Review Article

Auricular Plaster Therapy for Comorbid Insomnia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials

Huimin Zhao (),¹ Dan Li (),² Ying Yang (),¹ Yueting Liu (),¹ Jie Li (),¹ and Jing Mao ()¹

¹School of Nursing, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Hangkong Road 13, Qiaokou District, Wuhan 430030, China

²Department of Pathology, Taihe Hospital, Hubei University of Medicine, No 32 South People's Road, Shiyan 442000, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Jie Li; Lijie@hust.edu.cn and Jing Mao; maojing@hust.edu.cn

Received 6 September 2018; Revised 29 October 2018; Accepted 6 December 2018; Published 15 January 2019

Academic Editor: Gerhard Litscher

Copyright © 2019 Huimin Zhao et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. Although the effectiveness of auricular plaster therapy (APT) on primary insomnia has been systematically reviewed, no systematic review of studies has focused on the effect on comorbid insomnia. *Objective.* To evaluate the efficacy and safety of APT for comorbid insomnia. *Methods.* Fifteen databases were searched from inception to July 2018. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of APT as an exclusive intervention for comorbid insomnia against Western medications, sham APT or no treatment were identified. *Results.* Fourteen studies involving 928 participants were identified. The pooled outcomes revealed that APT was superior to control conditions for the global score on PSQI (SMD = -1.13, and 95% CI = -1.48—-0.78) and the effective rate (RR = 1.24, 95% CI = 1.13—1.36, NNT = 5, and 95% CI = 4—7). Furthermore, the results of subgroup analyses were similar to the pooled results. Additionally, the pooled results were verified to be stable by sensitivity analyses. Regarding safety, no significant difference was identified between APT and Western medications. *Conclusions.* APT appears to be an effective and safe treatment for comorbid insomnia. However, the benefits of APT for comorbid insomnia could not be ascertained due to the paucity of the quantity and quality of the included studies. Large-scale studies using proper methodology are needed to yield a firm conclusion.

1. Introduction

Insomnia is one of the most major public health problems, which is characterized by difficulty initiating or maintaining sleep, and early morning waking with significant impairment in daytime functioning [1]. Historically, insomnia has been classified as primary and secondary insomnia [2, 3]. Primary insomnia is defined as insomnia without co-morbidity [4]. Secondary insomnia is the term used when insomnia is associated with another disorder [2, 3]. However, the term "secondary insomnia" often leads to underdiagnosis and undertreatment. Therefore, the National Institute of Health State of the Science Conference proposed that "secondary insomnia" should be renamed "comorbid insomnia" [4]. Comorbid insomnia is subdivided into insomnia associated with another medical or psychiatric disorder [5]. Accordingly, medical conditions including diabetes, coronary heart disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, arthritis, fibromyalgia, and other conditions, and psychiatric disorders

such as bipolar, depressive, anxiety, and other disorders are considered risk factors for comorbid insomnia [5].

Comorbid insomnia is even more common than primary insomnia [6]. Studies have found that the prevalence of insomnia in patients with medical disorders varies extensively from 22% to 81% [7–12]. The prevalence of insomnia also changes from 24% to 93% [13–18] in patients with psychiatric disorders. The economic, health, and functional implications of comorbid insomnia are substantial, such as delay in recovery [19], role impairment [20], and increased healthcare costs [21]. Given the high prevalence and detrimental effects of comorbid insomnia, it is critical to identify effective, acceptable, and affordable treatment strategies for patients.

Common treatments for insomnia include pharmacological therapy, psychological therapy, and complementary and alternative medicine (CAM). Pharmacological therapy is one of the most frequent interventions used in clinical practice for relieving insomnia [4]. The main form of psychological therapy is cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) that has been proven to be an effective treatment [22]. However, each therapy has specific limitations. Pharmacotherapy such as benzodiazepines and nonbenzodiazepine hypnotics is associated with potential adverse effects, drug interactions, and substance abuse [22–25]. The accessibility and availability of CBT are limited by the number of trained practitioners and the cost of time [26, 27]. Consequently, CAM has become an option for patients with insomnia. A national survey in 2002 found that greater than 1.6 million American adults used CAM to treat insomnia or trouble sleeping during the past year [28].

Auricular therapy is one treatment modality of CAM, which refers to the stimulation at specific acupoints on the outer ear to facilitate recovery of health [29]. The practice originates from ancient China, with a history of more than two thousand years [30].

APT is considered as a form of auricular therapy in which small, round, hard smooth objects with appropriate size are attached to the auricular points [31]. Although the mechanisms of APT to treat comorbid insomnia have not yet been elucidated, there are many studies suggesting that APT may improve insomnia by acting on the nervous systems and modulating the activities of neurotransmitters [32]. APT is often practiced by health care workers, patients themselves, or family members perhaps due to its non-invasive nature, safety and convenience. Objects such as Semen Vaccariae (SV) and magnetic pellets (MP) are typically used in auricular attachment.

To date, there is growing evidence that APT can be applied to treat a variety of disorders. In 1976, a group of researchers from Jiangsu New Medical College successfully used APT to treat flat warts [33]. Subsequently, the research on APT has been extended to manage pain [34], constipation [35, 36], hypertension [37, 38], diabetes [39], myopia [40, 41], and other conditions. Similarly, previous studies indicated a significant improvement in insomnia [42, 43].

The first systematic review was initiated in 2007, performed by Chen and colleagues to demonstrate the favourable effects of APT on primary insomnia [44]. Since its publication, several new studies have been published. A recent meta-analysis published in 2015 examined the benefits of APT for primary insomnia and included fifteen studies [45]. In brief, these systematic reviews or meta-analyses demonstrated that APT appeared to be efficacious for improving sleep quality for patients with primary insomnia [44–48]. However, one limitation common to these studies is poor methodological quality. In recent years, although the number of published studies regarding the use of APT in patients with comorbid insomnia has steadily increased, the efficacy and safety of APT for comorbid insomnia remain uncertain.

To our knowledge, there has been no systematic review or meta-analysis summarizing the therapeutic role of APT for comorbid insomnia to date. Given the significant health risk of comorbid insomnia and increasing interest in APT, it is essential to accumulate current evidence on the effects of APT on comorbid insomnia. To that end, the objective of the present study was to summarize the efficacy and safety of APT for the treatment of comorbid insomnia.

2. Methods

2.1. Search Strategy. This study was planned, performed and reported in compliance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [49]. We systematically searched MEDLINE, EMBASE, Pubmed, PsycINFO, Cochrane library, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, ProQuest Dissertations and Theses, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature, and Allied and Complementary Medicine from inception to July 26, 2018 using the grouped terms (insomnia* OR sleep* OR sleepless* OR wakeful*) AND (auricular* OR acupress* OR acupuncture* OR acupoint*). The search also included Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure, Chinese Biomedical Database, Chinese Scientific Journal Database, Wanfang Data, China Doctor Dissertations Fulltext Database, and China Master Theses Full-text Database using the Chinese terms (失眠 OR 不寐 OR 不得眠 OR 不 得卧 OR 目不瞑 OR 睡眠障碍) AND (耳穴贴压 OR 耳穴 按压 OR 耳穴刺激 OR 耳穴埋豆 OR 耳穴压豆 OR 耳穴 压丸 OR 耳穴埋籽 OR 磁珠贴压 OR 王不留行籽贴压). In addition, the reference lists of eligible studies were searched by hand.

2.2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria. Studies were eligible for inclusion if they fulfilled the following criteria: (1) RCTs focused on the comparison of APT as monotherapy with Western medications, sham APT, placebo or no treatment for comorbid insomnia regardless of two-arm or multiarm studies; (2) comorbid insomnia is defined as insomnia associated with additional medical or psychiatric disorders [5]. Thus, insomnia patients with one or more medical or psychiatric disorders were included. No restrictions regarding age, gender, nationality, or ethnic background were applied; and (3) the primary outcome was measured by the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI). The PSQI is a 19item self-rated questionnaire that consists of seven domains. Each domain score varies from 0 to 3. Seven domains are added to generate a global PSQI score, ranging from 0 to 21. Higher scores indicate worse quality of sleep [50]. The secondary outcome was measured by effective rate. And/or adverse events were reported as the safety outcome. Studies were excluded if they (1) were duplicate records (i.e., the publication of an article overlapping substantially with an article published elsewhere) [51]; (2) reported inadequate data (i.e., deficiency of statistical information regarding PSQI or effective rate); (3) only compared different forms of APT; or (4) assessed the effectiveness of the combination of APT and other therapeutic methods for comorbid insomnia.

2.3. Study Selection. First, titles of all articles were independently reviewed by two authors (H.M.Z. and Y.Y.) to eliminate irrelevant publications. Then, the abstracts of possibly relevant studies were reviewed. Finally, the full-text articles of all candidate studies were read. Discrepancies at each step were resolved through discussion and consultation with another author (J.L.).

