Original Article



Prevalence and Incidence of Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis in Japan

Yuriko Doi¹, Naoki Atsuta², Gen Sobue², Mitsuya Morita³, and Imaharu Nakano⁴, for Research Committee of CNS Degenerative Diseases of Japan

¹Area on Epidemiological Research, National Institute of Public Health, Saitama, Japan

²Department of Neurology, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan

³Division of Neurology, Department of Medicine, Jichi Medical University School of Medicine, Tochigi, Japan

⁴Tokyo Metropolitan Neurological Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

Received March 25, 2014; accepted June 4, 2014; released online August 23, 2014

Copyright © 2014 Yuriko Doi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ABSTRACT -

Background: Previous studies have reported a high incidence of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) in endemic foci in the Kii Peninsula, Japan. However, little is known about the ALS frequency in the whole country. Furthermore, the presence of ethnic variation in the incidence of ALS remains unknown.

Methods: We conducted a nationwide survey of ALS frequency in 2013 to estimate its annual prevalence and incidence. ALS was diagnosed based on the El Escorial Criteria. The study period was the 2009 fiscal year, from April 2009 to March 2010. To compare the incidence of ALS among prefectures, standardized incidence ratios (SIRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated under the assumption of Poisson distribution.

Results: The annual crude prevalence and incidence rates per 100 000 people per year were 9.9 (95% CI 9.7–10.1) and 2.2 (95% CI 2.1–2.3), respectively. The age group with the highest prevalence as well as incidence was 70–79 years, and the male-female ratio was approximately 1.5. The annual incidence rate adjusted for age and sex using the 2000 U.S. standard population was 2.3 (95% CI 2.2–2.4) per 100 000 people. Some prefectures had significantly high SIRs: Okinawa, Nara and Wakayama in the Kii Peninsula, and Niigata for males; Kumamoto for females.

Conclusions: This is the first report on the annual prevalence and incidence of ALS in the representative population of Japan. We identified some prefectures with a high incidence of ALS. However, the incidence of ALS in the Japanese population was much lower than in the Caucasian populations of Europe and North America.

Key words: ALS; amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; epidemiology; incidence; Japan

INTRODUCTION -

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is a progressive and fatal neurodegenerative disease characterized by the selective loss of upper and lower motor neurons. A total of 5%–10% of cases of ALS are familial, with the remainder believed to be sporadic.¹ The incidence of ALS is uniform across Caucasian populations,² but the presence of ethnic variation remains unknown.³

The Western Pacific form of ALS, termed ALS and parkinsonism-dementia complex (ALS/PDC), was identified in the 1950s in three distinct geographic isolates: Guam, Western New Guinea, and the Kii Peninsula of Japan.⁴ The high prevalence and incidence of ALS/PDC has been reported in the Kii Peninsula (eg a prevalence of 47.7 per 100000

people and an incidence of 9.54 per 100 000 person-years in the Kozagawa focus area of Wakayama prefecture).⁵ However, little is known about the ALS frequency across Japan as a whole.

In this study, we estimate the prevalence and incidence of ALS in Japan. Furthermore, we compare the incidence between Japan and other countries, and examine geographic variation in the incidence of ALS among prefectures within the country.

METHODS -

Specified disease treatment research program in Japan

Medical care is ensured for all people in Japan under the

Address for correspondence. Yuriko Doi, National Institute of Public Health, 2-3-6 Minami, Wako, Saitama 351-0197, Japan (e-mail: yuriko@niph.go.jp).

universal healthcare system, in which a 30% patient copayment is required for insurance-covered medical care. Some rare and intractable diseases, termed "specified diseases," remain difficult to treat, develop chronically, and constitute a great financial burden for patients and their family because of the high costs associated with long-term care and medicine. The specified disease treatment research program, which started in 1972, subsidizes the patient copayment for insured patients suffering from designated intractable diseases. A patient with ALS can receive that financial support, independent of disease severity.

Case ascertainment of ALS

When a patient is diagnosed with ALS by their doctor, they can apply to their prefectural government for assistance through the specified disease treatment research program, using the initial clinical application form for a new patient or the renewal form for an existing patient. Specialists in neurology serving on the committee on designated intractable diseases in each prefecture review the clinical application form, which is usually completed by a neurologist, based on the El Escorial Criteria (EEC).^{6,7} As of 2009, there were 8555 neurologists in Japan.⁸

The criteria for ALS in this program are as follows⁹:

- adult onset, with a steady, progressive course;
- the presence of clinical or electrophysiological evidence of lower motor neuron (LMN) degeneration in at least two topographical anatomic regions (brainstem, cervical, thoracic or lumbosacral region), together with clinical evidence of upper motor neuron (UMN) degeneration in at least one region; and
- the absence of electrophysiological and pathological evidence of other disease processes that might explain the signs of LMN and/or UMN degeneration and neuroimaging evidence of other disease processes that might explain the observed clinical and electrophysiological signs.

According to the criteria, patients with definite, probable, or possible ALS are included in this program, based on the revised EEC.^{7,9}

Nationwide mail survey on amyotrophic lateral sclerosis

We sent a questionnaire on ALS to all 47 prefectural offices on February 11, 2013, requesting the following information:

• the number of patients with certified ALS who received financial aid for the treatment of a designated intractable disease in the 2009 fiscal year, classified by the categories (i) new or existing patients, (ii) sex, and (iii) age at which they were certified as a patient with ALS in the specified disease treatment research program (Note: patient's age at the time of diagnosis, application, and certification during the fiscal year is the same in most cases); and • the total number of neurology specialists and the composition of the committee on designated intractable diseases.

The study period was the 2009 fiscal year, from April 2009 to March 2010, the latest fiscal year before occurrence of the Great East Japan Earthquake on March 11, 2011. We chose to examine events prior the earthquake because of the huge impact that it had on the population structure and quantity and quality of medical institutions and personnel in the Tohoku district, the consequences of which persist to date.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Institute of Public Health, Japan (NIPH-TRN#12009).

Statistical analysis

The annual prevalence rate was calculated from the observed number of all patients with ALS certified as eligible for financial aid for the treatment of a designated intractable disease during the 2009 fiscal year, divided by the population insured by national insurance. It was obtained by subtracting the population in receiving public assistance on July 31, 2009, from the total population on October 1, 2009. Overall, the insured population comprised approximately 99% of the total population. To calculate the annual incidence rate, the numerator was the observed number of patients with ALS newly certified as eligible for financial aid for the treatment of a designated intractable disease during the 2009 fiscal year. The rates were also calculated separately for age and sex.

To compare the incidence of ALS among different ethnic groups,³ the rates were age- and sex-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. standard population using the 50- to 79-years age band by the direct method. We calculated 95% confidence intervals (CIs) under the assumption of Poisson distribution. In addition, geographic variation within the country was examined by calculating standardized incidence ratios (SIRs) and 95% CIs for all 47 prefectures using the indirect method under the assumption of Poisson distribution.^{10,11} SIR with a 95% CI that did not include 1.0 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS -

All 47 prefectural offices returned their responses by June 2013. A special effort was made to achieve a response rate of 100% and confirm the data provided. Of 614 physicians comprising the committees on designated intractable diseases, the number of neurology specialists was 100, with at least 1 neurology specialist in the committee for each of 46 prefectures (unknown for Saga prefecture).

Table 1 shows the number of patients with ALS certified as eligible for financial aid for the treatment of a designated intractable disease during the 2009 fiscal year. Of a total of 10 237, including 6 patients younger than 20 years old, 2264 were patients with newly certified ALS.

Table 1. Number of patients with ALS certified as eligible
for financial aid for the treatment of a designated
intractable disease in Japan from April 2009 to
March 2010

Age ^a	A	All patien	ts	New patients			
(years)	Both	Male	Female	Both	Male	Female	
0–4	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5–9	1	1	0	0	0	0	
10–14	2	0	2	0	0	0	
15–19	3	1	2	1	1	0	
20–24	10	8	2	2	2	0	
25–29	19	14	5	2	1	1	
30–34	50	23	27	8	4	4	
35–39	95	58	37	13	7	6	
40–44	226	120	106	41	17	24	
45–49	319	180	139	55	26	29	
50–54	558	326	232	99	54	45	
55–59	1065	663	402	223	143	80	
60–64	1590	955	635	337	217	120	
65–69	1796	1103	693	394	234	160	
70–74	1948	1111	837	450	269	181	
75–59	1408	752	656	360	194	166	
80–84	843	407	436	204	96	108	
85+	304	120	184	75	37	38	
Total	10237	5842	4395	2264	1302	962	
Regrouped							
20+	10231	5840	4391	2263	1301	962	

^aAge at which a patient was certified as eligible for financial aid for the treatment of a designated intractable disease from April 2009 to March 2010 (Note: Patient's age at the time of diagnosis, application and certification during the fiscal year is the same in most cases).

