





Review

Silica-Based Monolithic Columns as a Tool in HPLC—An Overview of Application in Analysis of Active Compounds in Biological Samples

Michał Staniak ^{1,*}, Magdalena Wójciak ^{1,*}, Ireneusz Sowa ¹, Katarzyna Tyszczyk-Rotko ², Maciej Strzemiński ¹, Sławomir Dresler ³ and Wojciech Myśliński ⁴

¹ Department of Analytical Chemistry, Medical University of Lublin, Chodźki 4a, 20-093 Lublin, Poland; i.sowa@umlub.pl (I.S.); maciej.strzemski@poczta.onet.pl (M.S.)

² Faculty of Chemistry, Institute of Chemical Sciences, Maria Curie-Skłodowska University Lublin, 20-031 Lublin, Poland; ktyszczyk@poczta.umcs.lublin.pl

³ Department of Plant Physiology and Biophysics, Maria Curie-Skłodowska University, 20-033 Lublin, Poland; dresler.slawomir@gmail.com

⁴ Chair and Department of Internal Diseases, Medical University of Lublin, 20-081 Lublin, Poland; wojciech.myslinski@umlub.pl

* Correspondence: michalstaniak@umlub.pl (M.S.); kosiorma@wp.pl (M.W.)

Academic Editor: Evangelos Gikas

Received: 21 May 2020; Accepted: 6 July 2020; Published: 9 July 2020



Abstract: Monolithic fillings used in chromatography are of great interest among scientists since the first reports of their synthesis and use were published. In the 20 years since silica-based monolithic columns were introduced into the commercial market, numerous papers describing their chromatographical properties and utility in various branches of industry and scientific investigations were presented. This review is focused on possible applications of commercially available silica-based HPLC monolithic columns in the analysis of biological samples.

Keywords: monolithic column; stationary phases in HPLC; drug analysis; plant analysis

1. Introduction

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) is a dynamically developing technique widely used in almost all branches of industry and pharmaceutical, chemical, and agri-food investigations, as well as in laboratory practice and scientific research [1]. This technique is based on the separation of target compounds from a matrix of samples containing other accompanying constituents; therefore, the chromatographic column filled with the stationary phase where the separation process takes place is named “the heart of the chromatographic system”. Currently, columns with various types of fillings are commercially available; however, spherical packed columns are still most commonly used.

The historical background of all monolithic columns was brilliantly presented by Svec et al. [2]. Monolithic stationary phases were the subject of interest for many research groups over the last 30 years. They are often called “monolithic rods” [3] or “silica rods” in the case of silica monolithic columns [4]. Due to the characteristic structure that distinguishes them from traditional spherical fillings and their numerous advantages, including low susceptibility to clogging and low flow resistance, they are a very interesting alternative for many scientists [5]. Considering the type of material used for synthesis, monolithic columns can be divided into two groups; the first is based on silica gel and the second is based on polymeric materials [6]. Vyviurska et al. presented an exhaustive comparison of both types of commercially available monoliths [7]. The major disadvantage of most polymeric monolithic fillings is their inability to separate small molecules [8]; hence, their significance in the analysis of samples with a complex matrix such as plant material is low. They are mostly applied for

analysis of compounds with high molecular weight such as proteins or polynucleotides [9–12] and they have greater importance in electrochromatographic techniques [13,14]. Moreover, although polymeric monolithic columns are produced by some manufacturers such as BIA Separations (Ljubljana, Slovenia), Bio-Rad Laboratories (Hercules, CA, USA), or Thermo Scientific (Dionex Corporation) (Sunnyvale, CA, USA) [15], the majority of reports concern home-made fillings and, in these cases, the reproducibility of results is difficult to obtain because the process of synthesis conducted by different researchers may slightly differ [14,16].

Many published studies showed the applicability potential of silica-based monolithic columns in investigations of various samples, including plants [17–20], food [21–23], dietary supplements [24], and drugs [25–27]. So far, numerous review papers described the analytical use of monolithic columns [1,4,5,28–35]. Namera et al. showed applications of different types of commercially available silica-based monolithic columns in the analysis of active compounds in biological materials. It is worth noting that the Chromolith® Performance RP-18e column (100 × 4.6 mm) was used most commonly [32]. Maruška et al. presented possible applications of monolithic equipment in phytochemical analysis [20]. Monolithic columns are also widely used in proteomics and metabolomics. Rigobello-Masini et al. presented detailed information on the potential applications of this type of chromatographic filling in this research area [31]. The aim of our study is to summarize and update the possible applications of this type of fillings. The review covers papers published after 2006 and focuses on commercially available columns, as, due to the complexity and diversity of the manufacturing process, the batch-to-batch reproducibility of home-made fillings is poor. Currently, two companies produce monolithic columns based on silica for HPLC—Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany) and Phenomenex (Torrance, CA, USA). Their products are available under trade names Chromolith® and Onyx™, respectively.

2. Way of the Silica Monolith to the Commercial Market

Initial work on the synthesis of monolithic silica dates to the early 1990s when Nakanishi and Soga presented the process of continuous silica synthesis with two types of pores [36–38]. A patent describing the synthesis of the silica-based monolithic rod was registered in Japan in 1993 and then in the United States in 1997 [3]. In 1996, Tanaka et al. used this synthesized monolithic stationary phase for the first time to separate aromatic hydrocarbons and insulin [39]. In 2000, Merck launched the first generation of commercially available silica-based monolithic columns from the Chromolith® series [40]. This moment was a breakthrough and caused a significant increase in interest in this type of chromatographic filling [3,4]. The monolithic structure of the silica rod from which the first-generation monoliths were built was not perfect and had some limitations, such as the broad size distribution and accidental distribution of through-pores [41]. Moreover, Gritti et al. indicated radial heterogeneity as one of the disadvantages of the first-generation monoliths [42,43]. Changes introduced in the process of the synthesis of a monolithic rod (increased amount of porogen) led to creation of the so-called second-generation monoliths [44]. Better control of the production process and reduced size of macropores meant that the second generation of monoliths had better separation efficiency and better peak symmetry [40]. This resulted in the introduction of commercially available second-generation monoliths under the trade name Chromolith® High Resolution by Merck in 2011. A detailed comparison of the chromatographic and physicochemical features of both generations of monoliths was presented in several papers [45–48].

3. Main Features and Synthesis of the Silica-Based Monolithic Rod

HPLC silica-based monolithic columns are made from one continuous, rigid piece of porous silica sealed in a polyether ether ketone (PEEK) tube. Numerous advantages of monolithic fillings result from the characteristic morphology of silica gel. This structure is characterized by a bimodal pore size distribution and two types of pores, macropores and mesopores, can be distinguished [3,4]. Macropores, also called “through-pores” [3] or “flow pores” [41], form a network of connections and are responsible for the high permeability of the bed, whereas mesopores provide the surface needed

for proper chromatographic separation [49]. The high permeability of the monolithic bed and the low backpressure allow high flow rates of the mobile phase and, thus, a significant reduction of the analysis time while maintaining satisfactory chromatographic separation parameters [50].

The sol-gel process including the hydrolysis reaction and polycondensation of organosilica compounds in the presence of a water-soluble polymer is applied in the process of manufacturing of monolithic silica rods. Tetraalkoxysilanes, i.e., tetramethyl-ortosilane (TMOS) and tetraethyl-ortosilane (TEOS), are silica precursors during the synthesis [51]. Currently, TMOS is the most commonly used alkoxysilane to prepare silica-based HPLC monolithic columns [28]. Nakanishi and Soga were pioneers in the synthesis of monolithic silica rods. In the first paper describing the process of synthesis of a monolithic silica bed, they used a mixture of TMOS and poly(sodium styrene sulfonate) with different molecular weights as a starting solution [36]. Later, this method was modified by replacing poly(sodium styrene sulfonate) with polyacrylic acid with the addition of nitric acid as a hydrolysis catalyst [38]. Subsequent modifications consisted of a change in the molecular weight of polyacrylic acid [37] and, next, the introduction of polyethylene oxide [39,52]. Subsequent synthesis steps include aging, drying, and chemical modification of the obtained gel. Modifications at each of these stages cause changes in the morphology of the monolithic silica. An increase in the TMOS concentration in the starting solution increases the mechanical strength of the monolith. The most popular additive used in the synthesis is polyethylene glycol. Both the concentration and the molecular weight of the additives used in the starting solution have an impact on the morphology of the gel. An increase in the polyethylene glycol concentration causes a decrease in the size of the through-pores [28]. The characteristics and detailed description of the individual stages of the synthesis were comprehensively presented in reviews by Guichon [3] and Rieux et al. [28].

4. Applicability of the Monolithic Column

HPLC equipped with reverse-phase spherical packed columns is commonly used to identify and evaluate the content of active compounds in plant- and human-derived material. The multitude of commercial products available on the market and the diverse properties of the fillings allow choosing a column dedicated to particular types of analytes. However, the main problem of biological samples is their rich matrix, which may cause clogging of adsorbent pores. This in turn decreases the separation efficacy and, consequently, shortens the longevity of the bed. The unique structure of the monolithic column can partly solve such problems; therefore, the application potential of monolithic beds was intensively studied over the last 20 years. Monolithic analytical HPLC columns with different lengths (100, 50, 25 mm) and different internal diameters (2, 3, 4.6 mm) are currently available on the commercial market. Short columns allow ultra-fast separation of samples with a relatively simple matrix, while longer columns facilitate analysis of much more complex mixtures. The most popular and the most frequently used column from the Merck Chromolith® series is Chromolith® Performance RP 18e 100 × 4.6 mm. Some authors did not provide the full trade name of the column used. During our research, we found numerous descriptions such as “Chromolith™ RP-18e”, “Monolithic RP-18e”, “Chromolith RP-18e”, “Chromolith C18”, and “Chromolith RP-18”. To the best of our knowledge, all these descriptions refer to the same column.

