www.nature.com/emm

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Gene-metabolite network analysis in different nonalcoholic fatty liver disease phenotypes

Xiao-Lin Liu, Ya-Nan Ming, Jing-Yi Zhang, Xiao-Yu Chen, Min-De Zeng and Yi-Min Mao

We sought to identify common key regulators and build a gene-metabolite network in different nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) phenotypes. We used a high-fat diet (HFD), a methionine-choline-deficient diet (MCDD) and streptozocin (STZ) to establish nonalcoholic fatty liver (NAFL), nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and NAFL+type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in rat models, respectively. Transcriptomics and metabolomics analyses were performed in rat livers and serum. A functional network-based regulation model was constructed using Cytoscape with information derived from transcriptomics and metabolomics. The results revealed that 96 genes, 17 liver metabolites and 4 serum metabolites consistently changed in different NAFLD phenotypes (>2-fold, P<0.05). Gene-metabolite network analysis identified ccl2 and jun as hubs with the largest connections to other genes, which were mainly involved in tumor necrosis factor, P53, nuclear factor-kappa B, chemokine, peroxisome proliferator activated receptor and Toll-like receptor signaling pathways. The specifically regulated genes and metabolites in different NAFLD phenotypes constructed their own networks, which were mainly involved in the lipid and fatty acid metabolism in HFD models, the inflammatory and immune response in MCDD models, and the AMPK signaling pathway and response to insulin in HFD+STZ models. Our study identified networks showing the general and specific characteristics in different NAFLD phenotypes, complementing the genetic and metabolic features in NAFLD with hepatic and extra-hepatic manifestations.

Experimental & Molecular Medicine (2017) 49, e283; doi:10.1038/emm.2016.123; published online 13 January 2017

INTRODUCTION

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) encompasses a spectrum of diseases ranging from nonalcoholic fatty liver (NAFL) to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH).¹ Although NAFLD is benign and can generally be reversed by diet and exercise, it has the potential to generate quite serious medical consequences such as cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma and earlier onset of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).² Consequently, NAFLD is increasingly recognized as a low-grade systemic inflammatory state accompanied by hepatic and extra-hepatic manifestations.³

Recent studies have shown that cardiovascular disease (30%) and extra-hepatic malignancy (28%) are the most common causes of death in patients with NAFLD rather than liver disease (19%).⁴ Therefore, more attention should be paid to the cardiovascular metabolic risk in NAFLD. Transcriptomics is an emerging high-throughput screening technique that enables detection of small changes in messenger RNA expression sensitively through microarray analysis, whereas metabolomics have the ability to quantify and characterize

small metabolites in tissues and biofluids. The combination of transcriptomics and metabolomics is often preferred as a powerful tool in the study of multisystem diseases such as NAFLD.⁵ Several studies have identified regulated genes and pathways in NAFLD, including stearoyl-coenzyme A desaturase 1 (Scd1), sterol regulatory element binding factor 1c (Srebf1c) and the disturbances of phospholipid and bile acid metabolism.^{6,7} However, these studies focused exclusively on one NAFLD phenotype (that is, NAFL or NASH) without taking extra-hepatic manifestations of NAFLD into account.

Given the numerous complex and influencing factors in the human system, we used rat models to mimic disease manifestations in NAFLD patients in controlled genetic and environmental conditions. In this study, high-fat diet (HFD), methionine-choline-deficient diet (MCDD) and HFD+STZ rat models were used to represent simple fatty liver, fatty liver presenting with severe hepatic manifestations and fatty liver presenting with severe metabolic manifestations, respectively.^{8–10} We applied liver-transcriptomics (that is, microarray analysis), and liver and serological-metabolomics

Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Renji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai Institute of Digestive Disease, Shanghai, China

Correspondence: Dr Y-M Mao, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Renji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai Institute of Digestive Disease, Shanghai 200025, China.

