



# Lithium Sulfide–Carbon Composites via Aerosol Spray Pyrolysis as Cathode Materials for Lithium–Sulfur Batteries

Noam Hart<sup>1</sup>, Jiayan Shi<sup>1</sup>, Jian Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Chengyin Fu<sup>1</sup> and Juchen Guo<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA, United States, <sup>2</sup> Materials Science and Engineering Program, University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA, United States

We demonstrate a new technique to produce lithium sulfide-carbon composite (Li<sub>2</sub>S-C) cathodes for lithium-sulfur batteries *via* aerosol spray pyrolysis (ASP) followed by sulfurization. Specifically, lithium carbonate-carbon (Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C) composite nanoparticles are first synthesized via ASP from aqueous solutions of sucrose and lithium salts including nitrate (LiNO<sub>3</sub>), acetate (CH<sub>3</sub>COOLi), and Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, respectively. The obtained Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C composites are subsequently converted to Li<sub>2</sub>S-C through sulfurization by reaction to H<sub>2</sub>S. Electrochemical characterizations show excellent overall capacity and cycle stability of the Li<sub>2</sub>S-C composites with relatively high areal loading of Li<sub>2</sub>S and low electrolyte/Li<sub>2</sub>S ratio. The Li<sub>2</sub>S-C nanocomposites also demonstrate clear structure-property relationships.

### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Fan Zhang, Fudan University, China

#### Reviewed by:

Piercarlo Mustarelli, University of Pavia, Italy Yuan Yang, Columbia University, United States

> \*Correspondence: Juchen Guo jguo@engr.ucr.edu

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Electrochemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Chemistry

Received: 20 July 2018 Accepted: 20 September 2018 Published: 09 October 2018

#### Citation:

Hart N, Shi J, Zhang J, Fu C and Guo J (2018) Lithium Sulfide–Carbon Composites via Aerosol Spray Pyrolysis as Cathode Materials for Lithium–Sulfur Batteries. Front. Chem. 6:476. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2018.00476 Keywords: aerosol spray pyrolysis, nanocomposites, lithium-sulfur batteries, lithium sulfide, sulfurization

# INTRODUCTION

Lithium-sulfur (Li-S) batteries are regarded as one of the most promising electrochemical energy storage technologies due to their low cost, environmental benignity, and outstanding theoretical capacity (Wang et al., 2013; Son et al., 2015). However, despite tremendous research and development efforts, there are still a number of challenges hindering their commercialization. Among these key challenges are the polysulfides shuttle effect and high electrolyte/sulfur ratio, which are significantly magnified by the instability of the Li metal anode (Chen J. et al., 2017; Chen S. et al., 2017; Pan et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2018). Therefore, high capacity non-Li anodes, particularly those comprised of silicon-based materials, have been proposed as replacements for Li metal in Li-S batteries (Yang et al., 2010). The use of silicon anode materials would require a pre-lithiated sulfur cathode, i.e., lithium sulfide (Li<sub>2</sub>S). In recent years, various methods to synthesize Li<sub>2</sub>Scarbon composite materials have been reported, including high-energy mixing Li<sub>2</sub>S with carbon (Cai et al., 2012; Jha et al., 2015), chemical lithiation of S-C composites (Hwa et al., 2015), Li<sub>2</sub>S-C composites synthesis via dissolving and precipitating Li<sub>2</sub>S in ethanol (Wu et al., 2014a,b,c, 2015, 2016), embedding Li<sub>2</sub>S in carbon matrix via Li-nitrogen interaction (Guo et al., 2013), reaction between Li metal and carbon disulfide (Tan et al., 2017), converting LiOH to Li<sub>2</sub>S via sulfurization with H<sub>2</sub>S (Dressel et al., 2016), and thermal reduction of Li<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> by carbon (Yang et al., 2013; Kohl et al., 2015; Li et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017; Ye et al., 2018). In addition, the mechanism studies on Li<sub>2</sub>S activation and capacity degradation were also reported (Vizintin et al., 2017; Piwko et al., 2018). In this work, we report a new scalable method for synthesizing Li<sub>2</sub>S-C composites via aerosol spray pyrolysis (ASP) followed by sulfurization.