4.1. Plant Samples

The analysis of plant material is very difficult because of the multitude of components often hindering the proper separation analytes from accompanying compounds. However, there are quite many reports describing the application of monolithic columns for the determination of compounds from various chemical groups, such as flavonoids [53–59], phenolic acids [59–62], alkaloids [63–66], furocoumarins [67], and saponins [19]. It can be observed that the efficacy of separation of particular groups of analytes strongly depended on the chromatographic conditions used. For instance, Biesaga et al. [54] obtained full separation of six flavonoids, including quercetin and naringenin, using isocratic elution with 50 mM phosphate buffer and acetonitrile (75:25, *v/v*). In turn, the resolution of these

compounds in the chromatographic conditions proposed by Repollés et al. [55] was poor. Good resolution between quercetin, miricetin, and kampferol at a flow rate increased to 4 mL/min was obtained for an eluent composed of acetonitrile and orthophosphate buffer (38:62, *v/v*), which ensured shortening of the analysis time from 11 min to 60 s [58], compared with the aforementioned papers. Generally, different conditions of separation were proposed for the same group of analytes, taking into account the accompanying matrix. For polyphenols in apple peel extract, Chinnici et al. [59] developed a gradient elution program using 0.5% methanol in 0.01 M phosphoric acid and acetonitrile at a flow rate of 2.5 mL/min and a temperature of 25 °C. In turn, a similar group of compounds in grapes were analyzed using gradient elution with water and methanol acidified with acetic acid [60]. On the other hand, isocratic elution with the mobile phase consisting of acetonitrile and 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid (12:88, *v/v*) at an increased flow rate (4 mL/min) and temperature (35 °C) ensured good separation of polyphenols in *Vanilla planifolia* extract. Generally, evaluation of the chromatographic performance systems reported in the literature is very difficult because no detailed chromatographic parameters were shown. In most papers, only retention times of investigated analytes were given, and some authors included the resolution (R_s) between neighboring peaks as the most important factor from the point of view of the applicability of monolithic columns. Table 1 summarizes the chromatographic conditions used in the analysis of particular classes of compounds in various plant samples.

The main advantage of monolithic fillings is the high permeability of the bed and the low backpressure generated on the column, which makes it possible to use high mobile phase flow rates without loss of separation efficacy. The mobile phase composition used in monolithic fillings is typical for the HPLC technique; however, many chromatographic separations are conducted using a higher flow rate, even up to 6 mL/min, which results in shortening of the time necessary for the chromatographic run. Some authors compared the capabilities of monoliths and columns with spherical filling. Barbero et al. separated five capsaicinoids from hot peppers using a mobile phase flow rate of 6 mL/min, which significantly reduced the analysis time compared to analysis carried out with the use of traditional fillings [68]. Sharma et al. applied a flow rate of 4 mL/min and presented the separation of four components from vanilla extracts in less than 3 min. The authors compared the developed method for the monolith with UPLC. Most of the chromatographic parameters (except the theoretical plate number) were better using the HPLC method with a monolithic bed, whereas the UPLC method was characterized by lower consumption of the mobile phase and higher sensitivity [61]. Using a flow rate of 4 mL/min, Mehrad et al. specified the conditions for separation of three major flavonol aglycones from *Rhus coriaria*. The method yielded good resolution of the analyzed compounds in less than 1 min [58]. Pellati et al. analyzed polyacetylenes and polyenes from *Echinacea pallida* roots using a monolithic bed and obtained shorter retention times and better separation of the analytes than in the case of a spherical packed column [17]. Rahim et al. presented simultaneous determination of eight catechins and caffeine in tea samples on the monolithic stationary phase. The authors highlighted the short time of analysis as the main advantage of their methodology [63]. The influence of the increased flow rate on resolution parameters was also studied. Liazid et al. [60] investigated the separation of polyphenolic compounds using different flow rates of the mobile phase in the range of 2–5 mL/min. Generally, a slight decrease in the R_s values was observed, but some compounds co-eluted at a higher flow rate.

An interesting alternative is the possibility of connecting several monolithic columns, which allows lengthening the separation way and, hence, increasing the efficacy of separation. For example, Schmidt developed a fast method for quality control of *Harpagophytum procumbens* using two coupled monolithic columns at a flow rate of 5 mL/min. This method contributed to shortening the analysis time by almost 25 min in comparison with the use of a spherical packed column. The same method was successfully used to distinguish between the *Harpagophytum* species [69,70].

Another application of the monolithic column is fingerprinting, which is accepted by the World Health Organization (WHO) as a valuable tool for assessing the quality of plant samples [71]. Alaerts et al. coupled four monolithic columns together and obtained chromatographic fingerprints for four

Artemisia species [72], whereas Hefny Gad et al. used two combined monoliths to obtain HPLC fingerprints of *Ipomea aquatica* samples [73].

4.2. Medical and Pharmaceutical Application

Over the last few years, monolithic columns were also widely used in the analysis of drugs and their metabolites in various matrices. Some reports presented the usefulness of monoliths in the analysis of urine, saliva [74,75], whole blood [76], plasma [75,77], serum, and human breast milk samples [78]. The papers described the chromatographic analysis of compounds from different therapeutic groups, such as antibiotics [25,77,79,80], diuretics [75,77,81], antidepressants [82,83], analgesics [74], antidiabetics [84,85], benzodiazepine derivatives [76,86,87], and anti-allergic [88] and antiviral drugs [26,89]. Table 2 presents examples of applications of monolithic columns in medical analysis.

For instance, Galaon et al. [77] and Wenk et al. [75] developed very fast methods for determining furosemide in human plasma, which can be useful in bioequivalence and pharmacokinetic studies. In the conditions reported in the papers, furosemide was eluted at approximately 4 and 2 min, respectively; however, the eluent proposed by Galaon et al. [77] had more components, including sodium heptane-sulfonate, trimethylamine, methanol, and acetonitrile, while retention was based on the ion-pair mechanism. In turn, Wenk et al. [75] used gradient elution with a simple mobile phase containing acetonitrile and water with the addition of acetic acid.

Three different chromatographic systems including various eluent compositions and flow rate values were designed for determination of similar analytes from the benzodiazepine group in human blood samples. In all cases, the obtained retention times were similar and the compounds were well separated from the matrix [76,86,90]. Karageorgou et al. presented the first method for separation and quantification of residues of eight cephalosporins in milk in a shorter time than 16 min. The use of the monolithic column ensured a significantly shorter total analysis time than other analytical columns (22 min for Inertsil ODS-3 5 μm , 250 \times 4 mm and 43 min for Orbit 100 C₁₈ 5 μm , 250 \times 4 mm); hence, the consumption of eluents was lower [80]. Ardakani et al. described a rapid method for determination of tramadol and its main metabolites with the use of simple isocratic elution without conditioning of the bed between injections [74]. The total analysis time was about 7 min in the case of the monolithic column and about 26.5 min for the traditional column [91].

Monolithic filings also help to avoid the time-consuming preparation of the sample for chromatographic analysis. Bugey et al. developed a semi-automatic method to analyze benzodiazepines in whole blood samples, in which two monolithic columns of different lengths and various purposes were switched together. The first one (Chromolith[®] Flash, 25 \times 4.6 mm) was used for sample clean-up, while the other (Chromolith[®] Performance RP-18e, 100 \times 4.6 mm) served as an analytical column. This solution ensured purification and proper separation during one injection; hence, the whole analytical process was substantially shortened [76].

4.3. New Generation of Monolithic Columns—Short Characterization and Applications

Chromolith[®] High Resolution is an example of second-generation monolithic columns, which were introduced on the market in 2011 [44]. The main goal in the development of the manufacturing process of second-generation monoliths was to enhance the separation efficiency and decrease the peak asymmetry [40]. The reduced size of macropores and the more homogeneous structure of the high-resolution monolithic rod are the main features distinguishing both generations [40,46]. Changes in the morphology of monolithic silica contributed to improving column efficiency by reducing the height of the individual theoretical plate [48]. The second generation of monoliths shows performance similar to the sub-3- μm core shell and sub-2- μm fully porous particles [92]. Changes in the morphology of second-generation monoliths resulted in improvement of the chromatographic parameters and an increase in the efficacy of separation; however, an increase in the backpressure compared to the first generation was observed [40]. Although the permeability of the second-generation monoliths is even

four times lower than in the first generation [44], the backpressure during analysis is still much lower than in a particle packed column.

Table 3 summarizes the applications of the Chromolith® High Resolution (100 × 4.6 mm) column. Most of them are associated with the analysis of drugs in biological fluids. Kučerová et al. proposed a very interesting comparison of the second generation of monoliths, as well as core-shell and particle packed columns, in the analysis of retinol and α -tocopherol in various matrices using the UHPLC system. It was found that the High Resolution monoliths were comparable and, in some cases, even better than the other UHPLC columns [78]. Koyuturk et al. presented a method where they used a double gradient, i.e., both the mobile phase and the flow rate, for simultaneous determination of irbesartan and hydrochlorothiazide in urine samples. The method developed with the use of Chromolith® High Resolution yielded the highest theoretical plate number, compared with other tested columns (with dimensions 100 × 4.6 mm) [81].