E-mail: maoym11968@163.com

Received 29 February 2016; revised 12 July 2016; accepted 8 August 2016

(that is, liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry, LC-MS) to characterize the commonly and specifically regulated genes and metabolomics in different NAFLD phenotypes, thereby attempting to determine key regulators and adaptive pathways in this disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and treatments

Forty male Sprague-Dawley rats (6 weeks old) were housed in controlled conditions $(24 \pm 2 \text{ °C} \text{ and a } 12 \text{ h light/dark cycle})$ with free access to food and water. They were randomized into four experimental groups: the control group received a normal diet; the second group was fed a HFD(60 kcal%, Research Diets, New Brunswick, NJ, USA) to establish the NAFL model; the third group received a MCDD (Research Diets, USA) to establish the NASH model; and the fourth group was fed a HFD with a single intraperitoneal injection of streptozotocin (STZ; Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA; 25 mg kg⁻¹ in 0.1 M citrate buffer) at the end of 11 weeks to establish the NAFL +T2DM model. A hepatic steatosis observed in the liver biopsy and an NAFLD activity score (NAS) <5 indicated the establishment of the NAFL model, whereas significant hepatic steatosis with hepatocellular injury and NAS ≥ 5 indicated the presence of NASH.¹¹ The criteria for successfully establishing the T2DM model is fasting blood glucose \geq 11.1 mmol l⁻¹ by two consecutive measurements.¹² This experiment followed the National Research Council's Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of SLAC (IACUC). All our animal experiments were performed in accordance with the IACUC Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Serum and tissue sampling

All rats were killed by decapitation after fasting overnight. Blood samples were collected from the retinal venous plexus. Parts of the liver were either fixed in 4% formaldehyde overnight for histological examination or snap-frozen for later analysis.

Clinical chemistry and histological analysis

Serum alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, total bilirubin, triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein, low-density lipoprotein and fasting blood glucose were measured using an automatic biochemical analyzer (Siemens Advia 1800: Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Tarrytown, NY, USA), and fasting insulin was measured using a gamma radioimmunoassay counter (SN-697, Hesuo Rihuan, Shanghai, China). Paraffinized and frozen liver sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and oil red O, respectively. Histopathological features of steatosis, lobular inflammation and hepatocellular ballooning were scored according to the Non-alcoholic Steatohepatitis Clinical Research Network standard, and NAS was the sum of the scores in each component.

Gene expression profiling and data analysis

Total RNA from livers of 12 rats (three rats per group) was extracted using Trizol reagent (Takara, Shiga, Japan). Microarray analysis was performed with the rat OneArray (Phalanx Biotech Group, Hsinchu, Taiwan) that contained 24 358 genes according to the manufacturer's instructions.¹³ After probe filtering, the background value, signal intensity, signal-to-noise ratio and probe identification were collected as raw data. The raw data were normalized by median scaling of the technical repeats, and the mean was defined as the normalized probe signal. Standard selection criteria for significant differentially expressed genes were defined as \log_2 |Fold changel ≥ 1 , and adjusted *P*-value < 0.05. Principal component analysis and clustering analysis were performed on the differentially expressed genes.¹⁴ The gene expression information in this experiment was submitted to the Gene Expression Omnibus database with the registration no. GSE65220.

Validation of microarray data using real-time quantitative PCR

To validate findings of microarray analysis and quantify other genes of interest, representative gene subsets that were involved in regulated signaling pathways, including tumor necrosis factor (TNF), P53, nuclear factor-kappa B (NF- κ B), chemokine, peroxisome proliferator activated receptor (PPAR) and Toll-like receptor (TLR) signaling pathways, were analyzed using real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) assay. Values were normalized to those of the 18s rRNA sub-unit and are calculated based on the comparative $2^{\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method.

Ultra-performance liquid chromatography/mass spectrometric analysis

А global metabolite profile by ultra-performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry was performed to study metabolic differences among different groups. Tissue from each liver was homogenized and centrifuged for 10 min at 12 000 g and 4 °C. After centrifugation, 80 µl supernatant was separated for later analysis. Each serum sample was mixed with methanol and incubated, centrifuged for 10 minute at 12 000 g and 4 °C. Then, 200 µl supernatant was transferred into analytical vials for later analysis. Ultra-performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry was performed on a LC-Q/TOF-MS platform (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA, 1290 Infinity LC, 6530 UHD and Accurate-Mass Q-TOF/MS). The data were visualized by principal component analysis and orthogonal partial least-squares discriminant analysis models.¹⁵ The differentially expressed metabolites were selected according to the variable importance in the projection of the orthogonal partial least-squares model combined with the P-value of Student's t-test, which was identified by searching an online database (http://metlin.scripps.edu/).

