



# Article Prevalence and Influencing Factors of Metabolic Syndrome among Adults in China from 2015 to 2017

Fan Yao<sup>1</sup>, Yacong Bo<sup>2</sup>, Liyun Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Yaru Li<sup>3</sup>, Lahong Ju<sup>1</sup>, Hongyun Fang<sup>1</sup>, Wei Piao<sup>1</sup>, Dongmei Yu<sup>1,\*</sup> and Xiangqian Lao<sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory of Trace Element Nutrition of National Health Commission, National Institute for Nutrition and Health, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing 100050, China; yao\_f@foxmail.com (F.Y.); zhaoly@ninh.chinacdc.cn (L.Z.); julh@ninh.chinacdc.cn (L.J.); fanghy@ninh.chinacdc.cn (H.F.); piaowei@ninh.chinacdc.cn (W.P.)
- <sup>2</sup> Jockey Club School of Public Health and Primary Care, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong 999077, China; boyacong777@gmail.com (Y.B.); xqlao@cuhk.edu.hk (X.L.)
- <sup>3</sup> Beijing Friendship Hospital, Beijing 100050, China; liyaru0225@126.com
- \* Correspondence: yudm@ninh.chinacdc.cn

Abstract: The prevalence and influencing factors of metabolic syndrome (MetS) in Chinese residents aged 20 or older were investigated. The data were collected from China Nutrition and Health Surveillance (2015–2017), which used a stratified, multistage, random sampling method. A total of 130,018 residents aged 20 years or older from 31 provinces were included in this study. The National Cholesterol Education Programme Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP ATP III) criteria were used to define MetS. The standardised prevalence of high waist circumference, high blood pressure and low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol were 40.8%, 49.4% and 41.1%, respectively. The following factors were associated with a higher prevalence of MetS: female [odds ratio (OR) = 1.773, 95% CI = 1.709–1.840]; older age (OR = 1.037, 95% CI = 1.036–1.039); living in north China (OR = 1.087, 95% CI = 1.058–1.117); high body mass index (OR = 1.402, 95% CI = 1.395–1.408); higher income [OR (95% CI): 1.044 (1.007–1.083), 1.083 (1.044–1.124) and 1.123 (1.078–1.170) for moderate, high, and very high income, respectively]; family history of hypertension (OR = 1.237, 95% CI = 1.203–1.273); family history of diabetes (OR = 1.491, 95% CI = 1.426–1.558) and current smoking status (OR = 1.143, 95% CI = 1.098–1.191). Living in the countryside (OR = 0.960, 95% CI = 0.932–0.988), moderate alcohol consumption (OR = 0.917, 95% CI = 0.889–0.946) and being physically active (OR = 0.887, 95% CI = 0.862–0.913) were associated with a lower prevalence of MetS. The prevalence of MetS among residents aged 20 years or older in China is increasing, especially among women, people aged 45 years or older and urban residents. Preventive efforts, such as quitting smoking and engaging in physical activity, are recommended to reduce the risk of MetS.

Keywords: metabolic syndrome; adults; prevalence; influencing factors

# 1. Introduction

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) refers to a set of combined cardiovascular risk factors that, together, constitute cardiovascular risk beyond the sum of the individual components. The main components of MetS are abdominal obesity, insulin resistance, increased blood pressure and dyslipidaemia [1]. With economic development and changes in lifestyle, the prevalence of MetS is increasing worldwide [2,3] and has become a public health issue owing to its serious effect on human health. In 2001, a nationally representative sample survey conducted in 31 provinces and cities in China found that the standardised prevalence of MetS was 13.7% (men 9.8%, women 17.8%) [4]. In 2010–2012, the standardised prevalence of MetS was found to have increased to 24.2% (men 24.6%, women 23.8%) [5]. These data indicate the urgent need for the prevention and control of MetS. Based on



Citation: Yao, F.; Bo, Y.; Zhao, L.; Li, Y.; Ju, L.; Fang, H.; Piao, W.; Yu, D.; Lao, X. Prevalence and Influencing Factors of Metabolic Syndrome among Adults in China from 2015 to 2017. *Nutrients* **2021**, *13*, 4475. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13124475

Academic Editor: Alessandro Sartorio

Received: 25 October 2021 Accepted: 10 December 2021 Published: 15 December 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). data from China Nutrition and Health Surveillance (2015–2017), this study evaluated the prevalence and influencing factors of MetS among Chinese residents aged 20 years or older.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Data Source

The data were derived from China Nutrition and Health Surveillance (2015–2017), which adopted a stratified, multistage, random sampling method to recruit representative participants from 31 provinces/municipalities/autonomous regions of China. Detailed information about this survey is given in our previous report [6]. The protocol for the current study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the National Institute for Nutrition and Health, and the Chinese Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (approval numbers: 201519-A and 201614), and all of the participants signed an informed consent form prior to joining the study. A total of 130,018 participants aged 20 years or older were included in the analysis.

## 2.2. Data Collection

China Nutrition and Health Surveillance (2015–2017) collected information using the four following parts: a questionnaire survey, a physical examination, a dietary evaluation and a laboratory test.