Table 2 shows the annual prevalence and incidence rates of patients with ALS aged 20 years or older. The annual crude prevalence was 9.9 (95% CI 9.7–10.1) per 100 000 people, with the highest age- and sex-specific rate of 27.1 (95% CI 26.2–28.0) per 100 000 people evident in the 70- to 79-years age group. The annual crude incidence was 2.2 (95% CI 2.1–2.3) per 100 000 people, with the highest age- and sex-specific rate of 6.5 (95% CI 6.1–7.0) per 100 000 people evident in the 70- to 79-years age group. Greater numbers of males were evident for both prevalence and incidence. To enhance the comparability of incidence rates among people of different ethnicities, incidence rates were standardized for the 50- to 79-years age band using the 2000 U.S. standard population (Table 2). The rate for both sexes combined was 2.3 (95% CI 2.2–2.4) per 100 000 people.

Table 3 shows the observed and expected numbers of patients with newly certified ALS, and SIRs with 95% CIs for each prefecture. Among males, SIRs were significantly high in Okinawa, Nara, Wakayama, and Niigata, and significantly low in Tokyo and Osaka. Among females, SIRs were significantly high in Kumamoto and significantly low in Miyazaki.

DISCUSSION -

This is the first report on the prevalence and incidence of ALS in a representative population of Japan. The annual crude prevalence and incidence rates per 100 000 people per year

 Table 2.
 Annual prevalence and incidence rates of patients with ALS, aged 20 years or older, certified as eligible for financial aid for the treatment of a designated intractable disease in Japan from April 2009 to March 2010

		Both			Male			Female					
Age ^a (years)	Patients	Population ^b	Rate ^c	95% CI	Patients	Population ^b	Rate ^c	95% CI	Patients	Population ^b	Ratec	95% CI	M:F ratio
Prevalence													
20–29	29	14 371 936	0.2	0.1–0.3	22	7366821	0.3	0.2-0.5	7	7 005 115	0.1	0.0-0.0	3.0
30–39	145	18 193 826	0.8	0.7-0.9	81	9241101	0.9	0.7–1.1	64	8952725	0.7	0.6-0.9	1.2
40–49	545	16 253 995	3.4	3.1–3.6	300	8 187 477	3.7	3.3–4.1	245	8066518	3.0	2.7–3.4	1.2
50–59	1623	16631377	9.8	9.3–10.2	989	8235051	12.0	11.3–12.8	634	8 396 326	7.6	7.0-8.2	1.6
60–69	3386	17 4 19 3 38	19.4	18.8–20.1	2058	8 393 464	24.5	23.5–25.6	1328	9025874	14.7	13.9–15.5	1.7
70–79	3356	12 393 683	27.1	26.2-28.0	1863	5576087	33.4	31.9–35.0	1493	6816596	21.9	20.8-23.0	1.5
80+	1147	7736961	14.8	14.0–15.7	527	2627371	20.1	18.4–21.8	620	5 109 590	12.1	11.2–13.1	1.7
Crude	10231	103 001 116	9.9	9.7–10.1	5840	49 627 372	11.8	11.5–12.1	4391	53 372 744	8.2	8.0-8.5	1.4
Incidence ^d													
20–29	4	14 371 936	0.0	0.0–0.1	3	14 371 936	0.0	0.0–0.1	1	7 005 115	0.0	0.0-0.0	2.9
30–39	21	18 193 826	0.1	0.1–0.2	11	18 193 826	0.1	0.1–0.2	10	8952725	0.1	0.1–0.2	1.1
40–49	96	16 253 995	0.6	0.5–0.7	43	16 253 995	0.5	0.4–0.7	53	8066518	0.7	0.5–0.9	0.8
50–59	322	16631377	1.9	1.7–2.2	197	16631377	2.4	2.1–2.8	125	8 396 326	1.5	1.2–1.8	1.6
60–69	731	17 4 19 3 38	4.2	3.9-4.5	451	17 4 19 3 38	5.4	4.9-5.9	280	9025874	3.1	2.7–3.5	1.7
70–79	810	12 393 683	6.5	6.1–7.0	463	12 393 683	8.3	7.6–9.1	347	6816596	5.1	4.6–5.7	1.6
80+	279	7 736 961	3.6	3.2-4.1	133	7 736 961	5.1	4.2–6.0	146	5 109 590	2.9	2.4–3.4	1.8
Crude	2263	103 001 116	2.2	2.1–2.3	1301	103 001 116	2.6	2.5–2.8	962	53 372 744	1.8	1.7–1.9	1.5
Age-adjusted ^e			2.3	2.2-2.4			4.7	4.4-5.0			1.7	1.6–1.9	2.8

^aAge at which a patient was certified as eligible for financial aid for the treatment of a designated intractable disease from April 2009 to March 2010 (Note: Patient's age at the time of diagnosis, application and certification during the fiscal year is the same in most cases). ^bThe population receiving public assistance on July 31, 2009, is subtracted from the total population on October 1, 2009.

^cper 100 000 people per year.

^dThe numerator was the number of patients with ALS newly certified as eligible for financial aid for the treatment of a designated intractable disease during the study period.

^eAge-adjusted incidence was standardized to the 2000 U.S. standard population using the 50- to 79-years age band for ethnic comparison.

Table 3. Observed and expected numbers of patients with
ALS newly certified and the standardized incidence
ratio by prefecture in Japan from April 2009 to
March 2010

