long-term follow-up. *Int J Epidemiol* 2011;40:1652-1666.
- Wang M, Hu RY, Wang H, et al. Age at natural menopause and risk of diabetes in adult women: Findings from the China Kadoorie Biobank study in the Zhejiang area. J Diabetes Investig 2018;9:762-768.
- 16. Zhou B, Coorperative Meta-Analysis Group of China Obesity Task Force. Predictive values of body mass index and waist circumference to risk factors of related diseases in Chinese adult population [In Chinese]. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi 2002;23:5-10.
- Dratva J, Gómez Real F, Schindler C, et al. Is age at menopause increasing across Europe? Results on age at menopause and determinants from two population-based studies. *Menopause* 2009;16:385-394.
- Park CY, Lim JY, Park HY. Age at natural menopause in Koreans: secular trends and influences thereon. *Menopause* 2018;25:423-429.

- Schoenaker DA, Jackson CA, Rowlands JV, Mishra GD. Socioeconomic position, lifestyle factors and age at natural menopause: a systematic review and meta-analyses of studies across six continents. *Int J Epidemiol* 2014;43:1542-1562.
- Lim YM, Jeong K, Lee SR, Chung HW, Lee W. Association between premature ovarian insufficiency, early menopause, socioeconomic status in a nationally representative sample from Korea. *Maturitas* 2019;121:22-27.
- Vélez MP, Alvarado B, Lord C, Zunzunegui MV. Life course socioeconomic adversity and age at natural menopause in women from Latin America and the Caribbean. *Menopause* 2010;17:552-559.
- Lay AAR, Duarte YAO, Filho ADPC. Factors associated with age at natural menopause among elderly women in São Paulo. *Brazil Menopause* 2019;26:211-216.
- Yasui T, Hayashi K, Mizunuma H, et al. Factors associated with premature ovarian failure, early menopause and earlier onset of menopause in Japanese women. *Maturitas* 2012;72:249-255.
- 24. Bjelland EK, Wilkosz P, Tanbo TG, Eskild A. Is unilateral oophorectomy associated with age at menopause? A population study (the HUNT2 Survey). *Hum Reprod* 2014;29:835-841.
- 25. Ruth KS, Perry JR, Henley WE, Melzer D, Weedon MN, Murray A. Events in early life are associated with female reproductive ageing: a UK Biobank Study. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:24710.
- Bjelland EK, Hofvind S, Byberg L, Eskild A. The relation of age at menarche with age at natural menopause: a population study of 336 788 women in Norway. *Hum Reprod* 2018;33:1149-1157.
- De Vries E, Den Tonkelaar I, Van Noord PA, et al. Oral contraceptive use in relation to age at menopause in the DOM cohort. *Hum Reprod* 2001;16:1657-1662.
- Chang SH, Kim CS, Lee KS, et al. Premenopausal factors influencing premature ovarian failure and early menopause. *Maturitas* 2007;58:19-30.
- Dorjgochoo T, Kallianpur A, Gao YT, et al. Dietary and lifestyle predictors of age at natural menopause and reproductive span in the Shanghai Women's Health Study. *Menopause* 2008;15:924-933.
- Mozumdar A, Agrawal PK. Prevalence, trends, and determinants of menopause in India: NFHS 1992-93 to NFHS 2005-06. *Am J Hum Biol* 2015;27:421-425.
- Golshiri P, Akbari M, Abdollahzadeh MR. Age at natural menopause and related factors in Isfahan, Iran. J Menopausal Med 2016;22:87-93.
- Kok HS, Van Asselt KM, Van der Schouw YT, et al. Subfertility reflects accelerated ovarian ageing. *Hum Reprod* 2003;18:644-648.
- 33. Zhu D, Chung HF, Pandeya N, et al. Relationships between intensity, duration, cumulative dose, and timing of smoking with age at menopause: a pooled analysis of individual data from 17 observational studies. *PLoS Med* 2018;15:e1002704.
- 34. Hyland A, Piazza K, Hovey KM, et al. Associations between lifetime tobacco exposure with infertility and age at natural menopause: the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study. *Tob Control* 2016;25: 706-714.
- Mikkelsen TF, Graff-Iversen S, Sundby J, Bjertness E. Early menopause, association with tobacco smoking, coffee consumption and other lifestyle factors: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* 2007;7:149.
- Blanck HM, Marcus M, Tolbert PE, et al. Time to menopause in relation to PBBs, PCBs, and smoking. *Maturitas* 2004;49:97-106.
- Flora SJ. Role of free radicals and antioxidants in health and disease. Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand) 2007;53:1-2.
- Park OJ, Surh YJ. Chemopreventive potential of epigallocatechin gallate and genistein: evidence from epidemiological and laboratory studies. *Toxicol Lett* 2004;150:43-56.
- Taneri PE, Kiefte-de Jong JC, Bramer WM, Daan NM, Franco OH, Muka T. Association of alcohol consumption with the onset of natural menopause: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update* 2016;22:516-528.
- Reichman ME, Judd JT, Longcope C, et al. Effects of alcohol consumption on plasma and urinary hormone concentrations in premenopausal women. J Natl Cancer Inst 1993;85:722-727.
- Zhao M, Whitcomb BW, Purdue-Smithe AC, et al. Physical activity is not related to risk of early menopause in a large prospective study. *Hum Reprod* 2018;33:1960-1967.
- 42. Whitcomb BW, Purdue-Smithe A, Hankinson SE, et al. Menstrual cycle characteristics in adolescence and early adulthood are associated with risk of early natural menopause. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2018;103:3909-3918.