# 2.2.1. Questionnaire Survey

A face-to-face questionnaire was used to collected family and personal information, including information on lifestyle factors, health status and physical activity.

## 2.2.2. Physical Examination

Height, weight, waist circumference and blood pressure were measured by trained investigators based on standard methods using a TZG height and sitting height meter, a TANITA HD-390 electronic weighing scale, a waist circumference ruler and an Omron HBP1300 electronic sphygmomanometer. These measurements were accurate to 0.1 cm, 0.1 kg, 0.1 cm and 1 mmHg, respectively.

## 2.2.3. Dietary Evaluation

The food frequency questionnaire was used to capture and evaluate the dietary structure and dietary habits of participants over the past year. Specifically, information was collected regarding the consumption of vegetables, fruits and red meat items.

## 2.2.4. Laboratory Tests

An overnight fasting blood sample was collected from each participant to measure blood biochemical indexes and nutritional status parameters.

## 2.3. Quality Control

To ensure quality, the National Project Working Group devised a quality control plan and supervised its implementation. This ensured that there were unified programmes, manuals and questionnaires; unified training and assessment; unified equipment and reagents; and unified data entry and data cleaning across the study sites.

## 2.4. Definition of MetS

MetS was defined according to the National Cholesterol Education Programme-Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP-ATP III) [7] as having three or more of the following factors: (1) a waist circumference of  $\geq$ 90 cm for men and  $\geq$ 80 cm for women; (2) a systolic blood pressure of  $\geq$ 130 mmHg or a diastolic blood pressure of  $\geq$ 85 mmHg or receiving anti-hypertension treatment; (3) a fasting triglyceride level of  $\geq$ 1.7 mmol/L or receiving corresponding treatment; (4) a high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) level of <1.03 mmol/L for men and <1.30 mmol/L for women or receiving corresponding treatment; (5) a fasting plasma glucose (FPG) level of  $\geq$ 5.6 mmol/L or receiving anti-diabetes treatment or reporting previously physician-diagnosed diabetes.

# 2.5. Covariates

A wide range of potential confounders were accounted for: (1) body mass index (BMI) was categorised as normal ( $18.5 \le \text{to} < 24 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ), overweight ( $24 \le \text{to} < 28 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ) or obese ( $\geq$ 28 kg/m<sup>2</sup>); (2) education level was categorised as low (primary school or below), moderate (junior school) or high (high school or above); (3) according to the income quartile, income was categorised as low, moderate, high or very high; (4) family history of hypertension (or diabetes) was defined as one or more of a grandfather, grandmother, father, mother or brother/sister suffering from hypertension (or diabetes); (5) smoking was categorised as never smoked, formerly smoked or currently smoke; (6) alcohol consumption was categorised as never consumed, moderately consume (men consume less than 25 g of alcohol and women less than 15 g of alcohol per day) or excessively consume (men consume more than 25 g and women more than 15 g per day); (7) physical activity was defined as inactive if, within one week, the total time of moderate-intensity activity was less than 150 min, or high-intensity activity was less than 75 min, or the cumulative amount of moderate- and high-intensity activity was less than 150 min [8]; (8) fruit and vegetable intake was defined as insufficient if the daily average intake was <400 g [9]; (9) red meat intake was categorised as insufficient (daily average red meat intake <18 g), moderate  $(18 \text{ g} \le \text{to} < 27 \text{ g}) \text{ or excessive} (\ge 27 \text{ g}) [10].$ 

# 2.6. Statistical Analysis

SAS 9.4 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was used to analyse the data. Continuous variables with normal distributions are presented as  $\bar{x} \pm s$ , and comparisons between groups were made using the *t*-test. Variables with skewed distributions are presented as the median with the 25th and 75th quantiles [M (P25, P75)], and comparisons between groups were made using the non-parametric statistical hypothesis test. Categorical variables are expressed as N (%) and were compared by the chi-square test. The PROC SURVEYFREQ was used to calculate the standardised prevalence and 95% CI of MetS and its components using the weight derived from the data published by the China National Bureau of Statistics in 2010. The influencing factors were analysed using a logistic regression model. A two-sided *p* value < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

## 3. Results

## 3.1. General Characteristics of the Participants

A total of 130,018 participants were included—61,775 men (47.5%) and 68,243 women (52.5%). The average age of the men in the study was higher than that of the women. There were significant differences in MetS prevalence by sex, age, urban vs. rural residence, area of the country, education level, income, family history of hypertension, family history of diabetes, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, fruit and vegetable intake and red meat intake. Waist circumference, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, triglycerides and FPG were significantly higher in men than in women, while BMI and high-density lipoprotein were significantly lower in men than in women (p < 0.05, Table 1).

## 3.2. Standardised Prevalence of MetS and Its Components

The standardised prevalence of MetS among Chinese residents aged 20 years or older was 31.1%, with a significantly higher prevalence in women than in men (32.3% vs. 30.0%). The highest prevalence was found in participants aged  $\geq$  75 years (44.2%), and the lowest prevalence was found in participants aged 20–44 years (23.3%). The prevalence in the north (35.9%) was higher than that in the south (27.4%). There were significant differences in the prevalence of MetS among the groups of BMI, education level, family history of hypertension, family history of diabetes, physical activity, smoking, alcohol consumption and red meat intake (p < 0.05, Table 2).