O E SIR (95% CI) O E SIR (95% CI) Hokkaido 67 57.3 1.17 (0.91–1.48) 43 44.4 0.97 (0.70–1.30) Aomori 16 14.3 1.12 (0.64–1.82) 14 11.8 1.19 (0.65–1.99) Iwate 16 14.9 1.07 (0.61–1.74) 14 11.8 1.19 (0.65–1.99) Miyagi 18 23.2 0.77 (0.46–1.22) 11 17.5 0.63 (0.31–1.13) Akita 12 12.8 0.94 (0.48–1.64) 13 10.4 1.25 (0.66–2.13) Yamagata 13 13.4 0.97 (0.52–1.66) 14 10.3 1.36 (0.74–2.28) Fukushima 26 22.0 1.18 (0.77–1.73) 9 16.6 0.54 (0.28–1.16) Gunma 22 21.3 1.03 (0.65–1.56) 10 15.3 0.65 (0.31–1.20) Saitama 70 71.9 0.97 (0.76–1.23) 46 48.3 0.95 (0.70–1.27) Chiba 61 63.1 0.97 (0.76–1.23) 46	Desfasture		Male	(<i>n</i> = 1301)	Female (<i>n</i> = 962)			
Aomori 16 14.3 1.12 (0.64–1.82) 14 11.8 1.19 (0.65–1.99) Iwate 16 14.9 1.07 (0.61–1.74) 14 11.8 1.19 (0.65–1.99) Miyagi 18 23.2 0.77 (0.46–1.22) 11 17.5 0.63 (0.31–1.13) Akita 12 12.8 0.94 (0.48–1.64) 13 10.4 1.25 (0.66–2.13) Yamagata 13 13.4 0.97 (0.52–1.66) 14 10.3 1.36 (0.74–2.28) Fukushima 26 22.0 1.18 (0.77–1.79) 9 16.6 0.54 (0.25–1.03) Ibaraki 41 31.0 1.32 (0.95–1.79) 21 21.7 0.97 (0.60–1.48) Tochigi 16 20.7 0.77 (0.44–1.26) 9 14.7 0.61 (0.88–1.44) Tokigi 16 20.7 0.77 (0.74–1.24) 47 43.4 1.08 (0.80–1.44) Tokyo 97 122.5 0.79 (0.64–0.97) 78 88.4 0.88 (0.70–1.10) Kanagawa 87 86.1 1.	Prefecture	0	Е	SIR (95% CI)	0	Е	SIR (95% CI)	
Iwate1614.91.07 $(0.61-1.74)$ 1411.81.19 $(0.65-1.99)$ Miyagi1823.20.77 $(0.46-1.22)$ 1117.5 0.63 $(0.31-1.13)$ Akita1212.80.94 $(0.48-1.64)$ 1310.41.25 $(0.66-2.13)$ Yamagata1313.40.97 $(0.52-1.66)$ 1410.31.36 $(0.74-2.28)$ Fukushima2622.01.18 $(0.77-1.73)$ 916.6 0.54 $(0.25-1.03)$ Ibaraki4131.01.32 $(0.95-1.79)$ 2121.7 0.97 $(0.60-1.48)$ Tochigi1620.7 0.77 $(0.44-1.26)$ 914.7 0.61 $(0.28-1.16)$ Gunma2221.31.03 $(0.65-1.56)$ 1015.3 0.65 $(0.31-1.20)$ Saitama7071.9 0.97 $(0.76-1.23)$ 4648.3 0.95 $(0.70-1.27)$ Chiba6163.1 0.97 $(0.74-1.24)$ 4743.4 1.08 $(0.80-1.44)$ Tokyo97122.5 0.79 $(0.64-0.97)$ 7888.4 0.88 $(0.70-1.10)$ Kanagawa8786.1 1.01 $(0.81-1.25)$ 70 59.9 1.17 $(0.91-1.48)$ Nigata3926.5 1.47 $(1.04-2.01)$ 25 20.1 1.24 $(0.80-1.34)$ Toyama1312.1 1.07 $(0.57-1.83)$ 12 9.4 1.28 $(0.66-2.24)$	Hokkaido	67	57.3	1.17 (0.91–1.48)	43	44.4	0.97 (0.70-1.30)	
Miyagi1823.20.77 $(0.46-1.22)$ 1117.50.63 $(0.31-1.13)$ Akita1212.80.94 $(0.48-1.64)$ 1310.41.25 $(0.66-2.13)$ Yamagata1313.40.97 $(0.52-1.66)$ 1410.31.36 $(0.74-2.28)$ Fukushima2622.01.18 $(0.77-1.73)$ 916.60.54 $(0.25-1.03)$ Ibaraki4131.01.32 $(0.95-1.79)$ 2121.70.97 $(0.60-1.48)$ Tochigi1620.70.77 $(0.44-1.26)$ 914.7 0.65 $(0.31-1.20)$ Saitama7071.90.97 $(0.76-1.23)$ 4648.30.95 $(0.70-1.27)$ Chiba6163.10.97 $(0.74-1.24)$ 4743.41.08 $(0.80-1.44)$ Tokyo97122.50.79 $(0.64-0.97)$ 7888.40.88 $(0.70-1.10)$ Kanagawa8786.11.01 $(0.81-1.25)$ 7059.91.17 $(0.91-1.48)$ Niigata3926.51.47 $(1.04-2.01)$ 2520.11.24 $(0.80-1.84)$ Nigata3926.51.47 $(1.04-2.01)$ 2520.11.24 $(0.80-1.84)$ Nigata3926.51.47 $(1.04-2.01)$ 2520.11.24 $(0.80-1.84)$ Nagano2424.10.99 $(0.60-2.14)$ 46.80.59 $(0.16-1.51)$ Nagano2424.1 <td>Aomori</td> <td>16</td> <td>14.3</td> <td>1.12 (0.64–1.82)</td> <td>14</td> <td>11.8</td> <td>1.19 (0.65–1.99)</td>	Aomori	16	14.3	1.12 (0.64–1.82)	14	11.8	1.19 (0.65–1.99)	
Akita1212.8 0.94 ($0.48-1.64$)1310.4 1.25 ($0.66-2.13$)Yamagata1313.4 0.97 ($0.52-1.66$)1410.3 1.36 ($0.74-2.28$)Fukushima2622.0 1.18 ($0.77-1.73$)916.6 0.54 ($0.25-1.03$)Ibaraki4131.0 1.32 ($0.95-1.79$)2121.7 0.97 ($0.60-1.48$)Tochigi16 20.7 0.77 ($0.44-1.26$)914.7 0.61 ($0.28-1.16$)Gunma2221.3 1.03 ($0.65-1.56$)1015.3 0.65 ($0.31-1.20$)Saitama7071.9 0.97 ($0.74-1.24$)4743.4 1.08 ($0.80-1.44$)Tokyo97122.5 0.79 ($0.64-0.97$)7888.4 0.88 ($0.70-1.27$)Chiba6163.1 0.97 ($0.74-1.24$)4743.4 1.08 ($0.80-1.44$)Tokyo97122.5 0.79 ($0.64-0.97$)7888.4 0.88 ($0.70-1.47$)Kanagawa8786.1 1.01 ($0.81-1.25$)7059.9 1.17 ($0.91-1.48$)Niigata3926.5 1.47 ($1.04-2.01$)2520.1 1.24 ($0.80-1.83$)Toyama1312.1 1.07 ($0.57-1.83$)12 9.4 1.28 ($0.66-2.24$)Ishikawa13 12.1 1.07 ($0.57-1.84$)10 9.3 1.08 ($0.52-1.99$)Fukui108.7 1.16 ($0.55-2.12$)46.6 0.61 ($0.17-1.56$)Yamanashi11 9.2 1.20 ($0.60-2.14$)<	Iwate	16	14.9	1.07 (0.61–1.74)	14	11.8	1.19 (0.65–1.99)	
Yamagata 13 13.4 0.97 (0.52–1.66) 14 10.3 1.36 (0.74–2.28) Fukushima 26 22.0 1.18 (0.77–1.73) 9 16.6 0.54 (0.25–1.03) Ibaraki 41 31.0 1.32 (0.95–1.79) 21 21.7 0.97 (0.60–1.48) Tochigi 16 20.7 0.77 (0.44–1.26) 9 14.7 0.61 (0.28–1.16) Gunma 22 21.3 1.03 (0.65–1.56) 10 15.3 0.65 (0.70–1.27) Chiba 61 63.1 0.97 (0.74–1.24) 47 43.4 1.08 (0.80–1.44) Tokyo 97 122.5 0.79 (0.64–0.97) 78 88.4 0.88 (0.70–1.27) Kaagawa 87 86.1 1.01 (0.81–1.25) 70 59.9 1.17 (0.91–1.48) Niigata 39 26.5 1.47 (1.04–2.01) 25 20.1 1.24 (0.80–1.83) Toyama 13 12.1 1.07 (0.57–1.83) 12 </td <td>Miyagi</td> <td>18</td> <td>23.2</td> <td>0.77 (0.46-1.22)</td> <td>11</td> <td>17.5</td> <td>0.63 (0.31-1.13)</td>	Miyagi	18	23.2	0.77 (0.46-1.22)	11	17.5	0.63 (0.31-1.13)	
Fukushima 26 22.0 1.18 (0.77–1.73) 9 16.6 0.54 (0.25–1.03) Ibaraki 41 31.0 1.32 (0.95–1.79) 21 21.7 0.97 (0.60–1.48) Tochigi 16 20.7 0.77 (0.44–1.26) 9 14.7 0.61 (0.28–1.16) Gunma 22 21.3 1.03 (0.65–1.56) 10 15.3 0.65 (0.31–1.20) Saitama 70 71.9 0.97 (0.76–1.23) 46 48.3 0.95 (0.70–1.27) Chiba 61 63.1 0.97 (0.74–1.24) 47 43.4 1.08 (0.80–1.44) Tokyo 97 122.5 0.79 (0.64–0.97) 78 88.4 0.88 (0.70–1.10) Kanagawa 87 86.1 1.01 (0.81–1.25) 70 59.9 1.17 (0.91–1.48) Niigata 39 26.5 1.47 (1.04–2.01) 25 20.1 1.24 (0.80–1.83) Toyama 13 12.1 1.07 (0.57–1.83) 12 9.4 1.28 (0.66–2.24) Ishikawa 13 12.1 1	Akita	12	12.8	0.94 (0.48-1.64)	13	10.4	1.25 (0.66–2.13)	
Ibaraki4131.01.32 (0.95–1.79)2121.70.97 (0.60–1.48)Tochigi1620.70.77 (0.44–1.26)914.70.61 (0.28–1.16)Gunma2221.31.03 (0.65–1.56)1015.30.65 (0.31–1.20)Saitama7071.90.97 (0.76–1.23)4648.30.95 (0.70–1.27)Chiba6163.10.97 (0.74–1.24)4743.41.08 (0.80–1.44)Tokyo97122.50.79 (0.64–0.97)7888.40.88 (0.70–1.10)Kanagawa8786.11.01 (0.81–1.25)7059.91.17 (0.91–1.48)Niigata3926.51.47 (1.04–2.01)2520.11.24 (0.80–1.83)Toyama1312.11.07 (0.57–1.84)109.31.08 (0.52–1.99)Fukui108.71.16 (0.55–2.12)46.60.61 (0.17–1.56)Yamanashi119.21.20 (0.60–2.14)46.80.59 (0.16–1.51)Nagano2424.10.99 (0.64–1.48)2017.81.12 (0.68–1.73)Gifu3222.41.43 (0.98–2.02)1816.31.11 (0.66–1.74)Aichi7671.31.07 (0.84–1.33)4549.70.91 (0.66–1.21)Mie2219.91.10 (0.68–1.67)814.60.55 (0.24–1.08)Shiga1913.41.42 (0.86–2.22)89.70.83 (0.36–1.63)Kyoto1926.40.72 (0.43–1.12)1419.90.	Yamagata	13	13.4	0.97 (0.52-1.66)	14	10.3	1.36 (0.74-2.28)	