2.4. Data Extraction. Data regarding the following aspects were extracted from the selected full-text articles by two authors (H.M.Z. and Y.T.L.): study characteristics (authors, year of publication, and sample size), patient characteristics (age, gender, and duration of insomnia), study methods (details of intervention and control), intervention protocols (timing, frequency and duration of treatment), and outcome measures (PSQI, effective rate, and adverse events). A third author (J.L.) validated the final dataset. We attempted to contact authors by e-mail to obtain missing information.

2.5. Quality Assessment. Cochrane's risk of bias assessment tool was used to evaluate the quality independently by two authors (H.M.Z. and Y.Y.). Cochrane's risk of bias assessment tool included the following seven components: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of personnel and participants, blinding of outcome measurement, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other sources of bias. Each component was categorized as low, high, or unclear risk of bias [52].

The Jadad scale [53] was also used to assess the study quality according to the description of randomization, double blinding, and withdrawals and dropouts, resulting in a score of up to 5 points. Study quality was rated as low (\leq 2) or high (\geq 3).

2.6. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analyses were conducted with Review Manager (version 5.3) and STATA (version 14.0). Risk ratio (RR) was summarized as the effect size for dichotomous outcomes, and standardized mean difference (SMD) was calculated for continuous outcomes. Both values were reported with 95% confidence interval (CI). When RR was significant, we calculated the number needed to treat (NNT) with 95% CI. Heterogeneity across studies was investigated using Chi-square-based Q test and I^2 statistics. Considering generally low statistical power of heterogeneity tests, statistical significance was set at a more liberal P < 0.1. The extent of heterogeneity among studies was quantified using I^2 value and classified as low (0-40%), moderate (30-60%), substantial (50-90%), and considerable (75-100%) [54]. Subgroup analyses were performed to explore the sources of heterogeneity by different control methods and the type of APT. The sources of heterogeneity were also investigated with meta-regression, if at least ten studies were included in the analysis [54]. To verify the stability of the pooled results, sensitivity analyses were undertaken by interchanging statistical models and individually removing the included studies. The random-effect model was chosen to pool the data due to different comorbidities, diagnostic criteria used for insomnia and treatment regimens among the included studies. Publication bias was examined qualitatively by constructing funnel plots and objectively using the Egger's test (P < 0.10 indicates the presence of publication bias) if at least ten studies were available in the analysis [54, 55]. Twosided P < 0.05 denoted statistical significance.

2.7. Quality of Evidence. Two authors (HMZ and DL) independently used the Grading of Recommendations

Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach to rate the quality of evidence for each outcome as high, moderate, low, or very low [54]. RCTs begin as high quality evidence but can be downgraded based on the following five factors: (1) risk of bias; (2) unexplained heterogeneity or inconsistency of results; (3) indirectness of evidence; (4) imprecision of results; and (5) publication bias. Differences in quality of evidence were resolved through discussion and consultation with a third author (JL).

3. Results

3.1. Study Selection. The flow diagram of the study identification, screening, eligibility, and inclusion was presented in Figure 1. The initial search identified 5,411 citations using electronic databases and manual searching, and 1,314 duplicates were excluded. Then, 3,798 articles were eliminated for reasons of irrelevance after screening the titles and abstracts. The full texts of the remaining 299 articles were retrieved for detailed review, and 285 were excluded for several reasons. In total, fourteen studies [56–69] met the eligibility criteria for inclusion.

3.2. Study Characteristics. The demographic, clinical, and therapeutic characteristics of included studies were presented in Tables 1 and 2. The fourteen studies included 928 participants ranging from 18 to 95 years. All of the studies were conducted between 2012 and 2018. Only one was a multiarm study, whereas the remaining were two-arm studies. The sample sizes of the studies ranged from 40 to 155, and patients had a variety of comorbidities, such as hypertension [68], diabetes [60, 61], post-stroke [62, 63], cerebral stroke [65], acute cerebral infarction [59], hepatocirrhosis [56], maintenance hemodialysis [57, 67], chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [64], and hip fracture [66, 69].

With respect to the criteria used for the diagnosis of insomnia, Criteria of Diagnosis and Therapeutic Effect of Diseases and Syndromes in TCM was used in four of the fourteen studies [56, 57, 60, 68], Chinese Classification of Mental Disorder was adopted in three studies [61, 63, 67], Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders was employed in one study [58], PSQI was employed in two studies [61, 67], Self-rating Scale on Sleep was used in one study [62], and textbooks, including 《Neurology》 and 《Internal Medicine of Traditional Chinese Medicine》, were used in four studies [59, 64, 65, 67]. Two studies did not report the diagnostic methods employed [66, 69].

Comparison conditions differed in studies. The fourteen studies comprised twelve comparisons [57–63, 65–69] with 778 patients of APT against Western medications (such as estazolam and diazepam) and two comparisons [56, 64] with 150 patients of APT against sham APT (such as using adhesive tape alone on auricular points) and no treatment (such as not receiving any treatment). The types of APT also varied among studies. In our study, the most commonly used form was SV [57–60, 62–69] in twelve studies, and the remaining two studies chose MP [56, 61]. In addition, acupressure technique differed across studies. The number of main acupoints varied



FIGURE 1: Literature search flow diagram.

from one to seven, with an average of 4.3 acupoints. The most frequently used acupoints were Shenmen, Subcortex, Heart, and Sympathetic. Similarly, regarding treatment protocols, timing, frequency, and duration of pressing exhibited some variation among studies. APT was given over a range from half to five minutes each time. The treatment was delivered three to five times per day for six to sixty days.

End points were measured inconsistently across studies. The outcome included global score on PSQI in one study [60], effective rate in five studies [56, 59, 62, 66, 69], and both in eight studies [57, 58, 61, 63–65, 67, 68]. Additionally, follow-up data were not included in analysis due to absence of reporting information about the long-term effects of APT on insomnia in all studies.

3.3. Quality Assessment

3.3.1. Assessment Using the Cochrane Risk of Bias. Overall, the fourteen studies were determined to have significant risk of bias (Figure 2). Most of the studies lacked sufficient information to assess the risk of bias. All studies were randomized, but only two studies [61, 63] provided the details of sequence generation by random number table. None of the studies explicitly stated that treatment allocation was concealed. With the exception of one study [56] that adopted a sham control to blind the personnel and participants, masking of personnel and/or participants was not performed in the remaining studies. This limitation may be due to the fact that performing blinding methods might be difficult for different forms of APT and control intervention. However, all studies were free of incomplete outcome data. For selective outcome reporting, all studies were rated as an unclear risk because trial registration or a protocol was unavailable.

3.3.2. Assessment Using the Jadad Scale. In general, among the fourteen studies, the mean Jadad score was 1.5 (Table 3), indicating that the study quality was low. Two studies had a Jadad score of 3 [56, 61], three scored 2 [63, 65, 68], and nine scored 1 [57–60, 62, 64, 66, 67, 69].

3.4. Efficacy and Safety Outcomes

3.4.1. Primary Outcome-Global Score on PSQI

(1) Overall. Nine studies presenting data of 566 participants were included in this pooled analysis. The overall results showed that APT was significantly more effective than control groups (SMD = -1.13, 95% CI = -1.48—-0.78, and P < 0.00001), but statistical heterogeneity was noted across studies (P = 0.0002; $I^2 = 73\%$) (Figure 3).

To explore potential sources of this heterogeneity, subgroup analyses were performed according to different control methods and the type of APT.

thor	Mean age, y	Duration of	Design	Sample size	Control	Besults reported
	(range)/% female	insomnia	Design	(APT/control)	intervention	nearits reported
	58(NR)/49%	Average 1.875 months	2-parallel arms (MP; sham APT)	90(45/45)	sham APT	APT significantly>sham APT
	53.91(25-82)/28%	NR	2-parallel arms (SV; Estazolam)	64(32/32)	Estazolam 1 mg/day	APT significantly>estazolam
	70.17(60-86)/55%	NR	2-parallel arms (SV; Diazepam)	40(20/20)	Diazepam 2.5 mg/day	APT significantly>diazepam
	38.5(18-45)/20%	NR	2-parallel arms (SV; Estazolam)	50(25/25)	Estazolam 1 mg/day	APT significantly>estazolam
	58.69(NR)/35%	NR	2-parallel arms (SV; Estazolam)	40(20/20)	Estazolam 1 mg/day	APT significantly>estazolam
	38(26-60)/52%	NR	2-parallel arms (SV; Estazolam)	60(30/30)	Estazolam 1 mg/day	APT significantly>estazolam
	64(42-75)/49%	NR	2-parallel arms (MP; Estazolam)	65(33/32)	Estazolam 2 mg/day	APT significantly>estazolam
-i	72.19(66-95)/38%	NR	2-parallel arms (SV; Estazolam)	60(30/30)	Estazolam 1 mg/day	No significant difference between APT and estazolam
	NR(46-92)/42%	NR	2-parallel arms (SV; Diazepam)	60(30/30)	Diazepam 2.5 mg/day	APT significantly>diazepam
	55.1(35-67)/48%	3-26 months	2-parallel arms (SV; Estazolam)	64(32/32)	Estazolam 2 mg/day	APT significantly>estazolam
·	74.75(65-82)/40%	NR	2-parallel arms (SV; No treatment)	60(30/30)	No treatment	APT significantly> no treatment
	63.573(NR)/50%	Average 44.203 days	3-parallel arms (SV+ music therapy; SV; Estazolam)	155(52/50/53)	Estazolam 2 mg/day	APT+ music therapy significantly > APT and estazolam; no significant difference between APT and
al.	79.65(NR)/42%	NR	2-parallel arms (SV; Estazolam)	60(30/30)	Estazolam 1 mg/day	estazolam APT significantly>estazolam
	NR(18-75)/NR	NR	2-parallel arms (SV; Estazolam)	60(30/30)	Estazolam 1 mg/day	APT significantly>estazolam

TABLE 1: The characteristics of the included studies.

Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

					4	
No.	Author (year)	Time of pressing	Frequency of pressing	Duration of pressing	Acupressure technique	Main acupoints
1	Huang et al. (2015)	l-2 min each time	3-5 times a day	27 days	Seeds were pressed to produce sourness, distention, numbness, pain and hot sensation that was tolerable to patients	Shenmen
5	Huo et al. (2014)	1-2 min each time	4-5 times a day	Eight weeks	Seeds were pressed to produce mild hot and pain sensation that was tolerable to patients	Shenmen, Occiput, Neurasthenia Area, Neurasthenia Point, Subcortex, Heart, and Deep Sleep Point
3	Li et al. (2016)	NR	3-5 times a day	21 days	Seeds were pressed to produce redness, distention and hot sensation that was tolerable to patients	Shenmen, Occiput, Subcortex, Brain, and Endocrine
4	Lin et al. (2018)	NR	3-5 times a day	6 days	Seeds were pressed to produce sourness, distention, and hot sensation that was tolerable to patients	Shenmen
Ŋ	Qian et al. (2016)	At least 2 min each time	3-5 times a day	Two weeks	Seeds were pressed to produce mild distention and pain sensation that was tolerable to patients	Shenmen, Heart, Subcortex, Sympathetic, and Endocrine
9	Su et al. (2017)	NR	3-5 times a day	10 days	Seeds were pressed to produce sourness, pain and hot sensation that was tolerable to patients	Shenmen, Subcortex, Sympathetic, Heart, and Kidney
	Wan et al. (2017)	l-2 min each time	3-5 times a day	1 month	Seeds were pressed to produce distention, numbness, pain and hot sensation that was tolerable to patients	Shenmen, Endocrine, Heart, and Sympathetic
8	Wang et al. (2018)	3-5 min each time	NR	NR	NR	Shenmen, Sympathetic, Subcortex, and Heart
6	Wu et al. (2012)	3 min each time	3-5 times a day	Four weeks	Seeds were pressed to produce redness, distention and hot sensation that was tolerable to patients	Shenmen, Subcortex, Brain, and Endocrine
10	Xing et al. (2014)	1-3 min each time	3-5 times a day	14 days	NR	Shenmen, Subcortex, Endocrine, and Sympathetic
11	You et al. (2015)	2 min each time	3 times a day	NR	Seeds were pressed to produce sourness, distention, numbness that was tolerable to patients	Heart, Lung, Kidney, Liver, Spleen, and Shenmen
12	Zhang et al. (2016)	0.5-1 min each time	3 times a day	Four weeks	Seeds were pressed to produce hot sensation that was tolerable to patients	Shenmen, Sympathetic, Brain, Heart, Liver, and Kidney
13	Zhang et al. (2017)	3-5 min each time	NR	NR	NR	Shenmen, Sympathetic, Subcortex, and Heart
14	Zhou et al. (2013)	l-2 min each time	4-5 times a day	1 month	Seeds were pressed to produce sourness, distention, numbness and hot sensation that was tolerable to patients	Shenmen, Heart, Sympathetic, and Subcortex

TABLE 2: Summary of APT treatment protocol.

6



FIGURE 2: Risk of bias summary.

(2) Different Control Methods. Control methods included Western medications (i.e., estazolam and diazepam) [57, 58, 60, 61, 63, 65, 67, 68] and no treatment (i.e., not receiving any treatment) [64]. Eight studies revealed that APT achieved better outcomes compared with the Western medications (SMD = -1.09, 95% CI = -1.46—-0.72, and P < 0.00001), and one study showed that APT had better efficacy compared with no treatment (SMD = -1.51, 95% CI = -2.09—-0.93, and P < 0.00001) (Figure 4).

(3) *The Type of APT*. Eight studies compared SV with control conditions and one study compared MP with a control group.

In summary, regardless of SV or MP, APT was significantly superior to controls (SV: SMD = -1.16, 95% CI = -1.56—-0.76, and P < 0.00001; MP: SMD = -0.97, 95% CI = -1.49—-0.46, and P = 0.0002) (Figure 5).

3.4.2. Secondary Outcome-Effective Rate

(1) Overall. Thirteen studies containing results for 816 patients were identified in this pooled analysis. The pooled estimates suggested that APT had a much better therapeutic effect compared with control conditions (RR = 1.24, 95% CI = 1.13—1.36, P < 0.00001, NNT = 5, and 95% CI = 4—7) without statistical heterogeneity, but low to moderate heterogeneity was observed (P = 0.09; $I^2 = 37\%$) (Figure 6).

To account for the observed heterogeneity, subgroup analyses and meta-regression were implemented based on different control methods and the type of APT.

(2) Different Control Methods. Control methods included Western medications (i.e., estazolam and diazepam) [57–59, 61–63, 65–69], sham APT (i.e., using adhesive tape alone on auricular points) [56], and no treatment (i.e., not receiving any treatment) [64]. Subgroup analyses demonstrated that APT had better effective rate compared with the Western medications (RR = 1.18, 95% CI = 1.09–1.28, P < 0.0001, NNT = 6, and 95% CI = 4–10), sham APT, or no treatment (RR = 1.67, 95% CI = 1.11–2.52, P = 0.01, NNT = 3, and 95% CI = 2–5) (Figure 7), although the *P*-value was not significant in meta-regression (P = 0.073).

(3) The Type of APT. Eleven studies compared SV with control conditions and two studies compared MP with control groups. These results suggested that APT was statistically favoured over controls (SV: RR = 1.23, 95% CI = 1.11—1.37, P = 0.0001, NNT = 5, and 95% CI = 4—8; MP: RR = 1.30, 95% CI = 1.07—1.59, P = 0.009, NNT = 4, and 95% CI = 2—12) (Figure 8), although the *P*-value was not significant in metaregression (P = 0.555).

3.4.3. Safety Outcome-Adverse Events. Three studies using Western medications as the control reported adverse events [65, 66, 69]. In one study [69], no adverse events were observed in the APT group and six cases of adverse events were reported in the control group (one case of fatigue, two cases of dry mouth, and three cases of mild dizziness). Zhang [65] reported no adverse events related to APT and 14 cases of adverse events in the control group (12 cases of mild dizziness and two cases of severe dizziness). Among them, two patients withdrew from the study due to severe dizziness. The other study [66] reported three cases of local pain in the treatment group and two cases of vomiting in the control group. The results of our meta-analysis revealed no significant difference in adverse effects between APT and Western medications (RR = 0.19, 95% CI = 0.01-2.89, P = 0.23, and $I^2 = 73\%$) (Figure 9).

3.5. Sensitivity Analyses. First, the sensitivity analysis was performed by interchanging the random-effect and

TABLE 3: Qua	lity assessment	based on t	he Jadad scale.
--------------	-----------------	------------	-----------------

Author (year)	Randomization	Double blinding	Withdrawals and dropouts	Total score
Huang et al. (2015)	1	2	0	3
Huo et al. (2014)	1	0	0	1
Li et al. (2016)	1	0	0	1
Lin et al. (2018)	1	0	1	2
Qian et al. (2016)	1	0	0	1
Su et al. (2017)	1	0	0	1
Wan et al. (2017)	2	0	1	3
Wang et al. (2018)	0	0	1	1
Wu et al. (2012)	1	0	0	1
Xing et al. (2014)	2	0	0	2
You et al. (2015)	1	0	0	1
Zhang et al. (2016)	1	0	1	2
Zhang et al. (2017)	1	0	0	1
Zhou et al. (2013)	1	0	0	1

