# **BMJ Open** Development of a novel predictive model for a successful stone removal after flexible ureteroscopic lithotripsy based on ipsilateral renal function: a single-centre, retrospective cohort study in China

Yucheng Ma, Zhongyu Jian, Liyuan Xiang, Liang Zhou 💿 , Xi Jin, Deyi Luo, Hong Li, Kun-Jie Wang 💿

# ABSTRACT

**To cite:** Ma Y, Jian Z, Xiang L, *et al.* Development of a novel predictive model for a successful stone removal after flexible ureteroscopic lithotripsy based on ipsilateral renal function: a singlecentre, retrospective cohort study in China. *BMJ Open* 2022;**12**:e059319. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-059319

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-059319).

YM and ZJ contributed equally.

YM and ZJ are joint first authors.

Received 16 November 2021 Accepted 29 April 2022

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

Department of Urology, Institute of Urology (Laboratory of Reconstructive Urology), West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, People's Republic of China

Correspondence to Dr Kun-Jie Wang; wangkj@scu.edu.cn **Objectives** The aims of this study were to investigate the effect of preoperative ipsilateral renal function on the success of kidney stone removal with flexible ureteroscopic lithotripsy and to develop a predictive model based on the results.

Design Retrospective cohort study.

**Setting** Data from the 2001–2012 period were collected from the electronic records of West China Hospital, Sichuan University.

**Participants** 576 patients who underwent flexible ureteroscopic lithotripsy were included in the study. **Primary outcome** Stone-free rate (SFR) after the procedures.

Results In patients with suspected impaired kidney function, the overall SFR was 70.1%. Stone volume (OR 1.46: 95% CI 1.18 to 1.80). lower calvx stones (OR 1.80: 95% CI 1.22 to 2.65), age (OR 1.02; 95% CI 1.00 to 1.04), body mass index (OR 1.10; 95% CI 1.04 to 1.17) and estimated glomerular filtration rate of the affected kidney (OR 0.95; 95% CI 0.94 to 0.97) were identified as independent predictors of SFR. Lasso regression selected the same five predictors as those identified by univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses, thus verifying our model. The mean area under the curve, based on 1000 iterations and 10-fold validation, was 0.715 (95% CI 0.714 to 0.716). The Hodges-Lehmann test and calibration curve analysis revealed no significant mismatch between the prediction model and the retrospective cohort. **Conclusion** Ipsilateral renal function may be a novel independent risk factor for kidney stone removal with flexible ureteroscopic lithotripsy. A novel nomogram for predicting SFR that uses stone volume, lower calyx stones, age, body mass index and estimated glomerular filtration rate was developed, but remains to be externally validated.

#### INTRODUCTION

Kidney stone disease (KSD) is an increasingly prevalent and costly condition in the USA, affecting approximately 9% of the

## STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ This study investigated the association between ipsilateral renal function and stone-free rate after flexible ureteroscopic lithotripsy.
- ⇒ This study produced a potentially convenient prediction model for a successful stone removal after flexible ureteroscopic lithotripsy.
- $\Rightarrow$  The study was retrospective and data for certain variables were not available.
- $\Rightarrow$  All patients included were operated on by the same surgeon, which may have also introduced some bias.
- ⇒ Because clinical data could not be obtained from other centres, no external validation was performed.

population.<sup>1 2</sup> At present, extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy, flexible ureteroscopy (fURS) lithotripsy and percutaneous nephrolithotomy are widely available as surgical treatment options for KSD. In the USA, the use of ureteroscopy combined with laser lithotripsy has risen over time.<sup>3</sup> Although fURS is increasingly being used to treat KSD with low morbidity, residual fragments after fURS are of significant concern because they can significantly increase the risk of stone-related events and need for additional procedures.<sup>4</sup>

Many factors have been reported to affect the stone-free rate (SFR) after fURS, including size, number and location of stones.<sup>56</sup> Studies of factors affecting SFR after fURS have mostly focused on stone load, stone location, abnormal anatomical structure and ureteral stricture; however, the driving force behind stone discharge has not been sufficiently considered. Together, glomerular filtration and tubule reabsorption constitute

BMJ

the urination capacity of the kidney. Typically, when renal function is impaired and the glomerular filtration rate (GFR) is reduced, the urine production capacity of the kidney is also affected, leading to a decreased ability for the urine to wash away the residual stone, which further affects the efficiency of stone removal after fURS. However, at present, there is no discussion about renal function in relation to the stone cleavage rate after fURS. Ipsilateral renal function can be accurately measured using nuclear medicine detection methods, such as renal imaging with single-photon emission CT. In this study, we analysed the effect of ipsilateral renal function on the stone clearance rate after fURS and constructed a clinical prediction model.

