#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Muhammad Bilal Abid, Medical College of Wisconsin, United States

#### REVIEWED BY

Angelo Castello, IRCCS Ca 'Granda Foundation Maggiore Policlinico Hospital, Italy Kgomotso Mokoala, University of Pretoria, South Africa

\*CORRESPONDENCE Zairong Gao gaobonn@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Hematologic Malignancies, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

RECEIVED 13 May 2022 ACCEPTED 06 July 2022 PUBLISHED 28 July 2022

#### CITATION

Li H, Wang M, Zhang Y, Hu F, Wang K, Wang C and Gao Z (2022) Prediction of prognosis and pathologic grade in follicular lymphoma using <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT. *Front. Oncol.* 12:943151. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.943151

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Li, Wang, Zhang, Hu, Wang, Wang and Gao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Prediction of prognosis and pathologic grade in follicular lymphoma using <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT

# Hongyan Li<sup>1,2†</sup>, Min Wang<sup>1,2†</sup>, Yajing Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, Fan Hu<sup>1,2</sup>, Kun Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Chenyang Wang<sup>1,2</sup> and Zairong Gao<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nuclear Medicine, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, <sup>2</sup>Hubei Province Key Laboratory of Molecular Imaging, Wuhan, China

**Purpose:** We investigated the utility of a new baseline PET parameter expressing lesion dissemination and metabolic parameters for predicting progression-free survival (PFS) and pathologic grade in follicular lymphoma (FL).

**Methods:** The baseline <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT images of 126 patients with grade 1– 3A FL were retrospectively analyzed. A novel PET/CT parameter characterizing lesion dissemination, the distance between two lesions that were furthest apart ( $D_{max}$ ), was calculated. The total metabolic tumor volume and total lesion glycolysis (TLG) were computed by using 41% of the maximum standardized uptake value (SUV<sub>max</sub>) thresholding method.

Results: The 5-year PFS rate was 51.9% for all patients. In the multivariate analysis, high  $D_{max}$  [P = 0.046; hazard ratio (HR) = 2.877], high TLG (P = 0.004; HR = 3.612), and elevated serum lactate dehydrogenase (P = 0.041; HR = 2.287) were independent predictors of PFS. A scoring system for prognostic stratification was established based on these three adverse factors, and the patients were classified into three risk categories: low risk (zero to one factor, n = 75), intermediate risk (two adverse factors, n = 29), and high risk (three adverse factors, n = 22). Patients in the high-risk group had a shorter 3-year PFS (21.7%) than those in the low- and intermediate-risk groups (90.6 and 44.6%, respectively) (P < 0.001). The C-index of our scoring system for PFS (0.785) was superior to the predictive capability of the Follicular Lymphoma International Prognostic Index (FLIPI), FLIPI2, and PRIMA-Prognostic Index (C-index: 0.628-0.701). The receiver operating characteristic curves and decision curve analysis demonstrated that the scoring system had better differentiation and clinical utility than these existing indices. In addition, the median SUV<sub>max</sub> was significantly higher in grade 3A (36 cases) than in grades 1 and 2 FL (90 cases) (median: 13.63 vs. 11.45, P = 0.013), but a substantial overlap existed (range: 2.25-39.62 vs. 3.17-39.80).

**Conclusion:** TLG and  $D_{max}$  represent two complementary aspects of the disease, capturing the tumor burden and lesion dissemination. TLG and  $D_{max}$  are promising metrics for identifying patients at a high risk of progression or

relapse. Additionally, SUV<sub>max</sub> seems to have some value for distinguishing grade 3A from low-grade FL but cannot substitute for biopsy.

KEYWORDS

follicular lymphoma, <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT, prognosis, pathologic grade, TLG

## Introduction

Follicular lymphoma (FL) is one of most frequent subtypes of non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) in the United States and Western Europe, accounting for around 22% of all NHLs. In China, the incidence of FL is lower than in Western countries, constituting 2.5-6.6% of all NHL cases (1, 2). The World Health Organization (WHO) classifies FL into grades 1 and 2, 3A, and 3B (3). Grades 1 and 2 are considered as indolent (slowgrowing), whereas grade 3B has an aggressive course and is managed as diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) (4, 5). However, the optimal treatment for grade 3A FL is controversial (6, 7). Grade 3A FL has been suggested to be on the same continuum as grades 1 and 2 (8), and the contrasting findings revealed that gene expression profiling demonstrates a close relationship between FL 3A and 3B, but distinct from grades 1 and 2 (9). Prognostic models based on histologic grade and other factors have indicated that grade 3A is associated with a poor prognosis (10, 11). However, histologic grade (grades 1 and 2 versus grade 3A) arguably does not predict the disease outcome (12, 13). Nonetheless, FL grade plays an important role in treatment choice. An accurate assessment of histologic grade is challenging because of the heterogeneity of the disease and high inter-reader variability (14).

Although the survival of FL patients has markedly improved since the introduction of rituximab combined with chemotherapy, 20% of patients experience disease recurrence within 2 years, with a 5-year overall survival (OS) of just 50% (15, 16). The most common prognostic indices in current use, including the Follicular Lymphoma International Prognostic Index (FLIPI) (17) and FLIPI2 (18), cannot accurately identify patients who are at a high risk of progression or relapse (11, 19). PRIMA-Prognostic Index (PRIMA-PI) (20), a simplified scoring system including  $\beta$ 2-MG ( $\beta$ 2-microglobulin) and bone marrow involvement, has recently been proposed for patients treated with immunochemotherapy, but its development time is short, and the accuracy of bone marrow biopsy is still insufficient (21), so its application value still needs further validation.

