



Research Highlight

Growing Interest in Microbiome Research Unraveling Disease Suppressive Soils against Plant Pathogens

KOKI TOYOTA1*, and SAYO SHIRAI1

¹Graduate School of Bio-Applications and Systems Engineering, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Naka, Koganei, Tokyo, 184–8588, Japan

The world's population is increasing at an unprecedented rate and exceeded 7.5 billion in 2018. To meet the projected demands from not only the rising population, but also diet shifts, global crop production needs to double by 2050 (24). Several options have been proposed to solve this world crisis including the expansion of croplands, yield improvements through variety selection and better management practices, and the more efficient use of currently arable lands.

Diseases caused by fungi, bacteria, and viruses threaten crop production and cause ca. 10 to 20% yield losses in major crops worldwide in spite of the adoption of protection (22). Thus, proper disease control contributes to increased crop production. Many options are now available for this purpose, such as integrated control (6) and the development of new control (35) and diagnostic methods (20). Research on suppressive soils is also useful for solving yield losses caused by diseases because no or few diseases occur in certain types of soils. Disease suppressive soils have been defined by Baker and Cook (2) as "soils in which the pathogen does not establish or persist, establishes but causes little or no damage, or establishes and causes disease for a while but thereafter the disease is less important, although the pathogen may persist in the soils". In contrast, diseases readily occur in conducive soils in which abiotic and biotic conditions are favorable to pathogens. Thus, a clearer understanding of the disease inhibitory mechanisms of suppressive soils will lead to the development of powerful tools to control diseases.

Previous studies have been conducted to elucidate the underlying disease inhibitory mechanisms and microbiological impact of suppressive soils (Fig. 1). Pathogens that have attracted attention are *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Guaeumanomyce graminis* var. *tritici* as well as plant parasitic nematodes, such as *Heterodera*, *Globodera*, *Meloidogyne*, *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Thielaviopsis basicola*, and *Verticillium dahliae* (7). Among them, suppressive soils against *G. graminis* var. *tritici*, *F. oxysporum*, and *R. solani* are well-known and have been intensively investigated.

Take-all, caused by *G. graminis* var. *tritici*, is the most economically important root disease of wheat worldwide. Take-all decline in incidence and severity of the disease is spontaneously seen after severe outbreaks of take-all during continuous wheat or barley monocultures. The research group of David M. Weller revealed that suppressive mechanisms are mediated by the accumulation of populations of 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol (DAPG)-producing fluorescent *Pseudomonas* spp. (27). Until the 2010s, the majority of studies on disease suppressive soils were restricted to individual, specific, and beneficial microbial components, and the functions of entire resident soil microbial communities were overlooked due to the lack of comprehensive methods (14).

Mendes *et al.* (18) applied a microbiomic approach to suppressive soil that was induced by the outbreak of disease caused by *R. solani* and revealed that certain members of *Pseudomonadaceae* that produce a lipopeptide encoded by non-ribosomal peptide (NRPS) genes were the key populations in suppressive soil, while no significant variability in dominant bacterial groups was detected between disease suppressive and conducive soils. Soil microbiome investigations have since been strongly promoted (Fig. 1).

In *F. oxysporum* suppressive soils, Alabouvette (1) summarized that non-pathogenic *Fusarium* spp. were most likely involved in the suppressive properties observed. A recent microbiome study (29) revealed that fungal diversity differs between suppressive and conducive soils, and that several genera of fungi and bacteria, which are known for their activities against *F. oxysporum*, are exclusively or more abundantly present in suppressive soil.

Microbiome studies have provided important insights for related research fields on soilborne pathogens. Wilt disease caused by Ralstonia solanacearum has been extensively examined (34). Disease severity was low in certain types of soils (26) and in soils amended with certain types of composts (11), simple organic compounds (23), or with a biocontrol agent (21). Lee et al. (12) reported that Proteobacteria, Acidobacteria, Chloroflexi, Verrucomicrobia, and several Archaea were more abundant in soils without the disease symptoms of bacterial wilt, while another eight phyla were more abundant in soils with disease symptoms. Furthermore, a comparison of prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities revealed that several prokaryotes and eukaryotes were more abundant in soil without disease symptoms (13). These microbiome studies have successfully identified specific microbial phyla and orders, or sometimes specific genera, that are most likely involved in disease suppressive mechanisms. For example, the following genera have been identified as keystone components in disease suppressive soils: Cheatomium (19), Kaistobacter (14), Lysobacter (32), Mortierella (33), and Pseudomonas and Streptomyces (35).