Tochigi1620.7 0.77 $(0.44-1.26)$ 914.7 0.61 $(0.28-1.16)$ Gunma2221.3 1.03 $(0.65-1.56)$ 1015.3 0.65 $(0.31-1.20)$ Saitama7071.9 0.97 $(0.76-1.23)$ 4648.3 0.95 $(0.70-1.27)$ Chiba6163.1 0.97 $(0.74-1.24)$ 4743.4 1.08 $(0.80-1.44)$ Tokyo97122.5 0.79 $(0.64-0.97)$ 7888.4 0.88 $(0.70-1.27)$ Kanagawa8786.1 1.01 $(0.81-1.25)$ 70 59.9 1.17 $(0.91-1.48)$ Niigata3926.5 1.47 $(1.04-2.01)$ 25 20.1 1.24 $(0.80-1.83)$ Toyama13 12.1 1.07 $(0.57-1.83)$ 12 9.4 1.28 $(0.66-2.24)$ Ishikawa13 12.1 1.08 $(0.57-1.84)$ 10 9.3 1.08 $(0.52-1.99)$ Fukui10 8.7 1.16 $(0.55-2.12)$ 4 6.6 0.61 $(0.17-1.56)$ Yamanashi11 9.2 1.20 $(0.60-2.14)$ 4 6.8 0.59 $(0.16-1.51)$ Nagano24 24.1 0.99 $(0.64-1.48)$ 20 17.8 1.12 $(0.66-1.73)$ Gifu32 22.4 1.43 $(0.98-2.02)$ 18 16.3 1.11 $(0.66-1.74)$ Niga19 13.4 1.42 $(0.86-2.22)$ 8 9.7 <td< td=""><td>Fukushima</td><td>26</td><td>22.0</td><td>1.18 (0.77–1.73)</td><td>9</td><td>16.6</td><td>0.54 (0.25-1.03)</td></td<>	Fukushima	26	22.0	1.18 (0.77–1.73)	9	16.6	0.54 (0.25-1.03)	
Gunma 22 21.3 1.03 (0.65–1.56) 10 15.3 0.65 (0.31–1.20) Saitama 70 71.9 0.97 (0.76–1.23) 46 48.3 0.95 (0.70–1.27) Chiba 61 63.1 0.97 (0.74–1.24) 47 43.4 1.08 (0.80–1.44) Tokyo 97 122.5 0.79 (0.64–0.97) 78 88.4 0.88 (0.70–1.17) Kanagawa 87 86.1 1.01 (0.81–1.25) 70 59.9 1.17 (0.91–1.48) Niigata 39 26.5 1.47 (1.04–2.01) 25 20.1 1.24 (0.80–1.83) Toyama 13 12.1 1.07 (0.57–1.83) 12 9.4 1.28 (0.66–2.24) Ishikawa 13 12.1 1.08 (0.57–1.84) 10 9.3 1.08 (0.52–1.99) Fukui 10 8.7 1.16 (0.55–2.12) 4 6.6 0.61 (0.17–1.56) Yamanashi 11 9.2 1.20 (0.60–2.14) 4 6.8 0.59 (0.16–1.51) Nagano 24 24.1 0.99 (0.6	Ibaraki	41	31.0	1.32 (0.95–1.79)	21	21.7	0.97 (0.60-1.48)	
Saitama 70 71.9 0.97 (0.76–1.23) 46 48.3 0.95 (0.70–1.27) Chiba 61 63.1 0.97 (0.74–1.24) 47 43.4 1.08 (0.80–1.44) Tokyo 97 122.5 0.79 (0.64–0.97) 78 88.4 0.88 (0.70–1.10) Kanagawa 87 86.1 1.01 (0.81–1.25) 70 59.9 1.17 (0.91–1.48) Niigata 39 26.5 1.47 (1.04–2.01) 25 20.1 1.28 (0.66–2.24) Ishikawa 13 12.1 1.07 (0.57–1.83) 12 9.4 1.28 (0.66–2.24) Ishikawa 13 12.1 1.08 (0.55–2.12) 4 6.6 0.61 (0.17–1.56) Yamanashi 11 9.2 1.20 (0.60–2.14) 4 6.8 0.59 (0.16–1.57) Magano 24 24.1 0.99 (0.64–1.48) 20 17.8 1.12 (0.66–	Tochigi	16	20.7	0.77 (0.44-1.26)	9	14.7	0.61 (0.28-1.16)	
Chiba 61 63.1 0.97 (0.74–1.24) 47 43.4 1.08 (0.80–1.44) Tokyo 97 122.5 0.79 (0.64–0.97) 78 88.4 0.88 (0.70–1.10) Kanagawa 87 86.1 1.01 (0.81–1.25) 70 59.9 1.17 (0.91–1.48) Niigata 39 26.5 1.47 (1.04–2.01) 25 20.1 1.24 (0.80–1.83) Toyama 13 12.1 1.07 (0.57–1.83) 12 9.4 1.28 (0.66–2.24) Ishikawa 13 12.1 1.08 (0.57–1.84) 10 9.3 1.08 (0.52–1.99) Fukui 10 8.7 1.16 (0.55–2.12) 4 6.6 0.61 (0.17–1.56) Yamanashi 11 9.2 1.20 (0.60–2.14) 4 6.8 0.59 (0.16–1.51) Nagano 24 24.1 0.99 (0.64–1.48) 20 17.8 1.12 (0.68–1.73) Gifu 32 22.4 1.43 (0.98–2.02) 18 16.3 1.11 (0.66–1.21) Magano 24 24.1 0.99 (0.64–	Gunma	22	21.3	1.03 (0.65-1.56)	10	15.3	0.65 (0.31-1.20)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Saitama	70	71.9	0.97 (0.76–1.23)	46	48.3	0.95 (0.70-1.27)	
Kanagawa 87 86.1 1.01 (0.81–1.25) 70 59.9 1.17 (0.91–1.48) Niigata 39 26.5 1.47 (1.04–2.01) 25 20.1 1.24 (0.80–1.83) Toyama 13 12.1 1.07 (0.57–1.83) 12 9.4 1.28 (0.66–2.24) Ishikawa 13 12.1 1.08 (0.57–1.84) 10 9.3 1.08 (0.52–1.99) Fukui 10 8.7 1.16 (0.55–2.12) 4 6.6 0.61 (0.17–1.56) Yamanashi 11 9.2 1.20 (0.60–2.14) 4 6.8 0.59 (0.16–1.51) Nagano 24 24.1 0.99 (0.64–1.48) 20 17.8 1.12 (0.68–1.73) Gifu 32 22.4 1.43 (0.98–2.02) 18 16.3 1.11 (0.66–1.71) Shizuoka 39 40.5 0.96 (0.68–1.31) 30 29.3 1.03 (0.69–1.47) Aichi 76 71.3 1.07 (0.84–1.33) 45 49.7 0.91 (0.66–1.21) Mie 22 19.9 1.10 (0.69–1	Chiba	61	63.1	0.97 (0.74–1.24)	47	43.4	1.08 (0.80–1.44)	
Niigata3926.5 1.47 ($1.04-2.01$)2520.1 1.24 ($0.80-1.83$)Toyama1312.1 1.07 ($0.57-1.83$)12 9.4 1.28 ($0.66-2.24$)Ishikawa1312.1 1.08 ($0.57-1.84$)10 9.3 1.08 ($0.52-1.99$)Fukui10 8.7 1.16 ($0.55-2.12$) 4 6.6 0.61 ($0.17-1.56$)Yamanashi11 9.2 1.20 ($0.60-2.14$) 4 6.8 0.59 ($0.16-1.51$)Nagano2424.1 0.99 ($0.64-1.48$)20 17.8 1.12 ($0.68-1.73$)Gifu3222.4 1.43 ($0.98-2.02$)18 16.3 1.11 ($0.66-1.75$)Shizuoka39 40.5 0.96 ($0.68-1.31$) 30 29.3 1.03 ($0.69-1.47$)Aichi7671.3 1.07 ($0.84-1.33$) 45 49.7 0.91 ($0.66-1.21$)Mie22 19.9 1.10 ($0.69-1.67$) 8 14.6 0.55 ($0.24-1.08$)Shiga19 13.4 1.42 ($0.86-2.22$) 8 9.7 0.83 ($0.36-1.63$)Kyoto19 26.4 0.72 ($0.43-1.12$) 14 19.9 0.70 ($0.39-1.18$)Osaka62 85.7 0.72 ($0.55-0.93$) 70 63.5 1.10 ($0.86-1.39$)Hyogo43 56.7 0.76 ($0.55-1.02$) 47 42.4 1.11 ($0.81-1.47$)Nara29 14.8 1.96 ($1.31-2.81$) 9 10.9 0.82 ($0.38-1.56$)Wakayama20 1	Tokyo	97	122.5	0.79 (0.64-0.97)	78	88.4	0.88 (0.70-1.10)	
Toyama1312.11.07 (0.57-1.83)129.41.28 (0.66-2.24)Ishikawa1312.11.08 (0.57-1.84)109.31.08 (0.52-1.99)Fukui108.71.16 (0.55-2.12)46.60.61 (0.17-1.56)Yamanashi119.21.20 (0.60-2.14)46.80.59 (0.16-1.51)Nagano2424.10.99 (0.64-1.48)2017.81.12 (0.68-1.73)Gifu3222.41.43 (0.98-2.02)1816.31.11 (0.66-1.75)Shizuoka3940.50.96 (0.68-1.31)3029.31.03 (0.69-1.47)Aichi7671.31.07 (0.84-1.33)4549.70.91 (0.66-1.21)Mie221.991.10 (0.69-1.67)814.60.55 (0.24-1.08)Shiga1913.41.42 (0.86-2.22)89.70.83 (0.36-1.63)Kyoto1926.40.72 (0.43-1.12)1419.90.70 (0.39-1.18)Osaka6285.70.72 (0.55-0.93)7063.51.10 (0.86-1.39)Hyogo4356.70.76 (0.55-1.02)4742.41.11 (0.81-1.47)Nara2914.81.96 (1.31-2.81)910.90.82 (0.38-1.56)Wakayama2011.21.79 (1.10-2.77)98.71.03 (0.47-1.96)Tottori76.41.09 (0.44-2.25)35.10.59 (0.12-1.75)Shimane148.41.66 (0.91-2.78)76.61.05 (0.42-2	Kanagawa	87	86.1	1.01 (0.81-1.25)	70	59.9	1.17 (0.91–1.48)	