<sup>18</sup>F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/ computed tomography (<sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT) is recommended for FL staging and treatment response monitoring (22, 23). Total metabolic tumor volume (TMTV) and total lesion

glycolysis (TLG) are new PET/CT metabolic parameters reflecting whole-body tumor burden that are becoming increasingly important for the prognostic assessment of lymphomas (24, 25). High baseline TMTV or TLG is associated with a significantly shorter progression-free survival (PFS) or OS in FL patients and has improved risk stratification (26-29). However, these metabolic parameters do not provide information on the spatial distribution of lesions throughout the body. The distance between two lesions that are the furthest apart  $(D_{\text{max}})$  has been recommended as a novel PET metric for describing tumor dissemination in DLBCL patients. A high  $D_{max}$ has been linked to an unfavorable prognosis and was shown to complement the prognostic performance of TMTV in advancedstage DLBCL (30). We conjecture that the prognostic value of the metabolic parameters in FL might be improved by combining with the feature characterizing lesion dissemination.

Given the challenges of histological grading of FL, Major et al. (31) have focused on whether PET/CT can be used as an adjunct to biopsy grading of FL. The results showed that the maximum standardized uptake (SUV<sub>max</sub>), TMTV, and TLG were capable of differentiating grade 3A from low-grade (grade 1/2) FL, although this was based on a small number of cases, especially in the grade 3A group (11 patients).

Hence, the purpose of this study was to explore whether the new metric reflecting tumor dissemination and metabolic parameters derived from the baseline <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT can be used to predict prognosis and histologic grade in patients with grades 1–3A FL.

# Materials and methods

#### Patients

This study was approved by the institutional ethics board, and written informed consent was waived because of the retrospective nature.

We carried out a retrospective review of 126 FL patients undergoing <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT scanning before treatment between February 2013 and December 2020. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age >18 years and (2) histologic diagnosis of grades 1–3A FL according to the WHO classification (3). The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients with other malignant tumors, (2) elevated fasting blood glucose level ( $\geq 200 \text{ mg/dl}$ ), and (3) histologically confirmed grade IIIB FL or concurrent DLBCL.

# PET/CT scanning

PET/CT scanning was performed using the Discovery VCT system (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA). Whole-body PET/CT scans (from the skull base to the upper thighs) were performed approximately 60 min after an intravenous injection of 3.7–4.4 MBq/kg <sup>18</sup>F-FDG. CT data were used for attenuation correction, and corrected PET images were reconstructed using an ordered-subset expectation maximization iterative reconstruction algorithm. The CT and PET images were merged.

### Image analysis

PET/CT image data in DICOM format were used for functional parameter measurements using the AW workstation (AW4.6; GE Healthcare). The images were analyzed by two experienced nuclear medicine physicians. The highest <sup>18</sup>F-FDG uptake in lesions was regarded as the SUV<sub>max</sub> of the patient. MTV was delineated using the 41%  $\mathrm{SUV}_{\mathrm{max}}$  threshold method as recommended by the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (32). TMTV was defined as the sum of MTVs of all lesions. TLG was calculated as the sum of the product of MTV and SUV<sub>mean</sub> of every individual lesion. Bone marrow involvement was considered in volume measurement only if there was focal uptake. Spleen was considered as involved if there was focal uptake or diffuse uptake higher than 150% of the liver background (26, 33). The site of the lesion's SUV<sub>max</sub> was regarded as the lesion's position.  $D_{\text{max}}$  was calculated as the distance between two lesions that were furthest apart (30, 34). If the patient had only one lesion, the  $D_{\text{max}}$  value was denoted as 0 cm.

### Statistical analysis

The R software (version 3.6.2, https://www.r-project.org) was used for statistical analysis. A *P*-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All continuous variables are reported as mean  $\pm$  SD or median when appropriate, and categorical variables are expressed as numbers and percentages. Differences in continuous variables were evaluated with the independent *t*-test or Mann–Whitney *U*-test, and categorical data were compared with the  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher's exact test.

PFS was calculated as the time interval from initial diagnosis until disease relapse, progression, death, or the last follow-up. The X-tile software (version 3.6.1, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA) was used to identify the optimal cutoff values for PET/ CT parameters (35). The survival curves for PFS were plotted using the Kaplan–Meier method. Variables with P < 0.05 in the univariate Cox analysis were included in the multivariate Cox regression model. A novel scoring system was established based on the number of risk factors for PFS. Harrell's concordance index (C-index) was used to evaluate the performance of the model. A calibration plot comparing the relationship between the predicted and observed probabilities was used to assess the performance of the predictive model. The C-index, survival receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves, and decision curve analysis (DCA) were used to compare the model's predictive and discriminatory performance with that of FLIPI, FLIPI2, and PRIMA-PI.

To determine the optimal cutoff values of  $SUV_{max}$  and the platelet count for predicting the pathologic grade, ROC curves were plotted using MedCalc (Version 20.009). Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to identify factors that could predict the pathologic grade.

## Results

## Patient characteristics

The baseline clinical characteristics of the 126 patients (median age: 53 years, range: 21–76 years) are shown in Table 1. There were 90 patients with low grade and 36 with grade 3A disease. The patients were treated with rituximab plus cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone

TABLE 1 Characteristics of follicular lymphoma patients.