Combined analysis of liver transcriptomics and liver and serum metabolomics

Official gene names and unique collision-induced dissociation (CID) for differentially expressed genes and metabolites were used to perform pathway enrichment analysis in the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG), human metabolome database (HMDB) and PubMed database. KEGG is a manually curated pathway database. According to the KEGG database, pathways are clustered into subcategories, including Metabolism, Genetic Information Processing, Environmental Information Processing, Cellular Processes, Organismal Systems and Human Diseases. Enrichment analysis of KEGG pathways was performed to test whether a KEGG term is statistically enriched for the given set of genes. The hypergeometric test is the

most common statistical method for enrichment analysis: P = 1 - 1

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{\binom{M}{i}\binom{N-M}{n-i}}{\binom{N}{n}}$$
 where N is the number of all genes of the

specific organism that were annotated in KEGG (Background Genes); n is the number of query genes annotated to the KEGG Term; M is the

2

number of all genes that are annotated to certain KEGG terms (Pop Hit); and *m* is the number of query genes annotated to certain KEGG terms (count). The enriched pathways were used for model construction. The protein–protein and protein–compound interaction analysis was performed with differentially expressed genes and related enzymes of differentially expressed metabolites via the STRING database (version 9.1).¹⁶ Cytoscape software (San Diego, CA, USA, version 3.1.1) was used to construct a functional network-based regulatory model.¹⁷

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using SPSS version 16.0 (Chicago, IL, USA) statistical software. Quantitative data were presented as the mean \pm s.e.m. Statistical comparisons among groups were made by one-way analysis of variance with a Newman–Keuls *post hoc* test. Differences were considered statistically significant at an alpha value of P < 0.05.

RESULTS

The characteristics of HFD, MCDD and HFD+STZ rat models

After 12 weeks of treatment, HFD and HFD+STZ rats exhibited increased body weight, but MCDD rats exhibited decreased body weight. The histological examination of the liver showed that HFD and HFD+STZ groups exhibited diffusely mixed hepatic steatosis, and their NAS ranged from 2 to 4 and 3 to 5, respectively. Significant macrovesicular steatosis accompanied by lobular inflammation was present in the NASH group, and its NAS ranged from 5 to 7 (Figure 1). After STZ injection, all HFD+STZ rat models showed an fasting blood glucose $> 11.1 \text{ mmol} \text{l}^{-1}$ in two consecutive measurements. The NASH group had 10- and 5-fold increased serum alanine aminotransferase and aspartate aminotransferase levels, and both HFD and HFD+STZ groups had a 4- and 6-fold increased HOMA-IR levels, respectively (P < 0.05; Table 1). These results indicated that HFD, MCDD and HFD+STZ rat models were all successfully established, with more severe liver injury found in the MCDD group and advanced metabolic disorders noted in the HFD+STZ group.

Analysis of hepatic genes commonly altered in different NAFLD phenotypes

According to our defined filtering criteria, we identified 205, 1794 and 208 genes that were upregulated and 155, 827 and 211 genes that were downregulated in HFD, MCDD and HFD +STZ groups, respectively, compared with the control group (P<0.05; Supplementary Tables S1–S3). In total, 69 upregulated and 27 downregulated genes exhibited the same regulation among different NAFLD phenotypes (Figures 2a and b). The heat map that was produced by unsupervised hierarchical clustering of these 96 commonly changed genes revealed that samples clustered into the control and experiment groups (that is, HFD, MCDD and HFD+STZ groups; Figure 2c). Pathway enrichment analysis for genes that were commonly regulated among HFD, MCDD and HFD+STZ rat livers showed that TNF, P53, NF-kB and chemokine signaling pathways were among the most significantly regulated pathways (P < 0.05; Table 2). Moreover, differentially regulated genes that were involved in PPAR and TLR signaling pathways were also included in the model construction given that these signaling pathways have important roles in the development of NAFLD.

Analysis of hepatic and serological metabolites commonly changed in different NAFLD phenotypes

Ultra-performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry spectra were visualized through principal component analysis to determine the actual clusters, wherein the results showed clear metabolic differentiation among control, HFD, MCDD and HFD+STZ rat models both in the liver tissues and serum (Figures 3a and b). According to liver tissue metabolomic analysis, 57, 49 and 60 metabolites were significantly altered in the HFD, MCDD and HFD+STZ groups, respectively



Figure 1 The establishment of HFD, MCDD and HFD+STZ rat models. (a) H&E staining (× 200 magnification) and (b) Oil-Red-O staining (× 200 magnification) of the liver in different groups.