Ishikawa 13 12.1 1.08 (0.57–1.84) 10 9.3 1.08 (0.52–1.99) Fukui 10 8.7 1.16 (0.55–2.12) 4 6.6 0.61 (0.17–1.56) Yamanashi 11 9.2 1.20 (0.60–2.14) 4 6.8 0.59 (0.16–1.51) Nagano 24 24.1 0.99 (0.64–1.48) 20 17.8 1.12 (0.68–1.73) Gifu 32 22.4 1.43 (0.98–2.02) 18 16.3 1.11 (0.66–1.75) Shizuoka 39 40.5 0.96 (0.68–1.31) 30 29.3 1.03 (0.69–1.47) Aichi 76 71.3 1.07 (0.84–1.33) 45 49.7 0.91 (0.66–1.21) Mie 22 19.9 1.10 (0.69–1.67) 8 14.6 0.55 (0.24–1.08) Shiga 19 13.4 1.42 (0.86–2.22) 8 9.7 0.83 (0.36–1.63) Kyoto 19 26.4 0.72 (0.43–1.12) 14 19.9 0.70 (0.39–1.18) Osaka 62 85.7 0.72 (0.55–0.93) <td>-</td> <td>39</td> <td>26.5</td> <td>1.47 (1.04–2.01)</td> <td>25</td> <td>20.1</td> <td>1.24 (0.80–1.83)</td>	-	39	26.5	1.47 (1.04–2.01)	25	20.1	1.24 (0.80–1.83)	
Fukui108.71.16 (0.55–2.12)46.60.61 (0.17–1.56)Yamanashi119.21.20 (0.60–2.14)46.80.59 (0.16–1.51)Nagano2424.10.99 (0.64–1.48)2017.81.12 (0.68–1.73)Gifu3222.41.43 (0.98–2.02)1816.31.11 (0.66–1.75)Shizuoka3940.50.96 (0.68–1.31)3029.31.03 (0.69–1.47)Aichi7671.31.07 (0.84–1.33)4549.70.91 (0.66–1.21)Mie2219.91.10 (0.69–1.67)814.60.55 (0.24–1.08)Shiga1913.41.42 (0.86–2.22)89.70.83 (0.36–1.63)Kyoto1926.40.72 (0.43–1.12)1419.90.70 (0.39–1.18)Osaka6285.70.72 (0.55–0.93)7063.51.10 (0.86–1.39)Hyogo4356.70.76 (0.55–1.02)4742.41.11 (0.81–1.47)Nara2914.81.96 (1.31–2.81)910.90.82 (0.38–1.56)Wakayama2011.21.79 (1.10–2.77)98.71.03 (0.47–1.96)Tottori76.41.09 (0.44–2.25)35.10.59 (0.12–1.75)Shimane148.41.66 (0.91–2.78)76.61.05 (0.42–2.17)Okayama2420.81.15 (0.74–1.72)1415.70.89 (0.48–1.27)Yamaguchi1616.50.97 (0.55–1.57)1513.11.14 (0.	Toyama	13	12.1	1.07 (0.57–1.83)	12	9.4	1.28 (0.66–2.24)	
Fukui108.71.16 ($0.55-2.12$)46.60.61 ($0.17-1.56$)Yamanashi119.21.20 ($0.60-2.14$)46.8 0.59 ($0.16-1.51$)Nagano2424.10.99 ($0.64-1.48$)2017.81.12 ($0.68-1.73$)Gifu3222.41.43 ($0.98-2.02$)1816.31.11 ($0.66-1.75$)Shizuoka3940.50.96 ($0.68-1.31$)3029.31.03 ($0.69-1.47$)Aichi7671.31.07 ($0.84-1.33$)4549.70.91 ($0.66-1.21$)Mie2219.91.10 ($0.69-1.67$)814.60.55 ($0.24-1.08$)Shiga1913.41.42 ($0.86-2.22$)89.70.83 ($0.36-1.63$)Kyoto1926.40.72 ($0.43-1.12$)1419.90.70 ($0.39-1.18$)Osaka6285.70.72 ($0.55-0.93$)7063.51.10 ($0.86-1.39$)Hyogo4356.70.76 ($0.55-1.02$)4742.41.11 ($0.81-1.47$)Nara2914.81.96 ($1.31-2.81$)910.90.82 ($0.38-1.56$)Wakayama2011.21.79 ($1.10-2.77$)98.71.03 ($0.47-1.96$)Tottori76.41.09 ($0.44-2.25$)35.10.59 ($0.12-1.75$)Shimane148.41.66 ($0.91-2.78$)76.61.05 ($0.42-2.17$)Okayama2420.81.15 ($0.74-1.72$)1415.70.89 ($0.48-1.27$)Yamaguchi1616.5	Ishikawa	13	12.1	1.08 (0.57–1.84)	10	9.3	1.08 (0.52–1.99)	
Nagano2424.1 0.99 $(0.64-1.48)$ 20 17.8 1.12 $(0.68-1.73)$ Gifu3222.4 1.43 $(0.98-2.02)$ 18 16.3 1.11 $(0.66-1.75)$ Shizuoka3940.5 0.96 $(0.68-1.31)$ 3029.3 1.03 $(0.69-1.47)$ Aichi7671.3 1.07 $(0.84-1.33)$ 4549.7 0.91 $(0.66-1.21)$ Mie2219.9 1.10 $(0.69-1.67)$ 814.6 0.55 $(0.24-1.08)$ Shiga1913.4 1.42 $(0.86-2.22)$ 89.7 0.83 $(0.36-1.63)$ Kyoto1926.4 0.72 $(0.43-1.12)$ 1419.9 0.70 $(0.39-1.18)$ Osaka6285.7 0.72 $(0.55-0.93)$ 70 63.5 1.10 $(0.86-1.39)$ Hyogo4356.7 0.76 $(0.55-1.02)$ 4742.4 1.11 $(0.81-1.47)$ Nara2914.8 1.96 $(1.31-2.81)$ 9 10.9 0.82 $(0.38-1.56)$ Wakayama2011.2 1.79 $(1.10-2.77)$ 9 8.7 1.03 $(0.47-1.96)$ Tottori7 6.4 1.09 $(0.44-2.25)$ 3 5.1 0.59 $(0.42-2.17)$ Okayama2420.8 1.15 $(0.74-1.72)$ 14 15.7 0.89 $(0.49-1.49)$ Hiroshima2029.7 0.67 $(0.41-1.04)$ 18 22.4 0.80 $(0.48-1.27$	Fukui	10	8.7		4	6.6	0.61 (0.17-1.56)	
Gifu 32 22.4 1.43 (0.98–2.02) 18 16.3 1.11 (0.66–1.75) Shizuoka 39 40.5 0.96 (0.68–1.31) 30 29.3 1.03 (0.69–1.47) Aichi 76 71.3 1.07 (0.84–1.33) 45 49.7 0.91 (0.66–1.21) Mie 22 19.9 1.10 (0.69–1.67) 8 14.6 0.55 (0.24–1.08) Shiga 19 13.4 1.42 (0.86–2.22) 8 9.7 0.83 (0.36–1.63) Kyoto 19 26.4 0.72 (0.43–1.12) 14 19.9 0.70 (0.39–1.18) Osaka 62 85.7 0.72 (0.55–0.93) 70 63.5 1.10 (0.86–1.39) Hyogo 43 56.7 0.76 (0.55–1.02) 47 42.4 1.11 (0.81–1.47) Nara 29 14.8 1.96 (1.31–2.81) 9 10.9 0.82 (0.38–1.56) Wakayama 20 11.2 1.79 (1.10–2.77) 9 8.7 1.03 (0.47–1.96) Tottori 7 6.4 1.09 (0.44–2.25)	Yamanashi	11	9.2	1.20 (0.60-2.14)	4	6.8	0.59 (0.16–1.51)	
Gifu 32 22.4 1.43 (0.98–2.02) 18 16.3 1.11 (0.66–1.75) Shizuoka 39 40.5 0.96 (0.68–1.31) 30 29.3 1.03 (0.69–1.47) Aichi 76 71.3 1.07 (0.84–1.33) 45 49.7 0.91 (0.66–1.21) Mie 22 19.9 1.10 (0.69–1.67) 8 14.6 0.55 (0.24–1.08) Shiga 19 13.4 1.42 (0.86–2.22) 8 9.7 0.83 (0.36–1.63) Kyoto 19 26.4 0.72 (0.43–1.12) 14 19.9 0.70 (0.39–1.18) Osaka 62 85.7 0.72 (0.55–0.93) 70 63.5 1.10 (0.86–1.39) Hyogo 43 56.7 0.76 (0.55–1.02) 47 42.4 1.11 (0.81–1.47) Nara 29 14.8 1.96 (1.31–2.81) 9 10.9 0.82 (0.38–1.56) Wakayama 20 11.2 1.79 (1.10–2.77) 9 8.7 1.03 (0.47–1.96) Tottori 7 6.4 1.09 (0.44–2.25)	Nagano	24	24.1	0.99 (0.64–1.48)	20	17.8	1.12 (0.68–1.73)	
Aichi 76 71.3 1.07 (0.84–1.33) 45 49.7 0.91 (0.66–1.21) Mie 22 19.9 1.10 (0.69–1.67) 8 14.6 0.55 (0.24–1.08) Shiga 19 13.4 1.42 (0.86–2.22) 8 9.7 0.83 (0.36–1.63) Kyoto 19 26.4 0.72 (0.43–1.12) 14 19.9 0.70 (0.39–1.18) Osaka 62 85.7 0.72 (0.55–0.93) 70 63.5 1.10 (0.86–1.39) Hyogo 43 56.7 0.76 (0.55–1.02) 47 42.4 1.11 (0.81–1.47) Nara 29 14.8 1.96 (1.31–2.81) 9 10.9 0.82 (0.38–1.56) Wakayama 20 11.2 1.79 (1.10–2.77) 9 8.7 1.03 (0.47–1.96) Tottori 7 6.4 1.09 (0.44–2.25) 3 5.1 0.59 (0.12–1.75) Shimane 14 8.4 1.66 (0.91–2.78) 7 6.6 1.05 (0.42–2.17) Okayama 24 20.8 1.15 (0.74–1.72)	Gifu	32	22.4	1.43 (0.98–2.02)	18	16.3	1.11 (0.66–1.75)	
Aichi 76 71.3 1.07 (0.84–1.33) 45 49.7 0.91 (0.66–1.21) Mie 22 19.9 1.10 (0.69–1.67) 8 14.6 0.55 (0.24–1.08) Shiga 19 13.4 1.42 (0.86–2.22) 8 9.7 0.83 (0.36–1.63) Kyoto 19 26.4 0.72 (0.43–1.12) 14 19.9 0.70 (0.39–1.18) Osaka 62 85.7 0.72 (0.55–0.93) 70 63.5 1.10 (0.86–1.39) Hyogo 43 56.7 0.76 (0.55–1.02) 47 42.4 1.11 (0.81–1.47) Nara 29 14.8 1.96 (1.31–2.81) 9 10.9 0.82 (0.38–1.56) Wakayama 20 11.2 1.79 (1.10–2.77) 9 8.7 1.03 (0.47–1.96) Tottori 7 6.4 1.09 (0.44–2.25) 3 5.1 0.59 (0.12–1.75) Shimane 14 8.4 1.66 (0.91–2.78) 7 6.6 1.05 (0.42–2.17) Okayama 24 20.8 1.15 (0.74–1.72)	Shizuoka	39		. ,	30	29.3	1.03 (0.69–1.47)	
Mie 22 19.9 1.10 (0.69–1.67) 8 14.6 0.55 (0.24–1.08) Shiga 19 13.4 1.42 (0.86–2.22) 8 9.7 0.83 (0.36–1.63) Kyoto 19 26.4 0.72 (0.43–1.12) 14 19.9 0.70 (0.39–1.18) Osaka 62 85.7 0.72 (0.55–0.93) 70 63.5 1.10 (0.86–1.39) Hyogo 43 56.7 0.76 (0.55–1.02) 47 42.4 1.11 (0.81–1.47) Nara 29 14.8 1.96 (1.31–2.81) 9 10.9 0.82 (0.38–1.56) Wakayama 20 11.2 1.79 (1.10–2.77) 9 8.7 1.03 (0.47–1.96) Tottori 7 6.4 1.09 (0.44–2.25) 3 5.1 0.59 (0.12–1.75) Shimane 14 8.4 1.66 (0.91–2.78) 7 6.6 1.05 (0.42–2.17) Okayama 24 20.8 1.15 (0.74–1.72) 14 15.7 0.89 (0.49–1.49) Hiroshima 20 29.7 0.67 (0.41–1.04)	Aichi	76	71.3	. ,	45	49.7	0.91 (0.66–1.21)	