Characteristic	Number %
Age (years)	
<60	88 69.8
≥60	38 30.2
Gender	
Female	63 50.0
Male	63 50.0
Height (mean ± SD)	165.4 ± 8.2
B symptoms	
Absence	111 88.1
Presence	15 11.9
Histologic grade	
1 to 2	90 71.4
3A	36 28.6
Bone marrow involvement	
Absence	65 51.6
Presence	61 48.4

(Continued)

TABLE 1	Continued
---------	-----------

Characteristic

### Number %

Ann Arbor stage	
I to II	22 17.5
III to IV	104 82.5
Number of nodal sites	
0-4	46 36.5
>4	80 63.5
LodLIN (cm)	
≤6	92 73.0
>6	34 27.0
Hemoglobin level (g/dl)	
≥12	81 64.3
<12	45 35.7
Platelet count	
$\geq 150 \times 10^9/L$	92 73.0
$<150 \times 10^{9}/L$	34 27.0
Serum LDH	
Normal	99 78.6
Elevated	27 21.4
β2-microglobulin	
Normal	85 67.5
Elevated	41 32.5
FLIPI	
Low risk	27 21.4
Intermediate risk	48 38.1
High risk	51 40.5
FLIP2	
Low risk	26 20.6
Intermediate risk	65 51.6
High risk	35 27.8
PRIMA-PI	
Low risk	52 41.3
Intermediate risk	33 26.2
High risk	41 32.5

LodLIN, longest diameter of the largest node; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; FLIPI, Follicular Lymphoma International Prognostic Index; PRIMA-PI, PRIMA-Prognostic Index.

(R-CHOP; n = 76); rituximab plus bendamustine (n = 14); CHOP (n = 9); rituximab plus cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin liposome, vincristine, and prednisone (n = 5); rituximab plus cyclophosphamide, vincristine, and prednisone (n = 4); rituximab plus fludarabine (n = 3); rituximab plus lenalidomide (n = 2); rituximab alone (n = 2); radiotherapy only (n = 2); and by the watch-and-wait approach (n = 9). After a median follow-up of 41 months (range: 1–102 months), 27 patients had a progressive disease but were alive, 15 patients died of this disease, and 84 patients were in complete remission or had a stable disease. The 5-year PFS rate for all patients was 51.9%.

## Prognostic factors of PFS

The optimal cutoff values of SUV<sub>max</sub>, TMTV, TLG, and  $D_{max}$ for PFS were 17.60, 408.72 cm<sup>3</sup>, 1446.98, and 56.73 cm, respectively. The univariate analysis showed that increased LDH level, increased β2-MG level, Hb <12 g/dl, SUV<sub>max</sub> >17.60, TMTV >408.72 cm<sup>3</sup>, TLG >1,446.98, and  $D_{max}$  >56.73 *cm* were associated with a significantly shorter PFS. The Kaplan–Meier curves and the univariate analysis results are presented in Figure 1 and Table 2.

A high  $D_{\text{max}}$  was associated with a significantly shorter PFS [hazard ratio (HR) = 6.344, 95% confidence interval (95% CI) = 2.484–16.200, P < 0.001]; the 5-year PFS was 67.1% in the low- $D_{\text{max}}$  group and 39.1% in the high- $D_{\text{max}}$  group. The univariate analysis showed that Ann Arbor stage had no significant effect on PFS (P = 0.116). In a subgroup analysis of patients with stage III/IV disease,  $D_{\text{max}}$  remained a prognostic factor for PFS (P < 0.001). The results indicate that  $D_{\text{max}}$  has a strong predictive power for PFS, which was better than that of Ann Arbor stage. In addition, there was no significant differences in height between the low- and high- $D_{\text{max}}$  groups.

The C-index of TLG was 0.737, which was higher than TMTV (C-index = 0.681; P = 0.076) and SUV<sub>max</sub> (C-index = 0.614; P = 0.006). These three metabolic parameters were entered into the multivariate Cox regression model, respectively (Table 3). The independent risk factors for PFS were  $D_{\text{max}}$  (HR = 3.511, P = 0.014), SUV<sub>max</sub> (HR = 2.143, P = 0.030), and  $\beta$ 2-MG (HR = 2.622, P = 0.017) in the SUV<sub>max</sub> model;  $D_{\text{max}}$  (HR = 3.798, P = 0.009) and LDH (HR = 2.223, P = 0.045) in the TMTV model; and  $D_{\text{max}}$  (HR = 2.877, P = 0.046), TLG (HR = 3.612, P = 0.004), and LDH (HR = 2.287, P = 0.041) in the TLG model.

### Prognostic stratification for PFS

According to the results of the univariate and multivariate analyses,  $D_{\text{maxo}}$  TLG, and LDH were used to construct a scoring system for prognostic stratification. A novel scoring system was established based on the number of risk factors, and the patients were classified into three risk categories: low risk (zero to one adverse factor, n = 75), intermediate risk (two adverse factors, n = 29), and high risk (three adverse factors, n = 22). Examples of the three subgroups using maximal intensity projection on PET/CT images are shown in Figure 2.

Patients in the high-risk group had a shorter 3-year PFS (21.7%) than those in the low- and intermediate-risk groups (90.6 and 44.6%, respectively) (P < 0.001, Figure 3). The C-index for PFS of the scoring system was 0.785. The calibration plots for the 3- and 5-year PFS showed a good concordance between the predicted and the actual outcomes (Figure 4).



# Predictive accuracy for PFS of different prognostic scoring systems

FLIPI, FLIPI2, and PRIMA-PI showed a good performance in stratifying low- and high-risk patients according to PFS (Figure 5 and Table 2). However, the three indices did not effectively discriminate between the intermediate- and low-risk patient groups (P > 0.05), and FLIPI2 did not show a good performance for discriminating between the intermediate- and high-risk groups (P > 0.05).

The C-index of our scoring system for PFS was 0.785, which was significantly higher than that of FLIPI (0.650, P < 0.001), FLIPI2 (0.628, P < 0.001), and PRIMA-PI (0.701, P = 0.022) (Table 4). Similarly, the area under the curve (AUC) of our scoring system was higher than that of FLIPI, FLIPI2, and

PRIMA-PI (Figures 6A, B). The DCA showed that our scoring system had better clinical utility than the three existing prognostic indices (Figures 6C, D).