4.4. Preparative and Semi-Preparative Silica-Based Monolithic Column—Applications

Raw materials of plant origin are a valuable source of active compounds widely used in many fields, e.g., natural medicine and pharmaceutical and herbal industries. Some species are a valuable source of biological active compounds with desirable therapeutic effects useful for treatment of various disorders. The preparative and semi-preparative chromatography technique allows purification of raw extracts from ballast substances and separation into particular fractions rich in compounds with similar chemical and biological properties. In the case of chromatographic columns used on a preparative and semi-preparative scale, a crucial point is the possibility of loading a high volume of a sample, conducting the separation process without the risk of overloading the entire system. Silica-based monolithic columns for preparative and semi-preparative purposes with dimensions 100 × 25 mm and 100 × 10 mm, respectively, are offered by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). There are several examples of the use of this type of column in purification and isolation processes [93–96]. For instance, Lai et al. applied a monolith for isolation of proanthocyanidin from *Blechnum orientale* [96], and Malek et al. used a monolithic column for preparative scale separation of active components from *Curcuma manga* [94]. A combination of a few columns was also applied for preparative purposes, e.g., Kokotkiewicz et al. used two semi-preparative Chromolith® columns connected in series for the isolation of phenolic compounds from *Cyclopia subternata* [97].

Table 1. Application of Chromolith® Performance RP 18-e (100 × 4.6 mm inner diameter (i.d.)) in analysis of plant samples.

Sample/Analytes	Part of Plant/Matrix	Type of Elution/Mobile Phase	Conditions (Flow Rate/Temperature/Number of Monolithic Columns)	Detector	Ref.
orientin, isovitexin, vitexin, luteolin-7-O-glucoside, hyperoside, luteolin, apigenin	tincture from <i>Passiflora incarnata</i> L.	gradient elution/ H ₂ O/MeOH ^a /ACN ^b /THF ^c acidified with 0.05% acetic acid	1.0 mL/min/30 °C/one column 2.0 mL/min/30 °C/two columns 2.5 mL/min/30 °C/three columns	PDA	[53]
polyacetylenes and polyenes	roots from <i>Echinacea pallida</i>	gradient elution/ H ₂ O/ACN	2.0 mL/min/20 °C/one column	PDA	[17]
(fingerprinting)	<i>Artemisia vulgaris</i> , <i>A. absinthium</i> , <i>A. annua</i> , <i>A. capillaris</i>	gradient elution/ H ₂ O/MeOH (both with 0.05% of TFA ^d)	1.0 mL/min/35 °C/four columns	DAD	[72]
catechins and caffeine	tea samples (green tea, Oolong tea, “fermented” black tea)	isocratic elution/ H ₂ O/ACN/MeOH (83:6:11, <i>v/v</i>)	1.4 mL/min/-/one column	UV	[63]
quercetin, naringenin, naringin, myricetin, rutin, kaempferol	tomatoes	isocratic elution/ A: 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH = 2.2)/ACN (75:25, <i>v/v</i>) B: 2 mM formic acid/ACN (75:25, <i>v/v</i>)	1.0 mL/min/25 °C/one column	A: UV B: MS	[54]
gallic acid, protocatechuic aldehyde, gentisic acid, catechin, vanillic acid, caffeic acid, vanillin, epicatechin, syringaldehyde, p-coumaric acid, ferulic acid, sinapic acid, resveratrol	musts from grapes: Riesling and Monastrell	gradient elution/ 90% H ₂ O, 2% acetic acid in MeOH/90% MeOH, 2% acetic acid in H ₂ O	2.5 mL/min/25 °C/one column	PDA and FL	[60]
catechin, epicatechin, quercetin, kaempferol, apigenin, fisetin, morin, naringenin, hesperetin, chrysin	green tea, red wine, orange, propolis and <i>Ginkgo biloba</i> extracts	gradient elution/ H ₂ O/MeOH/ACN each containing 0.05% (<i>v/v</i>) TFA	2.0 mL/min/25 °C/one column	DAD	[55]

Table 1. Cont.

Sample/Analytes	Part of Plant/Matrix	Type of Elution/Mobile Phase	Conditions (Flow Rate/Temperature/Number of Monolithic Columns)	Detector	Ref.
(fingerprinting)	aerial parts from <i>Ipomoea aquatica</i>	gradient elution/ MeOH/H ₂ O containing 0.05% TFA	1.0 mL/min/25 °C/two columns	UV	[73]
gastrodin	<i>Gastrodiae Rhizoma</i>	gradient elution/H ₂ O/ACN	1.0 mL/min/-/one column	DAD	[18]
echitamine, N-demethylalstogustine, loganetin	stem, stem bark, root, root bark, fruits, leaves from <i>Alstonia scholaris</i>	isocratic elution/ ACN/0.01 M buffer (KH ₂ PO ₄) containing 0.1% TFA (20:80, <i>v/v</i>)	0.5 mL/min/25 °C/two columns (total length 150 mm)	DAD	[98]
oroxylin A, chrysin, baicalein, hispidulin	roots from <i>Oroxylum indicum</i>	isocratic elution/ACN/H ₂ O (acidified with 0.1% TFA) (34:66, <i>v/v</i>)	1.0 mL/min/30 °C/one column	PDA	[56]
6-gingerol, 8-gingerol, 10-gingerol, shogaol	rhizome from <i>Zingiber officinale</i>	gradient elution/ H ₂ O/ACN	3.0 mL/min/room temp./one column	PDA	[99]
schizandrin, gomisin A, deoxyschizandrin, γ-schizandrin, gomisin N, wuweizisu C	callus from <i>Schisandra chinensis</i>	isocratic elution/ ACN/H ₂ O (50:50, <i>v/v</i>)	2.0 mL/min/-/one column	PDA	[100]
bacopaside I, bacoside A ₃ , bacopaside II, bacopaside X, bacopasaponin C, apigenin	herbs of <i>Bacopa monnieri</i>	isocratic elution/ ACN/H ₂ O (30:70, <i>v/v</i>)	0.7 mL/min/25 °C/one column	ELSD	[19]
vanillin, vanillic acid, <i>p</i> -hydroxybenzoic acid, <i>p</i> -hydroxybenzaldehyde	Pods from <i>Vanilla planifolia</i>	isocratic elution/ ACN/0.05% TFA in H ₂ O (12:88, <i>v/v</i>)	4.0 mL/min/35 °C/one column	PDA	[61]
furocoumarins: heraclenol and bergapten	fruits from <i>Heracleum candicans</i>	gradient elution/ H ₂ O/H ₃ PO ₄ (99.7:0.3, <i>v/v</i>)/ ACN/H ₂ O/H ₃ PO ₄ (79.7:20:0.3, <i>v/v</i>)	0.5 mL/min/-/one column	PDA	[67]
tannins and polyphenols	commercial products <i>Filipendula ulmaria</i> <i>Rosa canina</i>	gradient elution/ACN/H ₂ O containing 0.2% (<i>v/v</i>) formic acid	2.5 mL/min/-/one column	UV	[101]

Table 1. Cont.

Sample/Analytes	Part of Plant/Matrix	Type of Elution/Mobile Phase	Conditions (Flow Rate/Temperature/Number of Monolithic Columns)	Detector	Ref.
phenolic acids: vanillic, gallic, syringic, <i>p</i> -coumaric, ferulic, chlorogenic, benzoic, <i>p</i> -hydroxybenzoic, <i>p</i> -hydroxyphenylacetic	plum fruits	gradient elution/ 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH = 2.2)/ACN	1.0 mL/min/-/one column	DAD	[62]
niaziridin and niazirin	leaves, pods, and bark from <i>Moringa oleifera</i>	isocratic elution/ MeOH/sodium dihydrogen phosphate–acetic acid buffer (0.1 M, pH = 3.8) (20:80, <i>v/v</i>)	0.7 mL/min/25 °C/one column	PDA	[102]
A. fatty acid methyl esters B. phosphatidylocholine	—	isocratic elution/ A. ACN/H ₂ O (97:3, <i>v/v</i>) B. ACN/MeOH/H ₂ O (33:64.5:2, <i>v/v/v</i>)	2.0 mL/min/25 °C/two columns	A. radioisotope detector B. UV	[103]
iridoid glycosides: harpagoside and 8- <i>p</i> -coumaroyl-harpagide	extracts from <i>Harpagophytum</i> <i>procumbens</i> and <i>H. zeyheri</i>	gradient elution/ H ₂ O (pH = 2.0)/ACN	5.0 mL/min/30 °C/two columns	PDA	[70]
harpagoside, acetoside, cinnamic acid, 8- <i>p</i> -coumaroyl-harpagide	root tubers from <i>H. procumbens</i>	gradient elution/ H ₂ O (pH = 2.0)/ACN	5.0 mL/min/30 °C/two columns	PDA	[69]
curcuminoids: curcumin, demethoxycurcumin, bisdemethoxy curcumin	herbal medicament	isocratic elution/ H ₂ O/ACN/glacial acetic acid (60:40:1, <i>v/v/v</i>)	1.0 mL/min/-/one column	UV–Vis	[104]
rutin	Buckwheat Tea and seeds from <i>Fagopyrum tataricum</i>	isocratic elution/MeOH/H ₂ O (5:5, <i>v/v</i>) with 10 mM acetate buffer at pH = 4.1	1.5 mL/min/30 °C/one column	UV–Vis	[57]
glycyrrhizic and glycyrrhetic acids	roots from <i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i>	gradient elution/H ₂ O/ACN both acidified with 0.05% TFA	2.5 mL/min/room temp./one column	PDA	[105]

Table 1. Cont.