	Tre	atmer	nt	С	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Huo et al (2014)	4.57	2.45	32	7.36	3.57	32	11.6%	-0.90 [-1.42, -0.38]	
Li et al (2016)	7.4	2	20	10.4	2.1	20	9.5%	-1.43 [-2.14, -0.73]	
Lin et al (2018)	7.55	2.87	25	12.98	3.49	25	10.1%	-1.67 [-2.32, -1.02]	
Su et al (2017)	10.35	2.51	30	14.26	2.54	30	10.8%	-1.53 [-2.11, -0.95]	
Wan et al (2017)	10.18	3.83	33	13.84	3.59	32	11.6%	-0.97 [-1.49, -0.46]	
Xing et al (2014)	6.82	1.24	32	8.67	1.46	32	11.2%	-1.35 [-1.90, -0.80]	
You et al (2015)	8.73	2.12	30	11.73	1.79	30	10.9%	-1.51 [-2.09, -0.93]	
Zhang et al (2016)	11.83	5.21	50	12.99	6.01	53	13.0%	-0.20 [-0.59, 0.18]	
Zhou et al (2013)	4.87	2.56	30	7.97	3.94	30	11.4%	-0.92 [-1.45, -0.39]	
Total (95% CI)			282			284	100.0%	-1.13 [-1.48, -0.78]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.21; Cł	ni² = 29	9.69, df	= 8 (P	= 0.00	02); l² =	= 73%	_	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.36	; (P < (0.00001)					-4 -2 0 2 4 Treatment Control

	Tre	atmen	it	с	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
4.1.1 APT VS Wester	n medi	cation	15						
Huo et al (2014)	4.57	2.45	32	7.36	3.57	32	11.6%	-0.90 [-1.42, -0.38]	-
Li et al (2016)	7.4	2	20	10.4	2.1	20	9.5%	-1.43 [-2.14, -0.73]	
Lin et al (2018)	7.55	2.87	25	12.98	3.49	25	10.1%	-1.67 [-2.32, -1.02]	
Su et al (2017)	10.35	2.51	30	14.26	2.54	30	10.8%	-1.53 [-2.11, -0.95]	-
Wan et al (2017)	10.18	3.83	33	13.84	3.59	32	11.6%	-0.97 [-1.49, -0.46]	
Xing et al (2014)	6.82	1.24	32	8.67	1.46	32	11.2%	-1.35 [-1.90, -0.80]	
Zhang et al (2016)	11.83	5.21	50	12.99	6.01	53	13.0%	-0.20 [-0.59, 0.18]	-=-
Zhou et al (2013)	4.87	2.56	30	7.97	3.94	30	11.4%	-0.92 [-1.45, -0.39]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			252			254	89.1%	-1.09 [-1.46, -0.72]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.21; Cł	ni² = 26	6.67, df	= 7 (P	= 0.00	04); l² =	= 74%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.72	: (P < (0.0000)					
4.1.2 APT VS no treat	ment								
You et al (2015)	8.73	2.12	30	11.73	1.79	30	10.9%	-1.51 [-2.09, -0.93]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			30			30	10.9%	-1.51 [-2.09, -0.93]	◆
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.12	: (P < 0	0.0000)					
Total (95% CI)			282			284	100.0%	-1.13 [-1.48, -0.78]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.21; Cł	ni² = 29	9.69, df	= 8 (P	= 0.00	02); l² =	= 73%	-	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.36	i (P < (0.0000)					-4 -2 U 2 4
Test for subgroup diffe	rences:	Chi² =	1.44, c	if = 1 (F	= 0.2	3), l² =	30.3%		rreatment Control

FIGURE 4: Subgroup analyses of global score on PSQI according to different control methods.

	Tre	atmen	it	С	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	:	Std. Mean Differ	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95	% CI	
4.2.1 Semen Vaccaria	ae VS th	ie cont	trol									
Huo et al (2014)	4.57	2.45	32	7.36	3.57	32	11.6%	-0.90 [-1.42, -0.38]				
Li et al (2016)	7.4	2	20	10.4	2.1	20	9.5%	-1.43 [-2.14, -0.73]				
Lin et al (2018)	7.55	2.87	25	12.98	3.49	25	10.1%	-1.67 [-2.32, -1.02]	-			
Su et al (2017)	10.35	2.51	30	14.26	2.54	30	10.8%	-1.53 [-2.11, -0.95]				
Xing et al (2014)	6.82	1.24	32	8.67	1.46	32	11.2%	-1.35 [-1.90, -0.80]				
You et al (2015)	8.73	2.12	30	11.73	1.79	30	10.9%	-1.51 [-2.09, -0.93]				
Zhang et al (2016)	11.83	5.21	50	12.99	6.01	53	13.0%	-0.20 [-0.59, 0.18]				
Zhou et al (2013)	4.87	2.56	30	7.97	3.94	30	11.4%	-0.92 [-1.45, -0.39]				
Subtotal (95% CI)			249			252	88.4%	-1.16 [-1.56, -0.76]		•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.25; Cł	ni² = 29	9.65, df	= 7 (P	= 0.00	01); l² =	• 76%					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.70) (P < 0	0.00001)								
4.2.2 Magnetic pearis	VS the	contro	ol									
Wan et al (2017)	10.18	3.83	33	13.84	3.59	32	11.6%	-0.97 [-1.49, -0.46]				
Subtotal (95% CI)			33			32	11.6%	-0.97 [-1.49, -0.46]		•		
Heterogeneity: Not ap	olicable											
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.70) (P = 0	0.0002)									
Total (95% CI)			282			284	100.0%	-1.13 [-1.48, -0.78]		•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.21; Cł	ni² = 29	9.69, df	= 8 (P	= 0.00	02); l² =	: 73%	-	4			4
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.36	6 (P < 0	0.00001)					-4 -	reatment Cont	∠ rol	4
Test for subgroup diffe	rences:	Chi² =	0.31, c	lf = 1 (F	9 = 0.5	8), I² =	0%			Tournent Conti	0	

FIGURE 5: Subgroup analyses of global score on PSQI according to the type of APT.

	Treatm	ent	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	<u>M-H, Random, 95% Cl</u>
Huang et al (2015)	39	45	27	45	7.9%	1.44 [1.11, 1.88]	
Huo et al (2014)	29	32	22	32	8.1%	1.32 [1.02, 1.71]	
Li et al (2016)	18	20	16	20	8.0%	1.13 [0.86, 1.46]	
Lin et al (2018)	24	25	17	25	7.3%	1.41 [1.07, 1.87]	
Qian et al (2016)	14	20	11	20	3.1%	1.27 [0.78, 2.08]	
Wan et al (2017)	28	33	23	32	8.1%	1.18 [0.91, 1.53]	
Wang et al (2018)	28	30	26	30	12.7%	1.08 [0.91, 1.28]	
Wu et al (2012)	27	30	17	30	5.7%	1.59 [1.14, 2.22]	
Xing et al (2014)	29	32	26	32	10.9%	1.12 [0.91, 1.36]	
You et al (2015)	22	30	10	30	2.5%	2.20 [1.27, 3.81]	
Zhang et al (2016)	40	50	42	53	11.2%	1.01 [0.83, 1.23]	+
Zhang et al (2017)	25	30	18	30	5.8%	1.39 [1.00, 1.94]	
Zhou et al (2013)	27	30	22	30	8.7%	1.23 [0.96, 1.57]	
Total (95% CI)		407		409	100.0%	1.24 [1.13, 1.36]	♦
Total events	350		277				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = (0.01; Chi ²	= 19.09	9, df = 12	(P = 0.	09); l ² = 37	7%	
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 4.47 (I	♀ < 0.00	0001)				0.2 0.5 1 2 5 Control Treatment

FIGURE 6: The pooled results of effective rate.

fixed-effect model, and the pooled outcomes of global score on PSQI (random-effect: SMD = -1.13, 95% CI = -1.48—-0.78, and P < 0.00001; fixed-effect: SMD = -1.02, 95% CI = -1.20—-0.84, and P < 0.00001) (Table 4(a)) and effective rate (random-effect: RR = 1.24, 95% CI = 1.13—1.36, and P < 0.00001; fixed-effect: RR = 1.27, 95% CI = 1.18—1.37, and P < 0.00001) (Table 5(a)) were considered robust.

Second, by omitting one study at a time and calculating a pooled result for the remaining studies, the estimates of global score on PSQI (Table 4(b)) and effective rate (Table 5(b))

remained relatively similar, suggesting minimal effects from individual studies.

3.6. *Publication Bias.* In terms of primary outcome, there were not sufficient studies to test publication bias.