Kyoto 19 26.4 0.72 (0.43–1.12) 14 19.9 0.70 (0.39–1.18) Osaka 62 85.7 0.72 (0.55–0.93) 70 63.5 1.10 (0.86–1.39) Hyogo 43 56.7 0.76 (0.55–1.02) 47 42.4 1.11 (0.81–1.47) Nara 29 14.8 1.96 (1.31–2.81) 9 10.9 0.82 (0.38–1.56) Wakayama 20 11.2 1.79 (1.10–2.77) 9 8.7 1.03 (0.47–1.96) Tottori 7 6.4 1.09 (0.44–2.25) 3 5.1 0.59 (0.12–1.75) Shimane 14 8.4 1.66 (0.91–2.78) 7 6.6 1.05 (0.42–2.17) Okayama 24 20.8 1.15 (0.74–1.72) 14 15.7 0.89 (0.49–1.49) Hiroshima 20 29.7 0.67 (0.41–1.04) 18 22.4 0.80 (0.48–1.27) Yamaguchi 16 16.5 0.97 (0.55–1.57) 15 13.1 1.14 (0.64–1.88) Tokushima 11 8.8 1.25 (0.6	Mie	22	19.9	1.10 (0.69–1.67)	8	14.6	0.55 (0.24-1.08)	
Osaka 62 85.7 0.72 (0.55-0.93) 70 63.5 1.10 (0.86-1.39) Hyogo 43 56.7 0.76 (0.55-1.02) 47 42.4 1.11 (0.81-1.47) Nara 29 14.8 1.96 (1.31-2.81) 9 10.9 0.82 (0.38-1.56) Wakayama 20 11.2 1.79 (1.10-2.77) 9 8.7 1.03 (0.47-1.96) Tottori 7 6.4 1.09 (0.44-2.25) 3 5.1 0.59 (0.12-1.75) Shimane 14 8.4 1.66 (0.91-2.78) 7 6.6 1.05 (0.42-2.17) Okayama 24 20.8 1.15 (0.74-1.72) 14 15.7 0.89 (0.49-1.49) Hiroshima 20 29.7 0.67 (0.41-1.04) 18 22.4 0.80 (0.48-1.27) Yamaguchi 16 16.5 0.97 (0.55-1.57) 15 13.1 1.14 (0.64-1.88) Tokushima 11 8.8 1.25 (0.62-2.24) 4 6.8 0.59 (0.16-1.50)	Shiga	19	13.4	1.42 (0.86–2.22)	8	9.7	0.83 (0.36–1.63)	
Hyogo 43 56.7 0.76 (0.55-1.02) 47 42.4 1.11 (0.81-1.47) Nara 29 14.8 1.96 (1.31-2.81) 9 10.9 0.82 (0.38-1.56) Wakayama 20 11.2 1.79 (1.10-2.77) 9 8.7 1.03 (0.47-1.96) Tottori 7 6.4 1.09 (0.44-2.25) 3 5.1 0.59 (0.12-1.75) Shimane 14 8.4 1.66 (0.91-2.78) 7 6.6 1.05 (0.42-2.17) Okayama 24 20.8 1.15 (0.74-1.72) 14 15.7 0.89 (0.49-1.49) Hiroshima 20 29.7 0.67 (0.41-1.04) 18 22.4 0.80 (0.48-1.27) Yamaguchi 16 16.5 0.97 (0.55-1.57) 15 13.1 1.14 (0.64-1.88) Tokushima 11 8.8 1.25 (0.62-2.24) 4 6.8 0.59 (0.16-1.50)	Kyoto	19	26.4	0.72 (0.43-1.12)	14	19.9	0.70 (0.39-1.18)	
Nara 29 14.8 1.96 (1.31–2.81) 9 10.9 0.82 (0.38–1.56) Wakayama 20 11.2 1.79 (1.10–2.77) 9 8.7 1.03 (0.47–1.96) Tottori 7 6.4 1.09 (0.44–2.25) 3 5.1 0.59 (0.12–1.75) Shimane 14 8.4 1.66 (0.91–2.78) 7 6.6 1.05 (0.42–2.17) Okayama 24 20.8 1.15 (0.74–1.72) 14 15.7 0.89 (0.49–1.49) Hiroshima 20 29.7 0.67 (0.41–1.04) 18 22.4 0.80 (0.48–1.27) Yamaguchi 16 16.5 0.97 (0.55–1.57) 15 13.1 1.14 (0.64–1.88) Tokushima 11 8.8 1.25 (0.62–2.24) 4 6.8 0.59 (0.16–1.50)	Osaka	62	85.7	0.72 (0.55-0.93)	70	63.5	1.10 (0.86–1.39)	
Wakayama 20 11.2 1.79 (1.10–2.77) 9 8.7 1.03 (0.47–1.96) Tottori 7 6.4 1.09 (0.44–2.25) 3 5.1 0.59 (0.12–1.75) Shimane 14 8.4 1.66 (0.91–2.78) 7 6.6 1.05 (0.42–2.17) Okayama 24 20.8 1.15 (0.74–1.72) 14 15.7 0.89 (0.49–1.49) Hiroshima 20 29.7 0.67 (0.41–1.04) 18 22.4 0.80 (0.48–1.27) Yamaguchi 16 16.5 0.97 (0.55–1.57) 15 13.1 1.14 (0.64–1.88) Tokushima 11 8.8 1.25 (0.62–2.24) 4 6.8 0.59 (0.16–1.50)	Hyogo	43	56.7	0.76 (0.55-1.02)	47	42.4	1.11 (0.81–1.47)	
Tottori 7 6.4 1.09 (0.44–2.25) 3 5.1 0.59 (0.12–1.75) Shimane 14 8.4 1.66 (0.91–2.78) 7 6.6 1.05 (0.42–2.17) Okayama 24 20.8 1.15 (0.74–1.72) 14 15.7 0.89 (0.49–1.49) Hiroshima 20 29.7 0.67 (0.41–1.04) 18 22.4 0.80 (0.48–1.27) Yamaguchi 16 16.5 0.97 (0.55–1.57) 15 13.1 1.14 (0.64–1.88) Tokushima 11 8.8 1.25 (0.62–2.24) 4 6.8 0.59 (0.16–1.50)	Nara	29	14.8	1.96 (1.31-2.81)	9	10.9	0.82 (0.38-1.56)	
Tottori 7 6.4 1.09 (0.44–2.25) 3 5.1 0.59 (0.12–1.75) Shimane 14 8.4 1.66 (0.91–2.78) 7 6.6 1.05 (0.42–2.17) Okayama 24 20.8 1.15 (0.74–1.72) 14 15.7 0.89 (0.49–1.49) Hiroshima 20 29.7 0.67 (0.41–1.04) 18 22.4 0.80 (0.48–1.27) Yamaguchi 16 16.5 0.97 (0.55–1.57) 15 13.1 1.14 (0.64–1.88) Tokushima 11 8.8 1.25 (0.62–2.24) 4 6.8 0.59 (0.16–1.50)	Wakayama	20	11.2	1.79 (1.10–2.77)	9	8.7	1.03 (0.47–1.96)	
Okayama 24 20.8 1.15 (0.74–1.72) 14 15.7 0.89 (0.49–1.49) Hiroshima 20 29.7 0.67 (0.41–1.04) 18 22.4 0.80 (0.48–1.27) Yamaguchi 16 16.5 0.97 (0.55–1.57) 15 13.1 1.14 (0.64–1.88) Tokushima 11 8.8 1.25 (0.62–2.24) 4 6.8 0.59 (0.16–1.50)	Tottori	7	6.4	1.09 (0.44-2.25)	3	5.1	0.59 (0.12-1.75)	
Hiroshima 20 29.7 0.67 (0.41–1.04) 18 22.4 0.80 (0.48–1.27) Yamaguchi 16 16.5 0.97 (0.55–1.57) 15 13.1 1.14 (0.64–1.88) Tokushima 11 8.8 1.25 (0.62–2.24) 4 6.8 0.59 (0.16–1.50)	Shimane	14	8.4	1.66 (0.91-2.78)	7	6.6	1.05 (0.42-2.17)	
Yamaguchi 16 16.5 0.97 (0.55–1.57) 15 13.1 1.14 (0.64–1.88) Tokushima 11 8.8 1.25 (0.62–2.24) 4 6.8 0.59 (0.16–1.50)	Okayama	24	20.8	1.15 (0.74–1.72)	14	15.7	0.89 (0.49-1.49)	
Tokushima 11 8.8 1.25 (0.62–2.24) 4 6.8 0.59 (0.16–1.50)	Hiroshima	20	29.7	0.67 (0.41-1.04)	18	22.4	0.80 (0.48-1.27)	
	Yamaguchi	16	16.5	0.97 (0.55-1.57)	15	13.1	1.14 (0.64–1.88)	
	Tokushima	11	8.8	1.25 (0.62-2.24)	4	6.8	0.59 (0.16-1.50)	
Kagawa 6 11.0 0.54 (0.20–1.19) 11 8.3 1.32 (0.66–2.36)	Kagawa	6	11.0	0.54 (0.20-1.19)	11	8.3	1.32 (0.66-2.36)	
Ehime 10 15.7 0.64 (0.31–1.17) 15 12.4 1.21 (0.68–2.00)	Ehime	10	15.7	0.64 (0.31-1.17)	15	12.4	1.21 (0.68-2.00)	
Kochi 8 8.6 0.93 (0.40–1.84) 7 6.9 1.01 (0.41–2.09	Kochi	8	8.6	0.93 (0.40-1.84)	7	6.9	1.01 (0.41-2.09)	
Fukuoka 43 47.5 0.90 (0.65–1.22) 46 37.9 1.21 (0.89–1.62)	Fukuoka	43	47.5	0.90 (0.65-1.22)	46	37.9	1.21 (0.89–1.62)	
Saga 13 8.7 1.50 (0.80-2.56) 11 7.0 1.57 (0.78-2.81)	Saga	13	8.7	1.50 (0.80-2.56)	11	7.0	1.57 (0.78-2.81)	
Nagasaki 10 15.1 0.66 (0.31–1.22) 11 12.2 0.90 (0.45–1.61)	Nagasaki	10	15.1	0.66 (0.31–1.22)	11	12.2	0.90 (0.45–1.61)	
Kumamoto 21 19.2 1.09 (0.68–1.67) 24 15.3 1.57 (1.01–2.33)	Kumamoto	21	19.2	1.09 (0.68–1.67)	24	15.3	1.57 (1.01–2.33)	
Oita 11 13.1 0.84 (0.42–1.50) 14 10.3 1.35 (0.74–2.27)	Oita	11	13.1	0.84 (0.42-1.50)	14	10.3	1.35 (0.74–2.27)	
Miyazaki 15 12.3 1.22 (0.68–2.02) 3 9.6 0.31 (0.06–0.92)	Miyazaki	15	12.3	1.22 (0.68–2.02)	3	9.6	0.31 (0.06–0.92)	
Kagoshima 16 18.3 0.87 (0.50-1.42) 16 14.6 1.09 (0.63-1.78)	Kagoshima	16	18.3	0.87 (0.50–1.42)	16	14.6	1.09 (0.63–1.78)	
Okinawa 23 11.4 2.02 (1.28-3.04) 11 8.3 1.32 (0.66-2.36)	Okinawa	23	11.4	2.02 (1.28-3.04)	11	8.3	1.32 (0.66–2.36)	