Gene-metabolite	network	analysis	in	N	AFI	_D
		X-L	. L	iu	et	al

	Group						
Parameters	Control	HFD	MCDD	HFD+STZ			
Body weight (g)	545.80 ± 12.86	681.0±28.47*	313.70±9.22*	657.60±23.81*			
ALT (U I ⁻¹)	42.30 ± 2.53	147.90 ± 32.75	$422.90 \pm 63.58^{*}$	102.60 ± 16.73			
AST (U I ⁻¹)	107.70 ± 3.50	190.30 ± 22.48	$587.90 \pm 66.00^{*}$	171.20 ± 19.20			
TBil (µmol I ⁻¹)	2.18 ± 0.17	2.25 ± 0.14	$6.24 \pm 0.44^{*}$	1.89 ± 0.11			
TG (mmol I ⁻¹)	0.80 ± 0.06	$1.35 \pm 0.20^{*}$	$1.70 \pm 0.24^{*}$	$1.66 \pm 0.17^{*}$			
HDL (mmol I ^{-1})	0.37 ± 0.02	0.40 ± 0.01	0.35 ± 0.05	0.37 ± 0.03			
LDL (mmol I ^{-1})	0.13 ± 0.01	0.38 ± 0.20	$0.78 \pm 0.17^*$	0.08 ± 0.01			
FBG (mmol I ⁻¹)	4.90 ± 0.12	$6.68 \pm 0.27^{*}$	4.37 ± 0.17	$13.65 \pm 0.99^{*}$			
FINS (mIU I ⁻¹)	8.50 ± 0.80	$23.73 \pm 1.99^*$	7.06 ± 0.62	$17.66 \pm 1.62^*$			
HOMA-IR	1.85 ± 0.18	$7.15 \pm 0.80^{*}$	1.35 ± 0.11	$11.29 \pm 1.43^{*}$			

Table 1 The body weight and biochemical indexes of rats in different groups

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; FBG, fasting blood glucose; FINS, fasting insulin; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; TBil, total bilirubin; TG, triglyceride.

Data are expressed as the means \pm s.e.m. *P<0.05 compared with control group.



Figure 2 Microarray analysis of the hepatic genes in different phenotypes of NAFLD. (a) Venn diagram illustrating the distinct and overlapping genes that were upregulated. (b) Venn diagram illustrating the distinct and overlapping genes that were downregulated. (c) The heat-map of 96 genes that were expressed in different phenotypes of NAFLD.

(Supplementary Table S4; P < 0.05); in addition, 17 metabolites were commonly altered among the experimental groups (Figure 3c). The serological metabolomic data revealed that 50, 68 and 41 metabolites were changed in the HFD, MCDD and HFD+STZ groups, respectively (Supplementary Table S5; P < 0.05). There were four metabolites commonly augmented among the three experimental groups (Figure 3d). Liver and serum metabolomics showed that stearoyl-carnitine was the only altered metabolite in both the liver and serum samples derived from the three NAFLD phenotypes. Pathway enrichment analysis of the metabolites that were commonly changed in HFD, MCDD and HFD+STZ groups was performed using the KEGG, the HMDB and PubMed databases. The significantly regulated metabolic pathways included bile secretion, glutathione metabolism, arachidonic metabolism, unsaturated fatty acid biosynthesis, acid mitochondrial beta-oxidation of long chain saturated fatty acids, fatty acid degradation, carnitine/acylcarnitine translocase and autophagy regulation (P < 0.05; Table 3).

Combined analysis of liver transcriptomics and liver and serum metabolomics

To identify the key transcription factors and underlying regulatory mechanisms that were associated with the pathogenesis in different phenotypes of NAFLD, we performed a combined analysis of liver transcriptomics and liver and serum metabolomics. This model included modules for signaling pathways, metabolites and genes.

In the gene-metabolic networks that were associated with the commonness in three phenotypes of NAFLD, the regulated genes were mostly involved in TNF, P53, NF- κ B, chemokine, PPAR and TLR signal transduction pathways (Figure 4). Chemokine (C–C Motif) Ligand 2 (Ccl2) and jun were identified as key transcriptional regulators that were relevant to NAFLD progression. In NAFLD, ccl2 affected ccl3 and immunoresponsive 1 homolog (irg1), which may dampen solute carrier family 25, member 20 (slc25a20) and induce

Table 2 Pathway enri	chment analysis for	genes commonly cl	nanged
----------------------	---------------------	-------------------	--------

Pathway	Genes	P-values	Database
TNF signaling pathway	Ccl12, Icam1, Ccl2, Cxcl2	1.99E-04	KEGG
p53 signaling pathway	Cdkn1a, Serpine1, Rprm	4.75E-03	KEGG
NF-kB signaling pathway	Cxcl2, lcam1, Bcl2a1	1.41E-02	KEGG
Chemokine signaling pathway	Ccl2, Ccl3, Ccl12, Cxcl2, Cxcl10	1.65E-02	KEGG
PPAR signaling pathway	Fabp4, Fabp5, Olr1	6.27E-02	KEGG
TLR signaling pathway	Ccl3, Cxcl10, Jun	9.18E-02	KEGG