### METHODS

#### Study design and participants

Data from patients who underwent fURS for renal stones were obtained from the database of the Department of Urology of West China Hospital, Sichuan University. Patients for whom information on the outcomes and predictors, described in the Outcomes and predictors section, was not available were excluded from the study. Patients with anatomical deformities of the kidney, such as a sponge kidney or a horseshoe kidney, were also excluded. Bilateral surgeries on the same patient were considered independently. There were 576 patients who met the criteria and were included in the study for further analysis.

# **Outcomes and predictors**

In this study, stone-free (SF) status was based on kidney, ureter and bladder (KUB) X-rays performed approximately 4 weeks after treatment. 'Stone free' was defined as fragment sizes  $\leq 2$  mm because residual fragments > 2 mm in size increase the risk of stone-related events and need for additional procedures.<sup>4</sup> Research has shown that KUB is sufficient for evaluating SF status using a cut-off of residual components > 2 mm.<sup>7</sup> All KUB images were evaluated by two authors (YM, ZJ) according to standard procedures.

Potential factors affecting SFR were determined on the basis of a literature review and clinical experience. These factors were sex, age (years), body mass index (BMI; kg/ $m^2$ ), alcohol consumption (heavy drinker, defined as alcohol consumption >3 times/week), kidney side, GFR of the ipsilateral and contralateral kidney (mL/min), hypertension, diabetes, smoking, stone volume (cm<sup>3</sup>), stone location, ipsilateral hydronephrosis, and ureteral stricture history. The most crucial variable in the present study was GFR, which was measured by nuclear medicine studies.<sup>8</sup> The preoperative stone volume was calculated based on Non-contrst CT (NCCT) using the following formula:

Volume=length×width×height× $1/6\pi$ .<sup>9</sup>

# **Surgical techniques**

The surgical techniques used in this study have been described in detail elsewhere.<sup>10</sup> <sup>11</sup> Briefly, the patients

generally underwent double-J stent placement approximately 2 weeks before surgery because this is reportedly associated with a higher SFR.<sup>12</sup> As a result, for most of the patients, 14 Fr/16 Fr ureteral access sheaths (UAS) could be used to reduce intrarenal pressure, which also aids in facilitating stone extraction without causing ureteral injury. fURS with holmium laser lithotripsy was performed with active basket retrieval of fragments, followed by the dusting technique. If the stone was located in the lower pole, basket displacement reduced the surgical difficulty, which is also associated with an increased SFR.<sup>4</sup> All patients were stented postoperatively for approximately 2 weeks. Tamsulosin was routinely used to reduce any related symptoms that occurred during this period.

# **Statistical analysis**

Based on the definition of SF above, patients were divided into SF and non-SF groups.

Normality of data distribution was evaluated using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Normally distributed continuous variables are presented as mean±SD and were compared between groups using t-test. Non-normally distributed data are presented as median with IQR and were compared between groups using Mann-Whitney test. Categorical variables are presented as numbers and percentages and were compared between groups using  $\chi^2$  or Fisher's exact test.

Given that there were 29 variables included in this analysis and only 172 positive-end cases (ie, fragments >2 mm), the most useful predictive indicators were selected through the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (lasso) regression,<sup>13</sup> which is suitable for regression of high-dimensional data. As reported previously,<sup>14</sup> the optimal  $\lambda$  for feature selection in lasso regression was identified by 10-fold cross-validation. The optimal  $\lambda$  was set via the minimum criteria and the minimum criteria-1 SE ('1-SE criteria'). Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were used to determine the effects of different variables on the outcome event. To ensure statistical power, only significant variables identified by univariate regression were included in the multivariate regression. After the effect of ipsilateral renal function on the SFR had been determined through logistic regression, the restricted cubic spline (RCS) method was used to further test the linear association between ipsilateral renal function and SFR. An RCS was plotted using the R package rms; 25%, 50% and 75% of GFR were chosen as fitting nodes, and reference points were determined using the univariate Youden index (YI).