1

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

## **Materials Synthesis**

Three lithium salts including lithium nitrate (LiNO<sub>3</sub>), lithium acetate (CH<sub>3</sub>COOLi) and lithium carbonate (Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) were used as the precursors for Li<sub>2</sub>S with sucrose as the precursor for carbon. Each Li salt was dissolved in deionized water with sucrose at different concentrations as listed in **Table S1**. The obtained solutions were used in the ASP process.

The ASP system in this study is illustrated in **Figure S1**. The commercial aerosol generator (TSI, Model 3076) consisting of a nebulizer and a solution reservoir is attached to a diffusion dryer followed by a tubular furnace and a filter collector. The diffusion dryer was composed by two concentric tubes: The outer tube is made of 3-inch inner diameter PVC tubing and the inner tube is made of 0.5-inch diameter steel mesh with the annular space filled with porous silica gel. The aerosol of the precursor solution was generated by the nebulizer and carried through the diffusion dryer by argon gas to desiccate the water content. The resultant dry particles were continuously carried into the tube furnace heated at  $850^{\circ}$ C to produce the Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C nanoparticles, which are collected with a stainless-steel filter down stream outside the tube furnace.

The synthesized Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C composite is placed in an alumina boat in a tubular furnace, followed by purging with argon for an hour. The furnace was then heated to  $725^{\circ}$ C and maintained at this temperature for 5 h under a flow of 5 vol.% H<sub>2</sub>S and 95 vol.% argon. After 5 h the flow gas was switched to pure argon and the furnace was cooled naturally to room temperature. The product was collected in an argon-filled glovebox due to the sensitivity of Li<sub>2</sub>S to moisture.

# **Materials Characterization**

The nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms of the produced composite materials were obtained with a surface area and porosity analyzer (Micromeritics ASAP2020). For a particular analysis, approximately 200 mg sample was first degassed at 150°C for 3 h, then the nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms were measured from 0 to 1 relative pressure. The surface area was obtained with the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method. The crystalline species in the composites were characterized by powder X-Ray diffraction (XRD, PANalytical) with a CuKa source and a scan rate of  $0.11^{\circ}$  s<sup>-1</sup>. Kapton tape was used to seal the Li2S-C composites to protect Li2S from reacting with the moisture in ambient environment during measurement. The morphology and microstructure of the composites were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Tecnai T12). Carbon content in the Li2CO3-C composites was measured with thermogravimetric analysis (TGA, TA Instruments). The TGA samples were held at 120°C for 30 min to remove the moisture absorbed from environment, followed by heating to 600°C at a rate of 10°C min<sup>-1</sup> with an isothermal step in dry air. The carbon contents in Li2CO3-CNitS, Li2CO3-CAceS and Li2CO3-C<sub>CarS</sub> (Figure S2) are very consistent at 20.7, 22.8, and 21.2 wt.%, respectively. Assuming complete conversion from Li2CO3 to Li2S without carbon loss, the Li<sub>2</sub>S content in Li<sub>2</sub>S-C<sub>NitS</sub>, Li<sub>2</sub>S-C<sub>AceS</sub>

and Li<sub>2</sub>S-C<sub>CarS</sub> can be estimated as 70.4, 67.8, and 69.8 wt.%, respectively. The accurate Li<sub>2</sub>S content in the Li<sub>2</sub>S-C composites is determined as follows: 100 mg Li<sub>2</sub>S-C was thoroughly washed 4 times using 15 mL ethanol each time in the glovebox to remove Li<sub>2</sub>S. The obtained carbon was weighed after dried at 120°C for 8 h in the glovebox. The Li<sub>2</sub>S content is 71.3 wt.% in Li<sub>2</sub>S-C<sub>NitS</sub>, 69.1 wt.% in Li<sub>2</sub>S-C<sub>AceS</sub>, and 71.6 wt.% in Li<sub>2</sub>S-C<sub>CarS</sub>, which all agree very well with the estimated values.