# New scoring system for patients receiving immunochemotherapy

In the whole cohort, 82.5% of patients (104/126) received immunochemotherapy. The multivariate Cox regression model for this population is summarized in Supplementary Table S1. These patients were divided into three risk subgroups according to our scoring system, and the Kaplan–Meier analysis showed that our model could discriminate among the three subgroups (Supplementary Figure S1). The C-index of the scoring system TABLE 2 Variables associated with progression-free survival (PFS) in the univariate analysis.

	PFS		
Variables	HR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	
Age (≥60 vs. <60)	1.158 (0.614–2.185)	0.651	
Gender (male vs. female)	1.428 (0.776-2.626)	0.252	
B symptoms (presence vs. <i>a</i> bsence)	0.891 (0.347-2.289)	0.810	
Histologic grade (3A vs. 1 to 2)	1.375 (0.724–2.613)	0.331	
Bone marrow involvement (presence vs. absence)	1.430 (0.778–2.629)	0.249	
Ann Arbor stage (III to IV vs. I to II)	2.124 (0.831-5.428)	0.116	
LDH (normal vs. elevated)	4.861 (2.495-9.472)	<0.001	
β2-MG (normal vs. elevated)	4.009 (2.167–7.418)	<0.001	
Hb (g/dl) (<12 vs. ≥12)	1.863 (1.017-3.416)	0.044	
Platelet count (<150 × 10 <sup>9</sup> /L vs. $\geq$ 150 × 10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	1.747 (0.927-3.291)	0.081	
Number of nodal sites (>4 vs. 0-4)	1.583 (0.820-3.058)	0.171	
LodLIN (cm) (>6 vs. ≤6)	1.702 (0.880-3.290)	0.114	
$SUV_{max}$ (>17.60 vs. ≤17.60)	2.983 (1.566-5.681)	<0.001	
TMTV (>408.72 cm <sup>3</sup> vs. $\leq$ 408.72 cm <sup>3</sup> )	4.622 (2.450-8.717)	<0.001	
TLG (>1,446.98 vs. ≤1,446.98)	6.736 (3.324–13.650)	<0.001	
D <sub>max</sub> (>56.73 cm vs. ≤56.73 cm)	6.344 (2.484–16.200)	<0.001	
FLIPI		0.011	
Low risk	Reference		
Intermediate risk	1.330 (0.498-3.552)	0.570	
High risk	2.966 (1.207-7.289)	0.018	
FLIP2		0.052	
Low risk	Reference		
Intermediate risk	1.934 (0.729–5.136)	0.185	
High risk	3.182 (1.164-8.702)	0.024	
PRIMA-PI		<0.001	
Low risk	Reference		
Intermediate risk	1.416 (0.568–3.530)	0.455	
High risk	3.939 (1.911-8.120)	<0.001	

LodLIN, longest diameter of the largest node; Hb, hemoglobin; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase;  $\beta$ 2-MG,  $\beta$ 2-microglobulin; SUV, standardized uptake value; TMTV, total metabolic tumor volume; TLG, total lesion glycolysis;  $D_{max}$  the largest distance between two lesions; FLIPI, Follicular Lymphoma International Prognostic Index; PRIMA-PI, PRIMA-Prognostic Index.

TABLE 3 Multivariate analysis of variables predictive of PFS.

	Including SUV <sub>max</sub>		Including TMTV		Including TLG	
Variables	HR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	HR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	HR (95% CI)	P-value
LDH	_	0.123	2.223 (1.019-4.847)	0.045	2.287 (1.036-5.052)	0.041
β2-MG	2.622 (1.186-5.798)	0.017	_	0.509	_	0.686
Hb	_	0.624	_	0.577	_	0.671
$D_{\max}$	3.511 (1.285-9.594)	0.014	3.798 (1.396-10.339)	0.009	2.877 (1.021-8.103)	0.046
SUV <sub>max</sub>	2.143 (1.064-4.317)	0.030				
TMTV			—	0.095		
TLG					3.612 (1.525-8.559)	0.004

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; PFS, progression-free survival; SUV<sub>max</sub>, maximum standardized uptake value; TMTV, total metabolic tumor volume; TLG, total lesion glycolysis; Hb, Hemoglobin; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase;  $\beta$ 2-MG,  $\beta$ 2-microglobulin; D<sub>max</sub>, the largest distance between two lesions.



for PFS in the immunochemotherapy group was 0.774, which was higher than that of FLIPI (0.622), FLIPI2 (0.617), and PRIMA-PI (0.685).

# PET/CT parameters for predicting FL grade

The high-grade group showed a higher serum LDH level and a higher SUV<sub>max</sub> than that in the low-grade group (P = 0.011, 0.013). Besides these, the low-grade group showed a higher

platelet count than that in the high-grade group (P = 0.038) (Table 5 and Supplementary Figure S2). In contrast, no significant differences were found in TMTV, TLG,  $D_{\text{max}}$ , and other clinical indexes (all P > 0.05).

Although the median SUV<sub>max</sub> was higher in grade 3A FL than that in grades 1 and 2 (median: 13.63 vs. 11.45). There was an extensive overlap in SUV<sub>max</sub> between the high- and low-grade groups (range: 2.25–39.62 vs. 3.17–39.80). The ROC curve analysis showed that an SUV<sub>max</sub> of 17.38 was the optimal cutoff value (AUC: 0.642, sensitivity: 41.7%, specificity: 91.1%, PPV: 65.2%, and NPV: 79.6%; P = 0.020). The optimal cutoff





value of platelet count was  $154 \times 10^{9}$ /L (AUC: 0.619, sensitivity: 50.0%, specificity: 74.4%, PPV: 43.9%, and NPV: 78.8%; *P* = 0.035). The multivariate analysis identified SUV<sub>max</sub> (*P* = 0.001) and platelet count (*P* = 0.017) as independent predictors of FL pathologic grade (Supplementary Table S2).