All statistical analyses were performed using R V.3.6.2 (www.r-project.org). All reported p values are two-sided, with significance set at p<0.05.

# Patient and public involvement

No patients or members of the public were involved in the design, conduct or reporting of this study. The study results were not disseminated to study participants.

Variables	Total cohort, n (%), median (IQR) or mean±SD (N=576)	NSF group (n=172, 29.9%)	SF group (n=404, 70.1%)	P value*
Gender (female)	186 (32.3)	53 (30.8)	133 (32.9)	0.621
Age (years)	49 (40–57)	51 (42–60)	48 (39–56)	0.001
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	23.92±3.31	24.59±3.77	23.64±3.07	0.001
Heavy drinker (yes)	50 (8.7)	18 (10.5)	32 (7.9)	0.322
Diabetes (yes)	41 (7.1)	15 (8.7)	26 (6.4)	0.331
Hypertension (yes)	85 (14.8)	30 (17.4)	55 (13.6)	0.237
Smoker (yes)	188 (32.6)	52 (30.2)	136 (33.7)	0.422
Previous upper urinary stone history (yes)	71 (12.3)	26 (15.1)	45 (11.1)	0.185
Treated side (left)	304 (52.8)	96 (55.8)	208 (51.5)	0.341
ESWL history within 12 months (yes)	11 (1.9)	2 (1.2)	9 (2.2)	0.401
GFR of treated kidney (mL/min)	38 (31–47)	35 (28–42)	39.8 (32–49.4)	<0.001
GFR of another kidney (mL/min)	40.9 (32.7–48.8)	40 (30.7–47.4)	41.1 (33.3–49.3)	0.072
Ureteral access sheath (12 Fr/14 Fr)	19 (3.3)	4 (2.4)	15 (3.7)	0.615
Stone volume (cm <sup>3</sup> )	0.73 (0.42–1.23)	0.99 (0.49–1.57)	0.67 (0.39–1.16)	<0.001
Staghorn calculus (yes)	33 (5.7)	17 (9.9)	16 (4.0)	0.007
Largest stone diameter (cm)	1.46 (1.05–1.90)	1.58 (1.20–2.00)	1.40 (1.00–1.80)	<0.001
Number of stones				0.285
1	213 (37.0)	60 (34.9)	153 (37.9)	
2	159 (27.6)	48 (27.9)	111 (27.5)	
3	79 (13.7)	18 (10.5)	61 (15.1)	
4	40 (6.9)	15 (8.7)	25 (6.2)	
5 or more	85 (14.8)	31 (18.0)	54 (13.4)	
Lower calyx stone (yes)	232 (40.3)	83 (48.3)	149 (36.9)	0.011
Multiple stone (yes)	288 (50)	94 (54.7)	194 (48.0)	0.146
Ipsilateral hydronephrosis (yes)	393 (68.2)	118 (68.6)	275 (68.1)	0.900

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the SF and NSF groups

Bold values means statistically significant.

\*For continuous variables that were normally distributed and non-normally distributed, t-test and Mann-Whitney test were used, respectively. Categorical variables were tested by  $\chi^2$  test, or Fisher's exact test if the requirements for  $\chi^2$  test were not satisfied.

BMI, body mass index; ESWL, extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; NSF, non-stone-free ; SF, stone-free .

# RESULTS

According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, of 2432 patients who underwent fURS for kidney stones at West China Hospital between 2001 and 2012, 1566 were excluded because they did not undergo a preoperative ipsilateral renal function test. A further 177 patients were excluded due to having anatomical deformities of the kidney or a history of ureteral stricture. Another 113 patients with other data missing were also excluded. Finally, 576 patients with preoperative nuclear medicine studies were included in the present study.

The characteristics of the patients included in this study are summarised in table 1. The SFR in this study was 70.1%. Postoperative fever, defined as a temperature >38°C within 72 hours after the procedure, occurred in 16 patients. No grade III or IV complications were observed.