The standardised prevalences of high WC, high BP, elevated TG, low HDL-C and elevated FPG were 40.8%, 49.4%, 29.3%, 41.1% and 24.6%, respectively. Among them, the standardised prevalences of high WC, high BP and low HDL-C were the highest (Figure 1). Among the 130,018 participants, 18,183 (14.0%) had no abnormal components; 32,821 (25.2%) had one abnormal component; 32,136 (24.7%) had two abnormal components; 24,656 (19.0%) had three abnormal components; 15,856 (12.2%) had four abnormal components; and 6366 (4.9%) had five abnormal components (Figure 2).

	Men	Women	Total
Ν	61,775	68,243	130,018
Age, years *	53.0 (43.4,63.2)	51.8 (42.4,61.7)	52.3 (42.9,62.4)
Residence location, N (%) *			
City	25,371 (41.1)	29,878 (43.8)	55,249 (42.5)
Countryside	36,404 (58.9)	38,365 (56.2)	74,769 (57.5)
Area of the country, N (%) $*$			
South	31,232 (50.6)	35,253 (51.7)	66,485 (51.1)
North	30,543 (49.4)	32,990 (48.3)	63,533 (48.9)
Education level, N (%) *			
Low	24,315 (39.4)	36,645 (53.7)	60,960 (46.9)
Moderate	22,516 (36.5)	18,608 (27.3)	41,124 (31.6)
High	14,944 (24.2)	12,990 (19.0)	27,934 (21.5)
Income, N (%) *			
Low	17,612 (28.5)	18,535 (27.2)	36,147 (27.8)
Moderate	15,524 (25.1)	17,265 (25.3)	32,789 (25.2)
High	15,715 (25.4)	17,995 (26.4)	33,710 (25.9)
Very high	12,924 (20.9)	14,448 (21.2)	27,372 (21.1)
Family history of hypertension, N (%) *	20,313 (32.9)	23,619 (34.6)	43,932 (33.8)
Family history of diabetes, N (%) *	5609 (9.1)	7149 (10.5)	12,758 (9.8)
Smoking, N (%) *			
Never	20,433 (33.1)	65,732 (96.3)	86,165 (66.3)
Former	8673 (14.0)	569 (0.8)	9242 (7.1)
Current	32,669 (52.9)	1942 (2.9)	34,611 (26.6)
Alcohol consumption, N (%) *			
Never	21,429 (34.7)	51,799 (75.9)	73,228 (56.3)
Moderate	28,415 (46.0)	15,377 (22.5)	43,792 (33.7)
Excessive	11,931 (19.3)	1067 (1.6)	12,998 (10.0)
Physically active, N (%) *	41,112 (66.6)	50,741 (74.4)	91,853 (70.7)
Insufficient fruit and vegetable intake,	32 012 (51 8)	34 970 (51 2)	66 082 (51 5)
N (%) *	52,012 (51.6)	54,970 (51.2)	00,982 (31.3)
Red meat intake, N (%) *			
Moderate	4606 (7.5)	5714 (8.4)	10,320 (7.9)
Insufficient	24,442 (39.6)	31,533 (46.2)	55,975 (43.1)
Excessive	32,727 (53.0)	30,996 (45.4)	63,723 (49.0)
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) *	24.2 (22.0,26.6)	24.2 (22.0,26.7)	24.2 (22.0,26.6)
WC (cm) *	$85.3\pm10.0$	$81.9\pm9.7$	$83.5\pm10.0$
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) *	$136.6\pm19.7$	$134.4\pm22.2$	$135.4\pm21.0$
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) *	$81.7\pm11.4$	$77.7 \pm 11.4$	$79.6 \pm 11.5$
TG (mmol/L) *	1.3 (0.9,2.0)	1.2 (0.8,1.8)	1.2 (0.8,1.9)
HDL-C (mmol/L) *	$1.2\pm0.4$	$1.3\pm0.3$	$1.3\pm0.3$
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L) *	5.2 (4.8,5.7)	5.2 (4.8,5.6)	5.2 (4.8,5.7)

Table 1. Participant characteristics according to sex.