Organic farming is becoming popular worldwide and has, in some cases, been proposed to contribute to the higher disease resistance of crops than conventional farming. Based on the findings of a microbiomic analysis, Takahashi *et al.* (30) demonstrated that the physicochemical properties of soils

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: kokit@cc.tuat.ac.jp;

Tel: +81-42-388-7915; Fax: +81-42-388-7915.



Fig. 1. Microbiome research on disease suppressive soils. The numbers of hits (articles) are the results of key word (gray bar: disease, soil, suppressive, black bar; disease, microbiome, suppressive) searches in the Web of Science.

were not directly associated with the disease suppressive properties of rice seedlings against pathogenic Burkholderia spp.; however, bacterial populations showed greater diversities in organic soils than in conventional soils. In contrast, a comparative study on organic and intensive farming by Bonamomi et al. (5) indicated that the overall compositional diversity of a soil microbial community was not linked to the suppressive properties of the soil, and that some prokaryotic and eukaryotic genera positively correlated with the suppression of disease caused by R. solani in lettuce. A previous study conducted comparisons between organic and conventional cultivations (4), and the findings obtained revealed that the growth of four crops was greater in organic cultivations due to the suppression of diseases, whereas soil bacterial diversity was lower in organic cultivations than in conventional cultivations. Furthermore, this study indicated that the relative abundance of the metazoan phylotype in the eukaryotic population increased from 0.1% in conventional cultivation soil to 20% in organic cultivation soil, suggesting the important function of the whole soil ecosystem (4).

Reductive soil disinfestation (RSD) was firstly developed in Japan (28) and Netherlands (3) and has become popular due to the effective inhibition of various soil-borne plant pathogens and the lower load to environment (35). Microbial communities, particularly anaerobic populations, play an essential role in this disinfestation, and microbiome studies have revealed several keystone microbial components (18, 34).

Microbiome studies were popularized by their focus on human health, particularly the intestinal microbiota (9). They have since been applied not only to soils, but also to plant shoot and root microbiomes (31) and have provided important insights into microbial community functions in the biogeochemical cycles of carbon (10), nitrogen (25), and sulfur (15). A metatranscriptomic analysis may reveal functional microbial populations and genetic components in soil microbiomes. A metatranscriptomic study on the wheat rhizosphere in a disease suppressive soil for *R. solani* demonstrated that suppressive soil microbiomes more strongly expressed a polyketide cyclase and more cold shock proteins than non-suppressive soil microbiomes, while the non-suppressive microbiomes expressed many different oxidative stress genes, such as superoxide dismutase and peroxidase, which were likely induced by pathogen infections (8). Using the metatranscriptomic approach, Masuda *et al.* (16, 17) successfully detected previously unidentified keystone members involved in the biogeochemical cycles of paddy soils, such as reductive nitrogen transformation (16) and methane metabolism (17).

More than 100 years of research on suppressive soils has resulted in the accumulation of very fundamental data. Suppressive properties are mostly derived from the biological functions of soils, which will be further clarified by comprehensive microbiome investigations, excellent examples of which are introduced herein. The elucidation of microbial functions in suppressive soils will unequivocally lead to future sustainable crop production.