Sample/Analytes	Part of Plant/Matrix	Type of Elution/Mobile Phase	Conditions (Flow Rate/Temperature/Number of Monolithic Columns)	Detector	Ref.
reserpine, ajmaline, ajmalicine	roots from <i>Rauwolfia serpentina</i>	gradient elution/ 0.01 M phosphate buffer containing 0.5% glacial acetic acid (pH = 3.5)/ACN	1.0 mL/min/26 °C/one column	PDA	[106]
myricetin, quercetin, kaempferol	fruits and leaves from <i>Rhus coriaria</i>	isocratic elution/ACN/10 mM potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate buffer (pH = 3.0) (38:62, v/v)	4.0 mL/min/40 °C/one column	PDA	[58]
allosecurinine, securinine	biomasses from <i>Phyllanthus glaucus</i>	gradient elution/H ₂ O/ACN	1.0 mL/min/25 °C/one column	PDA	[64]
proanthocyanidins	pea from <i>Pisum sativum</i> , lentil from <i>Lens culinaris</i> , faba bean from <i>Vicia faba</i>	gradient elution/ H ₂ O/ACN both with 1% acetic acid (v/v)	3.0 mL/min/30 °C/two columns	DAD	[107]
gallic acid, (+)-catechin, chlorogenic acid, procyanidin B2, <i>p</i> -coumaric acid, (-)-epicatechin, ferulic acid, hyperin, rutin, phloridzin	fresh peel or pulp from <i>Golden Delicious</i> apples	gradient elution/ 0.5% MeOH in 0.01 M H ₃ PO ₄ /ACN	2.5 mL/min/25 °C/one column	PDA	[59]
capsaicinoids: nordihydrocapsaicin, capsaicin, dihydrocapsaicin, homocapsaicin, homodihydro-capsaicin	peppers (pericarp and placenta) from <i>Capsicum frutescens</i>	gradient elution/ H ₂ O/MeOH both with 0.1% acetic acid	6.0 mL/min/30 °C/one column	FL	[68]
anthocyanins	red cabbage <i>Brassica oleracea</i>	gradient elution/ 5% formic acid/ACN	4.0 mL/min/27 °C/one column	DAD	[108]
protopine, allocryptopine, berberine, chelidonine, chelerythrine, sanguinarine, coptisine	roots from <i>Chelidonium majus</i>	gradient elution/ 15 mM ammonium acetate (pH = 4.0)/ACN/MeOH	2.0 mL/min/25 °C/three columns	DAD	[65]

Table 1. Cont.

Sample/Analytes	Part of Plant/Matrix	Type of Elution/Mobile Phase	Conditions (Flow Rate/Temperature/Number of Monolithic Columns)	Detector	Ref.
vincristine, vinblastine, catharanthine, vindoline	leaves from <i>Catharanthus roseus</i>	isocratic elution/ACN/0.1 M phosphate buffer containing 0.5% glacial acetic acid (pH = 3.5), (21:79, v/v)	1.2 mL/min/25 °C/one column	PDA	[66]
gallic acid, protocatechuic acid, gentisic acid, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, rosmarinic acid	aerial part from <i>Hyssopus officinalis</i>	gradient elution/H ₂ O with 1% acetic acid/ACN	2.0 mL/min/26 °C/one column	DAD	[109]
proanthocyanidins cleavage products	hop cones from <i>Humulus lupulus</i> and grapes from <i>Vitis vinifera</i>	gradient elution/H ₂ O/ACN (each containing 1% acetic acid)	3.0 mL/min/30 °C/two columns	DAD	[110]
daidzin, gycitin, genistin, acetyldaizidin, acetylglycitin, daidzein, glycitein, acetylgenistin, genistein	extracts from <i>Gycine max</i>	gradient elution/ACN/H ₂ O with acetic acid (0.1:0.99, v/v)	flow gradient 3.0 mL and 4.0 mL/min/two columns	DAD MS	[111]
α-amyrin, α -amyrin acetate, β-amyrin, β-amyrin acetate, lupeol, lupeol acetate	flowers, leaves, roots and stems from five species of <i>Carlina</i>	isocratic elution/ACN/H ₂ O (95:5, v/v)	2.0 mL/min/25 °C/one column	PDA	[112]
daidzin, glycitin, genistin, malonyl daidzin, malonyl glycitin, malonyl genistin, daidzein, glycitein, genistein	extracts from soybeans	gradient elution/MeOH/H ₂ O each containing 0.1% acetic acid	0.8 mL/min/-/two columns	PDA	[113]
<i>cis</i> -resveratrol, <i>trans</i> -resveratrol, <i>cis</i> -piceid, <i>trans</i> -piceid	wine samples	gradient elution/H ₂ O/acetic acid (94:6, v/v)/H ₂ O/ACN/acetic acid (65:30:5, v/v/v)	gradient flow 4.0 mL and 7.0 mL/min/two columns	PDA	[114]

Table 1. Cont.

Sample/Analytes	Part of Plant/Matrix	Type of Elution/Mobile Phase	Conditions (Flow Rate/Temperature/Number of Monolithic Columns)	Detector	Ref.
lysergol and chanoclavine	seeds from <i>Ipomea muricata</i>	isocratic elution/ ACN/0.01 M sodium dihydrogen phosphate buffer (with 0.2% TFA) (pH = 2.5) (15:85, v/v)	1.0 mL/min/25 °C/one column	PDA	[115]
rutin, isorhamnetine-3-O-rutinoside, isorhamnetine-3-O-glukoside, quercetin, isorhamnetin	berries from <i>Hippophaë rhamnoides</i>	gradient elution/H ₂ O/ACN (both acidified with 1% acetic acid)	3.0 mL/min/40 °C/one column	UV	[116]
geraniin, ellagic acid, gallic acid	rind from <i>Nephelium lappaceum</i>	isocratic elution/ACN/H ₂ O (30:70, v/v)	0.5 mL/min/room temp./one column	UV-Vis	[117]
(fingerprinting)	<i>Ginkgo biloba</i> dry extract	gradient elution/ <i>iso</i> -propanol/THF/H ₂ O with 0.05% TFA	1.0 mL/min/35 °C/two columns	UV-ELS	[118]
carnosic acid, carnosol, rosmarinic acid	leaves from <i>Rosmarinus officinalis</i>	binary gradient/ACN-H ₂ O-H ₃ PO ₄ (65.1%:34.9%:0.02%)/ACN-H ₂ O-H ₃ PO ₄ (22%:78%:0.25%)	1.5 mL/min/-/one column	UV-Vis	[119]
α-solanine and α-chaconine	potato tubers	isocratic elution/20 mM phosphate buffer (pH = 7.8)/ACN (65:35, v/v)	0.6 mL/min/-/one column	CL	[120]

^a MeOH- methanol; ^b ACN- acetonitrile; ^c THF- tetrahydrofuran; ^d TFA- trifluoroacetic acid.

Table 2. Chromolith® Performance RP 18-e 100 × 4.6 mm in analysis of drugs in various matrices.

Name of Drug	Matrix	Type of Elution/Mobile Phase	Conditions (Flow Rate/Temperature/Number of Monolithic Columns)	Detector	Ref.
raltegravir	human plasma	isocratic elution/10 mM ammonium formate in water (pH = 3.0)/ACN ^b (3:7, v/v)	1.2 mL/min/40 °C/one column	MS/MS	[89]
amphotericin B	human plasma	gradient elution/ 5 mM ammonium acetate (pH = 6.0)/ACN/MeOH ^a	1.8 mL/min/-/one column	MS/MS	[25]
lamivudine	human plasma	isocratic elution/ 50 mM sodium dihydrogen phosphate/triethylamine (pH = 3.2) (996:4, v/v)	1.5 mL/min/20 °C/one column	UV	[26]
mirtazapine and metabolites: N-desmethyl mirtazapine, 8-hydroxymirtazapine	human plasma	isocratic elution/ ACN/0.025 M monobasic potassium phosphate buffer (pH = 3.0) (20:80, v/v)	2.0 mL/min/-/one column	FL	[83]
montelukast and fexofenadine	human plasma	isocratic elution/ 20 mM ammonium formate/ACN (20:80, v/v)	1.2 mL/min/5 °C/one column	MS/MS	[88]
clonazepam, diazepam, flunitrazepam, lorazepam, midazolam, N-desalkylflurazepam, nordiazepam, oxazepam	whole blood samples	isocratic elution/ 5 mM ammonium formate (pH = 3.0)/ACN (65:35, v/v)	1.5 mL/min/-/one column	MS	[76]
furosemide and norfloxacin	human plasma	isocratic elution/ 0.015 M sodium heptane-sulfonate, 0.2% triethylamine (pH = 2.5)/ ACN/MeOH (70:15:15, v/v/v)	3.0 mL/min/25 °C/one column	FL	[77]
omeprazole	human plasma	isocratic elution/ 0.01 M disodium hydrogen phosphate buffer/ACN (pH = 7.1) (93:7, v/v),	1.5 mL/min/-/one column	UV	[121]

Table 2. Cont.

Name of Drug	Matrix	Type of Elution/Mobile Phase	Conditions (Flow Rate/Temperature/Number of Monolithic Columns)	Detector	Ref.
cefadroxil, cefaclor, cephalixin, cefotaxime, cefazolin, cefuroxime, cefoperazone and ceftiofur	milk	gradient elution/ 0.1% formic acid/ MeOH/ACN (75:25 <i>v/v</i>)	1.5 mL/min/-/one column	PDA	[80]
pantoprazole	human plasma	isocratic elution/ ACN/potassium dihydrogen phosphate buffer (pH = 3.0) (25:75, <i>v/v</i>)	1.5 mL/min/-/one column	UV	[122]
codeine	human plasma	isocratic elution/ ACN/10 mM acetic acid (pH = 3.5) (50:50, <i>v/v</i>)	1.0 mL/min/25 °C/one column	MS/MS	[123]
pioglitazone	human serum and urine	isocratic elution/ ACN/10 mM phosphate buffer (pH = 2.5) (30:70, <i>v/v</i>)	2.0 mL/min/-/one column	DAD	[124]
diazepam, clonazepam, lorazepam, midazolam	whole blood	isocratic elution/ phosphate buffer (pH = 2.5)/ACN (65/35, <i>v/v</i>)	2.0 mL/min/-/one column	DAD	[90]
nimesulid and major metabolite 4'-hydroxy-nimesulide	human plasma	isocratic elution/ 0.2% triethylamine (pH = 3.0)/MeOH (50:50, <i>v/v</i>)	1.5 mL/min/25 °C/one column	DAD	[125]
chloramphenicol	human blood	isocratic elution/ 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH = 2.5)/ACN (75:25, <i>v/v</i>)	1.5 mL/min/28 °C/one column	UV-Vis	[126]

^a MeOH- methanol; ^b ACN- acetonitrile.