With regard to secondary outcome, the funnel plot showed slight asymmetry through visual inspection (Figure 10). We further quantitatively assessed the publication bias using the Egger's test. The result suggested no statistically

	Treatme	ent	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95	% CI
3.1.1 APT VS Western	n medicati	ons						
Huo et al (2014)	29	32	22	32	8.1%	1.32 [1.02, 1.71]		
Li et al (2016)	18	20	16	20	8.0%	1.13 [0.86, 1.46]		
Lin et al (2018)	24	25	17	25	7.3%	1.41 [1.07, 1.87]		
Qian et al (2016)	14	20	11	20	3.1%	1.27 [0.78, 2.08]		-
Wan et al (2017)	28	33	23	32	8.1%	1.18 [0.91, 1.53]	+	
Wang et al (2018)	28	30	26	30	12.7%	1.08 [0.91, 1.28]		
Wu et al (2012)	27	30	17	30	5.7%	1.59 [1.14, 2.22]		_
Xing et al (2014)	29	32	26	32	10.9%	1.12 [0.91, 1.36]	+	
Zhang et al (2016)	40	50	42	53	11.2%	1.01 [0.83, 1.23]		
Zhang et al (2017)	25	30	18	30	5.8%	1.39 [1.00, 1.94]		
Zhou et al (2013)	27	30	22	30	8.7%	1.23 [0.96, 1.57]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		332		334	89.5%	1.18 [1.09, 1.28]	•	
Total events	289		240					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = (0.00; Chi ² :	= 10.9	5, df = 10	(P = 0.	36); l² = 99	%		
Test for overall effect: 2	z = 4.19 (F	o < 0.00	001)					
3.1.2 APT V5 snam A	PI, or no	treatm	ent					
Huang et al (2015)	39	45	27	45	7.9%	1.44 [1.11, 1.88]		
You et al (2015)	22	30	10	30	2.5%	2.20 [1.27, 3.81]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		75		75	10.5%	1.67 [1.11, 2.52]		
Total events	61		37					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = (0.05; Chi² :	= 2.02,	df = 1 (P	= 0.16); I ² = 50%			
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 2.44 (F	$P = 0.0^{\circ}$	1)					
Total (95% CI)		407		409	100.0%	1.24 [1.13, 1.36]	•	
Total events	350		277					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = (0.01; Chi ² :	= 19.09	9, df = 12	(P = 0.	09); l ² = 37	7% —		<u> </u>
Test for overall effect: 2	<u>z</u> = 4.47 (F	o.00	0001)		•		0.2 0.5 1 2 Control Transfer	2 5
Test for subgroup differ	rences: Ch	ni² = 2.6	60, df = 1	(P = 0.	11), I² = 61	1.5%	Control Treatr	nent

FIGURE 7: Subgroup analyses of effective rate according to different control methods.

TABLE 4: Sensitivity analyses of global score on PSQI.

(a)	By interchanging	random-effect and	fixed-effect	models
-----	------------------	-------------------	--------------	--------

	Standardized Mean Difference (95%CI)	P-value	I^2 -value, %
Random-effect	-1.13 [-1.48, -0.78]	P<0.00001	73%
Fixed-effect	-1.02 [-1.20, -0.84]	P<0.00001	73%
	(b) By omitting one study at a time		
Study omitted	Standardized Mean Difference (95%CI)	<i>P</i> -value	I^2 -value, %
Huo et al. (2014)	-1.17 [-1.57, -0.77]	P<0.00001	76%
Li et al. (2016)	-1.10 [-1.48, -0.73]	P<0.00001	75%
Lin et al. (2018)	-1.07 [-1.43, -0.71]	P<0.00001	73%
Su et al. (2017)	-1.09 [-1.46, -0.71]	P<0.00001	74%
Wan et al. (2017)	-1.16 [-1.56, -0.76]	P<0.00001	76%
Xing et al. (2014)	-1.11 [-1.50, -0.72]	P<0.00001	75%
You et al. (2015)	-1.09 [-1.46, -0.72]	P<0.00001	74%
Zhang et al. (2016)	-1.25 [-1.46, -1.03]	P<0.00001	12%
Zhou et al. (2013)	-1.17 [-1.56, -0.77]	P<0.00001	76%
Combined	-1.13 [-1.48, -0.78]	P<0.00001	73%

significant publication bias in the current meta-analysis (P = 0.294).

3.7. Quality of Evidence. The quality of evidence for global score on PSQI was rated as low because of risk of bias

and inconsistency; the quality of evidence for effective rate was graded as moderate because of risk of bias; and the quality of evidence was very low for adverse events because of risk of bias, inconsistency, and imprecision (Table 6).

	Treatme	ent	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
3.2.1 Semen Vaccaria	ae VS the c	ontrol					
Huo et al (2014)	29	32	22	32	8.1%	1.32 [1.02, 1.71]	
Li et al (2016)	18	20	16	20	8.0%	1.13 [0.86, 1.46]	
Lin et al (2018)	24	25	17	25	7.3%	1.41 [1.07, 1.87]	
Qian et al (2016)	14	20	11	20	3.1%	1.27 [0.78, 2.08]	
Wang et al (2018)	28	30	26	30	12.7%	1.08 [0.91, 1.28]	
Wu et al (2012)	27	30	17	30	5.7%	1.59 [1.14, 2.22]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Xing et al (2014)	29	32	26	32	10.9%	1.12 [0.91, 1.36]	
You et al (2015)	22	30	10	30	2.5%	2.20 [1.27, 3.81]	
Zhang et al (2016)	40	50	42	53	11.2%	1.01 [0.83, 1.23]	
Zhang et al (2017)	25	30	18	30	5.8%	1.39 [1.00, 1.94]	
Zhou et al (2013)	27	30	22	30	8.7%	1.23 [0.96, 1.57]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		329		332	84.0%	1.23 [1.11, 1.37]	•
Total events	283		227				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.01; Chi ² =	= 17.18	3, df = 10	(P = 0.	07); l ² = 42	2%	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.81 (P	= 0.00	001)				
3.2.2 Magnetic pearis	SVS the co	ntrol					
Huang et al (2015)	39	45	27	45	7.9%	1.44 [1.11, 1.88]	
Wan et al (2017)	28	33	23	32	8.1%	1.18 [0.91, 1.53]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		78		77	16.0%	1.30 [1.07, 1.59]	•
Total events	67		50				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00: Chi ² =	= 1.16.	df = 1 (P	= 0.28); ² = 14%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.61 (P	= 0.00)9)		,,		
Total (95% CI)		407		409	100.0%	1.24 [1.13, 1.36]	•
Total events	350		277				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.01: Chi ² =	= 19.09	9. df = 12	(P = 0.	$(09): ^2 = 3$	7%	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.47 (P	< 0.00	0001)	、	,,		0.5 0.7 1 1.5 2
Test for subgroup diffe	rences: Ch	$i^2 = 0.2$	26. df = 1	(P = 0)	61), l ² = 0 ⁴	%	Control I reatment
rescior subgroup une	iences. On	1 - 0.2	10, ui – i	(1 - 0.	01), 1 = 0	70	

FIGURE 8: Subgroup analyses of effective rate according to the type of APT.

	Treatm	ent	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio			Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C		М-Н,	Random, 9	5% CI	
Wang et al (2018)	0	30	6	30	30.5%	0.08 [0.00, 1.31]	•				
Zhang et al (2016)	0	50	14	53	30.8%	0.04 [0.00, 0.60]	←		-		
Zhang et al (2017)	3	30	2	30	38.7%	1.50 [0.27, 8.34]		_			
Total (95% CI)		110		113	100.0%	0.19 [0.01, 2.89]					
Total events	3		22								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	4.17; Chi²	= 7.50	, df = 2 (F	9 = 0.02	?); l² = 73%	, 0	0.01	0.1	1	10	100
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 1.19 (P = 0.2	3)				0.01	Treatr	nent contro	ol	100

FIGURE 9: The pooled results of adverse events.

4. Discussion

4.1. Summary of Main Evidence. This systematic review and meta-analysis, which comprised fourteen RCTs that included 928 participants, aimed to examine the effects and safety of APT in patients with comorbid insomnia. Of the included RCTs, eight reported data on effective rate and global PSQI score, five provided data on effective rate, and only one presented data on global PSQI score. Overall, our pooled results demonstrated statistically significant differences between APT and control conditions for both global PSQI score and effective rate. Moreover, subgroup analyses based on different control methods and the type of APT were in favour of APT. According to our results, APT resulted in a meaningful improvement in sleep quality relative to Western medications, sham APT or no treatment. Furthermore, regardless of SV or MP, APT provided a significant beneficial effect on sleep efficacy relative to control conditions for outcome measures. Sensitivity analyses further confirmed the robustness of the pooled results. More specifically, the pooled results did not appreciably change when comparing the random-effect and fixed-effect estimates. In addition, the summary effect size estimates did not differ substantially after individually excluding one study of eligible studies, which indicated that the overall outcomes were not dramatically influenced by a single study. With regard to safety outcome, the results indicated no statistically significant difference between APT and Western medications. We found that the majority of the RCTs included in this study were deemed to be of low quality and the quality of evidence for each outcome

TABLE 5: Sensitivity analyses of effective rate.