# **Electrode Preparation and Cell Testing**

The electrode is composed of 80 wt.% of Li2S-C composite, 10 wt.% of carbon black additive, and 10 wt.% of polystyrene as the binder. Polystyrene was selected as the binder to avoid the use of polar solvents (both protic and aprotic), most of which dissolve Li<sub>2</sub>S to some extent. Instead, mesitylene (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as the solvent for polystyrene in the electrode slurry. The electrodes were coated on carboncoated aluminum current collector (MTI Corporation) in the argon-filled glovebox, with the average loading of Li2S-C composite at  $2 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$ . The electrodes were dried overnight in argon glovebox at room temperature, followed by drying at 120°C for 4 h. The dried electrodes are assembled into 2032-type coin cells with lithium foil anode (99.9%, Alfa Aesar) and Celgard<sup>®</sup> 2,500 separator. The electrolyte used in this study is 1M lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI) solution in a mixture of 1,3-dioxolane (DOL), dimethoxyethane (DME) and 1-butyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (Pyr14TFSI) (1:3:1 by vol.) with 1.5 wt.% of LiNO<sub>3</sub>. The electrolyte to Li<sub>2</sub>S ratio ( $\mu$ L/mg) was kept at 10 in all coin cells testing. To activate the Li<sub>2</sub>S-C electrode, the first anodic scan in the cyclic voltammetry (CV) was to 3.9 V vs. Li<sup>+</sup>/Li, and the anodic limit in the following scans was 2.6 V vs. Li<sup>+</sup>/Li. Similarly, the first charge was run at a rate of 50 mA  $g^{-1}$  (with respect to Li<sub>2</sub>S) to a charge cutoff of 3.5 V. Subsequent cycles are run at 117 mA  $g^{-1}$  between 2.6 V and 1.8 V vs. Li<sup>+</sup>/Li.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

During ASP synthesis, three aqueous solutions containing sucrose (as carbon precursor) and either lithium nitrate (LiNO<sub>3</sub>), lithium acetate (CH<sub>3</sub>COOLi), or lithium carbonate (Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>), denoted as NitS, AceS, and CarS, respectively, were atomized into aerosols with a pressure-enabled atomizer. The aerosols were subsequently carried by argon gas through a diffusion dryer and a tubular furnace for pyrolysis within an inert environment. The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns in Figure 1A clearly indicate that the obtained composites from all three lithium salts are Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C composite with comparable carbon content (20.7 wt.% in Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>NitS</sub>, 22.8 wt.% in Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>AceS</sub> and 21.2 wt.% in Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>CarS</sub> via thermalgravimetric analysis, Figure S2). It is worth noting that sucrose solution without the lithium salts (i.e., precursors of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) completely decomposes during the same ASP without any carbon formation. This observation reveals that Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> serves as the nucleation sites for carbonization of sucrose in ASP (Skrabalak and Suslick, 2006). However, the formation mechanisms of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> from these three Li salts are clearly



Li2S-CNitS

Li2S-CAceS

Li2S-CCarS

Li<sub>2</sub>S-JCPD Card



Li2CO3-CNitS

Li2CO3-CAceS

Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-CCarS

Li2CO3-JCPD Card

в

FIGURE 2 | TEM images of (a)  $Li_2CO_3-C_{NitS}$ , (b)  $Li_2CO_3-C_{AceS}$ , (c)  $Li_2CO_3-C_{CarS}$ ; TEM images of the carbon matrix of (d)  $Li_2CO_3-C_{NitS}$ , (e)  $Li_2CO_3-C_{AceS}$ , (f)  $Li_2CO_3-C_{CarS}$  after  $Li_2CO_3$  removed; and TEM images of (g)  $Li_2S-C_{NitS}$ , (h)  $Li_2S-C_{AceS}$ , (i)  $Li_2S-C_{CarS}$ .

3

Α

different. For LiNO<sub>3</sub>, its thermal decomposition is known to proceed according to **Reaction 1**: (Stern and Weise, 1969)

$$2LiNO_3 \rightarrow Li_2O + 2NO_x + (2.5 - x)O_2$$
 (1)

Based on the XRD evidence of  $Li_2CO_3$  with the absence of crystalline  $Li_2O$ , it can be speculated that carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) released from pyrolysis of sucrose further reacts with  $Li_2O$  to generate  $Li_2CO_3$  according to **Reaction 2**:

$$\mathrm{Li}_2\mathrm{O} + \mathrm{CO}_2 \to \mathrm{Li}_2\mathrm{CO}_3 \tag{2}$$

 $CH_3COOLi$  undergoes thermal decomposition to generate  $Li_2CO_3$  and acetone according to **Reaction 3**: (Roe and Finlay, 1952)

$$2CH_3COOLi \rightarrow Li_2CO_3 + C_3H_6O \tag{3}$$

For the CarS precursor, Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> undergoes precipitation during ASP without decomposition, thus becoming directly embedded into the carbon matrix formed by the carbonization of sucrose.