Table 3. Chromolith® High Resolution RP 18-e 100 × 4.6 mm in analysis of active compounds in various matrices.

Active Compound/Drug	Matrix	Type of Elution/Mobile Phase	Conditions (Flow Rate/Temperature/Number of Monolithic Columns)	Detector	Ref.
retinol and α -tocopherol	serum and human breast milk	100% MeOH ^a	1.5 mL/min/50 °C/one column	FL	[78]
terpenoids and flavonoid aglycones	aerial parts from <i>Lippia origanoides</i>	gradient elution/H ₂ O/MeOH both containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid	1.0 mL/min/32 °C/one column	UV	[127]
rutin, piceatannol, resveratrol, naringenin, kaempferol, emodin, physcion	root, stem and leaf from five species of <i>Rumex L.</i>	gradient elution/H ₂ O (0.1% formic acid)/ACN ^b	0.4 mL/min/room temp./one column	MS	[128]
avanafil and its degradation products	pharmaceutical preparation	isocratic elution/H ₂ O/ACN both with 0.1% formic acid (pH = 2.6,75:25, v/v)	0.5 mL/min/40 °C and 15 °C/one column	DAD, MS/MS	[129]
vitamins K3, D3, E, and A	capsules and pediatric drops	isocratic elution/ACN/MeOH both with 0.1% (v/v) formic acid (pH = 2.6, 25:75, v/v)	4.0 mL/min/room temp./one column	DAD	[130]
metformin, linagliptin, sitagliptin, vildagliptin	human plasma	isocratic elution/0.01 M ammonium formate buffer (pH = 3.0)/ACN (80:20, v/v)	0.4 mL/min/20 °C/one column	MS/MS	[85]
aspirin and dipyridamole	human plasma	isocratic elution/MeOH/0.1% formic acid in H ₂ O (90:10, v/v)	1.0 mL/min/-/one column	MS/MS	[131]
irbesartan and hydrochlorothiazide	tablets and urine	gradient elution/ACN/0.025 M phosphate buffer (pH = 6.3)/H ₂ O (3:87:10, v/v)	flow gradient: 0.8 mL and 1.5 mL/min/40 °C/one column	DAD	[81]
dapsone and N-acetyl dapsone	human plasma	isocratic elution/ACN/2 mM ammonium acetate in H ₂ O (90:10, v/v)	0.8 mL/min/-/one column	MS/MS	[132]

^a MeOH- methanol; ^b ACN- acetonitrile.

5. Conclusions

As shown in our study, columns with monolithic beds have great application potential for a wide range of analytes, including polar and low-polarity compounds from various chemical groups. Moreover, due to their unique pore structures, which result in numerous advantages such as low backpressure at high flow rates of eluents and low susceptibility to clogging, they are a useful tool in the analysis of samples with a rich matrix, including plant material and human-derived samples. Many chromatographic conditions, including various compositions of the mobile phase, flow rate values, temperatures, and types of elution were elaborated, taking into account the type of samples and analytes. Additionally, the combination of a few columns enhances the separation effectiveness of monoliths. The possibility of applying an increased flow rate of eluents allows shortening the time of analysis. Columns with monolithic filling also have increasing significance for preparative and semi-preparative applications, and they are used for isolation and purification of target compounds. The new generation of monolithic columns with improved efficiency of separation can increase their importance in chromatography in the future.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.S. (Michał Staniak), M.W., and I.S.; investigation, M.S. (Michał Staniak), K.T.-R., W.M., and M.S. (Maciej Strzemski); writing and editing, M.S. (Michał Staniak), M.W., and I.S.; visualization, S.D.; supervision, M.W. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Anna Zoń for the English language editing.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Ali, I.; Gaitonde, V.D.; Aboul-Enein, H.Y. Monolithic silica stationary phases in liquid chromatography. *J. Chromatogr. Sci.* **2009**, *47*, 432–442. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Svec, F. Monolithic columns: A historical overview. *Electrophoresis* **2017**, *38*, 2810–2820. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Guiochon, G. Monolithic columns in high-performance liquid chromatography. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2007**, *1168*, 101–168. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Cabrera, K. Applications of silica-based monolithic HPLC columns. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2004**, *27*, 843–852. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Sharma, G.; Tara, A.; Sharma, V.D. Advances in monolithic silica columns for high-performance liquid chromatography. *J. Anal. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *8*. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Tong, S.; Liu, S.; Wang, H.; Jia, Q. Recent advances of polymer monolithic columns functionalized with micro/nanomaterials: Synthesis and application. *Chromatographia* **2014**, *77*, 5–14. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Vyviurska, O.; Lv, Y.; Mann, B.F.; Svec, F. Comparison of commercial organic polymer-based and silica-based monolithic columns using mixtures of analytes differing in size and chemistry. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2018**, *41*, 1558–1566. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Svec, F.; Lv, Y. Advances and recent trends in the field of monolithic columns for chromatography. *Anal. Chem.* **2015**, *87*, 250–273. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
9. Oberacher, H.; Huber, C.G. Capillary monoliths for the analysis of nucleic acids by high-performance liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.* **2002**, *21*, 166–174. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Štrancar, A.; Barut, M.; Podgornik, A.; Koselj, P.; Schwinn, H.; Raspor, P.; Josić, D. Application of compact porous tubes for preparative isolation of clotting factor VIII from human plasma. *J. Chromatogr. A* **1997**, *760*, 117–123. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Gupalova, T.V.; Lojkina, O.V.; Pålågnum, V.G.; Totolian, A.A.; Tennikova, T.B. Quantitative investigation of the affinity properties of different recombinant forms of protein G by means of high-performance monolithic chromatography. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2002**, *949*, 185–193. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Van De Meent, M.H.M.; Eeltink, S.; De Jong, G.J. Potential of poly(styrene-co-divinylbenzene) monolithic columns for the LC-MS analysis of protein digests. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2011**, *399*, 1845–1852. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

13. Jungbauer, A.; Hahn, R. Polymethacrylate monoliths for preparative and industrial separation of biomolecular assemblies. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2008**, *1184*, 62–79. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Siouffi, A.M. About the C term in the van Deemter's equation of plate height in monoliths. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2006**, *1126*, 86–94. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Corradini, D. *Handbook of HPLC*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2016; ISBN 978-1-57444-554-1.
16. Urban, J. Are we approaching a post-monolithic era? *J. Sep. Sci.* **2020**, *43*, 1628–1633. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
17. Pellati, F.; Calò, S.; Benvenuti, S. High-performance liquid chromatography analysis of polyacetylenes and polyenes in *Echinacea pallida* by using a monolithic reversed-phase silica column. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2007**, *1149*, 56–65. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
18. Lee, J.G.; Moon, S.O.; Kim, S.Y.; Yang, E.J.; Min, J.S.; An, J.H.; Choi, E.A.; Liu, K.H.; Park, E.J.; Lee, H.D.; et al. Rapid HPLC determination of gastrodin in *Gastrodiae Rhizoma*. *J. Korean Soc. Appl. Biol. Chem.* **2015**, *58*, 409–413. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Bhandari, P.; Kumar, N.; Singh, B.; Singh, V.; Kaur, I. Silica-based monolithic column with evaporative light scattering detector for HPLC analysis of bacosides and apigenin in *Bacopa monnieri*. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2009**, *32*, 2812–2818. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Maruška, A.; Kornyšova, O. Application of monolithic (continuous bed) chromatographic columns in phytochemical analysis. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2006**, *1112*, 319–330. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Abro, K.; Mahesar, S.A.; Iqbal, S.; Perveen, S. Quantification of malachite green in fish feed utilising liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry with a monolithic column. *Food Addit. Contam. Part A Chem. Anal. Control. Expo. Risk Assess.* **2014**, *31*, 827–832. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Khayoon, W.S.; Saad, B.; Lee, T.P.; Salleh, B. High performance liquid chromatographic determination of aflatoxins in chilli, peanut and rice using silica based monolithic column. *Food Chem.* **2012**, *133*, 489–496. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Zacharis, C.K.; Kika, F.S.; Tzanavaras, P.D.; Rigas, P.; Kyranas, E.R. Development and validation of a rapid HPLC method for the determination of five banned fat-soluble colorants in spices using a narrow-bore monolithic column. *Talanta* **2011**, *84*, 480–486. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Brabcová, I.; Kovářová, L.; Šatinský, D.; Havlíková, L.; Solich, P. A fast HPLC method for determination of vitamin E acetate in dietary supplements using monolithic column. *Food Anal. Methods* **2013**, *6*, 380–385. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Deshpande, N.M.; Gangrade, M.G.; Kekare, M.B.; Vaidya, V.V. Determination of free and liposomal Amphotericin B in human plasma by liquid chromatography-mass spectroscopy with solid phase extraction and protein precipitation techniques. *J. Chromatogr. B Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci.* **2010**, *878*, 315–326. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Alebouyeh, M.; Amini, H. Rapid determination of lamivudine in human plasma by high-performance liquid chromatography. *J. Chromatogr. B Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci.* **2015**, *975*, 40–44. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Saito, K.; Yagi, K.; Ishizaki, A.; Kataoka, H. Determination of anabolic steroids in human urine by automated in-tube solid-phase microextraction coupled with liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2010**, *52*, 727–733. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Rieux, L.; Niederländer, H.; Verpoorte, E.; Bischoff, R. Silica monolithic columns: Synthesis, characterisation and applications to the analysis of biological molecules. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2005**, *28*, 1628–1641. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Zacharis, C.K. Accelerating the quality control of pharmaceuticals using monolithic stationary phases: A review of recent HPLC applications. *J. Chromatogr. Sci.* **2009**, *47*, 443–451. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Bunch, D.R.; Wang, S. Applications of monolithic columns in liquid chromatography-based clinical chemistry assays. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2011**, *34*, 2003–2012. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Rigobello-Masini, M.; Penteado, J.C.P.; Masini, J.C. Monolithic columns in plant proteomics and metabolomics. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2013**, *405*, 2107–2122. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Namera, A.; Miyazaki, S.; Saito, T.; Nakamoto, A. Monolithic silica with HPLC separation and solid phase extraction materials for determination of drugs in biological materials. *Anal. Methods* **2011**, *3*, 2189–2200. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Mistry, K.; Grinberg, N. Application of monolithic columns in high performance liquid chromatography. *J. Liq. Chromatogr. Relat. Technol.* **2005**, *28*, 1055–1074. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Zhu, T.; Row, K.H. Monolithic materials and their applications in HPLC for purification and analysis of bioactive compounds from natural plants: A review. *Instrum. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *40*, 78–89. [[CrossRef](#)]