References

- Alabouvette, C. 1999. Fusarium wilt suppressive soils: an example of disease suppressive soils. Australas. Plant Pathol. 28:57–64.
- Baker, K.F., and R.J. Cook. 1974. Biological Control of Plant Pathogens, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco.
- Block, W.J., J.G. Lamers, A.J. Termorshuizen, and G.J. Bollen. 2000. Control of soilborne plant pathogens by incorporating fresh organic amendments followed by tarping. Phytopathology 90:253–259.
- Bonanomi, G., F. De Filippis, G. Cesarano, A. La Storia, D. Ercolini, and F. Scala. 2016. Organic farming induces changes in soil microbiota that affect agro-ecosystem functions. Soil Biol. Biochem. 103:327– 336.
- Bonanomi, G., G. Cesarano, V. Antignani, C. Di Maio, F. De Filippis, and F. Scala. 2018. Conventional farming impairs *Rhizoctonia solani* disease suppression by disrupting soil food web. J. Phytopathol. 166:663–673.
- Dignam, B.E.A., M. O'Callaghan, L.M. Condron, J.M. Raaijmakers, G.A. Kowalchuk, and S.A. Wakelin. 2016. Challenges and opportunities in harnessing soil disease suppressiveness for sustainable pasture production. Soil Biol. Biochem. 95:100–111.
- Exposito, R.G., I. de Bruijn, J. Postma, and J.M. Raaijmakers. 2017. Current insights into the role of rhizosphere bacteria in disease suppressive soils. Front. Microbiol. 8:article 2529.
- Hayden, H.L., K.W. Savin, J. Wadeson, V.V.S.R. Gupta, and P.M. Mele. 2018. Comparative metatranscriptomics of wheat rhizosphere microbiomes in disease suppressive and non-suppressive soils for *Rhizoctonia solani* AG8. Front. Microbiol. 9:Article 859.
- Hillman, E.T., H. Lu, T. Yao, and C.H. Nakatsu. 2017. Microbial ecology along the gastrointestinal tract. Microbes Environ. 32:300– 313.
- Ikeda, S., T. Tokida, H. Nakamura, *et al.* 2015. Characterization of leaf blade- and leaf sheath-associated bacterial communities and assessment of their responses to environmental changes in CO₂, temperature, and nitrogen levels under field conditions. Microbes Environ. 30:51–62.
- Islam, T.M.D., and K. Toyota. 2004. Effect of moisture conditions and pre-incubation at low temperature on bacterial wilt of tomato caused by *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Microbes Environ. 19:244–247.
- Lee, C.G., T. Iida, Y. Inoue, Y. Muramoto, H. Watanabe, K. Nakaho, and M. Ohkuma. 2017. Prokaryotic communities at different depths between soils with and without tomato bacterial wilt but pathogenpresent in a single greenhouse. Microbes Environ. 32:118–124.
- Lee, C.G., T. Iida, Y. Uwagaki, Y. Otani, K. Nakaho, and M. Ohkuma. 2017. Comparison of prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities in soil samples with and without tomato bacterial wilt collected from different fields. Microbes Environ. 32:376–385.
- Liu, X.J., S.T. Zhang, Q.P. Jiang, Y.N. Bai, G.H. Shen, S.L. Li, and W. Ding. 2016. Using community analysis to explore bacterial indicators for disease suppression of tobacco bacterial wilt. Sci. Rep. 6:36773.
- Masuda, S., Z. Bao, T. Okubo, *et al.* 2016. Sulfur fertilization changes the community structure of rice root-, and soil-associated bacteria. Microbes Environ. 31:70–75.