Although the obtained Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C composites have consistent composition and carbon content, they have distinctively different microstructures as displayed by the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images in Figure 2 (scanning electron microscopy images in Figure S3). The Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>NitS</sub> nanoparticles in Figure 2A have a hollow-shell structure with irregular-shaped interior voids due to the release of NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> gases during pyrolysis. The high solubility of LiNO<sub>3</sub> in water also contributes to the formation of this hollow structure. When water evaporates during ASP, LiNO<sub>3</sub> precipitates at the outer surface of the aerosol droplets following the surface precipitation mechanism (Messing et al., 1993). The microstructure of the Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>NitS</sub> nanoparticles is further revealed by the TEM image in Figure 2D, after the removal of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> using diluted hydrochloric acid (HCl). The carbon matrix of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>NitS</sub> has a highly porous structure after Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> removal, indicating that Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> occupies the majority of the volume in the Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>NitS</sub> nanoparticles. The specific surface area of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>NitS</sub> before and after Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> removal obtained from the nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms (Figure 3 and Table S2) is consistent with this observation: the specific surface area of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>NitS</sub> is significantly increased from 26.8 to 608.2 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> after Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> removal.

On the other hand, Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>AceS</sub> nanoparticles show a denser spherical structure in Figure 2B. It is worth noting that the AceS precursor solution has a significantly lower sucrose/lithium salt molar ratio at 1:15 compared to 1:1.5 in NitS and 1:1.18 in CarS. Given the 22.8 wt.% carbon content in Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>AceS</sub>, it is believed the generated acetone during the pyrolysis of CH<sub>3</sub>COOLi must function as the major source for carbon formation. The TEM image of the carbon matrix after Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> removal in Figure 2E reveals the distribution of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in the Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>AceS</sub> nanoparticles is not as uniform as in Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>NitS</sub>. The carbon matrix has a golf ball-like structure with relatively large pores, previously occupied by Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, distributed within. The specific surface area of  $Li_2CO_3$ - $C_{AceS}$  is 76.3 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, which increases to 184.9 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> after Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> removal. This modest increase of surface area also indicates the relatively larger size of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> compared to that of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>Nit</sub>S.

As shown in Figure 2C, the Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>CarS</sub> nanoparticles clearly have a different structure resembling crumpled spheres, which is due to the much lower solubility of Li2CO3 in water than those of LiNO3 and CH3COOLi. The concentration of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in the CarS precursor solution is 0.1 M, which is close to saturation (Zou et al., 2013). Therefore, Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> undergoes fast and uniform precipitation from the aerosol droplets' evaporation in ASP according to the volume precipitation mechanism (Messing et al., 1993). In addition, the ASP of CarS precursor also releases fewer gaseous species without decomposition of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. Both factors contribute to better confinement and more uniform distribution of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. After Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> removal, the carbon matrix retains its original structure with apparently higher porosity as shown in Figure 2F. The specific surface area of Li2CO3-CCarS nanoparticles is 43.7  $m^2$  g<sup>-1</sup>, which increases to 443.6  $m^2$  g<sup>-1</sup> after Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> removal.

The  $Li_2CO_3$ -C nanoparticles obtained *via* ASP were subsequently reacted with mixed hydrogen sulfide and argon gas (H<sub>2</sub>S/Ar at 5/95 vol.%) at 725°C to yield the Li<sub>2</sub>S-C composites



according to **Reaction 4**, confirmed by the XRD patterns shown in **Figure 1B**.

that these nanoparticles sustain their original structures after the conversion to  $Li_2S$  from  $Li_2CO_3$ .

$$Li_2CO_3 + H_2S \rightarrow Li_2S + H_2O + CO_2 \tag{4}$$

The TEM images of the Li<sub>2</sub>S-C composites in **Figures 2G-I** (scanning electron microscopy images in **Figure S4**) demonstrate

**Figure 4** shows the first three CV cycles of the Li<sub>2</sub>S-C vs. Li counter/reference electrode in two-electrode cells. The cathodic peak in the first delithiation scan of  $\text{Li}_2\text{S-C}_{\text{NitS}}$  is centered at 3.5 V with a small shoulder at 3.4 V. The Li<sub>2</sub>S-C<sub>AceS</sub> composite demonstrates a broader delithiation peak at the same potential.