35. Ghanem, A.; Ikegami, T. Recent advances in silica-based monoliths: Preparations, characterizations and applications. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2011**, *34*, 1945–1957. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
36. Nakanishi, K.; Soga, N. Phase separation in gelling silica–organic polymer solution: Systems containing poly(sodium styrenesulfonate). *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **1991**, *74*, 2518–2530. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Nakanishi, K.; Soga, N. Phase separation in silica sol-gel system containing polyacrylic acid II. Effects of molecular weight and temperature. *J. Non. Cryst. Solids* **1992**, *139*, 14–24. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Nakanishi, K.; Soga, N. Phase separation in silica sol-gel system containing polyacrylic acid I. Gel formation behavior and effect of solvent composition. *J. NonCryst. Solids* **1992**, *139*, 1–13. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Minakuchi, H.; Nakanishi, K.; Soga, N.; Ishizuka, N.; Tanaka, N. Octadecylsilylated porous silica rods as separation media for reversed-phase liquid chromatography. *Anal. Chem.* **1996**, *68*, 3498–3501. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Cabrera, K. A new generation of silica-based monoliths HPLC columns with improved performance. *LCCG Eur.* **2012**, *30*, 30–35.
41. Díaz-Bao, M.; Barreiro, R.; Miranda, J.; Cepeda, A.; Regal, P. Recent advances and uses of monolithic columns for the analysis of residues and contaminants in food. *Chromatography* **2015**, *2*, 79–95. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Gritti, F.; Guiochon, G. Measurement of the eddy diffusion term in chromatographic columns. I. Application to the first generation of 4.6 mm I.D. monolithic columns. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2011**, *1218*, 5216–5227. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
43. Gritti, F.; Guiochon, G. Mass transfer kinetic mechanism in monolithic columns and application to the characterization of new research monolithic samples with different average pore sizes. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2009**, *1216*, 4752–4767. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
44. Cabooter, D.; Broeckhoven, K.; Sterken, R.; Vanmessen, A.; Vandendael, I.; Nakanishi, K.; Deridder, S.; Desmet, G. Detailed characterization of the kinetic performance of first and second generation silica monolithic columns for reversed-phase chromatography separations. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2014**, *1325*, 72–82. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Hlushkou, D.; Hormann, K.; Höltzel, A.; Khirevich, S.; Seidel-Morgenstern, A.; Tallarek, U. Comparison of first and second generation analytical silica monoliths by pore-scale simulations of eddy dispersion in the bulk region. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2013**, *1303*, 28–38. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
46. Sklenářová, H.; Chocholouš, P.; Koblová, P.; Zahálka, L.; Šatínský, D.; Matysová, L.; Solich, P. High-resolution monolithic columns—A new tool for effective and quick separation. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2013**, *405*, 2255–2263. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
47. Vuignier, K.; Fekete, S.; Carrupt, P.A.; Veuthey, J.L.; Guillaume, D. Comparison of various silica-based monoliths for the analysis of large biomolecules. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2013**, *36*, 2231–2243. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Hormann, K.; Müllner, T.; Bruns, S.; Höltzel, A.; Tallarek, U. Morphology and separation efficiency of a new generation of analytical silica monoliths. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2012**, *1222*, 46–58. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Cabrera, K.; Lubda, D.; Eggenweiler, H.M.; Minakuchi, H.; Nakanishi, K. A new monolithic-type HPLC column for fast separations. *HRC J. High Resolut. Chromatogr.* **2000**, *23*, 93–99. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Kele, M.; Guiochon, G. Repeatability and reproducibility of retention data and band profiles on six batches of monolithic columns. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2002**, *960*, 19–49. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Núñez, O.; Nakanishi, K.; Tanaka, N. Preparation of monolithic silica columns for high-performance liquid chromatography. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2008**, *1191*, 231–252. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. Minakuchi, H.; Nakanishi, K.; Soga, N.; Ishizuka, N.; Tanaka, N. Effect of domain size on the performance of octadecylsilylated continuous porous silica columns in reversed-phase liquid chromatography. *J. Chromatogr. A* **1998**, *797*, 121–131. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Pietrogrande, M.C.; Dondi, F.; Ciogli, A.; Gasparrini, F.; Piccin, A.; Serafini, M. Characterization of new types of stationary phases for fast and ultra-fast liquid chromatography by signal processing based on AutoCovariance Function: A case study of application to *Passiflora incarnata* L. extract separations. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2010**, *1217*, 4355–4364. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
54. Biesaga, M.; Ochnik, U.; Pyrzynska, K. Fast analysis of prominent flavonoids in tomato using a monolithic column and isocratic HPLC. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2009**, *32*, 2835–2840. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
55. Repollés, C.; Herrero-Martínez, J.M.; Ràfols, C. Analysis of prominent flavonoid aglycones by high-performance liquid chromatography using a monolithic type column. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2006**, *1131*, 51–57. [[CrossRef](#)]

56. Yadav, A.K.; Manika, N.; Bagchi, G.D.; Gupta, M.M. Simultaneous determination of flavonoids in *Oroxylum indicum* by RP-HPLC. *Med. Chem. Res.* **2013**, *22*, 2222–2227. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Vachirapatama, N.; Chamnankid, B.; Kachonpadungkitti, Y. Determination of rutin in buckwheat tea and *Fagopyrum tataricum* seeds by high performance liquid chromatography and capillary electrophoresis. *J. Food Drug Anal.* **2011**, *19*, 463–469.
58. Mehrdad, M.; Zebardast, M.; Abedi, G.; Koupaei, M.N.; Rasouli, H.; Talebi, M. Validated high-throughput HPLC method for the analysis of flavonol aglycones myricetin, quercetin, and kaempferol in *Rhus coriaria* L. using a monolithic column. *J. AOAC Int.* **2009**, *92*, 1035–1043. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. Chinnici, F.; Gaiani, A.; Natali, N.; Riponi, C.; Galassi, S. Improved HPLC determination of phenolic compounds in Cv. golden delicious apples using a monolithic column. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2004**, *52*, 3–7. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Liazid, A.; Barbero, G.F.; Palma, M.; Brigui, J.; Barroso, C.G. Rapid determination of simple polyphenols in grapes by lc using a monolithic column. *Chromatographia* **2010**, *72*, 417–424. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Sharma, U.K.; Sharma, N.; Sinha, A.K.; Kumar, N.; Gupta, A.P. Ultrafast UPLC-ESI-MS and HPLC with monolithic column for determination of principal flavor compounds in vanilla pods. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2009**, *32*, 3425–3431. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Biesaga, M.; Ochnik, U.; Pyrzynska, K. Analysis of phenolic acids in fruits by HPLC with monolithic columns. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2007**, *30*, 2929–2934. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
63. Rahim, A.A.; Nofrizal, S.; Saad, B. Rapid tea catechins and caffeine determination by HPLC using microwave-assisted extraction and silica monolithic column. *Food Chem.* **2014**, *147*, 262–268. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
64. Sparzak, B.; Dybowski, F.; Krauze-Baranowska, M. Analysis of Securinega-type alkaloids from *Phyllanthus glaucus* biomass. *Phytochem. Lett.* **2015**, *11*, 353–357. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. Staniak, M.; Wójciak-Kosior, M.; Sowa, I.; Strzemski, M.; Sawicki, J.; Dresler, S.; Tyszczyk-Rotko, K. Applicability of a monolithic column for separation of isoquinoline alkaloids from *chelidonium majus* extract. *Molecules* **2019**, *24*, 3612. [[CrossRef](#)]
66. Gupta, M.M.; Singh, D.V.; Tripathi, A.K.; Pandey, R.; Verma, R.K.; Singh, S.; Shasany, A.K.; Khanuja, S.P.S. Simultaneous determination of vincristine, vinblastine, catharanthine, and vindoline in leaves of *Catharanthus roseus* by high-performance liquid chromatography. *J. Chromatogr. Sci.* **2005**, *43*, 450–453. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
67. Singh, D.P.; Govindarajan, R.; Rawat, A.K.S. Comparison of different analytical HPLC columns for determination of furocoumarins in *Heracleum candicans* fruits. *J. Liq. Chromatogr. Relat. Technol.* **2008**, *31*, 421–427. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. Barbero, G.F.; Liazid, A.; Palma, M.; Barroso, C.G. Fast determination of capsaicinoids from peppers by high-performance liquid chromatography using a reversed phase monolithic column. *Food Chem.* **2008**, *107*, 1276–1282. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Schmidt, A.H. Fast HPLC for quality control of *Harpagophytum procumbens* by using a monolithic silica column: Method transfer from conventional particle-based silica column. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2005**, *1073*, 377–381. [[CrossRef](#)]
70. Schmidt, A.H. Validation of a fast-HPLC method for the separation of iridoid glycosides to distinguish between the *Harpagophytum* species. *J. Liq. Chromatogr. Relat. Technol.* **2005**, *28*, 2339–2347. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Cieśla, Ł. Biological Fingerprinting of Herbal Samples by Means of Liquid Chromatography. *Chromatogr. Res. Int.* **2012**, *2012*, 532418. [[CrossRef](#)]
72. Alaerts, G.; Pieters, S.; Logie, H.; Van Erps, J.; Merino-Arévalo, M.; Dejaegher, B.; Smeyers-Verbeke, J.; Vander Heyden, Y. Exploration and classification of chromatographic fingerprints as additional tool for identification and quality control of several *Artemisia* species. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2014**, *95*, 34–46. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
73. Hefny Gad, M.; Tuenter, E.; El-Sawi, N.; Younes, S.; El-Ghadban, E.M.; Demeyer, K.; Pieters, L.; Vander Heyden, Y.; Mangelings, D. Identification of some bioactive metabolites in a fractionated methanol extract from *ipomoea aquatica* (aerial parts) through TLC, HPLC, UPLC-ESI-QTOF-MS and LC-SPE-NMR fingerprints analyses. *Phytochem. Anal.* **2018**, *29*, 5–15. [[CrossRef](#)]