Figure 3 The metabolomic analysis of liver tissue and serum samples in different phenotypes of NAFLD. The score plot of the principal component analysis that was derived from the ultra-performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS) spectra of liver tissues (a) and serum (b), indicating discrimination among different groups. Venn diagram illustrates the distinct and overlapping metabolites and lists of metabolites that commonly changed in different phenotypes of NAFLD in liver tissue (c) and serum samples (d) of the rat model groups.

Table 3	Pathway	enrichment	analysis	for	metabolites	commonly	changed
---------	---------	------------	----------	-----	-------------	----------	---------

Pathway	Metabolics	P-values	Database
Bile secretion	Vitamin D2 glucosiduronate, choline, glutathione, spermidine	6.34E-05	KEGG
Glutathione metabolism	Glutathione, spermidine	3.56E-03	KEGG
Arachidonic acid metabolism	Phosphatidylcholine	1.67E-02	KEGG
Unsaturated fatty acids biosynthesis	Clupanodonic acid	1.22E-01	KEGG
Mitochondrial beta-oxidation of long chain saturated fatty acids	Stearoylcarnitine	*	HMDB
Fatty acid degradation	Palmitoyl-L-carnitine	*	HMDB
Carnitine/acylcarnitine translocase	Elaidic carnitine	*	HMDB
Regulation of autophagy	Phosphatidylethanolamine	*	Pubmed

an increase in serum elaidic carnitine. Ccl2 also had a regulatory role in jun and fatty acid binding protein 4 (fabp4), which may lead to the downregulation of carnitine palmitoyltransferase 2 (cpt2) and solute carrier family 22 member 5 (slc22a5), causing an increase in stearoyl carnitine and palmitoyl-L-carnitine. In addition, jun-regulated estrogen

receptor 1 (esr1) and peroxisomal trans-2-enoyl-CoA reductase (pecr), which led to an increase in serum clupanodonic acid. These metabolic pathways influenced the biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids, mitochondrial beta-oxidation of long chain saturated fatty acids, fatty acid degradation and carnitine/acylcarnitine translocase, which collectively indicated



Figure 4 The functional network-based regulation model with genes and metabolites commonly regulated in different NAFLD phenotypes. This model includes modules of genes, metabolites and signaling pathways. Circle nodes represent genes; rhombus and triangle nodes represent metabolites in the liver and serum, respectively; borders of the nodes represent the types of gene and metabolites (that is, those that were upregulated are shown in red, and those that were downregulated are shown in green); genes that were not quantified are presented in gray; and blue filled square nodes indicate signaling pathways. The gene–gene and gene–metabolic interactions are depicted as gray solid line; dashed lines depict the linkage of genes to related signaling pathways.

mitochondrial dysfunction and abnormalities in fatty acid metabolism. Jun had a regulatory role in cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A (cdkn1a) and heme oxygenase 1 (hmox1), leading to an increase in glutathione and spermidine production in the liver. In addition, jun affected fabp4 and cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily J, polypeptide 4 (cyp2j4), inducing an increase in the levels of phosphatidylethanolamine, phosphatidylcholine and glutathione in the liver and vitamin D2 glucosiduronate in the serum and decreases in the levels of choline in the liver. These secreted agents could induce an inflammatory response and liver injury by impairing bile secretion, glutathione metabolism, arachidonic acid metabolism and regulation of autophagy.

In the gene-metabolic networks that were constructed with genes and metabolites specifically deregulated in different NAFLD phenotypes, we identified the dysfunction of lipid and fatty acid metabolism and PPAR signaling pathway in HFD rats; the dysfunction of immune and inflammatory response, programmed cell death, and NF- κ B signaling pathway in MCDD rats; and the dysfunction of glycosyl compound biosynthetic process, response to insulin and AMPK signaling pathway in HFD+STZ rats (Figure 5).