FIGURE 5 | Representative charge-discharge curves of (A)  $Li_2S-C_{NitS}$ , (B)  $Li_2S-C_{AceS}$ , (C)  $Li_2S-C_{CarS}$  at the 1st, 2nd, 10th, 50th, and 100th cycle, and (D) the cycle stability of these composites at 117 mA g<sup>-1</sup>.

In contrast,  $Li_2S$ - $C_{CarS}$  shows two distinct cathodic peaks at 2.75 and 3.4 V vs. Li<sup>+</sup>/Li. The lower cathodic peak of the Li<sub>2</sub>S-C<sub>CarS</sub> composite at 2.75 V indicates a lower energy barrier for the delithiation reaction (Zhou et al., 2017). The Li2S-C<sub>CarS</sub> composite also demonstrates the highest peak current in the consecutive lithiation-delithiation scans. The superior performance of Li<sub>2</sub>S-C<sub>CarS</sub> may be reflective of the intimate contact of Li<sub>2</sub>S and the carbon matrix. Figure 5 displays the representative charge-discharge curves and the cycle stability of the Li2S-C composites. The electrolyte/Li2S ratio is 10:1 ( $\mu$ L/mg), and all Li<sub>2</sub>S-C composites are first charged to 3.5 V (activation) vs. Li<sup>+</sup>/Li with a current density of 50 mA g<sup>-1</sup>. The charge-discharge curves demonstrate similar cycling behavior of these three Li<sub>2</sub>S-C composites. However, Li<sub>2</sub>S-C<sub>AceS</sub> shows the highest charge-discharge hysteresis, which is consistent with the lowest surface area of its carbon matrix. On the other hand, although Li2S-CNitS shows the lowest voltage hysteresis due to the highest surface area of its carbon matrix, its capacity rapidly fades. As a composite with the balanced microstructure, Li<sub>2</sub>S-C<sub>CarS</sub> demonstrates the best overall performance: After 200 cycles,  $Li_2S$ -C<sub>CarS</sub> can retain a capacity of 540 mAh g<sup>-1</sup>, superior to 385 mAh  $g^{-1}$  of Li<sub>2</sub>S-C<sub>NitS</sub> and 460 mAh  $g^{-1}$ of Li<sub>2</sub>S-C<sub>AceS</sub>, indicating the effectiveness of the Li<sub>2</sub>S-C<sub>CarS</sub> composite architecture in sequestrating polysulfides. The overall performance demonstrated by Li2S-CCarS, in terms of areal loading, E/Li<sub>2</sub>S ratio, overall capacity, and cycle stability, is on par with the best performance reported to date (Table S3).

In summary, we examined a new synthetic route for the production of  $Li_2S-C$  composite materials for Li-S batteries. The combination of aerosol spray pyrolysis and

## REFERENCES

- Cai, K., Song, M., Cairns, J. E., Zhang, Y. (2012). Nanostructured Li<sub>2</sub>S-C composites as cathode material for high-energy Lithium/Sulfur batteries. *Nano Lett.* 12, 6474–6479. doi: 10.1021/nl30 3965a
- Chen, J., Henderson, W. A., Pan, H., Perdue, R. B., Cao, R., Hu, J. Z., et al. (2017). Improving Lithium–Sulfur battery performance under lean electrolyte through nanoscale confinement in soft swellable gels. *Nano Lett.* 17, 3061–3067. doi: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.7b00417
- Chen, S., Gao, Y., Yu, Z., Gordin, L. M., Song, J., and Wang, D. (2017). High capacity of Lithium-Sulfur batteries at low electrolyte/Sulfur ratio enabled by an Organosulfide containing electrolyte. *Nano Energy* 31, 418–423. doi: 10.1016/j.nanoen.2016.11.057
- Dressel, B. C., Jha, H., Eberle, A., Gasteiger, A. H., and Fassler, F. T. (2016). Electrochemical performance of Lithium-Sulfur batteries based on a Sulfur cathode obtained by H<sub>2</sub>S gas treatment of a Lithium salt. *J. Power Sour.* 307, 844–848. doi: 10.1016/j.jpowsour.2015.12.140
- Guo, J., Yang, Z., Yu, Y., Abruña, H. D., and Archer, L. A. (2013). Lithium–Sulfur battery cathode enabled by Lithium–Nitrile interaction. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135, 763–767. doi: 10.1021/ja309435f
- Hwa, Y., Zhao, J., and Cairns, E. J. (2015)Lithium Sulfide (Li2S)/Graphene Oxide nanospheres with conformal carbon coating as a high-rate, long-life cathode for Li/S cells. *Nano Lett.* 15, 3479–3486. doi: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b00820
- Jha, H., Buchberger, I., Cui, X., Meini, S., and Gasteiger, H. A. (2015). Li-S batteries with Li2S cathodes and Si/C anodes. J. Electochem. Soc. 162, 1829–1835. doi: 10.1149/2.0681509jes