were 9.9 (95% CI 9.7–10.1) and 2.2 (95% CI 2.1–2.3), respectively. The highest prevalence as well as incidence was evident in the 70- to 79-years age group, and the male-female ratio was approximately 1.5.

According to the *Report on Public Health Administration* and Services 2009,¹² the number of patients with ALSawarded certificates of financial aid for the treatment of a designated intractable disease at the end of the 2009 fiscal year was 8492, which is 1745 fewer than the total of 10237 identified in our study. The certificates must be returned to the governor of the patient's prefecture if they die. This difference almost corresponds to the number of deaths due to ALS between January 1 and December 31, 2009, recorded as 1797 in the country's vital statistics. We therefore believe that the published value in the report, given as 6.7 per 100 000 people, underestimates the annual crude prevalence of ALS, which has a high fatality rate.

How ethnicity influences an individual's risk of developing ALS is of great concern. A systematic review on the effect of ethnic variation on the incidence of ALS, using an incidence rate standardized to the 2000 U.S. standard population, provides some etiologic clues.³ The age- and sex-adjusted incidence rate of our study, 2.3 per 100 000 people per year, was comparable to the rate of 2.0 per 100000 person-years reported in Hokkaido, Japan.^{3,13} These incidence rates are much lower than those reported in recent prospective studies: 6.4 in Ireland, 6.2 in Scotland, 5.5 in Italy (Northern), 5.3 in the U.S. (Washington), and 5.0 in Italy (Puglia); as well as in retrospective studies: 6.6 in the U.S. (Minnesota), 6.0 in Sweden, 5.6 in Canada, 5.3 in Norway, 4.6 in Libya, and 4.2 in Denmark.³ In general, a low incidence is believed to be caused by the low occurrence of a disease, poor access to medical care, short life expectancy, and inter-disease competition.^{3,14} These latter three conditions are unlikely to account for the low incidence of ALS in Japan, which provides ALS patients with subsidized public medicine and whose population has the longest life expectancy in the world. Therefore, if the difference is genuine, genetic or lifestyle factors that protect against ALS may confer a low risk of ALS in the Japanese population.