The results of univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses are presented in table 2. Stone volume (OR 1.46; 95% CI 1.18 to 1.80), lower calyx stones (OR 1.80; 95% CI 1.22 to 2.65), age (OR 1.02; 95% CI 1.00 to 1.04), BMI (OR 1.10; 95% CI 1.04 to 1.17) and GFR of the treated kidney (OR 0.95; 95% CI 0.94 to 0.97) were identified as independent predictors of SF status.

Tuning parameter ( $\lambda$ ) selection in the lasso model using 10-fold validation is shown in figure 1A. A lasso coefficient profile of the included features with the primary  $\lambda$  set to 100 is shown in figure 1B; the vertical line indicates the optimal  $\lambda$  value ( $\lambda$ =0.0416, 1-SE criteria). The lasso regression selected the same five predictors as those determined in the univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses, thus confirming the strength of the model based on logistic regression (online supplemental table 1).

 Table 2
 Factors associated with stone-free status after retrograde intrarenal surgery (RIRS) by univariate and stepwise multivariate logistics regression

	Patients without stone-free status				
	Univariate regression		Multivariate regression		
	Crude OR (95% CI)	P value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	P value	
Gender (female)	0.907 (0.618 to 1.333)	0.621	-	0.651	
Age (per year)	1.030 (1.013 to 1.046)	<0.001	1.018 (1.001 to 1.035)	0.039	
BMI (per kg/m²)	1.091 (1.033 to 1.152)	0.002	1.100 (1.037 to 1.167)	0.002	
Heavy drinker (yes)	1.359 (0.740 to 2.494)	0.322	-	0.346	
Diabetes (yes)	1.389 (0.716 to 2.693)	0.331	-	0.833	
Hypertension (yes)	1.341 (0.825 to 2.179)	0.237	-	0.979	
Smoker (yes)	0.854 (0.581 to 1.255)	0.422	-	0.591	
Previous upper urinary stone history (yes)	1.421 (0.845 to 2.389)	0.185	-	0.329	
Treated side (left)	1.190 (0.832 to 1.704)	0.341	-	0.882	
ESWL history within 12 months (yes)	0.516 (0.110 to 2.415)	0.401	-	0.798	
GFR of treated kidney (per mL/min)	0.955 (0.939 to 0.971)	<0.001	0.953 (0.936 to 0.970)	<0.001	
GFR of another kidney (per mL/min)	0.990 (0.978 to 1.002)	0.093	-	0.927	
Ureteral access sheath (12 Fr/14 Fr)	0.901 (0.600 to 1.352)	0.615	-	0.433	
Stone volume (per cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.414 (1.160 to 1.722)	0.001	1.458 (1.182 to 1.799)	<0.001	
Staghorn calculus (yes)	2.660 (1.311 to 5.397)	0.007	-	0.148	
Largest stone diameter (per cm)	1.350 (1.054 to 1.729)	0.017	-	0.566	
Number of stones		0.285	-	0.333	
1	Reference	-	-		
2	1.103 (0.702 to 1.732)	0.161	-		
3	0.752 (0.411 to 1.377)	0.318	-		
4	1.530 (0.755 to 3.101)	0.057	-		
5 or more	1.464 (0.859 to 2.495)	0.911	-		
Lower calyx stone (yes)	1.596 (1.112 to 2.290)	0.011	1.802 (1.223 to 2.654)	0.003	
Multiple stones (yes)	1.305 (0.912 to 1.866)	0.146	-	0.548	
Ipsilateral hydronephrosis (yes)	1.025 (0.698 to 1.505)	0.900	-	0.650	

BMI, body mass index; ESWL, extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy; GFR, glomerular filtration rate.

Based on univariate logistic regression between the GFR of the treated kidney and the risk of stone removal failure, the YI was calculated and ranked. The largest YI was achieved when the cut-off GFR of the treated kidney was set at 49 mL/min. When the RCS was plotted using



**Figure 1** Lasso regression for candidate predictor selection. (A) A 10-fold cross-validation plot. Dotted line means the lambda values of best performance model and concise model. (B) Lasso coefficient profile of the included features. The vertical line was the optimal  $\lambda$  value ( $\lambda$ =0.0416, 1-SE criteria). Lasso, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator.

the set reference point of 49 mL/min (figure 2), a significant linear correlation was found between the GFR and the risk of stone removal failure ( $\chi^2$ =24.30, p<0.0001).