sulfurization has been shown to be a robust method for the conversion of various lithium salts including nitrate, acetate, and carbonate to Li<sub>2</sub>S-C nanocomposites using sucrose as the carbon precursor. Furthermore, the cycling performance of the Li<sub>2</sub>S-C composite has been found to be closely correlated to its precursor-derived microstructure. The combination of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and sucrose results in the Li<sub>2</sub>S-C composite with the best electrochemical performance, which has a non-hollow composite structure with Li<sub>2</sub>S uniformly embedded in the carbon matrix. The detailed mechanism of aerosol spray pyrolysis and the optimization of the composite's structure and electrochemical performance will be further investigated in our future studies.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

NH completed most of the experiments. JS, JZ, and CF helped with the experiments and data analysis. JG designed the experiments. All authors co-wrote the manuscript.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support from Power Energy Solutions Inc.

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem. 2018.00476/full#supplementary-material

- Kohl, M., Bruckner, J., Bauer, I., Althues, H., and Kaskel, S. (2015). Synthesis of highly electrochemically active Li2S nanoparticles for Lithium–Sulfur-batteries. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 3, 16307–16312. doi: 10.1039/C5TA04504E
- Li, Z., Zhang, S., Zhang, C., Ueno, K., Yasuda, T., Tatara, R., et al. (2015). Onepot pyrolysis of Lithium Sulfate and graphene nanoplatelet aggregates: *in situ* formed Li2S/ graphene composite for Lithium–Sulfur batteries. *Nanoscale* 7, 14385–14392. doi: 10.1039/C5NR03201F
- Messing, G., Zhang, S., and Jayanthi, G. (1993). Ceramic powder synthesis by spray pyrolysis. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 76, 2707–2726.
- Pan, H., Han, S. K., Engelhard, H. M., Cao, R., Chen, J., Zhang, J., et al. (2018). Addressing passivation in Lithium–Sulfur battery under lean electrolyte condition. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 28:1707234. doi: 10.1002/adfm.201707234
- Piwko, M., Weller, C., Hippauf, F., Dorfler, S., Althues, H., and Kaskel, S. (2018). Symmetric Lithium Sulfide-Sulfur cells: a method to study degradation mechanisms of cathode, separator and electrolyte concepts for Lithium-Sulfur batteries. J. Electrochem. Soc. 165, A1084–A1091. doi: 10.1149/2.1131805jes
- Roe, A., and Finlay, J. B. (1952). The isotope effect II, pyrolysis of Lithium Acetate 1-C14. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 74, 2442–2443.
- Skrabalak, S. E., and Suslick, K. S. (2006). Porous carbon powders prepared by ultrasonic spray pyrolysis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128, 12642–12643. doi: 10.1021/ja064899h
- Son, Y., Son, Y., Lee, J., Jang, J., and Cho, J. (2015). Recent advances in Lithium Sulfide cathode materials and their use in Lithium Sulfur batteries. *Adv. Energy Mat.* 5:1500110. doi: 10.1002/aenm.201500110
- Stern, K. H., and Weise, E. L. (1969). High Temperature Properties and Decomposition of Inorganic Salts; Part 2. Carbonates. Washington, DC: United States Dept of Commerce.