Data are presented as  $\bar{x} \pm s$  for normal distributions, or as M (P25, P75) for skewed distributions, or N (%). \* p < 0.05 compared with men. Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; WC, waist circumference; TG, triglycerides; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Prevalence	95% CI	Rao-Scott X <sup>2</sup>	<i>p</i> -Value
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Total		31.1	30.0-32.2		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Sex		0111	0010 0212	10.9707	0.0009
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Men	30.0	28.8-31.3		
Age, years       1420.8214       <0.0001 $45-59$ 39.0       37.9-40.1		Women	32.3	30.9-33.6		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Age, years				1420.8214	< 0.0001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.1	20-44	23.3	22.1-24.5		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		45-59	39.0	37.9-40.1		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		60-74	43.9	42.4-45.5		
Residence location         2.8593         0.0908           City Countryside         30.1         28.8-31.4         232.4353         <0.0001		$\geq$ 75	44.2	41.3-47.2		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Residence location				2.8593	0.0908
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		City	32.0	30.2-33.8		
Area of the country       22.4353       <0.0001		Countryside	30.1	28.8–31.4		
$\begin{array}{c cccc} South & 27.4 & 26.2–28.6 \\ North & 35.9 & 34.7–37.2 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	Area of the country				232.4353	< 0.0001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		South	27.4	26.2–28.6		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		North	35.9	34.7–37.2		
Normal         11.3         11.1-12.6           Overweight         41.0         39.9-42.0         59.5475         <0.0001	BMI		11.0		54,564.5498	< 0.0001
$\begin{array}{c cccc} & \text{Overweight} & 41.0 & 39.9-42.0 \\ & \text{Obese} & 70.5 & 69.0-72.0 \\ & \text{Obese} & 70.5 & 69.0-72.0 \\ & \text{Moderate} & 30.3 & 29.0-31.6 \\ & \text{High} & 27.1 & 24.9-29.3 \\ & \text{Income} & & 1.5158 & 0.6786 \\ & \text{Low} & 30.9 & 29.5-32.4 \\ & \text{Moderate} & 30.4 & 29.2-31.6 \\ & \text{High} & 31.7 & 30.4-33.1 \\ & \text{Very high} & 31.4 & 28.7-34.1 \\ \hline \text{Family history of} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		Normal	11.8	11.1–12.6		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Overweight	41.0	39.9-42.0		
Education level       59.547.5       <0.0001		Obese	70.5	69.0–72.0		0.0001
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Education level	τ	25.0	24 4 27 2	59.5475	<0.0001
Income         50.3         29.0-31.6           Low         30.9         29.5-32.4           Moderate         30.4         29.2-31.6           High         31.7         30.4-33.1           Very high         31.4         28.7-34.1           Family history of hypertension         87.8033         <0.0001		LOW Madamata	35.8	34.4-37.2		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Moderate	30.3 27.1	29.0-31.6		
Income         Low         30.9         29.5–32.4         1.51.55         0.0786           Moderate         30.4         29.2–31.6         1.11.55         0.0786           High         31.7         30.4–33.1         Very high         31.4         28.7–34.1           Very high         31.4         28.7–34.1         87.803         <0.0001	Incomo	High	27.1	24.9-29.3	1 5159	0.6786
Low         50.3         29.2-31.6           Moderate         30.4         29.2-31.6           High         31.7         30.4-33.1           Very high         31.4         28.7-34.1           Family history of         87.8033         <0.0001	ncome	Low	30.0	20 5 32 4	1.5156	0.0780
High High bypertension       31.7 30.4-33.1 Very high       31.4 31.4 28.7-34.1       28.7-33.1 28.0-30.0 Yes         Family history of diabetes       No       29.0 Yes       28.0-30.0 Yes       31.7 30.4-33.1 Yes         Family history of diabetes       107.2048       <0.0001		Moderate	30.9	29.3-32.4		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		High	31.7	29.2-31.0		
Family history of hypertension       No       29.0       28.0-30.0         Yes       34.8       33.1-36.4         Family history of diabetes       107.2048       <0.0001		Very high	31.7	28 7–34 1		
hypertension         No         29.0         28.0–30.0         X0001           Yes         34.8         33.1–36.4         33.1–36.4           Family history of diabetes         107.2048         <0.0001	Family history of	very high	01.1	20.7 01.1	87 8033	<0.0001
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	hypertension				0110000	1010001
Yes       34.8       33.1–36.4         Family history of diabetes       107.2048       <0.0001		No	29.0	28.0-30.0		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Yes	34.8	33.1–36.4		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	family history of diabetes				107.2048	< 0.0001
Yes $39.9$ $37.5-42.3$ Physical activity $6.7119$ $0.0096$ Not active $32.1$ $30.7-33.5$ Active $30.6$ $29.5-31.8$ Smoking status $34.7917$ $<0.0001$ Never $31.2$ $30.0-32.5$ Former $37.7$ $35.4-40.0$ Current $29.6$ $28.1-31.0$ Alcohol $40.8781$ $<0.0001$ consumption $40.8781$ $<0.0001$ Never $32.6$ $31.6-33.7$ Moderate $28.7$ $27.2-30.2$ Excessive $33.2$ $30.9-35.5$ Fruit and vegetable intake $2.3882$ $0.1223$ Moderate $28.7$ $27.2-30.2$ Excessive $33.2$ $30.9-35.5$ Fruit and vegetable intake $30.6$ $29.2-32.0$ Red meat intake $30.8$ $29.0-32.5$ Insufficient $30.8$ $29.0-32.5$ Insufficient $32.8$ $31.5-34.1$ Excessive $29.8$ $28.6-31.0$ Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index. $34.7917$		No	30.0	28.9-31.0		
Physical activity       6.7119       0.0096         Not active       32.1       30.7-33.5       0.0096         Active       30.6       29.5-31.8       0.0001         Smoking status       34.7917       <0.0001		Yes	39.9	37.5-42.3		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Physical activity				6.7119	0.0096
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Not active	32.1	30.7–33.5		
Smoking status       34.7917       <0.0001		Active	30.6	29.5–31.8		
Never       31.2       30.0–32.5         Former       37.7       35.4–40.0         Current       29.6       28.1–31.0         Alcohol       40.8781       <0.0001	Smoking status				34.7917	< 0.0001
Former       37.7       35.4–40.0         Current       29.6       28.1–31.0         Alcohol consumption       40.8781       <0.0001		Never	31.2	30.0-32.5		
Alcohol consumption       29.6       28.1–31.0         Alcohol consumption       40.8781       <0.0001		Former	37.7	35.4-40.0		
Alconol consumption       40.8781       <0.0001	41.1.1	Current	29.6	28.1-31.0		
Never       32.6       31.6–33.7         Moderate       28.7       27.2–30.2         Excessive       33.2       30.9–35.5         Fruit and vegetable intake       2.3882       0.1223         Sufficient       31.6       30.5–32.7         Insufficient       30.6       29.2–32.0         Red meat intake       30.8       29.0–32.5         Insufficient       32.8       31.5–34.1         Excessive       29.8       28.6–31.0	Alconol				40.8781	< 0.0001
Moderate       28.7       27.2–30.2         Excessive       33.2       30.9–35.5         Fruit and vegetable intake       2.3882       0.1223         Sufficient       31.6       30.5–32.7         Insufficient       30.6       29.2–32.0         Red meat intake       30.8       29.0–32.5         Insufficient       32.8       31.5–34.1         Excessive       29.8       28.6–31.0	consumption	Novor	37.6	31 6 33 7		
Indefate       20.7       27.2–50.2         Excessive       33.2       30.9–35.5         Fruit and vegetable intake       2.3882       0.1223         Sufficient       31.6       30.5–32.7         Insufficient       30.6       29.2–32.0         Red meat intake       36.6381       <0.0001		Moderate	32.0 28.7	31.0 - 30.7		
Fruit and vegetable intake       2.3882       0.1223         Sufficient       31.6       30.5–32.7         Insufficient       30.6       29.2–32.0         Red meat intake       36.6381       <0.0001		Freesive	20.7	27.2-30.2		
Interface       2.3882       0.1223         intake       Sufficient       31.6       30.5–32.7         Insufficient       30.6       29.2–32.0       36.6381       <0.0001	Fruit and vegetable	Excessive	55.2	50.7-55.5		
Sufficient         31.6         30.5–32.7           Insufficient         30.6         29.2–32.0           Red meat intake         36.6381         <0.0001	intake				2.3882	0.1223
Insufficient         30.6         29.2–32.0           Red meat intake         36.6381         <0.0001	intuite	Sufficient	31.6	30.5-32.7		
Red meat intake         36.6381         <0.0001           Moderate         30.8         29.0–32.5            Insufficient         32.8         31.5–34.1            Excessive         29.8         28.6–31.0		Insufficient	30.6	29.2–32.0		
Moderate30.829.0–32.5Insufficient32.831.5–34.1Excessive29.828.6–31.0Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index.30.8	Red meat intake		• •		36.6381	< 0.0001
Insufficient 32.8 31.5–34.1 Excessive 29.8 28.6–31.0 Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index.		Moderate	30.8	29.0-32.5		
Excessive     29.8     28.6–31.0       Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index.		Insufficient	32.8	31.5-34.1		
Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index.		Excessive	29.8	28.6-31.0		
	Abbreviations: BMI, body	mass index.				