- Masuda, Y., H. Itoh, Y. Shiratori, K. Isobe, S. Otsuka, and K. Senoo. 2017. Predominant but previously-overlooked prokaryotic drivers of reductive nitrogen transformation in paddy soils, revealed by metatranscriptomics. Microbes Environ. 32:180–183.
- Masuda, Y., H. Itoh, Y. Shiratori, and K. Senoo. 2018. Metatranscriptomic insights into microbial consortia driving methane metabolism in paddy soils. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 64:455–464.
- Mendes, R., M. Kruijt, I. de Bruijin, *et al.* 2011. Deciphering the rhizosphere microbiome for disease-suppressive bacteria. Science 332:1097–1100.
- Meng, T.Z., Y.J. Yang, Z.C. Cai, and Y. Ma. 2018. The control of *Fusarium oxysporum* in soil treated with organic material under anaerobic condition is affected by liming and sulfate content. Biol. Fertil. Soils 54:295–307.
- 20. Mitsuboshi, M., Y. Kioka, K. Noguchi, and S. Asakawa. 2018. Evaluation of suppressiveness of soils exhibiting soil-borne disease suppression after long-term application of organic amendments by the co-cultivation method of pathogenic *Fusarium oxysporum* and indigenous soil microorganisms. Microbes Environ. 33:58–65.
- Nion, Y.A., and K. Toyota. 2008. Suppression of bacterial wilt of tomato by a *Burkholderia nodosa* strain isolated from Kalimantan soils, Indonesia. Microbes Environ. 23:134–141
- Oerke, E.C. Estimated losses due to pathogens, animal pests and weeds, p. 72–741. In Oerke, E.C., H.-W. Dehne, F. Schonbeck, and A. Weber (ed.), Crop Production and Crop Protection. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Posas, M.B., K. Toyota, and T.M.D. Islam. 2007. Inhibition of bacterial wilt of tomato caused by *Ralstonia solanacearum* by sugars and amino acids. Microbes Environ. 22:290–296.
- Ray, D.K., N.D. Mueller, P.C. West, and J.A. Foley. 2013. Yield trends are insufficient to double global crop production by 2050. PLoS One 8:e66428.
- Saeki, Y., M. Nakamura, M.L.T. Mason, T. Yano, S. Shiro, R. Sameshima-Saito, M. Itakura, K. Minamisawa, and A. Yamamoto. 2017. Effect of flooding and the *nosZ* gene in bradyrhizobia on bradyrhizobial community structure in the soil. Microbes Environ. 32:154–163.

- Satoh, K., and K. Toyota. 2014. Comparison of disease suppressiveness of different soils with or without repeated application of organic matters to bacterial wilt of tomato caused by *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Microbes Environ. 19:310–314.
- Schlatter, D., L. Kinkel, L. Thomashow, D. Weller, and T. Paulitz. 2017. Disease suppressive soils: new insights from the soil microbiome. Phytopathology 107:1284–1297
- Shinmura, A. 2000. Causal agent and control of root rot of welsh onion. PSJ Soil-borne Dis. Workshop Rep. 20:133–143.
- 29. Siegel-Hertz, K., V. Edel-Hermann, E. Chapelle, S. Terrat, J.M. Raaijmakers, and C. Steinberg. 2018. Comparative microbiome analysis of a Fusarium wilt suppressive soil and a Fusarium wilt conducive soil from the Chateaurenard region. Front. Microbiol. 9:article 568.
- Takahashi, H., Y. Matsushita, T. Ito, *et al.* 2018. Comparative analysis of microbial diversity and bacterial seedling disease-suppressive activity in organic-farmed and standardized commercial conventional soils for rice nursery cultivation. J. Phytopathol. 166:249–264.
- Wasai, S., and K. Minamisawa. 2018. Plant-associated microbes: from rhizobia to plant microbiomes. Microbes Environ. 33:1–3.
- 32. Xiong, W., R. Li, Y. Ren, C. Liu, Q.Y. Zhao, H.S. Wu, A. Jousset, and Q.R. Shen. 2017. Distinct roles for soil fungal and bacterial communities associated with the suppression of vanilla Fusarium wilt disease. Soil Biol. Biochem. 107:198–207.
- 33. Xiong, W., R. Li, Y. Ren, C. Liu, Q.Y. Zhao, H.S. Wu, A. Jousset, and Q.R. Shen. 2018. Distinct roles for soil fungal and bacterial communities associated with the suppression of vanilla Fusarium wilt disease. Soil Biol. Biochem. 107:198–207.
- Yuliar, Y.A. Nion, and K. Toyota. 2015. Recent trends in control methods for bacterial wilt disease caused by *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Microbes Environ. 30:1–11.
- Zhao, J., X. Zhou, A.Q. Jiang, J.Z. Fan, T. Lan, J.B. Zhang, and Z.C. Cai. 2018. Distinct impacts of reductive soil disinfestation and chemical soil disinfestation on soil fungal communities and memberships. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 17:7623–7634.