Validation of genes involved in regulated signaling and metabolic pathways

On the basis of the functional network-based regulation model, we chose representative gene subsets that were directly involved in TNF, P53, NF-KB, chemokine, PPAR and TLR signaling pathways for validation. The mRNA levels of these genes were measured by real-time qRT-PCR. Compared with the control group, the mRNA levels of ccl2, ccl3, ccl12, cxcl2, cxcl10, icam1, cdkn1a, serpine1, rprm, bcl2a1, fabp4, fabp5, olr1 and jun exhibited a two- to six-fold increase in the experimental groups (P < 0.05; Figure 6a). These results demonstrated the activation of TNF, P53, NF-kB, chemokine, PPAR and TLR signaling pathways in different NAFLD stages. In addition, we examined the expression levels of igr1, slc22a5, slc25a20 and cpt2, which are important genes that regulate fatty acid metabolism. The results showed a two- to five-fold increase in irg1 but a 0.25- to 0.5-fold decrease in slc22a5, slc25a20 and cpt2 in the experimental groups. As cyp2j4 was defined as a key regulator of inflammatory responses and liver injury in different NAFLD phenotypes, we also tested the mRNA expression level of cyp2j4, which showed a significant decrease in all experiment groups (P < 0.05; Figure 6b).



Figure 5 The functional network-based regulation models with genes and metabolites specifically regulated in HFD, MCDD and HFD+STZ rats. This model includes modules of genes, metabolites and signaling pathways.

DISCUSSION

Our study has focused on the key regulators and adaptive pathways in different NAFLD phenotypes and identified the gene-metabolic networks in NAFLD with severe hepatic manifestations and/or with severe extra-hepatic manifestations.

Liver inflammation is an integral part of NAFLD, which is characterized by upregulation of chemokine, and ccl2 is a prominent example.¹⁸ Ccl2 has an important role in stimulating TNF- α expression via the NF- κ B pathway, and TNF- α subsequently enhances ccl2 secretion to promote exacerbated inflammation.¹⁹ Our results showed that ccl2 had a regulatory role in ccl12, icam1, ccl3 and cxcl2, which belong to the TNF and NF- κ B signaling pathways. NF- κ B activation promotes inflammatory cytokine expression and participates in the occurrence and development of insulin resistance,²⁰ which is associated with the pathogenesis and progression of NAFLD. Thus, we suggest the hypothesis that ccl2 has an important role in different stages of NAFLD.

In addition, jun is an essential mediator of TLR-induced inflammation and a critical target of Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) in mediating activation of the p53 signaling pathway.^{21,22} The TLR signaling pathway is associated with the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and activation of innate immunity and might also activate Jun N-terminal kinase and NF- κ B signaling in the development of NAFLD.^{23,24} In our experiments, increases in jun, ccl3 and cxcl10 in the TLR-mediated signaling pathway provided supporting evidence for this hypothesis. As NAFLD progresses, hepatocyte apoptosis is induced by the p53 signaling pathway,²⁵ which is accompanied by the enhanced expression of cdkn1a, serpine1 and rprm.

A relationship exists between jun and fabp4, and the latter is a key regulator in the PPAR signaling pathway. Fabp contributes to atherosclerosis development as it induces insulin resistance and potentiates lipid-induced inflammation,²⁶ which are collectively important pathogenic processes that are involved in NAFLD.

Our gene-metabolite network-based regulation model showed that ccl2 and jun regulated each other and triggered activation of numerous signaling pathways. The increased expression of fabp4 and irg1 might inhibit cpt2 and cyp2j4, which collectively could lead to mitochondrial dysfunction and inflammatory responses. The significantly inhibited cpt2 and slc22a5 increases stearoyl carnitine, palmitoyl-L-carnitine and elaidic carnitine levels, which ultimately decrease fatty acid β -oxidation.²⁷ Enhanced expression of irg1 may also inhibit the expression of slc25a20, thereby increasing serum levels of elaidic carnitine. The disturbances in this metabolic pathway are manifestations of mitochondrial dysfunction and abnormal fatty acid metabolism in NAFLD.

In addition, PPAR α might regulate the transcription of cytochrome P450 families (CYPs).²⁸ Our study demonstrated that PPAR α indirectly associates with cyp2j4 through cyp4a14 and induces subsequent metabolic pathways that are involved in the inflammatory response and liver injury. The cyp2j sub-families catalyze many reactions involved in arachidonic acid metabolism and then promote the inflammatory response in hepatic and extra-hepatic tissues.^{29,30} The increase in hepatic glutathione is a marker of oxidative stress,³¹ and the increase in phosphatidylcholine and phosphatidylethanolamine indicates

7

Gene-metabolite network analysis in NAFLD X-L Liu et al



Figure 6 Validation of selected genes involved in genetic and metabolic pathways by qRT-PCR assays. Hepatic mRNA levels of genes associated with TNF, P53, NF- κ , chemokine, PPAR and TLR signaling pathways (**a**), and those genes associated with abnormal fatty acid metabolism and liver injury (**b**) are also shown. The mRNA levels were normalized to those of 18S and subsequently normalized to those of the control group. **P*<0.05 compared with the control group.

abnormal arachidonic acid metabolism and autophagic regulation, which may induce the inflammatory response in NAFLD. The decrease in hepatic choline damages the hepatocellular membrane integrity and might lead to liver injury with abnormal bile secretion.