 Table 2. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in adults according to participant characteristics.



**Figure 1.** Standardised prevalence of metabolic components among adults in China. WC, waist circumference; BP, blood pressure; TG, triglycerides; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; FPG, fasting plasma glucose.



Figure 2. Metabolic components among adults in China.

## 3.3. Multivariate Logistic Regression

Variables with statistical significance in the univariate analysis and those that were not significant but are closely related to MetS according to medical theory were included in the multivariate logistic regression. A stepwise regression method was adopted, and the variables that were finally included in the model were sex, age, location of residence, area of the country, BMI, education level, income, family history of hypertension, family history of diabetes, physical activity, smoking status, alcohol consumption, fruit and vegetable intake, and red meat intake.

The prevalence of MetS was higher in women than in men (OR = 1.773, 95% CI = 1.709–1.840). Every 1 year increase in age was associated with a 3.7% (95% CI = 3.6%–3.9%) increase in the prevalence of MetS. The following factors were associated with a higher prevalence of MetS: living in northern China (OR = 1.087, 95% CI = 1.058–1.117), high BMI (OR = 1.402, 95% CI = 1.395–1.408), higher income [OR (95% CI): 1.044 (1.007–1.083),

1.083 (1.044–1.124), and 1.123 (1.078–1.170) for moderate, high, and very high income, respectively], family history of hypertension (OR = 1.237, 95% CI = 1.203–1.273), family history of diabetes (OR = 1.491, 95% CI = 1.426–1.558) and current smoking (OR = 1.143, 95% CI = 1.098–1.191). Living in the countryside (OR = 0.960, 95% CI = 0.932–0.988), moderate alcohol consumption (OR = 0.917, 95% CI = 0.889–0.946) and being physically active (OR = 0.887, 95% CI = 0.862–0.913) were associated with a lower prevalence of MetS (Table 3).