**Figure 2** Restricted cubic spline (RCS) plot between glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and the OR for stone removal failure. Reference point: 49 mL/min.

Points	0 10 3	20 30 40	50 60	70 80	90 100
GFR of treated kidney (ml/min)	100 90	80 70	60 50	40 30	20 10
Stone volume (cm3)	0 0.5 1 1.5 2	2.5 3 3.5 4 4.5	5 5.5		
Lower calyx stone	Yes No				
BMI (kg/m2)	14 16 18 20 22	24 26 28 30 32	34 36		
Age	15 25 35 45 55	65 75 85			
Total Points	0 20 4	40 60 80	100 120	140 160	180 200
Stone removal failure risk			0.1 0.2 0.3 0	0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7	0.8 0.9

**Figure 3** Nomogram based on the significant predictors selected by the multivariate logistic regression model. BMI, body mass index; GFR, glomerular filtration rate.

This finding further supported the inclusion of lateral renal function as a continuous variable in the prediction model.

Subsequently, an SFR prediction model incorporating the five predictors (stone volume, lower calyx stones, age, BMI and GFR of the treated kidney) was built based on multivariate logistic regression and is shown as a nomogram in figure 3. The mean area under the curve was 0.715 (95% CI 0.714 to 0.716) based on 1000 iterations and 10-fold validation. The Hodges-Lehmann test ( $\chi^2$ =8.73, df=8, p=0.3658) and calibration curve (figure 4) revealed no significant mismatch between the prediction model and the retrospective cohort.

# DISCUSSION

In this study, we found the GFR of the treated kidney to be a novel factor for predicting SF status after fURS. Based on this novel independent predictive factor, we developed a new nomogram for the prediction of SFR status in patients with KSD treated with fURS. This new nomogram, based on five variables (age, BMI, stone volume, GFR of the treated kidney and lower calyx



**Figure 4** Calibration plot of the nomogram based on the bootstrap method. NSF, non-stone-free.

stones), facilitated individualised preoperative prediction of residual fragments >2 mm at approximately 4 weeks after treatment.

Based on KUB X-rays conducted approximately 4 weeks after the treatment of patients with suspected kidney function impairment, the SFR (fragment size <2 mm) in this study was 70.1%. Ghani *et al*<sup>4</sup> systematically reviewed studies that reported the SFR following fURS for KSD and found interstudy variation due to the different definitions and imaging methods used, as well as differences in time points. The most common definitions of SF are fragments <2 mm and fragments <4 mm. In this study, we defined SF as fragments <2 mm. The first reason for using this definition is that our hospital routinely uses KUB to detect residual fragments after fURS, which is sufficient for evaluating SF status when residual fragments are >2 mm. The second reason is that the risk of stone-related events and additional procedures increases with residual fragments >2 mm in size.<sup>4</sup> The time point at which patients in this study underwent KUB after fURS was short (approximately 4 weeks after treatment), and this may have led to a lower SFR because most of the fragments were small enough to spontaneously pass through our dusting technique. Furthermore, preoperative nuclear medicine studies of renal function are not routinely required in West China Hospital, and doctors usually perform renal function scans only when stones are suspected to have caused renal damage. This practice may also explain, in part, the low rate of stone removal in this cohort.

Consistent with the literature,<sup>415</sup> a lower pole location of stones was one of the independent predictors of SFR in this study. A lower pole location limits access to stones. Furthermore, the laser fibre used in fURS can result in a 10°-15° loss of deflecting ability.<sup>16</sup> To reduce surgical difficulty and increase SFR,4 a basket displacement technique was routinely performed to remove lowerpole stones in other calyxes in our patients. Performing a retrograde pyelogram is not a typical perioperative practice in our hospital; therefore, the influence of the infundibulopelvic angle could not be thoroughly evaluated in this study. However, the effect of renal anatomy on the SFR after fURS has not yet been definitively established.<sup>15 17 18</sup> A recent prospective study with CT follow-up also reported that renal stone features are more critical than renal anatomy in predicting the outcomes of shockwave lithotripsy.<sup>19</sup>