## Discussion

Identifying FL patients at a high risk of disease progression or recurrence and those with a high pathologic grade is essential for effective clinical management. Our study demonstrated that baseline <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT values are useful for predicting PFS in FL. In the multivariate analysis,  $D_{max}$ , TLG, and LDH were independent predictors of PFS. A novel scoring system for predicting PFS, which incorporated a new baseline PET parameter  $D_{max}$ , reflecting lesion dissemination, along with the metabolic parameter TLG and serum LDH showed superior performance to FLIPI, FLIPI2, and PRIMA-PI. In addition, this study found that SUV<sub>max</sub> was related to pathological grading, and PET/CT could be used as an auxiliary tool but cannot substitute for biopsy.

<sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT provides important information about tumor burden. In the present study, baseline TLG was the most robust predictor of outcome in FL patients, whereas the predictive value of TMTV was limited. Consistent with our observations, a retrospective study found that TLG, rather than TMTV, was the independent prognostic factor for FL patient survival (27). A multicenter study reported that TMTV was a robust predictor of outcome in FL, and combining TMTV with FLIPI2 score showed a good performance in identifying patients at a high risk of early progression; however, these investigators did not explore TLG (26). Another study found that both TMTV and TLG were strong predictors of PFS and OS in FL (28). The discrepancy between these reports may be explained by the different cutoff values and thresholding methods used for TMTV or TLG, differences in the distribution of risk groups, and heterogeneity of the treatment strategies.

In this study, we used a new feature of PET/CT images,  $D_{\text{max}}$  to represent disease dissemination.  $D_{\text{max}}$  is a three-dimensional feature, which is easily measured and not influenced by the patient's height. Unlike radiomic features, which are difficult to interpret from a biological perspective,  $D_{\text{max}}$  is a measure of the extent of the disease.  $D_{\text{max}}$  had a strong predictive power for PFS, which was better than that of the Ann Arbor stage, even among patients with advanced-stage FL. In line with our results, a high  $D_{\text{max}}$  predicted a shorter PFS and OS in DLBCL patients (30, 34). It has also been reported that a high  $D_{\text{max}}$  is a poor prognostic factor of HL (36). Unlike TMTV or TLG, which are derived from lesion contours,  $D_{\text{max}}$  is calculated as the distance between two lesions that were furthest apart. Most importantly, the measurements of  $D_{\text{max}}$  appeared to have good reproducibility and is thus broadly applicable.

Given the limitations of FLIPI and FLIPI2, other prognostic models for survival have been developed based on genomic or imaging data (19, 26, 29, 37, 38). In a multicenter study, TMTV and FLIPI2—which predicted markedly different PFS—were combined into a joint score (26). A prognostic model was developed for the same cohort that integrated baseline TMTV and end-of-induction PET (29). In the present study, we extracted two features from baseline PET/CT images—tumor burden and dissemination representing two distinct and complementary aspects of the disease. We established a novel scoring system for predicting PFS based on  $D_{max}$ , TLG, and LDH, which had a higher predictive accuracy than FLIPI, FLIPI2, and PRIMA-PI. Currently, most patients requiring treatment receive immunochemotherapy,



which results in long-lasting remission and improved OS (5). Our prognostic scoring system was applicable and showed excellent performance in this population receiving immunochemotherapy. These results suggest that current prognostic indices can be further

refined using tumor burden parameters and disease dissemination features obtained from PET/CT images. Due to the limited number of cases in this study, further research is needed to validate our results.

Models	C-index (95% CI)	Compared with new grading system		
		Change (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	
FLIPI	0.650 (0.570-0.730)	0.135 (0.078–0.198)	< 0.001	
FLIPI2	0.628 (0.547-0.709)	0.157 (0.081-0.234)	< 0.001	
PRIMA-PI	0.701 (0.622-0.780)	0.084 (0.011-0.155)	0.022	
New grading system	0.785 (0.717–0.852)	_	_	

TABLE 4 Comparative analysis of model performance for PFS between the new grading system and existing prognostic indexes.

PFS, progression-free survival; CI, confidence interval; FLIPI, Follicular Lymphoma International Prognostic Index; PRIMA-PI, PRIMA-Prognostic Index.

FDG uptake was shown to be higher in aggressive as compared to indolent NHL (39, 40). A widely varied SUV<sub>max</sub> between low-grade and grade 3A FL was observed in many studies (31, 39). In a previous study, the cutoff value of SUV<sub>max</sub> was 10.4, with 64% sensitivity and 74% specificity. In addition, TMTV and TLG had a comparable performance to SUV<sub>max</sub> in making this distinction, with similar sensitivity and specificity

values (31). However, TMTV and TLG could not discriminate low-grade from grade 3A FL in our study. The discrepancy between these findings and ours may be attributable to the differences in sample size and individual variability. The median SUV<sub>max</sub> was significantly higher in the high-grade group than in the low-grade group (P = 0.013), but an extensive overlap existed, and there was a relatively low sensitivity for



FIGURE 6

Receiver operating characteristic curves with the new grading system, FLIPI, FLIPI2, and PRIMA-PI for 3-year progression-free survival (PFS) (A) and 5-year PFS (B). Decision curve analysis with the new grading system, FLIPI, FLIPI2, and PRIMA-PI for 3-year PFS (C) and 5-year PFS (D).