The potential limitations of our study are that the specific regulatory relationships between genes have not been elucidated in our study, and whether changes in the mRNA levels of certain genes and concentration of metabolites reflect processes that are secondary to disease pathogenesis is also an open question. Thus, the causality between these genetic/metabolic changes and NAFLD progression needs to be determined by specifically designed studies, which would be more persuasive if functional experiments would support our claims going forward.

In summary, the current study demonstrates that ccl2 and jun are key regulators in all three NAFLD phenotypes and lead to abnormal fatty acid metabolism and inflammatory response through activation of TNF, P53, NF- κ B, chemokine, PPAR and TLR signaling pathways. The specifically regulated genes and metabolites in HFD, MCDD and HFD+STZ rats are involved in lipid and fatty acid metabolism, immune and inflammatory response, and response to insulin, respectively. These results provide functional network-based regulatory models in the context of different NAFLD phenotypes with data derived from liver transcriptomic analysis and liver and serum metabolomics. These aggregated data complement the adaptive genetic and metabolic pathways that are involved in NAFLD and help us better understand the genetic and metabolic features in this disease with hepatic and extra-hepatic manifestations.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Major Project of the National Twelfth Five Plan (Grant Numbers: 2012ZX09303-001 and 2013ZX10002004).

Experimental & Molecular Medicine

- 1 Nascimbeni F, Pais R, Bellentani S, Day CP, Ratziu V, Loria P et al. From NAFLD in clinical practice to answers from guidelines. J Hepatol 2013; 59: 859-871.
- Targher G, Byrne. CD. Clinical review: nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a novel cardiometabolic risk factor for type 2 diabetes and its complications. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2013; 98: 483-495.
- 3 Armstrong MJ, Adams LA, Canbay A, Syn WK. Extrahepatic complications of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology 2014; 59: 1174-1197.
- Soderberg C, Stal P, Askling J, Glaumann H, Lindberg G, Marmur J et al. Decreased survival of subjects with elevated liver function tests during a 28-year follow-up. Hepatology 2010; 51: 595-602.
- Wagele B, Witting M, Schmitt-Kopplin P, Suhre K. MassTRIX reloaded: combined analysis and visualization of transcriptome and metabolome data. PLoS ONE 2012; 7: e39860.
- 6 Tanaka N, Matsubara T, Krausz KW, Patterson AD, Gonzalez FJ. Disruption of phospholipid and bile acid homeostasis in mice with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Hepatology* 2012; **56**: 118–129.
- 7 Toye AA, Dumas ME, Blancher C, Rothwell AR, Fearnside JF, Wilder SP et al. Subtle metabolic and liver gene transcriptional changes underlie dietinduced fatty liver susceptibility in insulin-resistant mice. Diabetologia 2007; 50: 1867-1879.
- 8 Xu ZJ, Fan JG, Ding XD, Qiao L, Wang GL. Characterization of high-fat, diet-induced, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis with fibrosis in rats. Dig Dis Sci 2010; 55: 931-940.
- 9 Larter CZ, Yeh MM. Animal models of NASH: getting both pathology and metabolic context right. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2008; 23: 1635-1648.
- 10 Qiang G, Zhang L, Yang X, Xuan Q, Shi L, Zhang H et al. Effect of valsartan on the pathological progression of hepatic fibrosis in rats with type 2 diabetes. Eur J Pharmacol 2012; 685: 156-164.
- 11 Kleiner DE, Brunt EM, Van Natta M, Behling C, Contos MJ, Cummings OW et al. Design and validation of a histological scoring system for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology 2005; 41: 1313–1321.
- 12 Hou L, Lian K, Yao M, Shi Y, Lu X, Fang L et al. Reduction of n-3 PUFAs, specifically DHA and EPA, and enhancement of peroxisomal beta-oxidation in type 2 diabetic rat heart. Cardiovasc Diabetol 2012; 11: 126.
- 13 Chen JS, Lin SC, Chen CY, Hsieh YT, Pai PH, Chen LK et al. Development of a microarray for two rice subspecies: characterization and validation of gene expression in rice tissues. BMC Res Notes 2014; 7: 15.
- 14 Tong W, Harris S, Cao X, Fang H, Shi L, Sun H et al. Development of public toxicogenomics software for microarray data management and analysis. Mutat Res 2004; 549: 241-253.
- 15 Westerhuis JA, van Velzen EJ, Hoefsloot HC, Smilde AK. Multivariate paired data analysis: multilevel PLSDA versus OPLSDA. Metabolomics 2010; 6: 119-128.
- 16 Franceschini A, Szklarczyk D, Frankild S, Kuhn M, Simonovic M, Roth A et al. STRING v9.1: protein-protein interaction networks, with increased coverage and integration. Nucleic Acids Res 2013: 41: D808–D815.
- 17 Cline MS, Smoot M, Cerami E, Kuchinsky A, Landys N, Workman C et al. Integration of biological networks and gene expression data using Cytoscape. Nat Protoc 2007; 2: 2366-2382.
- 18 Cynis H, Kehlen A, Haegele M, Hoffmann T, Heiser U, Fujii M et al. Inhibition of glutaminyl cyclases alleviates CCL2-mediated inflammation of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in mice. Int J Exp Pathol 2013; 94: 217-225.

