engagement indicate that results from studies conducted on younger and majority populations may not maintain external validity outside of those groups. Therefore, efforts to engage diverse older adults in research is imperative. The goal of this scoping review was to summarize findings of the current state of National Institute on Aging (NIA) sponsored research, identifying extant literature on engaging diverse older adult populations in aging and ADRD research. Among 566 articles screened for inclusion, 436 were included in the final analysis. Results showed that African Americans were represented in over half the studies (63.5%), but Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander and American Indian or Alaska Native populations were not well represented. Communityand convenience-based recruitment and retention strategies that have demonstrated prior success in research engagement were widely utilized. Racial, ethnic, and income status breakdowns were not included in 30.0%, 57.1%, and 53.4% of studies respectively, making it difficult to assess the applicability of findings for particular groups. Inclusion of Alzheimer's disease patients or those with mild cognitive impairments was also poorly defined in most studies. Findings highlight gaps in existing literature that can be used to inform future research, and recruitment and retention strategies for engaging racial and ethnic minority older adults in research.

EVALUATING THE CONVERSATION STARTER KIT IN LONG TERM CARE: A CANADIAN PERSPECTIVE

Sharon Kaasalainen,¹ and Tamara Sussman,² 1. McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, 2. McGill university, McGill University, Quebec, Canada

This study evaluated an advance care planning intervention, the Conversation Starter Kit (CSK) booklet, for use in long term care (LTC) homes. This study used a quasiexperimental, one group pre/post design. Quantitative surveys were administered before and after a 3-month advance care planning intervention (CSK booklet). Data were collected at three LTC homes in southern Ontario. We collected data from 55 resident who were able to make decisions on their own paired with 11 family members of these residents. We also collected data from 24 family members of residents who were not able to make decisions on their own. Quantitative surveys were administered before and after the intervention. An additional structured interview was completed at the end of the intervention period, which included both closed and open-ended questions to assess perceptions about the CSK booklet's use or non-use. Residents reported higher engagement in advance care planning after having completed the CSK booklet than before, particularly related to asking questions to health care providers about health care decisions. Family members reported feeling very certain that they would be able to make decisions on behalf of the resident but they felt less certain after completing the CSK booklet, implying that the CSK booklet raised their awareness of the types of decisions that they might need to make, hopefully triggering them to become more prepared for these decisions in the future. The CSK appears acceptable, easy to use for residents and family members/friends in LTC, and can improve resident engagement in ACP.

EXPERIENCES AND PERCEIVED ORIGINS OF COMPASSIONATE AGEISM AMONG OLDER ADULTS DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

Catherine Ju,¹ Meghan McDarby,² Matthew Picchiello,³ and Brian Carpenter,⁴ 1. Washington University St. Louis, St. Louis, Missouri, United States, 2. Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri, United States, 3. Washington University in St. Louis, Olivette, Missouri, United States, 4. Washington University in St. Louis, Saint Louis, Missouri, United States

During the COVID-19 pandemic, there was a rise in media messages (MMs) and interpersonal behaviors (IBs) that could have been considered as reflecting compassionate ageism (i.e., ageism that stems from perceptions of older adults [OAs] as warm but incompetent). However, it is unclear how OAs experienced these MMs and IBs during the pandemic. The current study examined how OAs perceived pandemic-related MMs and IBs. We recruited 74 community-dwelling OAs (Mage = 73.18, 58% female). Participants completed a survey in which they reported the extent to which they had encountered five MMs and nine IBs throughout the COVID-19 pandemic. Then, participants rated whether they believed each MM and IB was motivated by care and how offended they were by it. Nearly all participants had encountered MMs about OAs' vulnerability to COVID-19 (e.g., more likely to contract COVID-19, 97%; more likely to die from COVID-19, 97%). Furthermore, most participants experienced IBs emphasizing their vulnerability to COVID-19 (e.g., told by another person they had a higher likelihood of contracting COVID-19, 64%; someone had checked in on them unprompted, 63%). However, across MMs and IBs, most participants (59-100%) perceived them as motivated by care and concern, and a relatively small proportion (0-20%) reported being offended by them. Our findings underscore the importance of understanding nuances of ageism from the perspective of OAs themselves. Different forms of ageism (i.e., compassionate ageism, hostile ageism) rooted in certain stereotypes about older adults (i.e., high warmth-low competence) may uniquely shape the lived experiences of OAs.

EXPERIENCES AND PERCEPTIONS OF USING A LOW-COST PET ROBOT FOR OLDER ADULTS AND PEOPLE WITH DEMENTIA

Wei Qi Koh,¹ Sally Whelan,¹ Pascale Heins,² Dympna Casey,¹ Elaine Toomey,³ and Rose-Marie Dröes,⁴ 1. National University of Ireland Galway, Galway, Galway, Ireland, 2. Maastricht University, Maastricht, Limburg, Netherlands, 3. University of Limerick, Limerick, Limerick, Ireland, 4. Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam (Amsterdam UMC), Amsterdam, Noord-Holland, Netherlands

Pet robots are a practicable substitute for animal-assisted therapy. They have been shown to have positive impacts on older adults, including people with dementia, such as providing companionship and facilitating social interaction. However, the issue of affordability can hinder equal access to such technology. The purpose of our study was to understand the perceptions and experiences of using a low-cost, commercially available pet robot with older adults and people with dementia. We used a novel methodology of analysing a large volume of user reviews that were collected from 15