Stone volume (length×width×height× $1/6\pi^9$ ) based on NCCT was another independent predictor associated with SFR in our cohort. This finding is consistent with those of previous reports.<sup>4 15 17 20</sup> A large stone burden contributes to a prolonged operating time, which can lead to an increased risk of sepsis. However, when the operating time is restricted, the SFR is lower among patients with larger stone burden. In the present study, a 14 Fr/16 Fr UAS was used in most patients to maintain lower intrarenal pressure, which allowed the operating time to be prolonged, thereby increasing the SFR. Furthermore, the

Age, BMI and GFR were identified as new independent predictors of SFR status after fURS. KSD has been reported to be associated with an increased risk of loss of kidney function.<sup>21 22</sup> Moderate physical activity helps promote the expulsion of stone fragments. Therefore, for older patients and those with a higher BMI, who may be less physically active, the SFR is lower. Patients in our study were told to follow the American Urological Association guideline, which recommends that patients increase their water intake after fURS to reach a daily urine volume of 2.5 L/day to achieve optimal stone clearance.<sup>23</sup>

We speculate that the amount of urine produced by kidneys with impaired function is reduced, which in turn decreases the efficacy of flushing stones out in the urine. In addition, patients with kidney stones with a decreased GFR may also have an extended history of KSD, have undergone repeated KSD surgery and have greater stone burden. However, these new factors require further investigation in other cohorts.

This study has several limitations. First, this was a retrospective study with expected biases. Second, all patients included were operated on by the same surgeon, which may have also introduced some bias. Third, due to limitations imposed by the retrospective study design, it was difficult to collect information on many vital variables, such as other surgical history related to kidney stones and postoperative eating habits. Therefore, the conclusions of this study need to be treated with caution. Fourth, although all patients underwent stone composition analysis, these data were held by another team at the Department of Urology, West China Hospital, and we did not have permission to use these data; consequently, this information is not presented in this study. Fifth, the use of KUB and CT to evaluate SFR is still associated with many problems. Although some studies support the accuracy of KUB for stone detection >2 mm, the potential for measurement bias is worth noting. KUB measurements are also affected by BMI and stone opacity. Although KUB evaluation is clinically relevant, the limited accuracy of KUB in evaluating residual fragments needs to be addressed.

In conclusion, this study found that ipsilateral renal function may be a novel independent risk factor for kidney stone removal using fURS lithotripsy. A novel nomogram for predicting SFR status using stone volume, lower calyx stones, age, BMI and GFR was developed and internally validated in our retrospective cohort using a 10-fold validation method. This predictive model still lacks external cohort validation and we look forward to checking its performance using other data sources.

Acknowledgements We sincerely thank engineer Ran Liu from the Engineering Research Center of Medical Information Technology, Ministry of Education for providing clinical data sorting support for this study.

**Contributors** Study concept and design: YM, ZJ, K-JW. Acquisition of data: YM, ZJ. Analysis and interpretation of data: YM, ZJ, LX. Drafting of the manuscript: YM,

ZJ. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: LZ, DL. Statistical analysis: YM, ZJ. Administrative, technical or material support: LX, LZ, XJ, K-JW. Supervision: K-JW, HL. Guarantor: K-JW

**Funding** This work was supported by grants from the 1.3.5 Project for Disciplines of Excellence, West China Hospital, Sichuan University (ZYJC18015 and ZYGD2018011).

Competing interests None declared.

**Patient and public involvement** Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval This study involves human participants and was approved by the West China Hospital of Sichuan University Medical Research Ethics Committee (20200508). According to local policy, informed consent is not required in a retrospective research.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

#### **ORCID iDs**

Liang Zhou http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3439-0222 Kun-Jie Wang http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8289-2791