In addition, we found variation in the incidence of ALS among prefectures in Japan. Although the reason for that variation was unclear, the combined data of familial and sporadic ALS used in this study might reflect in part the geographic variation of genetic and/or environmental factors contributing to the occurrence of ALS. Among males, SIRs were high in Okinawa, in Nara and Wakayama on the Kii Peninsula, and in Niigata. Okinawa is where hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy with proximal dominancy (HMSN-P) was first reported in 1997.¹⁵ The clinical features of HMSN-P include adult-onset ALS accompanied by mild sensory disturbance, progressing to bedridden incapacity.¹⁶ Although HMSN-P is inherited as an autosomal dominant characteristic, some patients with HMSN-P may have been included in this study. According to recent reports, a high incidence of ALS persisted in males in Wakayama prefecture,^{17,18} which is consistent with the findings of our study. Although it remains unclear why the SIR is high in Nara, one possible explanation is the immigration of residents with a family history of ALS from two major endemic foci in Wakayama and Mie prefectures to Nara prefecture in the Kii Peninsula. Future research is required to confirm the above hypothesis by comparing patients' place of birth with place of ALS diagnosis, using information obtained from the clinical application form.

Similarly, the reasons underlying the high SIR in Niigata among males are unclear. A previous mortality study reported that deaths among males due to ALS most often occurred throughout Niigata, Gunma, Nagano, and Fukushima prefectures.¹⁹ Considering these findings together, we may speculate that some unidentified foci with a high incidence of ALS exist in this region. Conversely, Tokyo and Osaka presented a slightly lower SIR in males, but the statistical significance was modest. For female patients, SIR was high in Kumamoto but low in Miyazaki; the statistical significance was modest. However, the reason for this discrepancy among prefectures was unclear.

The limitations of our present study should be taken into account. One is the case ascertainment of ALS. As described in the methods, however, this is not so serious, considering the following points: (1) ALS was usually diagnosed by neurologists; (2) the number of neurologists was $large^{8}$; (3) neurologists have generally followed the clinical guidelines based on the EEC since the recommendation of its use by the research committee on ALS of Japan⁹; and (4) the agreement between judgments by the doctor who diagnosed and filled out the clinical application form and neurology specialists who reviewed it at the committee on designated intractable diseases was quite high.²⁰ The other is potential regional disparity affecting geographic variation in the incidence of ALS (eg number of neurologists and access to specialized medical care). Given the following point, however, the degree of regional disparity is likely to be small: prefectures with higher or lower concentrations of neurology clinics/ departments²¹ were not consistent with those in which the SIRs of ALS were high or low in our present study. Basically, all ALS patients have been guaranteed free medical access thanks to the provision of financial aid from universal medical insurance since 1961, countermeasures against intractable diseases including ALS since 1972, and nursing-care insurance since 2000.

In conclusion, we report here the annual prevalence and incidence of ALS in a representative population of Japan. We identified some prefectures with a high incidence of ALS. However, the incidence of ALS in the Japanese population was much lower than in Caucasian populations of Europe and North America.

ONLINE ONLY MATERIAL –

Abstract in Japanese.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS -

This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid from the Research Committee of CNS Degenerative Diseases, the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan. Dr. Atsuta was funded by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan (grant number 25461277) and the Inochinoiro Foundation of Japan.

Conflicts of interest: None declared.

REFERENCES –

- Rowland LP, Shneider NA. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. N Engl J Med. 2001;344:1688–700.
- Logroscino G, Traynor BJ, Hardiman O, Chiò A, Mitchell D, Swingler RJ, et al; EURALS. Incidence of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in Europe. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2010;81: 385–90.
- Cronin S, Hardiman O, Traynor BJ. Ethnic variation in the incidence of ALS: a systematic review. Neurology. 2007;68: 1002–7.
- Kuzuhara S, Kokubo Y. Atypical parkinsonism of Japan: amyotrophic lateral sclerosis-parkinsonism-dementia complex of the Kii peninsula of Japan (Muro disease): an update. Mov Disord. 2005;20 Suppl 12:S108–13.
- Yoshida S, Uebayashi Y, Kihira T, Kohmoto J, Wakayama I, Taguchi S, et al. Epidemiology of motor neuron disease in the Kii Peninsula of Japan, 1989–1993: active or disappearing focus? J Neurol Sci. 1998;155:146–55.
- 6. Brooks BR. El Escorial World Federation of Neurology criteria for the diagnosis of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Subcommittee on Motor Neuron Diseases/Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis of the World Federation of Neurology Research Group on Neuromuscular Diseases and the El Escorial "Clinical limits of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis" workshop contributors. J Neurol Sci. 1994;124 Suppl:96–107.
- Brooks BR, Miller RG, Swash M, Munsat TL; World Federation of Neurology Research Group on Motor Neuron Diseases. El Escorial revisited: revised criteria for the diagnosis of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Amyotroph Lateral Scler Other Motor Neuron Disord. 2000;1:293–9.
- Kuzuhara S. History of neurology and education on neurology in Japan. Rinsho Shinkeigaku. 2009;49:968–79.
- Atsuta N, Watanabe H, Ito M, Tanaka F, Tamakoshi A, Nakano I, et al; Research Committee on the Neurodegenerative Diseases of Japan. Age at onset influences on wide-ranged clinical features of sporadic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. J Neurol Sci. 2009;276:163–9.
- Fay MP, Feuer EJ. Confidence intervals for directly standardized rates: a method based on the gamma distribution. Stat Med. 1997;16:791–801.
- Schoenberg BS. Calculating confidence intervals for rates and ratios. Neuroepidemiology. 1983;2:257–65.
- Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan [homepage on the Internet]. [cited as 2014 January 29]. Available from http://www.mhlw.go.jp/toukei/saikin/hw/eisei/09/dl/kekka6.pdf (in Japanese).
- Moriwaka F, Okumura H, Tashiro K, Hamada T, Matsumoto A, Matsumoto H, et al. Motor neuron disease and past poliomyelitis. Geographic study in Hokkaido, the northernmost island of Japan. J Neurol. 1993;240:13–6.
- Neilson S, Robinson I, Alperovitch A. Rising amyotrophic lateral sclerosis mortality in France 1968–1990: increased life expectancy and inter-disease competition as an explanation. J Neurol. 1994;241:448–55.
- Takashima H, Nakagawa M, Nakahara K, Suehara M, Matsuzaki T, Higuchi I, et al. A new type of hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy linked to chromosome 3. Ann Neurol. 1997;41:

771-80.

- Nakagawa M. Wide spectrum of hereditary motor sensory neuropathy (HMSN). Rinsho Shinkeigaku. 2009;49:950–2 (in Japanese).
- Kihira T, Yoshida S, Hironishi M, Miwa H, Okamato K, Kondo T. Changes in the incidence of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in Wakayama, Japan. Amyotroph Lateral Scler Other Motor Neuron Disord. 2005;6:155–63.
- Ishiura H, Takahashi Y, Mitsui J, Yoshida S, Kihira T, Kokubo Y, et al. C9ORF72 repeat expansion in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in the Kii peninsula of Japan. Arch Neurol. 2012;69:1154–8.
- Doi Y, Yokoyama T, Tango T, Takahashi K, Fujimoto K, Nakano I. Temporal trends and geographic clusters of mortality from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in Japan, 1995–2004. J Neurol Sci. 2010;298:78–84.
- Hioki A. Characteristics of receipt rate of financial aid for intractable disease patients in Gifu Prefecture, Japan. J Epidemiol. 2000;10:94–102.
- 21. Statistics and Information Department, the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan. Survey of Physicians, Dentists and Pharmacists (2008) [homepage on the Internet]. [cited as 2014 May 11]. Available from: http://www.e-stat.go.jp/SG1/estat/ List.do?lid=000001060599 (in Japanese).