- 19 Dong N, Chang L, Wang B, Chu L. Retinal neuronal MCP-1 induced by AGEs stimulates TNF-alpha expression in rat microglia via p38, ERK, and NF-kappaB pathways. Mol Vis 2014; 20: 616-628.
- 20 Tilg H, Moschen AR. Inflammatory mechanisms in the regulation of insulin resistance. Mol Med 2008; 14: 222-231.
- 21 Adhikary G, Sun Y, Pearlman. E. C-Jun NH2 terminal kinase (JNK) is an essential mediator of Toll-like receptor 2-induced corneal inflammation. J Leukoc Biol 2008; 83: 991-997.
- 22 Ferreira DM, Afonso MB, Rodrigues PM, Simao AL, Pereira DM, Borralho PM et al. c-Jun N-terminal kinase 1/c-Jun activation of the p53/microRNA 34a/sirtuin 1 pathway contributes to apoptosis induced by deoxycholic acid in rat liver. Mol Cell Biol 2014; 34: 1100-1120.
- 23 Miura K, Ohnishi H. Role of gut microbiota and Toll-like receptors in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. World J Gastroenterol 2014; 20: 7381-7391.
- 24 Miura K. Seki E. Ohnishi H. Brenner DA. Role of toll-like receptors and their downstream molecules in the development of nonalcoholic Fatty liver disease. Gastroenterol Res Pract 2010: 2010: 362847.
- 25 Tomita K, Teratani T, Suzuki T, Oshikawa T, Yokoyama H, Shimamura K et al. p53/p66Shc-mediated signaling contributes to the progression of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in humans and mice. J Hepatol 2012; 57: 837-843.
- 26 Wu G, Li H, Zhou M, Fang Q, Bao Y, Xu A et al. Mechanism and clinical evidence of lipocalin-2 and adipocyte fatty acid-binding protein linking obesity and atherosclerosis. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2014; 30: 447-456.
- 27 Xie Z, Li H, Wang K, Lin J, Wang Q, Zhao G et al. Analysis of transcriptome and metabolome profiles alterations in fatty liver induced by high-fat diet in rat. Metabolism 2010; 59: 554-560.
- 28 Thomas M, Burk O, Klumpp B, Kandel BA, Damm G, Weiss TS et al. Direct transcriptional regulation of human hepatic cytochrome P450 3A4 (CYP3A4) by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPARalpha). Mol Pharmacol 2013; 83: 709-718.
- 29 Graves JP, Edin ML, Bradbury JA, Gruzdev A, Cheng J, Lih FB et al. Characterization of four new mouse cytochrome P450 enzymes of the CYP2J subfamily. Drug Metab Dispos 2013; 41: 763-773.
- 30 Theken KN, Deng Y, Kannon MA, Miller TM, Poloyac SM, Lee CR. Activation of the acute inflammatory response alters cytochrome P450 expression and eicosanoid metabolism. Drug Metab Dispos 2011; 39: 22-29
- 31 Soardo G, Donnini D, Domenis L, Catena C, De Silvestri D, Cappello D et al. Oxidative stress is activated by free fatty acids in cultured human hepatocytes. Metab Syndr Relat Disord 2011; 9: 397-401.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on Experimental & Molecular Medicine website (http://www.nature.com/emm)