(a) By interchanging random-effect and fixed-effect models

	Risk Ratio (95%CI)	<i>P</i> -value	I^2 -value, %
Random-effect	1.24 [1.13, 1.36]	<i>P</i> <0.00001	37%
Fixed-effect	1.27 [1.18, 1.37]	<i>P</i> <0.00001	37%
	(b) By omitting one stud	y at a time	
Study omitted	Risk Ratio (95%CI)	<i>P</i> -value	I^2 -value, %
Huang et al. (2015)	1.22 [1.11, 1.34]	<i>P</i> <0.0001	35%
Huo et al. (2014)	1.23 [1.12, 1.36]	<i>P</i> <0.0001	41%
Li et al. (2016)	1.25 [1.13, 1.38]	<i>P</i> <0.0001	41%
Lin et al. (2018)	1.23 [1.11, 1.35]	<i>P</i> <0.0001	39%
Qian et al. (2016)	1.24 [1.12, 1.37]	<i>P</i> <0.0001	42%
Wan et al. (2017)	1.25 [1.13, 1.38]	<i>P</i> <0.0001	43%
Wang et al. (2018)	1.26 [1.14, 1.39]	P<0.00001	32%
Wu et al. (2012)	1.21 [1.11, 1.33]	<i>P</i> <0.0001	31%
Xing et al. (2014)	1.26 [1.13, 1.39]	<i>P</i> <0.0001	40%
You et al. (2015)	1.21 [1.11, 1.31]	P<0.00001	17%
Zhang et al. (2016)	1.26 [1.15, 1.39]	P < 0.00001	30%
Zhang et al. (2017)	1.23 [1.12, 1.36]	<i>P</i> <0.0001	40%
Zhou et al. (2013)	1.24 [1.12, 1.38]	<i>P</i> <0.0001	43%
Combined	1.24 [1.13, 1.36]	<i>P</i> <0.00001	37%

FIGURE 10: Funnel plot for evaluation of publication bias.

was not high. Hence, it is premature to conclude that APT is indeed an effective and safe strategy to treat comorbid insomnia.

4.2. Mechanisms of APT. The mechanisms of APT for insomnia include effects on the nervous systems and modulations of the activities of neurotransmitters [32]. First, insomnia is related to sympathetic hyperactivity [70]. APT may increase cardiac parasympathetic activity and decrease the sympathetic activity and thus improves insomnia [71]. Besides, Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) is a neurotransmitter in regulating sleep. Available evidence showed that average brain GABA levels were nearly 30% lower in insomnia patients compared with the normal control [72]. APT improves insomnia by increasing GABA levels [73]. In addition, melatonin is a hormone that plays an important role in maintaining normal sleep. Research found a significant decrease of nocturnal melatonin secretion in insomnia patients [74]. APT may treat insomnia by normalizing the nocturnal secretion of melatonin [75].

4.3. Comparison with Other Studies. To date, there has been no synthesis of studies evaluating the favourable benefits of APT for comorbid insomnia. To better understand its benefits, our results were compared with systematic reviews or meta-analyses on APT for primary insomnia. Five previous meta-analyses of the efficacy of APT on primary insomnia [44–48] were examined. Among the five studies, our results for global score on PSQI were consistent with the results identified in two studies [45, 48]. In addition, consistent with two studies [45, 46], the results showed better effective rate after APT compared with control conditions.

Compared with previous reviews of patients with primary insomnia treated with APT [44–48], our review gave a more detailed description of acupressure technique and acupoint selection. The present review demonstrated that the included studies employed various acupressure techniques. The number of main acupoints was also different across studies. It was possible due to insomnia patients with additional disorders. To date, the impact of acupressure technique or the number of main acupoints on comorbid insomnia remains unclear. Further studies are needed to examine the effect of acupressure technique or the number of main acupoints.

		Certainty :	assessment				No. of t	oatients	Effe	tot	
No. of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other con- siderations	APT	Control	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty
Global score on PSQI 9	Randomized trials	Serious ^a	Serious ^b	Not serious	Not serious	None	282	284	1	SMD 1.13 lower (1.48 lower to	00 ⊕⊕ Tow
Effective rate										U./010WEL)	
13	Randomized trials	Serious ^a	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	None	350/407 (86.0%)	277/409 (67.7%)	RR 1.24 (1.13 to 1.36)	163 more per 1,000 (from 88 more to 244 more to 244	⊕⊕⊖O Moderate
Adverse events											
ŝ	Randomized trials	Serious ^a	Serious ^b	Not serious	Serious ^c	None	3/110 (2.7%)	22/113 (19.5%)	RR 0.19 (0.01 to 2.89)	1 38 rewer per 1,000 (from 193 fewer to 368 more)	⊕ ⊖ ⊖ ⊖ ⊖ ∪ Very Low
GRADE: Grading of Recom RR: risk ratio.	mendations Assess:	ment, Developm	ent and Evaluatior	ı; APT: auricular J	plaster therapy; P	SQI: Pittsburgh S	sleep Quality Ir	ndex; CI: conf	idence interval; Sì	MD: standardized	mean difference;
GRADE Working Group gr on our confidence in the est	ades of evidence: hi imate of effect and	igh quality: furth may change the	ler research is very estimate; low quali	unlikely to chang ty: further resear	ge our confidence ch is very likely t	in the estimate o have an import	of effect; mode tant impact on	rate quality: fi our confidenc	urther research is ce in the estimate	likely to have an i of effect and is lik	mportant impact ely to change the

TABLE 6: GRADE evidence profile.

he ict estimate; very low quality: we are very uncertain about the estimate. ^aMost information is from studies at significant risk of bias (Figure 2). Potential limitations are likely to lower confidence in the estimate of effect. ^bSubstantial heterogeneity in results remains unexplained. ^c95% CI is wide enough that they overlaps no effect (i.e., 95% CI includes RR of 1.0).

4.4. Strengths and Limitations. This review has several strengths. The current meta-analysis is the first comprehensive review of the effectiveness of APT on comorbid insomnia given that we systematically searched fifteen databases up to July 2018. Moreover, the included studies were confined to RCTs, which greatly reduced selection bias. Besides, APT was the only intervention selected. Thus, it is easier to determine its positive outcomes compared with multicomponent interventions. In addition, our meta-analysis was rigorously designed and reported following the PRISMA guidelines. To draw a firm conclusion, comprehensive further analyses including subgroup analyses, meta-regression, sensitivity analyses, and publication bias assessment were conducted.

These strengths notwithstanding, several potential limitations also should be noted. First, small sample size, poor study quality of the included studies, and unsatisfactory quality of evidence for the outcomes are the major shortcomings, limiting the power of the study. Therefore, any conclusions from this study should be interpreted with caution. For example, a total of 4,817 articles were identified. Only fourteen were considered for analysis. Furthermore, the included studies had small sample sizes of 40 to 155 participants. In addition, few studies reported their methods of random sequence generation and allocation concealment. Only one study described blinding the personnel and participants, thus both performance and response bias cannot be entirely ruled out. Furthermore, all studies failed to provide trial registration or a protocol, thus selective outcome reporting was thought to having an uncertain risk of bias. According to the GRADE approach, the quality of evidence was low for global score on PSQI, moderate for effective rate, and very low for adverse events. Second, although we conducted subgroup analyses, the heterogeneity could not be thoroughly eliminated. The wide range of comorbidities, different diagnostic criteria used for insomnia, and various treatment regimens may contribute to some of the heterogeneity. To further identify the sources of heterogeneity, more accurate grouping on different confounding factors is needed. Third, our findings were restricted to insomnia patients with medical disorders due to a paucity of information for insomnia patients with psychiatric disorders, which might make generalization of results difficult. The majority of the previous studies on insomnia with psychiatric disorders were excluded because the type of reviews and type of interventions did not satisfy the eligibility criteria. Finally, although data were generally well reported for efficacy of APT in the eligible studies, limited information was reported on adverse events. Moreover, distant effectiveness of APT remained unclear because none of the studies evaluated long-term follow-up outcomes.

4.5. Implications for Clinical Practice. Insomnia is one of the most prevalent sleep disorders, especially comorbid insomnia, with potentially harmful consequences [76, 77]. At present, APT has been gaining widespread popularity to improve insomnia symptoms in clinical practice. Our findings indicate that patients with comorbid insomnia may benefit from APT. Nevertheless, given that the evidence in this review was derived from several low quality RCTs, the results are still not definitive. Thus, no firm recommendations can be given for APT in clinical practice. Our study reveals the lack of standardized treatment protocols. Consequently, timing, frequency, and duration of APT to be used are also worth of concern in future research.

4.6. *Implications for Future Research.* Future research designed to further ascertain the efficacy of APT for comorbid insomnia is needed. We carefully assess the included studies and provide the following recommendations:

(1) Future studies should be adherence to the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) [78] guidelines and the Standards for Reporting Interventions in Controlled Trials of Acupuncture (STRICTA) [79] for reporting, which can improve the quality of the publications.

(2) Future studies should also evaluate the quality of evidence and grade the strength of recommendations using the GRADE approach [80].

(3) High-quality RCTs with large sample size are warranted. Furthermore, follow-up assessments should be tested to determine its long-term advantages.

(4) Future studies are necessary to demonstrate clinical effectiveness of APT as monotherapy for insomnia patients with psychiatric disorders.

(5) Randomization, allocation concealment, and blinding should be clearly described to reduce risk of bias.