- Hill PB, Garbaczewski L, Daynes G, Gaire KS. Gonadotrophin release and meat consumption in vegetarian women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1986;43: 37-41.
- Purdue-Smithe AC, Whitcomb BW, Manson JE, et al. A Prospective study of dairy-food intake and early menopause. *Am J Epidemiol* 2019;188:188-196.
- 45. Moslehi N, Mirmiran P, Azizi F, Tehrani FR. Do dietary intakes influence the rate of decline in anti-Mullerian hormone among eumenorrheic women? A population-based prospective investigation. *Nutr J* 2019;18:83.
- 46. Rink SM, Mendola P, Mumford SL, et al. Self-report of fruit and vegetable intake that meets the 5 a day recommendation is associated with reduced levels of oxidative stress biomarkers and increased levels of antioxidant defense in premenopausal women. J Acad Nutr Diet 2013;113:776-785.
- Nagata C, Takatsuka N, Inaba S, Kawakami N, Shimizu H. Association of diet and other lifestyle with onset of menopause in Japanese women. *Maturitas* 1998;29:105-113.
- Nagata C, Takatsuka N, Kawakami N, Shimizu H. Association of diet with the onset of menopause in Japanese women. *Am J Epidemiol* 2000;152:863-867.
- Boutot ME, Purdue-Smithe A, Whitcomb BW, et al. Dietary protein intake and early menopause in the nurses' health study II. *Am J Epidemiol* 2018;187:270-277.

- Zhu D, Chung HF, Pandeya N, et al. Body mass index and age at natural menopause: an international pooled analysis of 11 prospective studies. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2018;33:699-710.
- 51. Tao X, Jiang A, Yin L, Li Y, Tao F, Hu H. Body mass index and age at natural menopause: a meta-analysis. *Menopause* 2015;22:469-474.
- 52. Morris DH, Jones ME, Schoemaker MJ, McFadden E, Ashworth A, Swerdlow AJ. Body mass index, exercise, and other lifestyle factors in relation to age at natural menopause: analyses from the breakthrough generations study. *Am J Epidemiol* 2012;175:998-1005.
- 53. Costanian C, McCague H, Edgell H, Ardern CI, Tamim H. Changes in adiposity and other factors in relation to age at natural menopause: analyses from the coronary artery risk development (CARDIA) study. *Menopause* 2019;26:162-171.
- 54. Lim HS, Kim TH, Lee HH, Park YH, Kim JM, Lee BR. Hypertension and age at onset of natural menopause in Korean postmenopausal women: results from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2008-2013). *Maturitas* 2016;90:17-23.
- Rödström K, Bengtsson C, Lissner L, Björkelund C. Reproducibility of self-reported menopause age at the 24-year follow-up of a population study of women in Göteborg, Sweden. *Menopause* 2005;12:275-280.
- 56. Lewington S, Li L, Murugasen S, et al. Temporal trends of main reproductive characteristics in ten urban and rural regions of China: the China Kadoorie biobank study of 300 000 women. *Int J Epidemiol* 2014;43:1252-1262.