74. Ardakani, Y.H.; Rouini, M.R. Improved liquid chromatographic method for the simultaneous determination of tramadol and its three main metabolites in human plasma, urine and saliva. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2007**, *44*, 1168–1173. [[CrossRef](#)]
75. Wenk, M.; Haegeli, L.; Brunner, H.; Krähenbühl, S. Determination of furosemide in plasma and urine using monolithic silica rod liquid chromatography. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2006**, *41*, 1367–1370. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
76. Bugey, A.; Staub, C. Application of monolithic supports to online extraction and LC-MS analysis of benzodiazepines in whole blood samples. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2007**, *30*, 2967–2978. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
77. Galaon, T.; Udrescu, S.; Sora, I.; David, V.; Medvedovici, A. High-throughput liquid-chromatography method with fluorescence detection for reciprocal determination of furosemide or norfloxacin in human plasma. *Biomed. Chromatogr.* **2007**, *21*, 40–47. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
78. Kučerová, B.; Krčmová, L.; Solichová, D.; Plišek, J.; Solich, P. Comparison of a new high-resolution monolithic column with core-shell and fully porous columns for the analysis of retinol and α -tocopherol in human serum and breast milk by ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2013**, *36*, 2223–2230. [[CrossRef](#)]
79. Hoizey, G.; Lamiable, D.; Frances, C.; Trenque, T.; Kaltenbach, M.; Denis, J.; Millart, H. Simultaneous determination of amoxicillin and clavulanic acid in human plasma by HPLC with UV detection. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2002**, *30*, 661–666. [[CrossRef](#)]
80. Karageorgou, E.G.; Samanidou, V.F. Application of ultrasound-assisted matrix solid-phase dispersion extraction to the HPLC confirmatory determination of cephalosporin residues in milk. *J. Sep. Sci.* **2010**, *33*, 2862–2871. [[CrossRef](#)]
81. Koyuturk, S.; Can, N.O.; Atkosar, Z.; Arli, G. A novel dilute and shoot HPLC assay method for quantification of irbesartan and hydrochlorothiazide in combination tablets and urine using second generation C18-bonded monolithic silica column with double gradient elution. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2014**, *97*, 103–110. [[CrossRef](#)]
82. Hefnawy, M.M.; Aboul-Enein, H.Y. Fast high-performance liquid chromatographic analysis of mianserin and its metabolites in human plasma using monolithic silica column and solid phase extraction. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2004**, *504*, 291–297. [[CrossRef](#)]
83. Lavasani, H.; Giorgi, M.; Sheikholeslami, B.; Hedayati, M.; Rouini, M.R. A rapid and sensitive HPLC-fluorescence method for determination of mirtazapine and its two major metabolites in human plasma. *Iran. J. Pharm. Res.* **2014**, *13*, 853–862.
84. Foroutan, S.M.; Zarghi, A.; Shafaati, A.; Khoddam, A. Application of monolithic column in quantification of gliclazide in human plasma by liquid chromatography. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2006**, *42*, 513–516. [[CrossRef](#)]
85. Al Bratty, M.; Alhazmi, H.A.; Javed, S.A.; Lalitha, K.G.; Asmari, M.; Wölker, J.; El Deeb, S. Development and validation of LC-MS/MS method for simultaneous determination of metformin and four gliptins in human plasma. *Chromatographia* **2017**, *80*, 891–899. [[CrossRef](#)]
86. Rouini, M.R.; Ardakani, Y.H.; Moghaddam, K.A.; Solatani, F. An improved HPLC method for rapid quantitation of diazepam and its major metabolites in human plasma. *Talanta* **2008**, *75*, 671–676. [[CrossRef](#)]
87. Rouini, M.; Ardakani, Y.H.; Hakemi, L.; Mokhberi, M.; Badri, G. Simultaneous determination of clobazam and its major metabolite in human plasma by a rapid HPLC method. *J. Chromatogr. B Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci.* **2005**, *823*, 167–171. [[CrossRef](#)]
88. Muppavarapu, R.; Guttikar, S.; Rajappan, M.; Kamarajan, K.; Mullangi, R. Sensitive LC-MS/MS-ESI method for simultaneous determination of montelukast and fexofenadine in human plasma: Application to a bioequivalence study. *Biomed. Chromatogr.* **2014**, *28*, 1048–1056. [[CrossRef](#)]
89. Gupta, A.; Guttikar, S.; Shah, P.A.; Solanki, G.; Shrivastav, P.S.; Sanyal, M. Selective and rapid determination of raltegravir in human plasma by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry in the negative ionization mode. *J. Pharm. Anal.* **2015**, *5*, 101–109. [[CrossRef](#)]
90. Miresan, H.; Rosca, C.; Matei, N.; Roncea, F.; Cazacincu, R.; Iancu, I.; Stefanescu, E.; Enache, D.; Bratu, M.; Popescu, A. Simultaneous quantification of four benzodiazepines from whole blood by highperformance liquid chromatography in forensic toxicological analysis. *Analele Univ. Ovidius Constanta Ser. Chim.* **2014**, *25*, 24–27. [[CrossRef](#)]
91. Curticapean, A.; Muntean, D.; Curticapean, M.; Dogaru, M.; Vari, C. Optimized HPLC method for tramadol and O-desmethyl tramadol determination in human plasma. *J. Biochem. Biophys. Methods* **2008**, *70*, 1304–1312. [[CrossRef](#)]