Table 3. Logistic regression analysis results of influencing factors.

Influencing Factor		β	SE	Wald X <sup>2</sup>	p	OR	95% CI
Intercept		-12.4553	0.0961	16,784.5555	< 0.0001		
Sex	Women vs. Men	0.5727	0.0189	918.6448	< 0.0001	1.773	1.709-1.840
Age		0.0368	0.0005	4751.4066	< 0.0001	1.037	1.036-1.039
Residence location	Countryside vs. City	-0.0411	0.0148	7.7242	0.0054	0.960	0.932-0.988
Area of the country	North vs. South	0.0835	0.0136	37.4822	< 0.0001	1.087	1.058-1.117
BMI		0.3377	0.0024	19,715.8134	< 0.0001	1.402	1.395-1.408
Income	Moderate vs. Low	0.0433	0.0188	5.3170	0.0211	1.044	1.007 - 1.083
	High vs. Low	0.0800	0.0190	17.6248	< 0.0001	1.083	1.044 - 1.124
	Very high vs. Low	0.1160	0.0208	30.9902	< 0.0001	1.123	1.078-1.170
Family history of hypertension	Yes vs. No	0.2130	0.0146	212.8383	< 0.0001	1.237	1.203-1.273
Family history of diabetes	Yes vs. No	0.3992	0.0225	315.9245	< 0.0001	1.491	1.426-1.558
Smoking status	Former vs. Never	0.0289	0.0292	0.9808	0.3220	1.029	0.972-1.090
	Current vs. Never	0.1339	0.0207	41.6962	< 0.0001	1.143	1.098-1.191
Alcohol consumption	Moderate vs. Never	-0.0868	0.0160	29.6303	< 0.0001	0.917	0.889-0.946
	Excessive vs. Never	0.0001	0.0254	0.0000	0.9957	1.000	0.952-1.051
Physical activity	Active vs. Not active	-0.1197	0.0149	64.7071	< 0.0001	0.887	0.862-0.913

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; SE, standard error.

## 4. Discussion

Based on data from China Nutrition and Health Surveillance (2015–2017), this analysis provides up-to-date information on the prevalence of and risk factors for MetS in Chinese adults. We found that the standardised prevalence of MetS was 31.1%. There was a significantly higher prevalence in women than in men (32.3% vs. 30.0%, p < 0.001) and in those living in northern China vs. southern China (35.9% vs. 27.4%, p < 0.001). The standardised prevalences of high WC, high BP and low HDL-C were 40.8%, 49.4% and 41.1%, respectively. These three variables are important components of MetS and should be addressed as key factors for MetS prevention and treatment. Only 14.0% of adults had no abnormal MetS components; thus, greater than 4/5 of adults had at least one abnormal MetS component.

Understanding which factors are associated with a high MetS rate would help to identify individuals who are at a greater risk of MetS. Consistent with previous studies, we found that the prevalence of MetS among Chinese adults was related to one's socioeconomic status and lifestyle factors. Sex, age, location of residence (city or countryside), area of the country (north or south), BMI, income, family history of hypertension, family history of diabetes, smoking status, alcohol consumption and physical activity were related to MetS prevalence. There was a higher prevalence of MetS in women than in men; this trend has also been observed in Porto (24.9% vs. 17.4%) [11], Iran (47.1% vs. 36.5%) [12] and China (15.57% vs. 12.31%) [13]. Postmenopausal status is associated with an increased risk of central obesity and insulin resistance [14], which may be the cause of the sex differences seen in MetS prevalence; however, further research is required to verify this. The MetS prevalence tended to increase with increasing age, which was similar to the findings of Wang et al. [15]. Living in the countryside was associated with a lower prevalence of MetS than living in a city, which was similar to the findings of Xi et al. [16], while living in northern China was associated with a higher prevalence of MetS than living in southern China, which was also observed by Gu et al. [4]. The BMI is an important measure of

obesity and overall health and is closely related to MetS [17,18]. This study found that every 1 unit increase in BMI was associated with a 40.2% increase in the prevalence of MetS. No association was found between education level and MetS prevalence, which was consistent with the findings of Park et al. [19]. Participants with higher incomes were more likely to have MetS than those with a low income, which may be related to the influence of economic development on diet structure and health awareness. Prasad et al. found that a middle-to-high socioeconomic status significantly contributed to an increased risk of MetS [20]. A family history of diabetes or hypertension was also significantly related to the prevalence of MetS. Carmelli et al. found that the consistency rates of diabetes, hypertension and obesity in identical twins were significantly higher than those in fraternal twins, indicating that genetic factors have an important relationship with the occurrence of MetS [21]. Current smoking status was a risk factor for MetS, which was consistent with previous studies [22,23]. Compared with never consumed alcohol, moderate alcohol consumption led to a lower risk of developing MetS, and this was consistent with the findings of Tresserra-Rimbau [24]. Being physically active was associated with a lower prevalence of MetS, which was also consistent with recent research showing that exercise can reduce the incidence of MetS [25,26]. Studies have confirmed that red meat intake is positively correlated with MetS occurrence [27,28], while eating more fruits and vegetables is protective against MetS [29,30]. This study did not identify any correlations between diet and the risk of MetS. This lack of correlation may have been related to bias. Furthermore, individuals who had previously developed MetS or a component of MetS may have changed their diet.