	Grade 3A ( <i>n</i> = 36)	Low grade $(n = 90)$	P-value
Age	52.25 ± 12.76	$51.68 \pm 11.24$	0.804
Male (%)	20 (55.6)	43 (47.8)	0.430
Presence B symptoms (%)	4 (11.1)	11 (12.2)	0.862
Ann Arbor stage III to IV (%)	29 (80.6)	75 (83.3)	0.711
Hb level (g/dl) <12 (%)	17 (47.2)	28 (31.1)	0.088
Elevated LDH (%)	13 (36.1)	14 (15.6)	0.011
Elevated β2-MG (%)	13 (36.1)	28 (31.1)	0.588
Platelet count (10 <sup>9</sup> /L) (median, interquartile range)	156 (143–199)	188 (151–241)	0.038
PET parameters (median, interquartile range)			
SUV <sub>max</sub>	13.63 (9.75–25.58)	11.45 (9.07–13.86)	0.013
TMTV	158.34 (27.81-417.24)	231.49 (104.86-576.96)	0.132
TLG	794.10 (217.92-3,220.61)	1,112.49 (476.48–2,583.25)	0.430
$D_{ m max}$	56.76 (14.04–70.18)	66.99 (39.32–75.51)	0.190

TABLE 5 Comparison of clinical features and PET/CT parameters between grade 3A and low-grade follicular lymphoma.

Hb, hemoglobin; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase;  $\beta$ 2-MG,  $\beta$ 2-microglobulin; SUV<sub>max</sub>, maximum standardized uptake value; TMTV, total metabolic tumor volume; TLG, total lesion glycolysis;  $D_{max}$ , the largest distance between two lesions.

differentiating the grades of FL. Inevitably, some discordance in PET appearance may exist between the site of maximal FDG uptake and the actual site of tissue sampling. The histopathologic grading of different lesions in the same patients may be contrasting, resulting in an inconsistency between grading and clinical behavior. In addition, with the progression of the disease, some low-grade FL may be transformed into aggressive lymphoma (41, 42). The feasibility of using an absolute SUV<sub>max</sub> cutoff value for grading FL is challenging. PET/CT may be a useful adjunct, but not a replacement for biopsy, to distinguish grade 3A from low-grade FL.

Platelet count was shown to be an independent prognostic indicator of outcome in peripheral T cell lymphoma and DLBCL (43, 44). However, platelet count was not a predictor of PFS in FL in the present study. The relationship between the platelet count and pathologic grade has been seldom discussed. We found that a low platelet count was an independent predictor of grade 3A FL. However, the underlying mechanism between the platelet count and the pathologic grade in FL needs further research.

Our study had some limitations. Firstly, this was a retrospective study with a relatively small sample size. Secondly, our predictive model was only for PFS. External validation in a larger population at multiple institutions is required.

# Conclusion

TLG and  $D_{\text{max}}$  obtained from PET/CT data represent two complementary aspects of the disease, capturing the whole-body tumor burden and lesion dissemination. TLG,  $D_{\text{max}}$  and serum LDH were independent prognostic factors of PFS. We established a novel scoring system for predicting PFS based on TLG,  $D_{max}$ , and LDH, which showed a superior performance and clinical benefit compared to existing indices (FLIPI, FLIPI2, and PRIMA-PI).

Additionally, our results suggest that PET/CT may be a useful adjunct, but not a replacement, for biopsy in distinguishing grade 3A from low-grade FL.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

# Author contributions

HL: conceptualization, software, formal analysis, and writing original draft preparation. MW: data curation, methodology, and writing—original draft preparation. YZ: visualization and investigation. FH: methodology and visualization. KW: software and validation. CW: data curation and validation. ZG: conceptualization, methodology, writing—review and editing, and supervision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81771866).

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# References

1. Al-Hamadani M, Habermann TM, Cerhan JR, Macon WR, Maurer MJ, Go RS. Non-Hodgkin lymphoma subtype distribution, geodemographic patterns, and survival in the US: a longitudinal analysis of the national cancer data base from 1998 to 2011. *Am J Hematol* (2015) 90(9):790–5. doi: 10.1002/ajh.24086

2. Zhou Y, Qin Y, He X, Liu P, Yang J, Zhou L, et al. Long-term survival and prognostic analysis of advanced stage follicular lymphoma in the rituximab era: A China single-center retrospective study. *Asia Pac J Clin Oncol* (2021) 17(3):289–99. doi: 10.1111/ajco.13463

3. Swerdlow SH, Campo E, Pileri SA, Harris NL, Stein H, Siebert R, et al. The 2016 revision of the world health organization classification of lymphoid neoplasms. *Blood* (2016) 127(20):2375–90. doi: 10.1182/blood-2016-01-643569

4. Horn H, Schmelter C, Leich E, Salaverria I, Katzenberger T, Ott MM, et al. Follicular lymphoma grade 3B is a distinct neoplasm according to cytogenetic and immunohistochemical profiles. *Haematologica* (2011) 96(9):1327–34. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2011.042531

5. Freedman A, Jacobsen E. Follicular lymphoma: 2020 update on diagnosis and management. Am J Hematol (2020) 95(3):316-27. doi: 10.1002/ajh.25696

6. Vaidyanathan G, Czuczman MS. Follicular lymphoma grade 3: review and updates. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk* (2014) 14(6):431-5. doi: 10.1016/j.clml.2014.04.008

7. Shah NN, Szabo A, Saba R, Strelec L, Kodali D, Vaughn JL, et al. Multicenter analysis of advanced stage grade 3A follicular lymphoma outcomes by frontline treatment regimen. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk* (2019) 19(2):95–102. doi: 10.1016/j.clml.2018.11.010