(6) Standardization of treatment protocols should be conducted in future RCTs to ensure further accumulation of evidence regarding efficacy of APT.

5. Conclusions

Taken together, this is the first systematic review and metaanalysis that indicates that APT may be an effective and safe option for comorbid insomnia. However, the paucity of included studies coupled with small sample size, and unsatisfactory quality of methodology and evidence prevent us from drawing a definitive conclusion. Further highquality and large RCTs with follow-up duration that aim to better delineate the efficacy and safety of APT on comorbid insomnia are required.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Dr. Chao Hsing Yeh from Johns Hopkins School of Nursing and Dr. Debbie Carrick-Sen from Nursing Department, School of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham for their useful comments and language editing. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no.71503088).

References

- American Academy of Sleep Medicine, "International classification of sleep disorders (ICSD-3)," *American Academy of Sleep Medicine*, 2014.
- [2] American Psychiatric Association, Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders 4th ed, American Psychiatric Association, 2000.
- [3] American Academy of Sleep Medicine, International classification of sleep disorders: diagnostic and coding manual 2nd ed, American Academy of Sleep Medicine, 2005.
- [4] "National Institutes of Health State of the Science Conference statement on Manifestations and Management of Chronic Insomnia in Adults," *Sleep*, vol. 28, no. 9, pp. 1049–1057, 2005.
- [5] American Psychiatric Association, Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders 5th ed, American Psychiatric Association, 2013.
- [6] B.-S. Kim, H. J. Jeon, J. P. Hong et al., "DSM-IV psychiatric comorbidity according to symptoms of insomnia: A nationwide sample of Korean adults," *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, vol. 47, no. 12, pp. 2019–2033, 2012.
- [7] S. Bhaskar, D. Hemavathy, and S. Prasad, "Prevalence of chronic insomnia in adult patients and its correlation with medical comorbidities," *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 780–784, 2016.
- [8] P. Viana, E. Rodrigues, C. Fernandes et al., "InMS: Chronic insomnia disorder in multiple sclerosis - A Portuguese multicentre study on prevalence, subtypes, associated factors and impact on quality of life," *Multiple Sclerosis and Related Disorders*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 477–483, 2015.
- [9] A. Ylikoski, K. Martikainen, and M. Partinen, "Parkinson's disease and restless legs syndrome," *European Neurology*, vol. 73, no. 3-4, pp. 212–219, 2015.
- [10] W.-K. Tang, C. G. Lau, V. Mok, G. S. Ungvari, and K.-S. Wong, "Insomnia and health-related quality of life in stroke," *Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 201–207, 2015.
- [11] J. Savard, H. Ivers, J. Villa, A. Caplette-Gingras, and C. M. Morin, "Natural course of insomnia comorbid with cancer: an 18-month longitudinal study," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 29, no. 26, pp. 3580–3586, 2011.
- [12] G. Mayer, P. Jennum, D. Riemann, and Y. Dauvilliers, "Insomnia in central neurologic diseases - occurrence and management," *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 369–378, 2011.
- [13] E. J. Brevik, A. J. Lundervold, A. Halmøy et al., "Prevalence and clinical correlates of insomnia in adults with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder," *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, vol. 136, no. 2, pp. 220–227, 2017.
- [14] C.-L. Hou, Y. Li, M.-Y. Cai et al., "Prevalence of Insomnia and Clinical and Quality of Life Correlates in Chinese Patients With Schizophrenia Treated in Primary Care," *Perspectives in Psychiatric Care*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 80–86, 2017.
- [15] M. C. Souders, S. Zavodny, W. Eriksen et al., "Sleep in children with autism spectrum disorder," *Current Psychiatry Reports*, vol. 19, no. 6, 2017.
- [16] F. Ferre Navarrete, M. Pérez Páramo, J. Fermin Ordoño, and V. López Gómez, "Prevalence of insomnia and associated factors in outpatients with generalized anxiety disorder treated in psychiatric clinics," *Behavioral Sleep Medicine*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 491–501, 2017.
- [17] S.-C. Park, J.-M. Kim, T.-Y. Jun et al., "Prevalence and clinical correlates of insomnia in depressive disorders: The CRESCEND study," *Psychiatry Investigation*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 373–381, 2013.

- [18] E. O. Johnson, T. Roth, and N. Breslau, "The association of insomnia with anxiety disorders and depression: exploration of the direction of risk," *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, vol. 40, no. 8, pp. 700–708, 2006.
- [19] M. T. Smith, M. I. Huang, and R. Manber, "Cognitive behavior therapy for chronic insomnia occurring within the context of medical and psychiatric disorders," *Clinical Psychology Review*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 559–592, 2005.
- [20] T. Roth, S. Jaeger, R. Jin, A. Kalsekar, P. E. Stang, and R. C. Kessler, "Sleep problems, comorbid mental disorders, and role functioning in the national comorbidity survey replication," *Biological Psychiatry*, vol. 60, no. 12, pp. 1364–1371, 2006.
- [21] D. S. Pete Fullerton, "The economic impact of insomnia in managed care: A clearer picture emerges," *American Journal of Managed Care*, vol. 12, no. 8, pp. S246–S252, 2006.
- [22] A. Qaseem, D. Kansagara, M. A. Forciea et al., "Management of chronic insomnia disorder in adults: A clinical practice guideline from the American college of physicians," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 165, no. 2, pp. 125–133, 2016.
- [23] A. K. Misra and P. K. Sharma, "Pharmacotherapy of insomnia and current updates," *Journal of the Association of Physicians of India*, vol. 65, no. 4, pp. 43–47, 2017.
- [24] S. Anand, H. Tong, F. M. C. Besag, E. W. Chan, S. Cortese, and I. C. K. Wong, "Safety, Tolerability and Efficacy of Drugs for Treating Behavioural Insomnia in Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Systematic Review with Methodological Quality Assessment," *Pediatric Drugs*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 235–250, 2017.
- [25] K. Ramakrishnan and D. C. Scheid, "Treatment options for insomnia," *American Family Physician*, vol. 76, no. 4, pp. 517– 526, 2007.
- [26] C. M. Morin and R. Benca, "Chronic insomnia," *The Lancet*, vol. 379, no. 9821, pp. 1129–1141, 2012.
- [27] E. E. Matthews, J. T. Arnedt, M. S. McCarthy, L. J. Cuddihy, and M. S. Aloia, "Adherence to cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia: A systematic review," *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 453–464, 2013.
- [28] N. J. Pearson, L. L. Johnson, and R. L. Nahin, "Insomnia, trouble sleeping, and complementary and alternative medicine: analysis of the 2002 national health interview survey data," *JAMA Internal Medicine*, vol. 166, no. 16, pp. 1775–1782, 2006.
- [29] L. K. P. Suen, T. K. S. Wong, and A. W. N. Leung, "Is there a place for auricular therapy in the realm of nursing?" *Complementary Therapies in Nursing and Midwifery*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 132–139, 2001.
- [30] P.-W. Hou, H.-C. Hsu, Y.-W. Lin, N.-Y. Tang, C.-Y. Cheng, and C.-L. Hsieh, "The History, Mechanism, and Clinical Application of Auricular Therapy in Traditional Chinese Medicine," *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2015, Article ID 495684, 13 pages, 2015.
- [31] L.-H. Chang, C.-H. Hsu, G.-P. Jong, S. Ho, S.-L. Tsay, and K.-C. Lin, "Auricular acupressure for managing postoperative pain and knee motion in patients with total knee replacement: a randomized sham control study," *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2012, Article ID 528452, 7 pages, 2012.
- [32] K. Zhao, "Acupuncture for the treatment of insomnia," *International Review of Neurobiology*, vol. 111, pp. 217–234, 2013.
- [33] Research Group of Acupuncture Anesthesia and Jiangsu New Medical College, "Comparative analysis of auricular acupuncture and ear pressure pill in the treatment of flat wart," *Jiangsu Medical Journal*, no. 2, pp. 62-63, 1976.