The survey data are representative of the whole country. After weighted adjustment, this study well reflects the epidemic characteristics of MetS among residents aged 20 years and older in China. However, this study also has some limitations. First, this was a cross-sectional study; thus, causal relationships could not be determined. Second, the investigation of alcohol consumption was limited to individuals' consumption patterns within the previous 12 months; therefore, alcohol consumption may have been underestimated.

# 5. Conclusions

We found that the prevalence of MetS among residents aged 20 years or older in China is increasing, especially among women, those aged 45 years or older and urban residents. Preventive efforts, such as quitting smoking and engaging in physical activity, are recommended to reduce the risk of MetS.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, D.Y. and X.L.; methodology, F.Y., Y.B., D.Y. and X.L.; formal analysis, F.Y. and D.Y.; investigation, L.Z.; resources, L.Z.; data curation, Y.L., L.J., H.F. and W.P.; writing—original draft preparation, F.Y., Y.B. and D.Y.; writing—review and editing, Y.B., H.F., W.P., D.Y. and X.L.; project administration, L.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** National Key Research and Development Project (Number: 2018YFC1603103); National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China Medical Reform Major Program: China National Chronic Diseases and Nutrition Surveillance of Adults (2015–2017).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the National Institute for Nutrition and Health, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (Approval number: 201519-A and 201614).

**Informed Consent Statement:** Any Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data is not allowed to be disclosed according to the National Institute for Nutrition and Health, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention.

**Acknowledgments:** We thank all the participants who took part in the China Nutrition and Health Surveillance (2015–2017) and the staff who conducted this study.