8. Piccaluga PP, Califano A, Klein U, Agostinelli C, Bellosillo B, Gimeno E, et al. Gene expression analysis provides a potential rationale for revising the histological grading of follicular lymphomas. *Haematologica* (2008) 93(7):1033–8. doi: 10.3324/haematol.12754

9. Horn H, Kohler C, Witzig R, Kreuz M, Leich E, Klapper W, et al. Gene expression profiling reveals a close relationship between follicular lymphoma grade 3A and 3B, but distinct profiles of follicular lymphoma grade 1 and 2. *Haematologica* (2018) 103(7):1182–90. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2017.181024

10. Mihaljevic B, Jelicic J, Andjelic B, Antic D, Markovic O, Petkovic I, et al. FCG (FLIPI, charlson comorbidity index, and histological grade) score is superior to FLIPI in advanced follicular lymphoma. *Int J Hematol* (2016) 104(6):692–9. doi: 10.1007/s12185-016-2099-7

11. Mir F, Mattiello F, Grigg A, Herold M, Hiddemann W, Marcus R, et al. Follicular lymphoma evaluation index (FLEX): A new clinical prognostic model that is superior to existing risk scores for predicting progression-free survival and early treatment failure after frontline immunochemotherapy. *Am J Hematol* (2020) 95(12):1503–10. doi: 10.1002/ajh.25973

12. Xue T, Yu B-H, Yan W-H, Jiang X-N, Tian T, Zhou X-Y, et al. Prognostic significance of histologic grade and ki-67 proliferation index in follicular lymphoma. *Hematol Oncol* (2020) 38(5):665–72. doi: 10.1002/hon.2778

13. Mercadal S, Pomares H, Sancho JM, Climent F, Garcia O, Encuentra M, et al. Clinico-biological features, treatment and survival of 457 patients with

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fonc.2022.943151/full#supplementary-material.

histological grades 3A and 1-2 follicular lymphoma mostly treated with immunochemotherapy. Br J Haematol (2016) 172(3):470-3. doi: 10.1111/bjh.13502

14. Koch K, Hoster E, Ziepert M, Unterhalt M, Ott G, Rosenwald A, et al. Clinical, pathological and genetic features of follicular lymphoma grade 3A: a joint analysis of the German low-grade and high-grade lymphoma study groups GLSG and DSHNHL. *Ann Oncol* (2016) 27(7):1323–9. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdw185

15. Luminari S, Biasoli I, Versari A, Rattotti S, Bottelli C, Rusconi C, et al. The prognostic role of post-induction FDG-PET in patients with follicular lymphoma: a subset analysis from the FOLL05 trial of the fondazione italiana linfomi (FIL). *Ann Oncol* (2014) 25(2):442–7. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdt562

16. Casulo C, Byrtek M, Dawson KL, Zhou X, Farber CM, Flowers CR, et al. Early relapse of follicular lymphoma after rituximab plus cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone defines patients at high risk for death: An analysis from the national LymphoCare study. *J Clin Oncol* (2015) 33(23):2516–22. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2014.59.7534

17. Solal-Céligny P, Roy P, Colombat P, White J, Armitage JO, Arranz-Saez R, et al. Follicular lymphoma international prognostic index. *Blood* (2004) 104 (5):1258–65. doi: 10.1182/blood-2003-12-4434

18. Federico M, Bellei M, Marcheselli L, Luminari S, Lopez-Guillermo A, Vitolo U, et al. Follicular lymphoma international prognostic index 2: a new prognostic index for follicular lymphoma developed by the international follicular lymphoma prognostic factor project. *J Clin Oncol* (2009) 27(27):4555–62. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2008.21.3991

19. Jurinovic V, Kridel R, Staiger AM, Szczepanowski M, Horn H, Dreyling MH, et al. Clinicogenetic risk models predict early progression of follicular lymphoma after first-line immunochemotherapy. *Blood* (2016) 128(8):1112–20. doi: 10.1182/blood-2016-05-717355

20. Bachy E, Maurer MJ, Habermann TM, Gelas-Dore B, Maucort-Boulch D, Estell JA, et al. A simplified scoring system in *de novo* follicular lymphoma treated initially with immunochemotherapy. *Blood* (2018) 132(1):49–58. doi: 10.1182/blood-2017-11-816405

21. Nakajima R, Moskowitz AJ, Michaud L, Mauguen A, Batlevi CL, Dogan A, et al. Baseline FDG-PET/CT detects bone marrow involvement in follicular lymphoma and provides relevant prognostic information. *Blood Adv* (2020) 4 (8):1812–23. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2020001579

22. Metser U, Hussey D, Murphy G. Impact of (18)F-FDG PET/CT on the staging and management of follicular lymphoma. *Br J Radiol* (2014) 87 (1042):20140360. doi: 10.1259/bjr.20140360

23. Annunziata S, Cuccaro A, Tisi MC, Hohaus S, Rufini V. FDG-PET/CT at the end of immuno-chemotherapy in follicular lymphoma: the prognostic role of the ratio between target lesion and liver SUV (rPET). *Ann Nucl Med* (2018) 32 (5):372–7. doi: 10.1007/s12149-018-1243-2

24. Feng X, Wen X, Li L, Sun Z, Li X, Zhang L, et al. Baseline total metabolic tumor volume and total lesion glycolysis measured on 18F-FDG PET-CT predict outcomes in T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma. *Cancer Res Treat* (2021) 53(3):837–46. doi: 10.4143/crt.2020.123

25. Frood R, Burton C, Tsoumpas C, Frangi AF, Gleeson F, Patel C, et al. Baseline PET/CT imaging parameters for prediction of treatment outcome in Hodgkin and diffuse large b cell lymphoma: a systematic review. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2021) 48(10):3198–220. doi: 10.1007/s00259-021-05233-2