92. Gritti, F.; Guiochon, G. Measurement of the eddy dispersion term in chromatographic columns: III. Application to new prototypes of 4.6 mm I.D. monolithic columns. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2012**, *1225*, 79–90. [[CrossRef](#)]
93. Zhang, Q.; Di, Y.T.; He, H.P.; Fang, X.; Chen, D.L.; Yan, X.H.; Zhu, F.; Yang, T.Q.; Liu, L.L.; Hao, X.J. Phragmalin- and mexicanolide-type limonoids from the leaves of *Trichilia connaroides*. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2011**, *74*, 152–157. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
94. Malek, S.N.A.; Lee, G.S.; Hong, S.L.; Yaacob, H.; Wahab, N.A.; Weber, J.F.F.; Shah, S.A.A. Phytochemical and cytotoxic investigations of curcuma mangga rhizomes. *Molecules* **2011**, *16*, 4539–4548. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
95. Bringmann, G.; Steinert, C.; Feineis, D.; Mudogo, V.; Betzin, J.; Scheller, C. HIV-inhibitory michellamine-type dimeric naphthylisoquinoline alkaloids from the Central African liana *Ancistrocladus congolensis*. *Phytochemistry* **2016**, *128*, 71–81. [[CrossRef](#)]
96. Lai, H.Y.; Lim, Y.Y.; Kim, K.H. Isolation and characterisation of a proanthocyanidin with antioxidative, antibacterial and anti-cancer properties from fern *Blechnum orientale*. *Pharmacogn. Mag.* **2017**, *13*, 31–37.
97. Kokotkiewicz, A.; Luczkiewicz, M.; Sowinski, P.; Glod, D.; Gorynski, K.; Bucinski, A. Isolation and structure elucidation of phenolic compounds from *Cyclopia subternata* Vogel (honeybush) intact plant and in vitro cultures. *Food Chem.* **2012**, *133*, 1373–1382. [[CrossRef](#)]
98. Maurya, A.; Verma, R.K.; Srivastava, S.K. Silica-based monolithic coupled column for the simultaneous determination of echitamine, Nb-demethylalstogustine, and loganetin in *alstonia scholaris* by RP-HPLC and optimization of extraction method. *J. Liq. Chromatogr. Relat. Technol.* **2015**, *38*, 543–549. [[CrossRef](#)]
99. Pandotra, P.; Sharma, R.; Datt, P.; Kushwaha, M.; Gupta, A.P.; Gupta, S. Ultrasound-assisted extraction and fast chromatographic method development, validation and system suitability analysis for 6, 8, 10-gingerols and shogaol in rhizome of *Zingiber officinale* by liquid chromatography-diode array detection. *Int. J. Green Pharm.* **2013**, *7*, 189–195.
100. Březinová, L.; Vlašínová, H.; Havel, L.; Humpa, O.; Slanina, J. Validated method for bioactive lignans in *Schisandra chinensis* in vitro cultures using a solid phase extraction and a monolithic column application. *Biomed. Chromatogr.* **2010**, *24*, 954–960.
101. Fecka, I. Qualitative and quantitative determination of hydrolysable tannins and other polyphenols in herbal products from meadowsweet and dog rose. *Phytochem. Anal.* **2009**, *20*, 177–190. [[CrossRef](#)]
102. Shanker, K.; Gupta, M.M.; Srivastava, S.K.; Bawankule, D.U.; Pal, A.; Khanuja, S.P.S. Determination of bioactive nitrile glycoside(s) in drumstick (*Moringa oleifera*) by reverse phase HPLC. *Food Chem.* **2007**, *105*, 376–382. [[CrossRef](#)]
103. Merlin, J.F.; Gresti, J.; Bellenger, S.; Narce, M. Fast high performance liquid chromatography analysis in lipidomics: Separation of radiolabelled fatty acids and phosphatidylcholine molecular species using a monolithic C18 silica column. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2006**, *565*, 163–167. [[CrossRef](#)]
104. Malasoni, R.; Srivastava, A.; Pandey, R.R.; Srivastava, P.K.; Dwivedi, A.K. Development and validation of improved HPLC method for the quantitative determination of Curcuminoids in Herbal Medicament. *J. Sci. Ind. Res. (India)* **2013**, *72*, 88–91.
105. Gupta, S.; Sharma, R.; Pandotra, P.; Jaglan, S.; Gupta, A.P. Chromolith method development, validation and system suitability analysis of ultra-sound assisted extraction of glycyrrhizic acid and glycyrrhetic acid from *Glycyrrhiza glabra*. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* **2012**, *7*, 991–994. [[CrossRef](#)]
106. Srivastava, A.; Tripathi, A.K.; Pandey, R.; Verma, R.K.; Gupta, M.M. Quantitative determination of reserpine, ajmaline, and ajmalicine in *Rauvolfia serpentina* by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography. *J. Chromatogr. Sci.* **2006**, *44*, 557–560. [[CrossRef](#)]
107. Jin, A.; Ozga, J.A.; Lopes-Lutz, D.; Schieber, A.; Reinecke, D.M. Characterization of proanthocyanidins in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.), lentil (*Lens culinaris* L.), and faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) seeds. *Food Res. Int.* **2012**, *46*, 528–535. [[CrossRef](#)]
108. Arapitsas, P.; Turner, C. Pressurized solvent extraction and monolithic column-HPLC/DAD analysis of anthocyanins in red cabbage. *Talanta* **2008**, *74*, 1218–1223. [[CrossRef](#)]
109. Baj, T.; Błazewicz, A.; Świątek, Ł.; Wolski, T.; Kocjan, R.; Główniak, K. Analysis of phenolic acids in Hyssop (*Hyssopus officinalis* L.) by HPLC-DAD with monolithic column Chromolith™ RP-18e. *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie Skłodowska Sect. DDD Pharm.* **2011**, *24*, 59–65.
110. Kennedy, J.A.; Taylor, A.W. Analysis of proanthocyanidins by high-performance gel permeation chromatography. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2003**, *995*, 99–107. [[CrossRef](#)]

111. Apers, S.; Naessens, T.; Van Den Steen, K.; Cuyckens, F.; Claeys, M.; Pieters, L.; Vlietinck, A. Fast high-performance liquid chromatography method for quality control of soy extracts. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2004**, *1038*, 107–112. [[CrossRef](#)]
112. Strzemiński, M.; Wójciak-Kosior, M.; Sowa, I.; Rutkowska, E.; Szwerce, W.; Kocjan, R.; Latański, M. Carlina species as a new source of bioactive pentacyclic triterpenes. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2016**, *94*, 498–504. [[CrossRef](#)]
113. Rostagno, M.A.; Palma, M.; Barroso, C.G. Solid-phase extraction of soy isoflavones. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2005**, *1076*, 110–117. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
114. Vian, M.A.; Tomao, V.; Gallet, S.; Coulomb, P.O.; Lacombe, J.M. Simple and rapid method for cis- and trans-resveratrol and piceid isomers determination in wine by high-performance liquid chromatography using Chromolith columns. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2005**, *1085*, 224–229. [[CrossRef](#)]
115. Maurya, A.; Srivastava, S.K. Large-scale separation of clavine alkaloids from *Ipomoea muricata* by pH-zone-refining centrifugal partition chromatography. *J. Chromatogr. B Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci.* **2009**, *877*, 1732–1736. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
116. Michel, T.; Destandau, E.; Elfakir, C. On-line hyphenation of centrifugal partition chromatography and high pressure liquid chromatography for the fractionation of flavonoids from *hippophae rhamnoides L.* berries. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2011**, *1218*, 6173–6178. [[CrossRef](#)]
117. Elendran, S.; Wang, L.W.; Prankerd, R.; Palanisamy, U.D. The physicochemical properties of geraniin, a potential antihyperglycemic agent. *Pharm. Biol.* **2015**, *53*, 1719–1726. [[CrossRef](#)]
118. Van Nederkassel, A.M.; Vijverman, V.; Massart, D.L.; Vander Heyden, Y. Development of a Ginkgo biloba fingerprint chromatogram with UV and evaporative light scattering detection and optimization of the evaporative light scattering detector operating conditions. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2005**, *1085*, 230–239. [[CrossRef](#)]
119. Troncoso, N.; Sierra, H.; Carvajal, L.; Delpiano, P.; Günther, G. Fast high performance liquid chromatography and ultraviolet-visible quantification of principal phenolic antioxidants in fresh rosemary. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2005**, *1100*, 20–25. [[CrossRef](#)]
120. Kodamatani, H.; Saito, K.; Niina, N.; Yamazaki, S.; Tanaka, Y. Simple and sensitive method for determination of glycoalkaloids in potato tubers by high-performance liquid chromatography with chemiluminescence detection. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2005**, *1100*, 26–31. [[CrossRef](#)]
121. Zarghi, A.; Foroutan, S.M.; Shafaati, A.; Khoddam, A. HPLC determination of omeprazole in human plasma using a monolithic column. *Arzneim. Forsch. Drug Res.* **2006**, *56*, 382–386. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
122. Zarghi, A.; Shafaati, A.; Foroutan, S.M.; Movahed, H.; Khoddam, A. A rapid high-performance liquid chromatographic method for the determination of pantoprazole in plasma using UV detection: Application in pharmacokinetic studies. *Arzneim. Forsch. Drug Res.* **2008**, *58*, 441–444.
123. Cruz, A.D.C.; Suenaga, E.M.; Abib, E.; Pedrazzoli, J. On-line solid phase extraction coupled with liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry for the determination of codeine in human plasma. *Quim. Nova* **2017**, *40*, 25–29. [[CrossRef](#)]
124. Abro, K.; Memon, N.; Bhangar, M.I.; Mahesar, S.A.; Perveen, S. Liquid chromatographic determination of pioglitazone in pharmaceuticals, serum and urine samples. *Pak. J. Anal. Environ. Chem.* **2011**, *12*, 49–54.
125. Sora, I.; Galaon, T.; David, V.; Medvedovici, A. Determination of nimesulide and its active metabolite in plasma samples based on solvent deproteinization and HPLC-DAD analysis. *Rev. Roum. Chim.* **2007**, *52*, 499–507.
126. Ali, I.; Gupta, V.K.; Singh, P.; Singh, R.; Negi, U. Analysis of chloramphenicol in biological samples by SPE-HPLC. *Anal. Chem. Lett.* **2013**, *3*, 181–190. [[CrossRef](#)]
127. Leitão, S.G.; Leitão, G.G.; Vicco, D.K.T.; Pereira, J.P.B.; de Moraes Simão, G.; Oliveira, D.R.; Celano, R.; Campone, L.; Piccinelli, A.L.; Rastrelli, L. Counter-current chromatography with off-line detection by ultra high performance liquid chromatography/high resolution mass spectrometry in the study of the phenolic profile of *Lippia organoides*. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2017**, *1520*, 83–90. [[CrossRef](#)]
128. Jeelani, S.M.; Farooq, U.; Gupta, A.P.; Lattoo, S.K. Phytochemical evaluation of major bioactive compounds in different cytotypes of five species of *Rumex L.* *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2017**, *109*, 897–904. [[CrossRef](#)]
129. Can, N.Ö. Development of validated and stability-indicating LC-DAD and LC-MS/MS methods for determination of avanafil in pharmaceutical preparations and identification of a novel degradation product by LCMS-IT-TOF. *Molecules* **2018**, *23*, 1771. [[CrossRef](#)]

130. El Kurdi, S.; Muaileq, D.A.; Alhazmi, H.A.; Al Bratty, M.; El Deeb, S. Comparing monolithic and fused core HPLC columns for fast chromatographic analysis of fat-soluble vitamins. *Acta Pharm.* **2017**, *67*, 203–213. [[CrossRef](#)]
131. Puram, S.; Batheja, R.; Vivekanand, P.A.; Nallamekala, S.R.B.; Kubal, A.; Kalaivani, R.A. Evaluation of aspirin and dipyridamole using low concentration potassium fluoride as a stabilizer in human plasma by LC-MS/MS mode. *Asian J. Chem.* **2016**, *28*, 2403–2406. [[CrossRef](#)]
132. Bonde, S.L.; Bhadane, R.P.; Gaikwad, A.; Narendiran, A.S.; Srinivas, B. Simultaneous determination of dapsone and its major metabolite N-Acetyl Dapsone by LC-MS/MS method. *Int. J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci.* **2013**, *5*, 441–446.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).