# Conflicts of Interest: No conflict of interest were declared.

# References

- 1. Ozmen, M.; Yersal, O.; Ozturk, S.; Solmaz, D.; Koseeoglu, M.H. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in rheumatoid arthritis. *Eur. J. Rheumatol.* **2014**, *1*, 1–4. [CrossRef]
- Lim, S.; Shin, H.; Song, J.H.; Kwak, S.H.; Kang, S.M.; Won, Y.J.; Choi, S.H.; Cho, S.I.; Park, K.S.; Lee, H.K.; et al. Increasing prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Korea: The Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey for 1998–2007. *Diabetes Care* 2011, 34, 1323–1328. [CrossRef]
- Aguilar, M.; Bhuket, T.; Torres, S.; Liu, B.; Wong, R.J. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in the United States, 2003–2012. JAMA 2015, 313, 1973–1974. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gu, D.; Reynolds, K.; Wu, X.; Chen, J.; Duan, X.; Reynolds, R.F.; Whelton, P.K.; He, J.; For, T.I.C.G.; InterASIA, C.G. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome and overweight among adults in China. *Lancet* 2005, 365, 1398–1405. [CrossRef]
- 5. Li, Y.; Zhao, L.; Yu, D.; Wang, Z.; Ding, G. Metabolic syndrome prevalence and its risk factors among adults in China: A nationally representative cross-sectional study. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e199293. [CrossRef]
- Yu, D.; Zhao, L.; Zhang, J.; Yang, Z.; Ding, G. China Nutrition and Health Surveys (19822017). *China CDC Wkly.* 2021, 3, 193–195. [CrossRef]
- 7. Grundy, S.M.; Cleeman, J.I.; Daniels, S.R.; Donato, K.A.; Eckel, R.H.; Franklin, B.A.; Gordon, D.J.; Krauss, R.M.; Savage, P.J.; Smith, S.C.; et al. Diagnosis and Management of the Metabolic Syndrome. *Circulation* **2005**, *112*, 2735–2752. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. World Health Organization. WHO Guidelines on Physical Activity and Sedentary Behaviour; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2020.
- 9. World Health Organization. *Draft Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases* 2013–2020; IChemE: Rugby, UK, 2013.
- Afshin, A.; Sur, P.J.; Fay, K.A.; Cornaby, L.; Ferrara, G.; Salama, J.S.; Mullany, E.C.; Abate, K.H.; Abbafati, C.; Abebe, Z.; et al. Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990–2017: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* 2019, 393, 1958–1972. [CrossRef]
- 11. Santos, A.C.; Ebrahim, S.; Barros, H. Gender, socio-economic status and metabolic syndrome in middle-aged and old adults. BMC Public Health 2008, 8, 62. [CrossRef]
- Hajian-Tilaki, K.; Heidari, B.; Firouzjahi, A.; Bagherzadeh, M.; Hajian-Tilaki, A.; Halalkhor, S. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome and the association with socio-demographic characteristics and physical activity in urban population of Iranian adults: A population-based study. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr. Clin. Res. Rev.* 2014, *8*, 170–176. [CrossRef]
- 13. Xu, T.; Zhu, G.; Han, S. Prevalence of and lifestyle factors associated with metabolic syndrome determined using multi-level models in Chinese adults from a cross-sectional survey. *Medicine* **2020**, *99*, e22883. [CrossRef]
- Fujimoto, W.Y.; Bergstrom, R.W.; Boyko, E.J.; Chen, K.; Kahn, S.E.; Leonetti, D.L.; McNeely, M.J.; Newell, L.L.; Shofer, J.B.; Wahl, P.W. Type 2 diabetes and the metabolic syndrome in Japanese Americans. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 2000, 50, S73–S76. [CrossRef]
- 15. Wang, G.; Li, L.; Pan, Y.; Tian, G.; Lin, W.; Li, Z.; Chen, Z.; Gong, Y.; Kikano, G.E.; Stange, K.C.; et al. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome among urban community residents in China. *BMC Public Health* **2013**, *13*, 599. [CrossRef]
- 16. Xi, B.; He, D.; Hu, Y.; Zhou, D. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome and its influencing factors among the Chinese adults: The China Health and Nutrition Survey in 2009. *Prev. Med.* **2013**, *57*, 867–871. [CrossRef]
- 17. Zhou, W.; Li, C.L.; Cao, J.; Feng, J. Metabolic syndrome prevalence in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Relationship with systemic inflammation. *Clin. Respir. J.* **2020**, *14*, 1159–1165. [CrossRef]
- 18. Hannoun, Z.; Harraqui, K.; Ben, A.R.; Tahiri, K.; Smail, O.B.; Samara, I.; Arabi, F.E.; Bour, A. Epidemiological profile and clinical characteristics of metabolic syndrome in Marrakesh, Morocco. *Pan Afr. Med. J.* **2020**, *36*, 133. [CrossRef]
- Park, H.S. The metabolic syndrome and associated lifestyle factors among South Korean adults. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 2004, 33, 328–336. [CrossRef]
- 20. Prasad, D.S.; Kabir, Z.; Dash, A.K.; Das, B.C. Prevalence and risk factors for metabolic syndrome in Asian Indians: A community study from urban Eastern India. *J. Cardiovasc. Dis. Res.* 2012, *3*, 204–211. [CrossRef]
- 21. Carmelli, D.; Cardon, L.R.; Fabsitz, R. Clustering of hypertension, diabetes, and obesity in adult male twins: Same genes or same environments? *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **1994**, *55*, 566–573.
- Yu, M.; Xu, C.; Zhu, H.; Hu, R.; Zhang, J.; Wang, H.; He, Q.; Su, D.; Zhao, M.; Wang, L.; et al. Associations of Cigarette Smoking and Alcohol Consumption with Metabolic Syndrome in a Male Chinese Population: A Cross-Sectional Study. *J. Epidemiol.* 2014, 24, 361–369. [CrossRef]
- 23. Jeong, J.; Yu, J. Prevalence and Influencing Factors of Metabolic Syndrome among Persons with Physical Disabilities. *Asian Nurs. Res.* **2018**, *12*, 50–55. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Tresserra-Rimbau, A.; Medina-Remón, A.; Lamuela-Raventós, R.M.; Bulló, M.; Salas-Salvadó, J.; Corella, D.; Fitó, M.; Gea, A.; Gómez-Gracia, E.; Lapetra, J. Moderate red wine consumption is associated with a lower prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in the PREDIMED population. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2015**, *113*, S121–S130. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Lee, J.; Kim, Y.; Jeon, J.Y. Association between physical activity and the prevalence of metabolic syndrome: From the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999–2012. *SpringerPlus* **2016**, *5*, 1870. [CrossRef]

- Houti, L.; Hamani-Medjaoui, I.; Lardjam-Hetraf, S.A.; Ouhaibi-Djellouli, H.; Chougrani, S.; Goumidi, L.; Mediene-Benchekor, S. Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome and its Related Risk Factors in the City of Oran, Algeria: The ISOR Study. *Ethn. Dis.* 2016, 26, 99–106. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Huang, L.N.; Wang, H.J.; Wang, Z.H.; Zhang, J.G.; Jia, X.F.; Zhang, B.; Ding, G.Q. Association of Red Meat Usual Intake with Serum Ferritin and the Risk of Metabolic Syndrome in Chinese Adults: A Longitudinal Study from the China Health and Nutrition Survey. *Biomed. Environ. Sci.* 2020, *33*, 19–29. [CrossRef]
- 28. Shang, X.; Scott, D.; Hodge, A.; English, D.R.; Giles, G.G.; Ebeling, P.R.; Sanders, K.M. Dietary protein from different food sources, incident metabolic syndrome and changes in its components: An 11-year longitudinal study in healthy community-dwelling adults. *Clin. Nutr.* **2017**, *36*, 1540–1548. [CrossRef]
- 29. Esmaillzadeh, A.; Kimiagar, M.; Mehrabi, Y.; Azadbakht, L.; Hu, F.B.; Willett, W.C. Fruit and vegetable intakes, C-reactive protein, and the metabolic syndrome. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2006**, *84*, 1489–1497. [CrossRef]
- 30. Tian, Y.; Su, L.; Wang, J.; Duan, X.; Jiang, X. Fruit and vegetable consumption and risk of the metabolic syndrome: A meta-analysis. *Public Health Nutr.* **2018**, *21*, 756–765. [CrossRef]