26. Meignan M, Cottereau AS, Versari A, Chartier L, Dupuis J, Boussetta S, et al. Baseline metabolic tumor volume predicts outcome in high-Tumor-Burden follicular lymphoma: A pooled analysis of three multicenter studies. *J Clin Oncol* (2016) 34(30):3618–26. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2016.66.9440

27. Zhou Y, Zhao Z, Li J, Zhang B, Sang S, Wu Y, et al. Prognostic values of baseline, interim and end-of therapy f-FDG PET/CT in patients with follicular lymphoma. *Cancer Manag Res* (2019) 11:6871–85. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S216445

28. Liang J-H, Zhang Y-P, Xia J, Ding C-Y, Wu W, Wang L, et al. Prognostic value of baseline and interim total metabolic tumor volume and total lesion glycolysis measured on 18F-FDG PET-CT in patients with follicular lymphoma. *Cancer Res Treat* (2019) 51(4):1479–87. doi: 10.4143/crt.2018.649

29. Cottereau AS, Versari A, Luminari S, Dupuis J, Chartier L, Casasnovas R-O, et al. Prognostic model for high-tumor-burden follicular lymphoma integrating baseline and end-induction PET: a LYSA/FIL study. *Blood* (2018) 131(22):2449–53. doi: 10.1182/blood-2017-11-816298

30. Cottereau A-S, Nioche C, Dirand A-S, Clerc J, Morschhauser F, Casasnovas O, et al. F-FDG PET dissemination features in diffuse Large b-cell lymphoma are predictive of outcome. *J Nucl Med* (2020) 61(1):40-5. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.119.229450

31. Major A, Hammes A, Schmidt MQ, Morgan R, Abbott D, Kamdar M. Evaluating novel PET-CT functional parameters TLG and TMTV in differentiating low-grade versus grade 3A follicular lymphoma. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk* (2020) 20(1):39–46. doi: 10.1016/j.clml.2019.09.609

32. Boellaard R, O'Doherty MJ, Weber WA, Mottaghy FM, Lonsdale MN, Stroobants SG, et al. FDG PET and PET/CT: EANM procedure guidelines for tumour PET imaging: version 1.0. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2010) 37(1):181–200. doi: 10.1007/s00259-009-1297-4

33. Mehta-Shah N, Ito K, Bantilan K, Moskowitz AJ, Sauter C, Horwitz SM, et al. Baseline and interim functional imaging with PET effectively risk stratifies patients with peripheral T-cell lymphoma. *Blood Adv* (2019) 3(2):187–97. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2018024075

34. Eertink JJ, van de Brug T, Wiegers SE, Zwezerijnen GJC, Pfaehler EAG, Lugtenburg PJ, et al. (18)F-FDG PET baseline radiomics features improve the

prediction of treatment outcome in diffuse large b-cell lymphoma. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* (2022) 49(3):932-42. doi: 10.1007/s00259-021-05480-3

35. Camp RL, Dolled-Filhart M, Rimm DL. X-Tile: a new bio-informatics tool for biomarker assessment and outcome-based cut-point optimization. *Clin Cancer Res* (2004) 10(21):7252–9. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-0713

36. Zhou Y, Zhu Y, Chen Z, Li J, Sang S, Deng S. Radiomic features of (18)F-FDG PET in Hodgkin lymphoma are predictive of outcomes. *Contrast media Mol Imaging* (2021) 2021:6347404. doi: 10.1155/2021/6347404

37. Pastore A, Jurinovic V, Kridel R, Hoster E, Staiger AM, Szczepanowski M, et al. Integration of gene mutations in risk prognostication for patients receiving first-line immunochemotherapy for follicular lymphoma: a retrospective analysis of a prospective clinical trial and validation in a population-based registry. *Lancet Oncol* (2015) 16(9):1111–22. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00169-2

38. Huet S, Tesson B, Jais J-P, Feldman AL, Magnano L, Thomas E, et al. A gene-expression profiling score for prediction of outcome in patients with follicular lymphoma: a retrospective training and validation analysis in three international cohorts. *Lancet Oncol* (2018) 19(4):549–61. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(18)30102-5

39. Schöder H, Noy A, Gönen M, Weng L, Green D, Erdi YE, et al. Intensity of 18fluorodeoxyglucose uptake in positron emission tomography distinguishes between indolent and aggressive non-hodgkin's lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol* (2005) 23(21):4643–51. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2005.12.072

40. Alobthani G, Romanov V, Isohashi K, Matsunaga K, Watabe T, Kato H, et al. Value of f-FDG PET/CT in discrimination between indolent and aggressive non-hodgkin's lymphoma: A study of 328 patients. *Hell J Nucl Med* (2018) 21 (1)::7–14. doi: 10.1967/s002449910701

41. Montoto S, Fitzgibbon J. Transformation of indolent b-cell lymphomas. J Clin Oncol (2011) 29(14):1827-34. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2010.32.7577

42. Fischer T, Zing NPC, Chiattone CS, Federico M, Luminari S. Transformed follicular lymphoma. *Ann Hematol* (2018) 97(1):17–29. doi: 10.1007/s00277-017-3151-2

43. Choi M, Lee J-O, Jung J, Lee JY, Lee E, Lee H, et al. Prognostic value of platelet count in patients with peripheral T cell lymphoma. *Acta Haematol-basel* (2019) 141(3):176–86. doi: 10.1159/000495337

44. Li M, Xia H, Zheng H, Li Y, Liu J, Hu L, et al. Red blood cell distribution width and platelet counts are independent prognostic factors and improve the predictive ability of IPI score in diffuse large b-cell lymphoma patients. *BMC Cancer* (2019) 19(1):1084. doi: 10.1186/s12885-019-6281-1