- Tan, G., Xu, R., Xing, Z., Yuan, Y., Lu, J., Wen, J., et al. (2017). Burning lithium in CS2 for high-performing compact Li2 S-graphene nanocapsules for Li–S batteries. *Nat. Energy* 2:17090. doi: 10.1038/nenergy.2017.90
- Vizintin, A., Chabanne, L., Tchernychova, E., Arcon, I., Stievano, L., Aquilanti, G., et al. (2017). The mechanism of Li<sub>2</sub>S activation in Lithium-sulfur batteries: can we avoid the Polysulfide formation? *J. Power Sour.* 344, 208–217. doi: 10.1016/j.jpowsour.2017.01.112
- Wang, D., Zeng, Q., Zhou, G., Yin, L., Li, F., Cheng, H., et al. (2013). Carbon-Sulfur composites for Li-S batteries: status and prospects. J. Mat. Chem. A 1, 9382–9394. doi: 10.1039/C3TA11045A
- Wu, F., Kim, H., Magasinski, A., Lee, J., Lin, H., and Yushin, G. (2014a). Harnessing steric separation of freshly nucleated Li2S nanoparticles for bottomup assembly of high-performance cathodes for Lithium-Sulfur and Lithium-Ion batteries. Adv. Energy Mater. 4:1400196. doi: 10.1002/aenm.201400196
- Wu, F., Lee, J., Magasinski, A., Kim, H., and Yushin, G. (2014b). Solutionbased processing of graphene-Li2S composite cathodes for Lithium-Ion and Lithium-Sulfur batteries. *Part. Part. Syst. Charact.* 31, 639–644. doi: 10.1002/ppsc.201300358
- Wu, F., Lee, J., Zhao, E., Zhang, B., and Yushin, G. (2016). Graphene–Li2S– carbon nanocomposite for Lithium–Sulfur batteries. ACS Nano 10, 1333–1340. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.5b06716
- Wu, F., Lee, J. E., Fan, F., Nitta, N., Kim, H., Zhu, T., et al. (2015). A hierarchical particle-shell architecture for long-term cycle stability of Li2S cathodes. *Adv. Mater.* 27, 5579–5586. doi: 10.1002/adma.201502289
- Wu, F., Magasinski, A., and Yushin, G. (2014c). Nanoporous Li2S and MWCNTlinked Li2S powder cathodes for Lithium-Sulfur and Lithium-ion battery chemistries. J. Mater. Chem. A 2, 6064–6070. doi: 10.1039/C3TA14161F
- Wu, S. D., Shi, F., Zhou, G., Zu, C., Liu, C., Liu, K., et al. (2018). Quantitative investigation of Polysulfide adsorption capability of candidate materials for Li-S batteries. *Energy Storage Mater.* 13, 241–246. doi: 10.1016/j.ensm.2018.01.020
- Yang, Y., McDowell, M. T., Jackson, A., Cha, J. J., Hong, S. S., and Cui, Y. (2010). New nanostructured Li2S/Silicon rechargeable battery with high specific energy. *Nano Lett.* 10, 1486–1491. doi: 10.1021/nl100504q

- Yang, Z., Guo, J., Das, K. S., Yu, Y., Zhou, Z., Abruna, D. H., et al. (2013). *In situ* synthesis of Lithium Sulfide–carbon composites as cathode materials for rechargeable Lithium batteries. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 1, 1433–1440. doi: 10.1039/C2TA00779G
- Ye, F., Noh, H., Lee, J., Lee, H., and Kim, H. (2018). Li<sub>2</sub>S/carbon nanocomposite strips from a low-temperature conversion of Li<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> as high-performance Lithium-Sulfur cathodes. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 6, 6617–6624. doi: 10.1039/C8TA00515J
- Yu, M., Wang, Z., Wang, Y., Dong, Y., and Qiu, J. (2017). Freestanding flexible Li2S paper electrode with high mass and capacity loading for high-energy Li-S batteries. Adv. Energy Mater. 7:1700018. doi: 10.1002/aenm.201700018
- Zhang, J., Shi, Y., Ding, Y., Peng, L., Zhang, W., and Yu, G. (2017). A conductive molecular framework derived Li2S/N,P-codoped carbon cathode for advanced Lithium–Sulfur Batteries. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 7:1602876. doi:10.1002/aenm.201602876
- Zhou, G., Tian, H., Jin, Y., Tao, X., Liu, B., Zhang, R., et al. (2017). Catalytic oxidation of Li2S on the surface of metal Sulfides for Li–S batteries. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 114, 840–845. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1615837114
- Zou, H., Gratz, E., Apelian, D., and Wang, Y. (2013). A novel method to recycle mixed cathode materials for Lithium Ion batteries. *Green Chem.* 15, 1183–1191. doi: 10.1039/C3GC40182K

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Hart, Shi, Zhang, Fu and Guo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.