- [34] C. Q. Liu and J. J. Wang, "Research progress on application of ear acupuncture therapy in pain management," *Chinese Nursing Research*, vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 4113–4115, 2016.
- [35] C. M. Luo, J. Wang, Y. Chen, L. Lin, S. L. Luo, and C. P. Song, "Effects of ear-acupressure in treatment of constipation: a systematic review," *Chinese Nursing Management*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 548–554, 2017.
- [36] L. Wang and S. M. Shang, "The study development of constipation treated with auricular plaster therapy," *Journal of Basic Chinese Medicine*, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 1007–1010, 2016.
- [37] H. H. Zhang, X. Y. Wang, and J. H. Song, "Effect of auricular seed-embedding therapy for essential hypertension: a metaanalysis," *Scientific & Technical Information of Gansu*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 89–91, 2017.
- [38] X. C. Yang and Y. Wang, "Essential hypertension treated with acupuncture in ear," *Medical Research and Education*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 63–65, 2009.
- [39] X. Q. Xiong and A. M. Wang, "Clinical research review on treating type 2 diabetes by ear point," *Nursing Journal of Chinese People's Liberation Army*, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 33–35, 2015.
- [40] Y. M. Liu, L. Ha, C. Huang et al., "Advances in clinical research on auricular therapy for myopia and asthenopia," *Global Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 120–123, 2017.
- [41] L. L. Huang, X. L. Zheng, Q. H. Liu, and X. L. Guo, "Review of auricular therapy treats myopia," *Journal of Practical Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 364–366, 2014.
- [42] H. Meng, Z. G. Zhang, C. L. Bu et al., "Research progress of auricular-plaster therapy for insomnia in recent 5 years," *Sichuan Mental Health*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 389–392, 2017.
- [43] Q. Wei, "Clinical observation on treating insomnia by auricular point sticking," *Chinese Journal of Ethnomedicine and Ethnopharmacy*, no. 14, pp. 42-43, 2014.
- [44] H. Y. Chen, Y. Shi, C. S. Ng, S. M. Chan, K. K. L. Yung, and Q. L. Zhang, "Auricular acupuncture treatment for insomnia: a systematic review," *The Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 669–676, 2007.
- [45] Y. Lan, X. Wu, H. J. Tan et al., "Auricular acupuncture with seed or pellet attachments for primary insomnia: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 15, 14 pages, 2015.
- [46] Y. Y. Yang, Y. Wang, W. W. Li, and L. Ma, "Effectiveness of auricular point therapy for insomnia: a meta analysis," *Journal* of Nursing Science, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 4–8, 2015.
- [47] M. S. Lee, B.-C. Shin, L. K. P. Suen, T.-Y. Park, and E. Ernst, "Auricular acupuncture for insomnia: a systematic review," *International Journal of Clinical Practice*, vol. 62, no. 11, pp. 1744– 1752, 2008.
- [48] H. J. Tan, Y. Lan, F. S. Wu et al., "Auricular acupuncture for primary insomnia: a systematic review based on GRADE system," *Chinese Acupuncture & Moxibustion*, vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 726–730, 2014.
- [49] D. Moher, A. Liberati, J. Tetzlaff et al., "Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement," *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, vol. 62, no. 10, pp. 1006–1012, 2009.
- [50] D. J. Buysse, C. F. Reynolds III, T. H. Monk, S. R. Berman, and D. J. Kupfer, "The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research," *Psychiatry Research*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 193–213, 1989.

- [51] E. Von Elm, G. Poglia, B. Walder, and M. R. Tramèr, "Different Patterns of Duplicate Publication: An Analysis of Articles Used in Systematic Reviews," *Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 291, no. 8, pp. 974–980, 2004.
- [52] J. P. T. Higgins, D. G. Altman, P. C. Gøtzsche et al., "The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials," *British Medical Journal*, vol. 343, no. 7829, Article ID d5928, 2011.
- [53] A. R. Jadad, R. A. Moore, D. Carroll et al., "Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: Is blinding necessary?" *Controlled Clinical Trials*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 1–12, 1996.
- [54] "Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 5.1.0," March 2011, http://www.cochrane-handbook.org.
- [55] M. Egger, G. D. Smith, M. Schneider, and C. Minder, "Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test," *British Medical Journal*, vol. 315, pp. 629–634, 1997.
- [56] Y. H. Huang, L. H. Gu, Y. Q. Gao, Y. H. Zhuo, X. H. Sun, and H. M. Nie, "Therapeutic observation of auricular point sticking with magnetic bead for insomnia in hepatocirrhosis," *Shanghai Journal of Acupuncture and Moxibustion*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 503-504, 2015.
- [57] Z. H. Huo, "Effect of auricular point sticking on insomnia in patients undergoing maintenance hemodialysis," *Modern Clinical Nursing*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 17–19, 2014.
- [58] X. Y. Li and L. Yang, "Effect of auricular point sticking in the treatment of elderly medical patients with insomnia," *China Health Care & Nutrition*, vol. 26, no. 8, pp. 109-110, 2016.
- [59] L. L. Qian, "The effect of auricular seeds for insomnia patients with acute cerebral infarction," *Chinese Community Doctors*, vol. 32, no. 22, pp. 181–183, 2016.
- [60] L. Su, D. F. Ge, Z. X. Guo, Y. Qi, and X. H. Wu, "Therapeutic observation of auricular seeds for insomnia patients with type 2 diabetes," *Good Health for All*, vol. 11, no. 4, p. 58, 2017.
- [61] Y. P. Wan and G. Q. Ou, "Clinical study of ear acupressing with magnetic beads in treatment of insomnia in patients with diabetes," *China Journal of Chinese Medicine*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 750–752, 2017.
- [62] G. Y. Wu and L. Y. Fang, "Effect of auricular point sticking in the treatment of post-stroke insomnia," *Modern Medicine Health*, vol. 28, no. 22, pp. 3485-3486, 2012.
- [63] Y. S. Xing, "On the treatment of post-stroke insomnia through auricular plaster therapy," *Health Medicine Research and Practice*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 41–43, 2014.
- [64] X. Y. You, T. Huang, and J. W. Liu, "Therapeutic observation on auricular point sticking of the five zang-organs for insomnia in COPD," *Jiangxi Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 37-38, 2015.
- [65] Y. Zhang, X. L. Li, L. Q. Fang, D. X. Han, and X. P. Zhang, "Curative effect of five elements music combined with auricular point sticking on post-stroke insomnia by PSQI," *China Journal* of *Traditional Chinese Medicine and Pharmacy*, vol. 31, no. 8, pp. 3063–3065, 2016.
- [66] X. M. Zhang, "Clinical effect of auricular point sticking in the treatment of elderly hip fracture postoperative sleep disturbance," *Journal of Clinical Rational Drug Use*, vol. 10, no. 1C, pp. 84-85, 2017.
- [67] M. Zhou, Q. Z. Lin, and X. Q. Wu, "Intervention affects of auricular plaster therapy on sleep disturbance in maintenance hemodialysis patients," *China Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine and Pharmacy*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 855–857, 2013.

- [68] N. J. Lin, H. Zhang, and Y. P. Lin, "Intervention affects of auricular plaster therapy on sleep disturbance in patients with hypertension," *Fujian Medical Journal*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 129-130, 2018.
- [69] Z. X. Wang, L. Y. Zhong, and G. P. Xie, "Intervention affects of auricular point sticking in the treatment of elderly hip fracture postoperative sleep disturbance," *Heilongjiang Journal* of *Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 65-66, 2018.
- [70] M. H. Bonnet and D. L. Arand, "Hyperarousal and insomnia: state of the science," *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 9–15, 2010.
- [71] Y.-Y. Kung, C. C. H. Yang, J.-H. Chiu, and T. B. J. Kuo, "The relationship of subjective sleep quality and cardiac autonomic nervous system in postmenopausal women with insomnia under auricular acupressure," *Menopause*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 638– 645, 2011.
- [72] J. W. Winkelman, O. M. Buxton, J. E. Jensen et al., "Reduced brain GABA in primary insomnia: Preliminary data from 4T proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (1H-MRS)," *SLEEP*, vol. 31, no. 11, pp. 1499–1506, 2008.
- [73] S. S. Yoon, H. Kim, K. Choi et al., "Acupuncture suppresses morphine self-administration through the GABA receptors," *Brain Research Bulletin*, vol. 81, no. 6, pp. 625–630, 2010.
- [74] B. Abbasi, M. Kimiagar, K. Sadeghniiat, M. M. Shirazi, M. Hedayati, and B. Rashidkhani, "The effect of magnesium supplementation on primary insomnia in elderly: A double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial," *Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*, vol. 17, no. 12, pp. 1161–1169, 2012.
- [75] M. Nordio and F. Romanelli, "Efficacy of wrists overnight compression (HT 7 point) on insomniacs: possible role of melatonin?" *Minerva Medica*, vol. 99, no. 6, pp. 539–547, 2008.
- [76] R. Budhiraja, T. Roth, D. W. Hudgel, P. Budhiraja, and C. L. Drake, "Prevalence and polysomnographic correlates of insomnia comorbid with medical disorders," *SLEEP*, vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 859–867, 2011.
- [77] D. J. Buysse, J. Angst, A. Gamma, V. Ajdacic, D. Eich, and W. Rössler, "Prevalence, course, and comorbidity of insomnia and depression in young adults," *SLEEP*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 473–480, 2008.
- [78] K. F. Schulz, D. G. Altman, and D. Moher, "CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials," *BMC Medicine*, vol. 8, article 18, 2010.
- [79] H. MacPherson, D. G. Altman, R. Hammerschlag et al., "Revised Standards for Reporting Interventions in Clinical Trials of Acupuncture (STRICTA): extending the CONSORT statement," *Journal of Evidence-Based Medicine*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 140–155, 2010.
- [80] G. H. Guyatt, A. D. Oxman, G. E. Vist et al., "GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations," *British Medical Journal*, vol. 336, no. 7650, pp. 924–926, 2008.