### REFERENCES

- 1 Scales CD, Smith AC, Hanley JM, et al. Prevalence of kidney stones in the United States. *Eur Urol* 2012;62:160–5.
- 2 Geraghty RM, Cook P, Walker V, et al. Evaluation of the economic burden of kidney stone disease in the UK: a retrospective cohort study with a mean follow-up of 19 years. BJU Int 2020;125:586–94.
- 3 Ordon M, Urbach D, Mamdani M, *et al.* A population based study of the changing demographics of patients undergoing definitive treatment for kidney stone disease. *J Urol* 2015;193:869–74.
- 4 Ghani KR, Wolf JS, Wolf JS. What is the stone-free rate following flexible ureteroscopy for kidney stones? *Nat Rev Urol* 2015;12:281–8.
- 5 De Nunzio C, Ghahhari J, Lombardo R, *et al*. Development of a nomogram predicting the probability of stone free rate in patients with ureteral stones eligible for semi-rigid primary laser uretero-litothripsy. *World J Urol* 2021;39:4267–74.
- 6 Ito H, Sakamaki K, Kawahara T, et al. Development and internal validation of a nomogram for predicting stone-free status after flexible ureteroscopy for renal stones. BJU Int 2015;115:446–51.
- 7 Danilovic A, Cavalanti A, Rocha BA, et al. Assessment of residual stone fragments after retrograde intrarenal surgery. J Endourol 2018;32:1108–13.
- 8 Fayad AS, Elsheikh MG, Mosharafa A, *et al.* Effect of multiple access tracts during percutaneous nephrolithotomy on renal function: evaluation of risk factors for renal function deterioration. *J Endourol* 2014;28:775–9.
- 9 Ito H, Kawahara T, Terao H, et al. The most reliable preoperative assessment of renal stone burden as a predictor of stone-free status after flexible ureteroscopy with holmium laser lithotripsy: a singlecenter experience. *Urology* 2012;80:524–8.
- 10 Jian Z-Y, Ma Y-C, Liu R, et al. Preoperative positive urine nitrite and albumin-globulin ratio are independent risk factors for predicting postoperative fever after retrograde intrarenal surgery based on a retrospective cohort. BMC Urol 2020;20:50.

# 

- 11 Ma Y-C, Jian Z-Y, Li H, *et al.* Preoperative urine nitrite versus urine culture for predicting postoperative fever following flexible ureteroscopic lithotripsy: a propensity score matching analysis. *World J Urol* 2021;39:897–905.
- 12 Yang Y, Tang Y, Bai Y, *et al.* Preoperative double-J stent placement can improve the stone-free rate for patients undergoing ureteroscopic lithotripsy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Urolithiasis* 2018;46:493–9.
- 13 Sauerbrei W, Royston P, Binder H. Selection of important variables and determination of functional form for continuous predictors in multivariable model building. *Stat Med* 2007;26:5512–28.
- 14 Huang Y-Q, Liang C-H, He L, et al. Development and validation of a Radiomics nomogram for preoperative prediction of lymph node metastasis in colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2016;34:2157–64.
- 15 Dresner SL, Iremashvili V, Best SL, et al. Influence of lower pole Infundibulopelvic angle on success of retrograde flexible ureteroscopy and laser lithotripsy for the treatment of renal stones. J Endourol 2020;34:655–60.
- 16 Bach T, Geavlete B, Herrmann TRW, et al. Working tools in flexible ureterorenoscopy--influence on flow and deflection: what does matter? J Endourol 2008;22:1639–44.

- 17 Jessen JP, Honeck P, Knoll T, et al. Flexible ureterorenoscopy for lower pole stones: influence of the collecting system's anatomy. J Endourol 2014;28:146–51.
- 18 Karim SS, Hanna L, Geraghty R, et al. Role of pelvicalyceal anatomy in the outcomes of retrograde intrarenal surgery (RIRS) for lower pole stones: outcomes with a systematic review of literature. Urolithiasis 2020;48:263–70.
- 19 Torricelli FCM, Monga M, Yamauchi FI, *et al.* Renal stone features are more important than renal anatomy to predict shock wave lithotripsy outcomes: results from a prospective study with CT follow-up. *J Endourol* 2020;34:63–7.
- 20 Sari S, Ozok HU, Topaloglu H, *et al*. The association of a number of anatomical factors with the success of retrograde intrarenal surgery in lower Calyceal stones. *Urol J* 2017;14:4008–14.
- 21 Alexander RT, Hemmelgarn BR, Wiebe N, et al. Kidney stones and kidney function loss: a cohort study. *BMJ* 2012;345:e5287.
- 22 Denburg MR, Jemielita TO, Tasian GE, et al. Assessing the risk of incident hypertension and chronic kidney disease after exposure to shock wave lithotripsy and ureteroscopy. *Kidney Int* 2016;89:185–92.
- 23 Pearle MS, Goldfarb DS, Assimos DG, et al. Medical management of kidney stones: AUA guideline. J Urol 2014;192:316–24.